Birational geometry of moduli spaces of rank 2 logarithmic connections

Takafumi Matsumoto*

Abstract

In this paper, we describe the birational structure of moduli spaces of rank 2 logarithmic connections with the fixed trace connection.

1 Introduction

Let C be a smooth projective curve over the field of complex numbers \mathbb{C} of genus g and $\mathbf{t} = \{t_1, \ldots, t_n\}$ be a set of n-distinct points on C. Let L be a line bundle on C with degree d and M(r, L) be the moduli space of stable vector bundles of rank r on C with the determinant L. Let $P^{\alpha}(r, L)$ be the moduli space of α -semistable parabolic bundles of rank r over (C, \mathbf{t}) with the determinant L. Boden and Yokogawa [BY] showed that $P^{\alpha}(r, L)$ is a rational variety in the case of certain flag structures including full flag structures. After that, King and Schofield proved that M(r, L) is rational when r and d are coprime. Parabolic connections consist of a vector bundle, a connection and a flag structure, so we can consider the similar problem, that is, moduli spaces of parabolic connections whose pair of the determinant and the trace connection is isomorphic to a fixed type are rational or not. When g = 0, 1and r = 2, it is known that the problem is true for certain weights ([LS][FL][FLM]). In this paper, we show that the problem is true for certain weights for any d when $g \geq 2$ and r = 2.

In order to state the main theorem precisely, we introduce some notations. Let $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu_i^{\pm})_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ be a collection of complex number satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\nu_i^{+} + \nu^{-}) = -d$. Let $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \{\alpha_1^{(i)}, \alpha_2^{(i)}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ is a collection of rational numbers such that for all $i = 1, \ldots, n, 0 < \alpha_1^{(i)} < \alpha_2^{(i)} < 1$. Let (L, ∇_L) be a pair of a line bundle on C with deg L = d and a logarithmic connection ∇_L over L which has the residue data $\operatorname{res}_{t_i}(\nabla_L) = \nu_i^+ + \nu_i^-$ for each i. Let $M^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\nu}, (L, \nabla_L))$ be the moduli space of rank 2 $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ -stable $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ -parabolic connections over (C, \mathbf{t}) whose determinat and trace connection are isomorphic to (L, ∇_L) and whose local exponents is $\boldsymbol{\nu}$. Inaba [In] showed that $M^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\nu}, (L, \nabla_L))$ is a smooth irreducible variety if

$$g = 1, n \ge 2 \text{ or } g \ge 2, n \ge 1.$$
 (1)

By elementally transformations, we can change degree d freely. When d = 2g-1, by the theory of apparent singularities [SS], we can define the rational map

App :
$$M^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\boldsymbol{\nu}, (L, \nabla_L)) \cdots \to \mathbb{P}H^0(C, L \otimes \Omega^1_C(D)).$$

The map which forgets connections induces a rational map

Bun :
$$M^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\nu}, (L, \nabla_L)) \cdots \to P^{\alpha}(2, L).$$

Let V_0 and $M^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\nu}, (L, \nabla_L))^0$ be the open subset of $P^{\alpha}(2, L)$ and $M^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\nu}, (L, \nabla_L))$ respectively defined in section 4. From certain arguments, we obtain an open immersion $V_0 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}H^1(C, L^{-1}(-D))$ and a proper subvariety $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{P}H^0(C, L \otimes \Omega^1_C(D)) \times \mathbb{P}H^1(C, L^{-1}(-D))$. Then the following theorem holds.

Theorem 1.1. Under the condition (1), assume d = 2g - 1, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \nu_i^- \neq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_2^{(i)} - \alpha_1^{(i)}) < 1$. Then the map

App × Bun:
$$M^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\nu}, (L, \nabla_L))^0 \longrightarrow (\mathbb{P}H^0(C, L \otimes \Omega^1_C(D)) \times V_0) \setminus \Sigma$$

^{*}Departmant of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, Kobe, Rokko 657-8501, Japan, E-mail: tmatumt@math.kobe-u.ac.jp

Key words and phrases. logarithmic connection, parabolic structure, apparent singularities.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14D20; Secondary 34M55

is an isomorphism. Hence, the rational map

App × Bun:
$$M^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\nu}, (L, \nabla_L)) \cdots \rightarrow |L \otimes \Omega^1_C(D)| \times P^{\alpha}(2, L)$$

is birational.

Collorary 1.2. Under the condition (1), suppose $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_2^{(i)} - \alpha_1^{(i)}) < 1$. Then $M^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\nu}, (L, \nabla_L))$ is a rational variety for any d.

Section 2 and 3 respectively contain a brieaf summary of parabolic bundles and parabolic connections. In section 4, we study the birational structure of certain moduli spaces of rank 2 logarithmic connections. Firstly, we provide the distinguished open subset V_0 of the moduli space of parabolic bundles. Secondly, we introduce two rational maps, that is, the bundle map and the apparent map. The apparent map was defined in general genus and rank by Saito and Szabó [SS]. Thirdly, we prove the main theorem. This proof is based on the proof of Theorem 4.3 in [LS]. Finally, we show that App × Bun is birational in another way.

2 Parabolic bundles

In this section, we recall basic definitions and known facts on rank 2 parabolic bundles and their moduli spaces. In the case of general rank and parabolic structures, see [MS].

2.1 Parabolic bundles and α -stability

Let C be a smooth projective curve of genus g and $\mathbf{t} = \{t_1, \ldots, t_n\}$ be a set of n-distinct points on C. For any algebraic vector buncle E on C, we set $E|_{t_i} = E \otimes (\mathcal{O}_C/\mathcal{O}_C(-t_i))$.

Definition 2.1. A quasi-parabolic bundle of rank 2 over (C, \mathbf{t}) is a pair $(E, l_* = \{l_*^{(i)}\}_{1 \le i \le n})$ consisting of the following data:

(1) E is a rank 2 algebraic vector bundle on C.

(2) $l_*^{(i)}$ is a filtration $E|_{t_i} = l_0^{(i)} \supseteq l_1^{(i)} \supseteq l_2^{(i)} = \{0\}$ such that dim $l_1^{(i)} = 1$.

The set of filtrations $l_* = \{l_*^{(i)}\}_{1 \le i \le n}$ is said to be a parabolic structure on the vector bundle E.

A weight $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \{\alpha_1^{(i)}, \alpha_2^{(i)}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ is a collection of rational numbers such that for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$, $0 < \alpha_1^{(i)} < \alpha_2^{(i)} < 1$.

Definition 2.2. Let (E, l_*) be a quasi-parabolic bundle and F be a subbundle of E. The parabolic degree of F associated with α is defined by

$$\operatorname{pardeg}_{\alpha} F := \operatorname{deg} F + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \alpha_{j}^{(i)} \operatorname{dim}((F|_{t_{i}} \cap l_{j-1}^{(i)})/(F|_{t_{i}} \cap l_{j}^{(i)})).$$

Definition 2.3. A quasi-parabolic bundle (E, l_*) is α -semistable (resp. α -stable) if for any sub line bundle $F \subsetneq E$, the inequality

$$\operatorname{pardeg}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} F \leq \operatorname{pardeg}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} E / 2$$

holds.

Lemma 2.4. A quasi-parabolic bundle (E, l_*) is α -semistable (resp. α -stable) if and only if the inequality

$$\deg E - 2 \deg F + \sum_{F|_{t_i} \neq l_1^{(i)}} (\alpha_2^{(i)} - \alpha_1^{(i)}) - \sum_{F|_{t_i} = l_1^{(i)}} (\alpha_2^{(i)} - \alpha_1^{(i)}) \ge 0$$
(resp. >)

holds.

