

Solving Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff equations in $f(T)$ gravity: a novel approach applied to polytropic equations of state

José C. N. de Araujo^a, Hemily G. M. Fortes^b

¹Divisão de Astrofísica, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais, Avenida dos Astronautas 1758, São José dos Campos, SP 12227-010, Brazil

the date of receipt and acceptance should be inserted later

Abstract The Teleparallel Theory is an alternative theory of gravity equivalent to General Relativity (GR) and with non-vanishing torsion T . Some extensions of this theory, the so-called $f(T)$ models, have been subject of many recent works. The purpose of our work in the end is to consider recent results for a specific family of $f(T)$ models by using their corresponding Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff to describe compact objects such as neutron stars. By performing numerical calculations, it is possible to find, among other things, the maximum mass allowed by the model for a neutron star for a given equation of state (EOS), which would also allow us to evaluate which models are in accordance with observations. To begin with, the present work, the second in the series, considers polytropic EOSs since they can offer a simpler and satisfactory description for the compact objects. In addition, with these EOSs, we can already assess how different the $f(T)$ theories are in relation to GR with respect to the stellar structure. The results already known to GR must be reproduced to some extent and, eventually, we can find models that allow higher maximum masses than Relativity itself, which could explain, for example, the secondary component of the event GW190814. This particular issue will be subject of a forthcoming paper, the third in the series, where realistic EOSs are considered.

1 Introduction

The Teleparallel equivalent of General Relativity (TEGR) [1, 2] is an alternative description of gravity first introduced by Einstein himself. This theory is defined on a Wittenböck space-time, which is curvatureless, but with a non-vanishing torsion. Just as modifications that can be made in General

Relativity (GR) leading us to the $f(R)$ theories, it is possible to extend the teleparallel to the so-called $f(T)$ models where one uses a function of torsion as the Lagrangian density. These models have, in general, simpler field equations than those obtained in $f(R)$ and have presented interesting cosmological and astrophysical solutions [3]-[6].

Despite some theoretical issues [7, 8], GR has been a great success as a theory of gravity. Therefore, it is expected that a well conceived alternative model of gravity retrieve the results of GR in some limit and also offer some advantage or new physical possibilities. In this sense, it is always relevant to reproduce for the alternative models some analysis already done in the context of GR. Thus, our main interest here is to study the behaviour of spherically symmetric stars in some $f(T)$ models. More specifically, in [9] we have obtained the correspondent Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff (TOV) equations [10] for a set of models $f(T, \xi)$, where ξ is a free parameter. TOV equations are derived from the theory of gravity and can be used to model the structure of a spherically symmetrical object in gravitational equilibrium, for instance, a neutron star. Solving these equations for a given equation of state, it is possible, for example, to determine the maximum mass allowed for the object.

Considering different gravity models could, in principle, lead us to different maximum masses for a given equation of state (see, e.g., [11]). This would be important, for example, to explain one of the components involved in the event GW190814 [12] concerning the coalescence involving a black hole of 22.2–24.3 M_{\odot} and a compact object of mass 2.50–2.67 M_{\odot} , the latter being a relatively high-mass neutron star or a low-mass black hole.

The TOV equations in [9] for the $f(T, \xi)$ models could be found without any restriction on the metric functions or perturbative calculations, leading to a nontrivial coupled differential equations. The next step is to perform the numerical calculations by choosing a specific equation of state and the

^ae-mail: jcarlos.dearaujo@inpe.br

^be-mail: hemily.gomes@inpe.br

suitable boundary conditions. For the purposes of this work, the equation of state to be considered will be the polytropic equation [13] since it can offer a simpler and satisfactory description for neutron stars, for example. The studies related to realistic EOSs are left for another paper to appear elsewhere.

Since the chosen equation of state is added to the system of TOV equations, it is time to perform the numerical calculations for different values of ξ . A brief summary of the results obtained in [9], in particular the set of differential equations to be solved, for the $f(T, \xi) = T + \xi T^2$ models will be presented in Section 2. Having in mind that $\xi = 0$ corresponds to the GR results and, consequently, every deviation from this value leads us to a new extension of the TEGR which, in turn, can be applied to the neutron stars context, for example. All this analysis, the methodology used and the results obtained are presented in Section 3. In Section 4, we present the final remarks.

