

Asymptotic Structure with vanishing cosmological constant

Francisco Fernández-Álvarez* and José M. M. Senovilla†

*Departamento de Física
Universidad del País Vasco UPV/EHU
Apartado 644, 48080 Bilbao, Spain*

November 25, 2021

Abstract

This is the first of two papers [1] devoted to the asymptotic structure of space-time in the presence of a non-negative cosmological constant Λ . This first paper is concerned with the case of $\Lambda = 0$. Our approach is fully based on the tidal nature of the gravitational field and therefore on the ‘tidal energies’ built with the Weyl curvature. In particular, we use the (radiant) asymptotic supermomenta computed from the rescaled Weyl tensor at infinity to provide a novel characterisation of radiation escaping from, or entering into, the space-time. Our new criterion is easy to implement and shown to be fully equivalent to the classical one based on the news tensor. One of its virtues is that its formulation can be easily adapted to the case with $\Lambda > 0$ covered in the second paper. We derive the *general* energy-momentum-loss formulae including the matter terms and all factors associated to the choices of *arbitrary* foliation and of supertranslation. We also revisit and present a full reformulation of the traditional peeling behaviour with a neat geometrical construction that leads, in particular, to an asymptotic alignment of the supermomenta in accordance with the radiation criterion.

Contents

I	Introduction	3
II	Asymptotic Structure	6
II.A	Basic geometry of \mathcal{I}	7
II.B	Fields at infinity	13
II.C	Curvature on \mathcal{I} and its relation to space-time fields	16
III	News, BMS and asymptotic energy-momentum	18
III.A	Geroch’s tensor rho and news tensor	19
III.B	Symmetries and universal structure	22
III.C	Asymptotic energy-momentum of the gravitational field	23

*francisco.fernandez@ehu.eus

†josemm.senovilla@ehu.eus

IV	Asymptotic propagation of physical fields and the peeling property revisited	25
V	Asymptotic radiant supermomentum	33
V.A	Radiation condition	35
V.B	Balance law	37
V.C	Alignment of supermomenta and the peeling property of the BR-tensor	38
VI	Discussion	40
A	Conformal-gauge transformations	41

I Introduction

This is the first of a couple of papers that we present simultaneously and complement each other. Their subject is the asymptotic structure of space-times that admit a conformal completion *à la* Penrose with a *non-negative* cosmological constant $\Lambda \geq 0$.

In the theory of General Relativity the space-time geometry is dynamical, allowing for changes of the gravitational field that affect causally different points of the space-time. This propagation phenomena, which includes the commonly denominated gravitational waves –or more generically gravitational radiation–, is a fully non-linear effect.

We know for certain that astrophysical objects can lose energy by emission of gravitational waves. With the announcement of the first direct detection in 2016 [2] and the many new detections that followed [3, 4], these waves are now a robust observational fact. Historically, the degree of confidence on the existence of gravitational waves was not always that high. Back in 1918, Einstein published his quadrupole formula [5] in the weak-field limit establishing the first step towards a theory of gravitational radiation. Nevertheless, the fact that this formula applies only in the linearised theory cast doubts upon the reality of gravitational radiation in the non-linear regime. Later in the 1950-60's, diverse theoretical advances [6–11] (see [12]) showed that gravitational radiation constitutes a characteristic of the full theory and cleared up foregoing uncertainties on the theoretical side. Some landmarks included the so called Bondi news function and Bondi-Trautman momentum and the discovery of the asymptotic group of symmetries BMS (named after Bondi, Metzner and Sachs). The next decade provided the first ever observational evidence of the existence of gravitational waves with the discovery of the binary pulsar PSR B1913+16 and its careful monitoring by Hulse and Taylor [13] –see e.g. [14].

The theoretical study of isolated systems possibly emitting gravitational radiation is carried out at far distances from the source, what formally one defines as infinity. Penrose [15] performed great advances in this matter by postulating the geometric representation of infinity as (part of) the boundary of the space-time in a conformal embedding. This boundary is commonly called “scri” and represented by \mathcal{I} . The conformal completion depends on a choice of conformal factor that leads to a *gauge freedom*: physically relevant results must be gauge independent. This approach to the theory of gravitational radiation was further investigated by Geroch, whose covariant formulation constituted a solid geometric characterisation of gravitational radiation at infinity as well as of the fundamental objects involved [16]. Subsequently, Ashtekar identified and characterised the radiative degrees of freedom of the gravitational field in terms of classes of equivalence of connections at infinity [17]. All these successful developments, except the conformal completion of Penrose, are valid only when the cosmological constant vanishes, hence they enter in conflict with a second empirical fact: according to observations [18, 19] our universe is known to undergo a phase of accelerated-expansion which evinces the presence of a (bare or effective) positive cosmological constant. This scenario will be analyzed in the companion paper [1].

This first instalment is devoted to the $\Lambda = 0$ scenario, in which the conformal (called unphysical) space-time has a lightlike conformal boundary. In this context, \mathcal{I} is endowed with a conformal class of degenerate metrics and null generators which constitute a *universal structure*. This structure underlies many of the favourable features of the asymptotically flat situation. Nevertheless, one must bear in mind that such universal structure is basically (conformally) stationary and does not contain the dynamical information. Hence, it *does not by itself determine all the physical features of the space-time under consideration, in particular, it does not contain information about the radiative sector*. For that, one needs a further ingredient which is not determined from the universal

structure, but rather inherited from the conformal ambient space-time: the inherited connection and its corresponding curvature.¹ Actually, the gauge-invariant part of this curvature is basically the *news tensor*, a rank-2 symmetric traceless tensor field on \mathcal{I} orthogonal to the generators that fully characterises the presence or absence of gravitational radiation arriving (or departing) from infinity. This can be alternatively understood from the point of view of a *characteristic* initial value formulation of the field equations with initial data placed on a null cone—in this case, a connected component of \mathcal{I} . The initial data on \mathcal{I} are given by the cone manifold, a family of degenerate metrics on the cone, *and a third ingredient: some components of the rescaled Weyl tensor* [20]. The latter actually carries the information about radiation. There are many alternative ways of providing this initial data, and a particularly interesting one is by using the news tensor (see e.g. [20] in a particular gauge), which acts as ‘potential’ of the mentioned part of the rescaled Weyl tensor. This important remark will provide fundamental inspiration for the case with $\Lambda > 0$ considered in [1].

Asymptotics with $\Lambda = 0$ can be tackled in the old asymptotic coordinate-based approach [9, 10, 21], in the NP formalism [11, 15] or by employing covariant methods and studying the intrinsic structure of \mathcal{I} [16, 17] in a gauge and coordinate-independent way. The covariant approach does not fix the conformal gauge, neither it needs of the introduction of coordinates. We incorporate this philosophy into our new method for studying the asymptotic structure and characterising gravitational radiation at \mathcal{I} , which is based on the tidal nature of the gravitational field. We therefore make extensive use of objects defined upon the Bel-Robinson tensor [22, 23] (commonly called ‘superenergy’ quantities) which represent ‘tidal energies’ and suits our approach. We have found a new characterisation of gravitational radiation based on these superenergy quantities that was presented in [24] and proved to be equivalent to the standard condition based on the news tensor. We thereby show that gravitational radiation at infinity is of a tidal nature, being mainly associated to *cuts* of \mathcal{I} , that is to say, cross sections fully transversal to the null generators of \mathcal{I} . We establish a geometric relation between quantities at the superenergy level ($MT^{-2}L^{-3}$) and others at the energy level, being the latter ‘the source’ of the former. These techniques are of the kind that we find more appropriate, partly because they are geometrically meaningful and also because they can be compared more easily with the $\Lambda > 0$ scenario to show why *one cannot simply adapt the known $\Lambda = 0$ results as shortcuts to the new scenario*. All these ideas are made explicit in the course of this paper and its companion [1]. For a review of previously known results see e.g. [25, 26].

Although our main aim is the characterisation of gravitational radiation escaping from the physical space-time, there are many other results that are worth remarking. Based on Geroch’s ideas [16], we provide a complete reformulation of the ‘peeling’ properties in asymptotically flat space-times. A class of automorphisms at the tangent space of any point in \mathcal{I} is built such that they preserve the null cone and provide the asymptotic behaviour of physical fields approaching \mathcal{I} along null geodesics. Its application to the physical Weyl tensor provides its peeling behaviour [10, 11], which is presented in the form of a theorem—see theorem 1. We also present the peeling behaviour of the physical Bel-Robinson tensor—theorem 4—and, as a consequence of this, an alignment of physical supermomenta towards infinity occurs (these supermomenta are the equivalent of the 4-momentum but built with the Bel-Robinson tensor, see section V for definitions). Our novel characterisation of

¹This additional ingredient can also be considered as structure added to the universal one on \mathcal{I} without referring to the physical space-time, as for instance in [17], but the properties of the additional structure and its relation to the universal one are chosen in accordance with what one knows from the conformal completion of physical space-times and the objects that thereby infinity *inherits*.

gravitational radiation at infinity is presented in section V where we introduce our –superenergy-based– criterion to determine the presence of gravitational radiation at \mathcal{I} and we compare it with the classical condition. Beautifully, the criterion is in correspondence with the asymptotic alignment of supermomenta and the superenergy at infinity can be understood as sourcing the news tensor field. These features provide a test of our approach towards the characterisation of gravitational radiation by means of the rescaled Bel-Robinson tensor [24, 27]. The fundamental core of these ideas is applied to the $\Lambda > 0$ scenario in the companion paper [1].

Conventions and notation

Throughout this paper and its companion [1] we work in 4 space-time dimensions and distinguish quantities in physical space-time \hat{M} from those in unphysical space-time M by using hats. Frequently used abbreviations include: KVF (Killing vector field), CKVF (conformal Killing vector field) and PND (principal null direction). Part of the notation is summarised in table 1.

	Physical space-time \hat{M}	Conformal space-time M	Spacelike hypersurfaces \mathcal{I}	Surfaces \mathcal{S}
Metric	$\hat{g}_{\alpha\beta}$	$g_{\alpha\beta}$	$\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{ab}$	q_{AB}
Volume form	$\hat{\eta}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$	$\eta_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$	ϵ_{abc}	$\dot{\epsilon}_{AB}$
Covariant derivative	$\hat{\nabla}_\alpha$	∇_α	$\bar{\nabla}_a$	\mathcal{D}_A
Curvature tensor	$\hat{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^\delta$	$R_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^\delta$	$\bar{R}_{abc}{}^d$	$\dot{R}_{ABC}{}^D$
Projector	–	–	$P^\alpha{}_\beta$	$\dot{P}^a{}_b$
Bases	–	–	$\{e^a{}_a\} \{\omega_\alpha{}^a\}$	$\{E^a{}_A\} \{W_a{}^A\}$

Table 1: General notation used in the present paper and its companion [1]. The first two columns correspond to the physical and conformal space-time \hat{M} and M , the third one to any 3-dimensional hypersurface (in particular this notation is used for \mathcal{I}) and the last one to any 2-dimensional surface \mathcal{S} (like codimension-1 manifolds on \mathcal{I}).

The following conventions are used:

- Space-time metric signature: $(-, +, +, +)$.

- Space-time indices: α, β, γ , etc; three dimensional space-like hypersurfaces indices: a, b, c , etc; surfaces indices: A, B, C , etc.
- Riemann tensor, Ricci tensor and scalar curvature: $R_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^\delta v_\delta := (\nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta - \nabla_\beta \nabla_\alpha) v_\gamma$, $R_{\alpha\beta} := R_{\alpha\mu\beta}{}^\mu$, $R := R_{\mu\nu} g^{\mu\nu}$.
- Choice of orientation: $\eta_{0123} = 1$, $\epsilon_{123} = 1$, $\epsilon_{23} = 1$.
- Symmetrisation and antisymmetrisation: $2T_{[\alpha\beta]} := (T_{\alpha\beta} - T_{\beta\alpha})$, $2T_{(\alpha\beta)} := (T_{\alpha\beta} + T_{\beta\alpha})$.
- Commutator of two vector fields: $[v, w]^\alpha := v^\mu \nabla_\mu w^\alpha - w^\mu \nabla_\mu v^\alpha$.
- Commutator of endomorphisms and (1,1)-tensors: $[A, B]_\alpha{}^\beta := (A_\alpha{}^\mu B_\mu{}^\beta - B_\alpha{}^\mu A_\mu{}^\beta)$.
- Hodge dual operation on space-time two-forms: $2\star\omega_{\alpha\beta} := \eta^{\mu\nu}{}_{\alpha\beta} \omega_{\mu\nu}$.
- D'Alembert operator: $\square := g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu$.

II Asymptotic Structure

We assume Einstein field equations (EFE) with a vanishing cosmological constant²

$$\hat{R}_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{R} \hat{g}_{\alpha\beta} = \varkappa \hat{T}_{\alpha\beta} \quad . \quad (\text{II.1})$$

The sort of physical space-times $(\hat{M}, \hat{g}_{\alpha\beta})$ we consider admit a conformal completion, the so called unphysical space-time $(M, g_{\alpha\beta})$, defined as:

- i) There exists an embedding $\phi : \hat{M} \rightarrow M$ such that $\phi(\hat{M}) = M \setminus \mathcal{J}$, where \mathcal{J} is the boundary of M and the physical metric is related to the conformal one by

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = \Omega^2 \hat{g}_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (\text{II.2})$$

where, abusing notation, we refer to the pullback of the conformal metric to the physical space-time, $(\phi^* g)_{\alpha\beta}$, by $g_{\alpha\beta}$.

- ii) $\Omega > 0$ in $M \setminus \mathcal{J}$, $\Omega = 0$ on \mathcal{J} and $N_\alpha := \nabla_\alpha \Omega$ (the normal to \mathcal{J}) is non-vanishing there.
- iii) The physical metric $\hat{g}_{\alpha\beta}$ is a solution of EFE (II.1) with $\Lambda = 0$.
- iv) The energy-momentum tensor, $\hat{T}_{\alpha\beta}$, vanishes at \mathcal{J} and $T_{\alpha\beta} := \Omega^{-1} \hat{T}_{\alpha\beta}$ is smooth there.

For more details on conformal completions see e.g. [28, 29]. The conformal boundary \mathcal{J} is disconnected, in general, having a ‘future’ and a ‘past’ component which we denote by \mathcal{J}^\pm , respectively. In our case, since the cosmological constant vanishes, the topology of \mathcal{J} is given by $\mathcal{J} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^2$ as long as $(\hat{M}, \hat{g}_{\alpha\beta})$ is weakly asymptotically simple [30]. We will consider more general situations occasionally, though. A typical calculation gives

$$N_\mu N^\mu \stackrel{\neq}{=} 0, \quad (\text{II.3})$$

²Here $\varkappa := 8\pi G c^{-4}$, and G and c are the gravitational constant and the speed of light, respectively.

which indicates that \mathcal{J} is a lightlike hypersurface (this is a direct consequence of $\Lambda = 0$). Given any conformal completion $(M, g_{\alpha\beta})$, it is always possible to rescale the conformal factor

$$\Omega \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega} = \omega\Omega \quad \text{with } \omega > 0 \text{ on } M, \quad (\text{II.4})$$

such that associated to a given physical space-time $(\hat{M}, \hat{g}_{\alpha\beta})$ there is a conformal class of completions. One can use this freedom –which we refer to as conformal *gauge freedom*– conveniently, and we partly restrict this freedom by choosing the gauges that satisfy $\nabla_\alpha N^\alpha \stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{=} 0$ which amounts to choosing functions ω that satisfy

$$4N^\mu \partial_\mu \omega + \omega \nabla_\alpha N^\alpha = 4N^\mu \partial_\mu \omega + \omega \square \Omega \stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{=} 0. \quad (\text{II.5})$$

This does not fix completely the gauge ambiguity, and there remains a large freedom since new functions ω fulfilling

$$\mathcal{L}_{\vec{N}} \omega \stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{=} 0 \quad (\text{II.6})$$

are allowed. We call this partial fixing *divergence-free gauge*. Yet another typical calculation yields

$$\nabla_\alpha N_\beta \stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{=} 0 \quad (\text{II.7})$$

in our gauge.

II.A Basic geometry of \mathcal{J}

Let $\{e^\alpha_a\}$ be a basis of the set of vector fields tangent to \mathcal{J} , i.e., orthogonal to N_α , with $a = 1, 2, 3$, and let $\{\omega_\alpha^a\}$ be a dual basis. In particular, $N^\alpha = N^a e^\alpha_a$ is collinear with the generators of \mathcal{J} and N^a is the degeneration vector field of the induced first fundamental form

$$\bar{g}_{ab} := e^\alpha_a e^\beta_b g_{\alpha\beta}, \quad N^a \bar{g}_{ab} = 0. \quad (\text{II.8})$$

Equation (II.7) implies that the second fundamental form of \mathcal{J} vanishes

$$K_{ab} := e^\mu_a e^\nu_b \nabla_\mu N_\nu = 0, \quad (\text{II.9})$$

hence the intrinsic Lie derivative on \mathcal{J} of \bar{g}_{ab} along N^a is zero

$$\mathcal{L}_{\vec{N}} \bar{g}_{ab} = 0 \quad (\text{II.10})$$

and the *induced connection*

$$\forall X^\alpha = X^a e^\alpha_a, \quad \forall Y^\alpha = Y^a e^\alpha_a, \quad X^a \bar{\nabla}_a Y^b := \omega_\beta^b X^\alpha \nabla_\alpha Y^\beta \quad (\text{II.11})$$

is torsion-free and ‘metric’,

$$\bar{\nabla}_a \bar{g}_{bc} = 0. \quad (\text{II.12})$$

The induced connection coefficients $\bar{\Gamma}^c_{ab}$ are thus given by

$$e^\mu_a \nabla_\mu e^\gamma_b = \bar{\Gamma}^c_{ab} e^\gamma_c. \quad (\text{II.13})$$

One can introduce a volume three-form ϵ_{abc} on \mathcal{J} by means of the space-time volume four-form $\eta_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$,

$$-N_\alpha \epsilon_{abc} \stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{=} \eta_{\alpha\mu\nu\sigma} e^\mu_a e^\nu_b e^\sigma_c, \quad (\text{II.14})$$

and a contravariant version determined by $\epsilon^{abc}\epsilon_{abc} = 6$. We fix the corresponding orientations to $\epsilon_{123} = \eta_{0123} = 1$. The choice of gauge also implies that the induced connection is volume preserving,

$$\bar{\nabla}_a \epsilon_{bcd} = 0. \quad (\text{II.15})$$

Although the metric is degenerate, one can define a contravariant object that ‘raises indices’ by

$$\bar{g}_{ea} \bar{g}^{ed} \bar{g}_{db} := \bar{g}_{ab}. \quad (\text{II.16})$$

There is a freedom in adding to \bar{g}^{ab} any term of the form $N^a v^b + N^b v^a$. One can make a choice, however, by picking out a dual basis $\{\omega_\alpha^a\}$ and instead defining \bar{g}^{ab} as

$$\bar{g}^{ab} := \omega_\alpha^a \omega_\beta^b g^{\alpha\beta}, \quad \bar{g}^{ef} \bar{g}_{ef} = 2, \quad (\text{II.17})$$

from where eq. (II.16) follows. \mathcal{J} admits a natural definition of *cuts* \mathcal{S} , i. e., any closed surface transversal to the generators everywhere. These cross-sections are necessarily spacelike. In the prominent case when \mathcal{J} has topology $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^2$ cuts \mathcal{S} with topology \mathbb{S}^2 can be chosen. In general, cuts carry a positive-definite metric inherited from –and which essentially is– \bar{g}_{ab} ,

$$q_{AB} \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} E^a_A E^b_B \bar{g}_{ab}, \quad (\text{II.18})$$

where $\{E^a_A\}$ is a basis of the set $\mathfrak{X}_\mathcal{S}$ of tangent vector fields on \mathcal{S} , with $A = 1, 2$. A basis of tangent vector fields to \mathcal{S} considered within M is $\{E^\alpha_A\}$ where $E^\alpha_A = e^\alpha_a E^a_A$. Similarly, we introduce bases $\{W_a^A\}$ and $\{W_\alpha^A\}$ of the dual space $\Lambda_\mathcal{S}$. At each cut \mathcal{S} , there is a unique lightlike vector field ℓ^α other than N^α such that

$$\ell^\mu \ell_\mu \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} 0, \quad \ell_\alpha \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} \ell_a \omega_\alpha^a, \quad \ell^\alpha \omega_\alpha^a \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} 0, \quad \ell_a E^a_A \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} 0, \quad \ell^\alpha N_\alpha \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} -1. \quad (\text{II.19})$$

This set of vector fields can be used to complete bases on \mathcal{J} at \mathcal{S} , $\{-\ell_a, W_a^A\}$, $\{N^\alpha, E^a_A\}$, and to write the projector to \mathcal{S} :

$$\dot{P}^\alpha_\beta = E^\alpha_M W_\beta^M \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} \delta^\alpha_\beta + \ell_\beta N^\alpha + N_\beta \ell^\alpha. \quad (\text{II.20})$$

Also, one has

$$\bar{g}^{mb} e^\mu_m g_{\mu\alpha} \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} \omega_\alpha^b + \ell_\alpha N^b, \quad \ell_m \bar{g}^{am} \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} 0 \quad (\text{II.21})$$

and the projector to a given cut within \mathcal{J} takes the form

$$\dot{P}^a_b = \delta^a_b + N^a \ell_b = \bar{g}^{ac} \bar{g}_{bc}. \quad (\text{II.22})$$

The intrinsic volume two-form $\dot{\epsilon}_{AB}$ of (\mathcal{S}, q_{AB}) is obtained from

$$-\ell_a \dot{\epsilon}_{AB} \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} \epsilon_{amn} E^m_A E^n_B, \quad (\text{II.23})$$

$$N^a \dot{\epsilon}^{AB} \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} \epsilon^{amn} W_m^A W_n^B, \quad (\text{II.24})$$

where the orientation is chosen such that $\dot{\epsilon}_{23} = \bar{\epsilon}_{123} = 1$, and the inherited connection

$$\forall U^a \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} E^a_A U^A, \quad \forall V^a \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} E^a_A V^A, \quad V^M \mathcal{D}_M U^A \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} W_m^A V^n \bar{\nabla}_n U^m \quad (\text{II.25})$$

is metric and volume preserving –ergo this is the intrinsic Levi-Civita connection on (\mathcal{S}, q_{AB}) –

$$\mathcal{D}_A q_{AB} = 0, \quad \mathcal{D}_A \dot{\epsilon}_{BC} = 0. \quad (\text{II.26})$$

Equation (II.7) implies that

$$\bar{\nabla}_a N^b = 0. \quad (\text{II.27})$$

The relation between the space-time covariant derivative and the induced derivative on \mathcal{I} for any tensor field $T^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_r}_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_q}$ defined *at least* on \mathcal{I} is

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\mu_1}^{a_1} \dots \omega_{\mu_r}^{a_r} e^{\nu_1}_{b_1} \dots e^{\nu_q}_{b_q} e^\rho_c \nabla_\rho T^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r}_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_q} \stackrel{\mathcal{I}}{=} \bar{\nabla}_c \bar{T}^{a_1 \dots a_r}_{b_1 \dots b_q} \\ - \sum_{i=1}^r T^{a_1 \dots a_{i-1} \sigma a_{i+1} \dots a_r}_{b_1 \dots b_q} N_\sigma \Psi^a_i{}_c \end{aligned} \quad (\text{II.28})$$

where we have defined

$$\bar{T}^{a_1 \dots a_r}_{b_1 \dots b_q} \stackrel{\mathcal{I}}{=} \omega_{\mu_1}^{a_1} \dots \omega_{\mu_r}^{a_r} e^{\nu_1}_{b_1} \dots e^{\nu_q}_{b_q} T^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r}_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_q}, \quad \Psi^a_c := \omega^a_\mu e^\nu_c \nabla_\nu \bar{\ell}^\mu, \quad (\text{II.29})$$

with $\bar{\ell}^\alpha$ any vector field on \mathcal{I} satisfying $\bar{\ell}^\alpha N_\alpha = -1$ and $\bar{\ell}^\alpha \omega_\alpha^a = 0$. We have also used (II.9) –for general formulae see [31]–, whereas the relation between the induced covariant derivative on \mathcal{I} and the intrinsic covariant derivative on \mathcal{S} for a tensor field $T^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_r}_{b_1 \dots b_q}$ defined *at least* on \mathcal{S} reads

$$\begin{aligned} W_{m_1}^{A_1} \dots W_{m_r}^{A_r} E^{n_1}_{B_1} \dots E^{n_q}_{B_q} E^r_C \bar{\nabla}_r T^{m_1 \dots m_r}_{n_1 \dots n_q} \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} \mathcal{D}_C \dot{T}^{A_1 \dots A_r}_{B_1 \dots B_q} \\ - \sum_{i=1}^q T^{A_1 \dots A_r}_{B_1 \dots B_{i-1} s B_{i+1} \dots B_q} N^s H_{CB_i} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{II.30})$$

with

$$\dot{T}^{A_1 \dots A_r}_{B_1 \dots B_q} \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} W_{m_1}^{A_1} \dots W_{m_r}^{A_r} E^{n_1}_{B_1} \dots E^{n_q}_{B_q} T^{m_1 \dots m_r}_{n_1 \dots n_q}, \quad H_{AB} \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} E^a_A E^b_B \bar{\nabla}_a \ell_b. \quad (\text{II.31})$$

Observe that under conformal gauge transformations, the following changes apply

$$\tilde{\bar{g}}_{ab} = \omega^2 \bar{g}_{ab}, \quad (\text{II.32})$$

$$\tilde{q}_{AB} \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} \omega^2 q_{AB}. \quad (\text{II.33})$$

The curvature tensor associated to the induced connection satisfies for any vector field v^a

$$\left(\bar{\nabla}_a \bar{\nabla}_b - \bar{\nabla}_b \bar{\nabla}_a \right) v^d = -\bar{R}_{abc}{}^d v^c \quad (\text{II.34})$$

and it is related to the space-time curvature through the ‘Gauss equation’

$$e^\alpha_a e^\beta_b e^\gamma_c \omega_\delta^d R_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^\delta \stackrel{\mathcal{I}}{=} \bar{R}_{abc}{}^d. \quad (\text{II.35})$$

It has the following properties

$$\bar{R}_{abc}{}^d = -\bar{R}_{bac}{}^d, \quad \bar{R}_{[abc]}{}^d = 0, \quad \bar{R}_{abc}{}^c = 0, \quad \bar{\nabla}_{[e}\bar{R}_{ab]c}{}^d = 0. \quad (\text{II.36})$$

Its non-vanishing trace constitutes a symmetric tensor field

$$\bar{R}_{ab} := \bar{R}_{adb}{}^d = \bar{R}_{ba}. \quad (\text{II.37})$$

The curvature tensor can be expressed as

$$\bar{R}_{abc}{}^d = 2\bar{g}_{c[a}\bar{S}_{b]}{}^d - 2\delta_{[a}^d\bar{S}_{b]c}, \quad (\text{II.38})$$

where the tensor fields $\bar{S}_a{}^b$ and $\bar{S}_{ab} := \bar{g}_{am}S_b{}^m = \bar{S}_{ba}$ will be shown to coincide with pullbacks of the space-time Schouten tensor to \mathcal{I} —see section II.C. Of course, one can lower the contravariant index of the curvature tensor with the degenerate metric \bar{g}_{ab} ,

$$\bar{R}_{abcd} := \bar{g}_{ed}\bar{R}_{abc}{}^e, \quad (\text{II.39})$$

however, information is lost in this process and one has to treat the fully covariant version as a different tensor. Using the ‘metricity’ of the induced connection, it follows that \bar{R}_{abcd} has all the symmetries of a Riemann tensor, including

$$\bar{R}_{abcd} = \bar{R}_{cdab} = -\bar{R}_{abdc}. \quad (\text{II.40})$$

In considering the action of $\bar{R}_{abc}{}^d$ on N^a , via eq. (II.34) and using eq. (II.27), one finds

$$\bar{R}_{abc}{}^d N^c = 0. \quad (\text{II.41})$$

This implies that

$$N^a \bar{R}_{abcd} = 0, \quad (\text{II.42})$$

hence the lower-index version of the curvature tensor is orthogonal to N^a in all its indices. This property makes it effectively a two-dimensional tensor field with the symmetries of a Riemann tensor, thus we can write it as

$$\bar{R}_{abcd} = \frac{1}{2}\bar{R}(\bar{g}_{ac}\bar{g}_{db} - \bar{g}_{bc}\bar{g}_{da}) \quad (\text{II.43})$$

for some scalar field \bar{R} . Using the properties presented so far, it follows that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\bar{N}}\bar{R}_{abcd} = N^e\bar{\nabla}_e\bar{R}_{abcd} = 0, \quad N^e\bar{\nabla}_e\bar{R} = 0. \quad (\text{II.44})$$

Using eq. (II.38), \bar{R}_{ab} can be expressed as

$$\bar{R}_{ab} = \bar{S}_{ab} + \bar{g}_{ab}\bar{S}_m{}^m, \quad (\text{II.45})$$

and \bar{R}_{abcd} as

$$\bar{R}_{abcd} = 2\bar{g}_{c[a}\bar{S}_{b]d} - 2\bar{g}_{d[a}\bar{S}_{b]c}. \quad (\text{II.46})$$

Because of eq. (II.42), one can take the traces of this tensor field with \bar{g}^{ab} without risk of ambiguity. In doing so, if one compares eqs. (II.43), (II.45) and (II.46), it follows that

$$\bar{S}_{mn}\bar{g}^{mn} = \frac{\bar{R}}{2}, \quad (\text{II.47})$$

$$2\bar{S}_m{}^m + \frac{1}{2}\bar{R} = \bar{g}^{rs}\bar{R}_{rs}. \quad (\text{II.48})$$

Hence, the following expression holds

$$\bar{S}_{ab} = \bar{R}_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{g}_{ab}\left(\bar{g}^{mn}\bar{R}_{mn} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{R}\right). \quad (\text{II.49})$$

From eq. (II.30) it is easily deduced the ‘Gauss relation’ between the intrinsic curvature $\mathring{R}_{ABC}{}^D$ of any cut \mathcal{S} and the curvature of \mathcal{J} ,

$$E^A{}_A E^B{}_B E^C{}_C \bar{R}_{abc}{}^d W_d{}^D v_D \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} (\mathcal{D}_A \mathcal{D}_B - \mathcal{D}_B \mathcal{D}_A) v_C \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} \mathring{R}_{ABC}{}^D v_D, \quad \forall v_A \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}. \quad (\text{II.50})$$

One can readily show that

$$\mathring{R}_{AB} := \mathring{R}_{AMB}{}^M \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} q_{AB} \bar{S}_{mn} \bar{g}^{mn} \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} \frac{1}{2} \bar{R} q_{AB}, \quad (\text{II.51})$$

$$\mathring{R} := \mathring{R}_M{}^M \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} \bar{R} \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} 2K, \quad (\text{II.52})$$

where K is the Gaussian curvature of (\mathcal{S}, q_{AB}) . Instead of single cuts \mathcal{S} , one can consider a generic *foliation* where each leaf \mathcal{S}_C is defined by a different constant value C of a function F such that

$$\mathring{F} := N^m \bar{\nabla}_m F \neq 0. \quad (\text{II.53})$$

Each leaf is a cut, by definition transversal to N^a . Then, associated to a given foliation there is a one-form

$$\bar{\ell}_a := -\frac{1}{\mathring{F}} \bar{\nabla}_a F, \quad N^m \bar{\ell}_m = -1. \quad (\text{II.54})$$

We set univocally $\bar{\ell}_\alpha := \omega_\alpha{}^a \bar{\ell}_a$ and require $\bar{\ell}_\mu \bar{\ell}^\mu = 0$, where ℓ_a is the one-form uniquely defined by eq. (II.19). One can introduce couples of vector fields $\{E^a{}_A\}$ and $\{W_a{}^A\}$ –with $A = 2, 3$ – serving as bases for the set of vector fields and forms on \mathcal{J} orthogonal to $\bar{\ell}_a$ and N^a . Also, on each leaf \mathcal{S}_C they constitute bases for the vector fields and forms that are orthogonal to N^a and ℓ_a there. Let us introduce the projector

$$\underline{P}^a{}_b := \delta_b^a + N^a \bar{\ell}_b, \quad \underline{P}^m{}_b \bar{\ell}_m = 0 = \underline{P}^a{}_m N^m, \quad \underline{P}^a{}_b \stackrel{\mathcal{S}_C}{=} \mathring{P}^a{}_b. \quad (\text{II.55})$$

We will distinguish quantities projected to a single cut \mathcal{S}_C from those projected with $\underline{P}^a{}_b$ by using the following notation

$$\underline{v}_b := \underline{P}^m{}_b v_m, \quad (\text{II.56})$$

$$\mathring{v}_b \stackrel{\mathcal{S}_C}{=} \mathring{P}^m{}_b v_m, \quad (\text{II.57})$$

and similarly

$$\underline{v}_B := \underline{E}^m{}_B v_m , \quad (\text{II.58})$$

$$\dot{v}_B \stackrel{\mathcal{S}_C}{:=} E^m{}_B v_m . \quad (\text{II.59})$$

Of course, given any one-form field v_a on \mathcal{J} ,

$$\underline{v}_a \stackrel{\mathcal{S}_C}{=} \dot{v}_a . \quad (\text{II.60})$$

A simple calculation leads to

$$\mathcal{L}_{\vec{N}} \bar{\ell}_a = N^e \bar{\nabla}_e \bar{\ell}_a = -\underline{P}^m{}_a \bar{\nabla}_m \ln \dot{F} \quad (\text{II.61})$$

and the next relations hold

$$\underline{P}^m{}_a \bar{\nabla}_m F = 0 \quad (\iff \underline{E}^m{}_A \bar{\ell}_m = 0) , \quad (\text{II.62})$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\vec{N}} \underline{E}^a{}_A = -N^a \underline{E}^m{}_A \bar{\nabla}_m \ln \dot{F} , \quad \mathcal{L}_{\vec{N}} W_a{}^A = 0 . \quad (\text{II.63})$$

In addition, one can define

$$\underline{q}_{AB} := \underline{E}^a{}_A \underline{E}^b{}_B \bar{g}_{ab} , \quad \underline{q}^{AB} := W_a{}^A W_b{}^B \bar{g}^{ab} , \quad (\text{II.64})$$

where \underline{q}_{AB} is such that it coincides with the metric q_{AB} of each leaf \mathcal{S}_C . All cuts are isometric, though, as a quick calculation taking into account the above relations and eq. (II.10) yields

$$\mathcal{L}_{\vec{N}} \underline{q}_{AB} = 0 , \quad (\text{II.65})$$

hence \underline{q}_{AB} and q_{AB} are essentially the same object. Hence, the curvature (II.51) is basically the same for every cut of the foliation, in agreement with eq. (II.44). In fact, all possible cuts in \mathcal{J} are locally isometric, even if they do not belong to the same foliation.

There is a special sort of foliations that we call *adapted* to N^a . These are defined by functions F fulfilling

$$\mathcal{L}_{\vec{N}} \dot{F} = 0 . \quad (\text{II.66})$$

Given any adapted foliation, an appropriate gauge fixing ($\omega = \dot{F}$) allows, via the transformations of appendix A, to set

$$\bar{\ell}_a = -\bar{\nabla}_a F , \quad \bar{\nabla}_{[a} \bar{\ell}_{b]} = 0 , \quad \mathcal{L}_{\vec{N}} \bar{\ell}_a = 0 , \quad \mathcal{L}_{\vec{N}} \underline{E}^a{}_A = 0 . \quad (\text{II.67})$$

We refer to this kind of foliations as *canonically adapted* to N^a .

Finally, let us introduce the kinematical quantities

$$\Theta_{ab} := \underline{P}^r{}_{(a} \underline{P}^s{}_{b)} \bar{\nabla}_r \bar{\ell}_s , \quad (\text{II.68})$$

$$\sigma_{ab} := \Theta_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{g}_{ab} \bar{g}^{rs} \Theta_{rs} . \quad (\text{II.69})$$

Observe that on every cut \mathcal{S}_C of the foliation –see definition (II.31)–

$$\underline{E}^a{}_A \underline{E}^b{}_B \underline{\Theta}_{ab} \stackrel{\mathcal{S}_C}{\cong} H_{AB} . \quad (\text{II.70})$$

Also, definition (II.69) is nothing but the shear of the one-form $\bar{\ell}_a$ orthogonal to each cut \mathcal{S}_C of the foliation.

A deeper characterisation of the curvature and the interplay between the induced connection, the choice of foliation and the space-time fields is given in section II.C.

II.B Fields at infinity

We turn to the study of space-time fields at \mathcal{I} . Let us introduce

$$S_{\alpha\beta} := R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{6} R g_{\alpha\beta} \quad , \quad (\text{II.71})$$

$$\hat{S}_{\alpha\beta} := \hat{R}_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{6} \hat{R} \hat{g}_{\alpha\beta} \quad , \quad (\text{II.72})$$

and the physical and conformal Cotton tensors

$$\hat{Y}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} := \hat{\nabla}_{[\alpha} \hat{S}_{\beta]\gamma} \quad , \quad (\text{II.73})$$

$$Y_{\alpha\beta\gamma} := \nabla_{[\alpha} S_{\beta]\gamma} . \quad (\text{II.74})$$

From the contracted Bianchi identity one can show that

$$\nabla_{\mu} \left(C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^{\mu} \right) + Y_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 0 \quad , \quad (\text{II.75})$$

$$\hat{\nabla}_{\mu} \left(\hat{C}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^{\mu} \right) + \hat{Y}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 0 \quad , \quad (\text{II.76})$$

where $\hat{C}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^{\mu} \stackrel{\hat{M}}{\cong} C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^{\mu}$ is the Weyl tensor. The relation between the conformal and physical connections gives the relation

$$\nabla_{[\mu} C_{\alpha\beta]\gamma}{}^{\delta} \stackrel{\hat{M}}{\cong} \hat{\nabla}_{[\mu} C_{\alpha\beta]\gamma}{}^{\delta} + \Omega^{-1} \left(g_{\gamma[\mu} C_{\alpha\beta]\rho}{}^{\delta} N^{\rho} - \delta_{[\mu}^{\delta} C_{\alpha\beta]\rho\gamma} N^{\rho} \right) \quad (\text{II.77})$$

which, after taking the trace, yields

$$\nabla_{\mu} \left(\Omega^{-1} C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^{\mu} \right) \stackrel{\hat{M}}{\cong} \Omega^{-1} \hat{\nabla}_{\mu} C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^{\mu} . \quad (\text{II.78})$$

Regarding the matter content, in [1] the following equation is derived

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\kappa N_{[\alpha} T_{\beta]\gamma} - \kappa N_{[\alpha} g_{\beta]\gamma} T + \Omega \kappa \nabla_{[\alpha} T_{\beta]\gamma} - \frac{1}{3} \Omega \kappa g_{\gamma[\beta} \nabla_{\alpha]} T \\ & = \nabla_{[\alpha} S_{\beta]\gamma} + d_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^{\mu} N_{\mu} + \kappa g_{\gamma[\alpha} T_{\beta]\mu} N^{\mu} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{II.79})$$

from where one can deduce that at \mathcal{I}

$$T_{\alpha\beta} \stackrel{\mathcal{I}}{\cong} \mu N_{\alpha} N_{\beta} \quad (\text{II.80})$$

for some function μ on \mathcal{J} . Also, using the field equations into definition (II.72),

$$\hat{Y}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \kappa \hat{\nabla}_{[\alpha} \hat{T}_{\beta]\gamma} - \frac{1}{3} \varkappa \hat{g}_{\gamma[\beta} \nabla_{\alpha]} \hat{T}, \quad (\text{II.81})$$

which in terms of $T_{\alpha\beta}$ and the unphysical connection reads

$$\frac{1}{\varkappa} \hat{Y}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \Omega \nabla_{[\alpha} T_{\beta]\gamma} - N^\lambda T_{\lambda[\beta} g_{\alpha]\gamma} + 2N_{[\alpha} T_{\beta]\gamma} - N_{[\alpha} g_{\beta]\gamma} T - \frac{1}{3} \Omega g_{\gamma[\beta} \nabla_{\alpha]} T. \quad (\text{II.82})$$

Taking into account eq. (II.80), the physical Cotton tensor vanishes at \mathcal{J}

$$\hat{Y}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{=} 0. \quad (\text{II.83})$$

This allows to define the *rescaled Cotton tensor*

$$y_{\alpha\beta\gamma} := \Omega^{-1} \hat{Y}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}. \quad (\text{II.84})$$

In fact, eq. (II.83) is one of the conditions involved in the vanishing of the Weyl tensor at \mathcal{J} (see [28])

Lemma II.1 (Vanishing of the Weyl tensor at \mathcal{J}). Assume that \mathcal{J} has $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^2$ topology and that

1. $\hat{Y}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{=} 0$ and $\Omega \nabla_\sigma \hat{Y}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{=} 0$,
2. $C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^\delta$ is regular at \mathcal{J} and $\Omega \nabla_\mu C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^\mu \stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{=} 0$.

Then,

$$C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^\delta \stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{=} 0. \quad (\text{II.85})$$

Proof. We will use the lightlike decomposition of a Weyl candidate presented in section II of the companion paper [1]. First, from eqs. (II.76) and (II.78) one has

$$\Omega \nabla_\mu C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^\mu - N_\mu C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^\mu + \Omega \hat{Y}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 0. \quad (\text{II.86})$$

This equation evaluated at \mathcal{J} gives

$$N_\mu C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^\mu \stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{=} 0, \quad (\text{II.87})$$

immediately implying that $C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^\mu$ has Petrov type N at \mathcal{J} with N^α the repeated PND. But this condition shows that the only components that survive at \mathcal{J} are those of the symmetric traceless rank-2 tensor field

$$\underline{E}_{\alpha\beta} := \bar{\ell}^\mu \bar{\ell}^\nu \underline{P}^\rho{}_\alpha \underline{P}^\sigma{}_\beta C_{\rho\mu\sigma\nu}, \quad (\text{II.88})$$

where $\bar{\ell}^\alpha$ is any lightlike vector field on \mathcal{J} , which we choose to be orthogonal to \mathbb{S}^2 -cuts, such that $\bar{\ell}^\mu N_\mu \stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{=} -1$ and

$$\underline{P}^\alpha{}_\beta := \delta^\alpha{}_\beta + N^\alpha \bar{\ell}_\beta + \bar{\ell}^\alpha N_\beta \quad (\text{II.89})$$

is the projector to the two dimensional space orthogonal to N^α and $\bar{\ell}^\beta$. Now, take the derivative of eq. (II.86) and evaluate it at \mathcal{J}

$$N_\sigma \nabla_\mu C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^\mu - N_\mu \nabla_\sigma C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^\mu \stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{=} 0. \quad (\text{II.90})$$

Contract this equation with $\bar{\ell}^\sigma \bar{\ell}^\alpha \bar{\ell}^\gamma$ to obtain

$$P^{\mu\rho} \nabla_\mu \left(\bar{\ell}^\sigma \bar{\ell}^\nu C_{\sigma\beta\nu\rho} \right) - C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\rho} P^{\rho\mu} \left(P^\alpha{}_\tau \bar{\ell}^\gamma \nabla_\mu \bar{\ell}^\tau + P^\gamma{}_\tau \bar{\ell}^\alpha \nabla_\mu \bar{\ell}^\tau \right) \stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{=} 0, \quad (\text{II.91})$$

where we have used eqs. (II.87) and (II.89). If we contract now with $\underline{P}^\beta{}_\delta$, we find

$$\underline{P}^{\mu\rho} \nabla_\mu \left(\underline{E}_{\beta\rho} \right) + \left(\underline{s}_{\gamma\delta}{}^\mu + \underline{s}_{\gamma}{}^\mu{}_\delta \right) \nabla_\mu \bar{\ell}^\alpha \stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{=} 0, \quad (\text{II.92})$$

where $\underline{s}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} := \bar{\ell}^\mu \underline{P}^\rho{}_\alpha \underline{P}^\sigma{}_\beta \underline{P}^\nu{}_\gamma C_{\rho\sigma\nu\mu}$. But by the properties in appendix B of [1] and eq. (II.87) this tensor field vanishes at \mathcal{J} , and therefore

$$\underline{P}^{\mu\rho} \nabla_\mu \left(\underline{E}_{\beta\rho} \right) \stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{=} 0. \quad (\text{II.93})$$

This equation is equivalently written by means of the intrinsic connection on each cut \mathcal{S} defined by $\bar{\ell}^\alpha$ as

$$\mathcal{D}_M \left(\underline{E}_A{}^M \right) \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} 0. \quad (\text{II.94})$$

By assumption, the topology of the cuts is \mathbb{S}^2 , and then \underline{E}_{AB} is a traceless divergence-free symmetric tensor on \mathbb{S}^2 and must vanish [32],

$$\underline{E}_{AB} \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} 0. \quad (\text{II.95})$$

Since this happens on any cut $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{J}$ with \mathbb{S}^2 topology and transversal to N^α –and \mathcal{J} can be foliated by such cuts–, it holds everywhere on \mathcal{J} , implying

$$C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^\delta \stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{=} 0. \quad (\text{II.96})$$

□

Accordingly, the *rescaled Weyl tensor*

$$d_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^\delta := \Omega^{-1} C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^\delta \quad (\text{II.97})$$

is therefore well defined at \mathcal{J} . Let us introduce the scalar [33]

$$f := \frac{1}{4} \nabla_\mu N^\mu + \frac{\Omega}{24} R \quad (\text{II.98})$$

which vanishes at \mathcal{J} in our gauge. The fields $(\Omega, d_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^\mu, f, g_{\alpha\beta}, S_{\alpha\beta})$ satisfy [34, 35] (see, e.g., [28, 36] for a review and detailed derivation of the equations, respectively)

$$\nabla_\alpha N_\beta = -\frac{1}{2} \Omega S_{\alpha\beta} + f g_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \Omega^2 \varkappa \underline{T}_{\alpha\beta} \quad , \quad (\text{II.99})$$

$$N_\mu N^\mu = \frac{\Omega^3}{12} \varkappa T + 2\Omega f \quad , \quad (\text{II.100})$$

$$\nabla_\alpha f = -\frac{1}{2} S_{\alpha\mu} N^\mu + \frac{1}{2} \Omega \varkappa N^\mu \underline{T}_{\alpha\mu} - \frac{1}{24} \Omega^2 \varkappa \nabla_\alpha T - \frac{1}{8} \Omega \varkappa N_\alpha T \quad , \quad (\text{II.101})$$

$$d_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^\mu N_\mu + \nabla_{[\alpha} (S_{\beta]\gamma}) - \Omega y_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 0 \quad , \quad (\text{II.102})$$

$$y_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + \nabla_\mu d_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^\mu = 0 \quad , \quad (\text{II.103})$$

$$R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \Omega d_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + g_{\alpha[\gamma} S_{\delta]\beta} - g_{\beta[\gamma} S_{\delta]\alpha} \quad . \quad (\text{II.104})$$

They constitute the conformal EFE –see also [36]. Evaluation at \mathcal{I} yields

$$\nabla_\alpha N_\beta \stackrel{\mathcal{I}}{=} 0 \quad , \quad (\text{II.105})$$

$$N_\mu N^\mu \stackrel{\mathcal{I}}{=} 0 \quad , \quad (\text{II.106})$$

$$\nabla_\alpha f \stackrel{\mathcal{I}}{=} -\frac{1}{2} S_{\alpha\mu} N^\mu \quad , \quad (\text{II.107})$$

$$d_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^\mu N_\mu + \nabla_{[\alpha} (S_{\beta]\gamma}) \stackrel{\mathcal{I}}{=} 0 \quad , \quad (\text{II.108})$$

$$y_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + \nabla_\mu d_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^\mu \stackrel{\mathcal{I}}{=} 0 \quad , \quad (\text{II.109})$$

$$R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \stackrel{\mathcal{I}}{=} g_{\alpha[\gamma} S_{\delta]\beta} - g_{\beta[\gamma} S_{\delta]\alpha} \quad . \quad (\text{II.110})$$

Relevant properties of the fields at infinity can be deduced from eqs. (II.105) to (II.110). In particular, from eqs. (II.81) and (II.109)

$$\hat{T}_{\alpha\beta} |_{\mathcal{I}} \sim \mathcal{O}(\Omega^p) \quad \text{with } p > 2 \implies y_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \stackrel{\mathcal{I}}{=} 0 \stackrel{\mathcal{I}}{=} \nabla_\mu d_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^\mu \quad . \quad (\text{II.111})$$

A more detailed description of the components of $S_{\alpha\beta}$, $d_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^\delta$ and their relation with fields within \mathcal{I} is presented next in section II.C.

II.C Curvature on \mathcal{I} and its relation to space-time fields

If one considers the Gauss relation (II.35) and uses eq. (II.110), eq. (II.38) is obtained with

$$\bar{S}_{ab} \stackrel{\mathcal{I}}{:=} \frac{1}{2} e^\alpha{}_a e^\beta{}_b S_{\alpha\beta} \quad , \quad (\text{II.112})$$

$$\bar{S}_a{}^b \stackrel{\mathcal{I}}{:=} \frac{1}{2} e^\alpha{}_a \omega_\beta{}^b S_\alpha{}^\beta \quad . \quad (\text{II.113})$$

For simplicity, consider a foliation given by F with $\bar{\ell}_a$ as in eq. (II.54) and $\bar{\ell}_\alpha := \omega_\alpha{}^a \bar{\ell}_a$ determined by $\bar{\ell}_\mu \bar{\ell}^\mu = 0$ –see section II.A–. Now, since $f \stackrel{\mathcal{I}}{=} 0$, eq. (II.107) gives

$$N^r \bar{S}_r{}^a = N^a \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\ell}} f \quad , \quad (\text{II.114})$$

$$N^r \bar{S}_{ra} = 0 \quad . \quad (\text{II.115})$$

Provided eq. (II.105), a general formula [37] gives

$$\mathcal{L}_{\bar{N}} \bar{\Gamma}^a{}_{bc} = \bar{R}_{cdb}{}^a N^d \quad (\text{II.116})$$

which in conjunction with eqs. (II.38), (II.47) and (II.48) and eqs. (II.114) and (II.115) leads to

$$\mathcal{L}_{\bar{N}} \bar{\Gamma}^a{}_{bc} = N^a \bar{S}_{bc} + \bar{g}_{bc} N^m \bar{S}_m{}^a = N^a \left(\bar{S}_{bc} + \bar{g}_{bc} \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\ell}} f \right) \quad , \quad (\text{II.117})$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\ell}} f = \bar{S}_m{}^m - \bar{S}_{mn} \bar{g}^{mn} = \bar{S}_m{}^m - \frac{\bar{R}}{2} \quad . \quad (\text{II.118})$$

In a middle step in deriving the second formula, we have contracted the second and fourth indices of eq. (II.38) with $\delta_b^a = -N^a \bar{\ell}_b + \underline{P}_b^a$. Also, a direct calculation using eqs. (II.46) and (II.114) yields

$$N^c \bar{R}_{cab}{}^d \bar{\ell}_d = \bar{g}_{ab} \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\ell}} f + \bar{S}_{ab} \quad , \quad (\text{II.119})$$

whereas application of the ‘Ricci identity’ leads to

$$N^c \bar{\nabla}_c \Theta_{ab} - \mathcal{L}_{\bar{N}} \bar{\ell}_a \mathcal{L}_{\bar{N}} \bar{\ell}_b - P^m_{(a} P^n_{b)} \bar{\nabla}_m \mathcal{L}_{\bar{N}} \bar{\ell}_n = \bar{g}_{ab} \mathcal{L}_{\bar{N}} f + \bar{S}_{ab} , \quad (\text{II.120})$$

where we have symmetrised the free indices, introduced (II.68) and taken into account that

$$N^d \bar{\nabla}_d P^a_b = N^a N^d \bar{\nabla}_d \bar{\ell}_b . \quad (\text{II.121})$$

One can take the trace-free part of eq. (II.120),

$$\begin{aligned} N^c \bar{\nabla}_c \sigma_{ab} &= \bar{S}_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{g}_{ab} \bar{g}^{mn} \bar{S}_{mn} + \mathcal{L}_{\bar{N}} \bar{\ell}_a \mathcal{L}_{\bar{N}} \bar{\ell}_b + P^m_{(a} P^n_{b)} \bar{\nabla}_m \mathcal{L}_{\bar{N}} \bar{\ell}_n \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \bar{g}_{ab} \bar{g}^{mn} \left(\mathcal{L}_{\bar{N}} \bar{\ell}_m \mathcal{L}_{\bar{N}} \bar{\ell}_n + P^e_n P^f_m \bar{\nabla}_f \mathcal{L}_{\bar{N}} \bar{\ell}_e \right) , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{II.122})$$

which in terms of the function F giving the foliation –see eqs. (II.54) and (II.61)– reads

$$\begin{aligned} N^c \bar{\nabla}_c \sigma_{ab} &= \bar{S}_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{g}_{ab} \bar{g}^{mn} \bar{S}_{mn} + P^m_a \bar{\nabla}_m (\ln \dot{F}) P^n_b \bar{\nabla}_n (\ln \dot{F}) - P^m_{(a} P^n_{b)} \bar{\nabla}_n (P^r_m \bar{\nabla}_r \ln \dot{F}) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \bar{g}_{ab} \bar{g}^{ef} \left[P^m_e \bar{\nabla}_m (\ln \dot{F}) P^n_f \bar{\nabla}_n (\ln \dot{F}) - P^m_e P^n_f \bar{\nabla}_n (P^r_m \bar{\nabla}_r \ln \dot{F}) \right] . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{II.123})$$

On each cut, one can take the pullback with $\{E^a_A\}$ to find

$$\begin{aligned} N^c \bar{\nabla}_c \sigma_{AB} &\stackrel{S}{=} \dot{S}_{AB} - \frac{1}{2} q_{AB} \dot{S}_M{}^M + \mathcal{D}_A (\ln \dot{F}) \mathcal{D}_B (\ln \dot{F}) + \mathcal{D}_A \mathcal{D}_B \ln \dot{F} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} q_{AB} \left[\mathcal{D}_M \mathcal{D}^M \ln \dot{F} + \mathcal{D}_M (\ln \dot{F}) \mathcal{D}^M (\ln \dot{F}) \right] , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{II.124})$$

where eq. (II.63) has been used and we have introduced

$$\dot{S}_{AB} \stackrel{S}{=} E^a_A E^b_B \bar{S}_{ab}, \quad \dot{S}_M{}^M \stackrel{S}{=} \bar{S}_{mn} \bar{g}^{mn} \stackrel{S}{=} \frac{\bar{R}}{2} \stackrel{S}{=} \frac{\dot{R}}{2} . \quad (\text{II.125})$$

Now, let us consider the following ‘lightlike projections’ of the rescaled Weyl tensor,

$${}^N D^{\alpha\beta} \stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{:=} N^\mu N^\nu d_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta} = {}^N D^{ab} e^\alpha_a e^\beta_b , \quad (\text{II.126})$$

$${}^N C^{\alpha\beta} \stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{:=} N^\mu N^\nu {}^* d_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta} \stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{=} {}^N C^{ab} e^\alpha_a e^\beta_b , \quad (\text{II.127})$$

where

$${}^* d_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^\delta := \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\mu\nu} d_{\mu\nu\gamma}{}^\delta . \quad (\text{II.128})$$

see [1] for this kind of decomposition in general; some of the properties listed therein will be used too. Contract eq. (II.108) with N^β , raise the index γ and contract with $e^\alpha_a \omega_\gamma^b$ to get

$${}^N D_a{}^b := \bar{g}_{ma} {}^N D^{mb} = N^m \bar{\nabla}_m \bar{S}_a{}^b - N^b \bar{\nabla}_a (\mathcal{L}_{\bar{N}} f) . \quad (\text{II.129})$$

One may lower the contravariant index with \bar{g}_{ab} so that

$${}^N D_{ab} := \bar{g}_{mb} {}^N D_a{}^m = N^m \bar{\nabla}_m \bar{S}_{ab} = \mathcal{L}_{\bar{N}} \bar{S}_{ab} . \quad (\text{II.130})$$

Notice that ${}^N D_{ab}$ is symmetric and effectively two-dimensional $N^m {}^N D_{am} = 0$. In addition, if firstly one takes the Hodge dual of eq. (II.108) with $\eta^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ and contract once with N^α and the remaining two free indices with ω_α^a , then

$${}^N C^{ab} = \epsilon^{rsa} \bar{\nabla}_r \bar{S}_s^b \quad (\text{II.131})$$

follows. Also, lowering an index,

$${}^N C^a_b := \bar{g}_{mb} {}^N C^{am} = \epsilon^{rsa} \bar{\nabla}_r \bar{S}_{sb} . \quad (\text{II.132})$$

It will become useful to consider the component

$$-\sqrt{2} {}^N C_a \stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{=} \bar{\ell}_r {}^N C^r_a = \bar{\ell}_r \epsilon^{mpr} \bar{\nabla}_m \bar{S}_{pa} . \quad (\text{II.133})$$

On each cut, projecting with E^a_A , one has

$$-\sqrt{2} {}^N C_A \stackrel{S}{=} \epsilon^{MP} \mathcal{D}_M \dot{S}_{PA} . \quad (\text{II.134})$$

By general properties presented in [1], one also has ${}^N \underline{D}_A = \epsilon_{AB} {}^N C^B$, hence

$$-\sqrt{2} {}^N \underline{D}_A \stackrel{S}{=} E^a_A \bar{\ell}_m {}^N D^m_a \stackrel{S}{=} 2 \mathcal{D}_{[M} \dot{S}_{A]}^M . \quad (\text{II.135})$$

From eq. (II.109), contracting twice with N^α , one arrives at

$$\bar{\nabla}_m {}^N \underline{D}^{bm} = -y_m^b N^m N^f , \quad (\text{II.136})$$

which by means of the rescaled energy momentum tensor $T_{\alpha\beta}$ reads –see eq. (II.82)–

$$\bar{\nabla}_m {}^N \underline{D}^{bm} \stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{=} \varkappa N^\mu N^\nu {}_0 T_{\mu\nu} N^b , \quad (\text{II.137})$$

where

$$N^\mu N^\nu {}_0 T_{\mu\nu} \stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{=} \Omega^{-1} \varkappa N^\mu N^\nu T_{\mu\nu} \quad (\text{II.138})$$

is regular at \mathcal{J} because $T_{\mu\nu} N^\mu N^\nu \stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{=} 0$ due to eq. (II.80). Equation (II.136) may be expanded and contracted with $\bar{\ell}_b$ to get on any cut

$$\bar{\ell}_m \bar{\ell}_n N^p \bar{\nabla}_p {}^N \underline{D}^{nm} \stackrel{S}{=} -\sqrt{2} \mathcal{D}_M {}^N \underline{D}^M - \sigma_{AB} N^m \bar{\nabla}_m \dot{S}^{AB} + y_m^b N^m \bar{\ell}_b N^f . \quad (\text{II.139})$$

III News, BMS and asymptotic energy-momentum

This section is devoted to the study of the asymptotic group of symmetries at \mathcal{J} , the isolation of the radiative degrees of freedom of the gravitational field and the definition of an asymptotic energy-momentum, which are closely related tasks.

III.A Geroch's tensor rho and news tensor

A result by Geroch [16] gives the existence and uniqueness of a symmetric tensor field ρ_{ab} on \mathcal{J} whose gauge behaviour and differential properties play a fundamental role in finding the so called ‘news’ tensor, N_{ab} – in the classical characterisation, the tensor field which determines the presence of outgoing gravitation radiation at \mathcal{J} . In [1], related general results for two dimensional Riemannian manifolds are proven –see. Those results can be particularised for the present case, leading to Geroch’s tensor. However, we take a different approach here due to the particular structure of the three-dimensional manifold \mathcal{J} .

Lemma III.1. Let t_{ab} be any symmetric tensor field on \mathcal{J} , orthogonal to N^a , whose behaviour under conformal rescalings (II.32) is

$$\tilde{t}_{ab} = t_{ab} - a \frac{1}{\omega} \bar{\nabla}_a \bar{\omega}_b + \frac{2a}{\omega^2} \bar{\omega}_a \bar{\omega}_b - \frac{a}{2\omega^2} \bar{\omega}_c \bar{\omega}^c \bar{g}_{ab} \quad (\text{III.1})$$

for some fixed constant $a \in \mathbb{R}$, where

$$\bar{\omega}_a := \bar{\nabla}_a \omega, \quad N^a \bar{\omega}_a = 0. \quad (\text{III.2})$$

Then,

$$\tilde{\bar{\nabla}}_{[c} \tilde{t}_{a]b} = \bar{\nabla}_{[c} t_{a]b} + \frac{1}{\omega} \left(a \frac{\bar{R}}{2} - \bar{g}^{ed} t_{ed} \right) \bar{\omega}_{[c} \bar{g}_{a]b}. \quad (\text{III.3})$$

In particular, for any symmetric gauge-invariant tensor field B_{ab} on \mathcal{J} orthogonal to N^a ,

$$\tilde{\bar{\nabla}}_{[c} \tilde{B}_{a]b} = \bar{\nabla}_{[c} B_{a]b} - \frac{1}{\omega} B_{ed} \bar{g}^{ed} \bar{\omega}_{[c} \bar{g}_{a]b}. \quad (\text{III.4})$$

Proof. A direct calculation yields

$$\tilde{\bar{\nabla}}_{[c} \tilde{t}_{a]b} = \bar{\nabla}_{[c} t_{a]b} + \frac{1}{\omega} t_{b[c} \bar{\omega}_{a]} + \frac{1}{\omega} \bar{g}_{b[c} t_{a]e} \bar{g}^{de} \bar{\omega}_d + \frac{1}{\omega} \frac{a \bar{R}}{2} \bar{\omega}_{[c} \bar{g}_{a]b}. \quad (\text{III.5})$$

Observe that the term

$$\frac{1}{\omega} t_{b[c} \bar{\omega}_{a]} + \frac{1}{\omega} \bar{g}_{b[c} t_{a]e} \bar{g}^{de} \bar{\omega}_d \quad (\text{III.6})$$

is effectively two-dimensional (it is orthogonal to N^a , due to (III.2)). Hence, one can use the two-dimensional identity [38]

$$A_{CAE} = 2q_{E[A} A_{C]DM} q^{DM}, \quad \text{for any tensor such that } A_{CAE} = -A_{ACE} \quad (\text{III.7})$$

in order to write

$$\frac{1}{\omega} t_{b[c} \bar{\omega}_{a]} + \frac{1}{\omega} \bar{g}_{b[c} t_{a]e} \bar{g}^{de} \bar{\omega}_d = -\frac{1}{\omega} t_{ed} \bar{g}^{ed} \bar{\omega}_{[c} q_{a]b}, \quad (\text{III.8})$$

arriving at the final result. For a gauge invariant tensor $a = 0$ in eq. (III.1), therefore one only has to set this value in eq. (III.3) to obtain eq. (III.4). \square

Corollary III.1. A symmetric gauge-invariant tensor field m_{ab} on \mathcal{J} , orthogonal to N^a , satisfies

$$\bar{\nabla}_{[c} \tilde{m}_{b]a} = \bar{\nabla}_{[c} m_{b]a} \quad (\text{III.9})$$

if and only if $m_{ed} \bar{g}^{ed} = 0$.

Corollary III.2 (The tensor ρ). There is a unique symmetric tensor field ρ_{ab} on \mathcal{J} orthogonal to N^a whose behaviour under conformal rescalings (II.32) is as in (III.1) and satisfies the equation

$$\bar{\nabla}_{[c}\rho_{a]b} = 0 \quad (\text{III.10})$$

in any conformal frame. This tensor field must have a trace $\rho_{ed}\bar{g}^{ed} = a\bar{R}/2$ and is given in the gauge where the cuts of \mathcal{J} are endowed with the round metric by $\rho_{ab} = \bar{g}_{ab}a\bar{R}/4$.

Proof. Existence is proved by noticing that $\rho_{ab} = \bar{g}_{ab}a\bar{R}/4$ fulfils $\bar{\nabla}_a\rho_{bc} = 0$ in the round metric sphere. Concerning uniqueness, notice that lemma III.1 fixes the trace of ρ_{ab} to $\rho_{ed}\bar{g}^{ed} = a\bar{R}/2$, and recall the assumption that eq. (III.10) holds in any gauge. Then, if two different solutions ${}_1\rho_{ab}$ and ${}_2\rho_{ab}$ exist, $\bar{\nabla}_{[c}({}_1\rho_{a]b} - {}_2\rho_{a]b}) = 0$. However, in that case and since $\rho_{ad}N^d = 0$, the difference ${}_1\rho_{ab} - {}_2\rho_{ab}$ is a traceless, Codazzi tensor on \mathbb{S}^2 and, as a consequence of the uniqueness of this kind of tensors [32], ${}_1\rho_{ab} - {}_2\rho_{ab} = 0$. \square

Remark III.1. Geroch's original tensor corresponds to $a = 1$.

Remark III.2. Since these results are in essence two-dimensional, one could have taken a different path for the proof. Namely, use the general results for tensors ρ on two-dimensional Riemannian manifolds presented in [1].

Remark III.3. Applying the results of [1], one also finds that the Lie derivative on a cut of the projection to that cut of ρ_{ab} along any conformal Killing vector field (CKVF) χ^A is proportional to $\mathcal{D}_A\mathcal{D}_B\mathcal{D}_C\chi^C$, and in particular vanishes for Killing vector fields (KVF).

Remark III.4. Contraction of eq. (III.10) with N^a gives

$$\mathcal{L}_{\vec{N}}\rho_{ab} = N^e\bar{\nabla}_e\rho_{ab} = 0. \quad (\text{III.11})$$

Therefore, ρ_{ab} is 'constant' along the generators of \mathcal{J} .

A direct calculation of the gauge behaviour of \bar{S}_{ab} shows that

$$\tilde{\bar{S}}_{ab} = \bar{S}_{ab} - \frac{1}{\omega}\bar{\nabla}_a\bar{\omega}_b + \frac{2}{\omega^2}\bar{\omega}_a\bar{\omega}_b - \frac{1}{2\omega^2}\bar{\omega}_c\bar{\omega}^c\bar{g}_{ab}, \quad (\text{III.12})$$

with $\bar{\omega}_a \stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{:=} \bar{\nabla}_a\omega$. Also, this can be projected to any cut \mathcal{S}

$$\tilde{\mathring{S}}_{AB} \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} \mathring{S}_{AB} - \frac{1}{\omega}\mathcal{D}_A\mathring{\omega}_B + \frac{2}{\omega^2}\mathring{\omega}_A\mathring{\omega}_B - \frac{1}{2\omega^2}\mathring{\omega}_C\mathring{\omega}^C q_{AB}, \quad (\text{III.13})$$

where we have used the second in (III.2). That is, \bar{S}_{ab} has the adequate gauge behaviour and trace (II.125) that imply by lemma III.1

$$\bar{\nabla}_{[c}\tilde{\bar{S}}_{a]b} = \bar{\nabla}_{[c}\mathring{S}_{a]b} \quad (\text{III.14})$$

and allows to write the following result

Proposition III.1 (News tensor). The tensor field on \mathcal{J}

$$N_{ab} := \bar{S}_{ab} - \rho_{ab}, \quad (\text{III.15})$$

is symmetric, traceless, gauge invariant, orthogonal to N^a and satisfies the gauge-invariant equation

$$\bar{\nabla}_{[a}\bar{S}_{b]c} = \bar{\nabla}_{[a}N_{b]c}, \quad (\text{III.16})$$

where ρ_{ab} is the tensor field of corollary III.2 (for $a = 1$). Besides, N_{ab} is unique with these properties.

Proof. The tensor field N_{ab} is symmetric, traceless, gauge invariant and orthogonal to N^a as a consequence of eqs. (II.115) and (III.12) and corollary III.2. That eq. (III.16) is gauge invariant follows from corollary III.1. The uniqueness of N_{ab} is a consequence of corollary III.2 too and eq. (III.16). \square

The tensor field N_{ab} is the *news tensor*, and can be projected on any cut \mathcal{S}

$$N_{AB} := \underline{E}^a{}_A \underline{E}^b{}_B N_{ab} , \quad q^{MN} N_{MN} = 0 , \quad (\text{III.17})$$

and the same can be done with ρ_{ab}

$$\rho_{AB} := \underline{E}^a{}_A \underline{E}^b{}_B \rho_{ab} . \quad (\text{III.18})$$

Note that contraction of eq. (III.16) with N^c and $E^c{}_C E^a{}_A E^b{}_B$, respectively, yields

$$\mathcal{L}_{\vec{N}} \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{ab} = N^c \bar{\nabla}_c \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{ab} = N^c \bar{\nabla}_c N_{ab} = \mathcal{L}_{\vec{N}} N_{ab} , \quad \mathcal{D}_{[C} \mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{A]B} \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} \mathcal{D}_{[C} N_{A]B} \quad (\text{III.19})$$

and also observe that in general, one has

$$\mathcal{L}_{\vec{N}} N_{ab} \neq 0 \quad (\text{III.20})$$

and the notation

$$\dot{N}_{AB} := \underline{E}^a{}_A \underline{E}^b{}_B \mathcal{L}_{\vec{N}} N_{ab} \quad (\text{III.21})$$

will be used. From eqs. (II.130) and (II.131) and eq. (III.19), one gets

$${}^N C^a{}_b = \epsilon^{rsa} \bar{\nabla}_r N_{sb} , \quad (\text{III.22})$$

$${}^N D_{ab} = N^m \bar{\nabla}_m N_{ab} \quad (\text{III.23})$$

and from eq. (II.133)

$$-\sqrt{2} {}^N \underline{C}_a = \bar{\ell}_r \epsilon^{mpr} \bar{\nabla}_m N_{pa} . \quad (\text{III.24})$$

On each cut

$${}^N \underline{C}^A{}_B \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} W_a{}^A \underline{E}^b{}_B {}^N C^a{}_b \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} \dot{N}_{BM} \epsilon^{AM} , \quad (\text{III.25})$$

$${}^N \underline{C}_A \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} E^a{}_A {}^N \underline{C}_a = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \epsilon^{RP} \mathcal{D}_R N_{PA} , \quad (\text{III.26})$$

$${}^N \underline{D}_{AB} \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} E^a{}_A \underline{E}^b{}_B {}^N D_{ab} \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} \dot{N}_{AB} , \quad (\text{III.27})$$

$${}^N \underline{D}_A \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \mathcal{D}_M \dot{N}_A{}^M , \quad (\text{III.28})$$

where we have used eq. (II.24), eq. (II.63). Some of these formulae will be used in section V.

Looking back to eq. (II.123), inserting decomposition (III.15), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\sigma}_{ab} := N^c \bar{\nabla}_c \underline{\sigma}_{ab} &= N_{ab} + \rho_{ab} - \frac{\bar{R}}{4} \bar{g}_{ab} + \underline{P}^m{}_a \bar{\nabla}_m (\ln \dot{F}) \underline{P}^n{}_b \bar{\nabla}_n (\ln \dot{F}) - \underline{P}^m{}_{(a} \underline{P}^n{}_{b)} \bar{\nabla}_n (\underline{P}^r{}_m \bar{\nabla}_r \ln \dot{F}) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \bar{g}_{ab} \bar{g}^{ef} \left[\underline{P}^m{}_e \bar{\nabla}_m (\ln \dot{F}) \underline{P}^n{}_f \bar{\nabla}_n (\ln \dot{F}) - \underline{P}^m{}_e \underline{P}^n{}_f \bar{\nabla}_n (\underline{P}^r{}_m \bar{\nabla}_r \ln \dot{F}) \right] , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{III.29})$$

or on each cut

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\sigma}_{AB} := E^a{}_A E^b{}_B N^c \bar{\nabla}_c \sigma_{ab} &\stackrel{S}{=} N_{AB} + \rho_{AB} - \frac{\bar{R}}{4} q_{AB} + \mathcal{D}_A (\ln \dot{F}) \mathcal{D}_B (\ln \dot{F}) - \mathcal{D}_A (\mathcal{D}_B \ln \dot{F}) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} q_{AB} q^{EF} [\mathcal{D}_E (\ln \dot{F}) \mathcal{D}_F (\ln \dot{F}) - \mathcal{D}_E (\mathcal{D}_F \ln \dot{F})] . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{III.30})$$

This equation relates the news tensor to the ‘time’ derivative of the shear tensor σ_{ab} . Observe that in general *only for canonically adapted foliations* –eq. (II.67)– *in which the gauge fixing*³ *gives the round metric on the cuts* one obtains –recalling eq. (II.63)–

$$\dot{\sigma}_{ab} = N_{ab} . \quad (\text{III.31})$$

III.B Symmetries and universal structure

The conformal boundary for vanishing cosmological constant presents a universal structure [16] which gives rise to an asymptotic symmetry group known as the BMS group –named after Bondi, Metzner and Sachs [9, 10, 39]–, which has been widely studied [16, 17, 40–42] and recently has attracted renewed attention with proposals of generalisations and extensions [43–47]. The BMS group admits different characterisations, from coordinate-based methods, to covariant ones. We focus on the latter and, particularly, in Geroch’s approach –see also [48] for more details. The asymptotic infinitesimal symmetries are those vector fields preserving the *universal structure*

Definition III.1 (Universal structure). Let N^a be the tangent vector field to the generators of \mathcal{J} and \bar{g}_{ab} its degenerate metric. Then, the universal structure of \mathcal{J} consists of the conformal family of pairs

$$(\bar{g}_{ab}, N^a) .$$

Two pairs belong to the same conformal family if and only if $(\bar{g}'_{ab}, N'^a) = (\Psi^2 \bar{g}_{ab}, \Psi^{-1} N^a)$, where Ψ is a positive function on \mathcal{J} .

Remark III.5. An equivalent formulation is to consider the gauge-invariant object [16]

$$\bar{g}_{ab} N^c N^d \quad (\text{III.32})$$

and the infinitesimal symmetries are those that leave it invariant, that is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\xi}} (\bar{g}_{ab} N^c N^d) = 0 . \quad (\text{III.33})$$

The algebra **bms** is characterised by the infinitesimal symmetries ξ^a defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\xi}} N^a = -\psi N^a , \quad (\text{III.34})$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\xi}} \bar{g}_{ab} = 2\psi \bar{g}_{ab} . \quad (\text{III.35})$$

The infinitesimal symmetries τ^a proportional to N^a ,

$$\tau^a = \alpha N^a \quad (\text{III.36})$$

³The so called ‘Bondi gauge’ usually refers to this kind of gauge-fixing.

are called *supertranslations*. They form an infinite-dimensional subalgebra \mathfrak{t} of \mathfrak{bms} and the group of supertranslations \mathcal{T} is a Lie ideal of BMS. One has

$$\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\tau}} N^a = 0 , \quad (\text{III.37})$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\tau}} \bar{g}_{ab} = 0 \quad (\text{III.38})$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_{\bar{N}} \alpha = 0 . \quad (\text{III.39})$$

The resulting symmetry group BMS consists of the semidirect product of the Lorentz group $\text{SO}(1, 3)$ with the normal subgroup of supertranslations \mathbb{T} ,

$$\text{BMS} = \mathbb{T} \ltimes \text{SO}(1, 3) . \quad (\text{III.40})$$

Remark III.6. Remark III.4 together with $N^a \rho_{ab} = 0$ makes ρ_{ab} invariant under supertranslations.

Geroch identified a 4-dimensional subspace of infinitesimal translations, given by those elements of \mathfrak{t} satisfying

$$\bar{\nabla}_a \bar{\nabla}_b \alpha + \alpha \rho_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\bar{g}^{mn} \bar{\nabla}_m \bar{\nabla}_n \alpha + \alpha \frac{\bar{R}}{4} \right) \bar{g}_{ab} . \quad (\text{III.41})$$

For an interpretation of this equation, see section in [1]. These infinitesimal symmetries enter into the definition of the Bondi-Trautman energy-momentum.

III.C Asymptotic energy-momentum of the gravitational field

Any weakly asymptotically simple $\Lambda = 0$ space-time [28] features the existence of a total energy-momentum at \mathcal{I} –the so called Bondi-Trautman 4-momentum [6, 9, 42]. It includes the energy of the gravitational field and quantifies the energy-loss due to outgoing gravitational waves. Geroch [16] presented it as a particular case of a generalised momentum built upon a vector field associated to any supertranslation $\tau^a = \alpha N^a$ and given by –see [49] for the spin-coefficient version–

$${}_{\tau} \mathcal{M}^a := \alpha^N D^{am} \bar{\ell}_m - 2 \left(\alpha \bar{\nabla}_m \bar{\ell}_n + \bar{\ell}_m \bar{\nabla}_n \alpha \right) \bar{g}^{np} N_{pq} \bar{g}^{q[m} N^{a]} , \quad (\text{III.42})$$

where $\bar{\ell}_a$ is any one-form satisfying $\bar{\ell}_m N^m = -1$. It is possible to include Geroch’s approach into our formalism of foliations –section II.A. Let $\bar{\ell}'_a$ be any field associated to a foliation, as in eq. (II.54), with the defining function F giving the cuts \mathcal{S}_C at constant values $F = C = \text{constant}$ and lightlike extension $\bar{\ell}'_\alpha$. The two-form ${}_{\tau} \mathbf{M} := {}_{\tau} \mathcal{M}^a \epsilon_{abc} dx^b \wedge dx^c$ integrated over any cut \mathcal{S}_C gives a charge associated to that cut and the supertranslation τ^a

$${}_{\tau} \mathcal{E} [\mathcal{S}_C] := -\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathcal{S}_C} {}_{\tau} \mathbf{M} = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathcal{S}_C} {}_{\tau} \mathcal{M}^a \bar{\ell}'_a \dot{\epsilon}' \quad (\text{III.43})$$

This formula can be shown to be independent of the choice of $\bar{\ell}'_a$ in eq. (III.42), thus without loss of generality, let us write $\bar{\ell}'_a = \bar{\ell}_a$. Using eq. (III.42) and introducing eq. (II.69), the charge can be rewritten as

$${}_{\tau} \mathcal{E} [\mathcal{S}_C] = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathcal{S}_C} \left(\alpha^N D^{rs} \bar{\ell}_r \bar{\ell}_s + \alpha \sigma_{rs} N^{rs} \right) \dot{\epsilon} . \quad (\text{III.44})$$

The first term contains essentially a Coulomb contribution from the gravitational field –see [1]. The difference of the quantity (III.44) for any two cuts \mathcal{S}_2 and \mathcal{S}_1 , with the former to the future of the latter, is derived by computing the divergence of eq. (III.42) and integrating over the three-dimensional portion $\Delta \in \mathcal{J}$ bounded by the two cuts:

$${}_{\tau}\mathcal{E}[\mathcal{S}_2] - {}_{\tau}\mathcal{E}[\mathcal{S}_1] = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\Delta} \left(\alpha y_m{}^f{}_p N^m \bar{\ell}_f N^p + \alpha \bar{S}_{rs} N^{rs} + N^{rs} \bar{\nabla}_r \bar{\nabla}_s \alpha \right) \epsilon . \quad (\text{III.45})$$

Indeed, it is possible to differentiate along the foliation to obtain the infinitesimal change in the charge (III.44) on a cut \mathcal{S}_C ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dC} {}_{\tau}\mathcal{E}[\mathcal{S}_C] &= -\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathcal{S}_C} \frac{\alpha}{\dot{F}} \left[N^{PQ} \dot{S}_{PQ} + N^{PQ} \mathcal{D}_P \mathcal{D}_Q \ln \dot{F} - 2 \mathcal{D}_P (N^{QP}) \mathcal{D}_Q \ln \dot{F} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \mathcal{D}_Q \mathcal{D}_P N^{QP} + y_m{}^f{}_p N^m \bar{\ell}_f N^p + N^{AB} \mathcal{D}_A (\ln \dot{F}) \mathcal{D}_B (\ln \dot{F}) \right] \dot{\epsilon} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{III.46})$$

where eqs. (II.139), (III.27), (III.28) and (III.30) have been used. Since the cuts are topological spheres, total divergences integrate out and one can simplify the expression above to reach the nice formula

$$\frac{d}{dC} {}_{\tau}\mathcal{E}[\mathcal{S}_C] = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathcal{S}_C} \frac{1}{\dot{F}} \left[\alpha N^{AB} \dot{S}_{AB} + N^{AB} \mathcal{D}_A \mathcal{D}_B \alpha + \alpha \varkappa N^{\mu} N^{\nu} {}_0T_{\mu\nu} \right] \dot{\epsilon} , \quad (\text{III.47})$$

where the matter fields enter the integral through (see eqs. (II.82) and (II.138))

$$y_m{}^f{}_p N^m \bar{\ell}_f N^p \stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{=} \varkappa N^{\mu} N^{\nu} {}_0T_{\mu\nu} . \quad (\text{III.48})$$

If one chooses τ^a as an infinitesimal translation –i.e., α satisfying eq. (III.41)–, (III.44) gives the *Bondi-Trautman energy-momentum*. In that case, eq. (III.45) and eq. (III.47) yield

$${}_{\tau}\mathcal{E}[\mathcal{S}_2] - {}_{\tau}\mathcal{E}[\mathcal{S}_1] = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\Delta} \alpha \left(N^{qp} N_{qp} + \varkappa N^{\mu} N^{\nu} {}_0T_{\mu\nu} \right) \epsilon . \quad (\text{III.49})$$

$$\frac{d}{dC} {}_{\tau}\mathcal{E}[\mathcal{S}_C] = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathcal{S}_C} \frac{\alpha}{\dot{F}} \left(N^{PQ} N_{PQ} + \varkappa N^{\mu} N^{\nu} {}_0T_{\mu\nu} \right) \dot{\epsilon} . \quad (\text{III.50})$$

The second one is the *Bondi-Trautman energy-momentum-loss formula*. Both eq. (III.45) and eq. (III.50) feature the same property: if the energy-momentum of the matter fields decay such that $N^{\mu} N^{\nu} {}_0T_{\mu\nu} = 0$ –in particular in the absence of matter fields around infinity– a non-vanishing news tensor still diminishes the total energy-momentum. To our knowledge, it is the first time eq. (III.50) is presented including the matter term and the factors associated to the choice of foliation \dot{F} and translation α ; in the literature, either just eq. (III.49) is given [16], even without the matter contribution (see [50] for a recent derivation), or just eq. (III.50) is considered, typically without the matter term nor the factor \dot{F} corresponding to the choice of foliation [28, 51, 52]. Moreover, when α is set to a constant –equivalently, a ‘time’ translation is selected– to get the total energy-loss, the dimensional analysis of eq. (III.50) becomes obscure –see [24] for the discussion of the units including α and \dot{F} . For later convenience, let us define the energy-momentum loss associated to gravitational waves only (i.e., excluding the matter term)

$$\frac{d}{dC} {}_{\tau}^G\mathcal{E}[\mathcal{S}_C] = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathcal{S}_C} \frac{\alpha}{\dot{F}} N^{PQ} N_{PQ} \dot{\epsilon} . \quad (\text{III.51})$$

Observe that eq. (III.50) is the general energy-momentum loss, whereas the commonly presented energy-loss formulae involving the square of the Lie derivative along N^a (III.30) of the shear tensor (II.69) arise by making all or some of the following elections: $\alpha = 1$, a canonical foliation (II.67), a round-metric gauge and the absence of the asymptotic matter term (II.138).

IV Asymptotic propagation of physical fields and the peeling property revisited

We deal now with the behaviour of physical fields when they are parallel transported along null geodesics. The outcome of this process when applied to the physical Weyl tensor, typically receives the name of *peeling* property or behaviour [10, 16, 28, 41, 42]. We adopt Geroch's approach and refine it to construct endomorphisms at the tangent space of every point of \mathcal{I}^+ , considered as the endpoint in $(M, g_{\alpha\beta})$ of future-pointing null geodesics arriving there.

Let $\gamma(\lambda)$ be a curve parametrised by $\lambda \in [-1, 0]$, with one endpoint at $p_0 \in \mathcal{I}^+$ (corresponding to $\lambda_0 := \lambda|_{p_0} = 0$) and the past endpoint at $p_1 \in \hat{M}$ (with $\lambda_1 := \lambda|_{p_1} = -1$). Points belonging to γ corresponding to fixed values $\lambda = \lambda_i$ will be labelled by p_i ,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(\lambda) : \quad [-1, 0] &\longrightarrow M \\ \lambda &\longrightarrow p . \end{aligned}$$

Denote the tangent vector field to the curve by ℓ^α and choose the parametrisation such that

$$\ell^\mu N_\mu = \frac{d\Omega}{d\lambda} \Big|_{\mathcal{I}^+} - 1 . \quad (\text{IV.1})$$

At first order near $\lambda_0 = 0$, $\Omega \approx -\lambda$. Observe that we do not require at this stage ℓ^α to be lightlike, though we have chosen it to be future-pointing. Next, denote by

$$\begin{aligned} t_\alpha^\beta(\lambda_i, \lambda_j) &, \text{ the parallel propagator w.r.t. } \Gamma_{bc}^a , \\ \hat{t}_\alpha^\beta(\lambda_i, \lambda_j) &, \text{ the parallel propagator w.r.t. } \hat{\Gamma}_{bc}^a , \end{aligned}$$

such that given any one-form v_α defined at p_j , the result of parallel-transporting it along $\gamma(\lambda)$ from p_j to p_i results on the new one-form $v_\alpha(\lambda_i)$ at p_i given by

$$v_\alpha(\lambda_i) = t_\alpha^\mu(\lambda_i, \lambda_j) v_\mu(\lambda_j) . \quad (\text{IV.2})$$

Observe that indices α and μ in this relation belong to different tangent spaces. The propagator t_α^β is a 'bi-tensor' [53] which is defined by the differential equation

$$\frac{dt_\alpha^\beta(\lambda, \lambda_j)}{d\lambda} = \ell^\rho \Gamma_{\alpha\rho}^\mu(\lambda) t_\mu^\beta(\lambda, \lambda_j) , \quad (\text{IV.3})$$

$$\frac{d\hat{t}_\alpha^\beta(\lambda, \lambda_j)}{d\lambda} = \ell^\rho \hat{\Gamma}_{\alpha\rho}^\mu(\lambda) \hat{t}_\mu^\beta(\lambda, \lambda_j) , \quad (\text{IV.4})$$

with 'initial' condition

$$t_\alpha^\beta(\lambda_j, \lambda_j) = \delta_\beta^\alpha , \quad \hat{t}_\alpha^\beta(\lambda_j, \lambda_j) = \delta_\beta^\alpha , \quad (\text{IV.5})$$

and satisfies

$$t_\alpha^\mu(\lambda, \lambda_i) t_\mu^\beta(\lambda_i, \lambda) = \delta_\alpha^\beta, \quad t_\alpha^\mu(\lambda_j, \lambda_i) = t_\alpha^\mu(\lambda_i, \lambda_j) \quad (\text{IV.6})$$

where

$$t_\alpha^\mu(\lambda_i, \lambda_j) := g^{\mu\sigma}(\lambda_i) g_{\nu\alpha}(\lambda_j) t_\sigma^\nu(\lambda_i, \lambda_j). \quad (\text{IV.7})$$

The main idea [16] is to perform 3 different parallel transports of any covariant tensor field:

1. From p_0 to $p(\lambda)$ with $t_\alpha^\beta(\lambda, \lambda_0)$,
2. From $p(\lambda)$ to p_1 with $\hat{t}_\alpha^\beta(\lambda_1, \lambda)$,
3. From p_1 to p_0 with $t_\alpha^\beta(\lambda_0, \lambda_1)$.

That is, a transport along γ back and forth, departing from \mathcal{J}^+ and interchanging the conformal connection by the physical one for a stretch of γ . If one chains one operation after another, the result is an endomorphism on the co-tangent space at p_0 :

$${}^\gamma L_\alpha^\beta := t_\alpha^\mu(\lambda_0, \lambda_1) \hat{t}_\mu^\rho(\lambda_1, \lambda) t_\rho^\beta(\lambda, \lambda_0). \quad (\text{IV.8})$$

The upper and lower indices on the left-hand side of ${}^\gamma L_\beta^\alpha$ indicate its dependence on the curve γ and the point $p(\lambda)$. Since the notation may become cumbersome, we drop this two labels in most of the calculations and recover them only when doing so happens to be convenient. Since L_β^α is a tensor at p_0 , acting on covariant objects, we introduce the notation

$$\overleftarrow{T}_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_r} := L_{\alpha_1}^{\mu_1} \dots L_{\alpha_r}^{\mu_r} T_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r}. \quad (\text{IV.9})$$

The action on the metric at p_0 gives

$$\overleftarrow{g}_{\alpha\beta} = \overleftarrow{\Xi}^2 g_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (\text{IV.10})$$

where one uses that the connections are metric-compatible with respect to $g_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\hat{g}_{\alpha\beta}$, respectively, and introduces the definition

$$\overleftarrow{\Xi} := \frac{\Omega(\lambda)}{\Omega(\lambda_1)} \quad (\text{IV.11})$$

–we will drop the label on the left-hand side. Equation (IV.10) implies that the endomorphism L_α^β preserves the null cone (and obviously also the future orientation) and therefore it is proportional to a Lorentz transformation at p_0 . Recalling the first of eq. (IV.6), it is easy to verify that

$${}^{-1} L_\beta^\alpha := t_\beta^\mu(\lambda_0, \lambda) \hat{t}_\mu^\rho(\lambda, \lambda_1) t_\rho^\alpha(\lambda_1, \lambda_0) \quad (\text{IV.12})$$

is the inverse operator, that is,

$$L_\alpha^\rho {}^{-1} L_\rho^\beta = \delta_\alpha^\beta. \quad (\text{IV.13})$$

The version of L_β^α that acts on contravariant fields is defined as

$${}^\gamma \tilde{L}_\alpha^\beta := t_\alpha^\mu(\lambda_0, \lambda) \hat{t}_\mu^\rho(\lambda, \lambda_1) t_\rho^\beta(\lambda_1, \lambda_0), \quad (\text{IV.14})$$

and a simple calculation using the second of eq. (IV.6) shows that

$${}^{-1} L_\alpha^\beta = \tilde{L}^\beta_\alpha = \frac{1}{\overleftarrow{\Xi}^2} L^\beta_\alpha, \quad (\text{IV.15})$$

where $L^\beta_\alpha = g^{\beta\mu} L_\mu^\nu g_{\nu\alpha}$. Therefore, taking into account eq. (IV.15) one can work only with L_β^α . Some useful relations are

$$\overleftarrow{\eta}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \Xi^4 \eta_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} , \quad (\text{IV.16})$$

$${}^{-1}L_\rho^\alpha {}^{-1}L_\sigma^\beta g^{\rho\sigma} = \frac{1}{\Xi^2} g^{\alpha\beta} , \quad (\text{IV.17})$$

$$\overleftarrow{v}_\mu \overleftarrow{w}^\mu = \Xi^2 v_\mu w^\mu \quad \forall v^\alpha, w^\alpha , \quad (\text{IV.18})$$

where

$$\overleftarrow{w}^\alpha := g^{\alpha\mu} \overleftarrow{w}_\mu . \quad (\text{IV.19})$$

The next task to be addressed is to find the explicit form of the operator L_α^β . We believe that this can be done for more general curves, however the most relevant case – and easiest to deal with – is when ℓ^α is geodesic and lightlike with λ an affine parameter,

$$\ell_\mu \ell^\mu = 0 , \quad \ell^\rho \nabla_\rho \ell_\alpha = 0 . \quad (\text{IV.20})$$

We assume this restriction from now on. Observe that for null geodesics (e.g. [41]) one can always write

$$\hat{\ell}_\alpha = \ell_\alpha \quad (\text{IV.21})$$

where $\hat{\ell}_\alpha$ is lightlike and geodesic with respect to the physical metric. This fact allows to deduce the action of L_α^β on ℓ_α

$$\overleftarrow{\ell}_\alpha = L_\alpha^\beta \ell_\beta = \ell_\alpha . \quad (\text{IV.22})$$

Observe that L_α^β has at most 16 independent components. It can be expressed in the bases $\{-N_\alpha, -\ell_\alpha, q_\alpha, r_\alpha\}$ and $\{\ell^\alpha, N^\alpha, q^\alpha, r^\alpha\}$, with q_α and r_α arbitrary unit one-forms orthogonal to N^α and ℓ^α at p_0 , as

$$\begin{aligned} \overleftarrow{\gamma}L_\beta^\alpha &= \overleftarrow{\gamma}AN^\alpha N_\beta + \overleftarrow{\gamma}BN^\alpha \ell_\beta + \overleftarrow{\gamma}C\ell^\alpha \ell_\beta + \overleftarrow{\gamma}D\ell^\alpha N_\beta + \overleftarrow{\gamma}Fq^\alpha r_\beta + \overleftarrow{\gamma}Gr^\alpha q_\beta + \overleftarrow{\gamma}Hq^\alpha q_\beta + \overleftarrow{\gamma}Ir^\alpha r_\beta \\ &+ N^\alpha \overleftarrow{\gamma}\dot{v}_\beta + \ell^\alpha \overleftarrow{\gamma}\dot{w}_\beta + \overleftarrow{\gamma}\dot{x}^\alpha N_\beta + \overleftarrow{\gamma}\dot{y}^\alpha \ell_\beta . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{IV.23})$$

The dependence on the curve γ and the point λ is contained in the 8 scalars and 4 2-dimensional vector fields correspondingly labelled in the formula above. By eqs. (IV.15) and (IV.22) direct simplifications take place:

$$A = 0 , \quad B = -1 , \quad D = -\Xi^2 , \quad \dot{v}_\beta = 0 , \quad \dot{x}^\alpha = 0 . \quad (\text{IV.24})$$

Projecting eq. (IV.10) with the elements of the bases, one arrives at the expression

$$L_\beta^\alpha = -N^\alpha \ell_\beta + C\ell^\alpha \ell_\beta - \Xi^2 \ell^\alpha N_\beta + F(q^\alpha r_\beta - r^\alpha q_\beta) + H\dot{P}^\alpha_\beta + \ell^\alpha \dot{w}_\beta + \dot{y}^\alpha \ell_\beta , \quad (\text{IV.25})$$

with

$$2\Xi^2 C = -\Xi^2 \dot{y}_\mu \dot{y}^\mu = -\dot{w}_\mu \dot{w}^\mu , \quad F^2 + H^2 = \Xi^2 \quad (\text{IV.26})$$

and

$$0 = -\dot{w}_r - F\dot{y}_q - H\dot{y}_r , \quad (\text{IV.27})$$

$$0 = -\dot{w}_q + F\dot{y}_r - H\dot{y}_q , \quad (\text{IV.28})$$

$$0 = -\Xi^2 \dot{y}_q - F\dot{w}_r - H\dot{w}_q , \quad (\text{IV.29})$$

$$0 = -\Xi^2 \dot{y}_r + F\dot{w}_q - H\dot{w}_r . \quad (\text{IV.30})$$

By construction, one has

$${}_{\lambda_1}L_\beta^\alpha = \delta_\alpha^\beta, \quad (\text{IV.31})$$

which implies

$${}_{\lambda_1}C = {}_{\lambda_1}F = 0, \quad {}_{\lambda_1}H = 1, \quad {}_{\lambda_1}\dot{w}_\beta = 0, \quad {}_{\lambda_1}\dot{y}_\beta = 0. \quad (\text{IV.32})$$

Since L_α^β depends on λ , it makes sense to search for a differential equation for it. To that purpose, notice that another version of eq. (IV.3) can be written for $t_\alpha^\beta(\lambda, \lambda_j)$ by using eq. (IV.6),

$$\frac{dt_\alpha^\beta(\lambda_j, \lambda)}{d\lambda} = -k^\rho \Gamma_{\rho\mu}^\beta t_\alpha^\mu(\lambda_j, \lambda). \quad (\text{IV.33})$$

The final differential formula for L_α^β reads

$$\frac{dL_\beta^\alpha}{d\lambda} = \frac{1}{\Omega(\lambda)} L_\beta^\mu \Lambda_\mu^\alpha \quad (\text{IV.34})$$

where

$$\Lambda_\beta^\alpha = {}_x\Lambda_\beta^\alpha := \Omega(\lambda) \ell^\sigma(\lambda) \gamma_{\mu\sigma}^\nu(\lambda) t_\nu^\alpha(\lambda, \lambda_0) t_\beta^\mu(\lambda_0, \lambda) \quad (\text{IV.35})$$

$$= \frac{d\Omega}{d\lambda} \delta_\beta^\alpha + \ell^\alpha N_\beta - \ell_\beta N_\alpha, \quad (\text{IV.36})$$

with

$$N_\beta = {}_x N_\beta := t_\beta^\mu(\lambda_0, \lambda) N_\mu(\lambda), \quad {}_{\lambda_0} N_\beta = N_\beta, \quad (\text{IV.37})$$

and

$$\gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} = \frac{1}{\Omega} \left(\delta_\beta^\alpha N_\gamma + \delta_\gamma^\alpha N_\beta - N^\alpha g_{\beta\gamma} \right)$$

is the tensor field defined in (III.3) of the companion paper [1] giving the difference between the unphysical and physical connections. Observe that Λ_β^α is a tensor at p_0 that depends on $\gamma(\lambda)$. Equation (IV.34) is a Fuchsian system with a regular singular point at $\lambda = \lambda_0 = 0$ –recall that $\Omega(\lambda_0) = 0$. In components, one has the following non-trivial equations

$$\frac{dF}{d\lambda} = \frac{F}{\Omega(\lambda)} \frac{d\Omega}{d\lambda}, \quad (\text{IV.38})$$

$$\frac{dH}{d\lambda} = \frac{H}{\Omega(\lambda)} \frac{d\Omega}{d\lambda}, \quad (\text{IV.39})$$

$$\frac{dC}{d\lambda} = \frac{1}{\Omega(\lambda)} \left[2C \frac{d\Omega}{d\lambda} + N_{*\rho} \dot{y}^\rho \right], \quad (\text{IV.40})$$

$$\frac{d\dot{y}_r}{d\lambda} = \frac{1}{\Omega(\lambda)} \left[\dot{y}_r \frac{d\Omega}{d\lambda} - \dot{r}_\rho N^{*\rho} \right], \quad (\text{IV.41})$$

$$\frac{d\dot{y}_q}{d\lambda} = \frac{1}{\Omega(\lambda)} \left[\dot{y}_q \frac{d\Omega}{d\lambda} - \dot{q}_\rho N^{*\rho} \right], \quad (\text{IV.42})$$

$$\frac{d\dot{w}_r}{d\lambda} = \frac{1}{\Omega(\lambda)} \left[FN_{*\mu} q^\mu + HN_{*\mu} r^\mu + 2\dot{w}_r \frac{d\Omega}{d\lambda} \right], \quad (\text{IV.43})$$

$$\frac{d\dot{w}_q}{d\lambda} = \frac{1}{\Omega(\lambda)} \left[HN_{*\mu} q^\mu - FN_{*\mu} r^\mu + 2\dot{w}_q \frac{d\Omega}{d\lambda} \right]. \quad (\text{IV.44})$$

Using eq. (IV.31) as initial condition, eqs. (IV.38) and (IV.39) yield

$$\gamma_x F = 0, \quad \gamma_x H = \Xi, \quad (IV.45)$$

and then, from eqs. (IV.27) and (IV.28),

$$\dot{w}_\alpha = -\Xi \dot{y}_\alpha. \quad (IV.46)$$

Since C is determined by \dot{y}_α through eq. (IV.26), it only remains to solve for \dot{y}_α . Equations (IV.41) and (IV.42) are two uncoupled linear ODEs, whose solution with the initial condition (IV.32) reads

$$\dot{y}^\alpha = -\Omega(\lambda) \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda} \frac{1}{\Omega^2(\lambda')} \gamma_{x'} N^{\alpha} d\lambda'. \quad (IV.47)$$

This solution is smooth in the limit $\lambda = \lambda_0$. Taking this into account, if one multiplies eq. (IV.40) by Ω and evaluates at λ_0 , it follows that

$$-2 \gamma_{\lambda_0} C = \gamma_{\lambda_0} \dot{y}_\mu \gamma_{\lambda_0} \dot{y}^\mu = 0. \quad (IV.48)$$

All in all, the final expression of L_β^α is

$$L_\beta^\alpha = -N^\alpha \ell_\beta - \frac{1}{2} \dot{y}_\mu \dot{y}^\mu \ell^\alpha \ell_\beta - \Xi^2 \ell^\alpha N_\beta + \Xi \dot{P}_\beta^\alpha - \Xi \ell^\alpha \dot{y}_\beta + \dot{y}^\alpha \ell_\beta, \quad (IV.49)$$

with \dot{y}_α determined by eq. (IV.47), depending on the choice of curve through N^α –given in eq. (IV.37)– and on λ . Consider the decomposition

$$\gamma_x L_\beta^\alpha = \gamma_x p_\beta^\mu \gamma_x K_\mu^\alpha, \quad (IV.50)$$

$$\gamma_x p_\beta^\mu := -N^\mu \ell_\beta - \Xi^2 \ell^\mu N_\beta + \Xi \dot{P}_\beta^\mu, \quad (IV.51)$$

$$\gamma_x K_\mu^\alpha := \delta_\mu^\alpha - \frac{1}{2} \dot{y}^2 \ell^\alpha \ell_\mu - \ell^\alpha \dot{y}_\mu + \dot{y}^\alpha \ell_\mu. \quad (IV.52)$$

The interest of this decomposition is that $\gamma_x K_\mu^\alpha$ carries mostly details of the curve γ , whereas $\gamma_x p_\beta^\mu$ contains essentially powers of Ω and no information about the curve γ : just the value of Ω at the chosen point p_1 –see (IV.11).

We are mainly interested in the *asymptotic behaviour* of L_β^α , i.e. when $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0$. It is very interesting the fact that details on the choice of γ become irrelevant at zeroth order in this regime because

$$\gamma_{\lambda_0} K_\beta^\alpha = \delta_\beta^\alpha. \quad (IV.53)$$

In other words, *the asymptotic behaviour is ruled by $\gamma_x p_\beta^\mu$* which we come to call *the asymptotic propagator*. In order to derive this behaviour for *any* physical field, one has to follow the next steps:

- 1) Propagate the physical field from $p(\lambda)$ to p_0 using $t_\beta^\alpha(\lambda_0, \lambda)$ –hence defining a new tensor of the same type at p_0 on \mathcal{I}^+ .
- 2) Apply to the covariant version of the new tensor at p_0 as many copies of L_β^α as free indices has the field.
- 3) Expand the expression obtained previously in terms of λ near $\lambda_0 = 0$.

Note that this program ‘compares’ the parallel propagation of the physical tensor field in \hat{M} from the point p_1 to $p(\lambda)$, with the propagation in M between these two points. Expanding λ around the limit value $\lambda_0 = 0$, one takes this comparison towards infinity of \hat{M} .

The canonical example is the application to the physical Weyl tensor. Consider $\hat{C}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}(\lambda)$, i.e. the physical Weyl tensor at $p(\lambda)$. Now, take step 1) to define a tensor at p_0

$$\hat{C}_{*\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2(\lambda)} C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \frac{1}{\Omega(\lambda)} d_{*\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} . \quad (\text{IV.54})$$

Notice that

$$d_{*\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_0=0} = d_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \Big|_{p_0} , \quad (\text{IV.55})$$

thus $\hat{C}_{*\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ contains a pole of order 1 in the limit $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0 = 0$. Nevertheless, this divergence is overcome in step 2),

$$\overleftarrow{C}_{*\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \Omega^{(4)} C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + \Omega^{2(3)} C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + \Omega^{3(2)} C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + \Omega^{4(1)} C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + \Omega^{5(0)} C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} , \quad (\text{IV.56})$$

where ${}^{(a)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ with $a = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ are Weyl-tensor candidates, regular in the limit to $\lambda_0 = 0$ and with algebraic properties listed in table 2. They depend on λ and we assume that they can be expanded around $\lambda_0 = 0$ as

$${}^{(a)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = {}^{(a,0)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} {}^{(a,i)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \lambda^i . \quad (\text{IV.57})$$

Weyl-tensor candidate	Non-vanishing ${}^{(a)}\Psi_i$	${}^{(a)}\psi_i$ when $\lambda = \lambda_0$	PND
${}^{(4)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$	${}^{(4)}\psi_4$	$\Omega^{-2}(\lambda_1)\phi_4$	$(\ell^\alpha, \ell^\alpha, \ell^\alpha, \ell^\alpha)$
${}^{(3)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$	${}^{(3)}\psi_3$	$\Omega^{-3}(\lambda_1)\phi_3$	$(\ell^\alpha, \ell^\alpha, \ell^\alpha, N^\alpha)$
${}^{(2)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$	${}^{(2)}\psi_2$	$\Omega^{-4}(\lambda_1)\phi_2$	$(\ell^\alpha, \ell^\alpha, N^\alpha, N^\alpha)$
${}^{(1)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$	${}^{(1)}\psi_1$	$\Omega^{-5}(\lambda_1)\phi_1$	$(\ell^\alpha, N^\alpha, N^\alpha, N^\alpha)$
${}^{(0)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$	${}^{(0)}\psi_0$	$\Omega^{-6}(\lambda_1)\phi_0$	$(N^\alpha, N^\alpha, N^\alpha, N^\alpha)$

Table 2: The asymptotic propagation of the physical Weyl tensor (IV.56) is composed by the five terms listed above. Each one has the symmetries of a Weyl tensor and one non-vanishing Weyl scalar which in the limit $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0 = 0$ coincides up to a multiplicative constant with one of the scalars of the rescaled Weyl tensor $d_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$. The repeated PNDs are listed in the last column.

Their explicit expressions are written as

$${}^{(4)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \frac{4}{\Omega^2(\lambda_1)} d_{\tau\omega\chi\eta} K_\mu{}^\tau K_\nu{}^\omega K_\rho{}^\chi K_\sigma{}^\eta N^\nu N^\sigma \dot{P}_{[\alpha}{}^\mu \ell_{\beta]} \dot{P}_{[\gamma}{}^\rho \ell_{\delta]} , \quad (\text{IV.58})$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(3)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} &= \frac{1}{\Omega^3(\lambda_1)} d_{\tau\omega\chi\eta} K_\mu{}^\tau K_\nu{}^\omega K_\rho{}^\chi K_\sigma{}^\eta \left[-4\dot{P}_{[\alpha}{}^\mu N^\nu \ell_{\beta]} N^\rho \ell_{[\gamma} N_{\delta]} \ell^\sigma - 4N^\mu \ell^\nu \ell_{[\alpha} N_{\beta]} \dot{P}_{[\gamma}{}^\rho \ell_{\rho]} N^\sigma \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 4\dot{P}_{[\alpha}{}^\mu \dot{P}_{\beta]}{}^\nu N^\sigma \ell_{[\delta} \dot{P}_{\gamma]}{}^\rho - 4N^\mu \ell_{[\alpha} \dot{P}_{\beta]}{}^\nu \dot{P}_{[\gamma}{}^\rho \dot{P}_{\delta]}{}^\sigma \right] , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{IV.59})$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(2)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} &= \frac{1}{\Omega^4(\lambda_1)} d_{\tau\omega\chi\eta} K_\mu{}^\tau K_\nu{}^\omega K_\rho{}^\chi K_\sigma{}^\eta \left[\dot{P}_{\alpha}{}^\mu \dot{P}_{\beta}{}^\nu \dot{P}_{\gamma}{}^\rho \dot{P}_{\delta}{}^\sigma - \dot{P}_{\alpha}{}^\mu \dot{P}_{\beta}{}^\nu \left(\dot{P}_{\gamma}{}^\rho N_{\delta} + \dot{P}_{\delta}{}^\sigma N_{\gamma} \right) - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \dot{P}_{\gamma}{}^\rho \dot{P}_{\delta}{}^\sigma \left(\dot{P}_{\alpha}{}^\mu \ell^\nu N_{\beta} + \dot{P}_{\beta}{}^\nu N^\mu \ell_{\alpha} \right) + 4\ell^\mu N^\nu \ell^\rho N^\sigma N_{[\alpha} \ell_{\beta]} N_{[\gamma} \ell_{\delta]} + 4\dot{P}_{[\alpha}{}^\mu N_{\beta]} \dot{P}_{[\gamma}{}^\rho \ell_{\rho]} N^\sigma \ell^\nu \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 4\dot{P}_{[\alpha}{}^\mu \ell_{\beta]} \dot{P}_{[\gamma}{}^\rho N_{\rho]} \ell^\sigma N^\nu + 4\dot{P}_{[\alpha}{}^\mu \dot{P}_{\beta]}{}^\nu N_{[\gamma} \ell_{\delta]} \ell^\rho N^\sigma + 4\dot{P}_{[\gamma}{}^\rho \dot{P}_{\delta]}{}^\sigma N_{[\alpha} \ell_{\beta]} \ell^\mu N^\nu \right] , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{IV.60})$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(1)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} &= \frac{1}{\Omega^5(\lambda_1)} d_{\tau\omega\chi\eta} K_\mu{}^\tau K_\nu{}^\omega K_\rho{}^\chi K_\sigma{}^\eta \left[-4\dot{P}_{[\alpha}{}^\mu \ell^\nu N_{\beta]} \ell^\rho N_{[\gamma} \ell_{\delta]} N^\sigma - 4\ell^\mu N^\nu N_{[\alpha} \ell_{\beta]} \dot{P}_{[\gamma}{}^\rho N_{\rho]} \ell^\sigma \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 4\dot{P}_{[\alpha}{}^\mu \dot{P}_{\beta]}{}^\nu \ell^\sigma N_{[\delta} \dot{P}_{\gamma]}{}^\rho - 4\ell^\nu N_{[\beta} \dot{P}_{\alpha]}{}^\mu \dot{P}_{[\gamma}{}^\rho \dot{P}_{\delta]}{}^\sigma \right] , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{IV.61})$$

$${}^{(0)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \frac{4}{\Omega^6(\lambda_1)} d_{\tau\omega\chi\eta} K_\mu{}^\tau K_\nu{}^\omega K_\rho{}^\chi K_\sigma{}^\eta \ell^\nu \ell^\sigma \dot{P}_{[\alpha}{}^\mu N_{\beta]} \dot{P}_{[\gamma}{}^\rho N_{\delta]} . \quad (\text{IV.62})$$

$$(\text{IV.63})$$

Observe that the leading-order term of eq. (IV.58) reads

$${}^{(4,0)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \frac{4}{\Omega^2(\lambda_1)} d_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} N^\nu N^\sigma \dot{P}_{[\alpha}{}^\mu \ell_{\beta]} \dot{P}_{[\gamma}{}^\rho \ell_{\delta]} \quad (\text{IV.64})$$

and is determined by the rescaled Weyl tensor $d_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^\delta$ projected to a Petrov-type N Weyl-candidate tensor. It should be observed that this leading term has always the structure

$$\ell_{[\alpha} d_{\beta][\gamma} \ell_{\delta]} \quad (\text{IV.65})$$

where

$$d_{\beta\gamma} := d_{\mu\rho\nu\sigma} N^\rho N^\sigma \dot{P}_{\beta}{}^\mu \dot{P}_{\gamma}{}^\nu \quad (\text{IV.66})$$

is a traceless symmetric tensor orthogonal to both N^α and ℓ^α . Hence, the leading term vanishes if and only if $d_{\beta\gamma}$ vanishes, which is equivalent to saying that N^α is a PND of the rescaled Weyl tensor. This will serve as further inspiration for our radiation condition in the next section.

Now one can perform step 3), finally arriving at the next result:

Theorem 1 (Peeling of the Weyl tensor). *Let $(M, g_{\alpha\beta})$ be a conformal completion of a physical space-time with $\Lambda = 0$ as presented on page 6 and let γ be a lightlike geodesic with affine parameter λ and tangent vector field ℓ^α as in eq. (IV.1). Also, let one end point p_0 ($\lambda = \lambda_0 = 0$) of γ be at \mathcal{I}^+ and the other one, p_1 ($\lambda = \lambda_1 = -1$), in \hat{M} . Then, the asymptotic behaviour of the physical Weyl tensor $\hat{C}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ along γ follows by application of steps 1) to 3) on page 29 and reads*

$$\hat{C}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}^{\leftarrow} = \lambda^{(N)} d_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + \lambda^{2(IIT)} e_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + \lambda^{3(III/D)} f_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + \lambda^{4(I)} g_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + \lambda^{5(I)} h_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^6) , \quad (\text{IV.67})$$

near $\lambda = \lambda_0 = 0$, where the tensors

$${}^{(N)}d_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} := - {}^{(4,0)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} , \quad (\text{IV.68})$$

$${}^{(III)}e_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} := {}^{(3,0)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + \frac{\Omega_2}{2} {}^{(4,0)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} - {}^{(4,1)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} , \quad (\text{IV.69})$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(II/D)}f_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} := & - {}^{(2,0)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} - \Omega_2 {}^{(3,0)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + \frac{\Omega_3}{6} {}^{(4,0)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + {}^{(3,1)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} - {}^{(4,2)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \\ & + \frac{\Omega_2}{2} {}^{(4,1)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{IV.70})$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(I)}g_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} := & {}^{(1,0)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + \frac{3\Omega_2}{2} {}^{(2,0)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + \left(\frac{\Omega_2^2}{4} - \frac{\Omega_3}{3} \right) {}^{(3,0)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + \frac{\Omega_4}{4!} {}^{(4,0)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \\ & - {}^{(2,1)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + {}^{(3,2)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} - {}^{(4,3)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + \frac{\Omega_2}{2} {}^{(4,2)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} - \Omega_2 {}^{(3,1)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \\ & + \frac{\Omega_3}{6} {}^{(4,1)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{IV.71})$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(I)}h_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} := & - {}^{(0,0)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} - 2\Omega_2 {}^{(1,0)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + \left(\frac{\Omega_3}{2} - \frac{3}{4}\Omega_2^2 \right) {}^{(2,0)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + \left(\frac{1}{6}\Omega_2\Omega_3 - \frac{\Omega_4}{12} \right) {}^{(3,0)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \\ & + \frac{\Omega_5}{5!} {}^{(4,0)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + {}^{(1,1)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} - {}^{(2,2)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + {}^{(3,3)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} - {}^{(4,4)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \\ & + \frac{3}{2}\Omega_2 {}^{(2,1)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} - \Omega_2 {}^{(3,2)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + \frac{\Omega_2}{2} {}^{(4,3)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + \frac{\Omega_2^2}{4} {}^{(3,1)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \\ & - \frac{\Omega_3}{3} {}^{(3,1)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + \frac{\Omega_3}{6} {}^{(4,2)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + \frac{\Omega_4}{4!} {}^{(4,1)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{IV.72})$$

are Weyl-tensor candidates labelled with their Petrov type, respectively; Ω_i , with $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, is the i -th derivative of Ω w.r.t. λ evaluated at $\lambda = \lambda_0 = 0$, and ${}^{(a)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$, with $a = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$, are the Weyl-tensor candidates of table 2 each one having one non-vanishing Weyl scalar ${}^{(a)}\Psi_a$ in the tetrad containing ℓ^α and N^α .

Proof. The asymptotic propagation along γ of the physical Weyl tensor is given in eq. (IV.56). Then, one expands around $\lambda_0 = 0$ and rearranges the terms by powers of λ . The algebraic structure of the first 5 terms of eqs. (IV.68) to (IV.72) follows from the properties listed in table 2. \square

Remark IV.1. The Weyl-tensor candidates of eqs. (IV.68) to (IV.72) have the algebraic structure specified in table 3. Notice that though this constitutes the so called *peeling* property, the present derivation is purely geometric, showing neatly that we derive the behaviour of the physical field (the Weyl tensor in this case) as it approaches \mathcal{I}^+ along null geodesics, thereby providing a solid foundation for the so-called peeling behaviour. Notice, further, that once this construction has been performed, it can be applied to any physical field whatsoever by just following the steps 1) to 3) on page 29 and using the explicit form of L_β^α in eq. (IV.49).

Remark IV.2. The Weyl scalars of the first three elements in eq. (IV.67) have the following

expressions:

$$\eta_4 = -\frac{1}{\Omega^2(\lambda_1)}\phi_4, \quad \tau_4 = -\frac{\Omega_3}{6\Omega^2(\lambda_1)}\phi_4 - {}^{(4,2)}\psi_4 + \frac{\Omega_2}{2}{}^{(4,1)}\psi_4, \quad (\text{IV.73})$$

$$\chi_4 = \frac{\Omega_2}{2\Omega^2(\lambda_1)}\phi_4 - {}^{(4,1)}\psi_4, \quad \tau_3 = -\frac{\Omega_2}{\Omega^3(\lambda_1)}\phi_3 + {}^{(3,1)}\psi_3, \quad (\text{IV.74})$$

$$\chi_3 = \frac{1}{\Omega^3(\lambda_1)}\phi_3, \quad \tau_2 = -\frac{1}{\Omega^4(\lambda_1)}\phi_2, \quad (\text{IV.75})$$

where ϕ_i with $i = 2, 3, 4$ are the scalars of the rescaled Weyl tensor $d_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^\delta$ and ${}^{(a,i)}\psi_i$ are the scalars corresponding to the tensors ${}^{(a,i)}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ of eq. (IV.57).

Weyl-tensor candidate	Non-vanishing scalars in general	degeneracy of ℓ^α as PND in general
${}^{(N)}d_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$	η_4	4
${}^{(III)}e_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$	$\chi_3 \chi_4$	3
${}^{(II\gamma D)}f_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$	$\tau_4 \tau_3 \tau_2$	2
${}^{(I)}g_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$	$\nu_4 \nu_3 \nu_2 \nu_1$	1
${}^{(I)}h_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$	$\mu_4 \mu_3 \mu_2 \mu_1 \mu_0$	0

Table 3: The vector ℓ^α , tangent to γ , is a PND of the first four terms in the asymptotic propagation of the physical Weyl tensor. The degree of degeneracy decreases towards higher order terms; this effect is commonly referred to as the *peeling* property of the Weyl tensor. Observe that for particular situations these Weyl-tensor candidates can be more degenerate; e.g., ${}^{(III)}e_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^\delta$ in general has Petrov type III but it can have Petrov type N ($\chi_4 \neq 0, \chi_3 = 0$) or zero ($\chi_3 = 0 = \chi_4$).

V Asymptotic radiant supermomentum

As it has been expounded in the introduction, we give a characterisation of the gravitational radiation grounded on the rescaled version [1] of the Bel-Robinson tensor $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ [22]

$$\mathcal{D}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} := \Omega^{-2}\mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = d_{\alpha\mu\gamma}{}^\nu d_{\delta\nu\beta}{}^\mu + {}^*d_{\alpha\mu\gamma}{}^\nu {}^*d_{\delta\nu\beta}{}^\mu. \quad (\text{V.1})$$

One constructs the *asymptotic radiant supermomentum* as

$$\mathcal{Q}^\alpha := -N^\mu N^\nu N^\rho \mathcal{D}^\alpha_{\mu\nu\rho} . \quad (\text{V.2})$$

The definition and description of general *radiant supermomenta* are presented in the companion paper [1]; the following fundamental properties were also presented in [24]:

- i) \mathcal{Q}^μ is lightlike $\mathcal{Q}^\mu \mathcal{Q}_\mu \stackrel{\mathcal{I}}{=} 0$ and future pointing at \mathcal{I} , which follows from the causal character of N^α and known properties of superenergy tensors [23, 54].
- ii) Under gauge transformations it changes as

$$\mathcal{Q}^\alpha \rightarrow \omega^{-7} \left(\mathcal{Q}^\alpha - 3 \frac{\Omega}{\omega} \mathcal{D}^\alpha_{\beta\rho\tau} N^\beta N^\rho \nabla^\tau \omega \right) + \mathcal{O}(\Omega^2) . \quad (\text{V.3})$$

- iii) It is divergence-free at \mathcal{I} , independently of the matter content,

$$\nabla_\mu \mathcal{Q}^\mu \stackrel{\mathcal{I}}{=} 0 . \quad (\text{V.4})$$

The last property is easily verified by noting first that in general [1]

$$\nabla_\mu \mathcal{D}^\mu_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 2d_{\mu\gamma\nu\alpha} y_\beta^{\nu\mu} + 2d_{\mu\gamma\nu\beta} y_\alpha^{\nu\mu} + g_{\alpha\beta} d^{\mu\nu\rho}{}_\gamma y_{\mu\nu\rho} , \quad (\text{V.5})$$

so that, recalling eq. (II.105), one can write

$$\nabla_\mu \mathcal{Q}^\mu \stackrel{\mathcal{I}}{=} 4N^\alpha {}^N D^{\beta\gamma} y_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \stackrel{\mathcal{I}}{=} {}^N \underline{D}^{BC} \underline{E}^\beta{}_B \underline{E}^\gamma{}_C N^\alpha y_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + \sqrt{2} {}^N \underline{D}^B \underline{E}^\beta{}_B N^\alpha N^\gamma y_{\alpha\beta\gamma} , \quad (\text{V.6})$$

where in the last equality we have exploited the fact that ${}^N D^{\alpha\beta} = {}^N D^{ab} e^\alpha{}_a e^\beta{}_b$ and expanded in the bases $\{N^a, \underline{E}^a{}_A\}$, $\{-\bar{\ell}_\alpha, \underline{W}_\alpha{}^A\}$ –also, ${}^N \underline{D}^{AB} := \underline{W}_\alpha{}^A \underline{W}_\beta{}^B {}^N D^{\alpha\beta}$. From eq. (II.82), taking into account eq. (II.80), it follows that

$$\underline{E}^\beta{}_B \underline{E}^\gamma{}_C N^\alpha y_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \stackrel{\mathcal{I}}{=} \frac{1}{2} \kappa \Omega^{-1} \underline{q}_{BC} N^\mu N^\nu T_{\mu\nu} , \quad (\text{V.7})$$

$$\underline{E}^\beta{}_B N^\alpha N^\gamma y_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \stackrel{\mathcal{I}}{=} 0 . \quad (\text{V.8})$$

By properties described in [1], ${}^N \underline{D}^{BC} \underline{q}_{BC} = 0$ and then property iii) follows⁴.

The asymptotic radiant supermomentum \mathcal{Q}^α is geometrically well defined, as it is built only with the generators of \mathcal{I} and the rescaled Weyl tensor $d_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^\delta$. Moreover, it has a good gauge behaviour at \mathcal{I} , $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^\alpha = \omega^{-7} \mathcal{Q}^\alpha$. These facts, together with the close relation with the intrinsic fields on \mathcal{I} exhibited by the rescaled Weyl tensor –see section II.C–, suggests a link between \mathcal{Q}^α and the news tensor N_{ab} of eq. (III.17). To show that this is the case, first decompose the asymptotic radiant supermomentum as

$$\mathcal{Q}^\alpha \stackrel{\mathcal{I}}{=} \mathcal{W} \bar{\ell}^\alpha + \bar{\mathcal{Q}}^\alpha = \mathcal{W} \bar{\ell}^\alpha + \bar{\mathcal{Q}}^a e^\alpha{}_a , \quad (\text{V.9})$$

⁴In [24], property iii) was presented in a less general situation. As we have shown, \mathcal{Q}^α is divergence-free at \mathcal{I} independently of the matter content.

where $\bar{\ell}_\alpha = \omega_\alpha{}^a \bar{\ell}_a$ is a lightlike field at \mathcal{J} associated to a foliation as in eq. (II.54) whose restriction on each cut gives the ℓ_α of eq. (II.19). The quantity

$$\mathcal{W} := -N_\mu \mathcal{Q}^\mu \geq 0 \quad (\text{V.10})$$

is the *asymptotic radiant superenergy* and the vector field

$$\bar{\mathcal{Q}}^a := \mathcal{Z} N^a + \underline{\mathcal{Q}}^A \underline{E}^a{}_A \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{Z} := -\bar{\ell}_\mu \mathcal{Q}^\mu \geq 0 \quad (\text{V.11})$$

is the *asymptotic radiant super-Poynting* [24]. Observe that \mathcal{W} is invariant under the choice of $\bar{\ell}_\alpha$, whereas \mathcal{Z} and $\underline{\mathcal{Q}}^A$ depend on the choice of foliation –one can consider this decomposition on a single cut \mathcal{S} only, and then these quantities depend on the choice of that cut. From general formulae [1], the relation between these quantities and the lightlike projections of $d_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^\delta$ is

$$\mathcal{W} = 2 {}^N \underline{C}_{AB} {}^N \underline{C}^{AB} = 2 {}^N \underline{D}_{AB} {}^N \underline{D}^{AB} \geq 0, \quad (\text{V.12})$$

$$\mathcal{Z} = 4 {}^N \underline{C}_A {}^N \underline{C}^A = 4 {}^N \underline{D}_A {}^N \underline{D}^A \geq 0, \quad (\text{V.13})$$

$$\underline{\mathcal{Q}}^A = 4\sqrt{2} {}^N \underline{C}_P {}^N \underline{C}^{AP}. \quad (\text{V.14})$$

Then, eqs. (III.25) to (III.28) bring forth the connection between the asymptotic radiant supermomentum and the news tensor

$$\mathcal{W} = 2 \dot{N}^{RT} \dot{N}_{RT} \geq 0 \quad , \quad (\text{V.15})$$

$$\mathcal{Z} \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{\cong} 2 \mathcal{D}_R N^R{}_T \mathcal{D}_M N^{MT} \geq 0 \quad , \quad (\text{V.16})$$

$$\underline{\mathcal{Q}}^A \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{\cong} -4 \dot{N}^{MA} \mathcal{D}_E N^E{}_M \quad . \quad (\text{V.17})$$

V.A Radiation condition

In [24] a new criterion to determine the presence of radiation at \mathcal{J} escaping from the space-time was presented. The criterion holds in the $\Lambda > 0$ case [27] too. It translates into the following results –we refer the reader to [24] for the proofs–

Theorem 2 (Radiation condition on a cut). *There is no gravitational radiation on a given cut $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{J}$ if and only if the radiant super-Poynting $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}^a$ vanishes on that cut:*

$$N_{AB} = 0 \quad \iff \quad \bar{\mathcal{Q}}^a \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{\cong} 0 \quad (\iff \quad \mathcal{Z} = 0).$$

Remark V.1. Equivalently: there is no gravitational radiation on a given cut $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{J}$ if and only if the radiant supermomentum is orthogonal to \mathcal{S} everywhere and not collinear with N^α .

Remark V.2. The topology of \mathcal{J} plays a key role in the proof presented in [24]. If the cuts do not have \mathbb{S}^2 -topology,

$$\mathcal{D}_M N_B{}^M \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{\cong} 0 \quad \iff \quad \bar{\mathcal{Q}}^a \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{\cong} 0 \quad ,$$

still holds even if $N_{AB} \neq 0$ may happen. In any case, this does not pose a problem when considering open portions of \mathcal{J} , instead of single cuts –see remark V.4.

Theorem 3 (No radiation on Δ). *There is no gravitational radiation on the open portion $\Delta \subset \mathcal{J}$ with the same topology of \mathcal{J} if and only if the radiant supermomentum \mathcal{Q}^α vanishes on Δ :*

$$N_{ab} \stackrel{\Delta}{\cong} 0 \quad \iff \quad \mathcal{Q}^\alpha \stackrel{\Delta}{\cong} 0.$$

Remark V.3. The following two re-statements are equivalent to that of theorem 3:

- No gravitational radiation on $\Delta \subset \mathcal{J} \iff \mathcal{Q}^\alpha$ is orthogonal to all surfaces within Δ and not collinear with the generators.
- No gravitational radiation on $\Delta \subset \mathcal{J} \iff N^\alpha|_\Delta$ is a principal null vector of $d_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^\delta|_\Delta$.

The first point follows from remark V.1, particularising to any possible cut within Δ –hence, implying that $\mathcal{Q}^\alpha \triangleq 0$. The second statement is a consequence of the general properties of radiant supermomenta [1]. Notice that the absence of radiation according to our criterion implies that N^α is a multiple PND of the rescaled Weyl tensor and, therefore, according to the discussion following eq. (IV.66) in the previous section, the leading term (IV.64) in the peeling property vanishes. Nevertheless, it can still happen that the leading term (IV.64) vanishes and there is gravitational radiation at \mathcal{J} , if N^α is a non-multiple PND of the rescaled Weyl tensor. In that case the leading term in the peeling behaviour will be the type III Weyl tensor candidate of eq. (IV.69) times λ^2 and the radiant supermomentum is non-zero and points along N^α at \mathcal{J} . Another equivalent formulation of theorem 3 is then

- No gravitational radiation on $\Delta \subset \mathcal{J} \iff {}^{(N)}d_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^\delta \triangleq 0$ and ${}^{(III)}e_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^\delta|_\Delta$ has Petrov type N or zero for all space-time null geodesics γ reaching Δ .

This implication follows from eq. (IV.75) or eq. (IV.69). Hence, one has to distinguish two regimes of asymptotic gravitational radiation:

1. Space-times with non-vanishing leading order, ${}^{(N)}d_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^\delta \triangleq \neq 0$ –which holds for every space-time null geodesic γ arriving at Δ by construction. These can have a Petrov type III, N or zero λ^2 -term ${}^{(III)}e_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^\delta|_\Delta$. Observe that since in this regime N^α is not a repeated PND of $d_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^\delta|_\Delta$, there are always space-time null geodesics γ such that ${}^{(III)}e_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^\delta|_\Delta$ is of type N.
2. Space-times with ${}^{(N)}d_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^\delta \triangleq 0$ but with a Petrov type III λ^2 -term ${}^{(III)}e_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^\delta|_\Delta$ –which holds for every space-time null geodesics γ arriving at Δ . This is a curious case, as the flux of energy across Δ carried by gravitational waves is constant in time, i.e., $\dot{N}_{ab} \triangleq 0$ but $N_{ab} \triangleq \neq 0$. Moreover, the radiant supermomentum points along N^α and, therefore, it is orthogonal to every possible cut within Δ .

Their differences are an interesting object of study.

Remark V.4. Regarding remark V.2, it may be the case that even if one foliates Δ by topological non-spheres, a different choice of foliation gives topological- \mathbb{S}^2 cuts. Hence, theorem 2 applies to those new cuts within Δ . It may be also the case that a foliation by topological- \mathbb{S}^2 cuts of a given Δ is not possible –as it happens in the C-metric [55]–, hence the situation described in remark V.2 has to be considered. Observe that any foliation with $\mathcal{D}_C N_A{}^C = 0$ is very special as the cuts are precisely defined by orthogonality to both N^α and Q^α if this is feasible (examples of such a situation can be exhibited in the C-metric and the Robinson-Trautman type N space-times, see the companion [1]). Hence, any other cut not belonging to the special foliation will have a non-vanishing radiant super-Poynting $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}^a$, and therefore a non-zero $\mathcal{D}_C N_A{}^C$ and non-vanishing news, *unless* $\dot{N}_{AB} = 0$.

However, our theorem 3 requires the whole supermomentum \mathcal{Q}^α , and not just $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}^a$, to vanish, which involves \dot{N}_{AB} as well –see eq. (V.15). Therefore, even if $\mathcal{D}_C N_A{}^C \stackrel{S}{=} 0$ on every cut $\mathcal{S} \subset \Delta$ of such special foliation, our criterion still detects correctly the gravitational radiation.

V.B Balance law

It is possible to write a balance law describing the outgoing superenergy flux and the news tensor. Begin by considering a connected portion $\Delta \in \mathcal{J}$, with $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^2$ topology. Let it be bounded by two (non-intersecting) cuts, \mathcal{S}_1 and \mathcal{S}_2 , the latter to the future of the former, and orthogonal lightlike vector fields (other than N^α) ${}_1\ell^\alpha$ and ${}_2\ell^\alpha$ as in eq. (II.19), respectively. Consider any lightlike field $\overline{\ell}_\alpha = \omega_\alpha{}^a \overline{\ell}_a$ in Δ with the properties of eq. (II.54), such that

$$\overline{\ell}_\alpha \stackrel{\mathcal{S}_1}{=} {}_1\ell_\alpha, \quad \overline{\ell}_\alpha \stackrel{\mathcal{S}_2}{=} {}_2\ell_\alpha. \quad (\text{V.18})$$

Equation (V.4) decomposes as

$$\overline{\ell}^\mu \nabla_\mu \mathcal{W} + \mathcal{W} \Psi^m{}_m \stackrel{\Delta}{=} -\overline{\nabla}_m \overline{\mathcal{Q}}^m, \quad (\text{V.19})$$

where eqs. (II.28) and (II.29) were used. Using the quantities and notation introduced in section II.A, integration of eq. (V.19) leads to a Gauss-law formula

$$\int_\Delta \left(\overline{\ell}^\mu \nabla_\mu \mathcal{W} + \mathcal{W} \psi^m{}_m \right) \epsilon = \Phi[\mathcal{S}_2] - \Phi[\mathcal{S}_1], \quad (\text{V.20})$$

where $\Phi[\mathcal{S}]$ is the *radiant superenergy density flux*, defined as

$$\Phi[\mathcal{S}] := \int_{\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{Z} \dot{\epsilon} \geq 0, \quad \Phi[\mathcal{S}] = 0 \iff N_{AB} \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} 0. \quad (\text{V.21})$$

Equation (V.20) shows that *the change of the asymptotic radiant superenergy density \mathcal{W} along any outgoing lightlike direction $\overline{\ell}^\alpha$ in a volume Δ is balanced by the flux of radiant superenergy density on the boundary of Δ* –constituted by the two cuts $\mathcal{S}_{1,2}$. Let us remark that this formula is valid in the presence of arbitrary matter fields –with the general assumption iv) on page 6. In other words, eq. (V.20) contains purely geometric terms. The choice of $\overline{\ell}^\alpha$ does not change eq. (V.20), as the difference between one choice and another can be checked to be a total divergence that integrates out [24]. Moreover, eq. (V.19) is gauge invariant. After some manipulation of the integrand and using eq. (V.16), the radiant superenergy density flux reads

$$\Phi[\mathcal{S}] = \int_{\mathcal{S}} N_{RS} \left(2K N^{RS} - \mathcal{D}_M \mathcal{D}^M N^{RS} \right) \dot{\epsilon}, \quad (\text{V.22})$$

where K denotes the Gaussian curvature of the cuts. Observe that, although it does not manifest itself explicitly so, the integral on the right-hand side of eq. (V.22) is positive. It shows that the flux of radiant superenergy is indeed associated to the presence of gravitational waves and sourced, ultimately, by the news tensor –as one could already expect from eq. (V.15). The first term on the right-hand side of eq. (V.22) reminds us of the energy-momentum loss due to gravitational waves of eq. (III.51). Without loss of generality, one can consider a foliation containing \mathcal{S} and select the function F that appears in eq. (III.51) such that it fulfills eq. (II.66) at least on \mathcal{S} . If one does so, it is always possible to choose the conformal gauge in order to set

$$K \frac{\dot{F}}{\alpha} = \text{constant}, \quad \text{at } \mathcal{S}_{1,2}. \quad (\text{V.23})$$

Under such gauge choice, eq. (V.22) reads

$$\Phi[\mathcal{S}] = - \left[16\pi K \frac{\dot{F}}{\alpha} \frac{d^G \mathcal{E}[\mathcal{S}_C]}{dC} + \int_{\mathcal{S}} N_{RS} \mathcal{D}_M \mathcal{D}^M N^{RS} \dot{\epsilon} \right], \quad (\text{V.24})$$

and eq. (V.20) can be rewritten as

$$\int_{\Delta} \left(\bar{\ell}^\mu \nabla_\mu \mathcal{W} + \mathcal{W} \psi^m_m \right) \epsilon = - \left[16\pi K \frac{\dot{F}}{\alpha} \frac{d^G \mathcal{E}[\mathcal{S}_C]}{dC} + \int_{\mathcal{S}} N_{RS} \mathcal{D}_M \mathcal{D}^M N^{RS} \dot{\epsilon} \right] \Big|_{\mathcal{S}_1}^{\mathcal{S}_2}. \quad (\text{V.25})$$

The interpretation of this formulae is essentially the same as eq. (V.20). Even so, let us point out that for fixed $\mathcal{S}_{1,2}$, the change in the radiant superenergy density in the volume Δ depends only on the initial and final evaluation of the news tensor N_{ab} , i.e., on $N_{ab} \Big|_{\mathcal{S}_{1,2}}$. In a way, the integral on the left-hand side of eqs. (V.20) and (V.25) measures the failure of the system to recover its initial state. From another point of view, consider a gravitational system that is initially in equilibrium in the sense of having

$$N_{AB} \Big|_{\mathcal{S}_1} = 0. \quad (\text{V.26})$$

Then, the rate of change in the Bondi-Trautman energy at a later retarded time, i.e., on \mathcal{S}_2 , can be expressed as the change of \mathcal{W} in the volume Δ plus an additional term whose interpretation is not clear to us and that vanishes if and only if⁵ so does N_{AB}

$$\frac{d^G \mathcal{E}[\mathcal{S}_2]}{dC} = - \frac{\alpha}{K \dot{F} 16\pi} \left[\int_{\Delta} \left(\bar{\ell}^\mu \nabla_\mu \mathcal{W} + \mathcal{W} \psi^m_m \right) \epsilon + \int_{\mathcal{S}_2} N_{RS} \mathcal{D}_M \mathcal{D}^M N^{RS} \dot{\epsilon} \right]. \quad (\text{V.27})$$

As a final remark, notice that when eq. (V.26) holds, eq. (V.21) implies

$$\int_{\Delta} \left(\bar{\ell}^\mu \nabla_\mu \mathcal{W} + \mathcal{W} \psi^m_m \right) \epsilon = 0 \iff N_{AB} \Big|_{\mathcal{S}_2} = 0, \quad (\text{V.28})$$

and that $N_{AB} \Big|_{\mathcal{S}_{1,2}} = 0$ is a reasonable initial and final condition for any physical system that at first is in equilibrium, then undergoes a change that takes it out of equilibrium and finally settles down.

V.C Alignment of supermomenta and the peeling property of the BR-tensor

The tools presented in section IV, namely the asymptotic propagation of fields along null geodesics, can be applied to the physical Bel-Robinson tensor

$$\hat{\mathcal{T}}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} := \hat{C}_{\alpha\mu\gamma}{}^\nu \hat{C}_{\delta\nu\beta}{}^\mu + {}^* \hat{C}_{\alpha\mu\gamma}{}^\nu {}^* \hat{C}_{\delta\nu\beta}{}^\mu. \quad (\text{V.29})$$

Consider this tensor field at point $p(\lambda)$ and parallel propagate it along the curve γ defined as in section IV to p_0 . This process defines a new tensor at p_0 which we denote by $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$. Application of L_β^α gives

$$\hat{\mathcal{T}}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} := \Omega^4(\lambda_1) \left(\hat{\mathcal{T}}_{\alpha\mu\gamma}{}^\nu \hat{\mathcal{T}}_{\delta\nu\beta}{}^\mu + {}^* \hat{\mathcal{T}}_{\alpha\mu\gamma}{}^\nu {}^* \hat{\mathcal{T}}_{\delta\nu\beta}{}^\mu \right), \quad (\text{V.30})$$

⁵Double implication holds true whenever \mathcal{S} has \mathbb{S}^2 -topology.

where $\overleftarrow{\hat{C}}_{*\alpha\mu\gamma}{}^\nu$ and ${}^*\overleftarrow{\hat{C}}_{\delta\nu\beta}{}^\mu$ are the asymptotic propagated physical Weyl tensor (IV.56) and its Hodge dual, respectively. In order to arrive at eq. (V.30) one has to use eqs. (IV.6), (IV.16) and (IV.18). Then, it is possible to derive the *peeling* property of the Bel-Robinson tensor,

Theorem 4 (Peeling of the Bel-Robinson tensor). *Let $(M, g_{\alpha\beta})$ be a conformal completion of a physical space-time with $\Lambda = 0$ as presented on page 6 and let γ be a lightlike geodesic with affine parameter λ and tangent vector field ℓ^α as in eq. (IV.1). Also, let one end point p_0 ($\lambda = \lambda_0 = 0$) of γ be at \mathcal{I}^+ and the other one, p_1 ($\lambda = \lambda_1 = -1$), in \hat{M} . Then, the asymptotic behaviour of the physical Bel-Robinson tensor $\hat{T}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ along γ follows by application of steps 1) to 3) on page 29 and reads*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\Omega(\lambda_1)^4} \overleftarrow{\hat{T}}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} &= \lambda^2{}^{(N)}\mathcal{D}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + \lambda^3{}^{(1)}\mathcal{X}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + \lambda^4{}^{(2)}\mathcal{X}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + \lambda^4{}^{(III)}\mathcal{E}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + \lambda^5{}^{(3)}\mathcal{X}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \\ &+ \lambda^6{}^{(4)}\mathcal{X}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + \lambda^6{}^{(II/D)}\mathcal{F}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + \lambda^7{}^{(5)}\mathcal{X}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + \lambda^8{}^{(6)}\mathcal{X}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + \lambda^8{}^{(I)}\mathcal{G}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \\ &+ \lambda^9{}^{(7)}\mathcal{X}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + \lambda^{10}{}^{(8)}\mathcal{X}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + \lambda^{10}{}^{(I)}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{11}), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{V.31})$$

near $\lambda = \lambda_0$, where

$${}^{(N)}\mathcal{D}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} := {}^{(N)}d_{\alpha\mu\gamma}{}^\nu {}^{(N)}d_{\delta\nu\beta}{}^\mu + {}^{(N)*}d_{\alpha\mu\gamma}{}^\nu {}^{(N)*}d_{\delta\nu\beta}{}^\mu, \quad (\text{V.32})$$

$${}^{(III)}\mathcal{E}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} := {}^{(III)}e_{\alpha\mu\gamma}{}^\nu {}^{(III)}e_{\delta\nu\beta}{}^\mu + {}^{(III)*}e_{\alpha\mu\gamma}{}^\nu {}^{(III)*}e_{\delta\nu\beta}{}^\mu, \quad (\text{V.33})$$

$${}^{(II/D)}\mathcal{F}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} := {}^{(II/D)}f_{\alpha\mu\gamma}{}^\nu {}^{(II/D)}f_{\delta\nu\beta}{}^\mu + {}^{(II/D)*}f_{\alpha\mu\gamma}{}^\nu {}^{(II/D)*}f_{\delta\nu\beta}{}^\mu, \quad (\text{V.34})$$

$${}^{(I)}\mathcal{G}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} := {}^{(I)}g_{\alpha\mu\gamma}{}^\nu {}^{(I)}g_{\delta\nu\beta}{}^\mu + {}^{(I)*}g_{\alpha\mu\gamma}{}^\nu {}^{(I)*}g_{\delta\nu\beta}{}^\mu, \quad (\text{V.35})$$

are basic superenergy tensors labelled with the Petrov type of the Weyl-tensor candidate they are built with, respectively; the Weyl-tensor candidates are the ones of theorem 1 described in table 3. The tensor fields ${}^{(a)}\mathcal{X}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ with $a = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ are symmetric and traceless, and contain cross terms:

$${}^{(1)}\mathcal{X}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} := {}^{(N)}d_{\alpha\mu\gamma}{}^\nu {}^{(III)}e_{\delta\nu\beta}{}^\mu + {}^{(N)*}d_{\alpha\mu\gamma}{}^\nu {}^{(N)*}e_{\delta\nu\beta}{}^\mu + {}^{(III)}e_{\alpha\mu\gamma}{}^\nu {}^{(N)}d_{\delta\nu\beta}{}^\mu + {}^{(III)*}e_{\alpha\mu\gamma}{}^\nu {}^{(N)*}d_{\delta\nu\beta}{}^\mu, \quad (\text{V.36})$$

$${}^{(2)}\mathcal{X}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} := {}^{(N)}d_{\alpha\mu\gamma}{}^\nu {}^{(II/D)}f_{\delta\nu\beta}{}^\mu + {}^{(N)*}d_{\alpha\mu\gamma}{}^\nu {}^{(II/D)*}f_{\delta\nu\beta}{}^\mu + {}^{(II/D)}f_{\alpha\mu\gamma}{}^\nu {}^{(N)}d_{\delta\nu\beta}{}^\mu + {}^{(II/D)*}f_{\alpha\mu\gamma}{}^\nu {}^{(N)*}d_{\delta\nu\beta}{}^\mu, \quad (\text{V.37})$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(3)}\mathcal{X}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} &:= {}^{(N)}d_{\alpha\mu\gamma}{}^\nu {}^{(I)}g_{\delta\nu\beta}{}^\mu + {}^{(N)*}d_{\alpha\mu\gamma}{}^\nu {}^{(I)*}g_{\delta\nu\beta}{}^\mu + {}^{(I)}g_{\alpha\mu\gamma}{}^\nu {}^{(N)}d_{\delta\nu\beta}{}^\mu + {}^{(I)*}g_{\alpha\mu\gamma}{}^\nu {}^{(N)*}d_{\delta\nu\beta}{}^\mu \\ &+ {}^{(II/D)}f_{\alpha\mu\gamma}{}^\nu {}^{(III)}e_{\delta\nu\beta}{}^\mu + {}^{(II/D)*}f_{\alpha\mu\gamma}{}^\nu {}^{(N)*}e_{\delta\nu\beta}{}^\mu + {}^{(III)}e_{\alpha\mu\gamma}{}^\nu {}^{(II/D)}f_{\delta\nu\beta}{}^\mu + {}^{(III)*}e_{\alpha\mu\gamma}{}^\nu {}^{(II/D)*}f_{\delta\nu\beta}{}^\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{V.38})$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(4)}\mathcal{X}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} &:= {}^{(N)}d_{\alpha\mu\gamma}{}^\nu {}^{(I)}h_{\delta\nu\beta}{}^\mu + {}^{(N)*}d_{\alpha\mu\gamma}{}^\nu {}^{(I)*}h_{\delta\nu\beta}{}^\mu + {}^{(I)}h_{\alpha\mu\gamma}{}^\nu {}^{(N)}d_{\delta\nu\beta}{}^\mu + {}^{(I)*}h_{\alpha\mu\gamma}{}^\nu {}^{(N)*}d_{\delta\nu\beta}{}^\mu \\ &+ {}^{(I)}g_{\alpha\mu\gamma}{}^\nu {}^{(III)}e_{\delta\nu\beta}{}^\mu + {}^{(I)*}g_{\alpha\mu\gamma}{}^\nu {}^{(N)*}e_{\delta\nu\beta}{}^\mu + {}^{(III)}e_{\alpha\mu\gamma}{}^\nu {}^{(I)}g_{\delta\nu\beta}{}^\mu + {}^{(III)*}e_{\alpha\mu\gamma}{}^\nu {}^{(I)*}g_{\delta\nu\beta}{}^\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{V.39})$$

Proof. Application of steps 1) and 2) leads to eq. (V.30). Then, a direct calculation of the Taylor series around λ_0 yields eq. (V.31). \square

The interest of the above result lies in the following remarkable property of supermomenta:

Corollary V.1. Let conditions of theorem 4 hold and $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_\alpha$ be the supermomentum associated with a causal vector field \hat{u}^α , constructed with the physical Bel-Robinson tensor $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$. Then, the asymptotic behaviour of the supermomentum along γ follows by application of steps 1) to 3) on page 29 and reads

$$\overleftarrow{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}_\alpha = \Omega^6(\lambda_1) \left(\ell_\mu \overleftarrow{\hat{u}}^\mu \right)^3 \mathcal{W} \lambda^2 \ell_\alpha + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3) , \quad (\text{V.40})$$

where \mathcal{W} is the asymptotic radiant superenergy (V.10) and $\overleftarrow{\hat{u}}^\mu := g^{\nu\mu} L_\nu{}^\rho \hat{u}_\rho(\lambda_0)$.

Proof. Step 1) together with eq. (IV.6) provides us with

$$\hat{\mathcal{P}}_\alpha = \Omega^6(\lambda) \hat{u}_*^\beta \hat{u}_*^\gamma \hat{u}_*^\delta \hat{\mathcal{T}}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} . \quad (\text{V.41})$$

Next, one applies step 2) and uses eq. (IV.18),

$$\overleftarrow{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}_\alpha = \frac{\Omega^6(\lambda)}{\Xi^6(\lambda)} \overleftarrow{\hat{u}}_*^\beta \overleftarrow{\hat{u}}_*^\gamma \overleftarrow{\hat{u}}_*^\delta \overleftarrow{\hat{\mathcal{T}}}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} . \quad (\text{V.42})$$

This last expression has a Taylor expansion around λ_0 that reads

$$\overleftarrow{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}_\alpha = \Omega^{10}(\lambda_1) \overleftarrow{\hat{u}}_*^\beta \overleftarrow{\hat{u}}_*^\gamma \overleftarrow{\hat{u}}_*^\delta \mathcal{D}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}^{(N)} \lambda^2 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3) . \quad (\text{V.43})$$

where eq. (V.31) was used. Finally, using eqs. (IV.64) and (IV.68) together with eq. (V.10), the result follows. \square

Remark V.5. Observe that eq. (V.40) is well behaved at $\lambda = \lambda_0$ if and only if $\ell_\mu \overleftarrow{\hat{u}}^\mu$ does not diverge there. The equation, when regular, shows that at leading order only the N^α component of \hat{u}^α contributes to the physical supermomentum transported along a null geodesic reaching \mathcal{I} . This is in natural agreement with (3), which bases the determination of outgoing gravitational radiation precisely on the asymptotic radiant supermomentum eq. (V.2), i.e., a radiant supermomentum for the ‘observer’ N^α .

Remark V.6. Notice that \hat{u}^α has to be causal, and in particular can be lightlike. Hence the result applies to physical *radiant* supermomenta too. In other words, *all* supermomenta, radiant or not, tend to align towards infinity with one single direction given by the asymptotic radiant supermomentum. This supports our criterion that a vanishing radiant supermomentum characterizes absence of gravitational radiation arriving at infinity.

VI Discussion

- The computational effort in obtaining the radiant asymptotic supermomentum is small in comparison to other characterisations which need of a suitable choice of conformal frame – e.g., the computation of the news tensor N_{ab} as the time derivative of the asymptotic shear in a Bondi gauge, or the determination of ρ_{ab} .
- The classical criterion by means of the news tensor field N_{ab} has been shown to be equivalent to the tidal-based criterion. This proves that the tidal techniques are reliable and suited to the problem.
- It is possible to adapt our geometrical derivation of the peeling behaviour, and the general asymptotic propagation of fields, to the $\Lambda \neq 0$ scenarios. Also, it would be interesting to see if the endomorphism $L_\alpha{}^\beta$ can be derived for curves other than null geodesics.

Acknowledgments

Work supported under Grants No. FIS2017-85076-P (Spanish MINECO/AEI/FEDER, EU) and No. IT956-16 (Basque Government).

A Conformal-gauge transformations

Some gauge-transformation formulae are presented. Only those which apply specifically to $\Lambda = 0$ are shown; other expressions are listed in [1].

Quantities of $(\mathcal{J}, \bar{g}_{ab})$:

$$\bar{N}^a \stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{=} \frac{1}{\omega} \bar{N}^a, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$\tilde{\bar{g}}_{ab} \stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{=} \omega^2 \bar{g}_{ab}, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$\tilde{\epsilon}_{abc} \stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{=} \omega^3 \epsilon_{abc}, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$\tilde{\bar{\Gamma}}^a_{bc} \stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{=} \bar{\Gamma}^a_{bc} + \bar{C}^a_{bc}, \bar{C}^a_{bc} = \frac{1}{\omega} (2\delta^a_{(b} \bar{\omega}_{c)}) - \bar{g}_{bc} \bar{\omega}^a) \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$$\tilde{\bar{R}}_{ab} \stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{=} \bar{R}_{ab} - \frac{1}{\omega} \bar{\nabla}_a \bar{\omega}_b - \frac{1}{\omega} \bar{g}_{ab} \bar{\nabla}_m \bar{\omega}^m + \frac{2}{\omega^2} \bar{\omega}_a \bar{\omega}_b, \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$\tilde{\bar{R}} \stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{=} \frac{1}{\omega^2} \bar{R} - \frac{2}{\omega^3} \bar{g}^{mp} \bar{\nabla}_m \bar{\omega}_p + \frac{2}{\omega^4} \bar{\omega}_m \bar{\omega}^m, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$\tilde{\bar{S}}_{ab} \stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{=} \bar{S}_{ab} + \frac{2}{\omega^2} \bar{\omega}_a \bar{\omega}_b - \frac{1}{\omega} \bar{\nabla}_a \bar{\omega}_b - \frac{1}{2\omega^2} \bar{\omega}_s \bar{\omega}^s \bar{g}_{ab}, \quad (\text{A.7})$$

where $g^{\alpha\mu} \omega_\mu = e^\alpha_a \bar{\omega}^a$.

Quantities associated to a cut (\mathcal{S}, q_{AB}) :

$$\tilde{q}_{AB} \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} \omega^2 q_{AB}, \quad (\text{A.8})$$

$$\tilde{\epsilon}_{AB} \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} \omega^2 \epsilon_{AB}, \quad (\text{A.9})$$

$$\tilde{\bar{\Gamma}}^A_{BC} \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} \bar{\Gamma}^A_{BC} + \bar{C}^A_{BC}, \bar{C}^A_{BC} \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} \frac{1}{\omega} q^{AT} (2q_{T(B} \dot{\omega}_{A)}) - q_{AB} \dot{\omega}_T) \quad (\text{A.10})$$

$$\tilde{\bar{R}}_{AB} \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} \bar{R}_{AB} + \frac{1}{\omega^2} q_{AB} \dot{\omega}_M \dot{\omega}^M - \frac{1}{\omega} q_{AB} \mathcal{D}_M \dot{\omega}^M, \quad (\text{A.11})$$

$$\tilde{\bar{R}} \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} \frac{1}{\omega^2} \bar{R} + \frac{2}{\omega^4} \dot{\omega}_M \dot{\omega}^M - \frac{2}{\omega^3} \mathcal{D}_M \dot{\omega}^M, \quad (\text{A.12})$$

$$\tilde{\bar{S}}_{AB} \stackrel{\mathcal{S}}{=} \bar{S}_{AB} + \frac{2}{\omega^2} \dot{\omega}_A \dot{\omega}_B - \frac{1}{\omega} \mathcal{D}_A \dot{\omega}_B - \frac{1}{2\omega^2} \dot{\omega}_P \dot{\omega}^P q_{AB}, \quad (\text{A.13})$$

The lightlike projections of the rescaled Weyl tensor on \mathcal{J} , calculated with respect to N^a , have the following gauge transformations:

$${}^N \tilde{D}^{ab} \stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{=} \frac{1}{\omega^5} {}^N D^{ab}, \quad {}^N \tilde{C}^{ab} \stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{=} \frac{1}{\omega^5} {}^N C^{ab}, \quad (\text{A.14})$$

$${}^N \tilde{D}_{ab} \stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{=} \frac{1}{\omega} {}^N D_{ab}, \quad {}^N \tilde{C}_{ab} \stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{=} \frac{1}{\omega} {}^N C_{ab}, \quad (\text{A.15})$$

$${}^N \tilde{D}_A \stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{=} \frac{1}{\omega^2} {}^N D_A, \quad {}^N \tilde{C}_A \stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{=} \frac{1}{\omega^2} {}^N C_A. \quad (\text{A.16})$$

References

- [1] F. Fernández-Álvarez and J. M. M. Senovilla. “Asymptotic Structure with a positive cosmological constant”. In: *Class. Quant. Grav.* (2021). DOI: [10.1088/1361-6382/ac395b](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/ac395b). arXiv: [2105.09167](https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.09167) [gr-qc].
- [2] B. P. Abbott et al. “Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger”. In: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 116 (6 2016), p. 061102. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.061102](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.061102).
- [3] B. P. Abbott et al. “GWTC-1: A Gravitational-Wave Transient Catalog of Compact Binary Mergers Observed by LIGO and Virgo during the First and Second Observing Runs”. In: *Phys. Rev. X* 9 (3 2019), p. 031040. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevX.9.031040](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.9.031040).
- [4] R. Abbott et al. *GWTC-2: Compact Binary Coalescences Observed by LIGO and Virgo During the First Half of the Third Observing Run*. 2021. arXiv: [2010.14527](https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.14527) [gr-qc].
- [5] A. Einstein. “Über Gravitationswellen”. In: *Sitzungsberichte der Königlich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften (Berlin)* (1918), pp. 154–167.
- [6] A. Trautman. “Radiation and Boundary Conditions in the Theory of Gravitation”. In: *Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astron. Phys.* 6.6 (1958), pp. 407–412. arXiv: [1604.03145](https://arxiv.org/abs/1604.03145) [gr-qc].
- [7] F. A. E. Pirani. “Invariant Formulation of Gravitational Radiation Theory”. In: *Phys. Rev.* 105 (1957), p. 1089.
- [8] L. Bel. “Les états de radiation et le problème de l’énergie en relativité général”. In: *Cahiers de Physique* 16 (1962). English translation: Radiation States and the Problem of Energy in General Relativity, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **32** 2047–2078 (2000), pp. 59–80.
- [9] H. Bondi, M. G. J. van der Burg, and A. W. K. Metzner. “Gravitational waves in general relativity. VII. Waves from axisymmetric isolated systems”. In: *Proc. R. Soc. A.* 269 (1962), 21–52. DOI: [10.1098/rspa.1962.0161](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1962.0161).
- [10] R. K. Sachs. “Gravitational waves in general relativity. VIII. Waves in asymptotically flat space-times”. In: *Proc. R. Soc. A.* 270 (1962), 103–126. DOI: [10.1098/rspa.1962.0206](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1962.0206).
- [11] E. Newman and R. Penrose. “An Approach to Gravitational Radiation by a Method of Spin Coefficients”. In: *J. Math. Phys.* 3.3 (1962), pp. 566–578. DOI: [10.1063/1.1724257](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1724257).
- [12] V. D. Zakharov. *Gravitational Waves in Einstein’s Theory*. Wiley and sons, 1973. ISBN: 0706512871, 9780706512878.
- [13] R. A. Hulse and J. H. Taylor. “Discovery of a pulsar in a binary system”. In: *Ap. J.* 195 (1975), pp. L51–L53. DOI: [10.1086/181708](https://doi.org/10.1086/181708).
- [14] T. Damour. “1974: the discovery of the first binary pulsar”. In: *Class. Quant. Grav.* 32.12 (2015), p. 124009. DOI: [10.1088/0264-9381/32/12/124009](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/32/12/124009).
- [15] R. Penrose. “Zero rest-mass fields including gravitation: asymptotic behaviour”. In: *Proc. R. Soc. A.* 284 (1965), 159–203.

- [16] R. Geroch. “Asymptotic Structure of Space-Time”. In: *Asymptotic Structure of Space-Time*. Ed. by F. Paul Esposito and Louis Witten. Springer US, 1977, 1–105. ISBN: 978-1-4684-2343-3. DOI: [10.1007/978-1-4684-2343-3_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4684-2343-3_1).
- [17] A. Ashtekar. “Radiative degrees of freedom of the gravitational field in exact general relativity”. In: *J. Math. Phys.* 22.12 (1981), 2885–2895. DOI: [10.1063/1.525169](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.525169).
- [18] A. G. Riess et al. “Observational Evidence from Supernovae for an Accelerating Universe and a Cosmological Constant”. In: *Astron. J.* 116.3 (1998), pp. 1009–1038. DOI: [10.1086/300499](https://doi.org/10.1086/300499).
- [19] S. Perlmutter et al. “Measurements of Ω and Λ from 42 High-Redshift Supernovae”. In: *Astrophys. J.* 517.2 (1999), pp. 565–586. DOI: [10.1086/307221](https://doi.org/10.1086/307221).
- [20] P. T. Chruściel and T-T. Paetz. “Solutions of the vacuum Einstein equations with initial data on past null infinity”. In: *Class Quantum Grav.* 30 (23 2013), p. 235037. DOI: [10.1088/0264-9381/30/23/235037](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/30/23/235037).
- [21] R. Sachs. “Gravitational waves in general relativity. VI. The outgoing radiation condition”. In: *Proc. R. Soc. A.* 264.1318 (1961), pp. 309–338. DOI: [10.1098/rspa.1961.0202](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1961.0202).
- [22] L. Bel. “Sur la radiation gravitationnelle”. In: *Comptes Rendues Acad. Sci. (Paris)* 247 (1958), p. 1094.
- [23] J. M. M. Senovilla. “Superenergy tensors”. In: *Class. Quant. Grav.* 17 (2000), 2799–2842. DOI: [10.1088/0264-9381/17/14/313](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/17/14/313).
- [24] F. Fernández-Álvarez and J. M. M. Senovilla. “Novel characterization of gravitational radiation in asymptotically flat spacetimes”. In: *Phys. Rev. D* 101 (2 2020), p. 024060. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.101.024060](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.024060).
- [25] A. Ashtekar. “Geometry and Physics of Null Infinity”. In: *One hundred years of general relativity*. Ed. by L. Paul Bieri and S.-T. Yau. Vol. 20. Surveys in Differential Geometry. International Press, 2015, 99–122. DOI: [10.4310/SDG.2015.v20.n1.a5](https://doi.org/10.4310/SDG.2015.v20.n1.a5).
- [26] A. Ashtekar, M. Campiglia, and A. Laddha. “Null infinity, the BMS group and infrared issues”. In: *Gen. Rel. Grav.* 50.11 (2018), pp. 140–163. DOI: [10.1007/s10714-018-2464-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10714-018-2464-3).
- [27] F. Fernández-Álvarez and J. M. M. Senovilla. “Gravitational radiation condition at infinity with a positive cosmological constant”. In: *Phys. Rev. D* 102 (10 2020), p. 101502. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.102.101502](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.101502).
- [28] J. A. Valiente Kroon. *Conformal Methods in General Relativity*. Cambridge University Press, 2016. ISBN: 9781107033894. DOI: [10.1017/CB09781139523950](https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09781139523950).
- [29] J. Frauendiener. “Conformal Infinity”. In: *Living Rev. Relativ.* 7.1 (2004), p. 1. ISSN: 1433-8351. DOI: [10.12942/lrr-2004-1](https://doi.org/10.12942/lrr-2004-1).
- [30] R. P. A. C. Newman. “The global structure of simple space-times”. In: *Commun. Math. Phys.* 123.1 (1989), pp. 17–52. DOI: [10.1007/BF01244016](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01244016).
- [31] M. Mars and J. M. M. Senovilla. “Geometry of general hypersurfaces in spacetime: junction conditions”. In: *Classical and Quantum Gravity* 10.9 (1993), pp. 1865–1897. DOI: [10.1088/0264-9381/10/9/093001](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/10/9/093001).
- [32] H. L. Liu, U. Simon, and C. P. Wang. “Higher-order Codazzi tensors on conformally flat spaces”. In: *Beiträge zur Algebra und Geometrie / Contributions to Algebra and Geometry* 39 (1998), pp. 329–348.

- [33] H. Friedrich. “Conformal Einstein Evolution”. In: *The Conformal Structure of Space-Time: Geometry, Analysis, Numerics*. Ed. by J. Frauendiener and H. Friedrich. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2002, pp. 1–50. ISBN: 978-3-540-45818-0. DOI: [10.1007/3-540-45818-2_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-45818-2_1).
- [34] H. Friedrich. “On the regular and the asymptotic characteristic initial value problem for Einstein’s vacuum field equations”. In: *Proc. R. Soc. A*. 375.1761 (1981), pp. 169–184. DOI: [10.1098/rspa.1981.0045](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1981.0045).
- [35] H. Friedrich. “The asymptotic characteristic initial value problem for Einstein’s vacuum field equations as an initial value problem for a first-order quasilinear symmetric hyperbolic system”. In: *Proc. R. Soc. A*. 378.1774 (1981), pp. 401–421. DOI: [10.1098/rspa.1981.0159](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1981.0159).
- [36] T-T. Paetz. “Conformally covariant systems of wave equations and their equivalence to Einstein’s field equations”. In: *Ann. Henri Poincare* 16.9 (2015), pp. 2059–2129. DOI: [10.1007/s00023-014-0359-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00023-014-0359-0)
- [37] K. Yano. *Lie derivatives and its applications*. Vol. 3. Amsterdam, New York, North-Holland Pub. Co., 1957. ISBN: 978-0-7204-2104-0.
- [38] S. B. Edgar and A. Höglund. “Dimensionally dependent tensor identities by double antisymmetrization”. In: *J. Math. Phys.* 43.1 (2002), pp. 659–677. DOI: [10.1063/1.1425428](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1425428).
- [39] E. T. Newman and R. Penrose. “Note on the Bondi-Metzner-Sachs Group”. In: *J. Math. Phys.* 7.5 (1966), pp. 863–870. DOI: [10.1063/1.1931221](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1931221).
- [40] R. Geroch and J. Winicour. “Linkages in general relativity”. In: *J. Math. Phys.* 22.4 (1981), pp. 803–812. DOI: [10.1063/1.524987](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.524987).
- [41] R. M. Wald. *General Relativity*. Chicago, USA: Chicago University Press, 1984. DOI: [10.7208/chicago/97802](https://doi.org/10.7208/chicago/97802)
- [42] J. Stewart. *Advanced General Relativity*. Cambridge University Press, 1991. DOI: [10.1017/CB09780511608179](https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09780511608179)
- [43] M. Campiglia and A. Laddha. “Asymptotic symmetries and subleading soft graviton theorem”. In: *Phys. Rev. D* 90 (12 2014), p. 124028. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.90.124028](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.124028).
- [44] G. Barnich and C. Troessaert. “Symmetries of Asymptotically Flat Four-Dimensional Spacetimes at Null Infinity Revisited”. In: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 105 (11 2010), p. 111103. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.111103](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.111103)
- [45] É. É. Flanagan and D. A. Nichols. “Conserved charges of the extended Bondi-Metzner-Sachs algebra”. In: *Phys. Rev. D* 95 (4 2017), p. 044002. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.95.044002](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.044002).
- [46] L. Freidel, R. Oliveri, D. Pranzetti, and S. Speziale. “The Weyl BMS group and Einstein’s equations”. In: (2021). arXiv: [2104.05793 \[hep-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.05793).
- [47] B. Bonga and K. Prabhu. “BMS-like symmetries in cosmology”. In: *Phys. Rev. D* 102 (10 2020), p. 104043. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.102.104043](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.104043).
- [48] A. Ashtekar. *Asymptotic Quantization: Based on 1984 Naples Lectures*. Monographs and textbooks in Physical Science, 2. Bibliopolis, 1987, pp. 1–107.
- [49] T. Dray and M. Streubel. “Angular momentum at null infinity”. In: *Class. Quant. Grav.* 1.1 (1984), pp. 15–26. DOI: [10.1088/0264-9381/1/1/005](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/1/1/005).
- [50] J. Frauendiener and C. Stevens. “A new look at the Bondi-Sachs energy-momentum”. In: (2021). arXiv: [2104.13646 \[gr-qc\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.13646).
- [51] R. Penrose. “Asymptotic Properties of Fields and Space-Times”. In: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 10 (2 1963), pp. 66–68. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.10.66](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.10.66).

- [52] E. T. Newman and R. Penrose. “New conservation laws for zero rest-mass fields in asymptotically flat space-time”. In: *Proc. R. Soc. A.* 305.1481 (1968), pp. 175–204. DOI: [10.1098/rspa.1968.0112](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1968.0112).
- [53] H. Stephani. *Relativity. An Introduction to Special and General Relativity*. Cambridge University Press, 2004. ISBN: 978-0-521-81185-9.
- [54] G. Bergqvist and P. Lankinen. “Unique characterization of the Bel-Robinson tensor”. In: *Class. Quant. Grav.* 21.14 (2004), pp. 3499–3503. DOI: [10.1088/0264-9381/21/14/012](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/21/14/012).
- [55] A. Ashtekar and T. Dray. “On the existence of solutions to Einstein’s equation with non-zero Bondi news”. In: *Commun. Math. Phys.* 79.4 (1981), pp. 581–599. DOI: [10.1007/BF01209313](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01209313).