Proof. If $F|_{t_i} \neq l_1^{(i)}$, then we have

$$\dim((F|_{t_i} \cap l_{j-1}^{(i)})/(F|_{t_i} \cap l_j^{(i)})) = \begin{cases} 1 & j=1\\ 0 & j=2 \end{cases}$$

by definition. If $F|_{t_i} = l_1^{(i)}$, then we also have

$$\dim((F|_{t_i} \cap l_{j-1}^{(i)})/(F|_{t_i} \cap l_j^{(i)})) = \begin{cases} 0 & j=1\\ 1 & j=2 \end{cases}$$

Therefore, we conclude the equivalence.

2.2 The moduli space of rank 2 quasi-parabolic bundles

Let us fix (C, \mathbf{t}) . Let $P^{\alpha}_{(C,\mathbf{t})}(d)$ denote the moduli space of rank 2 α -semistable quasi-parabolic bundles over (C, \mathbf{t}) of degree d.

Theorem 2.5. (Mehta and Seshadri [Theorem 4.1 [MS]]). The modulli space $P^{\alpha}_{(C,\mathbf{t})}(d)$ is an irreducible normal projective variety of dimension 4g+n-3. Moreover, if (E, l_*) is α -stable, then $P^{\alpha}_{(C,\mathbf{t})}(d)$ is smooth at the point corresponding to (E, l_*) .

Let $\operatorname{Pic}^{d} C$ be the Picard variety of degree d, which is the set of isomorphism classes of line bundles of degree d on C. Then we can define the morphism

det:
$$P^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{(C,\mathbf{t})}(d) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Pic}^{d}C, \ (E, l_{*}) \mapsto \det E$$

where det $E = \bigwedge^2 E$. For each $L \in \operatorname{Pic}^d C$, set $P^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{(C,\mathbf{t})}(L) = \det^{-1}(L)$, i.e.,

$$P^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{(C,\mathbf{t})}(L) = \{ (E, l_*) \in P^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{(C,\mathbf{t})}(d) \mid \det E \simeq L \}.$$

Theorem 2.6. The modulli space $P^{\alpha}_{(C,\mathbf{t})}(L)$ is an irreducible normal projective variety of dimension 3g + n - 3 if it is not empty. Moreover, if (E, l_*) is α -stable, then $P^{\alpha}_{(C,\mathbf{t})}(L)$ is smooth at the point corresponding to (E, l_*) .

3 Parabolic connections

In this section, we review basic definitions and known facts on rank 2 parabolic connections and thier moduli spaces. In the case of general rank , see [In].

Let us fix (C, \mathbf{t}) and $D = t_1 + \cdots + t_n$ denote the effective divisor associated with \mathbf{t} .

3.1 Parabolic connections and α -stability

Definition 3.1. Let us fix $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. We call a pair (E, ∇) a logarithmic λ -connection over (C, \mathbf{t}) when E is an algebraic vector bundle on C and $\nabla \colon E \to E \otimes \Omega^1_C(D)$ satisfies the λ -twisted Leibniz rule, i.e., for all local sections $a \in \mathcal{O}_C, \sigma \in E$,

$$\nabla(a\sigma) = \sigma \otimes \lambda \cdot da + a\nabla(\sigma).$$

Let (E, ∇) be a rank 2 logarithmic λ -connection over (C, \mathbf{t}) . Then we can define the residue matrix $\operatorname{res}_{t_i}(\nabla) \in \operatorname{End}(E|_{t_i}) \simeq M_r(\mathbb{C})$. The set $\{\nu_i^+, \nu_i^-\}$ of eigenvalues of the residue matrix $\operatorname{res}_{t_i}(\nabla)$ is called local exponents of ∇ at t_i .

The following lemma follows by the residue theorem.

Lemma 3.2. (Fuchs relation) Let (E, ∇) be a logarithmic λ -connection over (C, \mathbf{t}) and $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu_i^{\pm})_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ be local exponents of ∇ . Then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\nu_i^+ + \nu_i^-) = -\lambda \deg E.$$

For each $n \geq 1$, $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, we set

$$\mathcal{N}^{(n)}(d,\lambda) := \left\{ (\nu_i^{\pm})_{1 \le i \le n} \in \mathbb{C}^{2n} \middle| \sum_{i=1}^n (\nu_i^{+} + \nu_i^{-}) = -\lambda d \right\}.$$

Definition 3.3. Let us fix $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu_i^{\pm})_{1 \leq i \leq n} \in \mathcal{N}^{(n)}(d, \lambda)$. A $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ -parabolic λ -connection over (C, \mathbf{t}) is a collection $(E, \nabla, l_* = \{l_*^{(i)}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n})$ consisting of the following data:

- (1) (E, ∇) is a logarithmic λ -connection over (C, \mathbf{t})
- (2) $l_*^{(i)}$ is a parabolic structure of E such that for any $i, j, \dim(l_j^{(i)}/l_{j+1}^{(i)}) = 1$ and for any $i, (\operatorname{res}_{t_i}(\nabla) \nu_i^+\operatorname{id})(l_1^{(i)}) = \{0\}, (\operatorname{res}_{t_i}(\nabla) \nu_i^-\operatorname{id})(E|_{t_i}) \subset l_1^{(i)}.$

When $\lambda = 1$, a ν -parabolic λ -connection is called ν -parabolic connection.

Remark 3.1. When $\lambda = 0$, ν -parabolic λ -connections are nothing but ν -parabolic Higgs bundles.

A weight $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \{\alpha_1^{(i)}, \alpha_2^{(i)}\}_{1 \le i \le n}$ is a collection of rational numbers such that for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$, $0 < \alpha_1^{(i)} < \alpha_2^i < 1$.

Definition 3.4. A ν -parabolic λ -connection (E, ∇, l_*) is α -stable (resp. α -semistable) if for any sub line bundle $F \subsetneq E$ satisfying $\nabla(F) \subset F \otimes \Omega^1_C(D)$, the inequality

$$\operatorname{pardeg}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} F \underset{(\operatorname{resp.} \leq)}{<} \frac{\operatorname{pardeg}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} E}{2}$$

holds.

Remark 3.2. Assume for any collection $(\epsilon_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ with $\epsilon_i \in \{+, -\}$, $\sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i^{\epsilon_i} \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Then a ν -parabolic connection (E, ∇, l_*) is irreducible, that is, for any sub line bundle $F \subset E$, $\nabla(F) \notin F \otimes \Omega_C^1(D)$. In fact, if a sub line bundle $F \subset E$ satisfies $F \subset F \otimes \Omega_C^1(D)$, then there exists a collection $(\epsilon_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ with $\epsilon_i \in \{+, -\}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i^{\epsilon_i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ by Lemma 3.2. Moreover, (E, ∇, l_*) is α -stable for any weight α by irreducibility.

3.2 The moduli space of rank 2 parabolic connections

For a fixed $(C, \mathbf{t}), \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathcal{N}^{(n)}(d) := \mathcal{N}^{(n)}(d, 1)$ and $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$, let $M^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{(C, \mathbf{t})}(\boldsymbol{\nu}, d)$ be the coarse moduli space of rank 2 $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ -stable $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ -parabolic connections over (C, \mathbf{t}) .

Theorem 3.5. (Inaba, Iwasaki and Saito [IIS1] [IIS2], Inaba [In]) $M^{\alpha}_{(C,\mathbf{t})}(\boldsymbol{\nu},d)$ is an irreducible smooth quasi-projective variety of dimension 8g + 2n - 6 if it is nonempty.

Remark 3.3. Assume for any $(\epsilon_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ with $\epsilon_i \in \{+, -\}$, $\sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i^{\epsilon_i} \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Then the moduli space $M^{\alpha}_{(C,\mathbf{t})}(\boldsymbol{\nu},d)$ does not depend on the weight $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ by Remark 3.2.

