

# FANO SHIMURA VARIETIES WITH MOSTLY BRANCHED CUSPS

YOTA MAEDA, YUJI ODAKA

ABSTRACT. We prove that the Satake-Baily-Borel compactification of certain Shimura varieties are Fano varieties, Calabi-Yau varieties or have ample canonical divisors with mild singularities. We also prove some variants statements, give applications and discuss various examples including new ones, for instance, the moduli spaces of unpolarized (log) Enriques surfaces.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We prove that the Satake-Baily-Borel compactification of certain Shimura varieties are Fano varieties or with ample canonical divisor by means of special modular forms (see Theorem 2.4). Their unbranched open subsets are always quasi-affine, and in Fano Shimura varieties case, we observe that most of cusps are covered by the closure of branch divisors. In section 3, we give various concrete examples, which include the moduli of (log) Enriques surfaces, those corresponding to  $II_{2,26}$ , and those associated to various Hermitian lattices which we construct.

The study of birational types of Shimura varieties is a semi-classical topic; Tai [Tai82], Freitag [Fre83] and Mumford [Mum83] (resp. Kondō [Kon93, Kon99], Gritsenko-Hulek-Sankaran [GHS07] and Ma [Ma18]) showed some Siegel (resp. orthogonal) modular varieties are of general type. Recently, the first author studied a similar problem for unitary modular varieties [Mae22].

On the other hand, in order to prove that Shimura varieties have negative Kodaira dimension, one of the powerful tools for it is the use of certain reflective modular forms [Gri10, Ma12, GH14, Gri18, Mae20].

For this recurring theme, our main idea in this paper is to focus on the Satake-Baily-Borel compactification, study it through modern birational geometry adapted to singular varieties and give applications. In this paper, we define “special” reflective modular forms, motivated by the work of Gritsenko-Hulek [GH14], and show a criterion for proving the Satake-Baily-Borel compactification of Shimura varieties are Fano varieties.

Then, we discuss examples in section §3, including new ones, to which we apply our criterion. For instance, it follows that the Satake-Baily-Borel compactification of the moduli spaces of unpolarized (log) Enriques surfaces are Fano varieties; see Example 3.13, 3.17.

We also give some applications to the understanding of cusps and rationality problems. That is, for these Fano-like Shimura varieties, all but one compact cusps are shown to be contained in the closure of branch divisors. In the same setup, we also show that if there are no such compact cusps, two general points are connected by a rational curve i.e., rationally connected by [Zha06]. See Corollary 2.8 for details. The former uses [Amb03, Fjn11], and in particular it logically relies on a vanishing theorem proven in *loc.cit.* We do not know of another proof which does not use a vanishing theorem (Problem 2.14). See Corollaries 2.8, 2.10, 2.12 for the details and more assertions proven. For instance, the moduli space of (unpolarized) Enriques surface is shown to be rationally connected, which is a weaker version of a famous result of Kondō [Kon94].

## 2. MAIN RESULTS AND PROOFS

In this section, we prove general theorems which are mentioned in the introduction. In the later section §3, we apply them to various concrete examples. First, we introduce some notations.

**2.1. Convention and Notation.** Below, we discuss the linear equivalence class of a Cartier divisor and the corresponding holomorphic line bundle interchangeably. Similarly, we do not distinguish the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear equivalence class of a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor and the corresponding  $\mathbb{Q}$ -line bundle. We use the following notations throughout.

- $\mathbb{G}$  is a simple algebraic group over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , not isogenous to  $SL(2)$ .
- $G$  is the identity component of  $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{R})$ , which we assume to be a simple Lie group.
- $K$  is a maximal compact subgroup of  $G$ ,
- The corresponding Hermitian symmetric domain is  $G/K$ .
- Take an arithmetic subgroup  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{Q})$  i.e., commensurable to  $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{Z})$ .
- $X := \Gamma \backslash G/K$  and its Satake-Baily-Borel compactification  $\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}$  ([Sat60, BB66]).
- $\mathbb{H}$  denotes the upper half plane (which is an example of  $X$ ).
- $\partial \overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}$  denotes the boundary of the Satake-Baily-Borel compactification, i.e.,  $\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}} \setminus X$ .

- Denote a toroidal compactification of  $X$  in the sense of [AMRT], with an arbitrary fixed cone decompositions, simply as  $\overline{X}$ . (The choice of cone decompositions do not affect the following discussions. )
- Denote the boundary divisor  $\overline{X} \setminus X$  as  $\Delta$  (with coefficients 1).
- Denote the branch divisor of  $G/K \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash G/K$  to be  $\cup_i B_i (\subset X)$  with prime divisors  $B_i$  and branch (or ramification) degree  $d_i$ . We denote the closure of  $B_i$  in  $\overline{X}$  (resp.,  $\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}$ ) as  $\overline{B}_i$  (resp.,  $\overline{B}_i^{\text{SBB}}$ ).
- $X^\circ := X \setminus \cup_i B_i$ .
- $L := K_{\overline{X}} + \Delta + \sum_i \frac{d_i - 1}{d_i} \overline{B}_i \in \text{Pic}(\overline{X}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  and its descended (automorphic)  $\mathbb{Q}$ -line bundle on  $\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}$ , i.e.,  $K_{\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}} + \sum_i \frac{d_i - 1}{d_i} \overline{B}_i^{\text{SBB}}$ .
- Recall from [BB66] and [Mum77, 3.4, 4.2 (also see 1.3)] that  $L$  is ample (resp., semiample) on  $\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}$  (resp.,  $\overline{X}$ ) and a meromorphic section of  $L^{\otimes t}$  for  $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  corresponds to meromorphic automorphic form of arithmetic weight  $ct$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In this paper, weight always simply refers to the arithmetic weight (in the sense of e.g., [GHS07]) and call  $c$  the *canonical weight*, following e.g., [GHS07]. See also Lemma 2.3 for the calculation of  $c$ .

**2.2. Special reflective modular forms.** Recall that reflective modular form is the concept originally formulated in [Gri10] for orthogonal case, which means that the divisor is defined by reflections. In this paper, we consider the following stronger properties, or proper subclass of reflective modular forms. The upshot of our general observation is that the existence of such special reflective modular forms give strong implications on the birational properties of modular varieties (see Theorem 2.4). These modular forms are rare, but luckily still various interesting examples are known (cf., [Gri18], our §3). We also construct new examples in the section §3.

**Assumption 2.1** (Special reflective modular forms - General case). *Consider the following subclasses of reflective modular forms.*

(i) *A non-vanishing holomorphic section  $f$  of*

$$\mathcal{O}_X(N(s(X)L - \sum_i \frac{d_i - 1}{d_i} B_i)) \left( := L^{\otimes aN} \left( - \sum_i \frac{N(d_i - 1)}{d_i} B_i \right) \right)$$

*for some  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ,  $s(X) \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$  with  $s(X)N, \frac{N}{d_i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ .*

- (ii) A non-vanishing holomorphic section  $f$  of  $\mathcal{O}_X(N(s(X)L - \sum_i c_i B_i))$  for some  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ,  $s(X) \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ , and  $c_i \in \mathbb{Q}$  with  $0 \leq c_i \leq \frac{d_i-1}{d_i}$  for all  $i$ , such that  $s(X)N, Nc_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

We follow the same convention below.

For a specific choice of  $\mathbb{G}$  and  $\Gamma$  that we are about to specify, Assumption 2.1 (i) specializes to the following simpler condition.

**Assumption 2.2** (Special reflective modular forms - orthogonal case). For  $n > 2$ , assume that there is a quadratic lattice  $\Lambda$  of signature  $(2, n)$  such that  $\mathbb{G} = O(\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Q})$  with  $\Gamma \subset O(\Lambda)$ . In this situation, we consider the following subclasses of reflective modular forms.

- (i) A non-vanishing holomorphic section  $f$  of  $\mathcal{O}_X(N(s(X)L - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i B_i))$  for some  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ,  $s(X) \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$  with  $s(X)N, \frac{N}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ .

Indeed, for the above  $\mathbb{G}$  and  $\Gamma$ , Gritsenko-Hulek-Sankaran showed that every branch divisor arises from reflections (of order 2) [GHS07, 2.12, 2.13], i.e., the ramification degrees  $d_i$  are all 2.

Note that  $N$  is unessential as it gets multiplied when replacing  $f$  by its power, while the quantity  $s(X)$  is more essential and sometimes called a *slope* in the literature. When we work on the cases  $G = O(2, n)$  or  $G = U(1, n)$  and regard  $f$  as a modular form, we call its arithmetic weight, in the sense of [GHS07] for instance, simply as a weight from now on.

We also review the following well-known fact for the convenience.

**Lemma 2.3** (cf., [Fre83, Hilfsatz 2.1], [GHS07, ¶S 6.1]). *In the orthogonal case  $G = O(2, n)$  (resp., in the unitary case  $G = U(1, n)$ ), the canonical weight  $c$  in the sense of §2.1 is  $n$  (resp.,  $n + 1$ ).*

*Proof.* Recall that the compact dual  $D^c$  of  $D$  in the orthogonal case  $G = O(2, n)$  is the  $n$ -dimensional quadratic hypersurface (resp.,  $D^c = \mathbb{P}^n$  in the unitary case  $G = U(1, n)$ ), its canonical divisor is  $K_{D^c} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(-n)|_{Q^n}$  (resp.,  $K_{D^c} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-n-1)$ ) so that the canonical weight  $c$  is  $n$  (resp.,  $n + 1$ ).  $\square$

Note that the quantity  $s(X)$  in Theorem 2.4 is the (arithmetic) weight of the modular form  $s$  divided by such canonical weight  $c$  and some constant; see Remark 3.8 and 3.27.

Below, we discuss various Shimura varieties  $X$  which can be roughly divided into two types, i.e., those with modular forms satisfying Assumption 2.1 (i), and those with modular forms satisfying Assumption 2.1 (ii).

The former is discussed in the next subsection §2.3, with examples given in section §3, and the latter is discussed in the subsection 2.4 while some examples are given in [GH14, Mae20].

**2.3. Main general results and proofs.** Here is our first general theorem.

**Theorem 2.4** (Birational properties). *We follow the notation as above. If there is a reflective modular form which satisfies Assumption 2.1 (i) with some  $s(X) \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ , then the Satake-Baily-Borel compactification  $\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}$  of  $X = \Gamma \backslash D$  only has log canonical singularities and  $X^\circ$  is quasi-affine. In addition,*

- (i) *if  $s(X) > 1$ , then  $\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}$  is a Fano variety i.e.,  $-K_{\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}}$  is ample ( $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier),*
- (ii) *if  $s(X) = 1$ , then  $\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}$  is a Calabi-Yau variety i.e.,  $K_{\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ , or*
- (iii) *if  $s(X) < 1$ , then  $K_{\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}}$  is ample.*

*Terminology.* In this paper, we often say a normal variety is a *log canonical model* (resp., *canonical model*) in the sense that it only has log canonical singularities (resp., canonical singularities) and the canonical class is ample. Hence, in the case (iii) above,  $\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}$  is a log canonical model. For the basics of birational geometry, we refer to e.g., [KM98].

*Proof.* Note that the codimension of the boundary of the Satake-Baily-Borel compactification  $\partial \overline{X}^{\text{SBB}} := \overline{X}^{\text{SBB}} \setminus X$  is at least 2, following from our assumption that  $\mathbb{G}$  is not isogenous to  $\text{SL}(2)$ . Indeed, for such  $G$ , any maximal real parabolic subgroup  $P$  has unipotent radical of dimension at least 2 so that Levi part of  $P$  has real codimension at least 3. The existence of the special reflective modular form implies

$$(1) \quad \sum_i \frac{d_i - 1}{d_i} B_i \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} s(X)L.$$

If we regard the holomorphic section satisfying Assumption 2.1 (i) as a section of the ample line bundle  $L^{\otimes s(X)N}$ , it follows that the complement of the vanishing locus is affine but that is nothing but  $\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}} \setminus \cup_i \overline{B}_i^{\text{SBB}}$  which includes  $X^\circ$ . This proof reflects the idea of [Bor96].

