

CONTRACTIONS OF HYPER-KÄHLER FOURFOLDS AND THE BRAUER GROUP

GRZEGORZ KAPUSTKA AND BERT VAN GEEMEN

ABSTRACT. We study the geometry of exceptional loci of birational contractions of hyper-Kähler fourfolds that are of K3^[2]-type. These loci are conic bundles over K3 surfaces and we determine their classes in the Brauer group. For this we use the results on twisted sheaves on K3 surfaces, on contractions and on the corresponding Heegner divisors. For a general K3 surface of fixed degree there are three (T-equivalence) classes of order two Brauer group elements. The elements in exactly two of these classes are represented by conic bundles on such fourfolds. We also discuss various examples of such conic bundles.

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
Acknowledgments	3
1. Conic bundles	3
2. Brauer classes and twisted sheaves	11
3. Contractions and Heegner divisors	19
4. Brauer classes of exceptional BN divisors	27
5. Examples of Heegner divisors and BN contractions	32
6. The Heegner divisor $\mathcal{D}_{4,16}^{(1)}$, EPW sextics and a special EPW sextic	39
References	43

INTRODUCTION

A hyper-Kähler surface is a K3 surface and the divisorial contractions are well-known in this case. If $E \subset S$ is a prime divisor on a K3 surface S with $E^2 < 0$, then E is a smooth rational curve and $E^2 = -2$. Moreover, E can be contracted to an ODP point and any divisorial contraction with prime exceptional divisor is obtained in this way. Our aim is to discuss an analogous statement for hyper-Kähler fourfolds.

Let X be a projective hyper-Kähler fourfold (appropriately general) containing a prime divisor $E \subset X$ with $q(E) < 0$ where q is the Beauville-Bogomolov-Fujiki quadratic form on the second cohomology group of X . Then there is a primitive big and nef divisor (class) H on X such that the map induced by H contracts E to a surface K (possibly after some flops).

G.K. is supported by the project Narodowe Centrum Nauki 2018/30/E/ST1/00530, B.v.G. was supported by the PRIN 2015 project Geometry of Algebraic Varieties.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \phi_H : X & \longrightarrow & Y \\ & \cup & \cup \\ & E & \longrightarrow & K . \end{array}$$

The base K is a symplectic surface, so it is a K3 surface or an abelian surface and for X of $K3^{[2]}$ type it is a K3 surface. There are then two possibilities for $q(E)$, it is either -2 or -8 ; the first case are the BN (Brill-Noether) contractions whereas the latter case are the HC (Hilbert-Chow) contractions. In both cases the contraction induces a map $p : E \rightarrow K$ which is a \mathbf{P}^1 -fibration over a K3 surface K . In the HC case this \mathbf{P}^1 -fibration is the projectivisation of the tangent bundle of K , $E = \mathbf{PT}_K \rightarrow K$.

In the BN case, the \mathbf{P}^1 -fibration $E \rightarrow K$ can have a non-trivial Brauer class in $Br(K)_2$, the two-torsion subgroup of the Brauer group of K . Then $E \not\cong \mathbf{PV}$ for a rank two vector bundle \mathcal{V} on K , equivalently, there is no rational section of the map $E \rightarrow K$. Using the work of Debarre and Macrì [DM], which is based on a study of the sublattice of $H^2(X, \mathbf{Z})$ generated by H and E , we find that there are four types of BN contractions. These correspond to irreducible components of certain Heegner divisors in period spaces of polarized hyper-Kähler fourfolds of $K3^{[2]}$ type. In two cases one has $E = \mathbf{PV}$ where \mathcal{V} is the Mukai bundle, a rigid stable vector bundle of rank two on K , see Corollary 4.4. These two cases differ only in the degree of the polarization on K . For the other two cases the fibrations $E \rightarrow K$ have a non-trivial Brauer class.

To determine the Brauer classes, we first show that X is a moduli space of β -twisted sheaves on some K3 surface T for some Brauer class $\beta \in Br(T)_2$. From the results of Bayer and Macrì in [BM1] we then deduce that $T = K$ and that β is also the Brauer class defined by the \mathbf{P}^1 -fibration $E \rightarrow K$, see Theorem 4.2.

Given a polarized K3 surface (K, h) with $Pic(K) = \mathbf{Z}h$ and $h^2 = 2d$, not all two-torsion elements in $Br(K)$ are obtained as the class of a \mathbf{P}^1 -fibration $E \rightarrow K$ for a BN exceptional divisor E . We classify the non-trivial Brauer classes $\alpha \in Br(K)_2$ as follows (see Theorem 2.3). For any B-field $B \in H^2(K, \mathbf{Q})$ which represents α , the intersection number (modulo the integers) $Bh \in \{0, 1/2\}$ is an invariant of α . In case $4Bh + h^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ there is a further invariant of α which is $B^2 \in \{0, 1/2\}$, again modulo the integers. In case $4Bh + h^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ the value of B^2 is not an invariant of α but it is convenient to choose B such that $B^2 = 1/2$. There are thus three types of order two Brauer classes on a generic polarized K3 surface. The type corresponds to the isometry class of the index two sublattice of the transcendental lattice of K determined by the Brauer class. This refines the classification given in [vG].

The non-trivial Brauer classes determined by BN exceptional divisors are those with $B^2 = 1/2$. Using the notation for Heegner divisors which is explained in Section 3, Theorem 4.2 and Corollary 4.3 imply:

Theorem 0.1. *Let (K, h) be a polarized K3 surface with $Pic(K) = \mathbf{Z}h$ and $h^2 = 2d$ and let $\alpha \in Br(K)_2$ be a non-trivial two-torsion Brauer class.*

Then α has a B-field representative with $B^2 = 1/2$ if and only if there is a conic bundle $E \rightarrow K$ with Brauer class α which is the contraction of an exceptional divisor on a hyper-Kähler fourfold X of $K3^{[2]}$ type induced by a big and nef divisor class H .

The period point of (X, H) lies in $\mathcal{D}_{2d, 8d, \alpha}^{(1)}$ if $Bh = 1/2$ and in $\mathcal{D}_{8d, 8d, \beta}^{(1)}$ if $Bh = 0$.

It is easy to show, see Proposition 4.1, that the exceptional divisor E of a BN contraction has canonical divisor K_E with selfintersection number $K_E^3 = 12$. Any \mathbf{P}^1 -fibration $p : E \rightarrow K$ over a K3 surface K is isomorphic to $E = \mathbf{P}\mathcal{U}$ for an α -twisted locally free rank two sheaf \mathcal{U} over K , where $\alpha \in Br(K)_2$ is the Brauer class determined by E . Using basic properties of twisted sheaves, we found that there exists a non-trivial conic bundle $p : E \rightarrow K$ with $K_E^3 = 12$ only in case E determines a Brauer class α having a B-field representative with $B^2 = 1/2$.

So the basic properties of twisted sheaves already imply that at most two of the three types of Brauer classes are obtained from BN exceptional divisors on hyper-Kähler fourfolds of $K3^{[2]}$ type.

A brief outline of the paper is as follows. Basic results on \mathbf{P}^1 -fibrations are recalled in Section 1. There we also discuss examples and methods to compute Chern classes associated to such fibrations. In Section 2 we discuss the classification of Brauer classes and the basic properties of twisted sheaves. The next section introduces the Heegner divisors and describes the hyper-Kähler fourfolds admitting contractions. These descriptions are used in Section 4 to prove the main result Theorem 0.1. We also discuss relations between the second cohomology groups of X , E and K . In Section 5 we study examples of conic bundles over K3 surfaces in hyper-Kähler fourfolds and their relations to classical constructions. We characterise in this way the Brauer classes of known conic bundles. In the last section we attempt a description of certain conic bundles over quartic K3 surfaces but we obtain only partial results. By brute force computations we find that the Hilbert scheme of two points on the Fermat quartic surface defines an interesting EPW sextic $Y \subset \mathbf{P}^5$. In fact the singular locus of Y , which is a smooth surface in general, is now itself singular at 60 points.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank O. Debarre, E. Macrì and P. Stellari for helpful discussions.

1. CONIC BUNDLES

1.1. The invariants of a conic bundle. Let

$$p : E \longrightarrow K$$

be a conic bundle over a K3 surface K . We assume that E is everywhere non-degenerate, so all fibres are smooth rational curves. We will also refer to E as a \mathbf{P}^1 -fibration over K . We compute the basic invariants associated to E , following [Sar], [CI].

The relative dualizing sheaf $\omega_{E/K}$, a line bundle on E , is defined by the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow p^*\Omega_K^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_E^1 \longrightarrow \omega_{E/K} \longrightarrow 0. \quad (*)$$

Taking determinants we find, since $\omega_K = \mathcal{O}_K$, that

$$\omega_E \cong p^*\omega_K \otimes \omega_{E/K} \cong \omega_{E/K},$$

so the relative dualizing sheaf is just the canonical bundle.

The Chern classes of E can be computed from the exact sequence above:

$$c_1(E) = -K_E, \quad c_2(E) = p^*c_2(K) = 24f, \quad c_3(E) = -c_3(\Omega_E^1) = -p^*c_2(K)\omega_E = 48,$$

where f be the class of a fiber of p and thus $\omega_E f = \omega_{E/K} f = -2$ where we used that $(\omega_{E/K})|_f = \omega_f$ ([HAG, Prop. II.8.10]).

Proposition 1.1. *For a conic bundle $p : E \rightarrow K$ as above let $\mathcal{W} := p_* \omega_{E/K}^{-1}$. Then \mathcal{W} is a locally free sheaf of rank three on the K^3 surface K and*

$$c_1(\mathcal{W}) = 0, \quad K_E^2 = -c_2(\mathcal{W})f, \quad K_E^3 = 2c_2(\mathcal{W}) .$$

Proof. We apply Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch (GRR) to the sheaves $\omega_{E/K}^{\pm 1}$ and the map $p : E \rightarrow K$.

As $(\omega_{E/K})|_f = \omega_f$ which has degree -2 on $f \cong \mathbf{P}^1$, we have $R^0 p_* \omega_{E/K} = 0$ and $R^1 p_* \omega_{E/K}$ is a line bundle on K . Using [HM, Exc. 3.12, p.85] we find that $R^1 p_* \omega_{E/K} = \mathcal{O}_K$. Thus $p! \omega_{E/K} = -[\mathcal{O}_K]$ and $\text{ch}(p! \omega_{E/K}) = -1_K$. Since $\text{td}(\mathcal{T}_K) = 1 + 2P$, where $P \in K$ is a point, and GRR states that $\text{ch}(p! \omega_{E/K}) \text{td}(\mathcal{T}_K) = p_*(\text{ch}(\omega_{E/K}) \text{td}(\mathcal{T}_E))$, we find

$$-(1 + 2P) = p_*(\text{ch}(\omega_{E/K}) \text{td}(\mathcal{T}_E)) .$$

Using that $\omega_{E/K} = \omega_E$ is a line bundle with $c_1(\omega_{E/K}) = K_E$ and the results from above we find

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}(\omega_{E/K}) &= 1 + K_E + \frac{1}{2}K_E^2 + \frac{1}{6}K_E^3 , \\ \text{td}(\mathcal{T}_E) &= 1 + \frac{1}{2}c_1(E) + \frac{1}{12}(c_1(E)^2 + c_2(E)) + \frac{1}{24}c_1(E)c_2(E) \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{2}K_E + \frac{1}{12}(K_E^2 + 24f) - fK_E . \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{ch}(\omega_{E/K}) \text{td}(\mathcal{T}_E) \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{2}K_E + \frac{1}{12}(K_E^2 + 24f) + (-fK_E + \frac{1}{12}(K_E^2 + 24f)K_E - \frac{1}{4}K_E^3 + \frac{1}{6}K_E^3) \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{2}K_E + \frac{1}{12}(K_E^2 + 24f) + fK_E . \end{aligned}$$

Since $\dim E = 3 > \dim K = 2$ we get $p_* 1 = p_* 1_E = 0$. Similarly $p_* f = 0$ since the one dimensional fiber is contracted to a point in K . Next $p_* K_E = (-2) \cdot 1_K$ since $K_E f = \text{deg}(K_f) = -2$. Thus the degree zero terms agree. In degree one we must have $0 = p_*(\frac{1}{12}K_E^2)$, hence

$$K_E^2 = c_E f$$

for some integer c_E .

Next we apply GRR to $\omega_{E/K}^{-1}$. From $(\omega_{E/K})|_f = \omega_f$ we also get $(\omega_{E/K}^{-1})|_f \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(2)$, in particular $h^0(f, (\omega_{E/K}^{-1})|_f) = 3$ for all fibers of p so $\mathcal{W} = p_* \omega_{E/K}^{-1}$ is locally free of rank three on K .

Moreover, $H^1(f, (\omega_{E/K}^{-1})|_f) = 0$ for all fibers of p and thus $R^i p_*(\omega_{E/K}^{-1}) = 0$ for $i > 0$. This implies that $p! \omega_{E/K}^{-1} = p_* \omega_{E/K}^{-1}$ and since $\omega_{E/K} = \mathcal{O}_E(-K_E)$, GRR gives:

$$\text{ch}(\mathcal{W}) \text{td}(\mathcal{T}_K) = p_*(\text{ch}(\mathcal{O}_E(-K_E)) \text{td}(\mathcal{T}_E)) .$$

We find:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}(\mathcal{W}) &= 3 + c_1(\mathcal{W}) + \frac{1}{2}(c_1(\mathcal{W})^2 - c_2(\mathcal{W})) , \\ \text{td}(\mathcal{T}_K) &= 1 + \frac{1}{12}c_2(K) = 1 + 2P , \\ \text{ch}(\mathcal{O}(-K_E)) &= 1 - K_E + \frac{1}{2}K_E^2 - \frac{1}{6}K_E^3 , \\ \text{td}(\mathcal{T}_E) &= 1 - \frac{1}{2}K_E + \frac{1}{12}(K_E^2 + c_2(\mathcal{T}_E)) - \frac{1}{24}K_E c_2(\mathcal{T}_E) . \end{aligned}$$

Since $c_2(\mathcal{T}_E) = c_2(E) = 24f$, we get:

$$\text{td}(\mathcal{T}_E) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}K_E + \frac{1}{12}(K_E^2 + 24f) - fK_E .$$

Working out GRR gives:

$$\text{ch}(\mathcal{W})\text{td}(\mathcal{T}_K) = 3 + c_1(\mathcal{W}) + (6P + \frac{1}{2}(c_1(\mathcal{W})^2 - c_2(\mathcal{W}))) ,$$

whereas the right hand side gives

$$p_*(\text{ch}(\mathcal{O}_E(-K_E))\text{td}(\mathcal{T}_E)) = p_*(1 - \frac{3}{2}K_E + (\frac{13}{12}K_E^2 + 2f) - (\frac{1}{2}K_E^3 + 3fK_E)) .$$

As before, $p_*1 = p_*1_P = 0$, $p_*f = 0$ and $p_*(-K_E) = 2 \cdot 1_X$ since $(-K_E)f = 2$. We already found that $K_E^2 = c_E f$ for some constant c_E , hence $p_*K_E^2 = p_*f = 0$. Thus the degree one term on the right hand side is zero and therefore also $c_1(\mathcal{W}) = 0$.

Finally we have $K_E^3 = c_E f K_E = -2c_E$ and, identifying a zero cycle with its degree, $p_*f K_E = f K_E = -2$, so the last terms on the right hand side are

$$p_*(\frac{1}{2}K_E^3 + 3fK_E) = -c_E - 6 .$$

Comparing with the LHS and using $c_1(\mathcal{W}) = 0$ we get:

$$6 - c_2(\mathcal{W}) = c_E + 6 , \quad \text{hence} \quad c_2(\mathcal{W}) = -c_E .$$

Substituting this in $K_E^2 = c_E f$ and $K_E^3 = -2c_E$ one finds the last two equalities. \square

The Hodge diamond of a conic bundle E over a K3 surface K is similar to the one of K . See also §4.2.1 for the cohomology of E .

Proposition 1.2. *Let $p : E \rightarrow K$ be a \mathbf{P}^1 -fibration over a K3 surface then:*

$$h^{0,0}(E) = 1, \quad h^{1,0}(E) = 0, \quad h^{2,0}(E) = 1, \quad h^{1,1}(E) = 21, \quad h^{3,0}(E) = h^{2,1}(E) = 0 .$$

In particular the Betti numbers $b_i := \dim H^i(E, \mathbf{Q})$ of E are: $b_1 = b_3 = 0$, $b_2 = 23$.

Proof. Since $p_*\mathcal{O}_E = \mathcal{O}_K$ and $R^1p_*\mathcal{O}_E = 0$ (since the restriction of \mathcal{O}_E to the fibers has trivial H^1) the Leray spectral sequence $H^p(K, R^q p_*\mathcal{O}_E) \Rightarrow H^k(E, \mathcal{O}_E)$ degenerates at E_2 and thus:

$$H^k(E, \mathcal{O}_E) \cong H^k(K, \mathcal{O}_E), \quad h^0(\mathcal{O}_E) = h^2(\mathcal{O}_E) = 1, \quad h^1(\mathcal{O}_E) = h^3(\mathcal{O}_E) = 0 .$$

We compute the other Hodge numbers using the exact sequence (*) defining $\omega_{E/K}$. For this we need to know the $H^k(E, p^*\Omega_K^1)$, which we compute with the spectral sequence $H^p(K, R^q p_* p^*\Omega_K^1) \Rightarrow H^k(E, p^*\Omega_K^1)$. Since $R^q p_* p^*\Omega_K^1 = (R^q p_*\mathcal{O}_E) \otimes \Omega_K^1$ by the projection formula, we find

$$H^k(E, p^*\Omega_K^1) \cong H^k(K, \Omega_K^1), \quad h^1(p^*\Omega_K^1) = 20, \quad h^2(p^*\Omega_K^1) = 0 .$$

By Serre duality $h^i(\omega_{E/K}) = h^i(\omega_E) = h^{3-i}(\mathcal{O}_E)$, hence from the long exact cohomology sequence of $(*)$ we get

$$h^{1,1}(E) := h^1(\Omega_E^1) = h^1(p^*\Omega_K^1) + h^1(\omega_{E/K}) = 21, \quad h^{1,2}(E) := h^2(\Omega_E^1) = 0.$$

□

1.2. The Brauer class of a conic bundle. Let $E \rightarrow K$ be a \mathbf{P}^1 -fibration over a K3 surface. Since $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{P}^1) = \text{PGL}_2(\mathbf{C})$, the glueing data for E provides a Čech cocycle which defines a class $[E] \in H^1(K, \text{PGL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K))$. From the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_K^* \longrightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K) \longrightarrow \text{PGL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K) \longrightarrow 0$$

we obtain a coboundary map $H^1(\text{PGL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{O}_K^*)$. The image of $[E]$ through this map is a two-torsion element that we denote by $\alpha_E \in H^2(\mathcal{O}_K^*)_{\text{tors}} = \text{Br}(K)$, the Brauer group of K . We denote by $\text{Br}(K)_2$ the subgroup of two-torsion elements in $\text{Br}(K)$.

In case $\alpha_E = 0$, the \mathbf{P}^1 -fibration E is obtained from a class in $H^1(K, \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K))$. Such a class defines a rank two vector bundle \mathcal{V} and $E \cong \mathbf{P}\mathcal{V}$ is then called a trivial \mathbf{P}^1 -fibration or a trivial conic bundle.

Since $E_K \cdot f = -2$, one has $h^0(E, nK_E) = 0$ for all $n > 0$. We now consider $h^0(E, -K_E)$.

Proposition 1.3. *Let $p : E \rightarrow K$ be a non-trivial \mathbf{P}^1 -fibration over a K3 surface K with $\text{rk Pic}(K) = 1$. Then $h^0(E, -K_E) = 0$.*

Proof. Assume that $s \in H^0(-K_E)$, $s \neq 0$, and let $D \in |-K_E|$ be the effective divisor on E defined by s . Then there is the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_E(K_E) \xrightarrow{s} \mathcal{O}_E \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_D \longrightarrow 0.$$

Recall that $h^0(K_E) = 0$, moreover $h^1(K_E) = h^{3,1}(E) = h^{2,0}(E) = 1$ and $h^1(\mathcal{O}_E) = h^{0,1}(E) = 0$. Hence $h^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D) = 2$.

Since $Df = +2$, at least one (and at most two) of the irreducible components of D map onto K . If there are two such components or if the unique such component is not reduced, then p has a rational section and hence $E = \mathbf{P}\mathcal{V}$ for a vector bundle \mathcal{V} on K (cf. [S, Lemma 3.5]) which contradicts the non-triviality E .

So we now assume that D has a unique reduced, irreducible, ‘horizontal’ component D_h mapping onto K . The support of any other component of D would be the inverse image of a curve in K . Since $\text{rk Pic}(K) = 1$ the divisor D is then linearly equivalent to $D' := D_h + p^*C$ where C is a (reduced, irreducible) curve in K . But then $D' \in |-K_E|$ is connected and reduced, contradicting $h^0(\mathcal{O}_{D'}) = 2$. We conclude that $h^0(E, -K_E) = 0$. □

1.3. The vector bundle \mathcal{W} . Let $\omega_{E/K}$ be the relative dualizing sheaf of the conic bundle $p : E \rightarrow K$ as in Section 1.1, it is a line bundle on E . As in Proposition 1.1 we define

$$\mathcal{W} := p_*\omega_{E/K}^{-1},$$

then \mathcal{W} is a locally free sheaf of rank three on the K3 surface K with $c_1(\mathcal{W}) = 0$. The following proposition is well-known ([Sar], [CI]).

Proposition 1.4. *The sheaf \mathcal{W} is a locally free sheaf of rank three on K which is self dual: $\mathcal{W} \cong \mathcal{W}^\vee$. There is a quadratic form $Q : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\vee \cong \mathcal{W}$ such that E is the associated conic bundle of (\mathcal{W}, Q) .*

Conversely, given a locally free rank three sheaf \mathcal{E} on K with a nowhere degenerate quadratic form Q , let $p : E \subset \mathbf{P}\mathcal{E} \rightarrow K$ be the conic bundle defined by Q . Then $p_\omega_{E/K}^{-1} \cong \mathcal{E}$.*

Proof. The restriction of $\omega_{E/K}$ to any fiber f of p is the canonical bundle ω_f , hence $(\omega_{E/K}^{-1})|_f \cong \omega_f \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(2)$. The relative anticanonical embedding embeds $E \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{W})$ in the \mathbf{P}^2 -bundle defined by \mathcal{W} over K . Since each fiber of E is a conic in \mathbf{P}^2 and sections of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{W})}(1)$ define linear forms on the fibers, E is defined by a section of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{W})}(2) \otimes p^*\mathcal{L}$ for some line bundle \mathcal{L} on K , in fact $\text{Pic}(\mathbf{P}\mathcal{W}) = \mathbf{Z}\xi \oplus p^*\text{Pic}(K)$ where $\xi := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}\mathcal{W}}(1)$. Since

$$H^0(\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{W}^\vee), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{W}^\vee)}(2) \otimes p_*\mathcal{L}) = H^0(K, \text{Sym}^2(\mathcal{W}) \otimes \mathcal{L})$$

see [HAG, II, Prop. 7.11], we see that E is defined by a quadratic form $Q \in H^0(K, \text{Sym}^2(\mathcal{W}) \otimes \mathcal{L})$. Equivalently, we have a morphism of sheaves $Q : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}$. Since we assume that all fibers of p are smooth, we must have that $\det(Q) : \det(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \det(\mathcal{W}^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-3}$ is nowhere zero. As $c_1(\mathcal{W}) = \det(\mathcal{W}) = 0$ it follows that $\mathcal{L}^{-3} = \mathcal{O}_K$ hence \mathcal{L} is trivial.

The exact sequence, with $\tilde{p} : \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}^\vee) \rightarrow K$ the projection, (see [HAG, Prop. II.8.11])

$$0 \longrightarrow \tilde{p}^*\Omega_K^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)/K}^1 \longrightarrow 0,$$

implies, using $\omega_K = \mathcal{O}_K$:

$$\omega_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)} = \tilde{p}^*(\omega_K) \otimes \omega_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)/K} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)}(-3) \otimes \tilde{p}^*\det(\mathcal{E}^\vee).$$

The adjunction formula then shows that

$$\omega_E = (\omega_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)}(2))|_E = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)}(-1) \otimes \tilde{p}^*\det(\mathcal{E}^\vee))|_E.$$

Since Q is nowhere degenerate, it defines an isomorphism $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^\vee$ and we get $\det(\mathcal{E}^\vee) = \mathcal{O}_K$. Using again $\omega_E = \omega_{E/K}$ we thus find

$$\omega_{E/K}^{-1} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)}(1)|_E, \quad p_*\omega_{E/K}^{-1} = p_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)}(1)|_E).$$

Finally we apply \tilde{p}_* to the ‘restriction to E ’ exact sequence (cf. [CI, Lemma 2.2])

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)}(-1) \xrightarrow{Q} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)}(1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)}(1)|_E \longrightarrow 0.$$

From $R^i\tilde{p}_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)}(-1)) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1$ ([HAG, III, Exerc. 8.4]) and $p = \tilde{p}|_E$ we deduce

$$p_*\omega_{E/K}^{-1} = p_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)}(1)|_E) = \tilde{p}_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)}(1)) = \mathcal{E}^\vee.$$

□

1.4. Chern classes for conic bundles on a double plane. Let $\pi : K \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$ be a double cover branched along a smooth sextic curve C defined by $f_6 = 0$. There are three types of non-trivial conic bundles on K , they are associated to a point of order two, an odd or an even theta characteristic on C (see [vG], [IOOV] and Theorem 2.3).