2 Equations of stellar structure in $f(T, \xi)$ models

In theories with torsion, such as $f(T)$, the dynamical variable is no longer the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, but rather the tetrad e^a_μ which has to be carefully chosen for each specific physical context [14, 15]. In the covariant formulation of $f(T)$ gravity [16], besides the tetrad the spin connection must be also considered as a dynamical variable. Consequently, in this covariant formulation one does not need be worried about the tetrad, since anyone is equally good. Choosing a given tetrad, one only has to obtain from it its corresponding spin connection.

Since here we are interested in modelling stars without rotation, therefore spherically symmetrical, the appropriate spacetime can be given by the metric $ds^2 = e^{A(r)} dt^2 - e^{B(r)} dr^2 - r^2 d\theta^2 - r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2$.

The equations of motion can be obtained from the action,

$$S \propto \int d^4x \det(e_\mu^a) f(T), \quad (1)$$

where the form of $f(T)$ is the same considered in our previous paper [9], namely,

$$f(T) = T + \xi T^2, \quad (2)$$

where ξ is an arbitrary real. Notice that, for $\xi = 0$, it is expected to retrieve the TEGR results, which will be a reference parameter for the numerical calculations in the next section.

Then, one obtains nontrivial coupled differential equations which mix all the functions and their derivatives, namely as B , B' , A' and A'' . In general, we find in the literature this type of equations being solved by considering some approximations, restriction of the functions or perturbative calculations [17]-[19] in order to avoid a cumbersome process.

Conversely, in [9] we have the TOV equations for the model (2) written explicitly without the need of any previous assumptions.

It is worth mentioning that [20] seems to follow a similar step, but they do not show explicitly the set of differential equations that is effectively numerically solved.

We present below the main equations obtained in our previous paper [9] which will be used in the further numerical calculations.

First, we have the expression for the pressure $P(r)$ in terms of the functions $B(r)$ and $A'(r)$:

$$P = \frac{e^{-B}}{8\pi r^4} \left\{ r^2 (1 - e^B) + r^3 A' + 12r(e^{-1/2B} - 1)^2 \xi A' + 2e^B (e^{-1/2B} - 1)^3 (3e^{-1/2B} + 1) \xi + 2r^2 \xi (e^{-1/2B} - 1) (3e^{-1/2B} - 1) A'^2 \right\}. \quad (3)$$

Also, we have an equation for $B'(r)$ in terms of $B(r)$ itself, $A'(r)$, ξ and ρ (mass density):

$$B' = -\frac{e^B}{r} \left\{ r^4 (1 - e^{-B} - 8\pi r^2 \rho) + 32\pi r^4 \rho (e^{-1/2B} - 1) (3e^{-1/2B} - 1) \xi + 2r^2 (e^{-1/2B} - 1)^2 (9e^{-B} + 10e^{-1/2B} - 11) \xi + 8(e^{-1/2B} - 1)^4 (9e^{-B} + 8e^{-1/2B} - 9) \xi^2 + 8r^3 e^{-1/2B} (e^{-1/2B} - 1) \left[8\pi r^2 \rho + (e^{-1/2B} - 1) (2e^{-1/2B} + 1) \right] A' \xi + 16r e^{-1/2B} (e^{-1/2B} - 1)^3 (9e^{-1/2B} - 5) A' \xi^2 + 2r^4 e^{-B} (e^{-1/2B} - 1)^2 A'^2 \xi + 8r^2 e^{-B} (e^{-1/2B} - 1)^3 (9e^{-1/2B} + 1) A'^2 \xi^2 \right\} / \left\{ r^4 + 8r^2 (e^{-1/2B} - 1) (2e^{-1/2B} - 1) \xi + 16(e^{-1/2B} - 1)^3 (3e^{-1/2B} - 1) \xi^2 + 16r^3 e^{-1/2B} (e^{-1/2B} - 1) A' \xi + 32r e^{-1/2B} (e^{-1/2B} - 1)^2 (3e^{-1/2B} - 2) A' \xi^2 + 48r^2 e^{-B} (e^{-1/2B} - 1)^2 A'^2 \xi^2 \right\}. \quad (4)$$

Additionally, in order to solve these differential equations, it will be necessary to consider the well-known *conservation equation* [21]:

$$A' = -2 \frac{P'}{P + \rho}. \quad (5)$$

Notice that mass density $\rho = \rho(r)$ appears in the equations above and it will be related to the pressure P through the equation of state. Furthermore, the mass $m(r)$ can be obtained from:

$$\frac{dm}{dr} = 4\pi \rho r^2. \quad (6)$$

Notice, however, that equation (6) may not apply to $f(T)$ gravity. This is in fact an open problem in $f(T)$ (see, e.g., [20] and [22]). Nevertheless, in a recent paper [23], equation (6) is adopted in the calculation of the mass of stars.

