

UNRAMIFIED LOGARITHMIC HODGE-WITT COHOMOLOGY AND \mathbb{P}^1 -INVARIANCE

WATARU KAI, SHUSUKE OTABE, AND TAKAO YAMAZAKI

ABSTRACT. Let X be a smooth proper variety over a field k and suppose that the degree map $\mathrm{CH}_0(X \otimes_k K) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is isomorphic for any field extension K/k . We show that $G(\mathrm{Spec} k) \rightarrow G(X)$ is an isomorphism for any \mathbb{P}^1 -invariant Nisnevich sheaf with transfers G . This generalizes a result of Binda–Rülling–Saito that proves the same conclusion for reciprocity sheaves. We also give a direct proof of the fact that the unramified logarithmic Hodge-Witt cohomology is a \mathbb{P}^1 -invariant Nisnevich sheaf with transfers.

1. INTRODUCTION

A proper smooth variety X over a field k is said to be *universally CH_0 -trivial* if for any field extension K/k , the degree map of the Chow group of zero-cycles induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{deg}: \mathrm{CH}_0(X \otimes_k K) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z}$. Basic examples of universally CH_0 -trivial varieties include rational (and more generally stably rational) varieties, and this property may be considered as a near rationality condition. The condition plays a crucial role in the degeneration method established by Voisin [32] and Colliot-Thélène–Pirutka [10], where counterexamples to the Lüroth problem are produced.

Now it is natural to ask how to disprove the universal CH_0 -triviality for a given variety X . In this direction, Merkurjev (see [22, Theorem 2.11]) proved that X is universally CH_0 -trivial if and only if the function field $k(X)$ has trivial unramified cohomology, i.e. $M_*(k) \simeq M_*(k(X))_{\mathrm{ur}}$ for all Rost’s cycle modules M_* over k . As a consequence, if ℓ is a prime number different from the characteristic p of k , then ℓ -primary torsion elements of the Brauer group $\mathrm{Br}(X) := H_{\mathrm{ét}}^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$ not coming from $\mathrm{Br}(k)$ obstruct the universal CH_0 -triviality. This is because the ℓ -primary torsion subgroups $\mathrm{Br}(K)[\ell^\infty] \simeq H_{\mathrm{ét}}^2(K, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))$ for all field extensions K/k give rise to a cycle module $M_*: K \mapsto \bigoplus_{i=0}^\infty H_{\mathrm{ét}}^{i+1}(K, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(i))$, to which the theorem of Merkurjev can be applied.

There are, however, more obstructions other than cycle modules. In [28], Totaro adopted as an obstruction the sheaves of differential forms $\Omega_{X/k}^i$ to disprove the universal CH_0 -triviality for a wide class of hypersurfaces. In [2], Auel et al. used $\mathrm{Br}(-)[2^\infty]$ in characteristic $p = 2$ to obtain a similar result for conic bundles over \mathbb{P}^2 . Neither Ω^i nor $\mathrm{Br}(-)[p^\infty]$ in characteristic $p > 0$ constitute a cycle module. In fact, it is not straightforward to extend Merkurjev’s result to $\mathrm{Br}(-)[p^\infty]$. This gap was filled in by their previous work [1]:

Theorem 1.1. (see [1, Theorem 1.1]) *Let X be a smooth proper variety over a field k which is universally CH_0 -trivial. Then the structure morphism $X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} k$ induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{Br}(k) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Br}(X)$.*

Date: February 15, 2022.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 14C15, 14M20.

Key words and phrases. Universally trivial Chow group, presheaf with transfers, \mathbb{P}^1 -invariance.

The first author is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant (JP18K13382). The second author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant (JP19J00366). The third author is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant (JP18K03232, JP21K03153).

Our main result extends Theorem 1.1 to more general invariants:

Theorem 1.2 (see Corollary 3.3). *Let X be a smooth proper variety over a field k which is universally CH_0 -trivial. Then the structure morphism $X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} k$ induces an isomorphism $G(k) \xrightarrow{\cong} G(X)$ for any \mathbb{P}^1 -invariant Nisnevich sheaf with transfers G in the sense of Definition 3.1.*

Theorem 1.2 generalizes Theorem 1.1, since the Brauer group has a structure of a \mathbb{P}^1 -invariant Nisnevich sheaf with transfers (see Remark 1.5 (1) below). The conclusion of Theorem 1.2 for *homotopy invariant* sheaves with transfers follows from Merkurjev's result cited above. More recently, Binda et al. proved the same conclusion for another class of Nisnevich sheaves with transfers, called *reciprocity sheaves* [5, Theorem 10.12, Remark 10.13]. Our main theorem also covers their result, since we have

$$(1.1) \quad \text{homotopy invariant} \Rightarrow \text{reciprocity} \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1\text{-invariant}$$

(see [18, Theorems 3, 8]). Both implications are strict (see Remark 3.4). Note also that Ω^i is a reciprocity sheaf by [18, Theorem A.6.2], hence Totaro's method can be explained either by the results of Binda et al. or ours. The main technical issue in the proof of Theorem 1.2 is the comparison of $G(X)$ and $h^0(G)(X)$, where $h^0(G)$ is the *maximal homotopy invariant subsheaf with transfers* of G . We rephrase the problem in terms of algebraic cycles, and settle it by establishing a new moving lemma (Theorem 3.5).

The unramified logarithmic Hodge-Witt cohomology $H_{\mathrm{ur}}^1(-, W_n\Omega_{\mathrm{log}}^i)$ (see §6 for the definition) satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 1.2. Although this fact can also be deduced from known results on reciprocity sheaves (see Remark 6.2), we will give a direct proof which depends on classical results [12, 15] but not on reciprocity sheaves.

Proposition 1.3 (see Proposition 6.1). *The unramified logarithmic Hodge-Witt cohomology $H_{\mathrm{ur}}^1(-, W_n\Omega_{\mathrm{log}}^i)$ is a \mathbb{P}^1 -invariant Nisnevich sheaf with transfers (over a field of positive characteristic) for any integers $n \geq 1$ and $i \geq 0$.*

As a corollary, we obtain a new proof of the following (known) result.

Theorem 1.4. *Let X be a smooth proper variety over a field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Assume that X is universally CH_0 -trivial. Then the canonical map*

$$H_{\mathrm{ur}}^1(\mathrm{Spec} k, W_n\Omega_{\mathrm{log}}^i) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{ur}}^1(X, W_n\Omega_{\mathrm{log}}^i)$$

is an isomorphism for any integers $n \geq 1$ and $i \geq 0$.

Remark 1.5. (1) Since $H_{\mathrm{ur}}^1(X, W_n\Omega_{\mathrm{log}}^1) \simeq \mathrm{Br}(X)[p^n]$, Theorem 1.4 for $i = 1$ follows from Theorem 1.1. For general i , Theorem 1.4 was posed as a problem by Auel et al. in [1, Problem 1.2] and previously proved in [5] and [24], as explained below.

- (2) Theorem 1.4 was shown by Binda et al. in [5, Theorem 10.12, Remark 10.13] as a consequence of their general result on reciprocity sheaves mentioned above, along with the fact that $H_{\mathrm{ur}}^1(-, W_n\Omega_{\mathrm{log}}^i)$ has a structure of reciprocity sheaf.
- (3) Independently of [5], almost at the same time, Otabe also obtained Theorem 1.4 for $n = 1$ (see [24, Theorem 1.2]). His proof is somewhat close to ours, but it is more cycle module theoretical. A *tame subgroup* of the unramified cohomology was used in place of $h^0(G)$ in the present paper. The relation between these two subgroups is left for future research.
- (4) A similar statement as Theorem 1.4 holds when H_{ur}^1 is replaced by H_{ur}^j for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Indeed, the cohomology groups in question are trivial unless $j = 0, 1$, because the natural map $H_{\mathrm{ur}}^j(X, W_n\Omega_{\mathrm{log}}^i) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{ur}}^j(k(X), W_n\Omega_{\mathrm{log}}^i)$ is injective (if X

is connected), and the p -cohomology dimension of any field of characteristic $p > 0$ is at most one. The case $j = 0$ follows from the results of Bloch–Gabber–Kato [6, Theorem 2.1] and Merkurjev [22, Theorem 2.11].

The organization of the present paper is as follows. In §2, we revisit the proof of Theorem 1.2 for homotopy invariant Nisnevich sheaves with transfers due to Merkurjev [22] and Kahn [17] (see Corollary 2.4). In §3, we state the main result in a slightly more general form (see Theorem 3.2) and prove it while admitting the key moving lemma (Theorem 3.5). The proof of Theorem 3.5 occupies the next two sections.

In §4, we rephrase the problem in terms of algebraic cycles. To do so, we consider the Suslin complex $C_\bullet(X)$ and its variant $\overline{C}_\bullet(X)$, where the latter is defined by replacing \mathbb{A}^n with \mathbb{P}^n in the former. Theorem 3.5 is then reduced to a comparison, up to Zariski sheafification, of their 0-th homology presheaves (see Theorem 4.1). Its proof is given in §5, which is pivotal in our work.

In §6, we give a proof of Proposition 1.3. Finally, Appendix §A provides a proof of basic properties of universally H_0^S -trivial correspondences (see Definition 2.1).

We close this introduction with a brief discussion on related works. Shimizu [27] and Koizumi [16] obtained some results resembling our moving lemma Theorem 3.5 in the \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory. Ayoub [4] considered the notion of \mathbb{P}^1 -localisation which is much more sophisticated than our \mathbb{P}^n -Suslin complex introduced in §4. The relation of their works with ours is to be explored. Bruno Kahn pointed out that Theorem 3.5 has implications in the theory of birational sheaves [20], which should be an interesting topic for future research (see a brief comment in Remark 3.6).