We recall well-known conic bundles $E \rightarrow K$ which have Brauer classes of each of these types and we (re)compute the selfintersection K_E^3 of their canonical bundle in Proposition 1.5,

Corollary 1.7 and Remark 1.8 respectively. We will discuss some implications of these results at the end of §2.4.

Proposition 1.5. *Let $\pi : K \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$ be a general degree two K3 surface with branch curve C . A point of order two in $\text{Pic}(C)$ defines a conic bundle $p : E \rightarrow K$ with $K_E^3 = 12$ and $c_2(p_*\omega_{E/K}^{-1}) = 6$.*

Proof. In view of Proposition 1.1, it suffices to show that $c_2(p_*\omega_{E/K}^{-1}) = 6$. Let $L \in \text{Pic}(C)$ be of order two, so $L^\vee = L$, where L^\vee is the dual line bundle. Let $j : C \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$ be the inclusion map. There is a resolution (the case $e = 3$ in [B, Proposition 4.6])

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(-4)^3 \xrightarrow{M} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(-2)^3 \longrightarrow j_*L \longrightarrow 0$$

with a symmetric matrix M . The coefficients M_{ij} of the 3×3 -matrix M are elements of $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(-4), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(-2)) = H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(2))$. The determinant of M is an equation of the sextic curve C .

We will use [DK, Section 2] to define a conic bundle $E \rightarrow K$. Twisting the sequence by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(3)$ and pulling it back along the finite (hence affine and flat) map π to the K3 surface K we get the exact sequence, with $\mathcal{O}_K(d) := \pi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(d)$:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\pi^*M} \mathcal{E}^\vee \longrightarrow \pi^*j_*L(3) \longrightarrow 0, \quad \mathcal{E} := \mathcal{O}_K(-1)^3.$$

The determinant of this morphism of vector bundles is $\pi^*\det(M) \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_K(6))$ which has divisor $D = \pi^*C = 2C_K$, where $i : C \hookrightarrow C_K \subset K$ is the ramification curve, which is isomorphic to C .

The kernel \mathcal{K} of π^*M is the sheaf on D defined as

$$\mathcal{K} := \ker(\pi^*M|_D : \mathcal{E}|_D \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}|_D^\vee), \quad D = 2C_K = \pi^*C.$$

We need to know the restriction of \mathcal{K} to the curve C_K . Since $\pi : C_K \rightarrow C$ is an isomorphism we have an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}|_{C_K} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}|_{C_K} \xrightarrow{M|_{C_K}} \mathcal{E}|_{C_K}^\vee \longrightarrow L(3) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where now L is viewed as a line bundle on C_K . Since $M|_{C_K}$ is symmetric, after dualizing the surjection of vector bundles on C above, we get $L(-3) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}|_C \rightarrow \mathcal{E}|_C^\vee$ and thus $\mathcal{K}|_{C_K} = L(-3)$.

Define a vector bundle $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ on K as the dual of the kernel of the surjection

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\vee := \ker(\mathcal{E}^\vee \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}|_{C_K}^\vee \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}|_{C_K}^\vee = i_*L(3) \longrightarrow 0),$$

so that we have the exact sequence (see [DK, (7)])

$$0 \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\vee \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^\vee \longrightarrow i_*L(3) \longrightarrow 0.$$

The quadratic form π^*M on \mathcal{E}^\vee defines a nowhere degenerate quadratic form on $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$. The conic bundle $E \rightarrow K$ is defined by this quadratic form on $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$.

By Proposition 1.4 we have that $p_*\omega_{E/K}^{-1} = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$. To compute the Chern classes of $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ we recall that, with $h := c_1(\mathcal{O}_K(1))$, the total Chern class of \mathcal{E}^\vee is

$$c(\mathcal{E}^\vee) = (1 + h)^3 = 1 + 3h + 3h^2 \quad \text{so} \quad c_1(\mathcal{E}^\vee) = 3h, \quad c_2(\mathcal{E}^\vee) = 3h^2 = 6.$$

Computing the Chern classes of $i_*L(3)$ with [Fr, §2.1, Lemma 1] we get:

$$c_1(\mathcal{E}^\vee) = c_1(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\vee) + [C_K], \quad \text{hence} \quad c_1(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\vee) = c_1(\mathcal{E}^\vee) - [C_K] = 0,$$

as expected since $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ should be self-dual, and

$$c_2(\mathcal{E}^\vee) = c_2(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\vee) + c_1(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\vee)[C_K] + ([C_K]^2 - i_*c_1(L(3))),$$

as $c_1(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\vee) = 0$ and $[C_K]^2 - i_*c_1(L(3)) = (3h)^2 - 3h \cdot 3h = 0$, we find $c_2(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}) = c_2(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\vee) = 6$. Using $c_2(\mathcal{E}) = c_2(\mathcal{E}^\vee)$ we are done. \square

1.5. Computing Chern classes of Azumaya algebras. The following proposition allows us to determine the Chern classes of some Azumaya algebras on a K3 double plane. The idea is based on [IK] and [Ku1], but Proposition 1.6 simplifies the computations.

Proposition 1.6. *Let $\pi : K \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$ be a K3 double cover of \mathbf{P}^2 and let \mathcal{A} be a coherent sheaf on K of rank r with $c_1(\mathcal{A}) = 0$. Then we have $c_1(\pi_*\mathcal{A}) = -3rH$, with $H := c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(1))$, and:*

$$c_2(\mathcal{A}) = -\frac{9}{2}r(r-1) + c_2(\pi_*(\mathcal{A})).$$

Proof. Recall that the GRR for a map $\pi : T \rightarrow S$ and a coherent sheaf \mathcal{A} of rank r on T states:

$$\text{ch}(\pi_!\mathcal{A})\text{td}(\mathcal{T}_S) = \pi_*(\text{ch}(\mathcal{A})\text{td}(\mathcal{T}_T)).$$

In case T is a K3 surface (so $K_T = -c_1(\mathcal{T}) = 0$ and $c_2(\mathcal{T}) = 24P$, with P a point in T) and $S = \mathbf{P}^2$ (so $K_S = -3H$ and $c_2(\mathcal{T}_S) = 3H^2$). For a double cover $\pi : T \rightarrow S$ we have $f_!\mathcal{A} = f_*\mathcal{A}$ since π is affine and thus $R^i\pi_*\mathcal{A} = 0$ for $i > 0$. The left hand side of GRR is then

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{ch}(\pi_!\mathcal{A})\text{td}(\mathcal{T}_S) \\ &= \text{ch}(\pi_*\mathcal{A})\text{td}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{P}^2}) \\ &= \left(2r + c_1(\pi_*\mathcal{A}) + \frac{1}{2}(c_1(\pi_*\mathcal{A})^2 - 2c_2(\pi_*(\mathcal{A})))\right) \left(1 + \frac{3}{2}H + H^2\right) \\ &= 2r + (3rH + c_1(\pi_*(\mathcal{A}))) + \left(2r + \frac{3}{2}c_1(\pi_*(\mathcal{A}))H + \frac{1}{2}c_1(\pi_*\mathcal{A})^2 - c_2(\pi_*(\mathcal{A}))\right). \end{aligned}$$

The right hand side is

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_*(\text{ch}(\mathcal{A})\text{td}(\mathcal{T}_T)) &= \pi_*\left(\left(r + c_1(\mathcal{A}) + \frac{1}{2}(c_1(\mathcal{A})^2 - 2c_2(\mathcal{A}))\right)(1 + 2P)\right) \\ &= \pi_*\left(r + c_1(\mathcal{A}) + \left(2rP + \frac{1}{2}c_1(\mathcal{A})^2 - c_2(\mathcal{A})\right)\right) \\ &= 2r + \pi_*c_1(\mathcal{A}) + \left(2r + \frac{1}{2}\pi_*(c_1(\mathcal{A}))^2 - c_2(\mathcal{A})\right), \end{aligned}$$

where we view the codimension two cycles as integers.

Since $c_1(\mathcal{A}) = 0$ one finds $c_1(\pi_*\mathcal{A}) = -3rH$ and

$$c_2(\mathcal{A}) = -\left(\frac{3}{2}c_1(\pi_*(\mathcal{A}))H + \frac{1}{2}c_1(\pi_*\mathcal{A})^2 - c_2(\pi_*(\mathcal{A}))\right).$$

Substituting for $c_1(\pi_*\mathcal{A})$ and using $H^2 = 1$, one finds the formula for $c_2(\mathcal{A})$. \square

The following corollary computes K_E^3 for the conic bundle over the double plane K defined by an odd theta characteristic on the branch curve. These conic bundles are also obtained from cubic fourfolds with a plane, see §5.2.

Corollary 1.7. *Let $\pi : K \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$ be a general degree two K3 surface with branch curve C . An odd theta characteristic on C defines a conic bundle $p : E \rightarrow K$ with $K_E^3 = 12$ and $c_2(p_*\omega_{E/K}^{-1}) = 6$.*

Proof. Let L be an odd theta characteristic on C , so $L \otimes L \cong \omega_C$ and $h^0(L)$ is odd. Since K is general, $h^0(L) = 1$ and j_*L , where $j : C \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$ has a resolution (see [B, Prop. 4.2(b)])

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(-2)^3 \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(-3) \xrightarrow{M} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(-1)^3 \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2} \longrightarrow j_*L \longrightarrow 0 ,$$

where M is a symmetric matrix with $\det(M) = f_6$, a degree six polynomial defining C . Thus M also gives a quadratic form

$$M : \mathcal{E} := \mathcal{O}^3 \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(1), \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{21} & a_{31} & b_1 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & b_2 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & b_3 \\ b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & c \end{pmatrix} ,$$

with a_{ij}, b_k, c global sections of $\mathcal{O}(1)$, $\mathcal{O}(2)$ and $\mathcal{O}(3)$ respectively. The rulings of the quadric surface defined by M_x are the fibers of the conic bundle E over the points in $\pi^{-1}(x)$ for $x \in \mathbf{P}^2$.

Following [IK, p.306-308], [Ku1, §3], the (sheaf of) even Clifford algebra(s) of M is the sheaf

$$Cl_0(M) = \left(\bigoplus_{k=0}^2 \mathcal{E}^{\otimes 2k} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(-k) \right) / I ,$$

where I is generated by the local sections $v \otimes v \otimes \lambda - q(v)\lambda$ with v, λ local sections of \mathcal{E} and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(-1)$ respectively, so that $q(v)\lambda$ is a local section of \mathcal{O} . The rank of $Cl_0(q)$ as a sheaf of \mathcal{O}_S -modules is $2^{4-1} = 8$. Using the fact that the center of $Cl_0(M)$ is the rank two sheaf $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_K$, one can show that $\pi_*\mathcal{A} = Cl_0(M)$, where \mathcal{A} is the rank four Azumaya algebra on K corresponding to the conic bundle E defined by the odd theta characteristic. One then finds that:

$$\pi_*\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(-1)^{\oplus 3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(-2)^{\oplus 3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(-3) .$$

The total Chern class is thus, with $H = c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(1))$:

$$c(\pi_*\mathcal{A}) = 1 \cdot (1 - H)^3(1 - 2H)^3(1 - 3H) = 1 - 12H + 60H^2 ,$$

hence $c_1(\pi_*\mathcal{A}) = -12H$ and $c_2(\pi_*\mathcal{A}) = 60$. From Proposition 1.6 we get:

$$c_2(\mathcal{A}) = -\frac{9}{2}r(r-1) + c_2(\pi_*\mathcal{A}) = -9 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 + 60 = 6 .$$

Using the trace map, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{A}_0$ and $\mathcal{A}_0 \cong p_*\omega_{E/K}^{-1}$ [CI, Corollary 6.5], we get $c_2(p_*\omega_{E/K}^{-1}) = 6$ and thus $K_E^3 = 12$ by Proposition 1.1. \square

Remark 1.8. *We show that Proposition 1.6 allows one to recover some results from [IK] on Azumaya algebras. An even theta characteristic on C defines a quadratic form $q : \mathcal{O}^6 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1)$ on \mathbf{P}^2 ([IK, Theorem 4.2, Proposition 3.3]). There is an Azumaya algebra \mathcal{A} of rank $r = 2^4 = 16$ on the K3 double plane K with $Cl_0(q) = \pi_*\mathcal{A}$ where $Cl_0(q)$ is the even Clifford algebra of q ([IK, p.306-308], [Ku1, §3]). By construction, $Cl_0(q)$ has a filtration with quotients \mathcal{O} , $(\wedge^2 \mathcal{O}^6) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1) = \mathcal{O}^{15}(-1)$, $(\wedge^4 \mathcal{O}^6) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-2) = \mathcal{O}^{15}(-2)$ and $(\wedge^6 \mathcal{O}^6) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-3) = \mathcal{O}(-3)$.*

Thus we find the total Chern class of $\pi_*\mathcal{A}$ as the product of the total Chern classes of the subquotients:

$$c(\pi_*\mathcal{A}) = 1 \cdot (1 - H)^{15}(1 - 2H)^{15}(1 - 3H) = 1 - 48H + 1110H^2,$$

hence $c_1(\pi_*\mathcal{A}) = -48H$ and $c_2(\pi_*\mathcal{A}) = 1110$.

From Proposition 1.6 we then find

$$c_2(\mathcal{A}) = -\frac{9}{2}r(r-1) + c_2(\pi_*(\mathcal{A})) = -9 \cdot 8 \cdot 15 + 1110 = 30.$$

which agrees with the result in [IK, Proposition 3.6].

Notice that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_K \oplus \mathcal{A}_0$, using the trace on the Azumaya algebra, and that $c_2(\mathcal{A}_0) = 30 = 2r$ where $r = 15$ is the rank of \mathcal{A}_0 . If \mathcal{A}_0 is stable this is extreme, since [Nk] shows that $c_2 \geq 2r$ for a stable bundle with $c_1 = 0$ on a K3 surface.

The even theta characteristic on C also defines a degree eight K3 surface X . For each $x \in X$ there is a quadratic form ([IK, Theorem 4.3])

$$q_x : \mathcal{V} := \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^2}^1(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}^2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(1).$$

The associated Azumaya algebra \mathcal{B}_x on K , now of rank 4, is defined by $Cl_0(q_x) = \pi_*\mathcal{B}_x$. The filtration on $Cl_0(q_x)$ has quotients \mathcal{O} , $\wedge^2\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1) = (\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^2}^2(2) \oplus (\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^2}^1(1))^2 \oplus \mathcal{O}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1)$ and $\wedge^4\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-2) = \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^2}^2(2) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-2)$. Since $c(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^2}^1) = 1 - 3H + 3H^2$ and $\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^2}^2 = \mathcal{O}(-3)$ we get:

$$c(\pi_*\mathcal{B}_x) = 1 \cdot (1 - 2H)(1 - 3H + 3H^2)^2(1 - H)(1 - 3H) = 1 - 12H + 62H^2,$$

hence $c_1(\pi_*\mathcal{B}_x) = -12H$ and $c_2(\pi_*\mathcal{B}_x) = 62$. From Proposition 1.6 we get:

$$c_2(\mathcal{B}_x) = -\frac{9}{2}r(r-1) + c_2(\pi_*(\mathcal{B}_x)) = -9 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 + 62 = 8,$$

which agrees with the result in [IK, Theorem 4.3].

2. BRAUER CLASSES AND TWISTED SHEAVES

2.1. Brauer classes and B-fields. We introduced the two-torsion subgroup $Br(K)_2$ of the Brauer group $H^2(\mathcal{O}_K^*)_{tors}$ of a K3 surface K in §1.2. The exponential exact sequence gives the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^2(K, \mathbf{Z})/Pic(K) \longrightarrow H^2(K, \mathcal{O}_K) \longrightarrow H^2(\mathcal{O}_K^*) \longrightarrow 0$$

where we identify $Pic(K) = H^1(K, \mathcal{O}_K^*)$ with its image in $H^2(K, \mathbf{Z})$. Any $\alpha \in Br(K)_2$ has a lift $\tilde{\alpha}$ to the complex vector space $H^2(\mathcal{O}_K)$ and since $2\tilde{\alpha} \in H^2(K, \mathbf{Z})/Pic(K)$ there is a $B = B_\alpha \in \frac{1}{2}H^2(K, \mathbf{Z})$ mapping to $\tilde{\alpha}$. We say that B is a B-field representative of α . If B, B' map to the same α then $B = B' + \frac{1}{2}p + c$ for some $p \in Pic(K)$ and some $c \in H^2(K, \mathbf{Z})$ ([Hu2, §4], [Ku2, §6]).

We now assume that $Pic(K) = \mathbf{Z}h$ and $h^2 = 2d > 0$. Since $h^2 \in 2\mathbf{Z}$, for any $a \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $c \in H^2(K, \mathbf{Z})$ the intersection number (in $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}$)

$$Bh = (B' + \frac{a}{2}h + c)h \equiv B'h \pmod{\mathbf{Z}}$$

is an invariant of α . Since $2B \in H^2(K, \mathbf{Z})$ we have $Bh \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}/\mathbf{Z} = \{0, \frac{1}{2}\}$.

Kuznetsov gave a geometrical interpretation of this invariant in terms of the restriction of a conic bundle representing α to curves in K in [Ku2, lemma 6.2].

Generalizing [Ku2, Lemma 6.1] to any d , we find another invariant of α . Since α is two-torsion, the B-field B can be written as $B = B_0/2$ with $B_0 \in H^2(K, \mathbf{Z})$. The lattice $H^2(K, \mathbf{Z})$ is even, so $B^2 = B_0^2/4 \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let K be a K3 surface with $\text{Pic}(K) = \mathbf{Z}h$ and $h^2 = 2d$. Let $\alpha \in \text{Br}(K)_2$ and let $B \in \frac{1}{2}H^2(K, \mathbf{Z}) \subset H^2(K, \mathbf{Q})$ be a B-field representing α . If $4Bh + h^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then also $B^2 \pmod{\mathbf{Z}}$ is an invariant of α .*

Proof. With the notation as above, $c^2, 2B'c, 2\frac{a}{2}ch = ach \in \mathbf{Z}$ and thus

$$B^2 = (B' + \frac{a}{2}h + c)^2 \equiv (B')^2 + \frac{a^2}{4}h^2 + aB'h \pmod{\mathbf{Z}}.$$

Since $Bh = B'h \pmod{\mathbf{Z}}$, we also have $4B'h + h^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, and thus $\frac{a^2}{4}h^2 + aB'h \in \mathbf{Z}$ since h^2 is even and $a^2 \equiv a \pmod{2}$. \square

For a polarized K3 surface (K, h) with $\text{Pic}(K) = \mathbf{Z}h$ and $h^2 = 2d$, a Brauer class $\alpha \in \text{Br}(K)_2$, with B-field representative B , thus has the invariant $Bh \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}/\mathbf{Z}$ and:

if d is odd and $Bh \notin \mathbf{Z}$ there is a further invariant $B^2 \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}/\mathbf{Z}$,

if d is even and $Bh \in \mathbf{Z}$ there is a further invariant $B^2 \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}/\mathbf{Z}$.

For any d we have thus distinguished three types of Brauer classes of order two.

The next lemma guarantees that a class in $\text{Br}(K)_2$ can be represented by a B-field which has good properties.

Lemma 2.2. *Let K be a K3 surface with $\text{Pic}(K) = \mathbf{Z}h$ and $h^2 = 2d$. Let $\alpha \in \text{Br}(K)_2$, then there is a B-field $B \in \frac{1}{2}H^2(K, \mathbf{Z}) \subset H^2(K, \mathbf{Q})$ representing α such that $2B \in H^2(X, \mathbf{Z})$ is primitive and such that $B^2, Bh \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}\} \in \mathbf{Q}$.*

Proof. In case $\alpha = 0 \in \text{Br}(K)_2$, we take $B = 0$. So we may assume that $\alpha \neq 0$. Choose a B-field $B \in \frac{1}{2}H^2(K, \mathbf{Z})$ representing α .

Since $B \notin H^2(K, \mathbf{Z}) + \frac{1}{2}\text{Pic}(K)$, the sublattice $M := \langle 2B, h \rangle$ of $H^2(K, \mathbf{Z})$ has rank two. If M is degenerate, that is $(2B)^2h^2 - (2Bh)^2 \neq 0$, Bh must be an integer since $(2B)^2$ and h^2 are even integers. Hence $Bh = m$ for some $m \in \mathbf{Z}$. Since $h \in H^2(K, \mathbf{Z})$ is primitive, there is a $t \in H^2(K, \mathbf{Z})$ with $ht = 1$ and thus $(B - mt)h = 0$. Since $B, B - mt$ define the same Brauer class, in case $Bh \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbf{Z}}$ we may assume that $Bh = 0$. Then M is non-degenerate except if also $B^2 = 0$. In that case, write $B = mB'$ for a primitive $B' \in \frac{1}{2}H^2(K, \mathbf{Z})$ and an integer m . Since $\alpha \neq 0$, m is odd and B' is primitive, also defines α , and $B'h = 0$, $(B')^2 = 0$ so we replace B by B' .

In the other cases, M is non-degenerate and $M \subset M_{pr} \subset H^2(K, \mathbf{Z})$, where M_{pr} is the primitive closure of M , which are all the $v \in H^2(K, \mathbf{Z})$ for which an integer multiple lies in M . Then M_{pr} is a nondegenerate primitive sublattice of $H^2(K, \mathbf{Z})$. By results of Nikulin the embedding of M_{pr} in $H^2(K, \mathbf{Z})$ is unique up to isometry. Moreover, there is an isomorphism $H^2(K, \mathbf{Z}) \cong U^3 \oplus E_8(-1)^2$ such that M_{pr} maps to U^2 . Since $h \in M_{pr}$ is primitive, we may assume it maps to $(1, d)_1$ (in the first copy of U) where $h^2 = 2d$. We will write $B = B_1 + B_2 \in \frac{1}{2}(U \oplus U)$.

In case $Bh = 0$, one finds that B_1 must be an integer multiple of $\frac{1}{2}(1, -d) = (0, -d) + \frac{1}{2}(1, d)$. Hence $B_1 \in H^2(K, \mathbf{Z}) + \frac{1}{2}\text{Pic}(K)$ and we may assume that $B = B_2 = \frac{1}{2}(a, b)_2$ for some $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Adding a suitable element of $U_2 \subset H^2(K, \mathbf{Z})$ we may assume that $a, b \in \{0, 1\}$. Then $2B$ is primitive in $H^2(K, \mathbf{Z})$, $B^2 \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}\}$ and we are done.

In case $Bh \equiv \frac{1}{2} \pmod{\mathbf{Z}}$, write $B = \frac{1}{2}(a, b)_1 + (a', b')_2$ and we may assume that $a, b, a', b' \in \{0, 1\}$. As $(B - a\frac{1}{2}h)_1 = \frac{1}{2}(0, c)_1$, we may also assume that $a = 0$ and then $b = 1$ (since $B'h \not\equiv 0$). For such a B we do have $Bh = \frac{1}{2}$ and $B^2 = \frac{1}{2}a'b' \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}\}$, moreover $2B'$ is primitive in $H^2(K, \mathbf{Z})$. \square

2.2. Brauer classes and lattices. There is an isomorphism (cf. [vG])

$$Br(K) := H^2(\mathcal{O}_K^*)_{tors} = \left(H^2(K, \mathbf{Z})/c_1(Pic(K)) \right) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} (\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(T_K, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}),$$

where $T_K := c_1(Pic(K))^\perp \subset H^2(K, \mathbf{Z})$ is the transcendental lattice of K . The kernel of a non-trivial $\alpha \in Br(K)_2$ is a sublattice of index two in T_K denoted by Γ_α :

$$\Gamma_\alpha = \ker(\alpha : T_K \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}).$$

In case $Pic(K) = \mathbf{Z}h$, with $h^2 = 2d$, we classify elements of order two in the Brauer group by the isomorphism class of the lattice Γ_α (this is called T-equivalence in [HS1]). We find the same three types as before, see Theorem 2.3.

To get a more explicit description of the Γ_α , we use the notation from [vG, §9]. Let $Pic(K) = \mathbf{Z}h$ with $h^2 = 2d$, then the transcendental lattice T_K is isomorphic to $T_K \cong \mathbf{Z}v \oplus \Lambda'$ where $v^2 = -2d$ and $\Lambda' \cong U^2 \oplus E_8(-1)^2$. Any $\alpha \in Br(K)_2 = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(T_K, \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$ can then be written as:

$$\alpha : T_K \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}, \quad (nv, \lambda) \longmapsto a_\alpha n + \lambda_\alpha \lambda \pmod{2},$$

so $\alpha = (a_\alpha, \lambda_\alpha)$ for a unique $a_\alpha \in \{0, 1\}$ and a $\lambda_\alpha \in \Lambda'$ whose class in $\Lambda'/2\Lambda' \cong (\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^{20}$ is uniquely determined by α . We will fix an isomorphism $H^2(K, \mathbf{Z}) \cong U \oplus \Lambda'$ such that $h = (1, d)_1$, $v = (1, -d)_1$, both in the first component U . Notice that $(0, 1)_1 \cdot v = 1$ and also $(0, 1)_1 \cdot h = 1$.

A B-field lift $B_\alpha \in H^2(K, \mathbf{Q})$ of $\alpha = (a_\alpha, \lambda_\alpha)$ is determined by $2B_\alpha(nv + \lambda) = a_\alpha n + \lambda \lambda_\alpha \pmod{2}$. Thus we can take

$$B_\alpha = \left((0, \frac{a_\alpha}{2})_1, \frac{\lambda_\alpha}{2} \right) \in \frac{1}{2}(U \oplus \Lambda'), \quad \text{hence } B_\alpha h = \frac{1}{2}a_\alpha, \quad B_\alpha^2 = \frac{1}{4}\lambda_\alpha^2.$$

The following theorem completes a similar result in [vG, Prop. 9.2], the only difference is for the case $h^2 = 2d$ with d even; for these h there are also three cases (the two isomorphism classes of lattices Γ_α with $a_\alpha = 0$ were not distinguished in [vG]). In case $d = 1$ these classes are well understood in terms of points of order two and theta characteristics on the branch curve of the double cover $\phi_h : K \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$, see also [IOOV]. For a similar classification of Brauer classes of order p for $p > 2$ see [MS+].

The dual lattice of Γ_α is $\Gamma_\alpha^* := \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\Gamma_\alpha, \mathbf{Z})$ and we identify it with

$$\Gamma_\alpha^* = \{x \in \Gamma_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{Q} : x\gamma \in \mathbf{Z}, \forall \gamma \in \Gamma_\alpha\}, \quad x : y \longmapsto xy,$$

where the intersection form on $\Gamma_\alpha \subset H^2(K, \mathbf{Z})$ is extended \mathbf{Q} -bilinearly. The discriminant quadratic form on the (finite) discriminant group $\Gamma_\alpha^*/\Gamma_\alpha$ is given by

$$q_\alpha : \Gamma_\alpha^*/\Gamma_\alpha \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q}/2\mathbf{Z}, \quad q_\alpha(x) := x^2.$$

The isomorphism class of Γ_α is determined by q_α ([Ni, Corollary 1.13.3]).

Theorem 2.3. (Refinement of [vG, Prop. 9.2]) *Let K be a K3 surface with $\text{Pic}(K) = \mathbf{Z}h$ and $h^2 = 2d$. Then for each $d \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$, the set of lattices Γ_α , with $\alpha \in \text{Br}(K)_2$, $\alpha \neq 0$, is partitioned in three isometry classes.*

In the case that $4Bh + h^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, the isomorphism class of Γ_α is determined by $B_\alpha h \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}/\mathbf{Z}$ and $B^2 \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}/\mathbf{Z}$, otherwise it is determined by $B_\alpha h \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}/\mathbf{Z}$ only.

More explicitly, let $\alpha = (a_\alpha, \lambda_\alpha) \in \text{Br}(K)_2 = \text{Hom}(T_K, \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$, $\alpha \neq 0$, have B -field representative B_α , then:

- (1) *if $a_\alpha = 0$ (equivalently $B_\alpha h \equiv 0$), then $\Gamma_\alpha^*/\Gamma_\alpha = \mathbf{Z}/2d\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$. There are $2^{20} - 1$ Brauer classes $\alpha \in \text{Br}(K)_2$ with $B_\alpha h \equiv 0$.*
 - a) *In case d is even, there are two isomorphism classes of such lattices. One class, has $2^9(2^{10} + 1) - 1$ elements and is characterized by $\lambda_\alpha^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, equivalently $B_\alpha^2 \equiv 0$. The other class has $2^9(2^{10} - 1)$ elements and is characterized by $\lambda_\alpha^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, equivalently $B_\alpha^2 \equiv \frac{1}{2}$.*
 - b) *In case d is odd, these $2^{20} - 1$ lattices are isomorphic to each other.*
- (2) *if $a_\alpha = 1$ (equivalently $B_\alpha h \equiv \frac{1}{2}$), $\Gamma_\alpha^*/\Gamma_\alpha = \mathbf{Z}/8d\mathbf{Z}$. There are 2^{20} Brauer classes $\alpha \in \text{Br}(K)_2$ with $B_\alpha h = 1/2$.*
 - a) *In case d is even, these 2^{20} lattices are isomorphic to each other.*
 - b) *In case d is odd, there are two isomorphism classes of such lattices. One class, has $2^9(2^{10} + 1)$ elements and is characterized by $\lambda_\alpha^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, equivalently $B_\alpha^2 \equiv 0$, the other class has $2^9(2^{10} - 1)$ elements and is characterized by $\lambda_\alpha^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ equivalently $B_\alpha^2 \equiv \frac{1}{2}$.*

Proof. Only part (1) is not contained in [vG, Prop. 9.2]. There it is verified that $\Gamma_\alpha^*/\Gamma_\alpha = \mathbf{Z}/2d\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ if $a_\alpha = 0$ (and $\Gamma_\alpha^*/\Gamma_\alpha = \mathbf{Z}/8d\mathbf{Z}$ else). The generators of the dual lattice $\Gamma_\alpha^* \subset \Gamma_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ are $v/2d$, $\lambda_\alpha/2$ and μ_α for any $\mu_\alpha \in \Lambda'$ with $\lambda_\alpha \cdot \mu_\alpha = 1$. One has, with $(a, b, c) \in \mathbf{Z}^3$,

$$q_\alpha\left(\frac{a}{2d}v + \frac{b}{2}\lambda_\alpha + c\mu_\alpha\right) = \frac{a^2}{2d} + \frac{b^2\lambda_\alpha^2}{4} + bc \pmod{2\mathbf{Z}}.$$

The two-torsion subgroup (isomorphic to $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^3$ of $\Gamma_\alpha^*/\Gamma_\alpha$ is generated by $v/2$, $\lambda_\alpha/2$ and μ_α and these elements have coordinates $(da, b, c) \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Assume d is even. If $\lambda_\alpha^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then on the two-torsion subgroup q_α takes values in $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$, whereas if $\lambda_\alpha^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $q_\alpha(\frac{1}{2}\lambda_\alpha) \notin \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$. Thus the lattices Γ_α are in (at least) two distinct isomorphism classes of lattices. Changing $\lambda_\alpha^2 \mapsto \lambda_\alpha^2 + 4$ gives an isomorphic discriminant group, just change $c \mapsto b + c$. It follows that there are exactly two isomorphism types of lattices for d even, they are distinguished by the values of $\lambda_\alpha^2 \pmod{4}$, or equivalently by B_α^2 modulo integers.

Assume d is odd. If $\lambda_\alpha^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ one has $q_\alpha = \frac{a^2}{2d} + bc$ and if $\lambda_\alpha^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$ one has $q_\alpha = \frac{a^2}{2d} + \frac{b^2}{2} + bc$. Changing $(a, b, c) \mapsto (a + db, b, a + c)$ maps the first to the second form (use $d^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$), showing that the discriminant groups are isomorphic. Changing $\lambda_\alpha^2 \mapsto \lambda_\alpha^2 + 4$ is handled as in the d is even case. Thus there is a unique isomorphism type if d is odd and this concludes the proof of (1). \square

2.3. Moduli spaces of twisted sheaves. We recall the fundamental results on moduli spaces of twisted sheaves on a K3 surface T . The Mukai lattice $\tilde{H}(T, \mathbf{Z})$, of rank 24, and its bilinear

form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ are defined by

$$\tilde{H}(T, \mathbf{Z}) := H^0(T, \mathbf{Z}) \oplus H^2(T, \mathbf{Z}) \oplus H^4(T, \mathbf{Z}), \quad \langle (r, v, s), (r', v', s') \rangle := -rs' - r's + vv'.$$

We consider a B-field $B \in H^2(T, \mathbf{Q})$. It defines an isometry (where $\tilde{H}(T, \mathbf{Q}) := \tilde{H}(T, \mathbf{Z}) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$):

$$\exp(B) : \tilde{H}(T, \mathbf{Q}) \longrightarrow \tilde{H}(T, \mathbf{Q}), \quad x \longmapsto \exp(B) \wedge x,$$

where $\exp(B) = (1, B, B \wedge B/2) \in \tilde{H}(T, \mathbf{Q})$, and \wedge indicates the cup product on $\tilde{H}(T, \mathbf{Q})$, so

$$\exp(B) \wedge (r, v, s) = (r, v + rB, s + B \wedge v + rB \wedge B/2).$$

The B-field B defines a (polarized) Hodge structure $\tilde{H}(T, B, \mathbf{Z})$ of weight two on the lattice $\tilde{H}(T, \mathbf{Z})$ as follows ([HS1, Def. 2.3]):

$$\tilde{H}^{2,0}(T, B) := \mathbf{C}\omega_{T,B}, \quad \text{where } \omega_{T,B} := \exp(B)\omega_T = \omega_T + B \wedge \omega_T,$$

here ω_T is a basis of $H^{2,0}(T)$ and $B \wedge \omega_T \in H^4(T, \mathbf{C})$ is the cup product of B and ω_T , next one defines:

$$\tilde{H}^{0,2}(T, B) := \overline{\tilde{H}^{2,0}(T, B)}, \quad \tilde{H}^{1,1}(T, B) := (\tilde{H}^{2,0}(T, B) \oplus \tilde{H}^{0,2}(T, B))^\perp.$$

The isomorphism class of the Hodge structure $\tilde{H}(T, B, \mathbf{Z})$ depends only on the image α_B of B in the quotient $H^2(T, \mathbf{Q})/(NS(T)_{\mathbf{Q}} + H^2(T, \mathbf{Z})) = Br(T)$.

The trivial Hodge substructure in $\tilde{H}(T, B, \mathbf{Z})$ is denoted by

$$NS(T, B) := \{x \in \tilde{H}(T, \mathbf{Z}) : \langle x, \omega_{T,B} \rangle = 0\}.$$

i.e. $NS(T, B) = \tilde{H}(T, B, \mathbf{Z}) \cap \tilde{H}^{1,1}(T, B)$. Note that if $x = (r, \lambda, s)$ then

$$\langle x, \omega_{T,B} \rangle = \langle (r, \lambda, s), (0, \omega_T, B \wedge \omega_T) \rangle = -rB \wedge \omega_T + \lambda \wedge \omega_T = (-rB + \lambda) \wedge \omega_T.$$

The kernel of the map $H^2(T, \mathbf{Q}) \rightarrow H^4(T, \mathbf{C})$, $\mu \mapsto \mu \wedge \omega_T$ is $NS(T)_{\mathbf{Q}}$. From this it follows that if $(-rB + \lambda) \wedge \omega_T = 0$ then $-rB + \lambda = D$ for some $D \in Pic(T)_{\mathbf{Q}}$, hence $\lambda = rB + D$ and $(r, \lambda, s) = r(1, B, 0) + (0, D, 0) + (0, 0, s)$. A Brauer class α of order r in $Br(T)$ has a B-field lift $B \in H^2(T, \mathbf{Q})$ such that $rB \in H^2(T, \mathbf{Z})$ is primitive and then one finds

$$NS(T, B) = \langle (r, rB, 0) \rangle \oplus NS(T) \oplus H^4(T, \mathbf{Z}).$$

An element $v \in NS(T, B)$ is called a (B -twisted) Mukai vector.

In analogy with the untwisted case, one defines the transcendental lattice of $\tilde{H}(T, B, \mathbf{Z})$ as:

$$T(T, B) := \{x \in \tilde{H}(T, B, \mathbf{Z}) : xy = 0 \quad \forall y \in NS(T, B)\}.$$

If $\alpha \in Br(T) = Hom(T_T, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})$ is the Brauer class defined by B then there is an isometry of Hodge structures ([Hu2, Prop. 4.7], where Γ_α , $T(T, B)$ are denoted by $T(X, \alpha)$ and $T(\phi)$ respectively):

$$\Gamma_\alpha \cong T(T, B).$$

Let $\alpha \in Br(T)$ and let B be a B-field representative for α . Then there is a twisted Chern character ch^B from the K-group of α -twisted coherent sheaves on T to $NS(T, B)$ and the (twisted) Mukai vector an α -twisted sheaf E is defined as $v^B(E) := ch^B(E) \cdot \sqrt{\text{td}(T)}$ [Hu2, §4], [HS2]. If $v = (r, \ell, s)$ is a primitive B -twisted Mukai vector with $r > 0$ then the moduli space $M_v(T, B)$ of α -twisted sheaves E with $v^B(E) = v$ is an irreducible hyper-Kähler manifold of

dimension $2+v^2$ which is deformation equivalent to $T^{[n]}$ with $2n = 2+v^2$ (see [Y1, Thm. 3.16]). Moreover, if $v^2 > 0$ then there is an isometry of Hodge structures (see [Y1, Thm. 3.19])

$$H^2(M_v(T, B), \mathbf{Z}) \cong v^\perp, \quad NS(M_v(T, B)) \cong v^\perp \cap NS(T, B).$$

In particular, the transcendental lattice of $H^2(M_v(T, B), \mathbf{Z})$ is $T(T, B)$.

2.4. Twisted sheaves and Azumaya algebras. Using twisted sheaves, one finds a convenient description for the Azumaya algebra associated to a \mathbf{P}^1 -fibration. In the next proposition we do not assume that the Brauer class is non-trivial so it holds also for $B = 0$. In that case, one has $E = \mathbf{P}\mathcal{V}$ where \mathcal{V} is a rank two vector bundle on K and the Azumaya algebra is $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{V}^\vee$. The proposition can be generalized to \mathbf{P}^{r-1} -fibrations and locally free twisted sheaves of rank r (cf. [Ko, §9]).

In Section 4 we show that an exceptional BN divisor E is a \mathbf{P}^1 -fibration over a K3 surface with $K_E^3 = 12$. Therefore we compute K_E^3 in terms of the Mukai vector of a twisted sheaf. Proposition 2.6 then implies that there are Brauer classes on a general K3 surface that do not arise from such exceptional divisors.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $p : E \rightarrow K$ be a \mathbf{P}^1 -fibration over a K3 surface with Brauer class $\alpha \in Br(K)_2$ and let B be a B-field representative of α . Then $E = \mathbf{P}\mathcal{U}$ for a locally free α -twisted sheaf of rank two \mathcal{U} on K , the Azumaya algebra defined by E is isomorphic to $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U}^\vee$ and one has:*

$$c_2(\mathcal{A}) = v^B(\mathcal{U})^2 + 8, \quad K_E^3 = 2c_2(\mathcal{A}).$$

Proof. The conic bundle E is locally trivial (in the complex topology), so for a suitable open covering $K = \cup_i U_i$ we have $E_i := p^{-1}(U_i) \cong U_i \times \mathbf{P}^1$ and the gluing is by isomorphisms $\phi'_{ij} \in PGL(2, \mathcal{O}_K(U_i \cap U_j))$ which can be lifted to $\phi_{ij} \in GL(2, \mathcal{O}_K(U_i \cap U_j))$. These ϕ_{ij} define a cocycle $\alpha_{ijk} \in \Gamma(U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k, \mathcal{O}_K^*)$ which represents the Brauer class α of E . The ϕ_{ij} also define a locally free twisted sheaf \mathcal{U} on K with B-field B which also determines α and $E = \mathbf{P}\mathcal{U}$ (cf. [Y1, §1], [HS1, §1]).

According to [C, Thm. 1.3.5] for any Azumaya algebra \mathcal{A} over K with Brauer class α there is a locally free α -twisted sheaf of rank two \mathcal{U} such that $\mathcal{A} \simeq \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{U})$. More explicitly, let $\mathcal{G}_i := p_*\mathcal{O}_{E_i}(1)$, where $\mathcal{O}_{E_i}(1)$ is the pull-back of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(1)$ along the projection $E_i = U_i \times \mathbf{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$. Then $\mathcal{G}_i \cong \mathcal{O}_{U_i} \otimes H^0(\mathbf{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(1))$, a locally free sheaf of rank two, and $E_i = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{G}_i^\vee)$. The $p^*\mathcal{G}_i$ are locally free sheaves on E_i which glue to a locally free sheaf of rank two \mathcal{G} on E ([Y1, 1.1]). This sheaf sits in the (non-split) relative Euler sequence on E :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_E \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \omega_{E/K}^{-1} \longrightarrow 0$$

(here $\omega_{E/K}^{-1}$ is usually written as $\mathcal{T}_{E/K}$). Such extensions are parametrized by $H^1(E, \omega_{E/K}) (\cong H^1(E, \omega_K) = H^{3,1}(E))$ which is one dimensional, hence the exact sequence characterizes \mathcal{G} . According to [CI, §6], where \mathcal{G} is denoted by J , the Azumaya algebra on K defined by E is $\mathcal{A} := p_*\mathcal{E}nd_E(\mathcal{G}) = p_*(\mathcal{G}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{G})$.

Using the trace map, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{A}_0$ and $\mathcal{A}_0 \cong p_*\omega_{E/K}^{-1}$ [CI, Corollary 6.5]. Hence $K_E^3 = 2c_2(\mathcal{A}_0) = 2c_2(\mathcal{A})$ by Proposition 1.1.

The twisted sheaf \mathcal{U} on K thus pulls back to the (untwisted) sheaf $p^*\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{G}^\vee$ and indeed

$$\mathcal{A} = p_*p^*(\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U}^\vee) \cong p_*(\mathcal{O}_E) \otimes \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U}^\vee \cong \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U}^\vee.$$

Let $ch^B(\mathcal{U}) = (r, \lambda, s)$, with $r = 2$, be the twisted Chern character of \mathcal{U} . Then $ch^{-B}(\mathcal{U}^\vee) = (r, -\lambda, s)$ and thus

$$ch(\mathcal{A}) = ch^B(\mathcal{U}) \cdot ch^{-B}(\mathcal{U}^\vee) = (r^2, 0, 2rs - \lambda^2), \quad \text{hence } c_2(\mathcal{A}) = -2rs + \lambda^2.$$

On the other hand, $v^B(\mathcal{U}) = ch^B(\mathcal{U})\sqrt{\text{td}(K)} = (r, \lambda, r+s)$, hence $v^B(\mathcal{U})^2 = -2r(r+s) + \lambda^2$. \square

As a consequence, if \mathcal{U} is stable then the moduli space of deformations of \mathcal{U} has dimension $v^B(\mathcal{U})^2 + 2 = c_2(\mathcal{A}) - 6$, which agrees with [HoSt, Thm 3.6]. In the ‘extreme’ case that $v^B(\mathcal{U})^2 = -2$ (equivalently, $c_2(\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U}^\vee) = 6$), the following proposition shows that the stability of \mathcal{U} is automatic and thus \mathcal{U} is rigid.

Proposition 2.5. *Let (K, h) be a polarized K3 surface of degree $h^2 = 2d$ and assume that $\text{Pic}(K) = \mathbf{Z}h$. Let \mathcal{U} be a locally free α -twisted sheaf of rank two with non-trivial Brauer class $\alpha \in \text{Br}_2(K)$ represented by a B-field B and assume that $v^B(\mathcal{U})^2 \leq 0$. Then \mathcal{U} is stable.*

Proof. First we show that \mathcal{U} is simple, that is, $\dim \text{Hom}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}) = h^0(K, \mathcal{U}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{U}) = h^0(K, \mathcal{A}) = 1$ where $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U}^\vee$ is the Azumaya algebra associated to the \mathbf{P}^1 -fibration $p : E := \mathbf{P}\mathcal{U} \rightarrow K$. Since $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{A}_0$ this is equivalent to $h^0(K, \mathcal{A}_0) = 0$. As $\mathcal{A}_0 = p_*\omega_{E/K}^{-1}$ and $\omega_{E/K} = \omega_K$, we get $h^0(K, \mathcal{A}_0) = h^0(E, -K_E) = 0$, by Proposition 1.3. By assumption, $v(\mathcal{U})^2 \leq 0$ so it now follows from [Y1, Prop. 3.12] that \mathcal{U} is stable. \square

We recall that the non-trivial elements $\text{Br}(K)_2$ come in three types. For $\alpha \in \text{Br}(K)_2$, $\alpha \neq 0$, choose a representative B-field B . Then first of all we have the invariant $2Bh \in \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$. If $4Bh + h^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then α has the additional invariant $2B^2 \in \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$.

We now show that in case $4Bh + h^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $B^2 \in 2\mathbf{Z}$ there exists no locally free rank two α -twisted sheaf \mathcal{U} with $v^B(\mathcal{U})^2 = -2$. Thus only two of the three classes of elements in $\text{Br}(K)_2$ have an associated rank four Azumaya algebra \mathcal{A} with $c_2(\mathcal{A}) = 6$. In Proposition 2.7 we show that in case $4Bh + h^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ such a sheaf \mathcal{U} is unique up to twisting by line bundles.

Proposition 2.6. *Let (K, h) be a polarized K3 surface of degree $h^2 = 2d$ and assume that $\text{Pic}(K) = \mathbf{Z}h$. Let $\alpha \in \text{Br}(K)_2$, $\alpha \neq 0$, have B-field representative B . Assume that $4Bh + h^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $B^2 \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then there does not exist a locally free rank two α -twisted sheaf \mathcal{U} with $v^B(\mathcal{U})^2 = -2$.*

Proof. Since α has order two, $NS(K, B)$ is generated by $(2, 2B, 0)$, h and $H^4(K, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}$. As \mathcal{U} has rank two, we find $ch^B(\mathcal{U}) = (2, 2B + kh, s)$ for some integers k, s . Then $v^B(\mathcal{U}) = ch^B(\mathcal{U})\sqrt{\text{td}(K)} = (2, 2B + kh, s + 2)$ and

$$v^B(\mathcal{U})^2 = (2, 2B + kh, s + 2)^2 = -4s - 8 + 4B^2 + 4kBh + k^2h^2.$$

Since $B^2 \in \mathbf{Z}$, $k^2 \equiv k \pmod{2}$, $h^2 \in 2\mathbf{Z}$ and $4Bh + h^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ one finds $v^B(\mathcal{U})^2 \in 4\mathbf{Z}$, hence $v^B(\mathcal{U})^2 = -2$ is impossible. \square

A final result concerns the uniqueness of certain twisted sheaves for one of the three types of Brauer classes. The existence of locally free rank two α -twisted sheaves \mathcal{U} with Mukai vector

$v^B(\mathcal{U}) = (2, 2B, 1)$ and $B^2 = 1/2$ (for any value of Bh) will be shown in the proof of Theorem 4.2.

Proposition 2.7. *Let (K, h) be a polarized K3 surface of degree $h^2 = 2d$ and assume that $\text{Pic}(K) = \mathbf{Z}h$. Let $\alpha \in \text{Br}(K)_2$, $\alpha \neq 0$, have B -field representative B and assume that $4Bh + h^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.*

Let $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}'$ be locally free rank two α -twisted sheaves on K with $v^B(\mathcal{U})^2 = v^B(\mathcal{U}')^2 = -2$. Then there exists a line bundle \mathcal{L} on K such that $\mathcal{U} \cong \mathcal{U}' \otimes \mathcal{L}$. Moreover, if $B^2 = 1/2$ then there is a line bundle \mathcal{M} on K such that $v^B(\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{M}) = (2, 2B, 1)$.

Proof. Since α has order two, $NS(K, B)$ is generated by $(2, 2B, 0), h$ and $H^4(K, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}$. As \mathcal{U} has rank two, we find $ch^B(\mathcal{U}) = (2, 2B + kh, s)$ for some integers k, s . Let \mathcal{L} be the line bundle on K with class $-mh$, for some integer m , then $ch(\mathcal{L}) = 1 - mh + m^2h^2/2$. Using [HS1, Prop. 1.2(iii)] we get

$$ch^B(\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{L}) = ch^B(\mathcal{U})ch(\mathcal{L}) = (2, 2B + kh, s)(1, -mh, *) = (2, 2B + (k - 2m)h, s).$$

Hence choosing m suitably and replacing \mathcal{U} by $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{L}$ we may assume that $ch^B(\mathcal{U}) = (2, 2B, t)$ or $ch^B(\mathcal{U}) = (2, 2B + h, t)$ for some integer t . Then we have $v^B(\mathcal{U}) = ch^B(\mathcal{U})\sqrt{\text{td}(K)} = (2, 2B, t + 2)$ or $v^B(\mathcal{U}) = (2, 2B + h, t + 2)$. Computing $v^B(\mathcal{U})^2$ we find:

$$-2 = -4(t + 2) + 4B^2, \quad \text{or} \quad -2 = -4(t + 2) + 4B^2 + 4Bh + h^2.$$

Since $4Bh + h^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, the value of $B^2 \pmod{\mathbf{Z}}$ is not an invariant. If we assume that B is chosen such that $B^2 \notin \mathbf{Z}$, then $4B^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, hence the second equation has no solutions. The first equation shows that \mathcal{U} has Mukai vector $v := (2, 2B, t + 2)$ with $v^2 = -2$ (so with $t = (4B^2 - 6)/4$). Assuming $B^2 = 1/2$ we find $t = -1$ and $v = (2, 2B, 1)$.