From (1) and the definition of  $L$  it follows that

$$(2) \quad -K_{\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (s(X) - 1)L$$

in  $\text{Pic}(\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ . Hence,  $-K_{\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}}$  is ample  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier if  $s(X) > 1$ . Similarly,  $K_{\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}}$  is ample  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier (resp.,  $K_{\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}} = 0$ ) if  $s(X) <$

1 (resp., if  $s(X) = 1$ ). On the other hand, from [Mum77, 3.4, 4.2 (also see 1.3)],  $\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}$  is obtained as a projective spectrum of a certain log canonical ring, hence the pair  $(\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}, \sum_i \frac{d_i-1}{d_i} \overline{B}_i^{\text{SBB}})$  has only log canonical singularity (as a pair) and  $K_{\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}} + \sum_i \frac{d_i-1}{d_i} \overline{B}_i^{\text{SBB}}$  is ample (see also [Ale96, 3.4, 3.5]). Thus  $\sum_i \frac{d_i-1}{d_i} \overline{B}_i^{\text{SBB}}$  is also  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier so that  $X$  itself is also log canonical.

On the other hand, recall that the construction of the Baily-Borel compactification [BB66] is a projective spectrum of the graded ring of automorphic forms and  $L$  is the  $c$  multiple tensors of its tautological line bundle  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  in the construction. Hence, it is ample so that our latter statements of the above theorem all follow from (2). This fact is more clarified in [Mum77, §3, §4]. We complete the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 2.5.** The above results are analogous to the Fano-ness results in [DN89], (resp., [Huy94, §2] also [Li94, §4]) in the context of moduli of (semi)stable bundles over curves (resp., surfaces). For the case over surfaces, the determinant line bundle which descends to the Donaldson-Uhlenbeck compactification is used in the place of automorphic line bundle  $L$ .

**Remark 2.6.** Case (iii) is a variant of the so-called “low weight cusp form trick” (cf., e.g., [GHS07]). See also [Gri10], [Gri18, §5.5] and references therein.

We introduce the following notion.

**Definition 2.7.** We call a cusp  $F$  of  $\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}$  *naked* if it is not contained in  $\text{Supp}(\overline{B}_i^{\text{SBB}}) \cap \partial \overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}$  for any  $i$ . Further, we call it *minimal naked* if it is minimal with respect to the closure relation among naked cusps, i.e.,  $\overline{F} \setminus F$  is contained in  $(\cup_i \text{Supp}(\overline{B}_i^{\text{SBB}})) \cap \partial \overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}$ . Also, we call  $\partial \overline{X}^{\text{SBB}} \setminus \cup_i \overline{B}_i^{\text{SBB}}$  *the naked locus*.

Below, we observe a certain weakening of connected-ness of cusps closure in the case of  $s(X) > 1$ , i.e., Fano case. This follows from [Amb03, 4.4, 6.6 (ii)], [Fjn11, 8.1], [Fjn10, §3], [FG12, 1.2] as the proof below, which is essentially just a review to make our logic more self-contained. Compare with our examples of the modular varieties given in the next section.

**Corollary 2.8** (Boundary structure for Fano Shimura varieties). *Let us assume the same assumption of Theorem 2.4 and further that  $s(X) > 1$ .*

Then, the naked locus

$$\partial\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}} \setminus \bigcup_i \overline{B}_i^{\text{SBB}}$$

is connected and its closure is nothing but the non-log-terminal locus of  $\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}$ . More strongly, there is at most one minimal naked cusp with respect to the closure relation.

Furthermore, if we suppose such a minimal naked cusp  $F$  exists, there is an effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $D_F$  such that  $(\overline{F}, D_F)$  has only klt singularities and is a log Fano pair, i.e.,  $-K_F - D_F$  is ample and  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier. For instance, if  $F$  is a modular curve, it is rational i.e.,  $\overline{F} \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$  (with ‘‘Hauptmodul’’).

*Proof.* Firstly, we prepare the following general lemma (compare with e.g., [Ale96, §3]).

- Lemma 2.9** (Log canonical centers). (i) Under the notation of §2.1 for general Shimura varieties, without the above assumptions in Corollary 2.8, the log canonical centers of  $(\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}, \sum_i \frac{d_i-1}{d_i} \overline{B}_i^{\text{SBB}})$  are nothing but cusps of the Satake-Baily-Borel compactification  $\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}$ .
- (ii) Under the above assumptions in Corollary 2.8, the log canonical centers of  $\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}$  are nothing but cusps of the Satake-Baily-Borel compactification  $\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}$  which are not contained in  $\cup_i \text{Supp}(\overline{B}_i^{\text{SBB}})$ .

*proof of Lemma 2.9.* As in [AMRT, Chapter III, §7], we replace the (implicit dividing) discrete group  $\Gamma$  in the notation §2.1 by its neat subgroup (cf., [AMRT]) of finite index. In that way, we replace  $X$  (and  $\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}$ ) by its finite cover so that the first desired claim (i) for the log canonical centers of  $(\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}, \sum_i \frac{d_i-1}{d_i} \overline{B}_i^{\text{SBB}})$  is reduced to the case when there is no  $B_i$ .

Then, there is a log resolution of  $(\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}, \sum_i \frac{d_i-1}{d_i} \overline{B}_i^{\text{SBB}})$  as a toroidal compactification [AMRT, chapter III], see especially *loc.cit* 6.2. By its construction in *op.cit* of toroidal nature (see again e.g., [Ale96, §3]), all the exceptional prime divisors have the discrepancy  $-1$  and hence the claim (i) for the log canonical centers of  $(\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}, \sum_i \frac{d_i-1}{d_i} \overline{B}_i^{\text{SBB}})$  follows.

For the proof of latter claim (ii), note that the existence of special reflective modular form implies  $\sum_i \frac{d_i-1}{d_i} \overline{B}_i^{\text{SBB}}$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor by (1) of the proof of Theorem 2.4. Hence, the note that log canonical

centers of  $\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}$  form a subset of the lc centers of (i) which are not contained in the support of the effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor  $\sum_i \frac{d_i-1}{d_i} \overline{B}_i^{\text{SBB}}$ . Hence, the claim of Lemma 2.9 (ii).  $\square$

Now we start the proof of Corollary 2.8. We take the union of the minimal naked cusps of  $\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}$  as  $W$  and put the reduced scheme structure on it. We denote the corresponding coherent ideal sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}}$  as  $I_W$ .

From a vanishing theorem of [Amb03, 4.4],[Fjn11, 8.1], whose absolute non-log version is enough for our particular purpose here, we have  $H^1(\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}, I_W) = 0$ . On the other hand,  $H^0(\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}, I_W) = 0$  also holds since it is a linear subspace of  $H^0(\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}, \mathcal{O})$  which is identified with  $\mathbb{C}$  because of the properness of  $\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}$ , combined with the fact that  $W \neq \emptyset$ . Hence, combined with standard cohomology exact sequence arguments,  $H^0(\mathcal{O}_W) \simeq \mathbb{C}$  follows. Hence, it implies the connectivity of  $W$ , so that there is at most 1 minimal naked cusp  $F$ .

For such  $F$ , the existence of  $D_F$  on the closure  $\overline{F}$  follows from applying the log canonical subadjunction [FG12, 1.2] to  $F \subset (\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}, 0)$ .  $\square$

We make a caution that the above Corollary 2.8 does not claim the naked cusp always has log terminal singularity. Nevertheless, in the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -rank 1 case, we have the following.

**Corollary 2.10** ( $\mathbb{Q}$ -rank 1 case). *Under the same assumptions of Theorem 2.4 with  $> 1$ , if further  $\mathbb{Q}$ -rank of  $\mathbb{G}$  is 1 (e.g., when  $G \simeq U(1, n)$  for some  $n$  so that  $G/K$  is an  $n$ -dimensional complex unit ball), only either one of the followings hold.*

- (i) *There is exactly one naked cusp  $F$  of  $\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}$  which is an isolated non-log-terminal locus but at worst log canonical. Furthermore, there is an effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $D_F$  such that  $(F, D_F)$  is a klt log Fano pair hence in particular, the modular branch divisor in  $F$  is nonzero effective.*
- (ii) *No naked cusp exists and  $X$  is rationally connected, i.e., two general points are connected by a rational curve and has at worst log terminal singularities. Furthermore,  $X \setminus \text{Supp} \cup_i B_i$  is affine (not only quasi-affine).*

*Proof.* Note that the condition that  $\mathbb{Q}$ -rank of  $\mathbb{G}$  is 1 implies that the boundary strata of the Satake-Baily-Borel compactification of  $X$  are all compact and do not have closure relations. Thus, among the above statements, the only assertion which does not follow trivially from Corollary 2.8 is the rationally connected assertion for the latter case

(ii). We confirm it as follows: the non-existence of naked cusp means  $\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}} \setminus X$  is included in  $\cup_i \text{Supp}(\overline{B}_i^{\text{SBB}})$  which implies the log terminality of  $X$ . Hence, it is rationally connected by a theorem of Zhang [Zha06]. Finally,  $X \setminus \text{Supp} \cup_i B_i$  is affine by the proof of Theorem 2.4 and the assumption that there are no naked cusps.  $\square$

Here is a version of the converse direction of Theorem 2.4.

**Theorem 2.11** (Abstract existence of special modular forms). *We follow the notation of Theorem 2.4. If  $\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}$  satisfies either*

- $K_{\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}} \equiv 0$  or
- either  $K_{\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}}$  or  $-K_{\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}}$  is ample with Picard number 1,

*then there are special reflective modular forms satisfying Assumption 2.1 (i) for some  $s(X) \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$  and sufficiently divisible  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . Furthermore, if it is of a certain orthogonal type, i.e.,  $\mathbb{G}$  is isogenous to  $SO(\Lambda)$  for  $\Lambda = U \oplus U(l) \oplus N$  with some negative definite lattice  $N$  and  $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , the modular forms are necessarily Borcherds lift of some nearly holomorphic elliptic  $Mp_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -modular forms of a specific principal part of the Fourier expansion in the sense of [Bor98], [Bru02, §1.3, §3.4].*

*Proof.* Given the proof of Theorem 2.4, we can almost trace back the arguments as follows. In either cases, the automorphic line bundle  $L$  is proportional to  $K_{\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}}$  in  $\text{Pic}(\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}})$ , hence so is it to  $\sum_i \frac{d_i-1}{d_i} \overline{B}_i^{\text{SBB}}$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{O}(N(s(X)L - \sum_i \frac{d_i-1}{d_i} \overline{B}_i^{\text{SBB}}))$  is trivial for some  $s(X), N$ . The last assertion follows from [Bru02, 5.12], [Bru14, 1.2].  $\square$

**2.4. Modular varieties with big anti-canonical classes.** Recall that Gritsenko-Hulek [GH14] (resp., Maeda [Mae20]) discuss the classes of reflective orthogonal modular forms (resp., unitary modular forms) satisfying Assumption 2.1 (ii) with  $s(X) > 1$  and proved uniruledness of  $X$  and constructs some examples.

This subsection proves the following a slight refinement of their results, which applies to the examples constructed in *loc.cit.*

**Theorem 2.12** (cf., [GH14, 2.1], [Mae20, 4.1]). *We follow the notation of §2.1, and discuss Shimura varieties  $X = \Gamma \backslash D$  for a priori general  $G$ . If there is a reflective modular form  $\Phi$  which satisfies Assumption 2.1 (ii) with some  $s(X) \in \mathbb{Q}_{>1}$ , we define  $V_\Phi := \cup_F \overline{F} \subset \partial \overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}$  where  $F$  runs through all cusps along which  $\Phi$  does not vanish (as a function, or a section of  $L^{\otimes s(X)N}$ ). Then, the following holds.*

- (i) The Satake-Baily-Borel compactification  $\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}$  of  $X = \Gamma \backslash D$  only has log canonical singularities,  $X^\circ$  is quasi-affine and  $-K_{\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}}$  is big.
- (ii) For any two closed points  $x, y \in \overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}$ , there are union of rational curves  $C$  such that  $C \cup V_\Phi$  is connected (i.e., rationally chain connected modulo  $V_\Phi$  cf., [HM07, 1.1]). In particular,  $X$  is uniruled. If  $G = U(1, n)$  for some  $n$ , then  $\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}$  is even rationally chain connected.
- (iii) If we consider the set of cusps outside  $V_\Phi$ , there is at most 1 minimal element (cusp) with respect to the closure relation.

