

On S -Matrix Exclusion of de Sitter and Naturalness

Gia Dvali

*Arnold Sommerfeld Center, Ludwig-Maximilians-University, Munich, Germany,
Max-Planck-Institute for Physics, Munich, Germany*

(Dated: August 23, 2021)

The cosmological constant puzzle, traditionally viewed as a naturalness problem, is evidently nullified by the S -matrix formulation of quantum gravity/string theory. We point out an implication of this fact for another naturalness puzzle, the Hierarchy Problem between the weak and Planck scales. By eliminating the landscape of de Sitter vacua and eternal inflation, the S -matrix formulation exhibits an obvious tension with the explanations based on anthropic selection or cosmological relaxation of the Higgs mass. This sharpens the Hierarchy Problem in a profound way. On one hand, it strengthens the case for explanations based on new physics not far from the weak scale. At the same time, it opens up a question, whether instead the hierarchy is imposed by the S -matrix consistency between the Standard Model and gravity.

In perturbative loop calculations, the vacuum energy-density, Λ , is directly sensitive to the UV-cutoff of the theory. This cutoff-sensitivity also makes it uncalculable. Many effects that come from near-cutoff physics, such as, e.g., contributions from virtual micro black holes, can at best be estimated up to unknown numerical factors. This uncertainty is the source of the Cosmological Constant Puzzle. This puzzle is traditionally viewed as a problem of naturalness.

Some time ago, a change of the point of view was offered [1]. It was suggested that instead the puzzle should be viewed as a matter of consistency of quantum gravity. It was argued that in quantum gravity the de Sitter cannot be viewed as a vacuum but only as an excited (coherent) state constructed on top of a true S -matrix vacuum of Minkowski.

It was then found that the validity of semi-classical de Sitter is bounded from above by the anomalous quantum break-time [1, 2]. This fact severely restricts the potential energy landscape of quantum gravity/string theory. In particular, it excludes local and global de Sitter minima, as well as, any scalar potential supporting an eternal inflation. The quantitative bounds can be found in original papers and are unimportant for the present discussion. The essential message is that a would-be naturalness puzzle turned out to be absent.

This outcome is deeply rooted in the S -matrix formulation of quantum gravity, which is organic to string theory. The incompatibility of de Sitter with the S -matrix formulation of quantum gravity can be understood from a simple scaling argument presented in [3]. The key point is that the rigidity of de Sitter “vacuum”, as well as, the quantum coupling of gravitons, are controlled by the same parameter: The Newton’s gravitational coupling, G .

A scattering of gravitons, at momentum-transfer q , is controlled by the quantity $q^2 G$. In order to have a non-trivial S -matrix for quantum gravity, this quantity must

be non-zero. This is in conflict with the rigidity limit of de Sitter,

$$G \rightarrow 0, \Lambda \rightarrow \infty, \Lambda G \equiv \frac{1}{R^2} = \text{finite}. \quad (1)$$

The last condition indicates that the de Sitter curvature radius, R , is kept finite. The equation (1) describes an unique limit in which the back-reaction on de Sitter state from the scattering process vanishes. This is a necessary condition for a valid S -matrix vacuum.

Obviously, in the limit (1), the quantum gravity trivializes. In contrast, there is no *a priori* problem in a short-distance-limit S -matrix description for the interactions with Wilsonian UV-completion. For example, for an asymptotically-free QCD-like theory, with the confinement length larger than R , such a treatment is valid.

Naturally, the exclusion of Λ , no matter how small, from the energy budget of our Universe, sharpens the question of dark energy. Whatever the explanation, its existence becomes a signal for new physics beyond the framework of the Standard Model + Einstein gravity. At the same time, the elimination of the simplest explanation in form of Λ , should also serve as additional motivation for the high-scrutiny analysis of cosmic acceleration, such as [4].

In this note we wish to point out that the above has important implications for another naturalness puzzle, the Hierarchy Problem. Similarly to the cosmological constant puzzle, the Hierarchy Problem also emerges from the quantum sensitivity to the UV-cutoff. In this case, the sensitive quantity is the mass of the Higgs scalar.

The naturalness approaches to the Hierarchy Problem can be split in two categories: The ones that require a new stabilizing physics not far from the weak scale and the ones that do not. In the second category there are two entries: 1) Anthropic selection [5]; and 2)

Cosmological relaxation of the Higgs mass [6]. We shall review them separately.

The anthropic selection [7, 8] of the Higgs mass was suggested by Agrawal, Barr, Donoghue and Seckel [5], generalizing the reasoning originally applied by Weinberg [9] to the puzzle of cosmological constant. Various implementations of this idea have been discussed in the literature (see, e.g., [10]). We shall not enter in details of model-building, but instead focus on very general necessary ingredients of the approach.

The first is the notion that the observed value of the Higgs mass (likely in combination with some other parameters), is crucial for the existence of human observers. The second ingredient is the mechanism of scanning among the different values of the Higgs mass. That is, a theory must allow for a plentitude of vacua with different values of the Higgs mass and must also provide a mechanism for actualizing these values in different parts of the Universe. The generic mechanism for this actualization is the eternal inflation [11, 12].

In the second class of theories [6], to which we refer to as the “cosmological relaxation of the Higgs mass”, the selection is not anthropic. However, the actualization mechanism is similar. During a long period of inflation, the values of the parameters are scanned.

The crucial ingredient is that the Higgs mass acts as a control parameter for the scanning process. The scanning stops via a certain back-propagation mechanism, when the Higgs mass reaches a desired value (attractor). The driving force of the cosmic evolution towards the attractor is the number density of vacua, which diverges in the neighbourhood of the attractor point. In this way, after a sufficiently long period of cosmic inflation, the actualized values of the Higgs mass in different inflationary domains, statistically converge towards the attractor value. This value is stable with respect to quantum corrections, since it is determined by non-UV-sensitive parameters of the Standard Model, such as the QCD scale.

We now arrive to our central point. The S -matrix formulation of quantum gravity, by excluding the eternal inflation and de Sitter vacua, eliminates the possibility of an efficient cosmological scanning of the theory parameters. This conclusion applies equally to the scanning of the Higgs mass. Thus, both the anthropic selection and the cosmological relaxation mechanisms become problematic. The least, in both approaches, one needs to rethink the actualization mechanisms of the vacua with different values of the target parameters.

In this respect, the attractor mechanism may look

somewhat more promising. Due to an infinite vacuum entropy around the attractor value, one may hope that neither de Sitter vacua nor the process of long cosmic diversification is required. Instead, the Higgs value could be driven towards the attractor domain by a single “jump” from some initial condition (for example, in the process of creation of an inflationary Universe from “nothing” [8, 11]). The probability of such a transition could be enhanced by the divergent entropy factor due to multiplicity of vacua close to the attractor. The above are potentially interesting possibilities, but they require a substantial modification of the scanning mechanism.

The bottomline is that the S -matrix formulation of gravity turns out to be incompatible with the simplest actualization mechanism based on eternal inflation on the landscape of de Sitter vacua. This affects our view of the Hierarchy Problem profoundly.

First, from the naturalness perspective, the existence of new stabilizing physics, not far from TeV energies, becomes much stronger justified.

At the same time, another question opens up. Can the hierarchy, instead of being a naturalness issue, be a matter of consistency for embedding the Standard Model in an S -matrix theory of gravity?

A support for thinking in this direction, is provided by the known cases in which the hierarchy originates from consistency. For example, in theories with large number of particle species N , the Higgs mass is bound not to exceed $1/\sqrt{N}$ fraction of the Planck scale [13]. This bound is imposed by consistency of quantum gravity with well-established features of black hole physics. In this light, it is not unimaginable that there exists an independent S -matrix reason for the lightness of the Higgs relative to the Planck mass. For example, it is conceivable that a large separation of scales is necessary for avoiding a generation of de Sitter minima by quantum gravity effects.

Whatever the answer, the S -matrix nullification of the cosmological constant puzzle, sharpens the question of hierarchies in a non-trivial manner.

Acknowledgements

We thank Goran Senjanović for comments. This work was supported in part by the Humboldt Foundation under Humboldt Professorship Award, by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany’s Excellence Strategy - EXC-2111 - 390814868, and Germany’s Excellence Strategy under Excellence Cluster Origins.

-
- [1] G. Dvali and C. Gomez, “Quantum Compositeness of Gravity: Black Holes, AdS and Inflation,” JCAP **01**, 023 (2014) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2014/01/023 [arXiv:1312.4795 [hep-th]]; “Quantum Exclusion of Positive Cosmological Constant?,” Annalen Phys. **528**, 68-73 (2016) doi:10.1002/andp.201500216 [arXiv:1412.8077 [hep-th]].
- [2] G. Dvali, C. Gomez and S. Zell, “Quantum Break-Time of de Sitter,” JCAP **06**, 028 (2017) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2017/06/028 [arXiv:1701.08776 [hep-th]].
- [3] G. Dvali, “*S*-Matrix and Anomaly of de Sitter,” Symmetry **13**, no.1, 3 (2020) doi:10.3390/sym13010003 [arXiv:2012.02133 [hep-th]].
- [4] J. Colin, R. Mohayaee, M. Rameez and S. Sarkar, “Evidence for anisotropy of cosmic acceleration,” Astron. Astrophys. **631**, L13 (2019) doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201936373 [arXiv:1808.04597 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [5] V. Agrawal, S. M. Barr, J. F. Donoghue and D. Seckel, “Viable range of the mass scale of the standard model,” Phys. Rev. D **57**, 5480-5492 (1998) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.57.5480 [arXiv:hep-ph/9707380 [hep-ph]].
- [6] G. Dvali, A. Vilenkin, Cosmic attractors and gauge hierarchy, Phys. Rev. D **70** (2004) 063501, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.70.063501, hep-th/0304043; G. Dvali, Large hierarchies from attractor vacua, Phys.Rev. D **74** (2006) 025018 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.74.025018, hep-th/0410286; P.W. Graham, D.E. Kaplan and S. Rajendran, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, (2015) 221801, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.221801 [arXiv:1504.07551 [hep-ph]].
- [7] B. Carter, in I.A.U. Symposium, Vol 63, ed by M. Longair (Reidel, Dordrecht, 1974); B. J. Carr and M. J. Rees, “The anthropic principle and the structure of the physical world,” Nature **278**, 605-612 (1979) doi:10.1038/278605a0 ; J. Barrow and F. Tipler, The Anthropic Cosmological Principle (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1986).
- [8] A. Vilenkin, “Predictions from quantum cosmology,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 846-849 (1995) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.74.846 [arXiv:gr-qc/9406010 [gr-qc]].
- [9] S. Weinberg, “Anthropic Bound on the Cosmological Constant,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 2607 (1987) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.59.2607 .
- [10] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and S. Kachru, “Predictive landscapes and new physics at a TeV,” [arXiv:hep-th/0501082 [hep-th]].
- [11] A. Vilenkin, Birth of inflationary universes, Phys. Rev. D **27**, 2848 (1983).
- [12] A.D. Linde, Eternally Existing Selfreproducing Chaotic Inflationary Universe, Phys. Lett. B **175** (1986) 395, DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(86)90611-8.
- [13] G. Dvali, “Black Holes and Large N Species Solution to the Hierarchy Problem,” Fortsch. Phys. **58**, 528-536 (2010) doi:10.1002/prop.201000009 [arXiv:0706.2050 [hep-th]]; G. Dvali and M. Redi, “Black Hole Bound on the Number of Species and Quantum Gravity at LHC,” Phys. Rev. D **77**, 045027 (2008) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.77.045027 [arXiv:0710.4344 [hep-th]].