(If instead we assume that $B^2 \in \mathbf{Z}$ then the first equation has no solution and the second one shows that \mathcal{U} has Mukai vector $v := (2, 2B + h, t + 2)$ with $v^2 = -2$.) Given $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}'$ as in the proposition, there is thus a line bundle, again denoted by \mathcal{L} , such that $v = v^B(\mathcal{U}) = v^B(\mathcal{U}' \otimes \mathcal{L})$. By Proposition 2.5, $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}'$ are stable and thus also after tensoring by a line bundle. Since $M_v(K, B)$ is a point, it follows that $\mathcal{U} \cong \mathcal{U}' \otimes \mathcal{L}$. \square

In the case of a general K3 surface (K, h) of degree two and a Brauer class $\alpha \in \text{Br}(K)_2$ that corresponds to a point of order two (cf. [vG], [IOOV]) one has $h^2 = 2$, $Bh \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbf{Z}}$, so $4Bh + h^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Thus there is a unique \mathbf{P}^1 -fibration $E = \mathbf{P}\mathcal{U}$ with Brauer class α having $v^B(\mathcal{U})^2 = -2$, equivalently, with $K_E^3 = 12$. We exhibited this conic bundle in (the proof of) Proposition 1.5, see also §5.1.

A Brauer class $\alpha \in \text{Br}(K)_2$ that corresponds to an odd theta characteristic has $Bh \equiv 1/2 \pmod{\mathbf{Z}}$, so $4Bh + h^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, and extra invariant $B^2 \notin \mathbf{Z}$. A \mathbf{P}^1 -fibration $E \rightarrow K$ with this Brauer class was given in Corollary 1.7 and it has $K_E^3 = 12$, so $E = \mathbf{P}\mathcal{U}$ with $v^B(\mathcal{U})^2 = -2$. This fibration is also discussed in §5.2.

Finally, a Brauer class $\alpha \in \text{Br}(K)_2$ that corresponds to an even theta characteristic has $Bh \equiv 1/2 \pmod{\mathbf{Z}}$, so $4Bh + h^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, and extra invariant $B^2 \in \mathbf{Z}$. In this case there does not exist a \mathbf{P}^1 -fibration $E \rightarrow K$ with Brauer class α which has $K_E^3 = 12$, equivalently, $c_2(\mathcal{A}) = 6$ where \mathcal{A} is the Azumaya algebra defined by E . Ingalls and Khalid [IK, Theorem 4.3] (cf. Remark 1.8) found a two dimensional family of Azumaya algebras \mathcal{B}_x , $x \in X$, of rank four, each with $c_2 = 8$, representing this Brauer class. This is thus ‘the best possible result’.

3. CONTRACTIONS AND HEEGNER DIVISORS

3.1. Contractions and Heegner divisors. In this section we follow Debarre and Macrì [DM] to classify all divisorial contractions on a hyper-Kähler fourfold X of $K3^{[2]}$ -type (with Picard rank two) in terms of sublattices of $H^2(X, \mathbf{Z})$, or equivalently, in terms of Heegner divisors in certain period spaces.

The following theorem collects the general results on certain -2 -classes on X . We write (\cdot, \cdot) for the bilinear form associated to the BBF-form on $H^2(X, \mathbf{Z})$. Recall that the base of a general divisorial contraction on a hyper-Kähler fourfold is a symplectic surface, so it is a K3 surface or an abelian surface ([Nm, Prop. 1.6]). From [BM1] in the case of $K3^{[2]}$ type hyper-Kähler fourfolds it is always a K3 surface (see Section 4).

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be an hyper-Kähler fourfold of $K3^{[2]}$ -type, $L \in \text{Pic}(X)$, $e = c_1(L)$, $(e, e) = -2$ and $(e, h) > 0$ for some Kähler class $h \in H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$. Suppose that (X, L) is general in the locus of deformations of X which keep e of type $(1, 1)$. Let k be the divisibility of e in $H^2(X, \mathbf{Z})$, so*

$$k = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } (e, H^2(X, \mathbf{Z})) = 2\mathbf{Z}, \\ 1 & \text{if } (e, H^2(X, \mathbf{Z})) = \mathbf{Z}. \end{cases}$$

Then $h^0(L^{\otimes k}) = 1$ and the unique effective divisor $E \in |L^{\otimes k}|$ is reduced and irreducible ([Ma]).

Moreover, let $F \subset X$ be an effective, reduced and irreducible divisor with class f such that $(f, f) < 0$. Then by [Dr] there exists a sequence of flops from (X, F) to (X', F') such that F' is contractible through a projective birational morphism.

Definition 3.2. Let E and k be as above. Then E is called a Brill-Noether (BN) exceptional divisor if $k = 1$ (so $E^2 = -2$) and a Hilbert-Chow (HC) exceptional divisor if $k = 2$ (so $E^2 = -8$).

We now consider exceptional divisors E and the divisor classes on X inducing the contraction. Let H_{2d} be a big and nef divisor on X , whose class in $\text{Pic}(X)$ is primitive with BBF degree $H_{2d}^2 = 2d$ and divisibility $\gamma \in \{1, 2\}$, which contracts a prime divisor E_{2d} with $E_{2d}^2 = -2$. The Picard group of X thus contains the lattice

$$\text{Pic}(X) \supset \mathbf{Z}H_{2d} \oplus \mathbf{Z}E_{2d} \cong \langle 2d \rangle \oplus \langle -2 \rangle .$$

Notice that the same sublattice appears for a HC contraction, where E_{2d} is not effective but $2E_{2d}$ is the class of the exceptional divisor.

A general deformation (X', H'_{2d}) of (X, H_{2d}) has $\text{Pic}(X') = \mathbf{Z}H'_{2d}$ and H'_{2d} is then an ample divisor class with square $2d$ with the same divisibility γ . Thus (X', H'_{2d}) is an element of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{2d}^{(\gamma)}$ (as defined in [DM, §3]). Since H_{2d} contracts a divisor, (X, H_{2d}) does not define a point in $\mathcal{M}_{2d}^{(\gamma)}$ hence its period point in $\mathcal{P}_{2d}^{(\gamma)}$ does not lie in the image of the period map $\wp_{2d}^\gamma : \mathcal{M}_{2d}^{(\gamma)} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{2d}^{(\gamma)}$.

The complement of the image of the period map is contained in a finite union of Heegner divisors $\mathcal{D}_{2d, 2e}^{(\gamma)}$, for certain e . Recall that the Heegner divisor $\mathcal{D}_{2d, 2e}^{(\gamma)}$ parametrizes hyper-Kähler fourfolds X of $K3^{[2]}$ type whose Picard lattice contains a rank two primitive sublattice with

signature $(1, 1)$, containing a primitive element h_0 with $q(h_0) = 2d$, and the discriminant of the orthogonal complement of the sublattice in $H^2(X, \mathbf{Z})$ is $-2e$.

Since the period map for smooth compact (not necessarily projective) hyper-Kähler fourfolds is surjective [Hu1, Theorem 8.1], there exists a compact hyper-Kähler fourfold for any given point in the period domain $\mathcal{P}_{2d}^{(\gamma)}$. This hyper-Kähler fourfold is unique up to flops.

It was proven in [DM, Thm. 6.1] that the period point of (X, H) is contained in a divisor of the type listed here (notice that we omit $\mathcal{D}_{2d,10d}^{(1)}, \mathcal{D}_{2d,2d/5}^{(1)}$ since then the non-ample divisor gives a small contraction of a -10 -class, cf. [DM], proof of Theorem 6.1):

If $\gamma = 1$

- (1) for any d in an irreducible component of $\mathcal{D}_{2d,2d}^{(1)}$ (which parametrizes HC contractions), we denote it by $\mathcal{D}_{2d,2d,\alpha}^{(1)}$ (and $\mathcal{D}_{2d,2d}^{(1)} = \mathcal{D}_{2d,2d,\alpha}^{(1)}$ if $d \not\equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$)
- (2) in case $d \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$ in a unique other irreducible component of $\mathcal{D}_{2d,2d}^{(1)}$ denoted by $\mathcal{D}_{2d,2d,\beta}^{(1)}$,
- (3) in one irreducible component of $\mathcal{D}_{2d,8d}^{(1)} \subset \mathcal{P}_{2d}^{(1)}$ denoted by $\mathcal{D}_{2d,8d,\alpha}^{(1)}$.

If $\gamma = 2$ in one irreducible component of $\mathcal{D}_{2d,2d}^{(2)} \subset \mathcal{P}_{2d}^{(2)}$ (such components occur iff $d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$). This component is denoted by $\mathcal{D}_{2d,2d,\alpha}^{(2)}$.

3.2. Description of the five Heegner divisors. We are going to show in Theorem 3.3 that the general point in each irreducible component listed in §3.1 is represented by a hyper-Kähler fourfold which is a moduli space of twisted sheaves $M_v(T, B)$ on a polarized K3 surface (T, h_T) . The Mukai vector $v \in \tilde{H}(T, \mathbf{Z})$, the type of the B -field B and the degree h_T^2 are given in Table 1. Recall that

$$H^2(M_v(T, B), \mathbf{Z}) = v^\perp \quad (\subset \tilde{H}(T, \mathbf{Z}))$$

and that the Mukai lattice is

$$\tilde{H}(T, \mathbf{Z}) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^2 H^{2i}(T, \mathbf{Z}) \cong \Lambda := U^3 \oplus E_8(-1)^2 \oplus \langle -2 \rangle,$$

we denote by δ the generator of the last summand of Λ , so $\delta^2 = 0$ and the divisibility of δ is $(\delta, \Lambda) = 2$. The classes of the big and nef divisor $H \in \text{Pic}(M_v(T, B)) = NS(T, B)$ and of the exceptional divisor $E \in NS(T, B)$ are also given in Table 1. Moreover, certain divisibilities which are essential for identifying the (irreducible components of the) Heegner divisors, equivalently to describe the embedding of lattices $\text{Pic}(X) \hookrightarrow \Lambda$, are also given.

Theorem 3.3. *A general hyper-Kähler fourfold on one of the five Heegner divisors in Table 1 is a moduli space of twisted sheaves $M_v(T, B)$, where (T, h_T) is a general polarized K3 surface of degree h_T^2 , with Mukai vector $v \in \tilde{H}(T, \mathbf{Z})$, B -field $B \in \frac{1}{2}H^2(T, \mathbf{Z})$, big and nef divisor class $H \in NS(M_v(T, B))$ and exceptional divisor class $s = E \in NS(M_v(T, B))$ as in Table 1.*

Proof. The proof is given case by case in the proposition given in the last row of Table 1. \square

TABLE 1. Heegner divisors, Brauer classes and moduli spaces

	$\mathcal{D}_{2d,2d,\alpha}^{(1)}$	$\mathcal{D}_{8k,8k,\beta}^{(1)}$	$\mathcal{D}_{2d,8d,\alpha}^{(1)}$	$\mathcal{D}_{8k+2,8k+2,\beta}^{(1)}$	$\mathcal{D}_{8k+6,8k+6,\alpha}^{(2)}$
Type	HC	BN	BN	BN	BN
$\text{div}_\Lambda(E)$	2	1	1	1	1
$\text{div}_{H^\perp}(E)$	2	2	1	2	1
h_T^2	$2d$	$2k$	$2d$	$8k+2$	$8k+6$
B-field	0	$hB = \frac{1}{2}, B^2 = \frac{1}{2}$	$hB = 0, B^2 = \frac{1}{2}$	0	0
v	$(1, 0, -1)$	$(2, 2B, 0)$	$(2, 2B, 0)$	$(2, h_T, 2k)$	$(2, h_T, 2k+1)$
H	$(0, h_T, 0)$	$(0, 2h_T, 1)$	$(0, h_T, 0)$	$(0, h_T, 4k+1)$	$(0, h_T, 4k+3)$
$s = E$	$(2, 0, 2)$	$(2, 2B, 1)$	$(2, 2B, 1)$	$(2, h_T, 2k+1)$	$(2, h_T, 2k+2)$
Ref.	Prop. 3.4	Prop. 3.5	Prop. 3.6	Prop. 3.7	Prop. 3.8

Notice that all non-trivial B-fields B on T in Table 1 have $B^2 \notin \mathbf{Z}$. In case $4Bh_T + h_T^2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, there is only one class of B-fields, so the value of B^2 is not important, even if the table lists only the case of B-fields with $B^2 \notin \mathbf{Z}$.

The next five propositions describe the lattices and some properties of the corresponding hyper-Kähler fourfolds parametrized by the Heegner divisors in Table 1.

Proposition 3.4. *A general point in the irreducible component $\mathcal{D}_{2d,2d,\alpha}^{(1)}$ corresponds to a hyper-Kähler fourfold X with Picard lattice isomorphic to*

$$\text{Pic}(X) \cong \mathbf{Z}H_{2d} \oplus \mathbf{Z}E_{2d} = \langle 2d \rangle \oplus \langle -2 \rangle$$

and the embedding $\text{Pic}(X) \hookrightarrow \Lambda$ can be chosen as

$$H_{2d} = (1, d)_1, \quad E_{2d} := \delta, \quad \text{hence} \quad \text{div}_\Lambda(H_{2d}) = 1, \quad \text{div}_\Lambda(E_{2d}) = \text{div}_{H_{2d}^\perp}(E_{2d}) = 2.$$

The transcendental lattice of X is isomorphic to

$$T_X \cong \langle -2d \rangle \oplus U^2 \oplus E_8(-1)^2, \quad |\det(T_X)| = 2d.$$

The general X is birationally isomorphic to the Hilbert square $K^{[2]}$, where (K, h_{2d}) is a general degree $2d$ K3 surface. Moreover, H_{2d} is induced by h_{2d} and $2E_{2d}$ is the class of the exceptional divisor of the Hilbert-Chow map $K^{[2]} \rightarrow K^{(2)}$.

Proof. Obviously, for any K3 surface K of degree $2d$, the Hilbert-Chow map $K^{[2]} \rightarrow K^{(2)}$ is an example of the contractions we consider and it corresponds to the first column of Table 1. For dimension reasons, the general point of an irreducible component of the Heegner divisor $\mathcal{D}_{2d,2d}^{(1)}$ parametrizes these contractions. \square

The second irreducible component $\mathcal{D}_{2d,2d,\beta}^{(1)}$ of $\mathcal{D}_{2d,2d}^{(1)}$ exists only for $d \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$ and we discuss it in Prop. 3.5 and 3.7 respectively.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $d \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then a general point in the irreducible component of $\mathcal{D}_{2d,2d,\beta}^{(1)}$ corresponds to a hyper-Kähler fourfold X with Picard lattice isomorphic to*

$$\text{Pic}(X) \cong \mathbf{Z}H_{2d} \oplus \mathbf{Z}E_{2d} = \langle 2d \rangle \oplus \langle -2 \rangle$$

and the embedding $\text{Pic}(X) \hookrightarrow \Lambda$ can be chosen as

$H_{2d} = (1, d)_1$, $E_{2d} := (1, -d)_1 + 2(1, \frac{1}{4}d)_2 + \delta$, hence $\text{div}_\Lambda(H_{2d}) = 1$, $\text{div}_\Lambda(E_{2d}) = 1$, and $\text{div}_{H_{2d}^\perp}(E_{2d}) = 2$. The transcendental lattice of X is isomorphic to

$$T_X \cong (\mathbf{Z}^3, M) \oplus U \oplus E_8(-1)^2, \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2}d & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In case $d \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, one has an isomorphism of lattices $T_X \cong T_S$, where (S, h_{2d}) is a general K3 surface of degree $2d$.

The general $X \in \mathcal{D}_{8k,8k,\beta}^{(1)}$ (with $d = 4k$) is birationally isomorphic to a moduli space of sheaves $M_v(T, B)$, where (T, h_T) is a polarized K3 surface of degree $h_T^2 = 2k = d/2 \in 2\mathbf{Z}$, the B -field $B \in \frac{1}{2}H^2(T, \mathbf{Z})$ satisfies

$$h_T^2 = d/2, \quad h_TB = \frac{1}{2}, \quad B^2 = \frac{1}{2},$$

and one can choose

$$v := (2, 2B, 0), \quad H_{2d} := (0, 2h_T, 1), \quad E_{2d} := (2, 2B, 1) \quad (\in \tilde{H}^2(T, \mathbf{Z})).$$

Proof. The Picard lattice is embedded in Λ with the correct divisibilities to obtain the second component in $\mathcal{D}_{2d,2d}^{(1)}$ according to [DM].

Notice that $T_X = \text{Pic}(X)^\perp \subset \Lambda$ is generated by

$$g_1 := (-1, 4e)_1 + 2(1, e)_2, \quad g_2 := (1, -e)_2, \quad g_3 := (0, 1)_2 - \delta, \quad U \oplus E_8(-1)^2.$$

One easily computes the Gram matrix M and verifies that $\det(T_X) = \det M = 2d$.

In case $d/4$ is even, the vectors $(d/4, 1, 0)$, $(d/8, 1, 1)$ span a copy of U (but they are not the standard basis) in the lattice (\mathbf{Z}^3, M) , hence, comparing determinants, $(\mathbf{Z}^3, M) \cong \langle -2d \rangle \oplus U$ and $T_X \cong \langle -2d \rangle \oplus U^2 \oplus E_8(-1)^2$ which is also the transcendental lattice of general K3 surface of degree $2d$.

To prove that X is isomorphic to the moduli space of sheaves $M_v(T, B)$ we recall from §2.3 that

$$NS(T, B) = \langle (2, 2B, 0), (0, h, 0), (0, 0, 1) \rangle \quad \text{so } v, H_{2d}, E_{2d} \in NS(T, B).$$

Since $v^2 = 2$, the moduli space $M_v(T, B)$ is indeed four dimensional and one easily verifies that $H_{2d}, E_{2d} \in v^\perp = H^2(M_v(T, B), \mathbf{Z})$. Moreover, $H_{2d}^2 = 4h_T^2 = 2d$, $E_{2d}^2 = -2$ and $H_{2d}E_{2d} = 0$.

Next we verify the divisibilities. Since $(1, 0, 0) \in v^\perp$ and $H_{2d}(1, 0, 0) = 1$ we have indeed $\gamma := \text{div}_\Lambda(H_{2d}) = 1$. Moreover, $E_{2d}(1, 0, 0) = 1$, so $\text{div}_\Lambda(E_{2d}) = 1$. Next we notice that (in $\Lambda = v^\perp$)

$$H_{2d}^{\perp\Lambda} = v^\perp \cap H_{2d}^\perp = \{(2h\eta, \eta, B\eta) : \eta \in H^2(T, \mathbf{Z}), B\eta \in H^4(T, \mathbf{Z})\}.$$

As $E_{2d}(2h\eta, \eta, B\eta) = -2h\eta \in 2\mathbf{Z}$, we find $\text{div}_{H_{2d}^\perp}(E_{2d}) = 2$.

Hence the moduli space $M_v(T, B)$ is indeed a general point in $\mathcal{D}_{8k,8k,\beta}^{(1)}$ and the Torelli theorem, see [HT2, §2], implies that $X \cong M_v(T, B)$ for some (general) degree $d/2$ K3 surface (T, h_T) . \square

The cases $d = 4, 8$ of Proposition 3.5, so the K3 surface T has degree $d/2 = 2, 4$ respectively, will be discussed in §5.2 and §5.3.

Proposition 3.6. *A general point in $\mathcal{D}_{2d,8d,\alpha}^{(1)}$ corresponds to a hyper-Kähler fourfold X with Picard lattice isomorphic to*

$$\text{Pic}(X) \cong \mathbf{Z}H_{2d} \oplus \mathbf{Z}E_{2d} = \langle 2d \rangle \oplus \langle -2 \rangle$$

and the embedding $\text{Pic}(X) \hookrightarrow \Lambda$ can be chosen as

$$H_{2d} = (1, d)_1, \quad E_{2d} := (1, -1)_2, \quad \text{so } \text{div}_\Lambda(H_{2d}) = 1, \quad \text{div}_{H_{2d}^\perp}(E_{2d}) = \text{div}_\Lambda(E_{2d}) = 1.$$

The transcendental lattice of X is isomorphic to

$$T_X \cong \langle -2d \rangle \oplus \langle 2 \rangle \oplus \langle -2 \rangle \oplus U \oplus E_8(-1)^2.$$

The general $X \in \mathcal{D}_{2d,8d,\alpha}^{(1)}$ is isomorphic to a moduli space of sheaves $M_v(T, B)$ where (T, h_T) is a polarized K3 surface of degree $h_T^2 = 2d$, the B -field $B \in \frac{1}{2}H^2(T, \mathbf{Z})$ satisfies

$$h_T^2 = 2d, \quad h_TB = 0, \quad B^2 = \frac{1}{2},$$

and one can choose

$$v := (2, 2B, 0), \quad H_{2d} := (0, h_T, 0), \quad E_{2d} := (2, 2B, 1) \quad (\in \tilde{H}^2(T, \mathbf{Z})).$$

Proof. We follow [DM]. Since $\gamma = 1$ we may assume that $H_{2d} = (1, d)_1$, so H_{2d} is in the first component U of Λ , and since H_{2d}^\perp is generated by $(1, -d)_1 \in U_1$, we have

$$L := H_{2d}^\perp = \langle -2d \rangle \oplus U^2 \oplus E_8(-1)^2 \oplus \langle -2 \rangle.$$

We choose $E_{2d} \in L$ to be the

$$E_{2d} := (1, -1)_2, \quad \text{hence } \text{div}_L(E_{2d}) = \text{div}_\Lambda(E_{2d}) = 1$$

(since for example $E_{2d} \cdot (0, 1)_2 = 1$). With these definitions, $\mathbf{Z}H_{2d} \oplus \mathbf{Z}E_{2d}$ is a primitive sublattice of Λ and thus we may identify

$$\text{Pic}(X) := \mathbf{Z}H_{2d} \oplus \mathbf{Z}E_{2d} \cong \langle 2d \rangle \oplus \langle -2 \rangle$$

for a general hyper-Kähler fourfold X with period point in $\mathcal{D}_{2d,8d}^{(1)}$. The transcendental lattice of X is $\text{Pic}(X)^\perp$, which is generated by $(1, -d)_1, (1, 1)_2$ and the ‘rest’ of Λ :

$$\text{Pic}(X)^\perp = \langle -2d \rangle \oplus \langle 2 \rangle \oplus U \oplus E_8(-1)^2 \oplus \langle -2 \rangle.$$

Thus $|\det(\text{Pic}(X)^\perp)| = 8d$ and therefore the period point of these hyper-Kähler fourfolds indeed lies in $\mathcal{D}_{2d,8d}^{(1)}$.

To prove that X is isomorphic to the moduli space of sheaves $M_v(T, B)$ we recall from §2.3 that

$$NS(T, B) = \langle (2, 2B, 0), (0, h, 0), (0, 0, 1) \rangle \quad \text{so } v, H_{2d}, E_{2d} \in NS(T, B).$$

Since $v^2 = 2$, the moduli space $M_v(T, B)$ is indeed four dimensional and one easily verifies that $H_{2d}, E_{2d} \in v^\perp = H^2(M_v(T, B), \mathbf{Z})$. Moreover, $H_{2d}^2 = 4h_T^2 = 2d$, $E_{2d}^2 = -2$ and $H_{2d}E_{2d} = 0$.

Next we verify the divisibilities. Since $(2B)^2 = 2$, $2B \in H^2(T, \mathbf{Z})$ is primitive and $BH = Bh = 0$ and the embedding of $\langle h, 2B \rangle$ in the K3 lattice is unique up to isometry. One easily finds a class $t \in H^2(T, \mathbf{Z})$ such that $th = 1$, $tB = 0$. Then $(0, t, 0) \in v^\perp$ and $H(0, t, 0) = 1$,

so H has divisibility $\gamma = 1$ in $v^\perp = \Lambda$. Moreover, $w := (-1, 0, 0) \in v^\perp$ and $E_{2d}w = 1$, so $\text{div}_\Lambda(E_{2d}) = 1$. Since $w \in v^\perp \cap H_{2d}^\perp = H_{2d}^{\perp\Lambda}$ we also get $\text{div}_{H_{2d}^\perp}(E_{2d}) = 1$.