The total rest mass M_0 , although is not an observable, is an interesting quantity to calculate, since it can be used to compare different theories. For example, different theories must provide different values of M_0 for given equation of state and central density.

The total rest mass M_0 of a star is obtained by solving

$$\frac{dm_0}{dr} = 4\pi\rho_0 e^{B/2} r^2, \quad (7)$$

where ρ_0 is the rest mass density.

In the next section we present the numerical calculations and the corresponding modellings for the particular case of polytropic EOSs.

3 Numerical Calculations and Discussions

In what follows we describe in detail how the numerical calculations have been performed.

First of all, as already mentioned, in the present paper we consider polytropic EOSs. It is worth remembering that there are two types of polytropes, the true and the pseudo.

Recall that the true polytropic reads

$$P = k\rho_o^\gamma, \quad (8)$$

where ρ_o is the rest-mass density, k is the polytropic gas constant and n defined by $\gamma \equiv 1 + 1/n$ is the polytropic index. From the first law of thermodynamics one obtains the mass-energy density, ρ , namely $\rho = \rho_o + nP$ (see, e.g., [24]).

The pseudo polytropic, which is usually referred to in the literature just as polytropic, reads

$$P = k\rho^\gamma. \quad (9)$$

Following [24], we set all quantities in nondimensional form. In practice, this is equivalent to set $k = G = c = 1$. This is an useful procedure since our aim here is to compare how different $f(T)$ is as compared to General Relativity regarding compact objects.

To construct a compact star in General Relativity, for example, one has to integrate numerically the differential equations for $m(r)$ and $P(r)$ for a given EOS. Then, it is necessary to choose a central density, ρ_c , and using the EOS one obtains the central pressure P_c . In addition, providing the central boundary conditions

$$m = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad P = P_c \quad \text{at} \quad r = 0, \quad (10)$$

one obtains $m(r)$, $P(r)$ and $\rho(r)$, i.e., the structure of the star. The radius of the star, R , is given by the value of r for which

$P(r) = 0$. That is, one starts the integration of the set of differential equations at $r = 0$ and continues it till the value of r for which $P(r) = 0$. Finally, the mass of the star is then given by $M = m(R)$.

Here, to model a compact star one has an additional equation, namely, the differential equation for $B(r)$. Then, one needs to provide a central boundary condition for B . Based on General Relativity one can convince oneself that $B_c = 0$.

Before proceeding it is worth mentioning that to perform the numerical integration of the set of three differential equations we have written a numerical code in Python.

A very useful way to compare different theories of gravity by using models of compact stars is via sequences of models. That is, for a range of values of ρ_c one obtains the corresponding masses and radii. Consequently, one obtains the ‘‘Mass \times Radius’’ and ‘‘Mass $\times \rho_c$ ’’ curves, from which one can obtain, for example, the maximum mass allowed for a given EOS.

Notice that ξ in $f(T) = T + \xi T^2$, the particular theory we are considering is dimensionless too, since $G = c = 1$.

The first model we consider is that given by GR, because it will be the reference for comparisons. However, instead of using TOV GR to generate sequences of models for given polytropic EOSs, we can set $\xi = 0$ in our equations. This gives $f(T) = T$, which is nothing but the TEGR, whose TOV equations after a simple manipulation are reduced to TOV GR, as it should be. In fact, this is an important test to show that our scheme is consistent.

For the first set of models, we consider a pseudo polytropic EOS with index $n = 1$, which gives $P = \rho^2$, where we set $k = 1$ since we are dealing with dimensionless quantities, as already mentioned.

In figure 1, we plot sequences of mass-energy M as a function of the central mass-energy density ρ_c for the $P = \rho^2$ EOS and different values of ξ .

Note that for $\xi \geq 0$ there are clearly maximum masses. In addition, the greater ξ is, the lower the maximum mass. The calculations suggest that the maximum mass monotonically decreases for increasing values of ξ . One could think of the effective torsion (‘‘gravity’’) increases for increasing values of ξ .

Considering now that ξ could have negative values, one clearly see that, as compared to GR, the masses for a given ρ_c increases for decreasing values of ξ . The effective torsion (‘‘gravity’’) decreases for decreasing values of ξ .

It is worth mentioning that we adopted a maximum value of ρ_c , which is the value for which the sound speed is equal the speed of light, namely, $\rho_c^{max} = [n/(n+1)]^n$. For $P = \rho^2$, the maximum value of central density is $\rho_c = 1/2$.

It is evident, for the values of $\xi < 0$ presented in figure 1, that these sequences do not have turning points as those

for $\xi \geq 0$. Also, our calculations suggest that the masses increase monotonically for decreasing values of ξ .

Another way of presenting the sequences is to plot the mass-energy M as a function of the radius R . These sequences, also for $P = \rho^2$ EOS and different values of ξ , are shown in figure 2. Notice that the compactness (i.e., M/R) increases for decreasing values of ξ .

It is also interesting to see on how a stiffer (softer) EOS as compared to $P = \rho^2$ is affected by different values of ξ .

In figures 3 and 4 are plotted the same as in figures 1 and 2 now for $n = 2/3$.

For $\xi > 0$, the sequences for $n = 2/3$ have their maximum masses more significantly reduced as compared to GR than sequences for $n = 1$.

On the other hand, for $\xi < 0$, the sequences for $n = 2/3$ and $n = 1$ have their maximum masses almost equally affected as compared to GR sequences. As for $n = 1$, we adopted a maximum value for ρ_c , namely, $\rho_c^{max} = (2/5)^{2/3}$.

An example of a polytropic EOS softer than $n = 1$ can be obtained by choosing $n = 2$. In figures 5 and 6 are plotted the same as in figures 1 and 2 now for $n = 2$.

Notice that to find significant differences for the GR sequence, higher values of $|\xi|$ are adopted. Thus, regarding polytropic EOSs, the softer ones are less sensitive to ξ as compared to the stiffer ones.

We also consider sequences for the true polytropic (see equation (8)) and the results are similar to those for the pseudo polytropic. Therefore, it is not worthwhile to present them here, since no significant different new information was obtained.

4 Final Remarks

In our previous article, we have shown that it is possible to obtain equations to model compact stars in $f(T)$ theories using a more general approach than ones find in the literature.

Here, we apply our approach to polytropic EOSs, showing that it is really consistent and robust.

It is worth stressing that the key point of our approach is to realise that the set of equations (3), (4), (5) and (6) is the one to be numerically solved.

Equation (6) is just the mass-energy equation of TOV GR. However, one must be aware that the calculation of mass is an open problem in $f(T)$ gravity. On the other hand, the combination of equations (3) and (5) works like a generalisation of the TOV GR differential equation for $P(r)$.

Notice also that in GR the function $B(r)$ is closely related to $m(r)$, namely, $e^{-B} = 1 - m(r)/r$. Here, to obtain $B(r)$, one has to numerically integrate equation (4). Although this equation is complicated, it is numerically treatable.

The obvious next step is to consider realistic EOSs. This issue is under our consideration and will appear in literature in the due time.




Fig. 1 Sequences of mass-energy M as a function of the central mass-energy density ρ_c for $P = \rho^2$ and different values of ξ .




Fig. 2 Sequences of mass-energy M as a function of the radius R for $P = \rho^2$ and different values of ξ .




Fig. 3 Sequences of mass-energy M as a function of the central mass-energy density ρ_c for $P = \rho^{5/2}$ and different values of ξ .




Fig. 5 Sequences of mass-energy M as a function of the central mass-energy density ρ_c for $P = \rho^{3/2}$ and different values of ξ .




Fig. 4 Sequences of mass-energy M as a function of the radius R for $P = \rho^{5/2}$ and different values of ξ .




Fig. 6 Sequences of mass-energy M as a function of the radius R for $P = \rho^{3/2}$ and different values of ξ .

Notice that we do not consider in the present paper any discussion related to the stability of the solutions and related issues. These are important questions that should be addressed but is out of our objectives in the present paper.

Another important issue to be stressed is that, although we consider in the present paper that $f(T) = T + \xi T^2$, one can in principle consider any $f(T)$ function. We will also consider this issue in the near future.

Acknowledgements J.C.N.A. thanks FAPESP (2013/26258 – 4) and CNPq (308367/2019-7) for partial financial support. The authors would like to thank Rafael da Costa Nunes for discussions related to the $f(T)$ theory.