*Proof.* We first consider (i) of the above theorem. From the existence of  $\Phi$ , it follows in the same way that

$$-K_{\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (s(X) - 1)L + \sum_i \left( \frac{d_i - 1}{d_i} - c_i \right) \overline{B}_i^{\text{SBB}},$$

hence it is big. The proofs of the other assertions in (i) are the same as those of Theorem 2.4. For (ii), note that the non-plt locus of  $(\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}, \sum_i (\frac{d_i - 1}{d_i} - c_i) \overline{B}_i^{\text{SBB}})$  is the union of log canonical centers of  $(\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}, \sum_i \frac{d_i - 1}{d_i} \overline{B}_i^{\text{SBB}})$  which are not inside  $\text{Supp}(\text{div}(\Phi))$ . Hence, the assertion (ii) directly follows from [HM07, 1.2] for  $(\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}, \sum_i \frac{d_i - 1}{d_i} \overline{B}_i^{\text{SBB}})$ . The assertion for the unitary case holds since the cusps are all 0-dimensional (cf., e.g., [Beh12, section 4]). Indeed, it follows since the Levi part of real parabolic subgroup of  $G$  corresponding to the cusps are  $U(0, n - 1)$ , which is trivial. For (iii), the same arguments as Corollary 2.8, similarly applying [Amb03, 4.4, 6.6(ii)] or [Fjn11, 8.1] to the log canonical Fano pair  $(\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}, \sum_i (\frac{d_i - 1}{d_i} - c_i) \overline{B}_i^{\text{SBB}})$ , give a proof.  $\square$

**Remark 2.13.** We can also show a variant of Corollary 2.8, Theorem 2.12 (iii) under general *meromorphic* modular forms if we replace the use of [Amb03, 6.6(ii)] by [Amb03, 4.4] or [Fjn17, 6.1.2]. However, because the obtained statement is rather complicated and no interesting applications have been found (yet at least), we omit it in this paper.

We conclude this section by posing a natural problem.

**Problem 2.14.** *In specific situations, e.g., when  $\mathbb{G} = SO(\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Q})$  for a quadratic lattice  $\Lambda$ , or in the unitary modular case corresponding to a Hermitian lattice as later subsection §3.4, the assertions of Corollaries 2.8, 2.10, Theorem 2.12 (iii) can be phrased in a purely lattice theoretic*

*manner. Is there a more lattice theoretic or number theoretic proof without the use of a vanishing theorem in algebraic geometry?*

### 3. EXAMPLES OF FANO AND K-AMPLE CASES

We provide examples of which Theorems 2.4, Corollary 2.8, Corollary 2.10, Theorem 2.11 in §2.3 apply. In the examples, the compactified modular varieties are either Fano varieties or with ample canonical classes. There are also some examples with  $s(X) = 1$ , for instance [FSM10] (cf., also earlier [BN94] with a weaker statement) but we do not focus such cases in this paper.

**3.1. Siegel modular cases.** We start by discussing the Satake-Baily-Borel compactifications of some semi-classical modular varieties, which we show to fit our picture. The examples in this subsection and the next subsection 3.2 do not use explicit modular forms but they are Fano varieties so that the converse theorem 2.11 applies to imply the (abstract) existence of special reflective modular forms.

The examples with explicit special reflective modular forms, to which we can apply Theorem 2.4 will be discussed from the next section §3.3. Here are two examples of Siegel modular varieties whose Satake-Baily-Borel compactifications are Fano varieties.

**Example 3.1** ([Igu64]). The Satake-Baily-Borel compactification of the moduli of principally polarized abelian surfaces  $\overline{A}_2^{\text{SBB}}$  is known to be a weighted projective hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}(4, 6, 10, 12, 35)$  of degree 70 with the coarse moduli isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}(2, 3, 5, 6)$  by relating to the invariants of genus 2 curves, hence binary sextics. Note that the adjunction does not work due to non-well-formedness, as indeed one has non-trivial isotropy ( $\mu_2$ ) along a divisor in the moduli stack. The reduction of the natural Faltings-Chai model over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  are also determined (cf., [Ich09, vdG21]).

**Example 3.2** (cf., [vdG82, 5.2] (also [Igu64])). The Satake-Baily-Borel compactification of the moduli of principally polarized abelian surfaces with level 2 structure  $\overline{\Gamma(2)\backslash\mathfrak{H}}^{\text{SBB}}$  is known to be a quartic 3-fold

$$(3) \quad \sum_{i=0}^5 x_i = \left(\sum_{i=0}^5 x_i^2\right)^2 - 4\left(\sum_{i=0}^5 x_i^4\right) = 0,$$

with non-isolated singularities along 15 lines. Since this is a hypersurface, it is clearly Gorenstein and has ample anticanonical class. It also follows from [Mum77, §3, §4] (cf., also [Ale96, 3.5]) again that it is at least log canonical.

**3.2. Orthogonal modular cases, Part I.** Below, we consider the cases where  $\mathbb{G} = SO(\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Q})$  for a quadratic lattice  $(\Lambda, (\cdot, \cdot))$  of signature  $(2, n)$  with  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . We realize the Hermitian symmetric domain  $X = G/K$  as  $G/K \simeq \mathcal{D}_\Lambda$  which is defined as one of (the isomorphic two) connected components of

$$\{v \in \mathbb{P}(\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{C}) \mid (v, v) = 0, (v, \bar{v}) > 0\}.$$

We keep this notation throughout in the discussion of orthogonal modular varieties. Our first two examples in this Part I are understood via moduli-theoretic methods and GIT as follows.

**Example 3.3** (Hilbert). The GIT compactification of the moduli of cubic surfaces ([OSS16, §4.2]) is known to be isomorphic to the Satake-Baily-Borel compactification of the stable locus which admits uniformization of complex ball (cf., [ACT02]). Hilbert’s invariant calculation in his thesis tells this is  $\mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ , hence the only cusp is not naked because of the log terminality. Obviously, it is also a  $(\mathbb{Q})$ -Fano variety. This is also one of the simplest examples of the K-moduli variety of Fano varieties ([OSS16, §4.2]).

Given [Ma18], it is reasonable to ask the following problem in general.

**Problem 3.4.** *Classify the lattices  $\Lambda$  of signature  $(2, n)$  such that the Satake-Baily-Borel compactification  $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{D}_\Lambda$  are Fano varieties, especially when  $\Gamma = O^+(\Lambda)$  or  $\tilde{O}^+(\Lambda)$ .*

From what follows, our arithmetic subgroup satisfies  $\Gamma$  is either  $O^+(\Lambda)$  or the stable orthogonal group  $\tilde{O}^+(\Lambda)$ .

**Example 3.5** (Moduli of elliptic K3 surfaces). We consider the moduli  $M_W$  of Weierstrass elliptic K3 surfaces, which is an open subset of  $O^+(\Lambda) \backslash \mathcal{D}_\Lambda$  for  $\Lambda := U^{\oplus 2} \oplus E_8(-1)^{\oplus 2}$ . We consider its Satake-Baily-Borel compactification ([OO21, Theorem 7.9]), which we denote  $\overline{M}_W^{\text{SBB}}$  here. Recall from *loc.cit* §7.1 that there are exactly two 1-cusps intersecting at the only 0-cusp. Two 1-cusps are  $M_W^{\text{nn}}$  with canonical Gorenstein singularity and  $M_W^{\text{seg}}$  with toroidal singularity (including the 0-cusp  $\overline{M}_W^{\text{nn}} \cap \overline{M}_W^{\text{seg}}$ ) hence  $\overline{M}_W^{\text{SBB}}$  also only has log terminal singularity ([Od20, Part I, §2]). The notation of our superscripts “nn” and “seg” follow that of [OO21, Chapter 7] where some collapsing of hyperKähler metrics to *segment* i.e.,  $[0, 1]$  is partially observed along  $M_W^{\text{seg}}$ , and also that *non-normal* degenerations are parametrized by  $M_W^{\text{nn}}$ .

We recall that  $\overline{M}_W^{\text{SBB}}$  coincides with a certain GIT quotient of a weighted projective space ([OO21, Theorem 7.9]). Using the fact as

well as some analysis of singularities along the 1-cusps in [Od20, Part I], we prove the following.

**Theorem 3.6.**  $\overline{M}_W^{\text{SBB}}$  is a 18-dimensional log terminal rational Fano variety of Picard rank 1, although not isomorphic to any weighted projective space. Its two 1-cusps  $M_W^{\text{seg}}$  and  $M_W^{\text{nn}}$  are both non-naked.

*Proof.* The description of  $\overline{M}_W^{\text{SBB}}$  as a GIT quotient [OO21, Theorem 7.9] allows us to apply [BGLM21, Corollary 3] to confirm there is an effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $D$  on  $\overline{M}_W^{\text{SBB}}$  such that  $-K_{\overline{M}_W^{\text{SBB}}} - D$  is ample. Therefore,  $-K_{\overline{M}_W^{\text{SBB}}}$  is big. On the other hand,  $\overline{M}_W^{\text{SBB}}$  has Picard rank 1 because of the same GIT quotient description. Hence, the bigness of  $-K_{\overline{M}_W^{\text{SBB}}}$  implies it is actually even ample i.e.,  $\overline{M}_W^{\text{SBB}}$  is a Fano variety.

The fact that both 1-cusps are non-naked are follows from Corollary 2.8, because  $\overline{M}_W^{\text{SBB}}$  is log terminal as proven in [Od20, Part I, §2]. (The log terminality also follows from [BGLM21, Theorem1] combined again with the fact that  $\overline{M}_W^{\text{SBB}}$  has Picard rank 1.) As for the rationality of  $M_W$ , [Lej93] proved it, based on more classical rationality result of the moduli space of hyperelliptic curves (of genus 5).

The only remained thing to prove in the above theorem is that  $\overline{M}_W^{\text{SBB}}$  is not a weighted projective space. From the analysis of singularity type along 1-cusp  $M_W^{\text{nn}}$  in [Od20, Part I, Theorem 2.2], it easily follows that the local fundamental group along the transversal slice is  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4$  hence not cyclic. In particular,  $\overline{M}_W^{\text{SBB}}$  can not be a weighted projective space. We complete the proof of Theorem 3.6.  $\square$

As a corollary, we also observe the following.

**Corollary 3.7.** *On the orthogonal modular variety  $\overline{M}_W^{\text{SBB}}$ , there are special reflective modular forms which satisfy Assumption 2.2 (i) (of §2.2) for some  $s(X) > 1$  and sufficiently divisible  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ .*

*Proof.* By the above theorem 3.6, we can apply Theorem 2.11 to complete the proof.  $\square$

**3.3. Orthogonal modular cases, Part II.** From here, we use the Borchers products to show that various Satake-Baily-Borel compactifications of orthogonal modular varieties are Fano varieties or log canonical models.

*Notation.* Let

$$\mathcal{H}(\ell) := \{v \in \mathcal{D}_\Lambda \mid (v, \ell) = 0\}$$

be the special divisor with respect to  $\ell \in \Lambda$  with  $(\ell, \ell) < 0$ . For any primitive element  $r \in \Lambda$  satisfying  $(r, r) < 0$ , we define the *reflection*  $\sigma_r \in O^+(\Lambda)(\mathbb{Q})$  with respect to  $r$  as follows:

$$\sigma_r(\ell) := \ell - \frac{2(\ell, r)}{(r, r)}r.$$

Then, the union of ramification divisors of  $\pi_\Gamma: \mathcal{D}_\Lambda \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{D}_\Lambda$  is

$$\bigcup_{\substack{r \in \Lambda/\pm: \text{primitive} \\ \sigma_r \in \Gamma \text{ or } -\sigma_r \in \Gamma}} \mathcal{H}(r)$$

by [GHS07] for  $\Gamma \subset O^+(\Lambda)$  and  $n > 2$ . They also showed that the ramification degrees are 2. We sometimes denote  $\pi_\Gamma$  as  $\pi$ . We also define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{-2} &:= \bigcup_{\ell \in \Lambda, \ell^2 = -2} \mathcal{H}(\ell) \\ \mathcal{H}_{-4} &:= \bigcup_{\ell \in \Lambda, \ell^2 = -4} \mathcal{H}(\ell) \\ \mathcal{H}_{-4, \text{special-even}} &:= \bigcup_{\ell \in \Lambda: \text{special-even}, \ell^2 = -4} \mathcal{H}(\ell). \end{aligned}$$

Here we say a vector  $r \in \Lambda$  is special-even (also called even type e.g., in [Kon02]) if  $(\ell, r)$  is even for any  $\ell \in \Lambda$ , i.e.,  $\text{div}(r)$  is even integer, so that the corresponding reflection lies in  $\Gamma$ . We define  $\text{div}(r)$  is the positive generator of the ideal

$$\{(\ell, r) \mid \ell \in \Lambda\}.$$

**Remark 3.8.** Below, for orthogonal cases, if  $f$  is a modular form corresponding to a section satisfying Assumption 2.2 (i), we can compute  $s(X) = \frac{k}{2mn}$ . Here,  $k$  is the weight of  $f$  and  $m$  is the multiplicity of  $\text{div} f$ , and  $n = \dim X$ .