For $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu_i^{\pm})_{1 \leq i \leq n} \in \mathcal{N}^{(n)}(d, \lambda)$, we set $\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\nu}) = (\nu_i^+ + \nu_i^-)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$. Let (L, ∇_L) be a $\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\nu})$ -parabolic λ -connection, i.e., L is a line bundle on C and $\nabla_L \colon L \to L \otimes \Omega^1_C(D)$ satisfies the λ -twisted Leibniz rule and $\operatorname{res}_{t_i} \nabla_L = \nu_i^+ + \nu_i^-$ for each i.

For a fixed $(C, \mathbf{t}), \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathcal{N}^{(n)}(d), \boldsymbol{\alpha}$, and (L, ∇_L) , we set

$$M^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{(C,\mathbf{t})}(\boldsymbol{\nu},(L,\nabla_L)) := \{ (E,\nabla,l_*) \in M^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{(C,\mathbf{t})}(\boldsymbol{\nu},d) \mid (\det E, \operatorname{tr} \nabla) \simeq (L,\nabla_L) \}.$$

Theorem 3.6. (Inaba [Proposition 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 [In]]). When $g = 0, r \ge 2, n \ge 4$ or $g = 1, n \ge 2$ or $g \ge 2, n \ge 1, M^{\alpha}_{(C,\mathbf{t})}(\boldsymbol{\nu}, (L, \nabla_L))$ is an irreducible smooth quasi-projective variety of dimension 6g + 2n - 6 if it is nonempty.

3.3 Elementary transformations

Let (E, ∇, l_*) be a ν -parabolic connection. We consider the parabolic structure at t_i

$$E|_{t_i} = l_0^{(i)} \supseteq l_1^{(i)} \supseteq l_0^{(i)} = 0.$$

For the natural homomorphism $\delta_i \colon E \to E|_{t_i}/l_1^{(i)}$, let $E' = \text{Ker}\,\delta_i$. Then E' is a locally free sheaf of rank 2 on C and ∇ induces a logarithmic connection $\nabla' \colon E' \longrightarrow E' \otimes \Omega^1_C(D)$. We consider a parabolic structure of E' at t_i . The natural homomorphism $\pi \colon E' \to l_1^{(i)}$ induces two exact sequances

$$0 \longrightarrow E(-t_i) \stackrel{\iota}{\longrightarrow} E' \stackrel{\pi}{\longrightarrow} l_1^{(i)} \longrightarrow 0$$
$$0 \longrightarrow E|_{t_i}/l_1^{(i)}(-t_i) \longrightarrow E'|_{t_i} \longrightarrow l_1^{(i)} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Set $l_1^{\prime(i)} = \iota(E|_{t_i}/l_1^{(i)}(-t_i))$, then $\{l_j^{\prime(i)}\}_j$ defines a parabolic structure of E' at t_i .

Lemma 3.7. For $\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathcal{N}^{(n)}(d)$, we define $\boldsymbol{\nu}' = (\nu_m'^{\pm})_{1 \leq m \leq n}$ as

$$\nu_m^{\prime\epsilon} = \begin{cases} \nu_m^{\epsilon} & m \neq i \\ \nu_i^- + 1 & m = i, \epsilon = + \\ \nu_i^+ & m = i, \epsilon = - \\ \end{cases}$$

Then the collection (E', ∇', l'_*) is a ν' -parabolic connection over (C, \mathbf{t}) and deg $E' = \deg E - 1$.

Proof. Let $\{e_1, e_2\}$ be a set of local generators of E around t_i which satisfies $l_1^{(i)} = \mathbb{C}\bar{e}_1$. Here \bar{e}_j denotes the image of e_j by the natural map $E \to E|_{t_i}$. By definition, we have $\operatorname{res}_{t_i}(\nabla)(\bar{e}_1) = \nu_i^+ \bar{e}_1$ and $\operatorname{res}_{t_i}(\nabla)(\bar{e}_2) \equiv \nu_i^- \bar{e}_2 \mod l_1^{(i)}$.

Let $m_{t_i} \subset \mathcal{O}_{C,t_i}$ denote the maximal ideal and z_i be a generator of m_{t_i} . By definition, the set $\{e_1, z_i e_2\}$ is a set of local generators of E' at t_i . Set $e'_1 = e_1$ and $e'_2 = z_i e_2$. Then the image of e'_2 by the natural morphism $E' \to E'|_{t_i}$ generates $l'^{(i)}_1$. Moreover, we see that near t_i ,

$$\nabla'(e_2') = \nabla(z_i e_2) = z_i e_2 \otimes \frac{dz_i}{z_i} + z_i \nabla(e_2),$$

so we obtain $\operatorname{res}_{t_i}(\nabla')(\bar{e}'_2) = (1+\nu_i)\bar{e}'_2$. Therefore, the collection (E', ∇', l'_*) is a ν' -parabolic connection. The second assertion follows from the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow E' \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow E|_{t_i}/l_1^{(i)} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Definition 3.8. For a ν -parabolic connection (E, ∇, l_*) , we call the ν' -parabolic connection (E', ∇', l'_*) which is given by the above way the lower transformation of (E, ∇, l_*) at t_i and we set

$$\operatorname{elm}_{t_i}^-(E, \nabla, l_*) := (E', \nabla', l'_*).$$

Let $E'' = E \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(t_i)$ and $\nabla'': E'' \to E'' \otimes \Omega^1_C(D)$ be the natural logarithmic connection. l''_* is the parabolic structure induced by l_* . By the same calculation as above, it follows that (E'', ∇'', l''_*) is a ν'' -parabolic connection over (C, \mathbf{t}) where

$$\nu_m^{\prime\prime\epsilon} = \begin{cases} \nu_m^\epsilon & m \neq i \\ \nu_i^\epsilon - 1 & m = i \end{cases}$$

We set $\mathbf{b}_i(E, \nabla, l_*) = (E'', \nabla'', l_*'').$

Definition 3.9. For a ν -parabolic connection (E, ∇, l_*) , we define the upper transformation of (E, ∇, l_*) at t_i by

$$\operatorname{elm}_{t_i}^+(E, \nabla, l_*) = \operatorname{elm}_{t_i}^- \circ \mathbf{b}_i((E, \nabla, l_*)).$$

The following lemma follows by definitions of $elm_{t_i}^-$ and \mathbf{b}_i .

Lemma 3.10. For $\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathcal{N}^{(n)}(d)$, we set

$$\nu_m^{\prime\prime\prime\prime\epsilon} = \begin{cases} \nu_m^\epsilon & m \neq i \\ \nu_i^- & m = i, \epsilon = + \\ \nu_i^+ - 1 & m = i, \epsilon = - \end{cases}$$

and $(E''', \nabla''', l_*'') = \operatorname{elm}_{t_i}^+(E, \nabla, l_*)$. Then the collection (E''', ∇''', l_*'') is a ν''' -parabolic connection over (C, \mathbf{t}) and deg $E''' = \operatorname{deg} E + 1$.

Proposition 3.11. Elementally transformations $\sigma = \operatorname{elm}_{t_i}^{\pm}$ give an isomorphism between two moduli spaces of stable parabolic connections

$$\sigma \colon M^{\alpha}_{(C,\mathbf{t})}(\boldsymbol{\nu},r,d) \xrightarrow{\sim} M^{\alpha}_{(C,\mathbf{t})}(\sigma^{*}(\boldsymbol{\nu}),r,d').$$

Here $\sigma^*(\nu)$ and d' are respectively local exponents and degree given in Lemma 3.7 and Lemma 3.10. Therefore, when we consider some caracteristics of moduli spaces of stable parabolic connections, we can freely change degree.

4 The birational structure of moduli spaces of parabolic connections

In this setion, we describe the birational structure of moduli spaces of rank 2 parabolic connections.