Acknowledgments. The second author would like to thank Tomoyuki Abe for fruitful discussions and suggestions, which brought him into Voevodsky’s theory of motives. Without that, this joint project would have never started. The authors thank Bruno Kahn for his intriguing comments on birational sheaves.

2. REMINDERS ON HOMOTOPY INVARIANT SHEAVES WITH TRANSFERS

We fix a field k . Let \mathbf{Sch} be the category of separated k -schemes of finite type, and \mathbf{Sm} its full subcategory of smooth k -schemes. We write \mathbf{Fld}_k for the category of fields over k , and $\mathbf{Fld}_k^{\text{gm}}$ for its full subcategory consisting of the k -fields which are isomorphic to the function field of some (irreducible) $U \in \mathbf{Sm}$. For $K \in \mathbf{Fld}_k$ and $X \in \mathbf{Sch}$, we write $X_K := X \otimes_k K$.

Let \mathbf{Cor} be Voevodsky’s category of finite correspondences. By definition it has the same objects as \mathbf{Sm} , and for $U, V \in \mathbf{Sm}$ the space of morphisms $\mathbf{Cor}(U, X)$ from U to V is the free abelian group on the set of integral closed subschemes of $U \times X$ which is finite and surjective over an irreducible component of U . An additive functor $F : \mathbf{Cor}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ is called a presheaf with transfers. Denote by \mathbf{PST} the category of presheaves with transfers. If S is a k -scheme that is written as a filtered limit $S = \varprojlim_i S_i$ where $S_i \in \mathbf{Sm}$ and all transition maps are open immersions, then we define

$$(2.1) \quad F(S) = \varinjlim_i F(S_i) \quad (F \in \mathbf{PST}).$$

We abbreviate $F(R) = F(\text{Spec } R)$ for a k -algebra R (when $F(\text{Spec } R)$ is defined). In particular, we may speak of $F(K)$ for $K \in \mathbf{Fld}_k^{\text{gm}}$ and $F(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$ for $x \in X \in \mathbf{Sm}$. We set $\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(X) := \mathbf{Cor}(-, X) \in \mathbf{PST}$ for $X \in \mathbf{Sm}$.

We say $F \in \mathbf{PST}$ is homotopy invariant if the projection $\text{pr} : X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow X$ induces an isomorphism $\text{pr}^* : F(X) \cong F(X \times \mathbb{A}^1)$ for any $X \in \mathbf{Sm}$. We write \mathbf{HI} for the full

subcategory of \mathbf{PST} of homotopy invariant presheaves with transfers. We say $F \in \mathbf{PST}$ is a Nisnevich sheaf with transfers if F composed with the inclusion (graph) functor $\mathbf{Sm} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cor}$ is a Nisnevich sheaf on \mathbf{Sm} . We write \mathbf{NST} for the full subcategory of \mathbf{PST} of Nisnevich sheaves with transfers. Set $\mathbf{HI}_{\text{Nis}} := \mathbf{HI} \cap \mathbf{NST}$.

We will use the following facts:

- (V1) The inclusion functor $\mathbf{NST} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{PST}$ admits a left adjoint $a_{\text{Nis}} : \mathbf{PST} \rightarrow \mathbf{NST}$ and $a_{\text{Nis}}(\mathbf{HI}) \subset \mathbf{HI}_{\text{Nis}}$ holds [23, Corollary 11.2, Theorem 22.3]. We write $F_{\text{Nis}} := a_{\text{Nis}}(F)$. We have $F_{\text{Nis}}(K) = F(K)$ for any $K \in \mathbf{Fld}_k^{\text{gm}}$ (because fields are Henselian local).
- (V2) The inclusion functor $\mathbf{HI} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{PST}$ has a left adjoint h_0 given by the formula

$$h_0(F)(U) = \text{Coker}(F(U \times \mathbb{A}^1) \rightarrow F(U))$$

for $F \in \mathbf{PST}$, $U \in \mathbf{Sm}$. This is the maximal homotopy invariant quotient of F [23, Example 2.20]. For $X \in \mathbf{Sm}$, we write $h_0(X) := h_0(\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(X))$. We call

$$H_0^S(X_K) := h_0(X)(K) = h_0(X)_{\text{Nis}}(K)$$

the 0-th *Suslin homology* of X_K for $K \in \mathbf{Fld}_k^{\text{gm}}$. There is a canonical surjective map $H_0^S(X_K) \rightarrow \text{CH}_0(X_K)$, which is isomorphic if X is proper over k [23, Exercise 2.21].

- (V3) The inclusion functor $\mathbf{HI} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{PST}$ has a right adjoint h^0 , given by the formula

$$h^0(F)(U) = \mathbf{PST}(h_0(U), F)$$

for $F \in \mathbf{PST}$, $U \in \mathbf{Sm}$. This is the maximal homotopy invariant subobject of F [25, §4.34].

- (V4) Let $f : F \rightarrow G$ be a morphism in \mathbf{HI}_{Nis} . If f induces an isomorphism $f^* : F(K) \cong G(K)$ for any $K \in \mathbf{Fld}_k^{\text{gm}}$, then f is an isomorphism in \mathbf{HI}_{Nis} [23, Corollary 11.2].
- (V5) Given $F \in \mathbf{PST}$, we denote by F_{Zar} the Zariski sheaf associated to the presheaf on \mathbf{Sm} obtained by restricting F along the graph functor $\mathbf{Sm} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cor}$. In general, it does not admit a structure of presheaf with transfers, but if $F \in \mathbf{HI}$ then we have $F_{\text{Zar}} = F_{\text{Nis}}$ by [23, Theorem 22.2], and hence F_{Zar} acquires transfers by (V1). We say $F \in \mathbf{PST}$ is a Zariski sheaf with transfers if $F = F_{\text{Zar}}$.

Another important fact can be stated as $H_{\text{Zar}}^i(-, F_{\text{Zar}}) = H_{\text{Nis}}^i(-, F_{\text{Nis}}) \in \mathbf{HI}$ for $F \in \mathbf{HI}$, assuming k is perfect [30, Theorems 5.6, 5.7]. We will not use this in the sequel.

Definition 2.1. Let $X, Y \in \mathbf{Sm}$. We say $f \in \mathbf{Cor}(X, Y)$ is *universally H_0^S -trivial* if the induced map $f_{K*} : H_0^S(X_K) \rightarrow H_0^S(Y_K)$ is an isomorphism for each $K \in \mathbf{Fld}_k^{\text{gm}}$.

Remark 2.2. This is an analogue of [10, Définition 1.1], where a proper morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be *universally CH_0 -trivial* if the induced map $f_{K*} : \text{CH}_0(X_K) \rightarrow \text{CH}_0(Y_K)$ is an isomorphism for each $K \in \mathbf{Fld}_k$. When X and Y are proper over k , a universally CH_0 -trivial morphism is also universally H_0^S -trivial by (V2). (We tacitly identify a morphism with its graph.) Note also that a smooth proper variety X is universally CH_0 -trivial (in the sense of Theorem 1.4) if and only if the structure map $X \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ is universally CH_0 -trivial.

The following result is due to Merkurjev [22, Theorem 2.11] and Kahn [17, Corollary 4.7]. We include a short proof here to keep self-containedness.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $X, Y \in \mathbf{Sm}$. The following conditions are equivalent for $f \in \mathbf{Cor}(X, Y)$:*

- (1) *The finite correspondence f is universally H_0^S -trivial.*
- (2) *The induced map $f_* : h_0(X)_{\text{Nis}} \rightarrow h_0(Y)_{\text{Nis}}$ is an isomorphism in \mathbf{HI}_{Nis} .*
- (3) *The induced map $f^* : F(Y) \rightarrow F(X)$ is an isomorphism for each $F \in \mathbf{HI}_{\text{Nis}}$.*

Proof. The equivalence of (1) and (2) is a consequence of (V4) above. We have

$$F(X) = \mathbf{PST}(\mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{tr}}(X), F) = \mathbf{HI}(h_0(X), F) = \mathbf{HI}_{\mathrm{Nis}}(h_0(X)_{\mathrm{Nis}}, F)$$

for any $F \in \mathbf{HI}_{\mathrm{Nis}}$. Here we used, in order, Yoneda's lemma, (V2), and (V1). Now another use of Yoneda's lemma shows the equivalence of (2) and (3). \square

Corollary 2.4. *Let X be a smooth and proper scheme over a field k . If X is universally CH_0 -trivial, then we have $F(k) \cong F(X)$ for any $F \in \mathbf{HI}_{\mathrm{Nis}}$.*

Proof. In view of Remark 2.2, this is a special case of Proposition 2.3. \square

We will generalize this result in Corollary 3.3 below.

Remark 2.5. We collect basic properties of universally H_0^S -trivial correspondences. Since they are not used in the sequel, the proof will be given in Appendix §A.

- (1) If f, g are composable finite correspondences and if two out of $f, g, f \circ g$ are universally H_0^S -trivial, then so is the third.
- (2) If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $f' : X' \rightarrow Y'$ are universally H_0^S -trivial finite correspondences, then so is $f \times f' : X \times X' \rightarrow Y \times Y'$.
- (3) Suppose k is perfect. Let $j : U \hookrightarrow X$ be an open dense immersion in \mathbf{Sm} . If $X \setminus j(U)$ is of codimension ≥ 2 , then j is universally H_0^S -trivial.
- (4) Suppose k is perfect. A proper birational morphism in \mathbf{Sm} is universally H_0^S -trivial.