**Example 3.9.** Let  $II_{2,26} = U \oplus U \oplus E_8(-1) \oplus E_8(-1) \oplus E_8(-1)$  be an even unimodular lattice of signature  $(2, 26)$ . We consider the case  $\Gamma = O^+(\Lambda)$ . There is the modular form  $\Phi_{12}$  of weight 12 on  $\mathcal{D}_{II_{2,26}}$  by Borcherds [Bor95] with

$$(4) \quad \text{div} \Phi_{12} = \mathcal{H}_{-2}.$$

On the other hand, the ramification divisors of the map  $\pi: II_{2,26} \rightarrow X := O^+(II_{2,26}) \backslash \mathcal{D}_{II_{2,26}}$  are  $\mathcal{H}_{-2}$  by the even unimodularity of  $\Lambda$  and [GHS07].

Now  $\Phi_{12}^{2 \times 26}$  satisfies Assumption 1.2 (i) with  $s(X) = \frac{3}{13}$  and by Theorem 2.4 (iii) so that the Satake-Baily-Borel compactification  $\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}$  of the 26-dimensional orthogonal modular variety  $X = O^+(II_{2,26}) \backslash \mathcal{D}_{II_{2,26}}$  is a log canonical model i.e., with ample canonical divisor  $K_{\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}}$  and at worst log canonical singularities. Let us specify and study the non-log-terminal locus or the log canonical center.

First, recall that there are exactly 24 1-cusps, which correspond to Niemeier lattices and all intersect at a common closed point (cf., e.g., [Gri12, 1.1]). In particular, there is a 1-cusp which is the compactification of the modular curve  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H}$  corresponding to the Leech lattice. We denote the particular 1-cusp as  $C_{\text{Leech}}$ .

For the Harish-Chandra-Borel embedding

$$\mathcal{D}_{II_{2,26}} \subset \mathcal{D}_{II_{2,26}}^c \subset \mathbb{P}(II_{2,26} \otimes \mathbb{C}),$$

$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(II_{2,26} \otimes \mathbb{C})}(1)$  restricts to  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)|_{\mathbb{H}}$  for any 1-cusp  $\mathbb{H} \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ . For instance, by [Bor95, §10], [Gri12, 1.2],  $\Phi_{12}$  restricts to the Ramanujan cusp form  $\Delta_{12}(q) := q \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^n)^{24}$  of weight 12 on  $C_{\text{Leech}}$ . Since the only modular branch divisor is  $\mathcal{H}_{-2}$ , together with (4) and Lemma 2.9, it implies that the only log canonical center is the  $C_{\text{Leech}}$ . Recall that through the well-known isomorphism  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H} \simeq \mathbb{A}^1(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ , the elliptic modular forms of weight  $12k$  can be regarded with a section of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(k)$ , at the level of coarse moduli. In other words,  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(12k)|_{\mathbb{H}}$  descends to a line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(k)$  on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \simeq SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash \overline{\mathbb{H}}$  where  $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$  denotes the rational closure of  $\mathbb{H}$ .

In particular,  $(2s(X)L.C_{\text{Leech}}) = 1$ , where  $L$  follows the notation of §2.1. Equivalently  $(K_{\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}}.C_{\text{Leech}}) = \frac{5}{3}$ ,  $(\overline{B}.C_{\text{Leech}}) = 1$  as  $s(X) = \frac{3}{13}$ . We summarize our conclusion in this case neatly as  $II_{2,26}$  attracts special attention.

**Corollary 3.10** ( $II_{2,26}$  case). *The Satake-Baily-Borel compactification  $\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}$  of the 26-dimensional orthogonal modular variety  $X = O^+(II_{2,26}) \backslash \mathcal{D}_{II_{2,26}}$  is a log canonical model i.e., with ample canonical divisor  $K_{\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}}$  and at worst log canonical singularities. Further, the non-log-terminal locus is the single  $C_{\text{Leech}} \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$  in the boundary  $\partial X^{\text{SBB}}$  which compactifies 1-cusp  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H}$  and is characterized by that the corresponding isotropic plane  $p \subset II_{2,26} \otimes \mathbb{R}$  satisfies that  $(p^\perp \cap II_{2,26}) / (p \cap II_{2,26})$  is the Leech lattice i.e., contains no roots. Its degree is  $(K_{\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}}.C_{\text{Leech}}) = \frac{5}{3}$ . (resp.,  $(\overline{B}.C_{\text{Leech}}) = 1$ ).*

Later in Example 3.32, we also construct a 13-dimensional unitary modular subvariety which also compactifies with ample canonical class as the Satake-Baily-Borel compactification.

**Example 3.11.** Let  $\Lambda := U \oplus U \oplus E_8(-1)$  be an even unimodular lattice of signature  $(2, 10)$ . We again consider the case  $\Gamma = O^+(\Lambda)$ . Borcherds constructed a reflective modular form on  $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda$ .

**Theorem 3.12** ([Bor95, 10.1, 16.1]). *There is a reflective modular form  $\Phi_{252}$  of weight 252 on  $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda$  such that*

$$\operatorname{div}\Phi_{252} = \mathcal{H}_{-2}.$$

Here, by the map  $\pi: \mathcal{D}_\Lambda \rightarrow X := O^+(\Lambda)\backslash\mathcal{D}_\Lambda$ , the divisors  $\mathcal{H}_{-2}$  maps to the unique branch divisors (cf., [GHS07, §2]). Hence  $\Phi_{252}^{10t}$  satisfies Assumption 2.2 (i) with  $s(X) = \frac{63}{5}$  for some  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and by Theorem 2.4 (i), the compactified Shimura variety  $\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}$  is a Fano variety. Actually, [HU22, 1.1], [DKW19, 4.1] (also attributed to H.Shiga and [Loo84]) shows it is the weighted projective space  $\mathbb{P}(2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 18, 21)$ .

**Example 3.13** (Moduli of Enriques surfaces). The well-studied moduli space  $M_{\text{Enr}}$  of (unpolarized) Enriques surfaces (cf., e.g., [Nam85, Ste91, Bor96, Kon94]) also fit into our setting. Let  $\Lambda_{\text{Enr}} := U \oplus U(2) \oplus E_8(-2)$  be an even lattice of signature  $(2, 10)$ . Then the Shimura variety

$$M_{\text{Enr}} := O^+(\Lambda_{\text{Enr}})\backslash\mathcal{D}_{\Lambda_{\text{Enr}}}$$

is a 10-dimensional quasi-projective variety. Now we review the ramification divisors of the natural map  $\pi: \mathcal{D}_{\Lambda_{\text{Enr}}} \rightarrow M_{\text{Enr}}$  and moduli discription. From [GHS07] and [GH16], the ramification divisors are

$$\mathcal{H}_{-2} \cup \mathcal{H}_{-4, \text{special-even}}.$$

On the other hand, let

$$\widetilde{M}_{\text{Enr}} := \widetilde{O}^+(\Lambda_{\text{Enr}})\backslash\mathcal{D}_{L_{\text{Enr}}}$$

be a finite cover of  $M_{\text{Enr}}$ . Then the following are known.

- Proposition 3.14.**
- (i)  $M_{\text{Enr}} \setminus \pi(\mathcal{H}_{-2})$  is the so-called moduli space of Enriques surfaces (cf., e.g., [Nam85]). Moreover this is rational (Kondo [Kon94]).
  - (ii)  $\widetilde{M}_{\text{Enr}} \setminus \pi(\mathcal{H}_{-2})$ , which is a finite cover of  $M_{\text{Enr}}$ , is the moduli space of Enriques surfaces with a certain level-2 structure. Moreover  $\widetilde{M}_{\text{Enr}}$  and  $\widetilde{M}_{\text{Enr}} \setminus \pi(\mathcal{H}_{-2})$  are of general type (Gritsenko-Hulek cf., [GH16]).
  - (iii)  $M_{\text{Enr}} \setminus (\pi(\mathcal{H}_{-2}) \cup \pi(\mathcal{H}_{-4, \text{special-even}}))$  is the moduli space of non-nodal Enriques surfaces.

Going back to our situation, we need special reflective modular forms satisfying Assumption 2.2 (i). Our input here is the following.

**Lemma 3.15** ([Bor96, Kon02]). *There exist two reflective modular forms  $\Phi_4$  and  $\Phi_{124}$  on  $\mathcal{D}_{L_{Enr}}$  of weights 4, 124 respectively such that;*

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{div}\Phi_4 &= \mathcal{H}_{-2}, \\ \operatorname{div}\Phi_{124} &= \mathcal{H}_{-4, \text{special-even}}.\end{aligned}$$

We put  $F_{128} := \Phi_4\Phi_{124}$ . Then this is a weight 128 modular form on  $\mathcal{D}_{L_{Enr}}$  and  $\operatorname{div}(F_{128})$  is exactly the ramification divisors of the map  $\pi : \mathcal{D}_{L_{Enr}} \rightarrow M_{Enr}$  with coefficients 1. Now  $F_{128}^2$  has a trivial character and satisfies Assumption 2.2 (i) with  $s(X) = \frac{32}{5}$  and by Theorem 2.4 (i),  $\overline{M_{Enr}}^{\text{SBB}}$  is a log canonical Fano variety.

Actually, it is even log terminal without naked cusps as we confirm in the following. By [Ste91, 3.3, 4.5], there are only two 0-cusps which correspond to an isotropic vector  $e$  in the first summand  $U$  and an isotropic vector  $e'$  the second summand  $U(2)$  of  $\Lambda_{Enr}$ . They belong to the same 1-cusp which corresponds to isotropic plane  $\mathbb{Q}e \oplus \mathbb{Q}e'$ . That 1-cusp is contained in the closure of  $\mathcal{H}_{-4, \text{special-even}}$  since  $e$  and  $e'$  are orthogonal to the (norm-doubled) root of  $E_8(-2)$ , the third summand of  $L_{Enr}$ . By *loc.cit*, the only other 1-cusp corresponds to another isotropic plane

$$p = \mathbb{Q}e' \oplus \mathbb{Q}(2e + 2f + \alpha)$$

where  $e, f$  is the standard basis of the first summand  $U$  and  $\alpha$  is norm  $-8$  integral vector in the third summand  $E_8(-2)$ . Since  $p$  is obviously orthogonal to the  $-2$  vector  $e - f \in U$ , the corresponding 1-cusp is also contained in the closure of the Coble locus  $\mathcal{H}_{-2}$ . Hence there are no naked cusps so that we conclude the following.

**Corollary 3.16.** *The Satake-Baily-Borel compactification  $\overline{M_{Enr}}^{\text{SBB}}$  of the moduli of Enriques surfaces  $M_{Enr}$  is a log terminal Fano variety.*

**Example 3.17** (Moduli of log Enriques surfaces). For each  $1 \leq k \leq 10$  ( $k \neq 2$ ), let  $\Lambda_{\log Enr, k} := U(2) \oplus A_1 \oplus A_1(-1)^{\oplus 9-k}$  be an even lattice of signature  $(2, 10 - k)$ . Then the associated Shimura variety  $O^+(\Lambda_{\log Enr, k}) \backslash \mathcal{D}_{L_{\log Enr}}$  is a (partial compactification of) the moduli space of log Enriques surface with  $k \frac{1}{4}(1, 1)$  singularities. For the definition of log Enriques surfaces with  $\frac{1}{4}(1, 1)$  singularities, see [DY20, Definition 2.1, 2.6]. Yoshikawa [Yos09] and Ma [Ma12] constructed reflective modular forms on  $\mathcal{D}_{L_{\log Enr}}$  for  $k \leq 7$  which we use.