Throughout this section, we assume that $g \ge 1$ and d = 2g - 1. Let us fix a line bundle L with degree d. Then we have $H^1(C, L) = \{0\}$ and by Riemann-Roch Theorem, dim $H^0(C, L) = d + 1 - g = g$. Let us fix a weight $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \{\alpha_1^{(i)}, \alpha_2^{(i)}\}_{1 \le i \le n}$ and set $w_i = \alpha_2^{(i)} - \alpha_1^{(i)}$.

4.1 The distinguished open subset of the moduli space of parabolic bundles

Lemma 4.1. Assume $\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i < 1$. For a quasi-parabolic bundle (E, l_*) , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) (E, l_*) is α -semistable.
- (ii) (E, l_*) is α -stable.
- (iii) E is stable.

Proof. If (E, l_*) is α -semistable but not α -stable, then there is a sub-line bundle $F \subset E$ such that

$$\deg E - 2 \deg F = \sum_{F|_{t_i} = l_1^{(i)}} w_i - \sum_{F|_{t_i} \neq l_1^{(i)}} w_i.$$

The left hand side is odd, but

$$\left| \sum_{F|_{t_i} = l_1^{(i)}} w_i - \sum_{F|_{t_i} \neq l_1^{(i)}} w_i \right| < \sum_{i=1}^n w_i < 1.$$
(2)

It is a contradiction. So condition (i) and (ii) are equivalent.

If (E, l_*) is α -stable, then for all sub line bundle $F \subset E$, the inequality

$$2\deg F < \deg E + \sum_{F|_{t_i} \neq l_1^{(i)}} w_i - \sum_{F|_{t_i} = l_1^{(i)}} w_i$$
(3)

holds. From (2), it follows that

$$\deg E + \sum_{F|_{t_i} \neq l_1^{(i)}} w_i - \sum_{F|_{t_i} = l_1^{(i)}} w_i > \deg E - 1.$$
(4)

From (3) and (4), we obtain

$$2\deg F \le \deg E - 1 < \deg E.$$

Hence, E is stable. Conversely, if E is stable, then we can prove that (E, l_*) is α -stable by the above argument.

Lemma 4.2. Suppose a vector bundle *E* on *C* satisfies following conditions:

(i) E is an extension of L by \mathcal{O}_C , that is, E fits into an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0.$$

(ii) dim $H^0(C, E) = 1$.

Then E is stable.

Proof. If E is not stable, then there exists a sub line bundle $F \subset E$ such that deg $F \geq g$. Since dim $H^0(C, F) - \dim H^1(C, F) = \deg F + 1 - g \geq 1$, we have dim $H^0(C, F) \geq 1$, hence we have an inclusion $\mathcal{O}_C \hookrightarrow E$. By assumption (ii), we have an unique inclusion $\mathcal{O}_C \subset F \subset E$ and this inclusion induces the injection $F/\mathcal{O}_C \hookrightarrow E/\mathcal{O}_C \simeq L$. Since L is torsion free, so one conclude that $F/\mathcal{O}_C = 0$, that is, $F \simeq \mathcal{O}_C$. This contradicts the fact that deg $F \geq g \geq 1$.

Proposition 4.3. For an element $b \in H^1(C, L^{-1})$, let

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow E_b \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0 \tag{5}$$

be the exact sequence obtained by the extension of L by \mathcal{O}_C with the extension class b. Then dim $H^0(C, E_b) = 1$ if and only if the natural cup-product map

$$\langle , b \rangle \colon H^0(C, L) \longrightarrow H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$$

is an isomorphism. Moreover, dim $H^0(C, E_b) = 1$ for a generic element $b \in H^1(C, L^{-1})$.

Proof. Since $H^1(C, L) = \{0\}$, from the exact sequence (5), we obtain the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C) \longrightarrow H^0(C, E_b) \longrightarrow H^0(C, L) \xrightarrow{\langle , b \rangle} H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C) \longrightarrow H^1(C, E_b) \longrightarrow 0$$

Here we note that by definition of the extension with b the connecting homomorphism $\delta: H^0(C, L) \to H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$ is given by $\langle , b \rangle$. Since dim $H^0(C, E_b) = \dim H^1(C, E_b) + \deg E_b + 2(1-g) = \dim H^1(C, E_b) + 1$, the first assertion follows from the above exact sequence.

We show the second assersion. We set

$$Z := \{ (s,b) \in H^0(C,L) \times H^1(C,L^{-1}) \mid \langle s,b \rangle = 0 \}.$$

Since deg $L \otimes \Omega^1_C = 4g - 3 \ge 2g - 1$, we have $H^1(C, L \otimes \Omega^1_C) = \{0\}$ and

$$\dim H^1(C, L^{-1}) = \dim H^0(C, L \otimes \Omega^1_C)^* = \deg L \otimes \Omega^1_C + 1 - g = 3g - 2$$

Hence, it is sufficient to show that dim Z = 3g - 2. In fact, if dim Z = 3g - 2, then for generic $b \in H^1(C, L^{-1})$, we have dim $q^{-1}(b) = 0$ and it means $q^{-1}(b) = \{(0, b)\}$. Here $q: Z \to H^1(C, L^{-1})$ is the projection.

Let $p: Z \to H^0(C, L)$ be the projection. We show that for any $s \in H^0(C, L) \setminus \{0\}$, dim $p^{-1}(s) = 2g-2$. A section $\sigma \in H^0(C, \Omega^1_C)$ induces the diagram

$$\begin{array}{c|c} H^0(C,L) \times H^1(C,L^{-1}) & \xrightarrow{\langle \ , \ \rangle} & H^1(C,\mathcal{O}_C) \\ & \otimes \sigma \times \mathrm{id} \\ & & & & & & & \\ H^0(C,L \otimes \Omega_C^1) \times H^1(C,L^{-1}) & \xrightarrow{\langle \ , \ \rangle'} & H^1(C,\Omega_C^1) \end{array}$$

where the above and below map are natural cup-products and the left and right map are natural maps induced by σ . Note that \langle , \rangle' is nondegenerate. Set $s \in H^0(C, L) \setminus \{0\}$. For $b \in H^1(C, L^{-1}), \langle s, b \rangle = 0$ if and only if for all $\sigma \in H^0(C, \Omega_C^1), \langle s \otimes \sigma, b \rangle' = \langle s, b \rangle \otimes \sigma = 0$. Since the set

$$\{s \otimes \sigma \mid \sigma \in H^0(C, \Omega^1_C)\} \simeq H^0(C, \Omega^1_C)$$

is a g dimensional subspace of $H^0(C, L \otimes \Omega^1_C)$ and by nondegeneracy of \langle , \rangle' , the set

$$\{b \in H^1(C, L^{-1}) \mid \langle s, b \rangle = 0\}$$

defines a 2g - 2 dimensional subspace of $H^1(C, L^{-1})$. We therefore obtain dim $p^{-1}(s) = 2g - 2$. So we conclude dim Z = 3g - 2.

Proposition 4.4. Let $\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i < 1$. Let $V_0 \subset P^{\alpha}(L) = P^{\alpha}_{(C,\mathbf{t})}(L)$ be the subset which consist of all elements $(E, l_*) \in P^{\alpha}(L)$ satisfying following conditions:

- (i) E is an extension of L by \mathcal{O}_C .
- (ii) $\dim H^0(C, E) = 1.$

(iii) For any $i, \mathcal{O}_C|_{t_i} \neq l_1^{(i)}$. Here $\mathcal{O}_C|_{t_i}$ is identified with the image by an injection $\mathcal{O}_C|_{t_i} \hookrightarrow E|_{t_i}$.

Then V_0 is a nonempty Zariski open subset of $P^{\alpha}(L)$.

Proof. Let E be a vector bundle on C satisfying conditions (i) and (ii). Then we have det $E \simeq L$ from (i) and E is stable by Lemma 4.2. Let M_L denote the moduli space of rank 2 stable vector bundles on C with the determinat L.