3. \mathbb{P}^1 -INVARIANCE AND THE MAIN RESULT

Recall from [31, §3.2] that \mathbf{PST} is equipped with a symmetric monoidal structure \otimes which is uniquely characterized by the facts that it is right exact and that the Yoneda functor is monoidal (that is, $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{tr}}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{tr}}(Y) = \mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{tr}}(X \times Y)$ for $X, Y \in \mathbf{Sm}$). It admits a right adjoint $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}$ given by the formula

$$(3.1) \quad \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(F, G)(X) = \mathbf{PST}(F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{tr}}(X), G) \quad (F, G \in \mathbf{PST}, X \in \mathbf{Sm}).$$

Definition 3.1. We say $G \in \mathbf{PST}$ is \mathbb{P}^1 -invariant if the structure map $\sigma : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} k$ induces an isomorphism $G \xrightarrow{\cong} \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{tr}}(\mathbb{P}^1), G)$, that is, σ induces isomorphisms $G(U) \xrightarrow{\cong} G(U \times \mathbb{P}^1)$ for all $U \in \mathbf{Sm}$. Denote by $\mathbf{PI}_{\mathrm{Nis}}$ the full subcategory of \mathbf{PST} consisting of all Nisnevich sheaves with transfers which are \mathbb{P}^1 -invariant.

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that X, Y are smooth and proper schemes over a field k and $f \in \mathbf{Cor}(X, Y)$. Then the conditions in Proposition 2.3 are equivalent to the following:*

- (4) *The induced map $f^* : G(Y) \rightarrow G(X)$ is an isomorphism for each $G \in \mathbf{PI}_{\mathrm{Nis}}$.*

As with Corollary 2.4, Theorem 3.2 has an immediate consequence:

Corollary 3.3. *Let X be a smooth proper scheme over a field k . If X is universally CH_0 -trivial, then we have $G(k) \cong G(X)$ for any $G \in \mathbf{PI}_{\mathrm{Nis}}$.*

Remark 3.4. Let T be a smooth quasi-affine scheme over k . It follows from [19, Theorem 6.4.1] that $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{tr}}(T) \in \mathbf{PI}_{\mathrm{Nis}}$. This shows that \mathbb{P}^1 -invariance does not imply reciprocity (i.e. the converse of the second arrow (1.1) does not hold). On the other hand, the conclusion of Corollary 3.3 is obvious for $G = \mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{tr}}(T)$. Indeed, it is not difficult to show $\mathbf{Cor}(\mathrm{Spec} k, T) \cong \mathbf{Cor}(X, T)$ for any $X \in \mathbf{Sm}$ which is connected and proper over k (but not necessary universally CH_0 -trivial).

For $F \in \mathbf{PST}$ we define

$$(3.2) \quad \bar{h}_0(F) := \text{Coker}(i_0^* - i_1^* : \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\mathbb{P}^1), F) \rightarrow F).$$

We write $\bar{h}_0(X) := \bar{h}_0(\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(X))$ for $X \in \mathbf{Sm}$. The main part in the proof of Theorem 3.2 is the following:

Theorem 3.5 (A moving lemma). *We have $\bar{h}_0(X)_{\text{Zar}} \cong h_0(X)_{\text{Zar}}$ for any smooth proper scheme X over a field k . (Hence we have $\bar{h}_0(X)_{\text{Nis}} \cong h_0(X)_{\text{Nis}}$ as well.)*

The proof of Theorem 3.5 occupies the next two sections. In the rest of this section, we deduce Theorem 3.2 assuming Theorem 3.5.

Remark 3.6. For X as in Theorem 3.5, we have an explicit formula:

$$\bar{h}_0(X)_{\text{Zar}}(U) \cong \text{CH}_0(X \otimes_k k(U)) \quad \text{for any connected } U \in \mathbf{Sm},$$

because we know $h_0(X)_{\text{Nis}}(U) \cong \text{CH}_0(X \otimes_k k(U))$ by [20, Theorem 3.1.2] (and we have $h_0(X)_{\text{Zar}} \cong h_0(X)_{\text{Nis}}$ by (V2) and (V5)). In particular, $\bar{h}_0(X)_{\text{Zar}}$ is *birational* in the sense of [20, Definition 2.3.1], that is, any open dense immersion $V \hookrightarrow W$ induces an isomorphism $\bar{h}_0(X)_{\text{Zar}}(W) \cong \bar{h}_0(X)_{\text{Zar}}(V)$.

Denote by $i_\varepsilon : \text{Spec } k \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ the closed immersion defined by a rational point $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{P}^1(k)$.

Definition 3.7. Let $F \in \mathbf{PST}$. We say F is \mathbb{P}^1 -rigid if the two induced maps

$$i_0^*, i_1^* : F(U \times \mathbb{P}^1) \rightarrow F(U)$$

are equal for any $U \in \mathbf{Sm}$. Denote by \mathbf{PRig} the full subcategory of \mathbf{PST} consisting of all \mathbb{P}^1 -rigid presheaves with transfers.

Lemma 3.8. *If $F \in \mathbf{PST}$ is \mathbb{P}^1 -invariant, then it is \mathbb{P}^1 -rigid. The converse holds if F is separated for Zariski topology.*

Proof. See [18, Proposition 6.1.4]. □

Lemma 3.9. (1) *For $F \in \mathbf{PST}$, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) F is \mathbb{P}^1 -rigid.
- (b) The two induced maps $i_0^*, i_1^* : \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\mathbb{P}^1), F) \rightarrow F$ are equal.
- (c) The canonical surjection $F \rightarrow \bar{h}_0(F)$ is an isomorphism.
- (d) The canonical injection $\mathbf{PST}(\bar{h}_0(U), F) \rightarrow \mathbf{PST}(\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(U), F)$ is an isomorphism for each $U \in \mathbf{Sm}$.

(2) *The formula (3.2) defines a left adjoint $\bar{h}_0 : \mathbf{PST} \rightarrow \mathbf{PRig}$ of the inclusion functor $\mathbf{PRig} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{PST}$.*

(3) *We have $\mathbf{HI}_{\text{Nis}} \subset \mathbf{PI}_{\text{Nis}}$.*

Proof. (1) The equivalence of (a), (b) and (c) follows from (3.1) and (3.2). If (c) holds, then any morphism $\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(U) \rightarrow F$ factors as $\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(U) \twoheadrightarrow \bar{h}_0(U) \rightarrow \bar{h}_0(F) \cong F$, whence (d). If (d) holds, then any morphism $\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(U) \rightarrow F$ factors as $\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(U) \twoheadrightarrow \bar{h}_0(U) \rightarrow F$, whence (b).

(2) We need to show $\mathbf{PST}(\bar{h}_0(G), F) \cong \mathbf{PST}(G, F)$ for any $F \in \mathbf{PRig}$ and $G \in \mathbf{PST}$. This is (d) above if $G = \mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(U)$ for $U \in \mathbf{Sm}$, to which the general case is reduced by taking a resolution of the form

$$\bigoplus_{\beta} \mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(V_{\beta}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(U_{\alpha}) \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0,$$

where $U_{\alpha}, V_{\beta} \in \mathbf{Sm}$ (see [31, §3.2]).

(3) Given $F \in \mathbf{PST}$, we have a chain of canonical surjections $F \twoheadrightarrow \bar{h}_0(F) \twoheadrightarrow h_0(F)$, and F is homotopy invariant if and only if the composition is an isomorphism. It follows from (1) that $\mathbf{HI} \subset \mathbf{PRig}$. Now the assertion follows from Lemma 3.8. \square

Lemma 3.10. *Suppose $G \in \mathbf{PST}$ is a Zariski sheaf. Then $h^0(G)$ is a Nisnevich sheaf.*

Proof. This is essentially shown in [25, §4.34], but we include a short proof for the completeness sake. We consider a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} h^0(G) & \hookrightarrow & G \\ j \downarrow & & \parallel \\ h^0(G)_{\text{Zar}} & \hookrightarrow & G_{\text{Zar}} \end{array}$$

The bottom arrow is injective since the sheafification is exact. This shows the injectivity of j . The fact (V5) shows $h^0(G)_{\text{Zar}} = h^0(G)_{\text{Nis}}$, and (V1) shows it is homotopy invariant. Hence j must be isomorphic since $h^0(G) \subset G$ is the maximal subobject in \mathbf{HI} . \square

Proof of Theorem 3.2, admitting Theorem 3.5. That (4) implies (3) follows from Lemma 3.9 (3). To show the converse, we assume (3) and take $G \in \mathbf{PI}_{\text{Nis}}$. Set $F := h^0(G)$. By Lemma 3.10 we find $F \in \mathbf{HI}_{\text{Nis}}$, and hence we have $f^* : F(Y) \cong F(X)$ by the assumption (3). It remains to show $G(X) = F(X)$ for any proper $X \in \mathbf{Sm}$. By Theorem 3.5 we have $\bar{h}_0(X)_{\text{Nis}} = h_0(X)_{\text{Nis}} \in \mathbf{HI}_{\text{Nis}} \subset \mathbf{PST}$. We now proceed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} G(X) &= \mathbf{PST}(\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(X), G) && \text{Yoneda} \\ &= \mathbf{PST}(\bar{h}_0(X), G) && \text{Lemma 3.9 (d)} \\ &= \mathbf{PST}(\bar{h}_0(X)_{\text{Nis}}, G) && G \text{ is a Nisnevich sheaf} \\ &= \mathbf{PST}(h_0(X)_{\text{Nis}}, G) && \bar{h}_0(X)_{\text{Nis}} = h_0(X)_{\text{Nis}} \\ &= \mathbf{PST}(h_0(X), G) && G \text{ is a Nisnevich sheaf} \\ &= \mathbf{HI}(h_0(X), F) && h^0 \text{ is a right adjoint to the inclusion} \\ &= \mathbf{PST}(\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(X), F) && h_0 \text{ is a left adjoint to the inclusion} \\ &= F(X) && \text{Yoneda.} \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

4. PROJECTIVE SUSLIN COMPLEX

Fix $X \in \mathbf{Sm}$ in this section. After a brief review of the definition of the Suslin complex of X , we define its variant using the projective spaces \mathbb{P}^n . This will be used in the proof of the moving lemma in the next section. (We will use them only up to degree two).