Therefore the moduli space $M_v(T, B)$ is indeed a general point in $\mathcal{D}_{2d, 8d, \alpha}^{(1)}$ and the Torelli theorem, see [HT2, §2], implies that $X \cong M_v(T, B)$ for some (general) degree $2d$ K3 surface (T, h_T) . \square

See Section 5.1 for the relation with double EPW sextics in the case $d = 1$.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, we will write $d = 4k + 1$. A general point in the second component of $\mathcal{D}_{2d, 2d, \beta}^{(1)}$ corresponds to a hyper-Kähler fourfold X with Picard lattice isomorphic to*

$$\text{Pic}(X) \cong \mathbf{Z}H_{2d} \oplus \mathbf{Z}E'_{2d} = \left(\mathbf{Z}^2, \begin{bmatrix} 8k+2 & 4k+1 \\ 4k+1 & 2k \end{bmatrix} \right) \cong \left(\mathbf{Z}^2, \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2k \end{bmatrix} \right),$$

where $E'_{2d} = (H_{2d} + E_{2d})/2$ and in the isomorphism we replaced the basis e_1, e_2 by $e_1 - 2e_2, e_2$. One has $|\det(\text{Pic}(X))| = 4k + 1 = d$. The embedding $\text{Pic}(X) \hookrightarrow \Lambda$ can be chosen as

$$H_{2d} = (1, d)_1, \quad E'_{2d} := (1, 0)_1 + (1, k)_2.$$

The transcendental lattice of X is isomorphic to

$$T_X \cong \langle -2d \rangle \oplus U^2 \oplus E_8(-1)^2,$$

thus T_X is isometric to the transcendental lattice of general K3 surface of degree $2d$.

The general $X \in \mathcal{D}_{2d, 2d, \beta}^{(1)}$ is birationally isomorphic to a moduli space of sheaves $M_v(T)$ (so $B = 0$) where (T, h_T) is a general K3 surface of degree $h_T^2 = 2d$ and:

$$v := (2, h, 2k), \quad H_{2d} = (0, h, 4k + 1), \quad E_{2d} = (2, h, 2k + 1) \quad (\in \tilde{H}(T, \mathbf{Z})).$$

Proof. The Picard lattice is embedded in Λ with the correct divisibilities to obtain the second component $\mathcal{D}_{2d, 2d, \beta}^{(1)}$ of $\mathcal{D}_{2d, 2d}^{(1)}$ according to [DM]:

$$\text{div}_\Lambda(H_{2d}) = \text{div}_\Lambda(E_{2d}) = 1, \quad \text{div}_{H_{2d}^\perp}(E_{2d}) = 2.$$

Notice that $T_X = \text{Pic}(X)^\perp \subset \Lambda$ is generated by

$$g_1 := (-1, 4k + 1)_1 + (2, 2k + 1)_2, \quad g_2 := (1, -k)_2, \quad U \oplus E_8(-1)^2 \oplus \langle -2 \rangle.$$

The sublattice of T_X that is generated by g_1, g_2, g_3 , where $\mathbf{Z}g_3 = \langle -2 \rangle$ is the last summand of T_X above, has Gram matrix M and with the change of basis provided by S one has:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -2k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ k & 1 & k \\ 4k & 2 & 4k + 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad SM^tS = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2d \end{pmatrix},$$

hence $T_X \cong \langle -2d \rangle \oplus U^2 \oplus E_8(-1)^2$.

To prove that X is birationally isomorphic to the moduli space of sheaves $M_v(T)$ with $B = 0$ we observe that

$$NS(T, 0) = \langle (1, 0, 0), (0, h, 0), (0, 0, 1) \rangle \quad \text{so} \quad v, H_{2d}, E_{2d} \in NS(T, 0).$$

Since $v^2 = 2$, the moduli space $M_v(T)$ is indeed four dimensional and since $vH_{2d} = vE_{2d} = 0$ we see that $H_{2d}, E_{2d} \in v^\perp = H^2(M_v(T), \mathbf{Z})$. Moreover, $H_{2d}^2 = 2d$, $E_{2d}^2 = -2$ and $H_{2d}E_{2d} = 0$.

To verify the divisibilities, we choose $t \in H^2(T, \mathbf{Z})$ such that $th = 1$ (this is possible since $H^2(T, \mathbf{Z})$ is unimodular and h is primitive). One verifies that

$$t_1 := (1, 2kt, 0), \quad t_2 = (0, 2t, 1) \in v^\perp, \quad v^\perp = \mathbf{Z}t_1 \oplus \mathbf{Z}t_2 \oplus \{(0, \kappa, 0) : \kappa h = 0\}.$$

Since $H_{2d}(t_1 + 2kt_2) = 1$ we have indeed $\gamma := \text{div}_\Lambda(H_{2d}) = 1$. Moreover, $E_{2d}t_1 = 1$, so $\text{div}_\Lambda(E_{2d}) = 1$. Next we notice that (in $\Lambda = v^\perp$)

$$H_{2d}^{\perp\Lambda} = v^\perp \cap H_{2d}^\perp = \langle 2t_1 + (2k+1)t_2 \rangle \oplus \{(0, \kappa, 0) \in \tilde{H}(T, \mathbf{Z}) : \kappa h = 0\}.$$

As $E_{2d}(2t_1 + (2k+1)t_2) \in 2\mathbf{Z}$, we find $\text{div}_{H_{2d}^\perp}(E_{2d}) = 2$.

Hence the moduli space $M_v(T)$ is indeed a general point in $\mathcal{D}_{2d, 2d, \beta}^{(1)}$ and the Torelli theorem, see [HT2, §2], implies that X is birational to $M_v(T)$ for some (general) degree $2d$ K3 surface (T, h_T) . \square

The case $d = 1$ (so $k = 0$) in Proposition 3.7 was briefly described by O'Grady in [O3, §5.2] (who used $v = (0, h, 0)$, $h = (1, 0, 1)$ and $E = (1, 0, -1)$), his divisors S'_2, S''_2 are $\mathcal{D}_{2, 2, \alpha}^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{2, 2, \beta}^{(1)}$ respectively.

Proposition 3.8. *Let $d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and write $d = 4k + 3$. A general point in $\mathcal{D}_{2d, 2d, \alpha}^{(2)}$ corresponds to a hyper-Kähler fourfold X with Picard lattice*

$$\text{Pic}(X) = \mathbf{Z}H_{2d} \oplus \mathbf{Z}E_{2d} \cong \langle 2d \rangle \oplus \langle -2 \rangle$$

and the embedding $\text{Pic}(X) \hookrightarrow \Lambda$ can be chosen as

$$H_{2d} = 2(1, k+1)_1 + \eta, \quad E_{2d} := (1, -1)_2.$$

The transcendental lattice of X is isomorphic to

$$T_X \cong \langle -2d \rangle \oplus U^2 \oplus E_8(-1)^2,$$

thus T_X is isometric to the transcendental lattice of general K3 surface S of degree $2d$.

The general $X \in \mathcal{D}_{2d, 2d, \alpha}^{(2)}$ is birationally isomorphic to a moduli space of sheaves $M_v(T)$ (so $B = 0$) where (T, h_T) is a general K3 surface of degree $h_T^2 = 2d$ and:

$$v := (2, h, 2k+1), \quad H_{2d} = (0, h, 4k+3), \quad E_{2d} = (2, h, 2k+2) \quad (\in \tilde{H}(T, \mathbf{Z})).$$

Proof. We follow [DM]. Since $\gamma = 2$ we may assume that $H_{2d} = 2(1, k+1)_1 + \eta$ and choosing $E_{2d} = (1, -1)_2$ we obtain the correct divisibilities for this irreducible component of the Heegner divisor:

$$\text{div}_\Lambda(H_{2d}) = 2, \quad \text{div}_{H_{2d}^\perp}(E_{2d}) = \text{div}_\Lambda(E_{2d}) = 1.$$

The sublattice generated by H_{2d}, E_{2d} is primitive in Λ and hence it is $\text{Pic}(X)$.

Consider the following vectors in $\text{Pic}(X)^\perp$:

$$g_1 := (1, -k-1)_1, \quad g_2 := (0, 1)_1 + \eta, \quad g_3 := (1, 1)_2,$$

together with the remaining $U \oplus E_8(-1)^2$ they span $\text{Pic}(X)^\perp = T_X$. Notice that $f_1 := g_2 + g_3$ and $f_2 := g_1 + (k+1)(g_2 + g_3)$ span a hyperbolic plane and with $f_3 := g_3 - (2k+2)f_1 - 2f_2$ we get:

$$T_X = \mathbf{Z}f_3 \oplus \mathbf{Z}f_1 \oplus \mathbf{Z}f_2 \oplus (U \oplus E_8(-1)^2) \cong \langle -2d \rangle \oplus U^2 \oplus E_8(-1)^2 .$$

To prove that X is birationally isomorphic to the moduli space of sheaves $M_v(T)$ with $B = 0$ we observe that

$$NS(T, 0) = \langle (1, 0, 0), (0, h, 0), (0, 0, 1) \rangle \quad \text{so} \quad v, H_{2d}, E_{2d} \in NS(T, 0) .$$

Since $v^2 = 2$, the moduli space $M_v(T)$ is indeed four dimensional and since $vH_{2d} = vE_{2d} = 0$ we see that $H_{2d}, E_{2d} \in v^\perp = H^2(M_v(T), \mathbf{Z})$. Moreover, $H_{2d}^2 = 2d$, $E_{2d}^2 = -2$ and $H_{2d}E_{2d} = 0$. To verify the divisibilities, we choose $t \in H^2(T, \mathbf{Z})$ such that $th = 1$ (this is possible since $H^2(T, \mathbf{Z})$ is unimodular and h is primitive). One verifies that

$$t_1 := (1, (2k+1)t, 0), \quad t_2 = (0, 2t, 1) \in v^\perp, \quad v^\perp = \mathbf{Z}t_1 \oplus \mathbf{Z}t_2 \oplus \{(0, \kappa, 0) : \kappa h = 0\} .$$

From this one finds that $H_{2d}w \in 2\mathbf{Z}$ for all $w \in v^\perp$ so that indeed $\gamma := \text{div}_\Lambda(H_{2d}) = 2$ and $E_{2d}t_1 = -(2k+2)+2k+1 = -1$, hence $\text{div}_\Lambda(E_{2d}) = 1$. Finally we observe that $t_1 + (k+1)t_2 \in H_{2d}^\perp$ and that $E_{2d}(t_1 + (k+1)t_2) = -1$ so that $\text{div}_{H_{2d}^\perp}(E_{2d}) = 1$. Thus $M_v(T)$ is indeed a general point in $\mathcal{D}_{2d, 2d, \alpha}^{(2)}$ and the Torelli theorem, see [HT2, §2], implies that X is birational to $M_v(T)$ for some (general) degree $2d$ K3 surface (T, h_T) . \square

The Heegner divisor $\mathcal{D}_{2d, 2d}^{(2)}$ lies in the period space $\mathcal{P}_{2d}^{(2)}$ which is non-empty only for $d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. The general hyper-Kähler fourfolds classified by these spaces are the Fano varieties of cubic fourfolds in case $2d = 6$, Debarre-Voisin hyper-Kähler fourfolds in case $2d = 22$ and the Iliev-Ranestad hyper-Kähler fourfolds in case $2d = 38$. We discuss these cases in Sections 5.4, 5.5 and 5.6.

3.2.1. Hilbert squares. An isometry of lattices $T_X \cong T_S$ does not imply that X is birational to $T^{[2]}$ for some K3 surface T . In fact, for this to be true, one needs that $\text{Pic}(X) = T_X^\perp$ contains a -2 -class δ with $\delta^2 = -2$ and $\text{div}_\Lambda(\delta) = 2$, see [DM, Rem. 5.2]. We now discuss some cases where we have an isometry $T_X \cong T_S$. In case X is birationally isomorphic to $S^{[2]}$ one can study the geometry of the BN divisors also using [KLM].

If $X \in \mathcal{D}_{2d, 2d, \beta}^{(1)}$, cf. Proposition 3.5, and $d \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, there is such an isometry. Notice that whereas $E_{2d} \in T_X^\perp$ does have $E_{2d}^2 = -2$, it has $\text{div}_\Lambda(E_{2d}) = 1$. From the description of $T_X^\perp = \text{Pic}(X) = \langle H_{2d}, E_{2d} \rangle \subset \Lambda$ it is easy to see that a class $\delta = aH_{2d} + bE_{2d}$ has divisibility 2 in Λ iff $a \equiv b \pmod{2}$. If also $-2 = \delta^2 = 2a^2d - 2b^2$, that is, $b^2 - da^2 = 1$, it follows that $a \equiv b \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$.

In case $d = 16$ the Pell equation $b^2 - 16a^2 = 1$ has no solutions with $a \equiv b \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, in fact one would obtain $(b - 4a)(b + 4a) = 1$ with a, b odd integers which is impossible, so in that case X is certainly not birational to the Hilbert square of a K3 of degree $2d = 32$.

In case $d = 8, 24, 32$ we do find the solutions $(a, b) = (1, 3), (1, 5), (3, 17)$ to $b^2 - da^2 = 1$ with both a, b odd. It follows that in these cases there is a Hodge isometry $H^2(X, \mathbf{Z}) \cong H^2(S^{[2]}, \mathbf{Z})$ for some Hilbert square of a K3 surface S of degree $2d$ and thus X is birational to $S^{[2]}$.

Similarly, if $X \in \mathcal{D}_{2d,2d,\alpha}^{(2)}$, cf. Proposition 3.8, there is such an isometry where S is a K3 surface of degree $2d = 8k + 6$. In that case, a class $\delta = aH_{2d} + bE_{2d} \in \text{Pic}(X)$ has divisibility 2 in Λ iff $b \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. This class is a -2 -class iff $-2 = \delta^2 = 2a^2d - 2b^2$, that is, $b^2 - da^2 = 1$. In the cases $d = 3, 11, 19$ we do find the solutions $(a, b) = (1, 2), (3, 10), (39, 170)$ with b even.

4. BRAUER CLASSES OF EXCEPTIONAL BN DIVISORS

4.1. Brauer classes of exceptional BN divisors. In this section we deduce first of all that the exceptional divisor of a divisorial contraction on a hyper-Kähler fourfold of $K3^{[2]}$ type is a \mathbf{P}^1 -fibration over a K3 surface from the work of Bayer and Macrì [BM1]. Next we determine the Brauer classes of the exceptional divisors of BN contractions. We conclude with some results on the relations between the second cohomology groups of the hyper-Kähler fourfold X admitting the BN contraction with exceptional divisor $p : E \rightarrow K$, of the \mathbf{P}^1 -fibration E and of the K3 surface K . In particular, if the Brauer class $\alpha \in \text{Br}(K)_2$ of E is non-trivial, then we identify geometrically the sublattice Γ_α of index two in the transcendental lattice T_K of K .

First we show that if E is a BN-exceptional divisor, then, remarkably, the canonical divisor of E always has self-intersection number $K_E^3 = 12$ (equivalently, $c_2(p_*\omega_{E/K}^{-1}) = 6$).

Proposition 4.1. *Let $p : E \rightarrow K$ be a divisorial contraction on a hyper-Kähler manifold X of $K3^{[2]}$ type with $q(E) = -2$. Then $K_E^3 = 12$ and thus $c_2(\mathcal{W}) = 6$, where $\mathcal{W} = \omega_{E/K}^{-1}$. Moreover, we have the following intersection numbers:*

$$E(H - E)^3 = 12(d - 1), \quad E^2(H - E)^2 = 4(3 - d), \quad E^3(H - E) = -12,$$

where H is the big and nef divisor on X with $q(H) = 2d$ which contracts E . In particular, the degree of the linear system $H - E$ on E is $12(d - 1)$.

Proof. By adjunction on X , which has $K_X = 0$, we have $K_E = E|_E$ hence $K_E^3 = E^4$. The Beauville-Bogomolov form on $H^2(X, \mathbf{Z})$ has the property $x^4 = 3(x, x)^2$, so $K_E^3 = 3(E, E)^2 = 12$. From Proposition 1.1 we then find $c_2(\mathcal{W}) = 6$. We also have $x^3y = 3(x, x)(x, y)$ (this follows for example by considering $x + y$ instead of x in the identity $x^4 = 3(x, x)^2$), so the degree of $H - E$ on E is $(H - E)^3E = 3(H - E, H - E)(H - E, E) = 3 \cdot (2d - 2)(2) = 12(d - 1)$. For the last intersection number, $(H - E)^2E^2$, use $x^2y^2 = 2(x, y)^2 + (x, x)(y, y)$. \square

The next theorem shows that a BN divisor E on X is a \mathbf{P}^1 -fibration $p : E \rightarrow K$ over a K3 surface and thus it naturally defines a Brauer class $\alpha \in \text{Br}(K)_2$. On the other hand, the twisted moduli space structure on $X = M_v(T, B)$ (cf. Thm. 3.3) depends on a B-field B which defines a Brauer class $\beta \in \text{Br}(T)_2$. The theorem also asserts that $K \cong T$ and that we may identify α and β .

Theorem 4.2. *Let X be a hyper-Kähler fourfold of $K3^{[2]}$ type with Picard rank two and with a BN divisorial contraction $X \supset E \rightarrow K \subset Y$. Then $p : E \rightarrow K$ is a conic bundle over a K3 surface.*

Let $\alpha \in \text{Br}(K)_2$ be the Brauer class defined by this conic bundle over K . Let T be a K3 surface such that $X = M_v(T, B)$ as in Thm. 3.3 and let $\beta \in \text{Br}(T)_2$ be the Brauer class defined

by the B-field $B \in H^2(T, \mathbf{Q})$. Then there is an isomorphism $T \cong K$ and any such isomorphism maps β to α .

Proof. Let $\mathcal{H} := \langle v, s \rangle \subset NS(T, B)$. Since $v^2 = 2, s^2 = -2$ and $vs = 0$, $\mathcal{H} \cong \langle 2 \rangle \oplus \langle -2 \rangle$ so \mathcal{H} is an isotropic hyperbolic rank two sublattice. One easily verifies that \mathcal{H} is a primitive sublattice (notice that $v - s = (0, 0, -1)$). Then, by [BM1, Thm. 5.7], there is a corresponding BN divisorial contraction of $M_v(T, B)$. The exceptional divisor E is described in the proof of [BM1, Lem. 8.8], it is a \mathbf{P}^1 -fibration over $K := M_{v-s, \sigma_0}^{st}(T, \beta)$. Since $v - s = (0, 0, -1)$, $K = M_{v-s}(T, B)$ and K parametrizes skyscraper sheaves $\mathcal{O}_P[-1]$ with $P \in T$, this gives an isomorphism $T \cong K$.

The contraction $E \rightarrow K$ is described in [BM1, Lem. 8.7]. It involves the unique Gieseker semistable torsion free twisted sheaf such that $M_s(K, B) = \{\mathcal{S}\}$, with $s \in NS(T, B)$ as in Table 1. If \mathcal{S} is not locally free, then $\mathcal{Q} := \mathcal{S}^{\vee\vee}/\mathcal{S}$ has support in a finite number of points. Let $s = v^B(\mathcal{S}) = (r, \lambda, t)$, then $v^B(\mathcal{S}^{\vee\vee}) = (r, \lambda, l + n)$ where n is the length of \mathcal{Q} . Thus

$$v^B(\mathcal{S}^{\vee\vee})^2 = v^B(\mathcal{S})^2 - 2rn.$$

Notice that $v^B(\mathcal{S})^2 = -2$ and that $\mathcal{S}^{\vee\vee}$ is also semistable, hence $v^B(\mathcal{S}^{\vee\vee})^2 \geq -2$. Thus $\mathcal{Q} = 0$ and \mathcal{S} is locally free. From Table 1 one finds that $v(\mathcal{S}) = (2, *, *)$ in all cases under consideration, hence \mathcal{S} is a locally free β -twisted sheaf of rank 2. The \mathbf{P}^1 fiber in $E \subset M_v(K, B)$ over $P \in K$ parametrizes the surjections $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_P$. Thus we find that $E = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{S})$ and $p : E \rightarrow K$ is induced by the bundle projection $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow K$.

The conic bundle $E = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow T \cong K$ is defined by the β -twisted sheaf \mathcal{S} on T . Hence the Brauer class defined by E is $\beta \in Br(T)_2$. Thus β maps to α since both are determined by the \mathbf{P}^1 -fibration E . \square

We explicitly state the following corollary of Theorem 4.2, it implies Theorem 0.1.

Corollary 4.3. *Let X be a hyper-Kähler fourfold of $K3^{[2]}$ type admitting a BN contraction with exceptional divisor $E \subset X$ and let $p : E \rightarrow K$ be the induced \mathbf{P}^1 -fibration on a $K3$ surface K . Assume that $Pic(K) = \mathbf{Z}h$ and that $h^2 = 2d$.*

If the Brauer class $\alpha \in Br(K)_2$ of E is non-trivial then $X \in \mathcal{D}_{2d, 8d, \alpha}^{(1)}$ or $X \in \mathcal{D}_{8d, 8d, \beta}^{(1)}$. The class α has a B-field representative with $B^2 = 1/2$ and $Bh = 1/2$ in the first case and $B^2 = 1/2$, $Bh = 0$ in the second case. In both cases $E \cong \mathbf{P}\mathcal{U}$ where the α -twisted locally free rank sheaf \mathcal{U} of rank two is stable with $v^B(\mathcal{U}) = (2, 2B, 1)$, hence with $v^B(\mathcal{U})^2 = -2$.

Proof. If X admits a BN contraction and $Pic(K) = \mathbf{Z}h$ we see from the proof of Theorem 4.2 that $E = \mathbf{P}\mathcal{U}$ for a locally free rank two α -twisted sheaf \mathcal{U} with $v^B(\mathcal{U}) = s$ with B and s as in Table 1. In case α is non-trivial one has $s = (2, 2B, 1)$, where B is a B-field representative of α with $B^2 = 1/2$ and $Bh = 0, 1/2$ respectively. \square

We showed in Theorem 4.2 that the last two columns of Table 1 correspond to 19-dimensional families of hyper-Kähler manifolds where the exceptional divisor E of a BN contraction defines a trivial Brauer class on K and thus $E = \mathbf{P}\mathcal{V}$ is the projectivisation of a vector bundle \mathcal{V} over K . Here we obtain some information on the vector bundle involved and we shall see that \mathcal{V} has to be a Mukai bundle in the case the hyper-Kähler manifold is of $K3^{[2]}$ type.

Corollary 4.4. *Let (K, h) be a polarized K3 surface with $\text{Pic}(K) = \mathbf{Z}h$ and $h^2 = 2d$. Let $p: E \rightarrow K$ be an exceptional conic bundle in a hyper-Kähler fourfold X of $K3^{[2]}$ type with $q(E) = -2$.*

If $E = \mathbf{P}\mathcal{V} \rightarrow K$ for a rank two vector bundle \mathcal{V} on K then the Mukai vector $v = v(\mathcal{V})$ satisfies $v^2 = -2$. After tensoring \mathcal{V} by a suitable line bundle on K we can assume $c_1(\mathcal{V}) = h$ and $v(\mathcal{V}) = (2, h, (d+1)/2)$, in particular d is odd.

Proof. By Proposition 2.4, now with $B = 0$ and $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{V}$, we have $v(\mathcal{V})^2 = K_E^3/2 - 8 = -2$. As in the proof of Proposition 2.7 there is a line bundle \mathcal{L} on K such that $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{L}$ has Mukai vector $(2, 0, t)$ or $(2, h, t)$ for some integer t . As $v^2 = -2$ and $(2, 0, t)^2 = -2 = -4t$, which is impossible, we must have $v = (2, h, t)$, so after tensoring we may assume $c_1(\mathcal{V}) = h$. Computing v^2 we find $-2 = -4t + h^2$, hence $h^2 = 2d$ with d odd, and $t = (d+1)/2$. \square

According to Table 1 and Theorem 4.2 there are exactly two types of irreducible divisors parametrizing BN contractions with an exceptional divisor E that is a conic bundle over a K3 surface K with trivial Brauer class. They are $\mathcal{D}_{8k+2, 8k+2, \beta}^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{8k+6, 8k+6, \alpha}^{(2)}$. In these cases, the Mukai vector $s = [E]$ as in Table 1 is equal to the Mukai vector of the normalized bundle \mathcal{V} in Corollary 4.4, in fact $2d = 8k+2, 8k+6$ respectively. It is well-known (cf. [Mu, Thm. 3] and see [Hu3, 10.3.1] for references and the proof) that there is a unique stable bundle on K_{2d} with such a Mukai vector with $s^2 = -2$, called the Mukai bundle and denoted again by \mathcal{V} . Using the results of [BM1] we show in the following corollary that $E = \mathbf{P}\mathcal{V}$.

Corollary 4.5. *Let X be a hyper-Kähler fourfold of $K3^{[2]}$ type admitting a BN contraction with exceptional divisor $E \subset X$ and let $p: E \rightarrow K$ be the induced \mathbf{P}^1 -fibration on a K3 surface K . Assume that $\text{Pic}(K) = \mathbf{Z}h$ and that $h^2 = 2d$.*

If the Brauer class $\alpha \in \text{Br}(K)_2$ of E is trivial then $X \in \mathcal{D}_{2d, 2d, \beta}^{(1)}$ and $2d \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$ or $X \in \mathcal{D}_{2d, 2d, \alpha}^{(2)}$ and $2d \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$. Moreover, E is the projectivisation of the Mukai rank two vector bundle \mathcal{V} over K , so \mathcal{V} is the unique stable rank two bundle on K with Mukai vector $v(\mathcal{V}) = (2, h, (d+1)/2)$ as in Corollary 4.4.

Proof. According to Table 1 and Theorem 4.2 these are the only BN contractions for which $E \rightarrow K$ has trivial Brauer class. The proof of Theorem 4.2 shows that $E = \mathbf{P}\mathcal{V}$ and $v(\mathcal{V}) = s$, with s as in Table 1, which is the same as the Mukai vector given in Corollary 4.4. \square

4.2. The second cohomology groups. We consider again a general BN contraction of a hyper-Kähler fourfold X as in Table 1. Then there is a K3 surface T with B-field B and Mukai vector $v \in NS(T, B)$ such that $X = M_v(T, B)$ and the base of the associated \mathbf{P}^1 -fibration $p: E \rightarrow K$ is the K3 surface $K = M_{v-s}(T, B) \cong T$ (see Theorem 4.2 and its proof). Recall that $s = [E] \in H^2(X, \mathbf{Z})$ is the class of the exceptional divisor.

Since both X and K are moduli spaces of twisted sheaves on T , we can explicitly relate the Hodge structures on their second cohomology groups using a natural map r' , defined in the proof of the following Proposition 4.6,

$$r' : s^\perp \cap H^2(X, \mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow H^2(K, \mathbf{Z}) .$$

We will give a geometrical interpretation of r' in §4.2.1.

We also show that the image of r' is a sublattice of $H^2(K, \mathbf{Z})$ of index two. Since $H^2(K, \mathbf{Z})$ is selfdual, there is a B-field $B_X \in \frac{1}{2}H^2(K, \mathbf{Z})$ such that

$$r'(s^\perp \cap H^2(X, \mathbf{Z})) = \{\kappa \in H^2(K, \mathbf{Z}) : B_X \kappa \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbf{Z}}\}.$$

The Brauer class $\alpha_X \in Br(K)_2 = \text{Hom}(T_K, \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}/\mathbf{Z})$ defined by B_X ,

$$\alpha_X : T_K \longrightarrow \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}/\mathbf{Z}, \quad \tau \longmapsto B_X \tau$$

is shown to be the same as the Brauer class $\alpha \in Br(K)_2$ defined by the conic bundle $p : E \rightarrow K$. In particular, $\alpha = \alpha_X = 0$ iff $r'(T_X) = T_K$.

Proposition 4.6. *Let $X = M_v(T, B)$ and $K = M_{v-s}(T, B)$ as above, then the image of r' is a sublattice of index two in $H^2(K, \mathbf{Z})$. Let $B_X \in \frac{1}{2}H^2(X, \mathbf{Z})$ be the B-field that defines the image of r' and let $\alpha_X \in Br(K)_2$ be the Brauer class defined by B_X . Then $\alpha_X = \alpha$, where α is the Brauer class defined by the conic bundle $p : E \rightarrow K$.*

Proof. In $\tilde{H}(T, B, \mathbf{Z})$ we have the Mukai vector v with $v^2 = 2$ and the sublattice (and Hodge substructure) $v^\perp \cong H^2(X, \mathbf{Z})$ which contains the class s of E with $s^2 = -2$ (and $vs = 0$) (notice that we now consider $s \in \tilde{H}(T, B, \mathbf{Z})$ rather than in $H^2(X, \mathbf{Z})$). Thus $(v-s)^\perp \subset \tilde{H}(T, B, \mathbf{Z})$ contains the isotropic vector $v-s$, and there is a Hodge isometry $(v-s)^\perp / \langle v-s \rangle \cong H^2(K, \mathbf{Z})$. Notice that $s^\perp \cap v^\perp \subset (v-s)^\perp$. From this we get a Hodge isometry

$$r' : s^\perp \cap H^2(X, \mathbf{Z}) = s^\perp \cap v^\perp \hookrightarrow (v-s)^\perp \longrightarrow (v-s)^\perp / \langle v-s \rangle \cong H^2(K, \mathbf{Z}).$$

For BN contractions, the sublattice of $\tilde{H}(T, \mathbf{Z})$ generated by v and s , which is isometric to $\langle 2 \rangle \oplus \langle -2 \rangle$, is primitive and we embed it in a sublattice $U^2 \subset \tilde{H}(T, \mathbf{Z})$ so that $v = (1, 1)_1$ and $s = (1, -1)_2$. Next we define $v^* := (0, 1)_1$, $s^* := (0, 1)_2$ so that v, v^* and s, s^* span the two orthogonal copies of U and $ss^* = 1$, $vv^* = 1$. One verifies that

$$(v-s)^\perp = \langle v-s \rangle \oplus \langle s+2s^* \rangle \oplus \langle v^*+s^* \rangle \oplus (U^2)^\perp.$$

Hence we can, and will, identify $(v-s)^\perp / \langle v-s \rangle$ with a sublattice of $\tilde{H}(T, \mathbf{Z})$:

$$H^2(K, \mathbf{Z}) = (v-s)^\perp / \langle v-s \rangle \cong \langle s+2s^* \rangle \oplus \langle v^*+s^* \rangle \oplus (U^2)^\perp \cong U \oplus (U^2)^\perp.$$

In particular, $H^2(K, \mathbf{Z})$ is identified with this sublattice.

Since

$$s^\perp \cap H^2(X, \mathbf{Z}) = s^\perp \cap v^\perp = \langle v-2v^* \rangle \oplus \langle s+2s^* \rangle \oplus (U^2)^\perp,$$

the generator $v-2v^* = (v-s) + (s+2s^*) + 2(v^*+s^*) \in s^\perp \cap v^\perp$ maps to

$$r'(v-2v^*) = (s+2s^*) + 2(v^*+s^*) \in (v-s)^\perp / \langle v-s \rangle,$$

whereas $r'(s+2s^*) = s+2s^*$. Hence

$$r'(s^\perp \cap H^2(X, \mathbf{Z})) = \langle s+2s^* \rangle \oplus \langle 2(v^*+s^*) \rangle \oplus (U^2)^\perp \subset (v-s)^\perp / \langle v-s \rangle,$$

showing that we indeed get a sublattice of index two.

Since $s+2s^* \in (v-s)^\perp$ and

$$\frac{1}{2}(s+2s^*)(s+2s^*) = 1, \quad \frac{1}{2}(s+2s^*)(v^*+s^*) = \frac{1}{2},$$

we see that the intersection product with $\frac{1}{2}r'(s + 2s^*)$ takes integral values on the index two sublattice, but not on all of $H^2(K, \mathbf{Z})$ and thus we can take $B_X = \frac{1}{2}r'(s + 2s^*)$.

In view of Theorem 4.2, $\alpha_X = \alpha$ follows from $\alpha_X = \beta$. Recall that β has B-field representative $B \in H^2(T, \mathbf{Q})$ listed in Table 1 and also $s, v \in \tilde{H}(T, \mathbf{Z})$ are given there. We explicitly give s^* for each column. Since $\langle s, v, s^* \rangle$ is isometric to $U \oplus \langle 2 \rangle$, we can also find a v^* , but we won't need it.

We determine the class of $B_X \bmod H^2(K, \mathbf{Z}) + \frac{1}{2}Pic(K)$, with $B_X = \frac{1}{2}r'(s + 2s^*)$. Notice that in all four columns we have $v - s = (0, 0, -1)$ so that

$$(v - s)^\perp = H^2(T, \mathbf{Z}) \oplus H^4(T, \mathbf{Z}), \quad (v - s)^\perp / (v - s) = H^2(T, \mathbf{Z}) \cong H^2(K, \mathbf{Z}).$$

For the first two columns, we choose $s^* = (-1, 0, 0)$, then $s + 2s^* = (0, 2B, 1)$. Under the effective Hodge isometry $H^2(T, \mathbf{Z}) \cong H^2(K, \mathbf{Z})$ the B-field $B_X = \frac{1}{2}r'(s + 2s^*)$ thus maps to $B \in \frac{1}{2}H^2(K, \mathbf{Z})$ and so the Brauer classes α_X, β (defined by B_X, B respectively) are the same.

For the last two columns we choose a $t \in H^2(T, \mathbf{Z})$ such that $ht = 1$ and moreover $t^2 = 0$. Then h, t generate a copy of U in $H^2(T, \mathbf{Z})$.

For the third column, the case of $\mathcal{D}_{8k+2, 8k+2, \beta}^{(1)}$, let $s^* = (-1, -2kt, 0) \in \tilde{H}(T, \mathbf{Z})$ then $\langle s, s^* \rangle$ is a copy of U which is orthogonal to v . Since $v - s = (0, 0, -1)$ we can again identify $(v - s)^\perp / (v - s) = H^2(T, \mathbf{Z}) = H^2(K, \mathbf{Z})$ and denoting $r'(h), r'(t)$ by h, t , we get:

$$\frac{1}{2}r'(s + 2s^*) = \frac{1}{2}r'(0, h - 4kt, 2k + 1) = \frac{1}{2}h - 2kt \in Pic(K)_{\mathbf{Q}} + H^2(K, \mathbf{Z}).$$

Thus $B_X \equiv 0 \in Br(K)_2$.

For the last column, the case $\mathcal{D}_{8k+6, 8k+6, \alpha}^{(2)}$, we choose $s^* = (-1, -(2k + 1)t, 0) \in \tilde{H}(T, \mathbf{Z})$ and as for the third column we find

$$\frac{1}{2}r'(s + 2s^*) = \frac{1}{2}r'(0, h - (4k + 2)t, 2k + 2) = \frac{1}{2}h - (2k + 1)t \in Pic(K)_{\mathbf{Q}} + H^2(K, \mathbf{Z}).$$

Thus again $B_X \equiv 0 \in Br(K)_2$. □

4.2.1. O'Grady's map r . We recall some results on the relation between the integral cohomology of the three manifolds X, E and K , following O'Grady [O3, 3.9, 4.7]. The conic bundle $p : E \rightarrow K$ does not have a section in general and the restriction map $Pic(E) \rightarrow Pic(E_q) = \mathbf{Z}$ where $E_q := p^{-1}(q) \cong \mathbf{P}^1$ is the fiber of $p : E \rightarrow K$ over $q \in K$, has image $2\mathbf{Z}$. However, since we can deform (X, E) to the case that $E = \mathbf{P}\mathcal{V}$ for a rank two vector bundle \mathcal{V} on K , there is a class in $H^2(E, \mathbf{Z})$ that restricts to a generator of $H^2(E_q, \mathbf{Z}) = Pic(E_q)$. Choose $\eta_p \in H^p(E, \mathbf{Z})$ such that $i^*\eta_p$ generates $H^j(\mathbf{P}^1, \mathbf{Z})$ for $j = 0, 2$ where $i : \mathbf{P}^1 = E_q \rightarrow E$ is the inclusion of a(ny) fiber in E .

The Leray-Hirsch theorem (see [Hat]) states that there is an isomorphism, where η is a linear combination of the η_j :

$$H^*(K, \mathbf{Z}) \otimes H^*(\mathbf{P}^1, \mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow H^*(E, \mathbf{Z}), \quad \xi \otimes i^*\eta \longmapsto p^*\xi \wedge \eta,$$

In particular, the Betti numbers of E are $h^i(E) = 1, 0, 1 + 22 = 23, 0$ for $0, \dots, 3$. Notice that in general this isomorphism does not respect the Hodge structures since a general \mathbf{P}^1 -fibration over K does not have a section.

Using deformations and Hodge theory, [O3, Cor. 3.25.3] shows that $i^* : H^2(X, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(E, \mathbf{Z})$ is injective, notice that both groups have rank 23. Moreover,

$$s^\perp \xrightarrow{\cong} i^*(s^\perp) \subset c^\perp := \{\gamma \in H^2(E, \mathbf{Z}) : c \cdot \gamma = 0\} = p^*H^2(K, \mathbf{Z}) ,$$

where $s \in H^2(X, \mathbf{Z})$ is the class of E , and $c \in H^4(E, \mathbf{Z})$ is the class of a fiber of p , [O3, Cor. 3.25.2], the last equality follows from the Leray-Hirsch theorem. Thus one obtains an injective homomorphism r which is shown to preserve the quadratic forms, so $\tau^2 = r(\tau)^2$ for all $\tau \in s^\perp$, [O3, Claim 3.26]:

$$r : s^\perp \longrightarrow H^2(K, \mathbf{Z}) .$$

Finally, using that s is a class with $s^2 = -2$, one finds that s^\perp is a lattice with discriminant 4 whereas $H^2(K, \mathbf{Z})$ is unimodular and hence [O3, (449)]:

$$[H^2(K, \mathbf{Z}) : r(s^\perp)] = 2 .$$

We will now show that $r = r'$ and thus we obtain a more geometrical description of the map r' in the proof of Proposition 4.6.

Proposition 4.7. *The natural isometry $r(s^\perp) \rightarrow r'(s^\perp)$ extends uniquely to an isometry $H^2(K, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(K, \mathbf{Z})$, hence the maps r and r' may be identified.*

Proof. Since both r and r' are isometries between s^\perp and its image, there is a natural isometry $r(s^\perp) \rightarrow r'(s^\perp)$. Using the correspondence between isotropic subgroups of the discriminant group and even overlattices of s^\perp , O'Grady [O3, Claim 4.6] showed that there is a unique even unimodular overlattice of s^\perp . Using the maps r, r' that overlattice can then be identified with $H^2(K, \mathbf{Z})$. \square

5. EXAMPLES OF HEEGNER DIVISORS AND BN CONTRACTIONS

5.1. The Heegner divisor $\mathcal{D}_{2,8}^{(1)}$ and EPW sextics. A general element of $\mathcal{D}_{2,8}^{(1)}$ corresponds to a hyper-Kähler fourfold which is birational to a moduli space $M_{(2,2B,0)}(K_2, B)$ for a K3 surface (K_2, h_2) of degree two with Picard group $\text{Pic}(K_2) = \mathbf{Z}h_2$, as in Table 1. The B-field B is non-trivial and satisfies $Bh_2 = 0$, $B^2 = 1/2$, since $4Bh_2 + h_2^2 = 2$ is not divisible by four, the value of B^2 is not an invariant. Equivalently, the Brauer class $\alpha = \alpha_B \in \text{Br}(K_2)_2$ defined by B has $a_\alpha = 0$, $\lambda_\alpha^2 = 2$. Since $h_2^2 = 2$, there are $2^{20} - 1$ such Brauer classes (see Thm. 2.3.1b).

The Brauer class α corresponds to a line bundle $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_\alpha \in \text{Pic}(C)_2$ of order two on the sextic branch curve $C \subset \mathbf{P}^2$ of the double cover $K_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$ ([vG], [IOOV]). The corresponding conic bundle $p : E \rightarrow K_2$ was discussed in Proposition 1.5. We recall that the push-forward \mathcal{L} to $\mathbf{P}^2 = \mathbf{P}_y^2$ has a resolution which determines a symmetric 3×3 matrix $M(y)$ whose entries are homogeneous polynomials of degree two as in the proof of Prop. 1.5. This matrix defines a Verra threefold, a conic bundle V'_K over \mathbf{P}^2 (with singular fibers over C):

$$V'_K := \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{P}_x^2 \times \mathbf{P}_y^2 : {}^t x M(y) x = 0\} .$$

The pull-back of this conic bundle along g to K_2 is birationally isomorphic to a conic bundle $p : E \rightarrow K_2$ whose Brauer class is defined by α and we showed in Prop. 1.5 that $K_E^3 = 12$.

There is another description of these hyper-Kähler fourfolds, as resolutions of singular double EPW sextics, and the conic bundles due to O'Grady in [O3]. In [O3, Proposition 4.12] the

Heegner divisor $\mathcal{D}_{2,8}^{(1)}$ is denoted by \mathcal{S}_2^* . O'Grady shows that \mathcal{S}_2^* is a covering of degree $2^{20} - 1$ of the moduli space of degree two K3 surfaces, this is the map that forgets the Brauer class.

After briefly recalling some of the results from [O3], in particular a conic bundle E_2 , we show that E and E_2 are isomorphic.

Let V be a six dimensional complex vector space and let $\mathbb{L}G(\wedge^3 V)$ be the Grassmannian parametrizing maximally isotropic (for the wedge product) subspaces in $\wedge^3 V$. A general $A \in \mathbb{L}G(\wedge^3 V)$ defines an EPW sextic hypersurface $Y_A \subset \mathbf{P}V$ which is singular along a surface of degree 40. There is natural double cover $X_A \rightarrow Y_A$ which is a hyper-Kähler fourfold of K3^[2] type, called an EPW sextic. In general has $Pic(X_A) = \mathbf{Z}H_A$ and $H_A^2 = 2$.

Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{L}G(\wedge^3 V)$ be the divisor of those A which for which there exists a three dimensional subspace $W \subset V$ such that $\wedge^3 W \subset A$. For a general $A \in \Sigma$ there is a unique such W . In [O3, Cor. 3.17] it is shown that for general $A \in \Sigma$ the EPW sextic Y_A is singular along a K3 surface K_2 (denoted by S_A in [O3]) and that X_A has a hyper-Kähler desingularization \tilde{X}_A with a big and nef divisor \tilde{H}_A which is a deformation of a general (X_A, H_A) ([O3, Corollary 3.21]). The map $\varphi_{\tilde{H}}: \tilde{X}_A \rightarrow \mathbf{P}V$ defined by \tilde{H}_A is the composition of a BN contraction $\tilde{X}_A \rightarrow X_A$ and a degree two map $X_A \rightarrow Y_A$. In the diagram below U_1 is the unique three dimensional subspace of V with $\wedge^3 U_1 \in A$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \varphi_{\tilde{H}}: & \tilde{X}_A & \longrightarrow & X_A & \xrightarrow{2:1} & Y_A \subset \mathbf{P}V & \cong \mathbf{P}^5 \\ & \cup & & \cup & & \cup & \\ & E_2 & \longrightarrow & K_2 & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathbf{P}U_1 & \cong \mathbf{P}^2 . \end{array}$$

The map $g: K_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}U_1 \subset \mathbf{P}V \cong \mathbf{P}^5$ is induced by the degree two map $X_A \rightarrow Y_A \subset \mathbf{P}V$ and (K_2, h_2) is a K3 surface of degree 2 where $h_2 = g^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}U_1}(1)$. The double cover g is branched along a sextic curve $C = C_A \subset \mathbf{P}U_1$ which is smooth in general.

Now let B be a B-field representative of the Brauer class of the conic bundle $E_2 \rightarrow K_2$. From the identification of $\mathcal{D}_{2,8}^{(1)}$ with \mathcal{S}_2^* (which is done comparing lattices) and the results in previous sections it follows that \tilde{X}_A and $M_{(2,2B,0)}(K_2, B)$ are birationally isomorphic. Actually there is an isomorphism $\tilde{X}_A \cong M_{(2,2B,0)}(K_2, B)$, since these hyper-Kähler manifolds are birational and there are no -10 classes of divisibility 2 in their Kähler cones.

Proposition 5.1. *If $rk Pic(K_2) = 1$ then the conic bundles E and E_2 on K_2 are isomorphic.*

Proof. Both conic bundles have the same Brauer class, which has a B-field B representing it having $B^2 = 1/2$ and $Bh = 0$ according to Table 1. Both conic bundles have $K^3 = 12$ by Proposition 4.1 hence, by Proposition 2.4, $v(\mathcal{U}_1)^2 = -2 = v(\mathcal{U}_2)^2$ where $\mathbf{P}\mathcal{U}_1 \cong E_1$ and $\mathbf{P}\mathcal{U}_2 \cong E_2$. From Proposition 2.7 it then follows that $\mathcal{U}_2 \cong \mathcal{U}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}$ for some line bundle \mathcal{L} on K . Since $E_1 \cong \mathbf{P}\mathcal{U}_1 \cong \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{U}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}) \cong \mathbf{P}\mathcal{U}_2 \cong E_2$, the proposition follows. \square

5.1.1. *Remark.* Let V_K be the double cover of $\mathbf{P}^2 \times \mathbf{P}^2$ branched along V'_K . Similarly as in [IK, Thm. 4.5] one can now show that \mathbf{P}^1 -fibration $E_2 \rightarrow K_2$ is isomorphic to the relative Hilbert scheme of lines $Hilb_{(1,0)} V_K \rightarrow K$, where $Hilb_{(1,0)} V_K$ is the Hilbert scheme of curves in the fibers of the quadric fibration $\pi: V_K \rightarrow \mathbf{P}W$ of bidegree $(1, 0)$ with respect to the two projections of $V_K \subset C(\mathbf{P}^2 \times \mathbf{P}^2)$ to \mathbf{P}^2 (cf. [Ku2, §4], [BKK, §2]). Moreover, we can show that V_K is isomorphic to V_A , the Verra fourfold defined from A as in [KV, (2.18)].

5.2. The Heegner divisor $\mathcal{D}_{8,8,\beta}^{(1)}$ and Fano's of cubic fourfolds with a plane. The Fano fourfold F of lines in a smooth cubic fourfold X is a hyper-Kähler fourfold with an ample class $g \in \text{Pic}(F)$ defined by the Plücker map which has BB-square $g^2 = 6$.

Let now X be a smooth cubic fourfold with a plane $T \subset X$, such fourfolds are parametrized by the Hassett divisor \mathcal{C}_8 . Then T defines a divisor (class) $\tau = \alpha(T) \in \text{Pic}(F)$ where $\alpha : H^4(X, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(F, \mathbf{Z})$ is the Abel-Jacobi map (which only changes the sign on the primitive part). The Abel-Jacobi map is induced by the incidence correspondence Z in $X \times F$, so $Z = \{(x, [l]) \in X \times F : x \in l\}$ (and l is a line in X). One has $\tau^2 = -2$ and $g\tau = 2$ ([HT1, Example 7.5]). Thus $\text{Pic}(F)$ has the sublattice (which is equal to $\text{Pic}(F)$ for general cubics with a plane):

$$K_8 := \langle g + \tau, \tau \rangle = \langle 8 \rangle \oplus \langle -2 \rangle .$$

From the incidence correspondence one finds that the support τ , denoted by E , consists of the classes $[l] \in F$ of lines $l \subset X$ which meet T :

$$E = \{[l] \in F : l \cap T \neq \emptyset\}, \quad \tau = [E] .$$

The divisor $E \subset F$ is well known to be a conic bundle over a K3 surface K of degree two. Choose a plane $T' \subset \mathbf{P}^5$ disjoint from T . For $t \in T'$ let $P_t := \langle T, t \rangle \subset \mathbf{P}^5$ be the \mathbf{P}^3 spanned by T and t . Then $P_t \cap X = Q_t \cup T$ where Q_t is a quadric in P_t . In other words, let $\pi : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$ be the blow up of X along T . Then π is a quadric bundle over $\mathbf{P}^2 = T'$, the fiber of π over t is isomorphic to Q_t .

Any line in Q_t meets T and conversely any line $l \subset X$ meeting T is contained in a Q_t . Hence the (one or two) rulings of Q_t give one or two rational curves in $E \subset F$ and these curves can be mapped to t . This map $E \rightarrow T'$ factors over a K3 double cover $K \rightarrow T'$ branched over sextic curve in T' , cf. [Vo].

$$E \xrightarrow{p} K \xrightarrow{2:1} T' \cong \mathbf{P}^2 .$$

The map p is a \mathbf{P}^1 -fibration. See Corollary 1.7 (and its proof) for this conic bundle, as well as [Ku2, §4], and [MS] for relations between the associated twisted derived category of K and F . We summarize the discussion above in the following proposition.

Proposition 5.2. *A general point in the Heegner divisor $\mathcal{D}_{8,8,\beta}^{(1)}$ is given by (F, K_8) , where F is the Fano fourfold of a general cubic 4-fold with a plane and $K_8 = \langle g + \tau, \tau \rangle$.*

The conic bundle $p : E \rightarrow K$ is a BN contraction on F induced by $H := g + \tau$. The type of the Brauer class of this conic bundle is characterised by $hB = B^2 = \frac{1}{2}$ and it corresponds to an odd theta characteristic on the sextic branch curve of $K \rightarrow T' \cong \mathbf{P}^2$.

Proof. The divisibilities of g, τ in $H^2(F, \mathbf{Z})$ are 2 and 1 respectively. Hence the divisibility γ of $g + \tau$ in $H^2(F, \mathbf{Z})$ is equal to one and thus (F, K_8) defines a point in $\mathcal{D}_{8,2e}^{(1)}$. To find e , we need to determine $|\det(K_8^\perp)|$. As $H := g + \tau$ has divisibility 1, we have $|\det(H^\perp)| = 16$ and since τ has divisibility 1 we get, using [DM, (4)], that $2e = 2|\det(H^\perp)|/1 = 16$, hence $(F, g + \tau) \in \mathcal{D}_{8,8}^{(1)}$.

From Table 1 we indeed obtain that the base of the conic bundle K has a polarization h_K of degree two, as we also described above, and that the Brauer class $\alpha \in \text{Br}(K)_2$ defined by E has a B-field representative with $hB = B^2 = 1/2$. The proof of Corollary 1.7 gives the relation with the odd theta characteristic on the branch curve, see also [Vo, Prop. 4]. \square

5.3. The Heegner divisor $\mathcal{D}_{16,16,\beta}^{(1)}$ and the BOSS bundle. In case $d = 8$, the Heegner divisor $\mathcal{D}_{2d,2d}^{(1)}$ has a unique irreducible component parametrizing fourfolds with a BN contraction denoted by $\mathcal{D}_{16,16,\beta}^{(1)}$. As we observed in §3.2.1, a general X in $\mathcal{D}_{16,16,\beta}^{(1)}$ is birationally isomorphic to the Hilbert square $S^{[2]}$ of a K3 surface S of degree 16. From Table 1 we find that the contraction of the exceptional divisor, which we now denote by Z instead of E , $p : Z \rightarrow K$ is a conic bundle with non-trivial Brauer class $\alpha \in Br(K)_2$ over a quartic K3 surface (K, h) . Moreover, any B-field B representing α has $Bh = \frac{1}{2}$ (modulo the integers). Since $4Bh + h^2 = 2 \notin 4\mathbf{Z}$, B^2 does not give an extra invariant and we may assume $B^2 = 1/2$. There are 2^{20} such Brauer classes in $Br(K)_2$ (cf. Theorem 2.3(2)). The conic bundle is uniquely determined by these data, it is $\mathbf{P}\mathcal{U} \rightarrow K$ where \mathcal{U} is the unique α -twisted stable sheaf with $v^B(\mathcal{U}) = (2, 2B, 1)$ by Proposition 2.7.

In [vG, Corollary 9.4], the index 2 sublattice Γ_α of the transcendental lattice T_K of K is shown to be the transcendental lattice of a K3 surface and it is in fact T_S , with S as above, cf. Proposition 3.5. Alternatively, from the description of the K3 surface K as a moduli space of certain sheaves on S ([IR2, Thm. 3.4.8] [Al]) one can also deduce that T_S is isomorphic to an index two sublattice of T_K . In particular, S determines K uniquely, but for a general quartic K3 surface K there are 2^{20} choices for S .

The BOSS bundles are degree 12 threefolds $Z \subset \mathbf{P}^5$ that are conic bundles over quartic surfaces in \mathbf{P}^3 . These conic bundles were discovered in [BOSS] and further studied in [IR2], which we follow (see also [Al], [Ku2], [DMS], [MS+]). We will explicitly construct an embedding $Z \hookrightarrow S^{[2]}$ for a degree 16 K3 surface S . We show in Proposition 5.3 that this implies that the BOSS \mathbf{P}^1 -fibrations are isomorphic to exceptional divisors in hyper-Kähler fourfolds.

5.3.1. An incidence correspondence. Let V_6 be a six dimensional complex vector space with a symplectic form. There is natural decomposition $\wedge^3 V_{14} = V_{14} \oplus V_6$ as representations of the symplectic group of V_6 . Let $\Sigma = LG(3, V_6)$ be the Grassmannian of Lagrangian (i.e. maximally isotropic) subspaces in V_6 . There is a (Plücker) embedding $\Sigma \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}V_{14}$. For $p \in \mathbf{P}V_6$ we denote by $Q_p \subset \Sigma$ the subvariety of Lagrangian subspaces containing p . Then Q_p is isomorphic to a smooth 3-dimensional quadric and it is cut out in Σ by a four dimensional linear subspace $\mathbf{P}_p^4 \subset \mathbf{P}V_{14}$, so $Q_p = \mathbf{P}_p^4 \cap \Sigma$ ([IR2, §2.4]).

We consider the incidence variety (see [IR2, p.394])

$$J := \{(p, \omega) \in \mathbf{P}V_6 \times \mathbf{P}V_{14}^* : \mathbf{P}_p^4 \subset \mathbf{P}_\omega^{12}\},$$

here $\mathbf{P}_\omega^{12} \subset \mathbf{P}V_{14}$ is the hyperplane defined by $\omega \in V_{14}^*$. The image of J under the projection to $\mathbf{P}V_{14}^*$ is a quartic hypersurface $F = \pi(J) \subset \mathbf{P}V_{14}^*$. The singular locus Ω of F is 9-dimensional variety and the fiber of π over a point in $F - \Omega$ is a smooth conic ([IR2, Prop.2.5.5]).

5.3.2. The K3 surfaces S and K . Let S be a K3 surface of degree 16 with Picard rank one. Mukai proved that there is, up to projective equivalence, a unique $\mathbf{P}^9 = \mathbf{P}_S^9 \subset \mathbf{P}V_{14}$ such that $S = \mathbf{P}_S^9 \cap \Sigma$. The Mukai dual of S is the quartic K3 surface $K = \mathbf{P}_K^3 \cap F$, where $\mathbf{P}_K^3 \subset \mathbf{P}V_{14}^*$ is the dual of \mathbf{P}_S^9 .

The BOSS conic bundle over K defined by S is the restriction of π to $Z := \pi^{-1}(K) \rightarrow K$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi: & J & \longrightarrow \pi(J) = F \subset \mathbf{P}V_{14}^* \\ & \cup & \cup \\ & Z & \longrightarrow K = \mathbf{P}_K^3 \cap F. \end{array}$$

For $(p, \omega) \in Z \subset J$, we have $\omega \in K \subset \mathbf{P}_K^3$ and $\mathbf{P}_p^4 \subset \mathbf{P}_\omega^{12}$. Dualizing $\{\omega\} \subset \mathbf{P}_K^3$ we get $\mathbf{P}_S^9 \subset \mathbf{P}_\omega^{12}$ and thus $\mathbf{P}_p^4 \cap \mathbf{P}_S^9 \subset \mathbf{P}_\omega^{12}$. In particular $\dim \mathbf{P}_p^4 \cap \mathbf{P}_S^9 \geq 1$.

There is an embedding $Z \subset \mathbf{P}V_6$ given by $Z = \{p \in \mathbf{P}V_6 : \dim(\mathbf{P}_p^4 \cap \mathbf{P}_S^9) \geq 1\}$ ([Al, Remark 3]) and the ideal of the image, a threefold of degree 12, is generated by ten quintics. This is the classical description of the BOSS bundle ([BOSS]).

5.3.3. *The BOSS bundle as divisor on a Hilbert square.* Let $(p, \omega) \in Z \subset J$, so $\dim \mathbf{P}_p^4 \cap \mathbf{P}_S^9 \geq 1$. As $S = \Sigma \cap \mathbf{P}_S^9$ and $\Sigma \cap \mathbf{P}_p^4 = Q_p$ we see that

$$S \cap (\mathbf{P}_p^4 \cap \mathbf{P}_S^9) = Q_p \cap (\mathbf{P}_p^4 \cap \mathbf{P}_S^9).$$

If $\dim \mathbf{P}_p^4 \cap \mathbf{P}_S^9 > 1$ then, since Q_p is a quadric threefold in \mathbf{P}_p^4 , S will contain a conic which contradicts our assumption that $\text{Pic}(S)$ has rank one. Hence $\mathbf{P}_p^4 \cap \mathbf{P}_S^9$ is a line. This line is not contained in S since $\text{Pic}(S)$ has rank one. Thus the line $\mathbf{P}_p^4 \cap \mathbf{P}_S^9$ intersects Q_p and hence S in a zero cycle of degree two. This gives a map

$$\psi : Z \longrightarrow S^{[2]}, \quad (p, \omega) \longmapsto S \cap \mathbf{P}_p^4 \cap \mathbf{P}_S^9.$$

This map is an embedding and there is a BN contraction on $S^{[2]}$ which induces $\pi : Z \rightarrow K$.

Proposition 5.3. *A general point in the Heegner divisor $\mathcal{D}_{16,16,\beta}^{(1)}$ is given by $(S^{[2]}, K_{16})$ where (S, h) is a general K3 surface of degree 16, $K_{16} = \langle 3H - 8\xi, H - 3\xi \rangle$ with $H \in \text{Pic}(S^{[2]})$ the divisor class defined by h and 2ξ the class of the divisor parametrizing non-reduced subschemes.*

The map $\psi : Z \rightarrow S^{[2]}$ is an embedding and its image is the exceptional divisor E of the corresponding BN contraction. The \mathbf{P}^1 -fibration $Z \rightarrow K$ is over the Mukai dual quartic K3 surface (K, h) of S . The Brauer class of the BOSS bundle has (unique) invariant $Bh = 1/2$.

Proof. As in [DM, Example 5.3] we compute the nef cone of $S^{[2]}$. The Picard group of $S^{[2]}$ is generated by H, ξ , and $H^2 = 16, H\xi = 0$ and $\xi^2 = -2$. Since the Pell-type equation $a^2 - 32b^2 = 5$ has no solutions mod 5, hence has no solutions at all, the nef cone and the movable cone coincide and thus $S^{[2]}$ has a unique birational hyper-Kähler model (cf. [DM, §5]) and in particular it does not admit any flops.

The movable cone has extremal rays H and $H - 8(b/a)\xi$ where (a, b) is the solution of $a^2 - 8b^2 = 1$, with $a, b > 0$ and a minimal. This minimal solution is $(a, b) = (3, 1)$, so the nef cone has extremal rays H and $3H - 8\xi$. The (-2) -classes perpendicular to these rays are ξ and $H - 3\xi$. Notice that indeed $(H - 3\xi)(3H - 8\xi) = 3h^2 + 24\xi^2 = 3 \cdot 16 - 24 \cdot 2 = 0$ and $(H - 3\xi)^2 = 16 - 9 \cdot 2 = -2$.

In particular, the divisor with class $H - 3\xi$ is contracted by the big and nef class $H_{16} = 3H - 8\xi$. Notice that $H_{16}^2 = 9 \cdot 16 - 64 \cdot 2 = 16(9 - 8) = 16$, as we know should be the case for $(S^{[2]}, H_{16})$ to be in $\mathcal{D}_{16,16,\beta}^{(1)}$.

If ψ is not injective, there are distinct $p, q \in \mathbf{P}V_6$ such that the lines $l_p := \mathbf{P}_p^4 \cap \mathbf{P}_S^9$ and $l_q := \mathbf{P}_q^4 \cap \mathbf{P}_S^9$ are the same. Hence $Q_p \cap Q_q$ is not empty. As the quadrics $Q_p, Q_q \subset \Sigma$ are either disjoint or intersect along a line, they must then intersect along a line l . As l contains the degree two 0-cycle cut out by l_p on S , we have $l = l_p$. But $l \subset Q_p \cap Q_q \subset \Sigma$ hence $l \subset S$, which again contradicts that the rank of $Pic(S)$ is one. Finally, ψ is an embedding because the map $\mathbf{P}V_6 \ni p \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_p^4 \in G(5, 14)$ is an embedding.

To prove that the \mathbf{P}^1 -bundle $Z \subset S^{[2]}$ can be contracted we use [O3, Prop. 3.24], which asserts that $h^0(S^{[2]}, Z) = 1$ and $Z^2 < 0$ for the BB-form. From Theorem 3.1 we then deduce that Z can be contracted after a series of flops. But we already observed that $S^{[2]}$ does not admit flops and hence Z can be contracted, so its class is on an extremal ray.

The extremal ray defined by ξ defines the HC contraction $\mathbf{P}\mathcal{T}_S \rightarrow S$ and since Z is contracted to K , a K3 of degree four, it must define the other extremal ray. From [BOSS] we know that $K_Z^3 = 12$. Hence, as in the proof of Proposition 4.1, $Z^2 = -2$, so Z defines a BN contraction and Z has class $H - 3\xi \in Pic(S^{[2]})$. From Table 1 it follows that the period point lies in $\mathcal{D}_{16,16,\beta}^{(1)}$ and the B-field representative for $\beta \in Br(T)_2$ given there is also the representative for $\alpha \in Br(K)_2$ by Theorem 4.2. \square

5.4. The Heegner divisor $\mathcal{D}_{6,6}^{(2)}$ and nodal cubic fourfolds. For $2d = 6$, a general point of the Heegner divisor $\mathcal{D}_{2d,2d}^{(2)}$ is isomorphic to $S^{[2]}$ where (S, h) is a general K3 surface of degree 6. These K3 surfaces and their Hilbert squares are related to nodal cubic fourfolds and their Fano varieties cf. [Ha, 4.2, Lemma 6.3.1], [DM, Example 6.4], [GO, 4.3].

Such a Hilbert square admits two divisorial contractions, one is HC and the other is BN. From Corollary 4.5 the BN divisor is the projectivisation of the rank two, stable, Mukai bundle \mathcal{V} with $v(\mathcal{V}) = s = (2, h, 2)$ and $s^2 = -2$ (see Table 1). In particular, the associated Brauer class is trivial.

The K3 surface $S \subset \mathbf{P}^4$ is the complete intersection of a smooth quadric and a cubic hypersurface. Taking this quadric to be a hyperplane section of the Grassmannian $Gr(2, 4) \subset \mathbf{P}^5$, in [GO, Lemma 4.5] it is shown that the Mukai bundle \mathcal{V} can be chosen to be the restriction of the dual of the universal bundle on $Gr(2, 4)$ to S .

5.5. The Heegner divisor $\mathcal{D}_{22,22}^{(2)}$ and Debarre-Voisin fourfolds. Let (K, h) be a K3 surface of degree 22 and denote by $h, 2\xi \in Pic(K^{[2]})$ the classes corresponding to h and the class of the divisor parametrizing non-reduced subschemes respectively. In [DV], Debarre and Voisin show that the general hyper-Kähler fourfold with period in $\mathcal{P}_{22}^{(2)}$ is the zero locus of a section of $\wedge^3 \mathcal{E}_6$, where \mathcal{E}_6 is the tautological rank 6 vector bundle on the Grassmannian $Gr(6, 10)$. Moreover, these varieties are shown to be deformations of $(K^{[2]}, H)$, where

$$H = 10h - 33\xi, \quad \text{so} \quad H^2 = 10^2 \cdot 22 + 33^2 \cdot (-2) = 22(100 - 99) = 22.$$

Note that $HD \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ for all $D \in H^2(K^{[2]}, \mathbf{Z})$, hence the divisibility γ of H is indeed 2.

In [DV, Lemma 3.6] it is shown that ϕ_H is birationally a contraction of a divisor D' to a K3 surface Y_σ^3 of degree 22 (cf. [DV, Prop. 3.2]). In view of the divisibility of H , Table 1 shows that this contraction gives a point in $\mathcal{D}_{22,22,\alpha}^{(2)}$.

In [DV, Claim, p.79] it is shown that D' is not reduced, but has multiplicity two and combining with [DV, Lemma 3.5] one finds that $D' = 2E$ and the class of $E \in H^2(S^{[2]}, \mathbf{Z})$ is

$$E := 3h - 10\delta, \quad \text{so } E^2 = -2, \quad HE = 0.$$

By Corollary 4.5 the BN divisor E is the projectivisation of the rank two, stable, Mukai bundle \mathcal{V} with $v(\mathcal{V}) = s = (2, h, 6)$ and $s^2 = -2$.

The Hilbert square $S^{[2]}$ does admit flops, in fact it has the -10 class $2h - 7\delta$, and the moving cone is divided in two chambers. Let $\overline{S^{[2]}}$ be the flop of $S^{[2]}$ along this -10 class. It follows that H induces a BN contraction on $\overline{S^{[2]}}$. See also [DHOV] for polarized Hilbert squares that are Debarre-Voisin fourfolds.

5.6. The Heegner divisor $\mathcal{D}_{38,38}^{(2)}$ and Iliev-Ranestad fourfolds. The general hyper-Kähler fourfold with period in $\mathcal{P}_{38}^{(2)}$ is a variety of sums of powers $VSP(F, 10)$ where F is a cubic threefold in \mathbf{P}^5 and points of $VSP(F, 10)$ correspond to the ways of writing the equation of F as a sum of ten cubes of linear forms ([IR1] and [IR3]).

In [RV, §5] it is shown that for a general $F \in V_{V-ap}$, where V_{V-ap} is the divisor in the moduli space of cubic fourfolds consisting of cubics apolar to a Veronese surface, the variety $VSP(F, 10)$ is actually singular along a K3 surface S and its desingularization

$$X = V\widetilde{SP}(F, 10) \longrightarrow Y = VSP(F, 10)$$

is a divisorial contraction and the exceptional divisor E is a \mathbf{P}^1 -fibration over S . From our results we deduce the following which implies that a general point in $\mathcal{D}_{38,38,\alpha}^{(2)}$ corresponds, birationally, to the contraction above.

Proposition 5.4. *For a general $F \in V_{V-ap}$, the desingularization $X \rightarrow Y$ is a BN contraction and $X = V\widetilde{SP}(F, 10)$ is birational to $S^{[2]}$ where $S = \text{Sing}(Y)$ is a K3 surface of degree 38. The Brauer class of the conic bundle $E \rightarrow S$ is trivial and E is isomorphic to the projectivisation of the Mukai bundle on S with Mukai vector $s = (2, h, 10)$ where $h^2 = 38$.*

The Picard lattice of $S^{[2]}$, which is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}h \oplus \mathbf{Z}\xi = \langle 38 \rangle \oplus \langle -2 \rangle$, contains the -10 class $2h - 9\delta$ of divisibility 2 that defines a Mukai flop from $S^{[2]}$ to $\overline{S^{[2]}}$. On $\overline{S^{[2]}}$ the BN class $E = 39h - 170\xi$ is contracted by the morphism associated to the divisor $H = 170h - 741\delta$ of degree 38.

Proof. Since the contraction is given by a movable divisor H with divisibility $\gamma = 2$ we conclude from Table 1, where all the possible divisorial contractions are listed, that we are in the case of $\mathcal{D}_{8k+6,8k+6}^{(2)}$ and $k = 4$. In $\text{Pic}(S^{[2]})$ we must have $H = ah + b\xi$, with h the class induced by the polarization of degree 38 on S , and $38 = H^2 = 38a^2 - 2b^2$ and a should be even (since $\gamma = 2$) whereas the exceptional divisor E should be perpendicular to H , with $E^2 = -2$ and moreover the divisibility of E is one, so $E = ch_{38} + d\delta$ and c should be odd. Thus $38c^2 - 2d^2 = -2$ and (c, d) is a solution of the Pell equation $d^2 - 19c^2 = 1$ whose solutions are generated by $(c, d) = (39, 170)$. Then $HE = 0$ (with $H \cdot h_{38} > 0$) gives $(a, b) = (170, \pm 19 \cdot 39)$, so up to isometry, these are the classes of H and E . \square

6. THE HEEGNER DIVISOR $\mathcal{D}_{4,16}^{(1)}$, EPW SEXTICS AND A SPECIAL EPW SEXTIC

6.1. **The hyper-Kähler fourfolds in $\mathcal{D}_{4,16,\alpha}^{(1)}$.** Let (X, H) be a general hyper-Kähler fourfold of K3⁽²⁾-type with an ample divisor class H such that $q(H) = 4$. Then

$$\varphi_H: X \longrightarrow Y \subset \mathbf{P}^9$$

is a birational morphism as can be checked in the case where $X = S_4^{[2]}$, where (S, h) is a degree 4 polarized K3 surface, and H is defined by h . Indeed, the map given by H factorises through the HC contraction $S^{[2]} \rightarrow \text{Sym}^2(S)$ and an embedding $\text{Sym}^2(S) \subset \text{Sym}^2(\mathbf{P}) \subset \mathbf{P}^9$, so it is birational.

Now we assume that X has Picard rank two, that H is only big and nef and moreover that it induces a BN contraction. According to Table 1, the period point of (X, H) then lies in $\mathcal{D}_{4,16,\alpha}^{(1)}$. Let the contraction be given by $X \supset E \rightarrow K \subset Y \subset \mathbf{P}^9$, then K is a K3 surface of degree 4.

The Brauer class of E in $Br(K)_2$ is non-trivial for the general (X, H) (see Proposition 3.6).

We show in §6.2 that these hyper-Kähler fourfolds are also double EPW sextics. The natural involution on the fourfold X permutes two conic bundles, one of which is E , the other will be denoted by E' . Both conic bundles turn out to be birational to the complete intersection of the EPW sextic (in a \mathbf{P}^5) with a quadric, but this intersection is not a normal variety.

Since the general case remains somewhat mysterious, we study in §6.3 a codimension one subvariety of the divisor $\mathcal{D}_{4,16,\alpha}^{(1)}$ which parametrizes Hilbert squares of degree four K3 surfaces with a degree four rational curve. In that case E is a trivial conic bundle but certain aspects of the geometry are still interesting. Finally, in §6.4 we consider the concrete case of a certain rational quartic curve on the quartic Fermat surface in \mathbf{P}^3 . It turns out that then the singular surface of the EPW sextic has 60 isolated singular points, which makes the EPW sextic rather special.

6.2. **The divisor $\mathcal{D}_{4,16,\alpha}^{(1)}$ and EPW sextics.** In this section we provide some information on the -2 -divisor $E \subset X$ which is a conic bundle over a quartic surface K . Since the period point of X lies in $\mathcal{D}_{4,16,\alpha}^{(1)}$, the Picard group is, with now $\Lambda = H^2(X, \mathbf{Z})$:

$$\text{Pic}(X) = \mathbf{Z}H \oplus \mathbf{Z}E = \langle 4 \rangle \oplus \langle -2 \rangle, \quad \gamma := \text{div}_\Lambda(H) = 1, \quad \det(\text{Pic}(X)^{\perp \Lambda}) = 16.$$

The nef cone of X has extremal rays spanned by H and $H' := 3H - 4E$:

$$\overline{\text{Nef}(X)} = \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}H + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}H', \quad H' := 3H - 4E, \quad H^2 = (H')^2 = 4.$$

see [BM1, §13]

The perpendiculars of the extremal rays are

$$H^\perp = \mathbf{Z}E, \quad (H')^\perp = \mathbf{Z}E', \quad E' := 2H - 3E, \quad E^2 = (E')^2 = -2.$$

Hence $\phi_H, \phi_{H'}$ contracts E, E' respectively.

Notice that X has the ample class $L := H - E$ of square two and thus $\phi_L: X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^5$ exhibits X as a double EPW sextic. The covering involution ι induces an involution ι^* on $\text{Pic}(X)$ which is minus the reflection in the orthogonal complement of L .

$$\phi_L: X \longrightarrow Y := X/\iota \subset \mathbf{P}^5, \quad L := H - E.$$

The involution ι^* interchanges the two extremal rays, and also E, E' are exchanged. Thus these two divisors, which are conic bundles over quartic surfaces, are mapped to the same threefold $F = \phi_L(E) = \phi_L(2H - 3E) \subset \mathbf{P}^5$, of degree 12 by Proposition 4.1.

Notice that the sum of the classes of the two -2 -divisors is $E + E' = 2L$. Since $\phi_L(X) = Y$, an EPW sextic, Y is not contained in any quadric and thus the pull-back map $\phi_L^* : H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^5}(2)) \rightarrow H^0(2L)$ is injective and also surjective for dimension reasons. Thus there is a quadric $Q \subset \mathbf{P}^5$ which cuts out the image F of the -2 -divisors in Y . As this intersection is a threefold of degree $2 \cdot 6 = 12$ and also F has degree 12 we conclude that $F = Q \cap Y$. By adjunction we compute the canonical sheaf $\omega_F = \mathcal{O}_F(2)$. Now since $\phi_L|_E$ does not contract curves and the canonical divisor $K_E = E|_E \neq 2L|_E$ we conclude that the image F of E is not normal.

6.3. Example: Hilbert squares of certain degree four K3's. We construct a more explicit example of fourfolds, actually Hilbert squares, in $\mathcal{D}_{4,16,\alpha}^{(1)}$. Let (S, h) be a degree 4 K3 surface with a (-2) -curve n so that $hn = 4$. Notice that the quartic curve $n \subset \mathbf{P}^3$ lies on a quadric surface and that the residual curve n' is again a rational quartic with class $n' := 2h - n$. The linear system $h_{12} := h + 2n$ has degree

$$h_{12}^2 = (h + 2n)^2 = h^2 + 4hn + 4n^2 = 4 + 16 - 8 = 12, \quad h_{12}n = 4 - 4 = 0.$$

So in general $\phi_{h_{12}} : S \rightarrow S_{12} \subset \mathbf{P}^7$ contracts the rational curve n and S_{12} is a nodal degree 12 K3 surface.