**Theorem 3.18** ([Yos09, Theorem 4.2(i)]). *There is a reflective modular form  $\Psi_4$  of weight  $4 + k$  on  $\mathcal{D}_{\Lambda_{\log Enr,k}}$  with*

$$\operatorname{div} \Psi_{4+k} = \mathcal{H}_{-2}.$$

**Theorem 3.19** ([Ma12, Appendix by Yoshikawa; A.4, proof of A.5]). *There is a reflective modular form  $\Psi_{124,k}$  of weight  $-k^2 - 9k + 124$  on  $\mathcal{D}_{\Lambda_{\log Enr,k}}$  with*

$$\operatorname{div} \Psi_{124,k} = \mathcal{H}_{-4}.$$

On the other hand, the ramification divisors of the map  $\pi: \mathcal{D}_{L_{\log Enr,k}} \rightarrow X := O^+(L_{\log Enr,k}) \backslash \mathcal{D}_{L_{\log Enr,k}}$  is the union of special divisors with respect to  $(-2)$ -vectors and  $(-4)$ -vectors by the same discussion. As  $(\Psi_{4+k} \Psi_{124,k})^{t(10-k)}$  with  $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  satisfies Assumption 2.2 (i) with  $s(X) = \frac{-k^2 - 8k + 128}{2(10-k)}$  for  $k \leq 7$ , by Theorem 1.3 (i), we conclude the following.

**Corollary 3.20.** *For the above (partially compactified) moduli spaces of log Enriques surface with  $k \frac{1}{4}(1, 1)$  singularities with  $1 \leq k \leq 7$  ( $k \neq 2$ )  $X = O^+(\Lambda_{\log Enr,k}) \backslash \mathcal{D}_{L_{\log Enr,k}}$ , the Satake-Baily-Borel compactifications  $\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}$  are Fano varieties.*

Actually, they are also unirational, by [Ma12].

**Example 3.21** (Simple lattices case). Let  $\Lambda$  be a quadratic lattice over  $\mathbb{Z}$  of signature  $(2, n)$ . We recall from [BEF16] that  $\Lambda$  is called *simple* if the space of cusp forms of weight  $1 + \frac{n}{2}$  associated with a finite quadratic form  $\Lambda^\vee/\Lambda$  is zero. Then the special divisors on  $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda$  are all given by the divisors of Borcherds lift, so that we can apply Theorem 2.4.

In fact, Wang-Williams [WW20] showed that for every simple lattice  $\Lambda$  of signature  $(2, n)$  with  $3 \leq n \leq 10$ , the graded algebra of modular forms for certain subgroups of the orthogonal group is freely generated. From this, we have the associated Shimura varieties are weighted projective spaces, in particular, log terminal  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano.

From Theorem 2.4, all Borcherds product satisfying Assumption 2.2 (i) should have  $s(X) > 1$ . Also from Corollary 2.8, the boundary of the Satake-Baily-Borel compactification is in the closure of the branch divisors. See the tables of examples in [WW20].

We remark that before [WW20], [BEF16] showed there are only finitely many isometry classes of even simple lattices  $\Lambda$  of signature  $(2, n)$ .

**3.4. Preparation for unitary case - Hermitian lattice.** Here, we recall some material on Hermitian lattices treated in [Hof14] to prepare for constructing some examples of unitary modular varieties from the next subsection. There, we similarly apply Theorem 2.4 to certain restriction of Borcherds products to explore their birational properties.

Here is the setup. Let  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  be an imaginary quadratic field for a square-free negative integer  $d$ , and  $\mathcal{O}_F$  be its ring of integers. Let  $\delta$  be the inverse different of  $F$ , i.e.,

$$\delta := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{d}} & (d \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}), \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} & (d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}). \end{cases}$$

Let  $(\Lambda, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be a Hermitian lattice of signature  $(1, n)$  over  $\mathcal{O}_F$  in the sense of [Hof14] i.e., a finite free  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -module with an Hermitian form which is  $\delta\mathcal{O}_F$ -valued. We define the dual lattice  $\Lambda^\vee$  as

$$\Lambda^\vee := \{v \in \Lambda \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} F \mid \langle v, w \rangle \in \delta\mathcal{O}_F \ (\forall w \in \Lambda)\}.$$

We say  $\Lambda$  is unimodular if  $\Lambda = \Lambda^\vee$  and  $\Lambda$  is even if  $\langle v, v \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $v \in \Lambda$ . The latter means the associated quadratic form is even. It is also known that the quotient  $A_\Lambda = \Lambda^\vee/\Lambda$  is a finite  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -module, called the discriminant group. Then,  $\tilde{U}(\Lambda) := \{g \in U(\Lambda) \mid g|_{A_\Lambda} = 1_{A_\Lambda}\}$  is the so-called discriminant kernel or the stable unitary group. For a Hermitian lattice  $\Lambda$ , we define  $\Lambda(a) := (\Lambda, a\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  for  $a \in \delta\mathcal{O}_F$ . Analogously to quadratic forms, we also have the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.22.** *There exists a unimodular Hermitian lattice  $M$  and an element  $b \in \mathcal{O}_F$  such that  $\Lambda = M(b)$  if and only if the ideal  $\{\langle v, w \rangle \in \delta\mathcal{O}_F \mid w \in \Lambda\}$  with respect to  $v \in \Lambda$  is equal  $b\delta\mathcal{O}_F$  for every primitive element  $v \in \Lambda$ .*

Let  $D_\Lambda$  be the Hermitian symmetric domain (complex ball) with respect to  $U(\Lambda)(\mathbb{R})$ , equivalently,

$$D_\Lambda := \{v \in \mathbb{P}(\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{C}) \mid \langle v, v \rangle > 0\}$$

and  $H(v)$  be the special divisor with respect to  $v \in \Lambda$ . For any element  $r \in \Lambda$  satisfying  $\langle r, r \rangle < 0$  and  $\xi \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times \setminus \{1\}$ , we define the *quasi-reflection*  $\tau_{r,\xi} \in U(\Lambda)(\mathbb{Q})$  with respect to  $r, \xi$  as follows:

$$\tau_{r,\xi}(\ell) := \ell - (1 - \xi) \frac{\langle \ell, r \rangle}{\langle r, r \rangle} r.$$

Note that for  $\xi = -1$ , we have the usual reflection. See also [AF02]. We also remark that, for example, for  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ , we get  $\tau_{r,\sqrt{-1}}^2 = \tau_{r,-1}$  and for  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ , we get  $\tau_{r,\omega}^2 = \tau_{r,\bar{\omega}}$  for any  $r \in \Lambda$  where  $\omega$  is a primitive third root of unity.

The union of ramification divisors of  $\pi_\Gamma: D_\Lambda \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash D_\Lambda$  is

$$\bigcup_r H(r)$$

by [Beh12, Corollary 3] for  $\Gamma \subset U(\Lambda)$  and  $n > 1$ . Here, the union runs thorough primitive elements  $r \in \Lambda/\mathcal{O}_F^\times$  with  $\langle r, r \rangle < 0$  such that  $\eta\tau_{r,\xi} \in \Gamma$  for some  $\eta \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times$  and  $\xi \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times \setminus \{1\}$ . We consider the natural embedding of the type I domain to the type IV domain

$$\iota: D_\Lambda \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\Lambda_Q}$$

where  $(\Lambda_Q, (\cdot, \cdot))$  is the quadratic lattice associated with  $(\Lambda, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ , i.e.,  $\Lambda_Q := \Lambda$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module and  $(\cdot, \cdot) := \text{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . For the analysis of ramification divisors on  $D_\Lambda$ , we first prepare the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.23.** *For  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ , assume  $d \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$  or  $d = -3$ . Then*

$$\iota\left(\bigcup_{\substack{r \in \Lambda/\mathcal{O}_F^\times: \text{primitive} \\ \eta\tau_{r,\xi} \in U(\Lambda) \text{ for } \exists \eta \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times, \exists \xi \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times \setminus \{1\}}} H(r)\right) \subset \bigcup_{\substack{r \in \Lambda_Q/\pm: \text{primitive} \\ \sigma_r \in \mathcal{O}^+(\Lambda_Q) \text{ or } -\sigma_r \in \mathcal{O}^+(\Lambda_Q)}} \mathcal{H}(r) \cap \iota(D_\Lambda).$$

*Proof.* For  $F \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ , it suffices to show that if

$$\frac{2\langle \ell, r \rangle}{\langle r, r \rangle} \in \mathcal{O}_F,$$

then

$$\alpha := \frac{2(\ell, r)}{(r, r)} = \frac{2 \text{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}\langle \ell, r \rangle}{\text{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}\langle r, r \rangle} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Since  $\langle r, r \rangle \in \mathbb{Q}$ , we have

$$\alpha = \Re \frac{2\langle \ell, r \rangle}{\langle r, r \rangle}.$$

Hence for  $d \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$  with  $d \neq -1$ , this concludes lemma.

For  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ , it needs to show that if

$$(1 - \sqrt{-1}) \frac{\langle \ell, r \rangle}{\langle r, r \rangle} \in \mathcal{O}_F \text{ or } (1 + \sqrt{-1}) \frac{\langle \ell, r \rangle}{\langle r, r \rangle} \in \mathcal{O}_F,$$

then  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In the following, let  $a, b$  be rational integers. First, we assume

$$(1 - \sqrt{-1}) \frac{\langle \ell, r \rangle}{\langle r, r \rangle} = a + \sqrt{-1}b \in \mathcal{O}_F.$$

Then  $\alpha = a - b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Second, we assume

$$(1 + \sqrt{-1}) \frac{\langle \ell, r \rangle}{\langle r, r \rangle} = a + \sqrt{-1}b \in \mathcal{O}_F.$$

Then  $\alpha = a + b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This concludes lemma for  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ .

For  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ , assume that one of the following holds.

$$(5) \quad (1 \pm \omega) \frac{\langle \ell, r \rangle}{\langle r, r \rangle} \in \mathcal{O}_F,$$

$$(6) \quad (1 \pm \omega^2) \frac{\langle \ell, r \rangle}{\langle r, r \rangle} \in \mathcal{O}_F,$$

$$(7) \quad 2 \frac{\langle \ell, r \rangle}{\langle r, r \rangle} \in \mathcal{O}_F.$$

Through some simple computation, when (5) or (6) hold, then we have  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Finally, we assume (7). Let

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \alpha_1 = \frac{2(\ell, r)}{(r, r)} = a - \frac{b}{2}, \\ \alpha_2 &= \frac{2(\ell, \omega r)}{(\omega r, \omega r)} = -\frac{a}{2} + b, \\ \alpha_3 &= \frac{2(\ell, \omega^2 r)}{(\omega^2 r, \omega^2 r)} = -\frac{a + b}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the assumption  $a + \omega b \in \mathcal{O}_F$  implies one of  $\alpha_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$  is an element of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . On the other hand, we have  $H(r) = H(\omega r) = H(\omega^2 r)$  and  $\iota(H(r)) \subset \mathcal{H}(r)$ , thus this concludes lemma for  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ .  $\square$

For the computation of multiplicities of unitary modular forms later, we need the following converse to [Hof14, Remark after 6.1].

**Lemma 3.24.** *Let  $r \in \Lambda$  be a primitive element with  $\langle r, r \rangle < 0$ .*

- (i) *The special divisor  $H(r)$  is contained in exactly  $\frac{\#\mathcal{O}_F^\times}{2}$  special divisors of the form  $\mathcal{H}(r') \subset \mathcal{D}_{\Lambda_Q}$  for some primitive  $r' \in \Lambda_Q$ .*
- (ii) *The restriction of the special divisor  $\mathcal{H}_r|_{D_\Lambda}$  is  $H(r)$  with multiplicity 1 i.e., reduced.*

*Proof.* We fix  $\sqrt{d} \in \mathbb{C}$  and the corresponding embedding  $F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . First, we prove (i). Note  $\mathcal{H}(r)|_{D_\Lambda} = \mathcal{H}(r')|_{D_\Lambda}$  if and only if  $\mathbb{C}r' = \mathbb{C}r$  for  $r, r' \in \Lambda$ . This implies  $r = ar'$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ . Since  $r$  is primitive, we have  $a \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times$ . On the other hand, as  $\mathcal{H}(r')$  only depends on  $\mathbb{R}r'$  so that  $\mathcal{H}(r') = \mathcal{H}(-r')$ , the number we concern is  $\frac{\#\mathcal{O}_F^*}{2}$ .