First, we show that the subset of M_L consisting of vector bundles which satisfy (i) and (ii) is open. Since rank and degree are coprime, M_L has the universal family \mathcal{E} . Set

$$V = \{ x \in M_L \mid \dim H^0(C, \mathcal{E}|_{C \times x}) = 1 \},\$$

then V is an open subset of M_L by upper semicontinuity of dimentions. Let $q: C \times V \to V$ be the natural projection. By Corollaly 12.9 in [Ha], $q_*\mathcal{E}$ is an invertible sheaf on V and for any $x \in V$, $(q_*\mathcal{E})|_x$ is naturaly isomorphic to $H^0(C, \mathcal{E}|_{C \times x})$. Hence $q^*q_*\mathcal{E}$ is an invertible sheaf on $C \times V$ and a natural homomorphism $\iota: q^*q_*\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}$ is injective. By definition, for any $x \in V$, we have $(q^*q_*\mathcal{E})|_{C \times x} \simeq H^0(C, \mathcal{E}|_{C \times x}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{C \times x} \simeq \mathcal{O}_C$ and $\iota|_{c \times x}: \mathcal{O}_C \simeq (q^*q_*\mathcal{E})|_{C \times x} \to \mathcal{E}|_{C \times x}$ is not zero. Set

$$Y = \{(c,x) \in C \times V \mid \iota|_{(c,x)} \colon \mathcal{O}_C|_c \simeq (q^*q_*\mathcal{E})|_{(c\times x)} \to \mathcal{E}|_{(c,x)} \text{ is zero.}\}$$

and $V' = V \setminus q(Y)$, then Y is a closed subset of $C \times V$ and V' is an open subset of V. If $x \in V'$, then we obtain $\mathcal{E}|_{C \times x}/\mathcal{O}_C \simeq L$, that is, $\mathcal{E}|_{C \times x}$ is an extension of L by \mathcal{O}_C . Therefore, V' consists of all isomorphism classes of vector bundles satisfying the conditions (i) and (ii), and V' is an open subset of M_L . Moreover, by Proposition 4.3, V' is not empty.

Second, we prove that V_0 is open. By Lemma 4.1, we obtain

$$P^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(L) \simeq \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}|_{t_1 \times M_L}) \times_{M_L} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}|_{t_2 \times M_L}) \times_{M_L} \cdots \times_{M_L} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}|_{t_n \times M_L}).$$

For each t_i , by projectivization of $\iota|_{t_i \times V'} : (q^*q_*\mathcal{E})|_{t_i \times V'} \to \mathcal{E}|_{t_i \times V'}$, we obtain a morphism $\hat{l}_1^{(i)} : V' \to \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}|_{t_i \times V'})$ such that for all $x \in V'$, $\hat{l}_1^{(i)}(x)$ is the point associated with the image by the immersion $\mathcal{O}_C \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}|_{C \times x}$ at t_i . Let $\varpi : P^{\alpha}(L) \to M_L$ be the natural forgetful map and $p_i : P^{\alpha}(L) \to \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}|_{t_i \times M_L})$ be the natural projection. Set

$$V_0 = \varpi^{-1}(V') \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n p_i^{-1}(\hat{l}_1^{(i)}(V')).$$

Then V_0 is an open subset of $P^{\alpha}(L)$ and the set of all isomorphism classes of parabolic bundles satisfying (i), (ii) and (iii).

We introduce another expression of V_0 . For $b \in H^1(C, L^{-1})$, let

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow E_b \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0$$

be the exact sequence associated with b. We set

$$U := \{ b \in H^1(C, L^{-1}) \mid \dim H^0(C, E_b) = 1 \}$$

and then U is an open subset and $0 \notin U$ by Proposition 4.3.

The natural homomorphism $\psi: H^1(C, L^{-1}(-D)) \to H^1(C, L^{-1})$ induces the morphism

$$\tilde{\psi} \colon \mathbb{P}H^1(C, L^{-1}(-D)) \setminus \mathbb{P}\operatorname{Ker} \psi \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}H^1(C, L^{-1}).$$

Let $\tilde{U} \subset \mathbb{P}H^1(C, L^{-1})$ be the open subset associated with U and $\tilde{V} = \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(\tilde{U})$.

Suppose $(E, l_*) \in P^{\alpha}(L)$ satisfies conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) of Proposition 4.4. Let $b \in H^1(C, L^{-1})$ be the element associated with an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0$$

and [b] be the point in $\mathbb{P}H^1(C, L^{-1})$ associated with the subspace generated by b. By assumption, we have $b \in U$. Let $\{U_i\}_i$ be an open covering of C and $(c_{ij})_{i,j}, c_{ij} = c_i/c_j$ be transition functions of L over $\{U_i\}_i$. Let e_1^i be the restricton of a global section $\mathcal{O}_C \hookrightarrow E$ on U_i and e_2^i be a local section of E on U_i whose image by the natural map $E \to E|_{t_i}$ generates $l_1^{(k)}$ at each $t_k \in U_i$. For generators e_1^i and e_2^i , transition matrixes $M_{i,j}$ is denoted by

$$M_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b'_{ij} \\ 0 & c_{ij} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $b' = (b'_{ij}c_j)_{i,j} \in H^1(C, L^{-1}(-D))$. Then we have $\tilde{\psi}([b']) = [b]$, and so $[b'] \in \tilde{V}$. By using the above argument, we can correspond $[b'] \in \tilde{V}$ to an isomorphism class of a parabolic bundle satisfying all conditions of Proposition 4.4. Thus we conclude $V_0 \simeq \tilde{V}$.

4.2 Two rational maps

Let us fix $\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathcal{N}^{(n)}(d)$ and a tr($\boldsymbol{\nu}$)-parabolic connection ∇_L over L. Let V_0 be the open subset of $P^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(L)$ defined in Proposition 4.4. We set

$$\begin{split} M^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\nu},(L,\nabla_L)) &:= M^{\alpha}_{(C,\mathbf{t})}(\boldsymbol{\nu},(L,\nabla_L))\\ M^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\nu},(L,\nabla_L))^0 &:= \{(E,\nabla,l_*) \in M^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\nu},(L,\nabla_L)) \mid (E,l_*) \in V_0\}. \end{split}$$

Let the morphism

Bun:
$$M^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\nu}, (L, \nabla_L))^0 \longrightarrow V_0$$

be the forgetful map which sends (E, ∇, l_*) to (E, l_*) . We can extend this map to the rational map

Bun:
$$M^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\nu}, (L, \nabla_L)) \cdots \to P^{\alpha}(L).$$

Assume $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \nu_i^- \neq 0$. For each $(E, \nabla, l_*) \in M^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\nu}, (L, \nabla_L))^0$, E has the unique sub line bundle which is isomorphic to the trivial line bundle. Suppose $\nabla(\mathcal{O}_C) \subset \mathcal{O}_C \otimes \Omega_C^1(D)$. Then we obtain $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \nu_i^- = 0$ by Fuchs relation because $\mathcal{O}_C|_{t_i} \cap l_1^{(i)} = \{0\}$ for any i. It is a contradiction. Therefore, $\nabla(\mathcal{O}_C) \subsetneq \mathcal{O}_C \otimes \Omega_C^1(D)$ and we can define the nonzero section $\varphi_{\nabla} \in H^0(C, L \otimes \Omega_C^1(D))$ by the composition

$$\mathcal{O}_C \hookrightarrow E \xrightarrow{\nabla} E \otimes \Omega^1_C(D) \to E/\mathcal{O}_C \otimes \Omega^1_C(D) \simeq L \otimes \Omega^1_C(D).$$

We define the morphism

App:
$$M^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\nu}, (L, \nabla_L))^0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}H^0(C, L \otimes \Omega^1_C(D)) \simeq |L \otimes \Omega^1_C(D)|$$

by App $((E, \nabla, l_*)) = [\varphi_{\nabla}]$. Here $[\varphi_{\nabla}]$ is the point in $\mathbb{P}H^0(C, L \otimes \Omega^1_C(D))$ associated with the subspace of $H^0(C, L \otimes \Omega^1_C(D))$ generated by φ_{∇} . We can extend this map to the rational map

App:
$$M^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\boldsymbol{\nu}, (L, \nabla_L)) \cdots \rightarrow |L \otimes \Omega^1_C(D)|.$$

4.3 Main theorem

Let

$$\langle , \rangle \colon H^0(C, L \otimes \Omega^1_C(D)) \times H^1(C, L^{-1}(-D)) \longrightarrow H^1(C, \Omega^1_C)$$

be the natural cup-product. This cup-product is nondegenerate.

Theorem 4.5. Assume $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \nu_i^- \neq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i < 1$. Let us define the subvariety $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{P}H^0(C, L \otimes \Omega^1_C(D)) \times \mathbb{P}H^1(C, L^{-1}(-D))$ by $\Sigma = \{([s], [b]) \mid \langle s, b \rangle = 0\}.$

Then tha map

App × Bun:
$$M^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\nu}, (L, \nabla_L))^0 \longrightarrow (\mathbb{P}H^0(C, L \otimes \Omega^1_C(D)) \times V_0) \setminus \Sigma$$

is an isomorphism. Therefore, the rational map

App × Bun:
$$M^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\nu}, (L, \nabla_L)) \cdots \rightarrow |L \otimes \Omega^1_C(D)| \times P^{\alpha}(L)$$

is birational. In particular, $M^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\nu}, (L, \nabla_L))$ is a rational variety.

Before showing this theorem, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.6. Let $(E, l_*) \in V_0$ and $b \in H^1(C, L^{-1})$ be an element associated with an extension

 $0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0.$

Then the natural cup-product map

$$\langle , b \rangle' \colon H^0(C, \Omega^1_C) \longrightarrow H^1(C, L^{-1} \otimes \Omega^1_C)$$

is an isomorphism. In particular, for an element $b' \in H^1(C, L^{-1}(-D))$ associated with (E, l_*) , the composition of the natural cup-product map and natural homomorphism

$$H^0(C,\Omega^1_C) \xrightarrow{\langle ,b'\rangle''} H^1(C,L^{-1}(-D)\otimes\Omega^1_C) \longrightarrow H^1(C,L^{-1}\otimes\Omega^1_C)$$

is also an isomorphism.

Proof. By Serre duality, we have $H^0(C, \Omega^1_C) \simeq H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C)^*$ and $H^1(C, L^{-1} \otimes \Omega^1_C) \simeq H^0(C, L)^*$. So it suffices to prove that the natural cup-product map

$$\langle , b \rangle''' \colon H^0(C, L) \longrightarrow H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$$

is an isomorphism, and it is nothing but the first assersion of Proposition 4.3.

Second assersion follows from the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{c} H^{0}(C,\Omega_{C}^{1}) \times H^{1}(C,L^{-1}(-D)) \xrightarrow{\langle , \rangle''} H^{1}(C,L^{-1}(-D) \otimes \Omega_{C}^{1}) \\ \downarrow \\ H^{0}(C,\Omega_{C}^{1}) \times H^{1}(C,L^{-1}) \xrightarrow{\langle , \rangle'} H^{1}(C,L^{-1} \otimes \Omega_{C}^{1}) \end{array}$$

Proof of Theorem 4.5. Firstly, we show that for any $\gamma \in H^0(C, L \otimes \Omega^1_C(D))$ and $b' \in H^1(C, L^{-1}(-D))$ such that the quasi-parabolic bundle (E, l_*) associated with b' is in V_0 , there exist unique $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and an unique $\lambda \boldsymbol{\nu}$ -parabolic λ -connection (E, ∇, l_*) such that $\operatorname{tr} \nabla = \lambda \nabla_L$ and $\varphi_{\nabla} = \gamma$.

Let $\{U_i\}_i$ be an open covering of C and $(c_{ij})_{i,j}, c_{ij} = c_i/c_j$ be transition functions of L over $\{U_i\}_i$. Let e_1^i be the restricton of a global section $\mathcal{O}_C \hookrightarrow E$ on U_i and e_2^i be a local section of E on U_i whose image \bar{e}_2^i by the natural map $E \to E|_{t_i}$ generates $l_1^{(k)}$ at each $t_k \in U_i$. For local generators e_1^i and e_2^i , we can denote transition matrixes of E by

$$M_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b'_{ij} \\ 0 & c_{ij} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $b' = (b'_{ij}c_j)_{i,j} \in H^1(C, L^{-1}(-D)).$ A logarithmic λ -connection ∇ is given in U_i by $\lambda d + A_i$

$$A_i = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_i & \beta_i \\ \gamma_i & \delta_i \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\Omega_C^1(D)(U_i))$$

with the compatibility condition

$$\lambda dM_{ij} + A_i M_{ij} = M_{ij} A_j$$

on each intersection $U_i \cap U_j$. By using elements of matrixes, this condition is written by

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\gamma_i}{c_i} - \frac{\gamma_j}{c_j} = 0\\ \alpha_i - \alpha_j = b'_{ij}\gamma_j\\ \delta_i - \delta_j = -b'_{ij}\gamma_j - \lambda \frac{dc_{ij}}{c_{ij}}\\ c_i\beta_i - c_j\beta_j = -(\lambda c_jdb'_{ij} + (b'_{ij}c_j)(\alpha_i - \delta_j)). \end{cases}$$
(6)

If (E, ∇, l_*) is a $\lambda \nu$ -parabolic λ -connection, then for each point t_i, ∇ satisfies the residual condition

$$\operatorname{res}_{t_k}(A_i) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \nu_k^- & 0\\ * & \lambda \nu_k^+ \end{pmatrix}$$
(7)

at each $t_k \in U_i$ because \bar{e}_2^i generates $l_1^{(k)}$. ∇_L is denoted in U_i by $d + \omega_i$ with the compatibility condition

$$dc_{ij} + c_{ij}\omega_i = c_{ij}\omega_j \tag{8}$$

on each $U_i \cap U_j$. If $\operatorname{tr} \nabla = \lambda \nabla_L$, then the equation

$$\alpha_i + \delta_i = \lambda \omega_i \tag{9}$$

holds. When ∇ is denoted in U_i by $\lambda d + A_i$, we have $\varphi_{\nabla} = (\gamma_i/c_i)_i \in H^0(C, L \otimes \Omega^1_C(D))$. So if $\varphi_{\nabla} = \gamma$, then we have

$$(\gamma_i/c_i)_i = \gamma. \tag{10}$$

We show that there exist $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i, \delta_i \in \Omega^1_C(D)(U_i)$ satisfying the conditions (6), (7), (9) and (10) uniquely.

Step 1: we find γ_i . From (10), we have to set $\gamma_i = c_i \gamma$.

Step 2: we find α_i . Fix a section $\alpha_i^0 \in \Omega_C^1(D)(U_i)$ which have the residue data $\operatorname{res}_{t_k}(\alpha_i^0) = \nu_k^-$ at each $t_k \in U_i$. The cocycle $(\alpha_i^0 - \alpha_j^0)_{i,j}$ defines an element of $H^1(C, \Omega_C^1)$. If $(\alpha_i^0 - \alpha_j^0)_{i,j}$ is zero in $H^0(C, \Omega_C^1)$, then there exist sections $\tilde{\alpha}_i \in \Omega_C^1(U_i)$ on each i such that $\alpha_i^0 - \alpha_j^0 = \tilde{\alpha}_i - \tilde{\alpha}_j$ for any i, j. $(\alpha_i^0 - \tilde{\alpha}_i)_i$ defines a global logarithmic 1-form whose sum of residues $\sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i^-$ is not zero. This contradicts the residue theorem. Therefore, the cocycle $(\alpha_i^0 - \alpha_j^0)_{i,j}$ is a generator of $H^1(C, \Omega_C^1)$ and there is the unique $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\lambda(\alpha_i^0 - \alpha_j^0)_{i,j} = (b'_{ij}\gamma_j)_{i,j}$. Let $\tilde{\alpha}_i \in \Omega_C^1(U_i)$ be sections such that

$$\tilde{\alpha}_i - \tilde{\alpha}_j = b'_{ij}\gamma_j - \lambda(\alpha_i^0 - \alpha_j^0)$$

for any i, j. Set $\alpha_i = \lambda \alpha_i^0 + \tilde{\alpha}_i$, then $(\alpha_i)_i$ is a solution of the second equation of (6) and have the residue data $\operatorname{res}_{t_k}(\alpha_i) = \lambda \nu_k^-$. Note that $(\alpha_i)_i$ is still uniquely determined. Actually the difference of two solutions of the second equation of (6) having the same residue data defines a global 1-form and now $\dim H^0(C, \Omega_C^1) \ge g \ge 1$.

Step 3: we find δ_i . From (9), we have to set $\delta_i = \lambda \omega_i - \alpha_i$. It is clear that $(\delta_i)_i$ is a solution of the third equation of (6) and have the residue data $\operatorname{res}_{t_k}(\delta_i) = \lambda \nu_k^+$. δ_i is uniquely determined by α_i .

Step 4: we find β_i and show that α_i is uniquely determined. From (8) and (9), $(-(\lambda c_j db'_{ij} + (b'_{ij}c_j)(\alpha_i - \delta_j)))_{i,j}$ defines a cocycle of $H^1(C, L^{-1} \otimes \Omega^1_C)$. Since the linear map $\langle , b' \rangle'' : H^0(C, \Omega^1_C) \to H^1(C, L^{-1} \otimes \Omega^1_C)$ is an isomorphism by Lemma 4.6, there exist an unique global 1-form $\zeta = (\zeta_i/c_i)_i \in H^0(C, \Omega^1_C)$ such that

$$\langle 2\zeta, b' \rangle'' = (2b'_{ij}\zeta_j)_{i,j} = -(\lambda c_j db'_{ij} + (b'_{ij}c_j)(\alpha_i - \delta_j))_{i,j}$$

in $H^1(C, L^{-1} \otimes \Omega^1_C)$. Hence, we obtain

$$-(\lambda c_j db'_{ij} + (b'_{ij}c_j)((\alpha_i + \zeta_i/c_i) - (\delta_j - \zeta_j/c_j)))_{i,j} = 0$$

and there exist $\tilde{\beta}_i \in (L^{-1} \otimes \Omega^1_C)(U_i)$ such that

$$\tilde{\beta}_i - \tilde{\beta}_j = -(\lambda c_j db'_{ij} + (b'_{ij}c_j)((\alpha_i + \zeta_i) - (\delta_j - \zeta_j))).$$

for any i, j. Set $\beta_i = \overline{\beta}_i/c_i$, then $(\beta_i)_i$ is a solution of the fourth equation of (6) and have the residue data $\operatorname{res}_{t_k}(\beta_i) = 0$. Since $H^0(C, L^{-1} \otimes \Omega_C^1) \simeq H^1(C, L)^* = \{0\}, \beta_i$ is uniquely determined.

When $\lambda = 0$, the cocycle $(b'_{ij}\gamma_j)_{i,j}$ is zero because $\alpha_i \in \Omega^1_C(U_i)$. Conversely, assume $(b'_{ij}\gamma_j)_{i,j} = 0$. Then there exist $\tilde{\alpha}_i \in \Omega^1_C(U_i)$ for each *i* such that $\alpha_i - \alpha_j = b'_{ij}\gamma_j = \tilde{\alpha}_i - \tilde{\alpha}_j$. The cocycle $(\alpha_i - \tilde{\alpha}_i)_i$ defines a global logarithmic 1-form on *C*. By the residue theorem, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda \nu_i^- = 0.$$

By assumption, we obtain $\lambda = 0$. Therefore, the morphism

App × Bun:
$$M^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\nu}, (L, \nabla_L))^0 \longrightarrow (\mathbb{P}H^0(C, L \otimes \Omega^1_C(D)) \times V_0) \setminus \Sigma$$

is bijective. By Zariski's main theorem (for example, see Chapter 3, §9, Proposition 1 in [Mu]), App \times Bun is isomorphism.

The following proposition is the same as Proposition 4.6 in [LS] and follows by using the same argument of the proof.

Proposition 4.7. Suppose $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \nu_i^- = 0$. Then $M^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\nu}, (L, \nabla_L))^0$ is isomorphic to the total space of the cotangent bundle T^*V_0 and the map Bun: $M^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\nu}, (L, \nabla_L))^0 \to V_0$ corresponds to the natural projection $T^*V_0 \to V_0$. Moreover, the section $\nabla_0 \colon V_0 \to M^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\nu}, (L, \nabla_L))^0$ corresponding to the zero section $V_0 \to T^*V_0$ is given by those reducible connections preserving the destabilizing subbundle \mathcal{O}_C .

4.4 Another proof

We will show $App \times Bun$ is an birational map in another way. First, we show the existence of a parabolic connection over a parabolic bundle. The following lemma is an analogy of Lemma 2.5 in [FL].

Lemma 4.8. For each $(E, l_*) \in P^{\alpha}(L)$, there is a ν -parabolic connection (E, ∇, l_*) such that $\operatorname{tr} \nabla \simeq \nabla_L$.

Proof. Let $\{U_i\}_i$ be an open covering of C and ∇'_i be a logarithmic connection on U_i satisfying $(\operatorname{res}_{t_k}(\nabla'_i) - \nu_k^+\operatorname{id})(l_1^{(k)}) = 0$, $(\operatorname{res}_{t_k}(\nabla'_i) - \nu_k^-\operatorname{id})(E|_{t_i}) \subset l_1^{(k)}$ at each $t_k \in U_i$ and $\operatorname{tr} \nabla'_i = \nabla_L|_{U_i}$. We define sheaves \mathcal{E}_0 and \mathcal{E}_1 on C by

$$\mathcal{E}^{0} := \{ s \in \mathcal{E}nd(E) \mid \operatorname{tr}(s) = 0 \text{ and } s_{t_{i}}(l_{1}^{(i)}) \subset l_{1}^{(i)} \text{ for any } i \}$$
$$\mathcal{E}^{1} := \{ s \in \mathcal{E}nd(E) \otimes \Omega_{C}^{1}(D) \mid \operatorname{tr}(s) = 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{res}_{t_{i}}(s)(l_{i}^{(i)}) \subset l_{i+1}^{(i)} \text{ for any } i, j \}.$$

Then the isomorphism $\mathcal{E}^1 \simeq (\mathcal{E}^0)^{\vee} \otimes \Omega^1_C$ holds. Differences $\nabla'_i - \nabla'_j$ define the cocycle

$$(\nabla'_i - \nabla'_j)_{i,j} \in H^1(C, \mathcal{E}^1)$$

By Serre duality and simpleness of E, we obtain

$$H^1(C, \mathcal{E}^1) \simeq H^0(C, \mathcal{E}^0)^* = \{0\}.$$

Hence, there exist $\Phi_i \in \mathcal{E}^1(U_i)$ for each *i* such that $\nabla'_i - \nabla'_j = \Phi_i - \Phi_j$. Set $\nabla_i = \nabla'_i - \Phi_i$. Then $(\nabla_i)_i$ defines a $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ -parabolic connection ∇ over (E, l_*) satisfying tr $\nabla \simeq \nabla_L$.

For a quasi-parabolic bundle $(E, l_*) \in V_0$, let us fix a ν -parabolic connection $(E, \nabla, l_*) \in \text{Bun}^{-1}((E, l_*))$. Let $(E, \nabla', l_*) \in \text{Bun}^{-1}((E, l_*))$ be another ν -parabolic connection. Then $\nabla' - \nabla$ is a global section of \mathcal{E}^1 which is the sheaf defined in the proof of Lemma 4.8. Therefore, we have the isomorphism $\text{Bun}^{-1}((E, l_*)) \simeq \nabla + H^0(C, \mathcal{E}^1)$.

For a section $\Theta \in H^0(\mathcal{E}nd(E) \otimes \Omega^1_C(D))$, we define the section $\varphi_{\Theta} \in H^0(C, L \otimes \Omega^1_C(D))$ by the composition

$$\mathcal{O}_C \hookrightarrow E \xrightarrow{\Theta} E \otimes \Omega^1_C(D) \to E/\mathcal{O}_C \otimes \Omega^1_C(D) \simeq L \otimes \Omega^1_C(D)$$

and define the map

$$\varphi \colon H^0(C, \mathcal{E}nd(E) \otimes \Omega^1_C(D)) \longrightarrow H^0(C, L \otimes \Omega^1(D))$$

by $\varphi(\Theta) = \varphi_{\Theta}$. It is creally linear. Let us define the sheaf \mathcal{F}^1 by

$$\mathcal{F}^1 = \{ s \in \mathcal{E}nd(E) \otimes \Omega^1_C(D) \mid \operatorname{res}_{t_i}(s)(l_j^{(i)}) \subset l_{j+1}^{(i)} \text{ for all } i, j \}.$$

Assume $\Theta \in H^0(C, \mathcal{F}^1)$ satisfies $\varphi_{\Theta} = 0$, that is, $\Theta(\mathcal{O}_C) \subset \mathcal{O}_C \otimes \Omega^1_C(D)$. By definitions of V_0 and \mathcal{E}^1 , we obtain $\operatorname{res}_{t_i}(\Theta)(\mathcal{O}_C|_{t_i}) \subset \mathcal{O}_C|_{t_i} \cap l_1^{(i)} = \{0\}$ for any *i*. Hence, we have $\Theta(\mathcal{O}_C) \subset \mathcal{O}_C \otimes \Omega_C$, that is, $\Theta|_{\mathcal{O}_C}$ is a global section of Ω^1_C .

Lemma 4.9. The linear map $H^0(C, \mathcal{F}^1) \cap \operatorname{Ker} \varphi \to H^0(C, \Omega^1_C), \ \Theta \mapsto \Theta|_{\mathcal{O}_C}$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. For $\mu \in H^0(C, \Omega^1_C)$, we define $\Theta = \operatorname{id}_E \otimes \mu$. Then we have $\Theta \in H^0(C, \mathcal{F}^1) \cap \operatorname{Ker} \varphi$ and $\Theta|_{\mathcal{O}_C} = \mu$. The linear map is hence surjective. We show that the map is injective. If $\Theta \in H^0(C, \mathcal{F}^1) \cap \operatorname{Ker} \varphi$ satisfies $\Theta|_{\mathcal{O}_C} = 0$, then Θ induces the homomorphism $\hat{\Theta} \colon L \simeq E/\mathcal{O}_C \to E \otimes \Omega^1_C(D)$. $\operatorname{res}_{t_i}(\Theta) = 0$ implies $\operatorname{res}_{t_i}(\hat{\Theta}) = 0$, so we obtain $\hat{\Theta}(L) \subset E \otimes \Omega^1_C$. Since $\operatorname{rank} E = 2$, we have isomorphisms $E^{\vee} \simeq E \otimes (\det E)^{-1} \simeq E \otimes L^{-1}$. By this isomorphism and Serre duality,

$$\operatorname{Hom}(L, E \otimes \Omega^1_C) \simeq H^0(C, L^{-1} \otimes E \otimes \Omega^1_C) \simeq H^0(C, E^{\vee} \otimes \Omega^1_C) \simeq H^1(C, E)^* = \{0\}$$

Hence we obtain $\hat{\Theta} = 0$ and this implies $\Theta = 0$.

Another proof the second assersion of Theorem 4.5. We show that for each $(E, l_*) \in V_0$, the morphism

App: Bun⁻¹((E,
$$l_*$$
)) $\longrightarrow \mathbb{P}H^0(C, L \otimes \Omega^1_C(D))$

is injective.

Let us fix a ν -parabolic connection $(E, \nabla, l_*) \in \text{Bun}^{-1}((E, l_*))$. If there exist $\Theta \in H^0(C, \mathcal{E}^1)$ such that $\varphi_{\nabla} = \varphi_{\Theta}, \nabla - \Theta$ is a ν -parabolic connection and $\varphi_{\nabla - \Theta} = 0$. It is a contradiction. Thus, we have

$$\{\varphi_{\Theta} \mid \Theta \in H^0(C, \mathcal{E}^1)\} \cap \mathbb{C}\varphi_{\nabla} = \{0\}.$$

Hence, we only need to show that the linear map $\varphi \colon H^0(C, \mathcal{E}^1) \to H^0(C, L \otimes \Omega^1(D))$ is injective. Suppose a section $\Theta \in H^0(C, \mathcal{E}^1)$ satisfies $\varphi_{\Theta} = 0$. By the proof of Lemma 4.9, there is the section $\mu \in H^0(C, \Omega_C^1)$ such that $\Theta = \mathrm{id}_E \otimes \mu$. Since tr $\Theta = 0$, we get $\mu = 0$ and this means $\Theta = 0$.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Professor Masa-Hiko Saito and Kota Yoshioka for useful comments and valuable discussions. He also thanks to Professor Masa-Hiko Saito for reading this paper carefully .

References

- [BY] H. U. Boden and K. Yokogawa, Rationality of moduli spaces of parabolic bundles, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 59 (1999), no. 2, 461–478.
- [FL] T. Fassarella and F. Loray, Flat parabolic vector bundles on elliptic curves, Journal f
 ür die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik, 761, (2020), 81–122
- [FLM] T. Fassarella, F. Loray and A. Muniz, On the moduli of logarithmic connections on elliptic curves, (2021), arXiv: 2008.11767
- [Ha] R. Hartshorne, Algebraic geometry, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [IIS1] M. Inaba and K. Iwasaki and M. -H. Saito, Moduli of stable parabolic connections, Riemann-Hilbert correspondence and geometry of Painlevé equation of type VI. I, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 42 (2006), no. 4, 987–1089.
- [IIS2] M. Inaba and K. Iwasaki and M. -H. Saito, Moduli of stable parabolic connections, Riemann-Hilbert correspondence and geometry of Painlevé equation of type VI. II, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 45, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, (2006).
- [In] M. Inaba, Moduli of parabolic connections on curves and the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence, J. Algebraic Geom. 22 (2013), no. 3, 407–480.
- [KS] A. King and A. Schofield, Rationality of moduli of vector bundles on curves, Indag. Math. (N.S.) 10 (1999), no. 4, 519–535.
- [LS] F. Loray and M. -H. Saito, Lagrangian fibrations in duality on moduli spaces of rank 2 logarithmic connections over the projective line, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2015), no. 4, 995–1043.
- [MS] V. B. Mehta, and C. S. Seshadri, Moduli of vector bundles on curves with parabolic structures, Math. Ann., 248(3):205-239, (1980).
- [Mu] D.Mumford, The red book of varieties and schemes, Vol. 1358, Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, expanded edition, 1999. Includes the Michigan lectures (1974) on curves and their Jacobians, With contributions by Enrico Arbarello.
- [SS] M. -H. Saito and S. Szabó, On apparent singularities and canonical coordinates for moduli spaces of connections, in preparation.