Let H_{12} be the divisor class on the Hilbert square $S^{[2]}$ defined by h_{12} on S and let

$$H := H_{12} - 2\xi, \quad H^2 = 12 - 8 = 4.$$

The divisor n on S defines the divisor $E := n + S$ which is birational to $\mathbf{P}^1 \times S$. The class of E is $[E] = n = (n, 0) \in H^2(S^{[2]}, \mathbf{Z}) = H^2(S, \mathbf{Z}) \oplus \mathbf{Z}\xi$, where 2ξ is the divisor on $S^{(2)}$ parametrizing the non-reduced subschemes. Using the BB-form we have:

$$N := [E] = [n + S], \quad HN = 0, \quad N^2 = -2.$$

Consider the subgroup

$$K := \mathbf{Z}H \oplus \mathbf{Z}N \quad (\subset H^2(S^{[2]}, \mathbf{Z})),$$

with the BB-form on K we find the lattice $K \cong \langle 4 \rangle \oplus \langle -2 \rangle$.

Lemma 6.1. *The pairs $(S^{[2]}, K)$ are parametrized by a codimension 1 subvariety of the irreducible component $\mathcal{D}_{4,16}^{(1)}$ of the Heegner divisor $\mathcal{D}_{4,16}^{(1)}$. The line bundle L on $S^{[2]}$ with $L^2 = 2$ from §6.2 is given by*

$$L = H - E = H_{10} - 2\xi \in \text{Pic}(S^{[2]}),$$

where H_{10} is induced by $h_{10} := h + n \in \text{Pic}(S)$.

Proof. We may assume that $h, n \in H^2(S, \mathbf{Z}) = U^3 \oplus E_8(-1)^2$ are in the first two components U^2 and are given by

$$h = (1, 2)_1, \quad n = (0, 4)_1 + (1, -1)_2 \quad (\subset U^3 \oplus E_8(1)^2).$$

Then $h_{12} = h + 2n = (1, 10)_1 + (2, -2)_2$ and in $\Lambda = H^2(S, \mathbf{Z}) \oplus \mathbf{Z}\xi$ we have $K = \langle H, N \rangle$ with

$$H = H_{12} - 2\xi = (1, 10)_1 + 2(1, -1)_2 - 2\xi, \quad N = (0, 4)_1 + (1, -1)_2.$$

Since $H^2 = 4$ and the divisibility of N in Λ is one (since $N \cdot (0, 1)_2 = 1$) we see from Table 1 that $(S^{[2]}, K)$ lies in $\mathcal{D}_{4,16}^{(1)}$.

Recall that $L = H - E = H_{12} - N - 2\xi$ in $\text{Pic}(S^{[2]})$. Since H_{12} is induced by $h + 2n \in \text{Pic}(S)$, $H_{12} - N$ is induced by $h + n$. \square

6.4. The Fermat quartic and a special EPW sextic. We consider the following smooth rational quartic curve n in \mathbf{P}^3 :

$$n := \{(s^4 : st^3 : s^3t : t^4) \in \mathbf{P}^3 : (s : t) \in \mathbf{P}^1\}.$$

This curve lies in the smooth quartic surface

$$S : f := z_1^4 + z_2^4 - z_0z_3(z_0^2 + z_3^2) = 0.$$

Notice that S is (projectively) isomorphic to the quartic Fermat surface (substitute $z_0 := z_0 + z_3$, $z_3 := z_0 - z_3$).

The intersection of S with the quadric

$$Q : q := z_0z_3 - z_1z_2 = 0$$

consists of n and another smooth rational degree four curve n' obtained by permuting the second and third coordinates ($z_1 \leftrightarrow z_2$) on n :

$$S_4 \cdot Q = n + n', \quad n' := \{(s^4 : s^3t : st^3 : t^4) \in \mathbf{P}^3\}.$$

Thus $2h_4 = n + n'$ and $h_{10} = h + n = 3h - n'$. So we see that h_{10} is given by the restriction to S of the cubics on \mathbf{P}^3 containing n' . Notice that these cubics are independent of S and depend only on the choice of n' .

The ideal of n' is generated by the quadratic polynomial q and three cubics:

$$f_1 := -z_0^2z_2 + z_1^3, \quad f_2 := z_0z_2^2 - z_1^2z_3, \quad f_3 := -z_1z_3^2 + z_2^3.$$

Thus the map $\phi_{h_{10}}$ is defined as follows:

$$\phi_{h_{10}} : S \longrightarrow S_{10} \subset \mathbf{P}^6, \quad \phi_{h_{10}} = (z_0q : z_1q : z_2q : z_3q : f_1 : f_2 : f_3).$$

Notice that $\phi_{h_{10}}(n)$ is the conic $C_n \subset S_{10}$ defined by $y_0 = \dots = y_3 = y_4y_6 + y_5^2 = 0$.

6.4.1. The image Z of \mathbf{P}^3 in \mathbf{P}^6 . The coordinate functions of the map $\phi_{h_{10}}$ do not depend on the quartic surface S_4 but only on the quartic curve n' . The image of \mathbf{P}^3 under $\phi_{h_{10}}$ is (according to Magma) a smooth threefold Z of degree 5 in \mathbf{P}^6 which is the intersection of five quadrics defined by the polynomials:

$$F_0 := -y_0y_5 + y_1^2 - y_2y_4, \quad F_1 := y_0y_2 - y_1y_5 - y_3y_4, \quad F_2 := -y_1y_2 + y_4y_6 + y_5^2,$$

$$F_3 := -y_0y_6 + y_1y_3 + y_2y_5, \quad F_4 := -y_1y_6 + y_2^2 + y_3y_5.$$

The birational inverse of $\phi_{h_{10}} : \mathbf{P}^3 \rightarrow Z$ is the projection of Z given by

$$(y_0 : \dots : y_6) \mapsto (y_0 : \dots : y_3),$$

its base locus in Z is the conic C_N .

6.4.2. *The Del Pezzo quintic threefold.* We recall the definition from [MU] (cf. [KPS, Section 5.1]) of a quintic Fano threefold Z_{MU} of index 2 in \mathbf{P}^6 , known as the Del Pezzo quintic threefold, which is also a linear section of $Gr(2, 5) \subset \mathbf{P}^9$. We show that it is isomorphic to Z . (More intrinsically this could be done by studying the projection of Z_{MU} from a conic to \mathbf{P}^3 , the inverse map is then given by the cubics in the ideal of a degree four rational curve.)

The variety Z_{MU} is defined by the following 5 quadratic polynomials ([MU, p.505]):

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &:= h_0h_4 - 4h_1h_3 + 3h_2^2, & A_1 &:= h_0h_5 - 3h_1h_4 + 2h_2h_3, & A_2 &:= h_0h_6 - 9h_2h_4 + 8h_3^2, \\ A_3 &:= h_1h_6 - 3h_2h_5 + 2h_3h_4, & A_4 &:= h_2h_6 - 4h_3h_5 + 3h_4^2. \end{aligned}$$

To verify that $Z \cong Z_{MU}$ it suffices to verify that for $j = 0, \dots, 4$ one has:

$$F_j(18h_1, -3r^3h_2, -3r^4h_4, -18r^2h_5, r^2h_0, 12rh_3, 18h_6) = 324A_j(h_0, \dots, h_6), \quad (r^5 = 18).$$

6.4.3. *The image S_{10} of S .* The K3 surface $S_{10} = \phi_{h_{10}}(S) \subset \mathbf{P}^6$ is the intersection of $Z = \phi_{h_{10}}(\mathbf{P}^3)$ with a sixth quadric. In the case we consider here, one can take the quadric defined by

$$F_5 := y_0^2 + y_1y_4 + y_2y_6 + y_3^2.$$

6.4.4. *The map from $S^{[2]}$ to \mathbf{P}^5 .* From now on we identify the K3 surfaces S, S_{10} . Recall the rational map

$$\phi_L : S^{[2]} \longrightarrow Y \quad (\subset \mathbf{P}^5)$$

given by the divisor class $L = H_{10} - 2\xi$, where H_{10} corresponds to $h_{10} \in Pic(S)$, so $H_{10}^2 = 10$, and 2ξ is the divisor parametrizing non-reduced subschemes. It is defined by $H - 2\xi$ is given by associating to $p+q \in S^{[2]}$ the hyperplane in the \mathbf{P}^5 of quadrics defining S which vanish on the line spanned by p and q . This map can be given explicitly as in the proof of Proposition 2.2 of [DG+]: if $p, q \in S$ and F is a quadratic polynomial vanishing on S , then $F(\lambda p + \mu q) = 2\lambda\mu B(p, q)$ for a bilinear form B . The bilinear forms defined by the six defining quadrics are the coordinate functions of a rational map $S \times S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^5$ which factors over $S^{[2]}$ to give the map defined by L .

6.4.5. *The EPW sextic Y in \mathbf{P}^5 .* The map ϕ_L still defines a (rational) 2:1 map onto an EPW sextic in \mathbf{P}^5 . We checked this explicitly by using the bilinear forms B_j associated to the following six quadratic forms F_j (notice the non-standard ordering):

$$F_5, F_0, F_1, F_2, F_4, F_3.$$

We used Magma for the computations below. The image of $S^{[2]}$ under ϕ_L is indeed a sextic fourfold Y whose singular locus has dimension 2 and degree 40, as expected for an EPW sextic. The explicit equation has 53 terms (the coordinates on \mathbf{P}^5 are $(x_0 : \dots : x_5)$):

$$\begin{aligned} &-4x_0^3x_1x_3x_4 + x_0^3x_1x_5^2 + x_0^3x_2^2x_4 + x_0^3x_2x_3x_5 + x_0^3x_3^3 + 4x_0^2x_1^3x_4 - 3x_0^2x_1^2x_2x_5 - 3x_0^2x_1^2x_3^2 \\ &+ 3x_0^2x_1x_2^2x_3 + 4x_0^2x_1x_4^3 - x_0^2x_2^4 - 3x_0^2x_2x_4^2x_5 - 3x_0^2x_3^2x_4^2 + 3x_0^2x_3x_4x_5^2 - x_0^2x_5^4 + 3x_0x_1^4x_3 \\ &- 2x_0x_1^3x_2^2 + 6x_0x_1^2x_3x_4^2 - 6x_0x_1^2x_4x_5^2 - 6x_0x_1x_2^2x_4^2 - 12x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5 + 8x_0x_1x_2x_5^3 \\ &- 4x_0x_1x_3^3x_4 + 3x_0x_1x_3^2x_5^2 + 8x_0x_3^3x_4x_5 + 3x_0x_2^2x_3^2x_4 + x_0x_2x_3^3x_5 + 3x_0x_3x_4^4 - 2x_0x_4^3x_5^2 \\ &- x_1^6 - 3x_1^4x_4^2 + 4x_1^3x_2x_4x_5 + 4x_1^3x_3^2x_4 - 2x_1^3x_3x_5^2 - 6x_1^2x_2^2x_3x_4 - 3x_1^2x_2x_3^2x_5 - 3x_1^2x_4^4 \\ &+ 4x_1x_2^4x_4 + 8x_1x_2^3x_3x_5 + x_1x_2^2x_3^3 + 4x_1x_2x_4^3x_5 + 4x_1x_3^2x_4^3 - 6x_1x_3x_4^2x_5^2 + 4x_1x_4x_5^4 \\ &- 4x_2^5x_5 - x_2^4x_3^2 - 2x_2^2x_3x_4^3 - 3x_2x_3^2x_4^2x_5 + 8x_2x_3x_4x_5^3 - 4x_2x_5^5 + x_3^3x_4x_5^2 - x_3^2x_4^4 - x_4^6. \end{aligned}$$

6.4.6. *The trivial conic bundle.* The divisor $E = n + S \subset S^{[2]}$ is the limit of a conic bundle in the general $X \in \mathcal{D}_{4,16,\alpha}^{(1)}$. The image $F = \phi_L(E)$ is the image of $C_n \times S_{10} \subset (\mathbf{P}^6)^2$, notice that $C_n \times S_{10} \subset C_n \times Z$. We first computed the image of $C_n \times Z$ under the map given by the bilinear forms $B_j(p, q)$, it is the quadric in \mathbf{P}^5 defined by:

$$Q_n : \quad q_N = 0, \quad \text{with} \quad q_n := x_1x_4 - x_2x_5 .$$

Thus we have an interpretation of the quadric that cuts out $F = \phi_L(E)$ in terms of the geometry of S_{10} . The trivial conic bundle $n \times S \subset S^2$ maps first of all to a subvariety of $S^{[2]}$ that is birationally isomorphic to the trivial bundle \mathbf{P}^1 -bundle over S , and the image of this subvariety under ϕ_L is $F = Q_n \cap Y$.

6.4.7. *The singular surface of Y .* The singular surface of Y is rather remarkable in that its singular locus consists of 60 points, which we call the very singular points of Y . These points are rational over the splitting field L of the polynomial $x^4 - 2$. Notice that the roots of this polynomial are $\alpha_k := i^k \sqrt[4]{2}$, $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$, and thus $i = \alpha_1/\alpha_0 \in L$, with $i^2 = -1$, and also $\zeta_8 := (1+i)/\sqrt{2} \in L$, with $\zeta_8^2 = i$. Thus L contains the field $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_8)$ of eight-roots of unity. Only two of the singular points are defined over \mathbf{Q} , there are 11 other Galois orbits, one of length 2, six of length 4 and four of length 8.

6.4.8. *The very singular points.* The lines on the Del Pezzo quintic threefold Z in \mathbf{P}^6 are parametrized by \mathbf{P}^2 . The general line can be found as the image of a secant line to the rational curve $n' \subset \mathbf{P}^3$ under the map ϕ . Since Z is defined by the last 5 quadrics of the six in the list given in Section 6.4.5 that define the K3 surface $S \subset \mathbf{P}^6$, the first quadric will intersect a line on Z , not contained in S_{10} , in two points. This gives a rational map $\mathbf{P}^2 \rightarrow S^{[2]}$. Under the map $S^{[2]} \rightarrow Y$ the image of this \mathbf{P}^2 is contracted to $(1 : 0 : \dots : 0) \in Y$, which is in fact one of the sixty very singular points of Y . See [O1, section 3.2] and [Be] for the map $S^{[2]} \rightarrow Y$.

Let $C \subset S_{10}$ be a smooth conic and let $\mathbf{P}_C \subset \mathbf{P}^6$ be the plane it spans. Any quadric containing S_{10} either contains \mathbf{P}_C or intersects \mathbf{P}_C in C . If the quadric $F = 0$ contains \mathbf{P}_C , then for any two points $p, q \in C$ one has $B(p, q) = 0$ where B is the associated bilinear form. Thus $C^{[2]} \cong \mathbf{P}^2 \subset S^{[2]}$ is contracted under $\phi_L : S^{[2]} \rightarrow Y$ and the image is a very singular point of Y (cf. [O2, Section 4] but notice that S_{10} does contain lines, in fact there are 20 lines on S_{10} , for example $(is : it : \zeta_8 t : s : \zeta_8^3 t : 0 : t)$ parametrizes a line on S_{10}). Similarly, in case the conic $C = l \cup m$ is reducible, the image of $l \times m \subset S^2$ in $S^{[2]}$ is contracted by ϕ_L .

In particular the plane $y_0 = \dots = y_3 = 0$ spanned by the conic $C_n \subset S_{10}$ lies in the quadric $F_i = 0$ except if $i = 2$ and thus $S^2 C_n$ is contracted to the very singular point $(0 : 0 : 0 : 1 : 0 : 0)$. The other conics that are contracted are not defined over the rationals (there are 59 conics on S_{10} , 34 of them are reducible).

REFERENCES

- [Al] A. Alzati, *A concrete example of symplectic duality among K-3 surface*, Beitrage Algebra Geom. **51** (2010) 391–415.
- [AJ] M. Artin, A.J. de Jong, *Stable orders over surfaces*, unpublished manuscript (2004), see: <http://www.math.lsa.umich.edu/courses/711/ordersms-num.pdf>.
- [BM1] A. Bayer, E. Macrı, *MMP for moduli of sheaves on K3s via wall-crossing: nef and movable cones, Lagrangian fibrations*, Invent. Math., **198** (2014) 505–590.

- [B] A. Beauville, *Determinantal Hypersurfaces*, Michigan Math. J. **48** (2000) 39–64.
- [Be] P. Beri, *EPW sextics associated to K3 surfaces*, arXiv:2011.12223.
- [BKK] G. Bini, G. Kapustka, M. Kapustka, *Symmetric locally free resolutions and rationality problems*, arXiv:2005.02092.
- [BOSS] R. Braun, G. Ottaviani, M. Schneider, F.-O. Schreyer, *Classification of conic bundles in P^5* , Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. **23** (1996) 69–97.
- [C] A. Calderaru, *Derived categories of twisted sheaves on Calabi–Yau manifolds*, Ph.D. Thesis, Cornell University (2000).
- [CI] D. Chan, C. Ingalls, *Conic bundles and Clifford algebras*, New trends in noncommutative algebra, 53–75, Contemp. Math., 562, AMS, 2012.
- [DHOV] O. Debarre, F. Han, K. O’Grady, C. Voisin, *Hilbert squares of K3 surfaces and Debarre-Voisin varieties*, J. Éc. polytech. Math. **7** (2020) 653–710.
- [DK] O. Debarre, A. Kuznetsov, *Gushel-Mukai varieties: Moduli*, Internat. J. Math. **31** (2020) 2050013 (59 pages).
- [DM] O. Debarre, E. Macrì, *On the period map for polarized hyperkähler fourfolds*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2019 **22** (2019) 6887–6923.
- [Dr] S. Druel, *Quelques remarques sur la décomposition de Zariski divisorielle sur les variétés dont la première classe de Chern est nulle*. Math. Z. **267** (2011) 413–423.
- [DV] O. Debarre, C. Voisin, *Hyper-Kähler fourfolds and Grassmann geometry*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **649** (2010) 63–87.
- [DMS] W. Decker, N. Manolache, and F.-O. Schreyer, *Geometry of the Horrocks bundle on P^5* , Complex projective geometry, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 179, pp. 128–148, Cambridge Univ. Press 1992.
- [DG+] M. Donten-Bury, B. van Geemen, G. Kapustka, M. Kapustka, J. Wisniewski, *A very special EPW sextic and two hyper-Kähler fourfolds*. Geom. Topol. **21** (2017) 1179–1230.
- [Fr] R. Friedman, Algebraic surfaces and holomorphic vector bundles. Springer 1998.
- [vG] B. van Geemen, *Some remarks on Brauer groups of K3 surfaces*, Adv. Math. **197** (2005) 222–247.
- [GO] F. Gounelas, J.C. Ottem, *Remarks on the positivity of the cotangent bundle of a K3 surface*, Épijournal de Géométrie Algébrique **4** (2020).
- [HAG] R. Hartshorne, Algebraic Geometry. GTM 52, Springer.
- [HM] J. Harris, I. Morrison, Moduli of Curves. GTM 187, Springer.
- [Ha] B. Hassett, *Special cubic fourfolds*, Compositio Math. **120** (2000) 1–23.
- [HT1] B. Hassett, Y. Tschinkel, Rational curves on holomorphic symplectic fourfolds, Geom. Funct. Anal. **11** (2001) 1201–1228.
- [HT2] B. Hassett, Y. Tschinkel, *Extremal rays and automorphisms of holomorphic symplectic varieties*, in: “K3 surfaces and their Moduli”, Progr. Math, Vol. 315, pp. 73–95, Birkhäuser 2016.
- [Hat] A. Hatcher, *Algebraic topology*. Cambridge University Press 2002.
- [HoSt] N. Hoffmann, U. Stuhler, *Moduli schemes of generically simple Azumaya modules*, Doc. Math. **10** (2005), 369–389.
- [Hu1] D. Huybrechts, *Compact hyperkähler manifolds: Basic results*, Invent. Math. **135** (1999) 63–113.
- [Hu2] D. Huybrechts, *Generalized Calabi-Yau structures, K3 surfaces, and B-fields*, Internat. J. Math. **19** (2005) 13–36.
- [Hu3] D. Huybrechts, Lectures on K3 surfaces. Cambridge University Press 2016.
- [HS1] D. Huybrechts, P. Stellari, *Equivalences of twisted K3 surfaces*, Math. Ann. **332** (2005) 901–936.
- [HS2] D. Huybrechts, P. Stellari, *Proof of Calderaru’s conjecture*, in: “Moduli spaces and arithmetic of algebraic varieties”. Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 45 (2007), 31–42.
- [IR1] A. Iliev and K. Ranestad, *K3 surfaces of genus 8 and varieties of sums of powers of cubic fourfolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353** (2001) 1455–1468.
- [IR2] A. Iliev and K. Ranestad, *Geometry of the Lagrangian Grassmannian $LG(3,6)$ with applications to Brill-Noether Loci*, Michigan Math. J. **53** (2005) 383–417.
- [IR3] A. Iliev and K. Ranestad, *Addendum to “K3 surfaces of genus 8 and varieties of sums of powers of cubic fourfolds”*, C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci. **60** (2007) 1265–1270.

- [IOOV] C. Ingalls, A. Obus, E. Ozman, B. Viray, *Unramified brauer classes on cyclic covers of the projective plane*, Brauer groups and obstruction problems: moduli spaces and arithmetic, Progr. Math. Vol. 320, pp. 115–153, Birkhäuser 2013.
- [IK] C. Ingalls and M. Khalid, *An explicit derived equivalence of Azumaya algebras on K3 surfaces via Koszul duality*, J. of Algebra **432** (2015) 300–327.
- [KV] G. Kapustka, A. Verra, *On Morin configurations of higher length*, Int. Math. Res. Not., <https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnaa170>
- [KLM] A. Knutsen, M. Lelli-Chiesa, G. Mongardi, *Wall divisors and algebraically coisotropic subvarieties of irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **371** (2019) 1403–1438.
- [Ko] J. Kollár, *Severi-Brauer varieties; a geometric treatment*, arXiv:1606.04368.
- [Ku1] A. Kuznetsov, *Derived categories of quadric fibrations and intersections of quadrics*, Adv. Math. **218** (2008) 1340–1369.
- [Ku2] A. Kuznetsov, *Derived categories of cubic fourfolds*, Cohomological and Geometric Approaches to Rationality Problems. New Perspectives, Progr. Math, Vol. 282, pp. 219–243, Birkhäuser 2010.
- [KPS] A. Kuznetsov, Y. Prokhorov, C. Shramov, *Hilbert schemes of lines and conics and automorphism groups of Fano threefolds*, Jpn. J. Math. **13** (2018) 109–185.
- [MS] E. Macrì, P. Stellari, *Fano varieties of cubic fourfolds containing a plane*, Math. Ann. **354** (2012) 1147–1176.
- [Ma] E. Markman, *Prime exceptional divisors on holomorphic symplectic varieties and monodromy-reflections*, Kyoto J. Math. **53** (2013) 345–403.
- [MS+] K. McKinnie, J. Sawon, S. Tanimoto, A. Várilly-Alvarado, *Brauer groups on K3 surfaces and arithmetic applications*, Brauer groups and obstruction problems, Progr. Math., 320, 177–218, Birkhäuser 2017.
- [Mu] S. Mukai, *Biregular classification of Fano 3-folds and Fano manifolds of coindex 3*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **86** (1989) 3000–3002.
- [MU] S. Mukai, H. Umemura, *Minimal rational threefolds*, in: Algebraic geometry (Tokyo/Kyoto, 1982), Lecture Notes in Math. 1016, 1983, pp. 490–518.
- [Nk] T. Nakashima, *Stable vector bundles with $c_1 = 0$ on K3 surfaces*, Arch. Math. **74** (2000) 148–153.
- [Nm] Y. Namikawa, *Deformation theory of singular symplectic n -folds*, Math. Ann. **319** (2001) 597–623.
- [Ni] V. Nikulin, *Integral symmetric bilinear forms and some of their applications*, Math. USSR Izv. **14** (1980) 103–167.
- [O1] K. G. O’Grady, *Dual double EPW-sextics and their periods*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. **4** (2008) 427–468.
- [O2] K. G. O’Grady, *Double covers of EPW-sextics*, Michigan Math. J. **62** (2013) 143–184.
- [O3] K. G. O’Grady, *Periods of double EPW-sextics*, Math. Z. **280** (2015) 485–524.
- [RV] K. Ranestad, C. Voisin, *Variety of power sums and divisors in the moduli space of cubic fourfolds*, Doc. Math. **22** (2017) 455–504.
- [Sar] V. G. Sarkisov, *On conic bundle structures*, Math. USSR Izvestiya **20** (1982) 355–390.
- [S] C. Shramov, *Fiberwise bimeromorphic maps of conic bundles*, Internat. J. Math. **30** (2019) 1950059, 12 pp.
- [Vo] C. Voisin, *Théorème de Torelli pour les cubiques de P^5* , Invent. Math. **86** (1986) 577–601.
- [Y1] K. Yoshioka, *Moduli spaces of twisted sheaves on a projective variety*, Moduli Spaces and Arithmetic Geometry (Kyoto, 2004), 1–30, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 45, Tokyo, 2006.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, JAGIELLONIAN UNIVERSITY, ŁOJASIEWICZA 6, 30-348 KRAKÓW, POLAND.

Email address: grzegorz.kapustka (at) uj.edu.pl

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI MILANO, VIA SALDINI 50, 20133 MILANO, ITALY.

Email address: lambertus.vangeemen (at) unimi.it