The proof of (ii) is as follows. Since  $\langle r, r \rangle < 0$ ,  $\mathcal{H}(r)$  is again an orthogonal symmetric domain which is an (analytic) open subset of a quadric hypersurface, say  $Q^{n-1} \subset Q^n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ . Thus the restriction of the Cartier divisor  $r = 0$  to  $Q^n$  is reduced and  $\mathcal{H}(r)$  is its open subset.  $H(r)$  is also an open subset of the restriction of  $r = 0$  to

the linear subspace, which is also clearly reduced. Hence the assertion follows.  $\square$

### 3.5. Unramifiedness of unitary modular varieties.

**Theorem 3.25.** *Let  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  ( $d \neq -1$ ) be an imaginary quadratic field and  $\Lambda$  be a Hermitian unimodular lattice over  $\mathcal{O}_F$  of signature  $(1, n)$  for  $n > 1$ . We assume  $d \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$ . Then for any arithmetic subgroup  $\Gamma \subset U(\Lambda)$ , the canonical map  $\pi_\Gamma: D_\Lambda \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash D_\Lambda$  does not ramify in codimension 1, so that  $\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}$  is a log canonical model.*

*Proof.* It suffices to show the claim for  $\Gamma = U(\Lambda)$ . The ramification divisors are defined by  $\tau_{r,\xi}$  for some primitive  $r \in \Lambda$  and  $\xi \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times \setminus \{1\}$  and by Lemma 3.23, they are included in the set

$$\bigcup_{r \in \Lambda, b \in \mathbb{Z}, \xi \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times \setminus \{1\}} \bigcup_{\substack{r \in \Lambda / \mathcal{O}_F^\times \\ \langle r, r \rangle = -\frac{b}{2}, \tau_{r,\xi} \in U(\Lambda)}} H(r).$$

Now

$$\tau_{r,\xi}(\ell) = \ell - (1 - \xi) \frac{\langle \ell, r \rangle}{\langle r, r \rangle} r.$$

We assume that  $r \in \Lambda$  is a reflective element, that is,  $\tau_{r,\xi} \in U(\Lambda)$  for some  $\xi \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times \setminus \{1\}$ . Then

$$(1 - \xi) \frac{\langle \ell, r \rangle}{\langle r, r \rangle} = -\frac{2(1 - \xi)\langle \ell, r \rangle}{b}.$$

Since  $r$  is primitive and  $\Lambda$  is unimodular, by Proposition 3.22, there exists an  $\ell \in \Lambda$  such that  $\langle \ell, r \rangle = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{d}}$ , so we have

$$(1 - \xi) \frac{\langle \ell, r \rangle}{\langle r, r \rangle} = -\frac{1 - \xi}{b\sqrt{d}} \notin \mathcal{O}_F$$

for  $F \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ . This implies  $\tau_{r,\xi} \notin U(\Lambda)$  and this is contradiction. The last assertion then follows from [Mum77] (or as a special case of our Theorem 2.4 (iii)).  $\square$

Note that we can also deduce this result from [WW21, Lemma 2.2].

**Corollary 3.26.** *Let  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  ( $d \neq -1$ ) be an imaginary quadratic field and  $(\Lambda, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) = M(b)$  be a Hermitian lattice over  $\mathcal{O}_F$  of signature  $(1, n)$  for  $n > 1$  where  $M$  is a unimodular Hermitian lattice and  $b \in \mathcal{O}_F$ . We assume  $d \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$ , and  $\frac{b}{\sqrt{d}} \notin \mathcal{O}_F$ . Then for any arithmetic subgroup  $\Gamma \subset U(\Lambda)$ , the canonical map  $\pi_\Gamma: D_\Lambda \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash D_\Lambda$  does not ramify in codimension 1.*

**3.6. Unitary modular cases, Part I - Fano cases.** Below, for the definition of Hermitian lattices; see Appendix A.

**Remark 3.27.** We can estimate the value  $s(X)$  as orthogonal modular varieties and use it to determine the birational types of ball quotients. Note that the ramification degrees arising from unitary cases may differ from orthogonal ones [Beh12], so we have to pay attention to the computation of  $a$ ; compare with Remark 3.8.

For  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ , let  $B_2$  (resp.  $B_4$ ) be a union of ramification divisor with ramification degree 2 (resp. 4). If a modular form  $f$  of weight  $k$  vanishes on  $B_2$  (resp.  $B_4$ ) with order  $2m$  (resp.  $3m$ ) for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , then  $f$  satisfies Assumption 2.1 (i) and  $s(X) = \frac{k}{4mn}$ .

**Example 3.28.** For  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ , let  $\Lambda := \Lambda_{U \oplus U} \oplus \Lambda_{E_8(-1)}$  be an even unimodular Hermitian lattice over  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}$  of signature  $(1, 5)$  whose associated quadratic lattice is  $\Lambda_Q = U \oplus U \oplus E_8(-1)$ .

The only ramification divisors of the map  $D_\Lambda \rightarrow X := U(\Lambda) \backslash D_\Lambda$  are

$$\bigcup_{\substack{r \in \Lambda / \mathcal{O}_F^\times : \text{primitive} \\ \langle r, r \rangle = -1}} H(r)$$

with ramification degree 2. For more details, see Example 3.32.

By Example 3.11,  $f := \Phi_{252}|_{D_\Lambda}$  is a weight 252 modular form with

$$\text{div } f = 2 \sum_{\substack{r \in L / \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}^\times : \text{primitive} \\ \langle r, r \rangle = -1}} H(r)$$

whose coefficient comes from Lemma 3.24. Therefore applying Theorem 2.4 (i) for  $f^{12}$  with  $s(X) = \frac{21}{2}$ , we have the following.

**Corollary 3.29.** *The Satake-Baily-Borel compactification  $\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}$  of the Shimura variety  $X := U(\Lambda) \backslash D_\Lambda$  is a Fano variety, where  $\Lambda := \Lambda_{U \oplus U} \oplus \Lambda_{E_8(-1)}$  for  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ .*

**Example 3.30.** For  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ , let  $\Lambda := \Lambda_{U \oplus U(2)} \oplus \Lambda_{E_8(-1)}(2)$  be an even Hermitian lattice over  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}$  of signature  $(1, 5)$  whose associated quadratic lattice is  $\Lambda_Q = U \oplus U(2) \oplus E_8(-2)$ . The ramification divisors on  $\mathcal{D}_{\Lambda_Q}$  with respect to  $O^+(\Lambda_Q)$  is the union of special divisors with respect to  $(-2)$ -vectors and special-even  $(-4)$ -vectors, so the ramification divisors on  $D_\Lambda$  with respect to  $U(\Lambda)$  are included in the union of special divisors with respect to  $(-1)$ -vectors and special-even  $(-2)$ -vectors since  $\langle v, v \rangle$  is real for all  $v \in \Lambda$ . Here we say a vector  $r \in \Lambda$

is special-even if  $\Re\langle r, v \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$  for any  $v \in \Lambda$ . The only ramification divisors of  $\pi$  are

$$\bigcup_{\substack{r \in L/\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}^\times : \text{primitive} \\ \langle r, r \rangle = -1, \tau_{r, -1} \in U(\Lambda)}} H(r) \cup \bigcup_{\substack{r \in L/\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}^\times : \text{special-even, primitive} \\ \langle r, r \rangle = -2, \tau_{r, -1} \in U(\Lambda)}} H(r)$$

with ramification degree  $d_i = 2$  and

$$\bigcup_{\substack{r \in \Lambda/\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}^\times : \text{primitive} \\ \langle r, r \rangle = -1, \tau_{r, \sqrt{-1}} \in U(\Lambda)}} H(r) \cup \bigcup_{\substack{r \in \Lambda/\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}^\times : \text{special-even, primitive} \\ \langle r, r \rangle = -2, \tau_{r, \sqrt{-1}} \in U(\Lambda)}} H(r).$$

with ramification degree  $d_i = 4$ . For any primitive element  $r \in \Lambda$  with  $\langle r, r \rangle = -1$ , we have

$$\tau_{r, -1}(\ell) = \ell + 2\langle \ell, r \rangle r.$$

By the description of Hermitian lattices  $\Lambda_{U \oplus U(2)}$  and  $\Lambda_{E_8(-1)(2)}$ ,

$$2\langle \ell, r \rangle \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}.$$

Hence  $\tau_{r, -1} \in U(\Lambda)$  for any  $(-1)$ -primitive element  $r \in \Lambda$ . For any special-even primitive element  $r \in \Lambda$  with  $\langle r, r \rangle = -2$ , we have

$$\tau_{r, -1}(\ell) = \ell + \langle \ell, r \rangle r.$$

By the definition of  $\Lambda_{U \oplus U(2)}$ , if  $\Re\langle \ell, r \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\Im\langle \ell, r \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$  for any  $\ell \in \Lambda$ . Also by the definition of  $\Lambda_{E_8(-2)}$ , we have  $\langle \ell, r \rangle \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}$  for any  $\ell \in \Lambda$ . Hence  $\tau_{r, -1} \in U(\Lambda)$  for any special-even  $(-2)$ -primitive vector  $r \in \Lambda$ . Therefore the map  $D_\Lambda \rightarrow X := U(\Lambda) \backslash D_\Lambda$  ramifies along

$$\bigcup_{\substack{r \in L/\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}^\times : \text{primitive} \\ \langle r, r \rangle = -1}} H(r) \cup \bigcup_{\substack{r \in L/\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}^\times : \text{special-even, primitive} \\ \langle r, r \rangle = -2}} H(r).$$

For  $(-1)$ -primitive vector  $r \in \Lambda$ ,

$$\tau_{r, \sqrt{-1}}(\ell) = \ell + (1 - \sqrt{-1})\langle \ell, r \rangle r.$$

If  $r \in \Lambda_{E_8(-1)(2)}$ , then by the description of the Hermitian matrix defining  $\Lambda_{E_8(-2)}$ , we have  $\langle \ell, r \rangle \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}$ , so  $(1 - \sqrt{-1})\langle \ell, r \rangle \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}$ . If  $r \in \Lambda_{U \oplus U(2)}$ , then the ideal  $\{\langle \ell, r \rangle \mid \ell \in \Lambda_{U \oplus U(2)}\}$  is generated by  $\frac{1+\sqrt{-1}}{2}$  since  $\det(L_{U \oplus U(2)}) = \frac{1}{2}$ , so  $(1 - \sqrt{-1})\langle \ell, r \rangle \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}$ . From a similar discussion as above, we have  $\tau_{r, \sqrt{-1}} \in U(\Lambda)$  for any  $(-1)$ -primitive vector  $r \in \Lambda$ .

For special-even  $(-2)$ -primitive vector  $r \in \Lambda$ ,

$$\tau_{r, \sqrt{-1}}(\ell) = \ell + \frac{(1 - \sqrt{-1})}{2}\langle \ell, r \rangle r.$$

If  $r \in \Lambda_{E_8(-1)}(2)$ , then there exists an  $\ell \in \Lambda_{E_8(-1)}(2)$  such that  $\langle \ell, r \rangle = 1$ , so we have  $\frac{(1-\sqrt{-1})\langle \ell, r \rangle}{2} = \frac{1-\sqrt{-1}}{2} \notin \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}$ . If  $r \in \Lambda_{U \oplus U(2)}$ , then there exists an  $\ell \in \Lambda_{U \oplus U(2)}$  such that  $\langle \ell, r \rangle = \frac{1+\sqrt{-1}}{2}$ , so we have  $\frac{(1-\sqrt{-1})\langle \ell, r \rangle}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \notin \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}$ . Thus, we have  $\tau_{r, \sqrt{-1}} \notin U(\Lambda)$  for any special-even  $(-2)$ -primitive vector  $r \in \Lambda$ .

Therefore, the ramification in codimension 1 only occurs along

$$\bigcup_{\substack{r \in \Lambda / \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}^\times : \text{primitive} \\ \langle r, r \rangle = -1}} H(r)$$

with ramification degree 2, and along

$$\bigcup_{\substack{r \in \Lambda / \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}^\times : \text{special-even, primitive} \\ \langle r, r \rangle = -2}} H(r)$$

with ramification degree 4.

This example implies Theorem 3.25 does not hold for non-unimodular lattices and  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ .

By Example 3.13, we have modular forms  $\Phi_4|_{D_\Lambda}$  and  $\Phi_{124}|_{D_\Lambda}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} \Phi_4|_{D_\Lambda} &= 2 \sum_{\substack{r \in \Lambda / \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}^\times : \text{primitive} \\ \langle r, r \rangle = -1}} H(r) \\ \operatorname{div} \Phi_{124}|_{D_\Lambda} &= 2 \sum_{\substack{r \in \Lambda / \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}^\times : \text{special-even, primitive} \\ \langle r, r \rangle = -2}} H(r) \end{aligned}$$

whose coefficient again comes from Lemma 3.24.

Hence, applying Theorem 2.4 (i) to  $(\Phi_4|_{D_\Lambda}^2, \Phi_{124}|_{D_\Lambda}^3)^{12}$  with  $s(X) = 62$ , we have the following.

**Corollary 3.31.** *The Satake-Baily-Borel compactification  $\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}$  of the Shimura variety  $X := U(\Lambda) \backslash D_\Lambda$  is a Fano variety, where  $\Lambda := \Lambda_{U \oplus U(2)} \oplus \Lambda_{E_8(-1)}(2)$  for  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ .*

### 3.7. Unitary modular cases, Part II - with ample canonical class.

**Example 3.32.** For  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ , let  $\Lambda := \Lambda_{U \oplus U} \oplus \Lambda_{E_8(-1)} \oplus \Lambda_{E_8(-1)} \oplus \Lambda_{E_8(-1)}$  be an even unimodular Hermitian lattice of signature  $(1, 13)$  whose associated quadratic lattice is  $\Lambda_Q = II_{2,26} = U \oplus U \oplus E_8(-1) \oplus E_8(-1) \oplus E_8(-1)$ . The ramification divisors on  $\mathcal{D}_{\Lambda_Q}$  with respect to  $O^+(\Lambda_Q)$  is the union of special divisors with respect to  $(-2)$ -vectors,

so the ramification divisors on  $D_\Lambda$  with respect to  $U(\Lambda)$  are included in the union of special divisors with respect to  $(-1)$ -vectors as  $\langle v, v \rangle$  is real for all  $v \in \Lambda$ . There exist possibly double ramification divisors i.e., those with  $d_i = 2$ , and quadruple ramification divisors i.e., those with  $d_i = 4$ , of the natural morphism  $\pi: D_\Lambda \rightarrow X := U(\Lambda) \setminus D_\Lambda$ . It ramifies in codimension 1 along

$$\bigcup_{\substack{r \in \Lambda / \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}^\times : \text{primitive} \\ \langle r, r \rangle = -1, \tau_{r, -1} \in U(\Lambda)}} H(r)$$

with ramification degree 2, and

$$\bigcup_{\substack{r \in \Lambda / \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}^\times : \text{primitive} \\ \langle r, r \rangle = -1, \tau_{r, \sqrt{-1}} \in U(\Lambda)}} H(r)$$

with ramification degree 4.

For any primitive element  $r \in \Lambda$  with  $\langle r, r \rangle = -1$ , we have

$$\tau_{r, \sqrt{-1}}(\ell) = \ell + (1 - \sqrt{-1})\langle \ell, r \rangle r,$$

but by Proposition 3.22 and unimodularity of  $\Lambda$ ,  $\langle \ell, r \rangle = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-1}}$  for some  $\ell \in \Lambda$ . Hence  $\tau_{r, -1} \notin U(\Lambda)$  for any  $(-1)$ -primitive element  $r \in \Lambda$ , that is, there is no quadruple ramification divisors.

For any primitive element  $r \in \Lambda$  with  $\langle r, r \rangle = -1$ , we have

$$\tau_{r, -1}(\ell) = \ell + 2\langle \ell, r \rangle r.$$

Here,

$$\langle \ell, r \rangle \in \delta \mathcal{O}_F = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-1}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})},$$

so  $2\langle \ell, r \rangle \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}$ . Thus,  $\tau_{r, -1} \in U(\Lambda)$  for any  $(-1)$ -primitive element  $r \in \Lambda$ , that is, there are only double ramification divisors along

$$\bigcup_{\substack{r \in \Lambda / \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}^\times : \text{primitive} \\ \langle r, r \rangle = -1}} H(r)$$

with ramification degree 2. By Example 3.9,  $f := \Phi_{12}|_{D_\Lambda}$  is a weight 12 modular form whose divisors are equal to double ramification divisors;

$$\operatorname{div} f = 2 \sum_{\substack{r \in \Lambda / \mathcal{O}_F^\times : \text{primitive} \\ \langle r, r \rangle = -1}} H(r)$$

whose coefficient again comes from Lemma 3.24. Therefore applying Theorem 2.4 (iii) to  $f^{28}$  with  $s(X) = \frac{3}{14}$ , we have the following the following.

**Corollary 3.33.** *The Satake-Baily-Borel compactification  $\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}$  of the Shimura variety  $X := U(\Lambda) \backslash D_\Lambda$  is a log canonical model, where  $\Lambda := \Lambda_{U \oplus U} \oplus \Lambda_{E_8(-1)} \oplus \Lambda_{E_8(-1)} \oplus \Lambda_{E_8(-1)}$  for  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ . Recall from Terminology after Theorem 2.4 that a log canonical model in this paper means it has only log canonical singularities and ample canonical class.*

**Example 3.34.** For  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$ , let  $\Lambda := \Lambda'_{U \oplus U(2)} \oplus \Lambda'_{E_8(-1)}(2)$  be an even Hermitian lattice over  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})}$  of signature  $(1, 5)$ . The union of ramification divisors of the map  $\pi : D_\Lambda \rightarrow X := U(\Lambda) \backslash D_\Lambda$  are the union of special divisors with respect to  $(-1)$ -vectors only, unlike  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$  case. Of course, these divisors ramify with ramification degree 2, so we can also show  $\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}$  is a log canonical model. (Applying Theorem 2.4 (iii) to  $f^{12}$  with  $s(X) = \frac{1}{6}$ , where  $f := \Phi_4|_{D_\Lambda}$ .) This example implies Theorem 3.25 does not hold for non-unimodular lattices and there exist Hermitian lattices, whose quadratic lattices are the same, admitting Shimura varieties with various birational types according to imaginary quadratic fields.

**Corollary 3.35.** *The Satake-Baily-Borel compactification  $\overline{X}^{\text{SBB}}$  of the Shimura variety  $X := U(\Lambda) \backslash D_\Lambda$  is a log canonical model, where  $\Lambda := \Lambda'_{U \oplus U(2)} \oplus \Lambda'_{E_8(-1)}(2)$  for  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$ .*

**Remark 3.36.** For  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$ , let  $\Lambda := \Lambda'_{U \oplus U} \oplus \Lambda'_{E_8(-1)} \oplus \Lambda'_{E_8(-1)} \oplus \Lambda'_{E_8(-1)}$  be an even unimodular Hermitian lattice over  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})}$  of signature  $(1, 13)$ , whose associated quadratic lattice  $\Lambda_Q$  is  $U \oplus U \oplus E_8(-1) \oplus E_8(-1) \oplus E_8(-1)$ .

Now, we know that for any arithmetic subgroup  $\Gamma \subset U(\Lambda)$ , the map  $\pi : D_\Lambda \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash D_\Lambda$  does not ramify in codimension 1. This is exactly an example of Theorem 3.25. Thus the Satake-Baily-Borel compactification  $\overline{\Gamma \backslash D_\Lambda}^{\text{SBB}}$  is a log canonical model.

**Remark 3.37.** For any imaginary quadratic field with class number 1, we can construct  $\Lambda_{U \oplus U}$  and  $\Lambda_{E_8}$ ; see [Mae20, Appendix A]. As in Theorem 3.25, we can show that the corresponding map does not ramify in codimension 1 for any arithmetic subgroup so that the Satake-Baily-Borel compactification is log canonical model again.

**Remark 3.38.** By the same reason as Remark 3.36, for  $F \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ , the map  $\pi : D_\Lambda \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash D_\Lambda$  does not ramify in codimension 1, where

$\Lambda := \Lambda_{U \oplus U} \oplus \Lambda_{E_8(-1)}$  and  $\Gamma \subset U(\Lambda)$  is any arithmetic subgroup. This is also an example of Theorem 3.25 and  $\overline{\Gamma \backslash D_\Lambda}^{\text{SBB}}$  is a log canonical model.

**3.8. More examples.** For  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ , let  $\Lambda_{-1} := \Lambda_{U \oplus U} \oplus \Lambda_{E_8(-1)}(2)$ . Then, the map  $\pi : D_{\Lambda_{-1}} \rightarrow U(\Lambda_{-1}) \backslash D_{\Lambda_{-1}}$  ramifies at the union of special divisors with respect to  $(-1)$ -vectors and  $(-2)$ -special-even vectors. By [Yos13, Theorem 8.1], there exists a reflective modular form  $\Psi_{12}$  of weight 12 on  $\mathcal{D}_{(\Lambda_{-1})_Q}$  such that

$$\text{div} \Psi_{12}|_{D_\Lambda} = 2 \sum_{\substack{r \in \Lambda_{-1} / \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}^\times : \text{primitive} \\ \langle r, r \rangle = -1}} H(r)$$

whose coefficient again comes from Lemma 3.24. Thus,  $\iota^* \Psi_{12} = \Psi_{12}|_{D_{\Lambda_{-1}}}$  is a reflective modular form on  $D_{\Lambda_{-1}}$ , but this does not satisfy Assumption 2.2 (ii) because the ramification divisors properly include the divisors of  $\Psi_{12}|_{D_{\Lambda_{-1}}}$ , i.e.,

$$\text{Supp}(\text{div} \Psi_{12}|_{D_\Lambda}) \subsetneq \bigcup_{\substack{r \in L / \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}^\times : \text{primitive} \\ \langle r, r \rangle = -1}} H(r) \cup \bigcup_{\substack{r \in L / \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}^\times : \text{special-even, primitive} \\ \langle r, r \rangle = -2}} H(r),$$

where the right-hand side is the ramification divisor. Hence, we can not show the Fano-ness of  $\overline{(U(\Lambda_{-1}) \backslash D_{\Lambda_{-1}})}^{\text{SBB}}$  in this way (but we can show the uniruledness or more strongly, rationally-chain-connectedness of  $U(\Lambda_{-1}) \backslash D_{\Lambda_{-1}}$  by [Mae20, Theorem 5.1]).

On the other hand, for  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$ , let  $\Lambda_{-2}$  be the Hermitian lattice over  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})}$  of signature  $(1, 5)$  whose associated quadratic lattice is  $U \oplus U \oplus E_8(-2)$ . Then the map  $\pi : D_{\Lambda_{-2}} \rightarrow U(\Lambda_{-2}) \backslash D_{\Lambda_{-2}}$  has no ramification divisors, so we can not even show the uniruledness.

## APPENDIX A. MATRIX DEFINITIONS

The following matrices are taken from [Mae20, Appendix A].

**A.1.  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$  cases.** Let  $\Lambda_{U \oplus U}$  be an even unimodular Hermitian lattice of signature  $(1, 1)$  over  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}$  defined by the matrix

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{-1}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

whose associated quadratic lattice  $(\Lambda_{U \oplus U})_Q$  is  $U \oplus U$ .

Let  $\Lambda_{U \oplus U(2)}$  be an even Hermitian lattice of signature  $(1, 1)$  over  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}$  defined by the matrix

$$\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 + \sqrt{-1} \\ 1 - \sqrt{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

whose associated quadratic lattice  $(\Lambda_{U \oplus U(2)})_Q$  is  $U \oplus U(2)$ .

Let  $\Lambda_{E_8(-1)}$  be an even unimodular Hermitian lattice of signature  $(0, 4)$  over  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}$  defined by the matrix

$$-\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -\sqrt{-1} & -\sqrt{-1} & 1 \\ \sqrt{-1} & 2 & 1 & \sqrt{-1} \\ \sqrt{-1} & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & -\sqrt{-1} & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

whose associated quadratic lattice  $(\Lambda_{E_8(-1)})_Q$  is  $E_8(-1)$ . This matrix is called Iyanaga's matrix.

**A.2.  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$  cases.** Let  $\Lambda'_{U \oplus U}$  be an even unimodular Hermitian lattice of signature  $(1, 1)$  over  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})}$  defined by the matrix

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{-2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

whose associated quadratic lattice  $(\Lambda'_{U \oplus U})_Q$  is  $U \oplus U$ .