References

1. C. Möller, *Mat. Fys. Skr. Dan. Vidensk. Selsk.* 1, 10 (1961); C. Pellegrini and J. Plebanski, *Mat. Fys. Skr. Dan. Vidensk. Selsk.* 2, 4 (1963); K. Hayashi and T. Shirafuji, *Phys. Rev. D* 19, 3524 (1979).
2. A. Unzicker and T. Case, Translation of Einstein’s attempt of a unified field theory with teleparallelism, *physics/0503046*.
3. R. Ferraro, F. Fiorini, “Modified teleparallel gravity: Inflation without inflaton”, *Phys. Rev. D* 75, 084031 (2007) [[gr-qc/0610067](#)].
4. E. V. Linder, “Einstein’s Other Gravity and the Acceleration of the Universe”, *Phys. Rev. D* 81, 127301 (2010) [Erratum-*ibid.* D 82, 109902 (2010)] [[arXiv:1005.3039](#) [[astro-ph.CO](#)]].
5. R. Myrzakulov, “Accelerating universe from $F(T)$ gravity”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 71, 1752 (2011) [[arXiv:1006.1120](#) [[gr-qc](#)]].
6. K. Karami, A. Abdolmaleki, “ $f(T)$ modified teleparallel gravity models as an alternative for holographic and new agegraphic dark energy models, *Res. Astron. Astrophys.* 13, 757 (2013).
7. S. Weinberg. The cosmological constant problem. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 61(1), pp.1-23 (1989).
8. P. Brax. What makes the Universe accelerate? A review on what dark energy could be and how to test it. *Reports on Progress in Physics*, 81(1), p.016902 (2017).
9. H. G. M. Fortes, J. C. N. de Araujo, “Solving Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff equations in $f(T)$ gravity: a novel approach”, 2021 [[arXiv:2105.04473](#) [[gr-qc](#)]].
10. C. M. Will, “Theory and experiment in gravitational physics”, Cambridge University Press 1981, 1993.
11. R. C. Nunes, J. G. Coelho, J. C. N. de Araujo, “Weighing massive neutron star with screening gravity: A look on PSR J0740+6620 and GW190814 secondary component”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 80, 1115 (2020) [[arXiv:2008.10395v1](#) [[astro-ph.HE](#)]].
12. R. Abbott et al., “GW190814: Gravitational Waves from the Coalescence of a 23 Solar Mass Black Hole with a 2.6 Solar Mass Compact Object”, *The Astrophysical Journal* 896, L44 (2020) 2006.12611.
13. L. Ferrari, P. C. R. Rossi, M. Malheiro. “A polytropic approach to neutron stars”, *International Journal of Modern Physics D* Vol. 19, Nos. 8–10 (2010) 1569–1574.
14. Y. Cai et al., “ $f(T)$ teleparallel gravity and cosmology”, *Rept. Prog. Phys.* 79 (2016) no.4, 106901.
15. N. Tamanini and C. G. Böhrer, Good and bad tetrads in $f(T)$ gravity, *Phys. Rev. D* 86, 044009 (2012).
16. M. Krššák, E.N. Saridakis, “The covariant formulation of $f(T)$ gravity”, *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, 33, 115009 (2016).
17. M. G. Ganiou et al., “Strong magnetic field effects on neutron stars within $f(T)$ theory of gravity”, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* (2017) 132: 250.
18. A. V. Kpadonou, M. J. S. Houndjo, M. E. Rodrigues, “Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff Equations and their implications for the structures of relativistic Stars in $f(T)$ gravity”, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* (2016) 361:244.
19. M. Pace, J. L. Said, “A Perturbative Approach to Neutron Stars in $f(T)$ -Gravity”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 77, 283 (2017).
20. S. Ilijić, M. Sossich, “Compact stars in $f(T)$ extended theory of gravity”, *Phys. Rev. D* 98, 064047 (2018)
21. C. G. Böhrer, A. Mussa and N. Tamanini, Existence of relativistic stars in $f(T)$ gravity, *Class. Quantum Grav.* 28, 245020 (2011).
22. G.J. Olmo, D. Rubiera-Garcia, A. Wojnar, “Stellar structure models in modified theories of gravity: Lessons and challenges”, *Phys. Report*, 876, 1 (2020).
23. S. Ilijić, M. Sossich, “Boson stars in $f(T)$ extended theory of gravity”, *Phys. Rev. D* 102, 084019 (2020)
24. T. W. Baumgarte, S. L. Shapiro, “Numerical Relativity: Solving Einstein’s Equations on the Computer”, Cambridge University Press, 2010.