For each non-negative integer n , we write

$$\Delta^n := \text{Spec } k[t_0, \dots, t_n]/(t_0 + \dots + t_n - 1) \cong \mathbb{A}^n.$$

For $j = 0, \dots, n$, we define

$$(4.1) \quad i_{n,j} : \Delta^{n-1} \rightarrow \Delta^n; \quad (t_0, \dots, t_{n-1}) \mapsto (t_0, \dots, t_{j-1}, 0, t_j, \dots, t_{n-1}).$$

The *Suslin complex* $C_\bullet(X)$ of X is a complex in \mathbf{PST} defined by

$$\begin{aligned} C_n(X) &:= \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\Delta^n), \mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(X)), \\ \partial_n &:= \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j i_{n,j}^* : C_n(X) \rightarrow C_{n-1}(X). \end{aligned}$$

Its homology is denoted by $h_n(X) \in \mathbf{PST}$. For $n = 0$, it recovers $h_0(X)$ from (V2).

For each non-negative integer n , we put

$$\overline{\Delta}^n := \text{Proj } k[t_0, \dots, t_{n+1}] / (t_0 + \dots + t_n - t_{n+1}) \cong \mathbb{P}^n.$$

We have a canonical open immersion $\iota_n : \Delta^n \hookrightarrow \overline{\Delta}^n$, which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$. It induces a map in \mathbf{PST}

$$(4.2) \quad \overline{C}_n(X) := \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\overline{\Delta}^n), \mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(X)) \hookrightarrow C_n(X),$$

which is injective for any n and isomorphic for $n = 0$. Indeed, its section over $U \in \mathbf{Sm}$ is given by

$$(\text{Id}_U \times \iota_n)^* : \mathbf{Cor}(U \times \overline{\Delta}^n, X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Cor}(U \times \Delta^n, X),$$

which is injective in general and isomorphic for $n = 0$. We regard $\overline{C}_n(X)$ as a subobject in \mathbf{PST} of $C_n(X)$. Since the morphisms $i_{n,j}$ from (4.1) extends (uniquely) to morphisms $\overline{\Delta}^{n-1} \rightarrow \overline{\Delta}^n$, we obtain a subcomplex $\overline{C}_\bullet(X)$ of $C_\bullet(X)$. We write its homology by $\overline{h}_n(X) \in \mathbf{PST}$. For $n = 0$, it recovers $\overline{h}_0(X)$ from (3.2).

We write

$$(4.3) \quad Q_\bullet(X) := C_\bullet(X) / \overline{C}_\bullet(X)$$

for the quotient complex, and its homology presheaf is denoted by $H_n(Q_\bullet(X)) \in \mathbf{PST}$. We have $H_0(Q_\bullet(X)) = 0$, for (4.2) is isomorphic for $n = 0$. Since the sheafification is exact, we obtain an exact sequence

$$H_1(Q_\bullet(X))_{\text{Zar}} \rightarrow \overline{h}_0(X)_{\text{Zar}} \rightarrow h_0(X)_{\text{Zar}} \rightarrow H_0(Q_\bullet(X))_{\text{Zar}} = 0.$$

Theorem 3.5 is now reduced to the following.

Theorem 4.1. *If $X \in \mathbf{Sm}$ is proper, then we have $H_1(Q_\bullet(X))_{\text{Zar}} = 0$.*

Remark 4.2. (1) In general, $\overline{h}_n(X)_{\text{Zar}} \rightarrow h_n(X)_{\text{Zar}}$ is not isomorphic for $n > 0$. Indeed, one easily checks $H_2(Q_\bullet(\mathbb{P}^1))_{\text{Zar}}(k) = H_2(Q_\bullet(\mathbb{P}^1))(k) \neq 0$.

(2) The properness assumption on X is essential. Indeed, it follows from [19, Theorem 6.4.1] that if X is quasi-affine then all the boundary maps of $\overline{C}_\bullet(X)$ are the zero maps.

5. MOVING LEMMA

We shall prove Theorem 4.1 in the following (equivalent) form:

Theorem 5.1. *Let $X \in \mathbf{Sm}$ be proper. For every irreducible affine $V \in \mathbf{Sm}$ and local scheme U at a closed point of V , the restriction map*

$$H_1(Q_\bullet(X))(V) \rightarrow H_1(Q_\bullet(X))(U)$$

is the zero map. (See (2.1) for the definition of $H_1(Q_\bullet(X))(U)$.)

Note that in proving Theorem 5.1, we may assume k is infinite; for if k is finite we can use the usual norm argument.

5.1. **The bad locus.** In the notation of Theorem 5.1, let $\Gamma \subset V \times X \times \Delta^n$ be an irreducible closed subset which is finite and surjective over $V \times \Delta^n$. Let $\bar{\Gamma}$ be its closure in $V \times X \times \bar{\Delta}^n$. We call

$$(5.1) \quad B(\Gamma) := \{p \in V \times \bar{\Delta}^n \mid \bar{\Gamma} \rightarrow V \times \bar{\Delta}^n \text{ is not finite over } p\}$$

the *bad locus* of Γ , which witnesses how far Γ is from being a member of $\bar{C}_n(X)(V)$.

Lemma 5.2. (i) We have $\Gamma \in C_n(X)(V)$ if and only if $B(\Gamma) = \emptyset$.

(ii) The bad locus $B(\Gamma)$ is a closed proper subset of $V \times (\bar{\Delta}^n \setminus \Delta^n)$.

(iii) If $n \leq 1$, the image of the projection $B(\Gamma) \rightarrow V$ is a closed proper subset.

Proof. The assertion (i) is clear from definitions and put for later reference.

To prove (ii), consider the set upstairs:

$$\tilde{B}(\bar{\Gamma}) := \{x \in \bar{\Gamma} \mid \text{locally around } x, \text{ the fiber of } \bar{\Gamma} \rightarrow V \times \bar{\Delta}^n \text{ has dimension } \geq 1\}.$$

It is a closed subset of $V \times X \times \bar{\Delta}^n$ by Chevalley's theorem [11, IV₃ 13.1.3]. It is contained in $\bar{\Gamma} \setminus \Gamma$:

$$(5.2) \quad \tilde{B}(\bar{\Gamma}) \subset \bar{\Gamma} \setminus \Gamma,$$

because Γ is assumed to be finite over $V \times \Delta^n$. Since $B(\Gamma)$ is by definition the image of the map $\tilde{B}(\bar{\Gamma}) \rightarrow V \times \bar{\Delta}^n$ which is proper because X is, it follows that $B(\Gamma)$ is a closed subset of $V \times (\bar{\Delta}^n \setminus \Delta^n)$.

To show $B(\Gamma)$ is a proper subset of $V \times (\bar{\Delta}^n \setminus \Delta^n)$, let $\xi \in \tilde{B}(\bar{\Gamma})$ be an arbitrary point and $\eta \in B(\Gamma)$ its image. By (5.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(\bar{\Gamma} \setminus \Gamma) &\geq \mathbf{trdeg}(k(\xi)/k) \\ &= \mathbf{trdeg}(k(\xi)/k(\eta)) + \mathbf{trdeg}(k(\eta)/k) \\ &\geq 1 + \mathbf{trdeg}(k(\eta)/k), \end{aligned}$$

and by $\dim(V) + n - 1 \geq \dim(\bar{\Gamma} \setminus \Gamma)$ we obtain

$$(5.3) \quad \dim(V) + (n - 2) \geq \mathbf{trdeg}(k(\eta)/k).$$

Since $\dim(B(\Gamma)) = \sup_{\eta \in B(\Gamma)} \mathbf{trdeg}(k(\eta)/k)$ we conclude $\dim(V \times (\bar{\Delta}^n \setminus \Delta^n)) > \dim(B(\Gamma))$. We are done.

The assertion (iii) is a direct consequence of (ii) (or of (5.3)). \square

5.2. **Affine space case.** In this subsection we consider the case $V = \mathbb{A}^N$ of Theorem 5.1, with $N \geq 0$ an integer. Recall we may assume k is infinite, which we do here.

Let $\Gamma \in C_1(X)(\mathbb{A}^N)$ be an arbitrary irreducible cycle. By a diagram chase in the diagram below (see (4.3) for the definition of $Q_\bullet(X)$), it suffices to find $\tilde{\Gamma} \in C_2(X)(\mathbb{A}^N)$ such that $(\Gamma - \partial_2 \tilde{\Gamma})|_U \in \bar{C}_1(X)(U)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{\Gamma} ? & & \Gamma & & \\ \cap & & \cap & & \\ Q_2(X)(\mathbb{A}^N) & \xrightarrow{\partial_2} & Q_1(X)(\mathbb{A}^N) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ Q_2(X)(U) & \xrightarrow{\partial_2} & Q_1(X)(U) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

For a vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{A}^N(k)$, consider the translation $+\mathbf{v} : \mathbb{A}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^N$ by \mathbf{v} . The next assertion suggests how it can be useful.

Lemma 5.3. *There is a closed proper subset $B \subset \mathbb{A}^N$ such that for every vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{A}^N(k) \setminus B$, if we denote by $\tau_{\mathbf{v}} : \mathbb{A}^N \times X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^N \times X \times \mathbb{A}^1$ the base change of the translation $\mathbb{A}^N \xrightarrow{+\mathbf{v}} \mathbb{A}^N$, then we have*

$$(\tau_{\mathbf{v}}^* \Gamma)|_U \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}_1(X)(U).$$

Proof. Let $s \in U$ be the unique closed point of V contained in U . Let $B(\Gamma) \subset V \times \overline{\Delta}^1$ be as in (5.1). By Lemma 5.2 we know that its projection $\text{pr}_V(B(\Gamma)) \subset V = \mathbb{A}^N$ is a closed proper subset. Consider the closed subset

$$B_0 := \text{pr}_V(B(\Gamma)_{k(s)}) - s = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{A}_{k(s)}^N \mid \mathbf{v} + s \in \text{pr}_V B(\Gamma)_{k(s)}\} \subsetneq \mathbb{A}_{k(s)}^N$$

and let B be its image by the finite projection $\pi : \mathbb{A}_{k(s)}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^N$:

$$(5.4) \quad B := \pi(B_0) \subsetneq \mathbb{A}^N.$$

Take an arbitrary $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{A}^N(k) \setminus B$. By definitions, we know $s + \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{A}^N \setminus \text{pr}_V B(\Gamma)$. Since the right hand side is an open subset of \mathbb{A}^N , this relation remains true if we replace s by any point specializing to s . In particular:

$$(5.5) \quad U + \mathbf{v} \subset \mathbb{A}^N \setminus \text{pr}_V B(\Gamma).$$

Let us denote by $\overline{\tau}_{\mathbf{v}}$ the endomorphism of $\mathbb{A}^N \times X \times \overline{\Delta}^1$ obtained as the base change of the translation $+\mathbf{v}$. By (5.5) the maps $\tau_{\mathbf{v}}$ and $\overline{\tau}_{\mathbf{v}}$ restrict themselves as in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U \times X \times \Delta^1 & \xrightarrow{\tau_{\mathbf{v}}} & (\mathbb{A}^N \setminus \text{pr}_V B(\Gamma)) \times X \times \Delta^1 \supset \Gamma|_{\mathbb{A}^N \setminus \text{pr}_V B(\Gamma)} \\ \cap & & \cap \\ U \times X \times \overline{\Delta}^1 & \xrightarrow{\overline{\tau}_{\mathbf{v}}} & (\mathbb{A}^N \setminus \text{pr}_V B(\Gamma)) \times X \times \overline{\Delta}^1 \supset \overline{\Gamma}|_{\mathbb{A}^N \setminus \text{pr}_V B(\Gamma)} \\ \downarrow \text{pr} & & \downarrow \text{pr} \\ U \times \overline{\Delta}^1 & \xrightarrow{(+\mathbf{v}) \times \text{Id}} & (\mathbb{A}^N \setminus \text{pr}_V B(\Gamma)) \times \overline{\Delta}^1. \end{array}$$

← finite

Here, the slanted arrow in the diagram is finite because $\overline{\Gamma} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^N \times \overline{\Delta}^1$ is finite outside $B(\Gamma)$ precisely by the definition (5.1) and we have the inclusion $(\mathbb{A}^N \setminus \text{pr}_V B(\Gamma)) \times \overline{\Delta}^1 \subset (\mathbb{A}^N \times \overline{\Delta}^1) \setminus B(\Gamma)$. It follows that $\overline{\tau}_{\mathbf{v}}^* \overline{\Gamma}$ is finite over $U \times \overline{\Delta}^1$. As a general fact about closure and continuity, we have the inclusion $\overline{(\tau_{\mathbf{v}}^* \Gamma)} \subset \overline{\tau}_{\mathbf{v}}^* \overline{\Gamma}$. We conclude that $(\tau_{\mathbf{v}}^* \Gamma)|_U$ belongs to $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_1(X)(U)$ and this completes the proof. \square

Let B be as in Lemma 5.2 and fix a vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{A}^N(k) \setminus B$. Let $\varphi_{\mathbf{v}} : \mathbb{A}^N \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^N$ be the map $(a, t) \mapsto a + t\mathbf{v}$ and let

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{v},n} : \mathbb{A}^N \times X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \times \Delta^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^N \times X \times \Delta^n$$

be its base change by $X \times \Delta^n \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$. Since finite morphisms are stable under base change, we know:

$$(5.6) \quad \text{the inverse image } \Phi_{\mathbf{v},1}^{-1} \Gamma \subset \mathbb{A}^N \times X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \times \Delta^1 \text{ is finite over } \mathbb{A}^N \times \mathbb{A}^1 \times \Delta^1.$$

We shall use the following triangulation maps as in Figure 1:

$$(5.7) \quad \sigma_1, \sigma_2 : \Delta^2 \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{A}^1 \times \Delta^1.$$




FIGURE 1. triangulation

Explicitly, the maps σ_1, σ_2 are the unique affine-linear ones satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1(u_0) &= (v_0, 0), & \sigma_1(u_1) &= (v_1, 0), & \sigma_1(u_2) &= (v_1, 1); \\ \sigma_2(u_0) &= (v_0, 0), & \sigma_2(u_1) &= (v_0, 1), & \sigma_2(u_2) &= (v_1, 1) \end{aligned}$$

in $(\Delta^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1)(k)$, where $v_j = i_{1,j}(\Delta^0)$ for $j = 0, 1$ and $u_0 = i_{2,2}(v_1)$, $u_1 = i_{2,0}(v_1)$, $u_2 = i_{2,1}(v_0)$.

Let $\Phi_{v,1}^{(1)}, \Phi_{v,1}^{(2)}: \mathbb{A}^N \times X \times \Delta^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^N \times X \times \Delta^1$ be the composite maps $\Phi_{v,1} \circ (\text{Id}_{\mathbb{A}^N \times X} \times \sigma_i)$ ($i = 1, 2$). By (5.6) we conclude $\Phi_{v,1}^{(1)*}\Gamma, \Phi_{v,1}^{(2)*}\Gamma \in C_2(X)(\mathbb{A}^N)$. Now set

$$\tilde{\Gamma} := \Phi_{v,1}^{(1)*}\Gamma - \Phi_{v,1}^{(2)*}\Gamma.$$

We want to show $(\Gamma - \partial_2 \tilde{\Gamma})|_U \in \overline{C}_1(X)(U)$.

By a routine calculation of $\partial_2 \tilde{\Gamma}$ we have

$$(5.8) \quad \Gamma - \partial_2 \tilde{\Gamma} = \tau_v^* \Gamma + \Phi_{v,0}^*(i_{1,1}^* \Gamma) - \Phi_{v,0}^*(i_{1,0}^* \Gamma).$$

By Lemma 5.3, for $v \in \mathbb{A}^N(k) \setminus B$ we know that the first term of the right hand side maps into $\overline{C}_1(X)(U)$. So it suffices to show the following (which we apply to $\gamma := i_{1,j}^* \Gamma$):

Lemma 5.4. *For every $\gamma \in C_0(X)(\mathbb{A}^N)$, there is a closed proper subset $C \subsetneq \mathbb{A}^N$ such that for all $v \in \mathbb{A}^N(k) \setminus C$ the cycle $\Phi_{v,0}^* \gamma$ on $\mathbb{A}^N \times X \times \Delta^1$ belongs to $\overline{C}_1(X)(\mathbb{A}^N)$.*

Proof. Let us observe that as long as $v \neq 0$ the morphism φ_v extends (uniquely) to a morphism

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \overline{\varphi}_v: \mathbb{A}^N \times \mathbb{P}^1 &\rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N \\ (a, [t_0 : t_1]) &\mapsto [t_0 : t_0 a + t_1 v]. \end{aligned}$$

For the proof of Lemma 5.4 we may assume γ is irreducible. Let $\overline{\gamma} \subset \mathbb{P}^N \times X$ be the closure of γ . Consider the set:

$$(5.10) \quad C_\infty := \{a \in \mathbb{P}^N \setminus \mathbb{A}^N \mid \overline{\gamma} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N \text{ is not finite over } a\}.$$

By the $V = \text{Spec}(k)$ case of Lemma 5.2 (ii) (via $X \times \overline{\Delta}^n \cong \mathbb{P}^N \times X$), we find that C_∞ is a closed proper subset of $\mathbb{P}^N \setminus \mathbb{A}^N$. Let $C \subset \mathbb{A}^N$ be the cone associated to C_∞ , namely,

$$C := \begin{cases} \{0\} \cup q^{-1}(C_\infty) \subset \mathbb{A}^N & (N > 0), \\ \emptyset & (N = 0), \end{cases}$$

where $q: \mathbb{A}^N \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N \setminus \mathbb{A}^N$ is the projection with center 0. This is a closed proper subset of \mathbb{A}^N .

Now suppose $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{A}^N(k) \setminus C$. Then by (5.9) we see $\overline{\varphi}_{\mathbf{v}}$ maps $\mathbb{A}^N \times \mathbb{P}^1$ into $\mathbb{P}^N \setminus C_{\infty}$. We obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{A}^N \times X \times \Delta^1 & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{\mathbf{v},0}} & \mathbb{A}^N \times X & \supset & \gamma \\
\cap & & \cap & & \cap \\
\mathbb{A}^N \times X \times \mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow{\overline{\varphi}_{\mathbf{v}} \times \text{Id}_X} & (\mathbb{P}^N \setminus C_{\infty}) \times X & \supset & \overline{\gamma}|_{\mathbb{P}^N \setminus C_{\infty}} \\
\downarrow \text{pr} & & \downarrow \text{pr} & \swarrow & \\
\mathbb{A}^N \times \mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow{\overline{\varphi}_{\mathbf{v}}} & \mathbb{P}^N \setminus C_{\infty}. & &
\end{array}$$

Since the slanted arrow is finite by the definition (5.10) of C_{∞} , the inverse image $(\overline{\varphi}_{\mathbf{v}} \times \text{Id}_X)^* \overline{\gamma}$ is finite over $\mathbb{A}^N \times \mathbb{P}^1$. By the inclusion $(\overline{\Phi}_{\mathbf{v},0}^* \gamma) \subset (\overline{\varphi}_{\mathbf{v}} \times \text{Id}_X)^* \overline{\gamma}$ of subsets of $\mathbb{A}^N \times X \times \mathbb{P}^1$, we conclude $\Phi_{\mathbf{v},0}^* \gamma$ belongs to $\overline{C}_1(X)(\mathbb{A}^N)$, completing the proof. \square

Lemmas 5.3 and 5.4 applied to (5.8) prove Theorem 5.1 in the case $V = \mathbb{A}^N$.

5.3. The general case. Let $V \in \mathbf{Sm}$ be affine and irreducible. Let $N = \dim(V)$ be its dimension. Fix a closed embedding $V \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^{N'}$ into an affine space. For a technical reason (cf. Proposition 5.6) we assume it is obtained as the composition of a preliminary one $V \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^{N'_0}$ and the 2-fold Veronese embedding $\mathbb{A}^{N'_0} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^{N'}$, $N' := \binom{N'_0 + 2}{2} - 1$ defined by

$$(5.11) \quad (x_i)_{i=1, \dots, N'_0} \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_{N'_0}; (x_i x_j)_{i \leq j}).$$

Let $M_{NN'} \cong \mathbb{A}^{NN'}$ be the k -scheme parametrizing $N \times N'$ matrices. The choice of a k -rational point $f \in M_{NN'}(k)$ determines a morphism which we denote by the same symbol $f: \mathbb{A}^{N'} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^N$.

Proposition 5.5 (Noether's normalization lemma). *There is a closed proper subset $D_1 \subset M_{NN'}$ such that the composite map*

$$\pi_f: V \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^{N'} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{A}^N$$

is finite and flat whenever $f \in M_{NN'}(k) \setminus D_1$.

Proof. For the existence of D_1 which guarantees finiteness, see e.g. [3, p. 69] or [21, §3.1]. Flatness is then automatic by the smoothness of V and \mathbb{A}^N ; see [13, Exercise III-10.9, p.276] or [11, IV₂ 6.1.5]. \square

By Proposition 5.5, for $f \in M_{NN'}(k) \setminus D_1$ we have pushforward maps $\pi_{f*}: H_n(X)(V) \rightarrow H_n(X)(\mathbb{A}^N)$. Let $s \in U$ be the unique closed point of V contained in U . Let $U_0 \subset \mathbb{A}^N$ be the local scheme at $\pi_f(s)$. Since π_f carries U into U_0 we have the following commutative diagram:

$$(5.12) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C_1(X)(V) & \longrightarrow & H_1(Q_{\bullet}(X))(V) \\ & & \downarrow \pi_{f*} \\ & & H_1(Q_{\bullet}(X))(\mathbb{A}^N) \xrightarrow{(-)|_{U_0=0}} H_1(Q_{\bullet}(X))(U_0) \\ & & \downarrow \pi_f^* \qquad \qquad \downarrow (\pi_f|_U)^* \\ & & H_1(Q_{\bullet}(X))(V) \xrightarrow{(-)|_U} H_1(Q_{\bullet}(X))(U). \end{array}$$

Here the restriction map $(-)|_{U_0}$ is the zero map by the conclusion of Section 5.2.

Now toward the proof of Theorem 5.1, let

$$\Gamma \in C_1(X)(V)$$

be an irreducible cycle. The commutative diagram shows that $(\pi_f^* \pi_{f*} \Gamma)|_U = 0$ in $H_1(Q_\bullet(X))(U)$. In other words, we have

$$(5.13) \quad \Gamma|_U = (\Gamma - \pi_f^* \pi_{f*} \Gamma)|_U \quad \text{in } H_1(Q_\bullet(X))(U).$$

This right hand side turns out to be easier to handle.

Let $\bar{\Gamma} \subset V \times X \times \bar{\Delta}^1$ be the closure and let $B(\Gamma) \subset V \times \bar{\Delta}^1$ be the bad locus in (5.1). By Lemma 5.2 we know it is a closed proper subset of $V \times \{\infty\}$. Let $\bar{B}(\Gamma) \subsetneq V$ be its projection (which is isomorphic to $B(\Gamma)$).

Proposition 5.6. *There is a closed proper subset $D_2 \subsetneq M_{NN'}$ such that whenever $f \in M_{NN'}(k) \setminus (D_2 \cup D_1)$ we have the equality of zero cycles:*

$$(5.14) \quad \pi_f^* \pi_{f*} s = s + \sum_{i=1}^m x_i \quad \text{on } V,$$

where s, x_1, \dots, x_m are distinct and $x_i \in V \setminus \bar{B}(\Gamma)$ for all i .

Proof. This can be shown using Chow's techniques [8, pp. 458–460], [7, Lemma 2 on p. 3-08]. We present a proof based on a more recent account [21].

First, since we are using the Veronese embedding (5.11) we can invoke [21, Propositions 3.2 and 3.3] which state that there is a closed proper subset $D' \subsetneq M_{NN'}$ such that for all $f \in M_{NN'}(k) \setminus (D' \cup D_1)$ the map $\pi_f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^N$ is étale over $\pi_f(s)$ and the restriction $s \rightarrow \pi_f(s)$ is an isomorphism. This gives an equality of the form (5.14) with s and x_i 's distinct.

It remains to show $x_i \in V \setminus \bar{B}(\Gamma)$. We need the following statement.

Proposition 5.7 (a special case of [21, Proposition 3.5]). *Let $B \subset V$ be a proper closed subset and $s \in V$ a closed point. Then there is a closed proper subset $D'' \subsetneq M_{NN'}$ such that for all $f \in M_{NN'}(k) \setminus D''$ we have the equality of subsets of V :*

$$(\pi_f^{-1} \pi_f(s) \setminus \{s\}) \cap B = \emptyset.$$

(To extract Proposition 5.7 from *loc. cit.*, set $X := V$, $Y := \text{Spec}(k)$, $p = 0$, $W := B$ and $V := \{s\}$. Also note that the only topological space having dimension ≤ -1 is the empty set.)

Now set $D_2 := D' \cup D''$ and suppose $f \in M_{NN'}(k) \setminus (D_1 \cup D_2)$. Then we know $\pi_f^{-1} \pi_f(s) \setminus \{s\} = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ by the first half of this proof. Applying Proposition 5.7 to $B := \bar{B}(\Gamma)$ we get the desired result. This completes the proof of Proposition 5.6. \square

Corollary 5.8. *Take any $f \in M_{NN'}(k) \setminus (D_1 \cup D_2)$ and form the fiber product $V \times_{\mathbb{A}^N} U$ of $\pi_f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^N$ and $\pi_f|_U: U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^N$. Then it decomposes as*

$$V \times_{\mathbb{A}^N} U \cong U \sqcup T$$

where T is finite and étale over U by the second projection and maps into $V \setminus \bar{B}(\Gamma)$ along the first projection.

Proof. Since π_f is finite and étale over U_0 by Proposition 5.6 and U maps into U_0 , the second projection $V \times_{\mathbb{A}^N} U \rightarrow U$ is étale (and finite by default because $f \in M_{NN'}(k) \setminus D_1$). Since it has the diagonal splitting $U \rightarrow V \times_{\mathbb{A}^N} U$ we have $V \times_{\mathbb{A}^N} U \cong U \sqcup T$. By Proposition 5.6, the set of its closed points can be computed as $V \times_{\mathbb{A}^N} \{s\} \cong \{s, x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ with the

right hand side having the reduced structure, and we know that x_i 's map into $V \setminus \overline{B}(\Gamma)$ by the first projection. This completes the proof. \square

Consider the following Cartesian diagram where Id denotes $\text{Id}_{X \times \Delta^1}$:

$$(5.15) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma & & (U \sqcup T) \times X \times \Delta^1 \\ \cap & & \cong \Big| \text{Cor. 5.8} \\ V \times X \times \Delta^1 & \xleftarrow{\text{pr}_1 \times \text{Id}} & (V \times_{\mathbb{A}^N} U) \times X \times \Delta^1 \\ \downarrow \pi_f \times \text{Id} & \square & \downarrow \text{pr}_2 \times \text{Id} \\ \mathbb{A}^N \times X \times \Delta^1 & \xleftarrow{(\pi_f|_U) \times \text{Id}} & U \times X \times \Delta^1. \end{array}$$

The element $(\pi_f^* \pi_{f*} \Gamma)|_U$ in (5.13) is represented by the cycle $((\pi_f|_U) \times \text{Id})^* (\pi_f \times \text{Id})_* \Gamma$ on $U \times X \times \Delta^1$. By a slight abuse of notation let us omit Id 's from the notation in what follows; for example the previous expression is shortened as $(\pi_f|_U)^* \pi_{f*} \Gamma$. By base change formula for flat pullback and proper pushforward of algebraic cycles, we know this equals $\text{pr}_{2*} \text{pr}_1^* \Gamma$. Via the vertical isomorphism in (5.15), if we write pr_{iU} and pr_{iT} ($i = 1, 2$) for the restrictions of the projections, we get

$$(5.16) \quad (\pi_f^* \pi_{f*} \Gamma)|_U = \text{pr}_{2U*} \text{pr}_{1U}^* \Gamma + \text{pr}_{2T*} \text{pr}_{1T}^* \Gamma.$$

We know that $\text{pr}_{1U}: U \rightarrow V$ is the inclusion map and $\text{pr}_{2U}: U \rightarrow U$ is the identity map. Thus the first term is $\Gamma|_U$. Therefore we can compute the right hand side in (5.13) as

$$(5.17) \quad (\Gamma - \pi_f^* \pi_{f*} \Gamma)|_U = -\text{pr}_{2T*} \text{pr}_{1T}^* \Gamma.$$

By Corollary 5.8 we know pr_{1T} maps T into $V \setminus \overline{B}(\Gamma) \subset V$. In the resulting commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Gamma \in C_1(X)(V) & \xrightarrow{(-)|_{V \setminus \overline{B}(\Gamma)}} & C_1(X)(V \setminus \overline{B}(\Gamma)) & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_{1T}^*} & C_1(X)(T) \\ & & \cup & & \cup \\ & & \overline{C}_1(X)(V \setminus \overline{B}(\Gamma)) & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_{1T}^*} & \overline{C}_1(X)(T), \end{array}$$

we know $\Gamma|_{V \setminus \overline{B}(\Gamma)}$ belongs to the subgroup $\overline{C}_1(X)(V \setminus \overline{B}(\Gamma))$ by the definition of $B(\Gamma)$. It follows that $\text{pr}_{1T}^* \Gamma \in \overline{C}_1(X)(T)$. Since $\text{pr}_{2T}: T \rightarrow U$ is finite, we conclude $\text{pr}_{2T*} \text{pr}_{1T}^* \Gamma \in \overline{C}_1(X)(U)$.

Combined with (5.13) and (5.17) this shows that $\Gamma|_U = 0$ in $H_1(Q_\bullet(X))(U)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.1.

6. THE UNRAMIFIED COHOMOLOGY $H_{\text{ur}}^1(-, W_n \Omega_{\log}^j)$

The aim of this short section is to prove Proposition 6.1 below.

Let X be a scheme over \mathbb{F}_p . For any integer $n \geq 1$, let $W_n \Omega_X^\bullet$ denote the de Rham-Witt complex of X/\mathbb{F}_p (cf. [14, I, 1.3]). For any morphism of \mathbb{F}_p -schemes $f: Y \rightarrow X$, there exists a natural morphism of complexes of $W_n(\mathcal{O}_Y)$ -modules,

$$(6.1) \quad f^{-1} W_n \Omega_X^\bullet \rightarrow W_n \Omega_Y^\bullet$$

(cf. [14, I, (1.12.3)]), which is an isomorphism if f is étale (cf. [14, I, Proposition 1.14]).

For any $i \geq 0$, we denote by $W_n\Omega_{X,\log}^i$ the logarithmic Hodge-Witt sheaf of X in the sense of [26, Definition 2.6]. Namely it is the étale sheaf on X defined as the image

$$W_n\Omega_{X,\log}^i := \text{im}((\mathcal{O}_X^\times)^{\otimes i} \rightarrow W_n\Omega_X^i),$$

of the map $(\mathcal{O}_X^\times)^{\otimes i} \rightarrow W_n\Omega_X^i$; $x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_i \mapsto d \log[x_1] \wedge \cdots \wedge d \log[x_i]$, where $[x] \in W_n\mathcal{O}_X$ is the Teichmüller representative of any local section $x \in \mathcal{O}_X$. If $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is a morphism of \mathbb{F}_p -schemes, by the functoriality of the de Rham-Witt complexes (6.1), there exists a natural morphism of étale sheaves on Y ,

$$(6.2) \quad f^{-1}W_n\Omega_{X,\log}^i \rightarrow W_n\Omega_{Y,\log}^i.$$

Proposition 6.1. *Fix $n > 0$ and $i \geq 0$. We denote by $H_{\text{ur}}^1(-, W_n\Omega_{\log}^i)$ the Zariski sheaf on \mathbf{Sm} associated to*

$$H^1(-, W_n\Omega_{\log}^i) : X \mapsto H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, W_n\Omega_{X,\log}^i).$$

Then, $H_{\text{ur}}^1(-, W_n\Omega_{\log}^i)$ is a \mathbb{P}^1 -invariant Nisnevich sheaf, and has a structure of presheaf with transfers.

Remark 6.2. As mentioned in the introduction, it is known that $H_{\text{ur}}^1(-, W_n\Omega_{\log}^i)$ has reciprocity [5, §11.1 (5)], hence it is \mathbb{P}^1 -invariant by [18, Theorem 8]. We shall give a direct proof of Proposition of 6.1 which makes no use of the theory of reciprocity sheaves.

To ease the notation, for $q = 0, 1$, we put

$$F^{q,i} := H^q(-, W_n\Omega_{\log}^i), \quad F_{\text{ur}}^{q,i} := H_{\text{ur}}^q(-, W_n\Omega_{\log}^i).$$

We have $F^{q,i}(S) = F_{\text{ur}}^{q,i}(S)$ for any local S .

Theorem 6.3. *For any $X \in \mathbf{Sm}$ and any $q = 0, 1$, we have an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow F_{\text{ur}}^{q,i}(X) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(0)}} F^{q,i}(x) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} G_x^{q,i}(X),$$

where we set $G_x^{q,i}(X) := H_x^{q+1}(X, W_n\Omega_{X,\log}^i)$. Moreover, if $q = 0$, there exists a canonical isomorphism

$$\theta_x^i : F^{0,i-1}(k(x)) \xrightarrow{\cong} G_x^{0,i}(X)$$

for any codimension one point $x \in X^{(1)}$.

Proof. For the first assertion, see [12, Theorem 1.4][26, Theorem 4.1]. For the last assertion, see [26, Theorem 3.2]. \square

Proposition 6.4. *The étale sheaf $W_n\Omega_{\log}^i$ on \mathbf{Sm} has a structure of presheaf with transfers. Hence so does the étale cohomology group $H^j(-, W_n\Omega_{\log}^i)$ for any $j \geq 0$.*

Proof. According to [23, 6.21], the second assertion is immediate from the first one. Therefore, it suffices to show that $W_n\Omega_{\log}^i \in \mathbf{PST}$. However, thanks to Theorem 6.3 together with the theorem of Bloch–Gabber–Kato [6], for any $X \in \mathbf{Sm}$, we have a natural exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X, W_n\Omega_{\log}^i) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(0)}} K_i^{\text{M}}(k(x))/p^n \xrightarrow{(\partial_x^{\text{M}})} \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} K_{i-1}^{\text{M}}(k(x))/p^n,$$

where ∂_x^{M} is the tame symbol at each $x \in X^{(1)}$. This implies that the sheaf $W_n\Omega_{\log}^i$ has a structure of (homotopy invariant) presheaf with transfers (cf. [17]). This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Proposition 6.5. *Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be an étale morphism in \mathbf{Sm} which induces an isomorphism $k(y) \cong k(x)$ for some $y \in Y^{(1)}$ and $x := f(y)$. Then, for $q = 0, 1$, in the commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F^{q,i}(\mathrm{Frac} \mathcal{O}_{X,x})/F^{q,i}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}) & \longrightarrow & F^{q,i}(\mathrm{Frac} \mathcal{O}_{Y,y})/F^{q,i}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G_x^{q,i}(X) & \longrightarrow & G_y^{q,i}(Y), \end{array}$$

all maps are bijective.

Proof. For $q = 0$, the assertion follows from the last claim of Theorem 6.3. So, let us assume that $q = 1$. Then the bijectivity of the left vertical map is a consequence of the localization sequence

$$F^{1,i}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}) \rightarrow F^{1,i}(\mathrm{Frac} \mathcal{O}_{X,x}) \rightarrow G_x^{1,i}(X) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}, W_n \Omega_{X,\log}^i) = 0,$$

and the same for the right vertical map. The statement for the upper horizontal map in the case when $n = 1$ is a consequence of [29, Theorem 4.3]. Indeed, given any discrete valuation ring over k , the cited theorem shows that there is an exhaustive filtration $F^{1,i}(R) = U_{-1} \subset U_0 \subset U_1 \subset \cdots \subset F^{1,i}(\mathrm{Frac} R)$ whose graded quotients are described solely in terms of the residue field. In our situation, $F^{1,i}(\mathrm{Frac} \mathcal{O}_{X,x}) \rightarrow F^{1,i}(\mathrm{Frac} \mathcal{O}_{Y,y})$ respects this filtration because $\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ is étale. Hence the desired bijectivity follows from the assumption $k(y) \cong k(x)$. For $n > 1$, thanks to the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow W_{n-1} \Omega_{X,\log}^i \rightarrow W_n \Omega_{X,\log}^i \rightarrow \Omega_{X,\log}^i \rightarrow 0$$

for any regular scheme X over \mathbb{F}_p (cf. [26, Proposition 2.12]), one can inductively see the bijectivity of the map $F^{1,i}(\mathrm{Frac} \mathcal{O}_{X,x})/F^{1,i}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}) \rightarrow F^{1,i}(\mathrm{Frac} \mathcal{O}_{Y,y})/F^{1,i}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,y})$. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Proposition 6.1. We first show that $F_{\mathrm{ur}}^{1,i}$ is a sheaf for Nisnevich topology. For this, we take a Cartesian diagram in \mathbf{Sm}

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \longrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ U & \xrightarrow{j} & X, \end{array}$$

where j is an open dense immersion and f is an étale morphism that is an isomorphism over $X \setminus j(U)$. By [23, 12.7], it suffices to prove the exactness of the upper row in the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F_{\mathrm{ur}}^{1,i}(X) & \longrightarrow & F_{\mathrm{ur}}^{1,i}(U) \oplus F_{\mathrm{ur}}^{1,i}(Y) & \longrightarrow & F_{\mathrm{ur}}^{1,i}(V) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(0)}} F^{1,i}(x) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x \in U^{(0)}} F^{1,i}(x) \oplus \bigoplus_{y \in Y^{(0)}} F^{1,i}(y) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{y \in V^{(0)}} F^{1,i}(y) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} G_x^{1,i}(X) & \xrightarrow{(*)} & \bigoplus_{x \in U^{(1)}} G_x^{1,i}(U) \oplus \bigoplus_{y \in Y^{(1)}} G_y^{1,i}(Y) & & \end{array}$$

The second row is exact for obvious reason and all columns are exact by Theorem 6.3. The map $(*)$ is injective by Proposition 6.5 (and the assumption on f). Now the claim follows by diagram chasing. As a consequence, we can find that $F_{\text{ur}}^{1,i}$ is the same as the Nisnevich sheaf associated with $F^{1,i} \in \mathbf{PST}$ (cf. Proposition 6.4). Therefore, we conclude $F_{\text{ur}}^{1,i} \in \mathbf{PST}$ by [23, 13.1].

Finally, to show that $F_{\text{ur}}^{1,i}$ is \mathbb{P}^1 -invariant, we apply Lemma 6.6 below. The condition (1) is due to Izhboldin [15] (see also [29, Theorem 4.4]), and (2) is a part of Theorem 6.3. \square

Lemma 6.6. *Let $F \in \mathbf{PST}$. Suppose (1) and (2) below:*

- (1) *For any $K \in \mathbf{Fld}_k^{\text{gm}}$, $\sigma_K^* : F(\text{Spec } K) \cong F(\mathbb{P}_K^1)$ is an isomorphism, where σ_K denotes the base change of the structure morphism $\sigma : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$.*
- (2) *Any open immersion $U \hookrightarrow V$ in \mathbf{Sm} induces an injection $F(V) \rightarrow F(U)$.*

Then F is \mathbb{P}^1 -invariant.

Proof. Define $G \in \mathbf{PST}$ by the formula

$$(6.3) \quad G(U) := \text{Coker}(\sigma^* : F(U) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\mathbb{P}^1), F)(U) = F(U \times \mathbb{P}^1)) \quad (U \in \mathbf{Sm}).$$

We have a direct sum decomposition $\underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\mathbb{P}^1), F) \cong F \oplus G$ (provided by a k -rational point of \mathbb{P}^1), and $G(U) = 0$ holds if and only if the map in (6.3) is an isomorphism. By (1), we have $G(\text{Spec } K) = 0$ for any $K \in \mathbf{Fld}_k^{\text{gm}}$. The property (2) for F implies the same property for $\underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\mathbb{P}^1), F)$ and hence for G . We conclude that $G(U) \hookrightarrow G(k(U)) = 0$ for any (irreducible) $U \in \mathbf{Sm}$, which means F is \mathbb{P}^1 -invariant. \square

APPENDIX A. PROOF OF REMARK 2.5

We give a proof of Remark 2.5. (1) is obvious. (2) is a consequence of the formula $h_0(X \times X') = h_0(X) \otimes h_0(X')$. For (3) and (4), we shall freely use Voevodsky's triangulated category $\mathbf{DM}_{\text{eff}}^-(k) \subset D^-(\mathbf{NST})$ of effective motivic complexes over k [31]. For $V \in \mathbf{Sm}$, we denote the motivic complex of V by $M(V) := C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(V)) \in \mathbf{DM}_{\text{eff}}^-(k)$. Recall that its homology sheaves $h_n(V) := H_n(M(V))$ are trivial if $n < 0$ and for $n = 0$ it recovers $h_0(V)$ defined in (V2).

Lemma A.1. *Let $c > 0$ and let $j : U \rightarrow X$ be an open immersion in \mathbf{Sm} . Define $M(X/U)$ to be the cone of $j_* : M(U) \rightarrow M(X)$. If each component of $Z := (X \setminus U)_{\text{red}}$ is of codimension $\geq c$ in X , then $h_n(X/U) := H_n(M(X/U))$ vanishes for any $n < c$.*

Proof. If Z is smooth over k , then by the Gysin triangle [23, Thm. 15.15] we have

$$M(X/U) \cong M(Z)(c)[2c] \cong M(Z) \otimes (\mathbb{G}_m[0])^{\otimes c}[c],$$

from which the statement follows. In general, let $Z' \subset Z$ be the singular locus of Z , and $U' := X \setminus Z'$. There is a distinguished triangle

$$M(U'/U) \rightarrow M(X/U) \rightarrow M(X/U') \rightarrow M(U'/U)[1].$$

Since each component of Z' has codimension $\geq c + 1$ in X , we may assume $h_n(X/U') = 0$ for any $n \leq c$ by induction. Since $U' \setminus U = Z \setminus Z'$ is smooth over k , we have shown $h_n(U'/U) = 0$ for $n < c$. It follows that $h_n(X/U) = 0$ for $n < c$. \square

Now (3) immediately follows from Lemma A.1. To show (4), let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper birational morphism in \mathbf{Sm} . Let $V \subset Y$ be the open dense subset on which f^{-1} is defined.

Then f restricts to an isomorphism $U := f^{-1}(V) \xrightarrow{\cong} V$ and $Y \setminus V$ has codimension ≥ 2 in Y . We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} h_0(U) & \longrightarrow & h_0(X) & \longrightarrow & h_0(X/U) & = & 0 \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 = h_1(Y/V) & \longrightarrow & h_0(V) & \longrightarrow & h_0(Y) & \longrightarrow & h_0(Y/V) = 0, \end{array}$$

in which we used Lemma A.1 for the vanishing. This proves (4). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Auel, A., Bigazzi, A., Böhning, C., Graf von Bothmer, H.-C., *Universal triviality of the Chow group of 0-cycles and the Brauer group*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, rnz171 (2019).
- [2] Auel, A., Bigazzi, A., Böhning, C., Graf von Bothmer, H.-C., *Unramified Brauer groups of conic bundle threefolds in characteristic two*, (2018), <https://arxiv.org/abs/1806.02668>.
- [3] Atiyah, M. F., Macdonald, I. G., *Introduction to Commutative Algebra*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc.; Massachusetts, 1969.
- [4] Ayoub, J., \mathbb{P}^1 -localisation et une classe de Kodaira-Spencer arithmétique, Tunis. J. Math. **3** (2021), no. 2, 259–308.
- [5] Binda, F., Rülling, K., Saito, S., *On the cohomology of reciprocity sheaves*, preprint (2020), <https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.03301>.
- [6] Bloch, S., Kato, K., *p-adic étale cohomology*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., (63) (1986), 107–152.
- [7] Chevalley, C., *Les classes d'équivalence rationnelle, II*, Séminaire Claude Chevalley **3** (1958), no. 3.
- [8] Chow, W.-L., *On Equivalence Classes of Cycles in an Algebraic Variety*, Annals of Mathematics, Second Series, **64** (Nov., 1956), no. 3, 450–479.
- [9] Colliot-Thélène, J., Sansuc, J., Soulé, C., *Torsion dans le groupe de Chow de codimension deux*, Duke Math. J. **50** (1983), no. 3, 763–801.
- [10] Colliot-Thélène, J., Pirutka, A., *Hypersurfaces quartiques de dimension 3: non-rationalité stable*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **49** (2016), no. 2, 371–397.
- [11] Dieudonné, J., Grothendieck, A., *Éléments de géométrie algébrique: IV*, part 2, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **24** (1965), 5–231 and part 3, **28** (1966), 5–255.
- [12] Gros, M., Suwa, N., *La conjecture de Gersten pour les faisceaux de Hodge-Witt logarithmique*, Duke Math. J. **57** (1988), no. 2, 615–628.
- [13] Hartshorne, R., *Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **52**, Springer Science+Business Media, Inc.; New York, 1977.
- [14] Illusie, L., *Complexe de de Rham-Witt et cohomologie cristalline*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4), **12** (1979), no. 4, 501–661.
- [15] Izhboldin, O. T., *On the cohomology groups of the field of rational functions*, Mathematics in St. Petersburg, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, **174** (1996), 21–44.
- [16] Koizumi, J., *Zeroth \mathbb{A}^1 -homology of smooth proper varieties*, preprint (2021), <https://arxiv.org/abs/2101.04951>.
- [17] Kahn, B., *Relatively unramified elements in cycle modules*, J. K-Theory **7** (2011), no. 3, 409–427.
- [18] Kahn, B., Saito, S., Yamazaki, T., *Reciprocity sheaves* (with two appendices by Kay Rülling), Compos. Math. **152** (2016), no. 9, 1851–1898.
- [19] Kahn, B., Miyazaki, H., Saito, S., Yamazaki, T., *Motives with modulus, III: The category of motives*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2011.11859>, to appear in Annals of K-Theory.
- [20] Kahn, B., Sujatha, R., *Birational motives, II: Triangulated birational motives*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, (2017), no. 22, 6778–6831.
- [21] Kai, W., *A Moving Lemma for Algebraic Cycles With Modulus and Contravariance*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, (2021), no. 1, 475–522.
- [22] Merkurjev, A., *Unramified elements in cycle modules*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **78** (2008), no. 1, 51–64.
- [23] Mazza, C., Voevodsky, V., Weibel, C., *Lecture notes on motivic cohomology*, Clay Mathematics Monographs **2**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; Clay Mathematics Institute, Cambridge, MA, 2006.

- [24] Otabe, S., *On the mod p unramified cohomology of varieties having universally trivial Chow group of zero-cycles*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.03808>.
- [25] Rülling, K., Saito, S., *Reciprocity sheaves and abelian ramification theory*, to appear in J. Inst. Math. Jussieu, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1812.08716>.
- [26] Shiho, A., *On logarithmic Hodge-Witt cohomology of regular schemes*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, **14** (2007), no. 4, 567–635.
- [27] Shimizu, Y., *Universal birational invariants and \mathbb{A}^1 -homology*, preprint (2020), <https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.05918>.
- [28] Totaro, B., *Hypersurfaces that are not stably rational*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **29** (2016), no. 3, 883–891.
- [29] Totaro, B., *Cohomological invariants in positive characteristic*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, rnaa321 (2021).
- [30] Voevodsky, V., *Cohomological theory of presheaves with transfers*, Cycles, transfers, and motivic homology theories, 87–137, Ann. of Math. Stud., 143, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2000.
- [31] Voevodsky, V., *Triangulated categories of motives over a field*, Cycles, transfers, and motivic homology theories, 188–238, Ann. of Math. Stud., 143, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2000.
- [32] Voisin, C., *Unirational threefolds with no universal codimension 2 cycle*, Invent. Math. **201** (2015), no. 1, 207–237.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, TOHOKU UNIVERSITY, AOBA, SENDAI 980-8578, JAPAN
Email address: kaiw@tohoku.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING, TOKYO DENKI UNIVERSITY, 5 SENJU
ASAHI, ADACHI, TOKYO 120-8551, JAPAN
Email address: shusuke.otabe@mail.dendai.ac.jp

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, TOHOKU UNIVERSITY, AOBA, SENDAI 980-8578, JAPAN
Email address: takao.yamazaki.b6@tohoku.ac.jp