Let  $\Lambda'_{U \oplus U(2)}$  be a Hermitian lattice of signature  $(1, 1)$  over  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})}$  defined by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

whose associated quadratic lattice  $(\Lambda'_{U \oplus U(2)})_Q$  is  $U \oplus U(2)$ .

Let  $\Lambda'_{E_8(-1)}$  be an even unimodular Hermitian lattice of signature  $(0, 4)$  over  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})}$  defined by the matrix

$$-\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & \sqrt{-2} + 1 & \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-2} \\ 0 & 2 & \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-2} & 1 - \sqrt{-2} \\ 1 - \sqrt{-2} & -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-2} & 2 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-2} & \sqrt{-2} + 1 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

whose associated quadratic lattice  $(\Lambda'_{E_8(-1)})_Q$  is  $E_8(-1)$ .

**Acknowledgements.** Y.M would like to express his gratitude to his adviser, Tetsushi Ito, for his helpful comments and warm encouragement. We would also like to thank Shouhei Ma for constructive discussion on quadratic and Hermitian lattices and thank Ken-ichi Yoshikawa for the discussion on modular forms. Y.M is supported by JST ACT-X

JPMJAX200P. Y.O is partially supported by KAKENHI 18K13389, 20H00112 and 16H06335.

## REFERENCES

- [Ale96] V. Alexeev, Log canonical singularities and complete moduli of stable pairs, arXiv:9608013
- [AF02] D. Allcock, E. Freitag, Cubic surfaces and Borcherds products, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 77 (2002), no. 2, 270-296.
- [ACT02] D. Allcock, J. A. Carlson, D. Toledo, The complex hyperbolic geometry of the moduli space of cubic surfaces, *J. Algebraic Geom.* 11 (2002), 659-724.
- [Amb03] F. Ambro, Quasi-log varieties, *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova* 240 (2003), *Biratsion. Geom. Linein. Sist. Konechno Porozhdennye Algebr*, 220-239; translation in *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* 2003, no. 1 (240), 214-233.
- [AMRT] A. Ash, D. Mumford, M. Rapoport, Y. -S. Tai, *Smooth compactification of locally symmetric varieties*, Cambridge Mathematical Library.
- [BB66] W. Baily, A. Borel, Compactification of arithmetic quotients of bounded symmetric domains, *Ann. of Math. (2)* 84 (1966), 442-528.
- [BN94] W. Barth, I. Nieto, Abelian surfaces of type  $(1, 3)$  and quartic surfaces with 16 skew lines, *J. Alg. Geom.* 3 (1994), 173-222.
- [Beh12] N. Behrens, Singularities of ball quotients, *Geom. Dedicata* 159 (2012), 389-407.
- [Bor95] R. Borcherds, Automorphic forms on  $O_{s+2,2}(\mathbb{R})$  and infinite products, *Invent. Math.* 120 (1995), no. 1, 161-213.
- [Bor96] R. Borcherds, The moduli space of Enriques surfaces and the fake Monster Lie superalgebra, *Topology* 35 (1996), no. 3, 699-710.
- [Bor98] R. Borcherds, Automorphic forms with singularities on Grassmannians, *Invent. Math.* 132 (1998), no. 3, 491-562.
- [BGLM21] L. Braun, D. Greb, K. Langlois, J. Moraga, Reductive quotients of klt singularities, arXiv:2111.02812.
- [Bru02] J. H. Bruinier, Borcherds products on  $O(2, l)$  and Chern classes of Heegner divisors. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 1780. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [Bru14] J. H. Bruinier, On the converse theorem for Borcherds products, *Jour. of Algebra* 397 (2014), 315-342.
- [BEF16] J. H. Bruinier, S. Ehlen, E. Freitag, Lattices with many Borcherds products, *Math. Comp.* 85 (2016), no. 300, 1953-1981.
- [DY20] X. Dai, K. Yoshikawa, Analytic torsion for log-Enriques surfaces and Borcherds product, arXiv:2009.10302.
- [DKW19] C. Dieckmann, A. Krieg, M. Voitalla, The graded ring of modular forms on the Cayley half-space of degree two, *Ramanujan J.* 48 (2019), no. 2, 385-398.
- [DN89] J. -M. Drezet, M. S. Narasimhan, Groupe de Picard des variétés de modules de fibrés semi-stables sur les courbes algébriques, *Invent. Math.* 97 (1989), no. 1, 53-94.
- [Fre83] E. Freitag, *Siegelsche Modulfunktionen*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [FSM10] E. Freitag, R. Salvati Manni, Some Siegel threefolds with a Calabi-Yau model, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)* vol. (2010), 833-850.
- [Fjn10] O. Fujino, Some problems on Fano varieties, Proceeding to the conference “Fukuso-kikagaku no shomondai (2010)” in Japanese.

- [Fjn11] O. Fujino, Fundamental theorems for the log minimal model program, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* 47 (2011), no. 3, 727-789.
- [Fjn17] O. Fujino, Foundations of the minimal model program, *MSJ Memoirs*, 35. Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 2017.
- [FG12] O. Fujino, Y. Gongyo, On canonical bundle formulas and subadjunctions, *Michigan Math. J.* 61 (2012), no. 2, 255-264.
- [Gri10] V. Gritsenko, Reflective modular forms in algebraic geometry, [arXiv:1012.4155](https://arxiv.org/abs/1012.4155).
- [Gri12] V. Gritsenko, 24 faces of the Borcherds modular forms  $\Phi_{12}$ , [arXiv:1203.6503](https://arxiv.org/abs/1203.6503).
- [Gri18] V. Gritsenko, Reflective modular forms and applications, *Russian Math. Surv.* 73 (2018) 797-864.
- [GH14] V. Gritsenko, K. Hulek, Uniruledness of orthogonal modular varieties, *J. Alg. Geom.* 23 (2014), 711-725.
- [GH16] V. Gritsenko, K. Hulek, Moduli of polarized Enriques surfaces, in *K3 surfaces and their moduli*, 55-72, *Progr. Math.*, 315, 2016.
- [GHS07] V. Gritsenko, K. Hulek, G. Sankaran, The Kodaira dimension of the moduli spaces of K3 surfaces, *Invent. math.* 169 (2007), 519-567.
- [GN98] V. Gritsenko, V. Nikulin, Automorphic forms and Lorentzian Kac-Moody algebras. I, *Internat. J. Math.* 9 (1998), no. 2, 153-199.
- [GN18] V. Gritsenko, V. Nikulin, Lorentzian Kac-Moody algebras with Weyl groups of 2-reflections. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) 116 (2018), no. 3, 485-533.
- [HM07] C. Hacon, J. Mckernan, On Shokurov's rational connectedness conjecture, *Duke Math. J.* 138 (1) 119 - 136, 15 (2007).
- [HU22] K. Hashimoto, K. Ueda, The ring of modular forms for the even unimodular lattice of signature (2, 10), *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 150 (2022), no. 2, 547-558.
- [Hof14] E. Hofmann, Borcherds products on unitary groups. *Math. Ann.* 358 (2014), no. 3-4, 799-832.
- [Huy94] D. Huybrechts, Complete curves in moduli spaces of stable bundles on surfaces, *Math. Ann.* 298 (1994), no. 1, 67-78.
- [Ich09] T. Ichikawa, Siegel modular forms of degree 2 over rings, *J. Number Theory* 129 (2009), no. 4, 818-823.
- [Igu64] J. Igusa, On Siegel modular forms of genus two. II, *Amer. J. Math.* 86 (1964), 392-412.
- [KM98] J. Kollár, S. Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 134. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [Kon93] S. Kondō, On the Kodaira dimension of the moduli spaces of K3 surfaces, *Compositio Math.* 89 (1993), 251-299.
- [Kon94] S. Kondō, The rationality of the moduli space of Enriques surfaces, *Compositio Math.* 91 (1994), 159-173.
- [Kon99] S. Kondō, On the Kodaira dimension of the moduli spaces of K3 surfaces. II, *Compositio Math.* 116 (1999), 111-117.
- [Kon02] S. Kondō, The moduli space of Enriques surfaces and Borcherds products, *J. Alg. Geom.* 11 (2002), 601-627.
- [Lej93] P. Lejarraga, The moduli of Weierstrass fibrations over  $\mathbb{P}^1$ : rationality, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 23 (1993), no. 2, 649-650.

- [Li94] J. Li, Kodaira dimension of moduli space of vector bundles on surfaces, *Invent. Math.* 115 (1994), no. 1, 1-40.
- [Loo84] E. Looijenga, The Smoothing Components of a Triangle Singularity. II, *Math. Ann.* 269 (1984), no. 3, 357–387.
- [Ma12] S. Ma, The unirationality of the moduli spaces of 2-elementary K3 surfaces. With an appendix by Ken-Ichi Yoshikawa. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) 105 (2012), no. 4, 757-786.
- [Ma18] S. Ma, On the Kodaira dimension of orthogonal modular varieties, *Invent. Math.* (2018), pp. 859-911.
- [Mae20] Y. Maeda, Uniruledness of unitary Shimura varieties associated with Hermitian forms of signatures  $(1, 3)$ ,  $(1, 4)$ ,  $(1, 5)$ . arXiv:2008.13106, Master thesis (Department of Mathematics, Kyoto university).
- [Mae22] Y. Maeda, Big line bundles on unitary modular varieties, arXiv:2204.01128.
- [Mum77] D. Mumford, Hirzebruch’s proportionality theorem in the noncompact case, *Invent Math.* 42 (1977), 239-277.
- [Mum83] D. Mumford, On the Kodaira dimension of the Siegel modular variety, *Algebraic geometry—open problems*, 348-375, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 997, Springer, Berlin, 1983.
- [NU22] A. Nagano, K. Ueda, The ring of modular forms for the even unimodular lattice of signature  $(2, 18)$ , *Hiroshima Math. J.* 52 (2022), no. 1, 43-51.
- [Nam85] Y. Namikawa, Periods of Enriques surfaces, *Math. Ann.* 270 (1985), no. 2, 201–222.
- [OSS16] Y. Odaka, C. Spotti, S. Sun, Compact moduli spaces of del Pezzo surfaces and Kähler-Einstein metrics, *J. Differential Geom.* 102 (2016), no. 1, 127-172.
- [OO21] Y. Odaka, Y. Oshima, Collapsing K3 surface, *Tropical geometry and Moduli compactifications of Satake, Morgan-Shalen type*, MSJ Memoirs, 40. Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 2021.
- [Od20] Y. Odaka, PL density invariant for type II degenerating K3 surfaces, *Moduli compactification and hyperKähler metrics*, *Nagoya Math. Journal* (2020).
- [Sat60] I. Satake, On compactifications of the quotient spaces for arithmetically defined discontinuous groups, *Ann. of Math.* (2) 72 (1960), 555-580.
- [Ste91] H. Sterk, Compactifications of the period space of Enriques surfaces I, *Math. Z.* 207 (1991), no. 1, 1–36.
- [Tai82] Y. S. Tai, On the Kodaira dimension of the moduli space of abelian varieties, *Invent. Math.* 68 (1982), 425-439.
- [vdG82] G. van der Geer, On the geometry of a Siegel modular threefold. *Math. Ann.* 260 (1982), no. 3, 317-350.
- [vdG21] G. van der Geer, Siegel modular forms of degree two and three and invariant theory, arXiv:2102.02245.
- [Yos09] K. Yoshikawa, Calabi-Yau threefolds of Borcea-Voisin, analytic torsion, and Borcherds products, *Astérisque No.* 328 (2009), 355–393 (2010).
- [Yos13] K. Yoshikawa, *K3 surfaces with involution, equivalent analytic torsion, and automorphic forms on the moduli spaces, II: A structure theorem for  $r(M) > 10$* , *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 677 (2013), 15-70.
- [WW20] H. Wang, B. Williams, Simple lattices and free algebras of modular forms, arXiv:2009.13343.

- [WW21] H. Wang, B. Williams, Free algebras of modular forms on ball quotients, arXiv:2105.14892.
- [Zha91] D-Q. Zhang, Logarithmic Enriques surfaces, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 31 (1991), 419-466.
- [Zha06] Q. Zhang, Rational connectedness of log  $Q$ -Fano varieties, J. Reine Angew. Math. 590 (2006) 131-142.

(Y.M) SONY GROUP CORPORATION, 1-7-1 KONAN, MINATO-KU, TOKYO, 108-0075, JAPAN/DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN

*Email address:* y.maeda@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp

(Y.O) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN

*Email address:* yodaka@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp