

# INTEGRAL $p$ -ADIC COHOMOLOGY THEORIES FOR OPEN AND SINGULAR VARIETIES

VERONIKA ERTL, ATSUSHI SHIHO, AND JOHANNES SPRANG

**ABSTRACT.** For open and singular varieties in positive characteristic  $p$  we study the existence of an integral  $p$ -adic cohomology theory which is finitely generated, compatible with log crystalline cohomology and rationally compatible with rigid cohomology. We develop such a theory under certain assumptions of resolution of singularities in positive characteristic, by using cdp- and rh-topologies.

Without resolution of singularities in positive characteristic, we prove the existence of a good  $p$ -adic cohomology theory for open and singular varieties in cohomological degree 1, by using split proper generically étale hypercoverings. This is a slight generalisation of a result due to Andreatta–Barbieri-Viale. We also prove that this approach does not work for higher cohomological degrees.

*Key Words:* Integral  $p$ -adic cohomology, de Rham–Witt complex, resolution of singularities  
*Mathematics Subject Classification 2020:* 14F30, 14E15, 14F20, 18F10

## CONTENTS

Conventions	3
1. Construction under assumption of resolution of singularities	3
1.1. Assumptions concerning resolution of singularities	3
1.2. The cdp- and rh-topology on $k$ -varieties	5
1.3. Good blow-up and good Zariski cd-structures on smooth $k$ -varieties	10
1.4. Integral $p$ -adic cohomology for smooth and open varieties	14
1.5. Extension to $k$ -varieties	25
2. The case without resolution of singularities	27
2.1. Independence of $H^0$	28
2.2. Independence of $H^1$	29
2.3. Non-independence of $H^i, i \geq 2$	41
Appendix A. The first crystalline cohomology group and the Picard 1-motive	45
Appendix B. A site of separable alterations	46
References	49

The famous Weil conjectures can be seen as a starting point for the study of  $p$ -adic cohomology theories. Already Weil has suggested to use a suitable cohomology theory to solve these conjectures for proper and smooth varieties over a field  $k$  of characteristic  $p$ . For  $l \neq p$ , this has long been solved by Grothendieck’s school using  $l$ -adic cohomology. The desire to fill the gap for  $l = p$  motivates the search for a “good”  $p$ -adic cohomology theory.

In the following, let  $k$  be a perfect field of positive characteristic  $p$ ,  $W(k)$  its ring of Witt vectors, and  $K$  the fraction field of  $W(k)$ . The first candidate for such a theory was defined by Berthelot [4] after a suggestion due to Grothendieck in the form of crystalline cohomology  $H_{\text{cris}}^*(X/W(k))$ . A drawback

---

*Date:* 8 janvier 2022.

The first named author’s research was supported in part by the EPSRC grant EP/R014604/1 and by the DFG grant SFB 1085. The second named author was supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI (Grant Numbers JP17K05162, JP18H03667 and JP18H05233). The third named author was supported by the DFG grant SFB 1085.

of crystalline cohomology is that it works well only for proper and smooth schemes – for singular or non-proper schemes, the crystalline cohomology groups are not necessarily finitely generated over  $W(k)$ . In this case rigid cohomology  $H_{\text{rig}}^i(X/K)$  introduced by Berthelot [5], [6] has become an important tool. However, rigid cohomology has coefficients in the fraction field  $K = \text{Frac}(W(k))$  of  $W(k)$  and hence it is not an integral cohomology theory.

For a smooth variety  $X$  over  $k$ , Davis–Langer–Zink [12] introduced the overconvergent de Rham–Witt complex  $W^\dagger\Omega_X^\bullet$  as a certain subcomplex of Illusie’s de Rham–Witt complex  $W\Omega_X^\bullet$  (a complex of étale sheaves whose cohomology is isomorphic to the crystalline cohomology [22]) and they [12] and Lawless [23] proved that its rational cohomology  $H^*(X, W^\dagger\Omega_X^\bullet) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}$  is isomorphic to the rigid cohomology  $H_{\text{rig}}^*(X/K)$ . While it is well-known that the integral overconvergent de Rham–Witt cohomology  $H^*(X, W^\dagger\Omega_X^\bullet)$  can have infinitely generated torsion, one might still hope that modulo torsion, it gives a finitely generated  $W(k)$ -lattice in  $H_{\text{rig}}^*(X/K)$ . But in [15] the first two authors have produced counterexamples to this assertion as well.

In this paper, we would like to ask the question under which conditions one can expect the existence of a “good” cohomology theory with coefficients in  $W(k)$  for varieties  $X$  over  $k$ . The basic requirements for “goodness” should include that the cohomology groups are finitely generated over  $W(k)$ , that they coincide with (log) crystalline cohomology for (log) smooth and proper varieties, and that they are rationally isomorphic to rigid cohomology.

In the first part of this paper, we construct under certain assumptions of resolution of singularities in positive characteristic (Hypotheses 1.5, 1.7, 1.8 and 1.9) a cohomology theory on the category  $\text{Var}_k$  of  $k$ -varieties

$$R\Gamma_{\text{rh}}(X, a_{\text{rh}}^*A^*), \quad X \in \text{Var}_k$$

and show that it provides a “good” integral  $p$ -adic cohomology theory in the following sense:

**Theorem.** (Corollary 1.42, Theorems 1.44, 1.45) *The cohomology theory  $R\Gamma_{\text{rh}}(-, a_{\text{rh}}^*A^*)$  satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i) *For any  $X \in \text{Var}_k$ , the cohomology groups of  $R\Gamma_{\text{rh}}(X, a_{\text{rh}}^*A^*)$  are finitely generated over  $W(k)$ .*
- (ii) *If  $X$  has a normal crossing compactification (see Definitions 1.24, 1.1 for definition) by a proper smooth variety  $\overline{X}$  and we denote by  $(X, \overline{X})$  the log scheme whose underlying scheme is  $\overline{X}$  endowed with the log structure associated to “the divisor at infinity”  $\overline{X} \setminus X$  there exists a functorial quasi-isomorphism*

$$R\Gamma_{\text{rh}}(X, a_{\text{rh}}^*A^*) \simeq R\Gamma_{\text{cris}}((X, \overline{X})/W(k)),$$

where  $R\Gamma_{\text{cris}}((X, \overline{X})/W(k))$  denotes the log crystalline cohomology complex.

- (iii) *For any  $X \in \text{Var}_k$ , there exists a functorial quasi-isomorphism*

$$R\Gamma_{\text{rh}}(X, a_{\text{rh}}^*A^*) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q} \simeq R\Gamma_{\text{rig}}(X/K),$$

where  $R\Gamma_{\text{rig}}(X/K)$  denotes the rigid cohomology complex.

The idea of the construction is as follows: Let us first recall that the log crystalline cohomology provides a candidate for a good  $p$ -adic cohomology on a smooth  $k$ -variety  $X$  if  $X$  admits a proper smooth normal crossing compactification  $\overline{X}$ . In the first step, we prove – under assumption of resolution of singularities – that the log crystalline cohomology of a smooth  $k$ -variety does not depend upon the choice of a normal crossing compactification. In the second step, we use – again assuming resolution of singularities – rh-sheafification to extend this to a cohomology theory on all  $k$ -varieties. Finally, we prove descent statements, which allow us to compare our new cohomology theory to the existing theories.

Since the existence of resolution of singularities has not been established in full generality in positive characteristic, one might have the idea to use de Jong’s alteration theorem instead. Unlike resolutions, alterations are not coverings in the rh-topology, but in the proper topology. In the second part of the paper, we study the question whether  $R\Gamma_{\text{cris}}((X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)/W(k))$ , for a given variety  $X$ , is independent of the choice of a split proper generically étale hypercovering  $X_\bullet$  of  $X$  with normal crossing compactification  $\overline{X}_\bullet$ . It turns out that this is not the case in general. We have the following theorem:

**Theorem.** (Theorem 2.5, Proposition 2.24, Corollary 2.27) *Let  $X$  be a  $k$ -variety, let  $\overline{X}$  be its compactification and let  $X_\bullet$  be a split proper generically étale hypercovering of  $X$  with a normal crossing compactification  $\overline{X}_\bullet$  by a proper and smooth simplicial  $k$ -variety over  $\overline{X}$ .*

- (i) *For  $i = 0, 1$  the cohomology group  $H_{\text{cris}}^i((X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)/W(k))$  is independent of the choice of  $(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)$ .*
- (ii) *For  $i \geq 2$  the cohomology group  $H_{\text{cris}}^i((X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)/W(k))$  is **not** in general independent of the choice of  $(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)$ .*

The first statement has been shown by Andreatta–Barbieri-Viale for  $p \geq 3$  in [2]. We give an alternative proof which also works in characteristic 2. Moreover, our argument to prove the assertion (ii) for  $i = 2$  implies that there does **not exist** a functor

$$A_{\text{ét}}^\bullet : \mathbf{Sm}_k \rightarrow C^{\geq 0}(W(k)),$$

where  $\mathbf{Sm}_k$  denotes the category of smooth  $k$ -varieties and  $C^{\geq 0}(W(k))$  denotes the category of complexes of  $W(k)$ -modules of non-negative degree, which provides a “good” integral  $p$ -adic cohomology theory in the following sense (see Remark 2.25):

- (i) For any smooth  $k$ -variety  $X$  with a normal crossing compactification  $\overline{X}$  by a proper and smooth  $k$ -variety, there exists a functorial quasi-isomorphism

$$A_{\text{ét}}^\bullet(X) \simeq R\Gamma_{\text{cris}}((X, \overline{X})/W(k)).$$

- (ii) It satisfies Galois descent in the sense that, for any Čech hypercovering  $X_\bullet \rightarrow X$  associated to a finite étale Galois covering  $X_0 \rightarrow X$ , the induced morphism

$$A_{\text{ét}}^\bullet(X) \rightarrow A_{\text{ét}}^\bullet(X_\bullet)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

*Acknowledgements.* We would like to thank Shane Kelly and Wiesława Nizioł for helpful discussions related to this project. The first named author would like to thank the Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences for support and hospitality during the programme “ $K$ -theory, algebraic cycles and motivic homotopy theory” when work on this paper was undertaken.

**Conventions.** Throughout the paper,  $p$  is a fixed prime,  $k$  is a perfect field of characteristic  $p$ ,  $W(k)$  is the ring of Witt vectors of  $k$  and  $K = \text{Frac}(W(k))$  is the fraction field of  $W(k)$ . By a variety over  $k$  or a  $k$ -variety we mean a reduced separated scheme of finite type over  $k$ . We denote by  $\mathbf{Var}_k$  the category of varieties over  $k$ , and by  $\mathbf{Sm}_k$  the category of smooth varieties over  $k$ . Note that finite limits exist in  $\mathbf{Var}_k$ : The limit of a finite diagram  $\{X_i\}_i$  in  $\mathbf{Var}_k$  is given by  $(\varprojlim_i X_i)_{\text{red}}$ , where  $\varprojlim_i X_i$  is the limit of the diagram  $\{X_i\}_i$  in the category of schemes over  $k$  and  $(-)_{\text{red}}$  denotes the maximal reduced closed subscheme. On the other hand, finite limits do not always exist in  $\mathbf{Sm}_k$ .

## 1. CONSTRUCTION UNDER ASSUMPTION OF RESOLUTION OF SINGULARITIES

Let  $X$  be a smooth variety over  $k$ . When studying finiteness properties it is common to consider compactifications of  $X$ . While by Nagata’s compactification theorem every smooth  $k$ -variety has a compactification (i.e. a quasi-compact open immersion into a proper  $k$ -variety), it might be a rather complicated one. However, under the assumption of resolution of singularities, it is possible to reduce to the case of normal crossing compactifications.

**1.1. Assumptions concerning resolution of singularities.** In this section, we will assume resolution of singularities in positive characteristic. To make this notion precise we first introduce some notations and terminology which streamline the discussion about compactifications.

**Definition 1.1.** A *geometric pair* is a pair  $(X, \overline{X})$  of  $k$ -varieties such that  $\overline{X}$  is proper and equipped with an open immersion  $X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$  with dense image. A geometric pair is a *normal crossing pair* (or an *nc-pair* for short) if  $\overline{X}$  is proper smooth and  $\overline{X} \setminus X$  is a simple normal crossing divisor in  $\overline{X}$ .

A morphism of geometric pairs  $f : (X_1, \overline{X}_1) \rightarrow (X_2, \overline{X}_2)$  is a morphism of  $k$ -varieties  $f : \overline{X}_1 \rightarrow \overline{X}_2$  such that  $f(X_1) \subset X_2$ . A morphism of normal crossing pairs is a morphism of geometric pairs.

Denote by  $\mathbf{Var}_k^{\text{geo}}$  and  $\mathbf{Var}_k^{\text{nc}}$  the categories of geometric and normal crossing pairs respectively.

Beware that, when we say that  $(X, \overline{X})$  is a normal crossing pair, we always assume that the complement  $\overline{X} \setminus X$  is a *simple* normal crossing divisor.

*Remark 1.2.* Note that finite limits exist in  $\mathbf{Var}_k^{geo}$ : The limit of a finite diagram  $\{(X_i, \overline{X}_i)\}_i$  in  $\mathbf{Var}_k^{geo}$  is given by  $(\varprojlim_i X_i, (\varprojlim_i \overline{X}_i)')$ , where  $\varprojlim_i X_i$ ,  $\varprojlim_i \overline{X}_i$  are the limits of the diagrams  $\{X_i\}_i, \{\overline{X}_i\}_i$  in the category  $\mathbf{Var}_k$  respectively and  $(\varprojlim_i \overline{X}_i)'$  is the closure of  $\varprojlim_i X_i$  in  $\varprojlim_i \overline{X}_i$  with reduced closed subscheme structure. On the other hand, finite limits do not always exist in  $\mathbf{Var}_k^{nc}$ .

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $X$  be a smooth  $k$ -variety,  $D$  a simple normal crossing divisor in  $X$  and  $Z$  a smooth closed  $k$ -subvariety of  $X$ . We say that  $Z$  *has normal crossing with  $D$*  if, Zariski locally on  $X$ , there exists an étale morphism

$$X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} k[x_1, \dots, x_a, \dots, x_b, \dots, x_c, \dots, x_d],$$

for some  $0 \leq a \leq b \leq c \leq d$  such that

$$Z = \{x_1 = \dots = x_b = 0\},$$

$$D = \{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_a x_{b+1} \cdots x_c = 0\}.$$

- Definition 1.4.** (i) A morphism of geometric pairs  $f : (X_1, \overline{X}_1) \rightarrow (X_2, \overline{X}_2)$  is called *strict* if  $f^{-1}(X_2) = X_1$ .
- (ii) Let  $P$  be a property of morphisms of  $k$ -varieties (such as “proper”, “birational”, etc.). A morphism of geometric pairs  $f : (X_1, \overline{X}_1) \rightarrow (X_2, \overline{X}_2)$  is called  $P$ , if the underlying morphism of  $k$ -varieties  $f : \overline{X}_1 \rightarrow \overline{X}_2$  is  $P$ .
- (iii) A *weak factorisation* (compare [1, §1.2]) of a strict proper birational morphism  $f : (X_1, \overline{X}_1) \rightarrow (X_2, \overline{X}_2)$  of normal crossing pairs which is an isomorphism on  $X_2$  is a diagram of rational maps

$$(X_1, \overline{X}_1) = (V_0, \overline{V}_0) \xrightarrow{f_1} (V_1, \overline{V}_1) \dashrightarrow \cdots \dashrightarrow (V_{l-1}, \overline{V}_{l-1}) \xrightarrow{f_l} (V_l, \overline{V}_l) = (X_2, \overline{X}_2)$$

such that

- (a) the composition  $f_l \circ f_{l-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_2 \circ f_1$  gives  $f$ ;
- (b) for  $i \in \{0, \dots, l-1\}$  the maps  $(V_i, \overline{V}_i) \dashrightarrow (X_2, \overline{X}_2)$  are morphisms and induce isomorphisms on  $X_2$ ;
- (c) for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$  either  $f_i$  or  $f_i^{-1}$  is a blow-up along a smooth centre  $Z_i$  which is a subscheme of  $\overline{V}_i$  or  $\overline{V}_{i-1}$  respectively disjoint from (the inverse image of)  $X_2$ ;
- (d) for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$  the subscheme  $Z_i$  of  $\overline{V}_i$  or  $\overline{V}_{i-1}$  respectively has normal crossings with the normal crossing divisor  $\overline{V}_i \setminus V_i$  or  $\overline{V}_{i-1} \setminus V_{i-1}$  respectively.

Conceptually, normal crossing pairs are to geometric pairs what smooth varieties are to singular varieties. In this section we assume resolution of singularities for both situations. We will indicate clearly where each of the hypotheses is needed.

*Hypothesis 1.5* (Strong resolution of singularities). We assume the following two conditions.

- (i) For any  $k$ -variety  $X$  there exists a proper birational morphism  $f : X' \rightarrow X$  from a smooth  $k$ -variety  $X'$ , which is an isomorphism on the smooth locus  $X_{\mathrm{sm}}$  of  $X$ .
- (ii) For every proper birational morphism  $f : X' \rightarrow X$  of smooth  $k$ -varieties, there is a sequence of birational blow-ups along smooth centres  $X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X$  such that the composition  $X_n \rightarrow X$  factors through  $f$ .

*Remark 1.6.* Note that it would have been sufficient to assume strong resolution of singularities for irreducible varieties, i.e. integral schemes. Then one obtains a resolution for an arbitrary variety as the disjoint union of resolutions of its irreducible components.

*Hypothesis 1.7* (Embedded resolution of singularities). For any geometric pair  $(X, \overline{X})$  with  $\overline{X}$  smooth, there exists a strict proper birational morphism  $f : (X, \overline{X}') \rightarrow (X, \overline{X})$  from a normal crossing pair  $(X, \overline{X}')$  which is an isomorphism on  $X$ .

Additionally, we will need stronger assumptions concerning certain morphisms of geometric and normal crossing pairs.

*Hypothesis 1.8* (Embedded resolution of singularities with boundary). For any strict closed immersion of geometric pairs  $(Y, \overline{Y}) \rightarrow (X, \overline{X})$  with  $Y$  smooth and  $(X, \overline{X})$  a normal crossing pair there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (Y, \overline{Y}') & \hookrightarrow & (X, \overline{X}') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (Y, \overline{Y}) & \hookrightarrow & (X, \overline{X}) \end{array}$$

such that  $(Y, \overline{Y}')$  and  $(X, \overline{X}')$  are normal crossing pairs, the horizontal morphisms are strict closed immersions and the vertical morphisms are strict proper birational morphisms which are isomorphisms on  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively. (Compare [7, Thm. 1.4].)

*Hypothesis 1.9* (Weak factorisation). For any strict proper birational morphism  $(X, \overline{X}') \rightarrow (X, \overline{X})$  of normal crossing pairs which is an isomorphism on  $X$ , there exists a weak factorisation of it.

*Remark 1.10.* Currently, Hypothesis 1.5(i) is known in the case of dimension  $\leq 3$  ([8], [9]) and Hypotheses 1.5(ii), 1.7, 1.8, 1.9 are known in the case of dimension  $\leq 2$ . (For Hypothesis 1.8, see [7, Thm. 1.4].) It is proven in [1] that Hypothesis 1.9 holds if a certain hypothesis on usual and embedded resolutions stronger than Hypotheses 1.5, 1.7 is true.

**1.2. The cdp- and rh-topology on  $k$ -varieties.** In this subsection we will consider the completely decomposed proper topology (also called the cdp-topology) and the rh-topology on the category  $\mathbf{Var}_k$  of  $k$ -varieties and the category  $\mathbf{Sm}_k$  of smooth  $k$ -varieties. We prove that, under Hypothesis 1.5, the cdp-topology (resp. the rh-topology) on  $\mathbf{Sm}_k$  is generated by blow-ups with smooth centre (resp. blow-ups with smooth centre and Zariski coverings).

Recall that a *cd-structure* on a small category  $\mathcal{C}$  with initial object  $\emptyset$  is a class  $\mathcal{Q}$  of commutative squares

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} B & \longrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ A & \xrightarrow{e} & X \end{array}$$

which is closed under isomorphisms [37, Def. 2.1]. For a family  $\mathcal{Q}_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) of cd-structures on  $\mathcal{C}$ , the topology generated by  $\mathcal{Q}_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) is defined to be the topology generated by the coverings  $\{A \xrightarrow{e} X, Y \xrightarrow{p} X\}$  for commutative squares (1) in some  $\mathcal{Q}_i$  and the empty covering of the initial object  $\emptyset$ .

**Definition 1.11.** We consider the following cd-structures on  $\mathbf{Var}_k$ :

- (i) The *blow-up cd-structure* on  $\mathbf{Var}_k$  consists of Cartesian squares of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z' & \hookrightarrow & X' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ Z & \xrightarrow{e} & X, \end{array}$$

where  $e$  is a closed immersion and  $p$  is a proper morphism which is an isomorphism over  $X \setminus e(Z)$ .

- (ii) The *Zariski cd-structure* on  $\mathbf{Var}_k$  consists of Cartesian squares of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \hookrightarrow & V \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow j \\ U & \xrightarrow{i} & X \end{array}$$

with  $i, j$  open immersions and  $X = i(U) \cup j(V)$ .

In [38] Voevodsky has proven the following:

**Theorem 1.12** ([38, Thm. 2.2]). *The blow-up cd-structure and the Zariski cd-structure on  $\mathbf{Var}_k$  are complete, regular and bounded. (For definition, see [37, Def. 2.3, 2.10, 2.22].)*

Using the above cd-structures we define the cdp-topology and the rh-topology on  $\mathbf{Var}_k$ :

**Definition 1.13.** The cdp-topology on  $\mathbf{Var}_k$  is the topology generated by the blow-up cd-structure on  $\mathbf{Var}_k$ . The rh-topology on  $\mathbf{Var}_k$  is the topology generated by the blow-up cd-structure and the Zariski cd-structure.

We denote by  $\mathbf{Var}_{k,\text{cdp}}$  and  $\mathbf{Var}_{k,\text{rh}}$  the sites obtained from the category of  $k$ -varieties endowed with the cdp- and rh-topology respectively.

Let us observe that the morphism

$$e \coprod p: Z \coprod X' \rightarrow X$$

induced by a blow-up square in Definition 1.11(i) is a completely decomposed proper morphism in the usual sense:

**Definition 1.14.** A morphism  $p: Y \rightarrow X$  in  $\mathbf{Var}_k$  is a *completely decomposed proper morphism* if it is proper and for all  $x \in X$  there is a point  $y \in p^{-1}(\{x\}) \subseteq Y$  such that the induced morphism on residue fields  $\kappa(x) \rightarrow \kappa(y)$  is an isomorphism.

Conversely, the following lemma shows that every completely decomposed proper morphism is a covering in the cdp-topology:

**Lemma 1.15** (cf. [34, Lem. 5.8]). *The topology  $\tau$  on  $\mathbf{Var}_k$  generated by the families of morphisms  $\{f_i: X_i \rightarrow X\}_{i \in I}$  with  $I$  finite and  $\coprod_i f_i: \coprod_i X_i \rightarrow X$  a completely decomposed proper morphism coincides with the cdp-topology on  $\mathbf{Var}_k$ . In particular, every completely decomposed proper morphism in  $\mathbf{Var}_k$  is a covering in the cdp-topology on  $\mathbf{Var}_k$ .*

*Proof.* The observation before Definition 1.14 implies that  $\tau$  is finer than the cdp-topology. To prove the converse, take a  $\tau$ -covering  $\{f_i: X_i \rightarrow X\}_{i \in I}$  as in the statement of the lemma, and we will prove that it is a cdp-covering. By Noetherian induction, we may assume that, for any proper closed subvariety  $T \subsetneq X$ , the pullback of the family to  $T$  is a cdp-covering. Note also that, for a covering  $X = \bigcup_{j \in J} Y_j$  of  $X$  by a finite number of closed subvarieties, the family  $\{Y_j \rightarrow X\}_{j \in J}$  is a cdp-covering: Indeed, we can reduce to the case  $J = \{1, 2\}$  and in this case, the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_1 \cap Y_2 & \longrightarrow & Y_1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y_2 & \longrightarrow & X \end{array} \quad r$$

is a blow-up square. From these, we may assume that  $X$  is integral to prove the claim.

Let  $\xi$  be the generic point of  $X$ . Then there exists an index  $i \in I$  and a point  $\xi' \in X_i$  over  $\xi$  such that the induced morphism  $\kappa(\xi) \rightarrow \kappa(\xi')$  is an isomorphism. Then, if we define  $Y$  to be the closure of  $\xi'$  in  $X_i$ ,  $f_i|_Y: Y \rightarrow X$  is a proper birational morphism. Let  $T \subsetneq X$  be the locus where  $f_i|_Y$  is not an isomorphism. Then  $\{Y \rightarrow X, T \rightarrow X\}$  is a cdp-covering. The pullback of the family  $\{f_i: X_i \rightarrow X\}_{i \in I}$  to  $Y$  is a cdp-covering because the pullback of the morphism  $X_i \rightarrow X$  to  $Y$  admits a section. Also, the pullback of the family  $\{f_i: X_i \rightarrow X\}_{i \in I}$  to  $T$  is a cdp-covering by induction hypothesis. Thus the family  $\{f_i: X_i \rightarrow X\}_{i \in I}$  is a cdp-covering locally in the cdp-topology and hence it is a cdp-covering.  $\square$

From now on we use the terms cdp-morphism and completely decomposed proper morphism interchangeably.

Next we will prove the analogue of Lemma 1.15 for the rh-topology. In the following, we say that a morphism  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  in  $\mathbf{Var}_k$  is a *Zariski morphism* if there exists a finite Zariski covering  $X = \bigcup_i X_i$  such that  $Y = \coprod_i X_i$  and that  $f$  is the disjoint sum of inclusions  $X_i \hookrightarrow X$ .

**Lemma 1.16** (cf. [34, Prop. 5.9]). *The topology  $\sigma$  on  $\text{Var}_k$  generated by the families of morphisms  $\{f_i: X_i \rightarrow X\}_{i \in I}$  with  $I$  finite such that  $\coprod_i f_i: \coprod_i X_i =: Y \rightarrow X$  is written as the composite  $Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} X$  of a Zariski morphism  $g$  and a cdp-morphism  $h$  coincides with the rh-topology on  $\text{Var}_k$ .*

*Proof.* Take a  $\sigma$ -covering  $\{f_i: X_i \rightarrow X\}_{i \in I}$  as in the statement of the lemma. Then, after taking a suitable refinement, we can suppose that it is of the form  $\{Y_j \rightarrow Z \xrightarrow{h} X\}_j$ , where  $Z = \bigcup_j Y_j$  is a finite Zariski covering and  $h$  is a cdp-morphism. This is an rh-covering because  $h$  is a cdp-covering by Lemma 1.15. So we conclude that the rh-topology is finer than  $\sigma$ .

We prove the converse. Since the blow-up and the Zariski cd-structures are complete, it suffices to prove that a family of morphisms  $\{f'_{i'}: X'_{i'} \rightarrow X\}_{i' \in I'}$  with  $I'$  finite such that  $\coprod_{i'} f'_{i'}: \coprod_{i'} X'_{i'} =: Y' \rightarrow X$  is written as the composite

$$(2) \quad Y' = Y'_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Y'_1 \rightarrow Y'_0 = Y$$

with each arrow a cdp-morphism or a Zariski morphism admits a refinement of the form  $\{f_i: X_i \rightarrow X\}_{i \in I}$  as in the statement of the lemma (see [37, Def. 2.2, Def. 2.3]).

In the following in the proof, we call a morphism of the form  $Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} X$  with  $g$  a Zariski morphism and  $h$  a cdp-morphism a *special morphism*. To prove the claim in the previous paragraph, it suffices to prove that the morphism (2) admits a special refinement, and it is reduced to proving that a morphism of the form

$$T \xrightarrow{p} U \xrightarrow{q} X$$

with  $p$  a cdp-morphism and  $q$  a Zariski morphism admits a special refinement.

By Noetherian induction, we may assume that the restriction of the morphism  $q \circ p: T \rightarrow X$  to any proper closed subscheme  $Z \subsetneq X$  admits a special refinement. By this induction hypothesis and the fact that the natural morphism from the disjoint union of irreducible components of  $X$  to  $X$  is a cdp-morphism, we may assume that  $X$  is integral to prove the claim. Also, we may assume that  $U$  is the disjoint union of its irreducible components  $U = \coprod U_i$ . We write  $T_i := p^{-1}(U_i)$ . By replacing each  $T_i$  by a suitable closed subvariety, we may assume that each  $T_i \rightarrow U_i$  is a proper birational morphism. (See the argument in the proof of Lemma 1.15.) By the Platisation Theorem of Raynaud–Gruson [30] there exists a proper closed subscheme  $Z \subsetneq X$  such that for each  $i$  the strict transform  $T'_i$  of  $T_i$  under the blow-up  $X' = \text{Bl}_Z X \rightarrow X$  with centre  $Z$  is flat over  $X'$ . Thus for each  $i$  we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} T'_i & \longrightarrow & T_i \times_X X' & \longrightarrow & U_i \times_X X' & \longrightarrow & X' = \text{Bl}_Z X \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & T_i & \longrightarrow & U_i & \longrightarrow & X. \end{array}$$

The morphism  $T'_i \rightarrow U_i \times_X X'$  is a flat proper birational morphism between integral schemes, hence an isomorphism. So the map  $T_i \times_X X' \rightarrow U_i \times_X X'$  admits a section and hence the covering  $T \times_X X' \rightarrow X'$  admits a refinement by a Zariski morphism  $U \times_X X' = \coprod_i U_i \times_X X' \rightarrow X'$ . Also, by our induction hypothesis, the restriction of the given covering  $T \rightarrow X$  to  $Z$  admits a special refinement

$$V \rightarrow Z' \rightarrow Z.$$

Combining these, we obtain a special refinement

$$V \coprod (U \times_X X') \rightarrow Z' \coprod X' \rightarrow X$$

of  $T \rightarrow X$  as desired.  $\square$

We now consider the fully faithful functor given by inclusion  $\text{Sm}_k \hookrightarrow \text{Var}_k$ . Resolution of singularities plays an important role after this point.

**Definition 1.17.** The *cdp-topology on  $\text{Sm}_k$*  is the topology induced by the inclusion  $\text{Sm}_k \hookrightarrow \text{Var}_k$  from the cdp-topology on  $\text{Var}_k$ . In other words, it is the restriction of the cdp-topology from  $\text{Var}_k$  to  $\text{Sm}_k$ . Similarly, the *rh-topology on  $\text{Sm}_k$*  is the restriction of the rh-topology from  $\text{Var}_k$  to  $\text{Sm}_k$ .

**Proposition 1.18.** *Let  $\tau$  be any topology on  $\mathbf{Var}_k$  finer than the cdp-topology. Under Hypothesis 1.5, the inclusion  $\mathbf{Sm}_k \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Var}_k$  induces an equivalence of topoi*

$$\mathrm{Sh}(\mathbf{Sm}_{k,\tau}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Sh}(\mathbf{Var}_{k,\tau}).$$

In particular, there are equivalences of topoi

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Sh}(\mathbf{Sm}_{k,\mathrm{cdp}}) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Sh}(\mathbf{Var}_{k,\mathrm{cdp}}), \\ \mathrm{Sh}(\mathbf{Sm}_{k,\mathrm{rh}}) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Sh}(\mathbf{Var}_{k,\mathrm{rh}}). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By the hypothesis of strong resolution of singularities, for every object  $X \in \mathbf{Var}_k$  there is a cdp-morphism  $f : X' \rightarrow X$  where  $X'$  is smooth. This can be seen by an inductive argument as follows: By strong resolution of singularities, there is a proper birational morphism  $\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  with  $\tilde{X}$  smooth. Let us denote by  $U \subseteq X$  an open dense subset such that  $\tilde{f}$  induces an isomorphism  $\tilde{f}^{-1}(U) \cong U$ , and let  $T := X \setminus U$  denote its complement. Then  $\tilde{X} \amalg T \rightarrow X$  is a cdp-covering. By induction, there is a cdp-morphism  $T' \rightarrow T$  with  $T'$  smooth. The disjoint union  $X' := \tilde{X} \amalg T' \rightarrow X$  gives the desired cdp-covering of  $X$  by a smooth  $k$ -variety.

This means that any  $k$ -variety can be covered in the cdp-topology by smooth  $k$ -varieties. As the inclusion  $\mathbf{Sm}_k \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Var}_k$  is a fully faithful functor, the statement follows from [36, Thm. 4.1].  $\square$

*Remark 1.19.* Due to the lack of enough fibre products, the cdp-topology (resp. the rh-topology) on  $\mathbf{Sm}_k$  is not defined in terms of covering families, but in terms of covering sieves. Using [36, Prop. 3.2] and the fact that any  $k$ -variety can be covered in the cdp-topology by smooth  $k$ -varieties, we can describe the covering sieves explicitly in the following way (see also [34, Prop. 5.11]): Every cdp-covering (resp. rh-covering)  $\{X_i \rightarrow X\}_i$  in  $\mathbf{Var}_k$  of a smooth  $k$ -variety  $X$  defines a covering sieve  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $X$  in  $\mathbf{Sm}_k$  which consists of all morphisms of smooth  $k$ -varieties  $X' \rightarrow X$  factoring through one of the  $X_i$ . The covering sieves on  $\mathbf{Sm}_k$  are the sieves which contain some sieve of the form  $\mathcal{S}$  as above.

We give now an interpretation of the cdp- and the rh-topology on  $\mathbf{Sm}_k$  in terms of cd-structures.

**Definition 1.20.** We consider the following cd-structures on  $\mathbf{Sm}_k$ :

- (i) The *smooth blow-up cd-structure* on  $\mathbf{Sm}_k$  consists of Cartesian squares of smooth  $k$ -varieties

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z' & \longrightarrow & X' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ Z & \xrightarrow{e} & X \end{array}$$

where  $e$  is a closed immersion and  $p : X' \rightarrow X$  is a blow-up with centre  $Z$ .

- (ii) The *smooth Zariski cd-structure* on  $\mathbf{Sm}_k$  consists of Cartesian squares of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \longrightarrow & V \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow j \\ U & \xrightarrow{i} & X \end{array}$$

with  $i, j$  open immersions and  $X = i(U) \cup j(V)$ .

In [38] Voevodsky has proven the following:

**Proposition 1.21** ([38, Lem. 4.3, 4.4, 4.5, Thm. 2.2]). *(i) The smooth blow-up cd-structure on  $\mathbf{Sm}_k$  is regular and bounded. Assuming strong resolution of singularities, i.e. Hypothesis 1.5, it is also complete.*

- (ii) The smooth Zariski cd-structure on  $\mathbf{Sm}_k$  is complete, regular and bounded.*

**Proposition 1.22.** *Assuming Hypothesis 1.5, every birational blow-up  $X' = \mathrm{Bl}_Z X \rightarrow X$  with smooth centre  $Z \subseteq X$  is a covering of  $X \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$  in the topology generated by the smooth blow-up cd-structure.*



*Proof.* In this proof, we denote by  $\tau$  the topology on  $\mathbf{Sm}_k$  generated by the smooth blow-up cd-structure.

Since  $X' \rightarrow X$  is birational,  $Z$  is a proper closed subvariety of  $X$  on each connected component of  $X$ . We prove the claim by induction on  $\dim X$ . In dimension 0 the claim is trivial. In general case, consider the smooth blow-up square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z' & \hookrightarrow & X' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ Z & \xrightarrow{e} & X. \end{array}$$

We observe that  $X' \amalg Z \rightarrow X$  is a  $\tau$ -covering.

Let us assume that the statement is proven for all smooth varieties of dimension  $< \dim X$ . The pullback of  $p: X' \rightarrow X$  to  $Z$  is a  $\tau$ -covering. Hence by locality it suffices to show that the pullback  $X' \times_X Z \rightarrow Z$  of  $p$  to  $Z$  can be refined by a  $\tau$ -covering. But this is the left vertical map  $Z' \rightarrow Z$  in the smooth blow-up square, and since  $Z$  is a proper closed subvariety of  $X$  on each connected component of  $X$ , it is a (nonempty) projective bundle. Thus, we find a closed subvariety  $Z'' \hookrightarrow Z'$  of  $Z'$  such that

$$Z'' \hookrightarrow Z' \rightarrow Z$$

is a proper birational morphism. By strong resolution of singularities there is a refinement of  $Z'' \rightarrow Z$  given by a sequence of birational blow-ups with smooth centre. Since  $Z$  is a proper closed subvariety of  $X$  on each connected component of  $X$ ,  $\dim Z < \dim X$  holds and the same inequality holds for varieties appearing in the sequence of blow-ups. So, by induction hypothesis, this sequence of blow-ups is a  $\tau$ -covering of  $Z$  refining  $Z' \rightarrow Z$ . Hence  $X' \rightarrow X$  is a  $\tau$ -covering, as required.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.23.** *Assume Hypothesis 1.5.*

- (i) *The cdp-topology on  $\mathbf{Sm}_k$  coincides with the topology  $\tau$  generated by the smooth blow-up cd-structure.*
- (ii) *The rh-topology on  $\mathbf{Sm}_k$  coincides with the topology  $\sigma$  generated by the smooth blow-up and the smooth Zariski cd-structures.*

*Proof.* First we prove (i). Since every smooth blow-up square in  $\mathbf{Sm}_k$  is a blow-up square in  $\mathbf{Var}_k$ ,  $\tau$  is coarser than the cdp-topology on  $\mathbf{Sm}_k$ .

To prove the converse, let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a covering sieve in the cdp-topology defined by a cdp-covering  $\{f_i: X'_i \rightarrow X\}_{i \in I}$  in  $\mathbf{Var}_k$  with  $X$  smooth,  $I$  finite and  $\coprod_i f_i: \coprod_i X'_i \rightarrow X$  a cdp-morphism. (It is a covering sieve and it is sufficient to consider such covering sieves by Lemma 1.15.) For each connected component  $X_j$  of  $X$  with generic point  $\xi_j$ , choose an index  $i(j) \in I$  and a point  $\xi'_j \in X'_{i(j)}$  above  $\xi_j$  such that  $\kappa(\xi_j) \rightarrow \kappa(\xi'_j)$  is an isomorphism. If we define  $Y_j$  to be the closure of  $\xi'_j$  in  $X'_{i(j)}$ ,  $Y_j \rightarrow X_j$  is a proper birational morphism in  $\mathbf{Var}_k$ . By replacing  $Y_j$  by a suitable refinement using Hypothesis 1.5, we may assume that it is a composition of birational blow-ups with smooth centre. Then, the family  $\{Y_j \rightarrow X_j \hookrightarrow X\}_j$  is a  $\tau$ -covering because  $\{X_j \hookrightarrow X\}_j$  is realized by an iteration of smooth blow-up squares of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \emptyset & \longrightarrow & X_1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_2 & \longrightarrow & X_1 \amalg X_2 \end{array}$$

and each  $Y_j \rightarrow X_j$  is a  $\tau$ -covering by Proposition 1.22. Also, this family refines the original family  $\{f_i: X'_i \rightarrow X\}_{i \in I}$  by construction. Thus  $\mathcal{S}$  is a covering sieve in the  $\tau$ -topology and so  $\tau$  is finer than the cdp-topology on  $\mathbf{Sm}_k$ , as desired.

Next we prove (ii). Since every smooth blow-up square in  $\mathbf{Sm}_k$  is a blow-up square in  $\mathbf{Var}_k$  and every smooth Zariski square in  $\mathbf{Sm}_k$  is a Zariski square in  $\mathbf{Var}_k$ ,  $\sigma$  is coarser than the cdp-topology on  $\mathbf{Sm}_k$ .

To prove the converse, let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a covering sieve in the rh-topology defined by an rh-covering  $\{f_i: X_i \rightarrow X\}_{i \in I}$  in  $\mathbf{Var}_k$  with  $X$  smooth,  $I$  finite and  $\coprod_i f_i: \coprod_i X_i \rightarrow X$  factors as  $\coprod_i X_i \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X$ , where the first morphism is a Zariski morphism and the second one is a cdp-morphism. (It is a covering sieve and it is sufficient to consider such covering sieves by Lemma 1.16.) By replacing  $Y$  by a suitable refinement using Hypothesis 1.5 and by replacing  $X_i$ 's by its pullback, we may assume that the morphism  $Y \rightarrow X$

is a composition of birational blow-ups with smooth centre. (Repeat the argument in the proof of (i) on each connected component of  $X$ .) Then, by taking a suitable refinement of  $X_i$ 's, we may assume that the family  $\{f_i: X_i \rightarrow X\}_{i \in I}$  is of the form  $\{X_i \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X\}_i$ , where  $Y \rightarrow X$  is as above and  $Y = \bigcup_i X_i$  is a finite Zariski covering. So it is a  $\sigma$ -covering. Thus  $\mathcal{S}$  is a covering sieve in the  $\sigma$ -topology and so  $\sigma$  is finer than the cdp-topology on  $\mathbf{Sm}_k$ , as desired.  $\square$

**1.3. Good blow-up and good Zariski cd-structures on smooth  $k$ -varieties.** In this section, we consider compactifications of smooth  $k$ -varieties and prove propositions which roughly claim that, under the assumptions of Hypotheses 1.5, 1.7 and 1.8, it suffices to consider normal crossing compactifications for our purpose.

**Definition 1.24.** Let  $X \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$ . A *normal crossing compactification* of  $X$  is an embedding  $X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$  such that  $(X, \overline{X})$  is a normal crossing pair.

**Lemma 1.25.** *Under Hypotheses 1.5 and 1.7 every smooth  $k$ -variety has a normal crossing compactification. Moreover, for  $X \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$  fixed, the category of normal crossing pairs  $(X, \overline{X})$  extending  $X$  is filtered. For simplicity, we denote this category by  $\{(X, \overline{X})/X\}$ .*

*Proof.* According to Nagata's theorem, there is a proper  $k$ -variety  $\overline{X}$  such that  $(X, \overline{X})$  is a geometric pair. Because of Hypotheses 1.5 (i) and 1.7 this can be transformed via a strict proper birational morphism into a normal crossing pair  $(X, \overline{X}')$ .

To see that the category of normal crossing pairs extending  $X$  is filtered, let  $(X, \overline{X}_1)$  and  $(X, \overline{X}_2)$  be two normal crossing pairs. Take  $\overline{X}'$  to be the closure of  $X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}_1 \times \overline{X}_2$ . Then  $(X, \overline{X}')$  is a geometric pair. By Hypotheses 1.5 (i) and 1.7 this can again be transformed via a strict proper birational morphism into a normal crossing pair  $(X, \overline{X}_3)$  together with strict morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & (X, \overline{X}_3) & \\ & \swarrow \quad \searrow & \\ (X, \overline{X}_1) & & (X, \overline{X}_2) \end{array}$$

over  $X$ .  $\square$

**Definition 1.26.** We consider the following cd-structures on  $\mathbf{Sm}_k$  which we call *good*:

- (i) The *good smooth blow-up cd-structure* on  $\mathbf{Sm}_k$  consists of smooth blow-up squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z' & \longrightarrow & X' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ Z & \xrightarrow{e} & X \end{array}$$

which can be embedded into Cartesian squares of normal crossing pairs

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (Z', \overline{Z}') & \hookrightarrow & (X', \overline{X}') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ (Z, \overline{Z}) & \xrightarrow{e} & (X, \overline{X}), \end{array}$$

where all morphisms are strict and  $p$  is the blow-up with centre  $\overline{Z}$ .

- (ii) The *good smooth Zariski cd-structure* on  $\mathbf{Sm}_k$  is given by smooth Zariski squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \longrightarrow & V \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow j \\ U & \xrightarrow{i} & X \end{array}$$

which can be embedded into a finite disjoint union of Cartesian squares of normal crossing pairs of the form (a) or (b) below:

(a) a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (Y, \overline{X}) & \hookrightarrow & (V, \overline{X}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow j \\ (U, \overline{X}) & \xrightarrow{i} & (X, \overline{X}), \end{array}$$

where all entries have the same compactification;

(b) diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \emptyset & \longrightarrow & \emptyset \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow j \\ (X, \overline{X}) & \xrightarrow{i} & (X, \overline{X}), \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \emptyset & \longrightarrow & (X, \overline{X}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow j \\ \emptyset & \xrightarrow{i} & (X, \overline{X}), \end{array}$$

where two of the entries are empty.

In (i) (resp. (ii)), for a good smooth blow-up square (resp. a good smooth Zariski square), we call a square of normal crossing pairs as above in which the given square is embedded a *good compactification* of the given square.

**Proposition 1.27.** *Under Hypotheses 1.5, 1.7 and 1.8, any smooth blow-up square is a good smooth blow-up square.*

*Proof.* Given a smooth blow-up square in  $\mathbf{Sm}_k$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z' & \hookrightarrow & X' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ Z & \xrightarrow{e} & X, \end{array}$$

there exists according to Lemma 1.25 a normal crossing compactification  $(X, \overline{X}_1)$  with  $\overline{X}_1$  smooth. We denote by  $\overline{Z}_1$  the closure of  $Z$  in  $\overline{X}_1$ . By Hypothesis 1.8, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (Z, \overline{Z}) & \hookrightarrow & (X, \overline{X}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (Z, \overline{Z}_1) & \hookrightarrow & (X, \overline{X}_1) \end{array}$$

such that  $(Z, \overline{Z}) \hookrightarrow (X, \overline{X})$  is a strict closed immersion of  $nc$ -pairs and the vertical morphisms are strict proper birational. By setting  $\overline{X}' := \mathrm{Bl}_{\overline{Z}} \overline{X}$ , we obtain the desired good compactification

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (Z', \overline{Z}') & \hookrightarrow & (X', \overline{X}') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ (Z, \overline{Z}) & \xrightarrow{e} & (X, \overline{X}) \end{array}$$

of our given smooth blow-up square, given that  $X' = \mathrm{Bl}_Z X$  by hypothesis.  $\square$

In general, not every Zariski square is good, but the following result shows that this holds at least  $\mathrm{cdp}$ -locally:

**Proposition 1.28.** *Let us assume Hypotheses 1.5 and 1.7. For a given Zariski square*

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Y & \longrightarrow & V \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U & \longrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

in  $\mathbf{Var}_k$ , there is a split hypercovering  $X_\bullet \rightarrow X$  with respect to the cdp-topology in  $\mathbf{Var}_k$  with  $X_\bullet$  smooth such that the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_\bullet & \longrightarrow & V_\bullet \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U_\bullet & \longrightarrow & X_\bullet \end{array}$$

of the square (3) to  $X_\bullet$  is a simplicial good smooth Zariski square, that is, a square of simplicial smooth  $k$ -varieties which can be embedded into a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (Y_\bullet, \overline{Y}_\bullet) & \longrightarrow & (V_\bullet, \overline{V}_\bullet) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (U_\bullet, \overline{U}_\bullet) & \longrightarrow & (X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet) \end{array}$$

of simplicial  $nc$ -pairs (also called a good compactification) such that, for each  $i$ , the induced square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (Y_i, \overline{Y}_i) & \longrightarrow & (V_i, \overline{V}_i) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (U_i, \overline{U}_i) & \longrightarrow & (X_i, \overline{X}_i) \end{array}$$

is a good compactification of a good smooth Zariski square.

*Proof.* By Nagata's theorem we have a geometric pair of the form  $(X, \overline{X})$ , and by defining  $\overline{U}, \overline{V}, \overline{Y}$  to be the closure of  $U, V, Y$  in  $\overline{X}$  respectively, we can form a commutative square

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (Y, \overline{Y}) & \longrightarrow & (V, \overline{V}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (U, \overline{U}) & \longrightarrow & (X, \overline{X}) \end{array}$$

in  $\mathbf{Var}_k^{geo}$  enclosing the Zariski square (3).

**Claim 1.** Given a commutative square (4) in  $\mathbf{Var}_k^{geo}$  enclosing a Zariski square (3), there exists a strict proper birational morphism  $f_1: (W_1, \overline{W}_1) \rightarrow (X, \overline{X})$  with  $(W_1, \overline{W}_1)$  an  $nc$ -pair such that the pullback of the square (4) by  $f_1$  in the category  $\mathbf{Var}_k^{geo}$  is a good compactification of a good smooth Zariski square.

*Proof of Claim 1.* By Hypotheses 1.5 and 1.7, we may assume that  $(X, \overline{X})$  is an  $nc$ -pair to prove the claim. Let  $(X, \overline{X}) := \coprod_j (X_j, \overline{X}_j)$  be the decomposition of  $(X, \overline{X})$  into connected components, and let

$$(5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (Y_j, \overline{Y}_j) & \longrightarrow & (V_j, \overline{V}_j) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (U_j, \overline{U}_j) & \longrightarrow & (X_j, \overline{X}_j) \end{array}$$

be the pullback of the square (4) to  $(X_j, \overline{X}_j)$ .

If  $Y_j = \emptyset$ , either  $U_j = X_j, V_j = \emptyset$  or  $U_j = \emptyset, V_j = X_j$ . In the former (resp. the latter) case, the square (5) is equal to the former (resp. the latter) square in Definition 1.26(ii)(b) (with  $(X, \overline{X})$  replaced by  $(X_j, \overline{X}_j)$ ), which is a good compactification of a good smooth Zariski square.

If  $Y_j \neq \emptyset, \overline{Y}_j = \overline{U}_j = \overline{V}_j = \overline{X}_j$ . Applying Hypotheses 1.5 and 1.7 to  $(U_j, \overline{U}_j)$  and  $(V_j, \overline{V}_j)$ , we obtain a diagram

$$(U_j, \overline{U}_j) \longrightarrow (X_j, \overline{X}_j) \longleftarrow (V_j, \overline{V}_j)$$

of  $nc$ -pairs over the diagram

$$(U_j, \overline{U}_j) \longrightarrow (X_j, \overline{X}_j) \longleftarrow (V_j, \overline{V}_j).$$

Then, by letting  $\overline{Y}_j''$  to be the closure of  $Y_j$  in  $\overline{U}_j' \times_{\overline{X}_j} \overline{V}_j'$  and applying Hypotheses 1.5 and 1.7 to  $(Y_j, \overline{Y}_j'')$ , we obtain a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (Y_j, \overline{Y}_j') & \longrightarrow & (V_j, \overline{V}_j') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (U_j, \overline{U}_j') & \longrightarrow & (X_j, \overline{X}_j) \end{array}$$

of  $nc$ -pairs over the square (5). Because the inverse image of the simple normal crossing divisors  $\overline{U}_j' \setminus U_j, \overline{V}_j' \setminus V_j, \overline{X}_j \setminus X_j$  in  $\overline{Y}_j'$  are simple normal crossing subdivisors of  $\overline{Y}_j' \setminus Y_j$ , we see that the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (Y_j, \overline{Y}_j') & \longrightarrow & (V_j \times_{\overline{V}_j'} \overline{Y}_j', \overline{Y}_j') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (U_j \times_{\overline{U}_j'} \overline{Y}_j') & \longrightarrow & (X_j \times_{\overline{X}_j} \overline{Y}_j', \overline{Y}_j') \end{array}$$

of the square (5) by a strict proper birational morphism  $(X_j \times_{\overline{X}_j} \overline{Y}_j', \overline{Y}_j') \rightarrow (X_j, \overline{X}_j)$  in the category  $\text{Var}_k^{geo}$  is a good compactification of a good smooth Zariski square.

By setting  $(W_1, \overline{W}_1) := (\coprod_{j, Y_j = \emptyset} (X_j, \overline{X}_j)) \sqcup (\coprod_{j, Y_j \neq \emptyset} (X_j \times_{\overline{X}_j} \overline{Y}_j', \overline{Y}_j'))$ , we obtain a required strict proper birational map  $f_1: (W_1, \overline{W}_1) \rightarrow (X, \overline{X})$ .  $\square$

**Claim 2.** Given a commutative square (4) in  $\text{Var}_k^{geo}$  enclosing a Zariski square (3), there exists a strict proper morphism  $f: (X_0, \overline{X}_0) \rightarrow (X, \overline{X})$  with  $(X_0, \overline{X}_0)$  an  $nc$ -pair and  $X_0 \rightarrow X$  a cdp-covering such that the pullback of the square (4) by  $f$  in the category  $\text{Var}_k^{geo}$  is a good compactification of a good smooth Zariski square.

*Proof of Claim 2.* Let  $f_1: (W_1, \overline{W}_1) \rightarrow (X, \overline{X})$  be the strict proper birational morphism constructed in Claim 1. Let  $\overline{Z}_1' \subseteq \overline{X}$  be the locus where the morphism  $f_1: \overline{W}_1 \rightarrow \overline{X}$  is not an isomorphism, put  $Z_1 := X \cap \overline{Z}_1'$  and let  $\overline{Z}_1$  be the closure of  $Z_1$  in  $\overline{Z}_1'$ . Then we apply Claim 1 to the pullback of the square (4) to  $(Z_1, \overline{Z}_1)$  in the category  $\text{Var}_k^{geo}$  to define a strict proper birational morphism  $f_2: (W_2, \overline{W}_2) \rightarrow (Z_1, \overline{Z}_1)$ . Let  $\overline{Z}_2' \subseteq \overline{Z}_1$  be the locus where the morphism  $f_2: \overline{W}_2 \rightarrow \overline{Z}_1$  is not an isomorphism, set  $Z_2 := X \cap \overline{Z}_2'$  and let  $\overline{Z}_2$  be the closure of  $Z_2$  in  $\overline{Z}_2'$ . Continuing this process, which terminates because  $\overline{X}$  is Noetherian, we obtain a strict proper morphism  $f: (X_0, \overline{X}_0) := \coprod_j (W_j, \overline{W}_j) \rightarrow (X, \overline{X})$  with  $(X_0, \overline{X}_0)$  an  $nc$ -pair and  $X_0 \rightarrow X$  a cdp-covering such that the pullback of the square (4) by  $f$  in the category  $\text{Var}_k^{geo}$  is a good compactification of a good smooth Zariski square.  $\square$

Now we prove the proposition. It suffices to construct by induction an  $i$ -truncated simplicial  $nc$ -pairs  $(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)_{\bullet \leq i}$  over  $(X, \overline{X})$  such that  $X_{\bullet \leq i} \rightarrow X$  is an  $i$ -truncated split hypercovering with respect to the

cdp-topology in  $\text{Var}_k$  and that the pullback

$$(6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (Y_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)_{\bullet \leq i} & \longrightarrow & (V_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)_{\bullet \leq i} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (U_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)_{\bullet \leq i} & \longrightarrow & (X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)_{\bullet \leq i} \end{array}$$

of the square (4) to  $(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)_{\bullet \leq i}$  is a good compactification of an  $i$ -truncated simplicial good Zariski square. The case  $i = 0$  follows from Claim 2. Suppose that we have constructed an  $i$ -truncated simplicial  $nc$ -pairs  $(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)_{\bullet \leq i}$  over  $(X, \overline{X})$  as above. Let

$$(7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} ((\text{cosk}_i Y_\bullet)_{i+1}, (\text{cosk}_i \overline{Y}_\bullet)'_{i+1}) & \longrightarrow & ((\text{cosk}_i V_\bullet)_{i+1}, (\text{cosk}_i \overline{V}_\bullet)'_{i+1}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ ((\text{cosk}_i U_\bullet)_{i+1}, (\text{cosk}_i \overline{U}_\bullet)'_{i+1}) & \longrightarrow & ((\text{cosk}_i X_\bullet)_{i+1}, (\text{cosk}_i \overline{X}_\bullet)'_{i+1}) \end{array}$$

be the square we obtain by taking the degree  $i + 1$  part of the coskeleton of the square (6) in  $\text{Var}_k^{geo}$ . By applying Claim 2 to the square (7), we find a strict proper morphism

$$g: (X'_{i+1}, \overline{X}'_{i+1}) \rightarrow ((\text{cosk}_i X_\bullet)_{i+1}, (\text{cosk}_i \overline{X}_\bullet)'_{i+1})$$

with  $(X'_{i+1}, \overline{X}'_{i+1})$  an  $nc$ -pair and  $X'_{i+1} \rightarrow (\text{cosk}_i X_\bullet)_{i+1}$  a cdp-covering such that the pullback of the square (4) by  $g$  in the category  $\text{Var}_k^{geo}$  is a good compactification of a good smooth Zariski square. This map  $g$  induces an  $(i + 1)$ -truncated simplicial  $nc$ -pairs  $(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)_{\bullet \leq i+1}$  over  $(X, \overline{X})$  with desired properties by the recipe explained in [31, Prop. 5.1.3] (see also [13, 6.2]). So the proof of the proposition is finished.  $\square$

**1.4. Integral  $p$ -adic cohomology for smooth and open varieties.** In this subsection we will define a candidate for an integral  $p$ -adic cohomology theory on  $\text{Sm}_k$ . In addition to Hypotheses 1.5, 1.7 and 1.8 we also assume Hypothesis 1.9 which assures weak factorisation.

In the following, we regard  $\text{Spec } k, \text{Spec } W_n(k)$  and  $\text{Spf } W(k)$  as fine log (formal) schemes endowed with trivial log structure. For a log smooth fine log scheme  $X$  over  $\text{Spec } k$ , let  $W\omega_{X/k}^\bullet := \varprojlim W_n\omega_{X/k}^\bullet$  be the *logarithmic de Rham–Witt complex* [21, § 4]. (In [21, § 4] the structure morphism is supposed to be of Cartier type, but this is automatically satisfied in our case.) It is a complex of étale sheaves on  $X$ , and it computes log crystalline cohomology, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} R\Gamma_{\text{cris}}(X/W_n(k)) &\cong R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X, W_n\omega_{X/k}^\bullet), \\ R\Gamma_{\text{cris}}(X/W(k)) &\cong R\varprojlim R\Gamma_{\text{cris}}(X/W_n(k)) \cong R\varprojlim R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X, W_n\omega_{X/k}^\bullet) \cong R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X, W\omega_{X/k}^\bullet). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have the isomorphisms

$$H_{\text{cris}}^*(X/W_n(k)) \cong H_{\text{ét}}^*(X, W_n\omega_{X/k}^\bullet), \quad H_{\text{cris}}^*(X/W(k)) \cong H_{\text{ét}}^*(X, W\omega_{X/k}^\bullet).$$

*Remark 1.29.* It is possible to find an explicit complex representing  $R\Gamma_{\text{cris}}(X/W_n(k)) \cong R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X, W_n\omega_{X/k}^\bullet)$  in the derived category in such a way that it is functorial in  $X$ . This can be accomplished by taking for example the Godement resolution of  $W_n\omega_{X/k}^\bullet$  on the étale site. The same holds for  $R\Gamma_{\text{cris}}(X/W(k)) \cong R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X, W\omega_{X/k}^\bullet)$ .

*Remark 1.30.* Another definition of the logarithmic de Rham–Witt complex  $W_n\omega_{(X, \overline{X})/k}^\bullet, W\omega_{(X, \overline{X})/k}^\bullet$  is given by Matsue [24], and the compatibility of the two definitions are proven in [19, A].

*Example 1.31.* A normal crossing pair  $(X, \overline{X})$  gives rise to a log scheme which we denote again by  $(X, \overline{X})$  where the underlying scheme is  $\overline{X}$  and the log structure is induced by the divisor  $D = \overline{X} \setminus X$ . Such a log scheme is fine and proper log smooth over  $\text{Spec } k$ . In this case, the truncated (resp. untruncated)

logarithmic de Rham–Witt complex  $W_n\omega_{(X,\overline{X})/k}^\bullet$  (resp.  $W\omega_{(X,\overline{X})/k}^\bullet$ ) is also denoted by  $W_n\Omega_{\overline{X}/k}^\bullet(\log D)$  (resp.  $W\Omega_{\overline{X}/k}^\bullet(\log D)$ ). So we have the isomorphisms

$$H_{\text{cris}}^*((X,\overline{X})/W_n(k)) \cong H_{\text{ét}}^*(\overline{X}, W_n\Omega_{\overline{X}/k}^\bullet(\log D)), \quad H_{\text{cris}}^*((X,\overline{X})/W(k)) \cong H_{\text{ét}}^*(\overline{X}, W\Omega_{\overline{X}/k}^\bullet(\log D)).$$

**Definition 1.32.** For any normal crossing pair  $(X,\overline{X})$  and any  $n \geq 1$  let  $A_n^\bullet(X,\overline{X})$  be an explicit complex functorial in the log scheme associated to  $(X,\overline{X})$  representing  $R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\overline{X}, W_n\omega_{(X,\overline{X})/k}^\bullet)$ .

**Proposition 1.33.** *Let us assume Hypotheses 1.5, 1.7 and 1.9. Then, for a fixed  $X \in \text{Sm}_k$ , the complexes in the family  $\{A_n^\bullet(X,\overline{X})\}_{\overline{X}}$  are all quasi-isomorphic, where  $\overline{X}$  runs through all normal crossing compactifications of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 1.25 and weak factorisation it suffices to prove that

$$A_n^\bullet(X,\overline{X}) \rightarrow A_n^\bullet(X,\overline{X}')$$

is a quasi-isomorphism when  $(X,\overline{X}') \rightarrow (X,\overline{X})$  is a blow-up with smooth centre  $\overline{Z}$  which is normal-crossing with the boundary divisor  $D = \overline{X} \setminus X$ . Let  $\overline{U}_\bullet \rightarrow \overline{X}$  be a Zariski Čech hypercovering induced by an affine covering of  $\overline{X}$  and denote the pullback of it to  $\overline{X}'$  by  $\overline{U}'_\bullet \rightarrow \overline{X}'$ . All of the  $\overline{U}_i$  and  $\overline{U}'_i$  are smooth (but in general not proper) and may be endowed with the log structure associated to the simple normal crossing divisors  $D_i := \overline{U}_i \cap D$  and  $D'_i := \overline{U}'_i \cap D'$ . In analogy to the notation above, we denote the respective log schemes by  $(U_i, \overline{U}_i)$  and  $(U'_i, \overline{U}'_i)$ , where  $U_i := \overline{U}_i \setminus D_i$  and  $U'_i := \overline{U}'_i \setminus D'_i$ . In particular we have a morphism of simplicial log schemes

$$(U'_\bullet, \overline{U}'_\bullet) \rightarrow (U_\bullet, \overline{U}_\bullet).$$

By Zariski descent, the vertical maps in the diagram

$$(8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H^* A_n^\bullet(X,\overline{X}) \cong H_{\text{ét}}^*(\overline{X}, W_n\Omega_{\overline{X}/k}^\bullet(\log D)) & \longrightarrow & H^* A_n^\bullet(X',\overline{X}') \cong H_{\text{ét}}^*(\overline{X}', W_n\Omega_{\overline{X}'/k}^\bullet(\log D')) \\ \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \sim \\ H_{\text{ét}}^*(\overline{U}_\bullet, W_n\Omega_{\overline{U}_\bullet/k}^\bullet(\log D_\bullet)) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^*(\overline{U}'_\bullet, W_n\Omega_{\overline{U}'_\bullet/k}^\bullet(\log D'_\bullet)) \end{array}$$

are isomorphisms. So it suffices to prove that the lower horizontal map is an isomorphism, and this is reduced to proving the isomorphism

$$H_{\text{ét}}^*(\overline{U}_i, W_n\Omega_{\overline{U}_i/k}^\bullet(\log D_i)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^*(\overline{U}'_i, W_n\Omega_{\overline{U}'_i/k}^\bullet(\log D'_i))$$

for each  $i$ , by standard spectral sequence argument. Hence it suffices to work Zariski locally on  $\overline{X}$ . Thus, we may assume that  $\overline{X}$  is affine and that there exists an étale morphism  $\overline{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^d$  such that  $D$  and  $\overline{Z}$  are defined as zeros of some coordinates of  $\mathbb{A}_k^d$ . More precisely, there are integers  $a, b, c, d$  with  $0 \leq a \leq b \leq c \leq d$  and an étale morphism

$$\overline{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } k[x_1, \dots, x_a, \dots, x_b, \dots, x_c, \dots, x_d]$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{Z} &= \{x_1 = \dots = x_b = 0\}, \\ D &= \{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_a x_{b+1} \cdots x_c = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Take an étale morphism

$$\overline{\mathfrak{X}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{W_n(k)}^d = \text{Spec } W_n(k)[x_1, \dots, x_a, \dots, x_b, \dots, x_c, \dots, x_d]$$

which lifts the morphism  $\overline{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^d = \text{Spec } k[x_1, \dots, x_a, \dots, x_b, \dots, x_c, \dots, x_d]$  above, define the closed subschemes  $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}, \mathfrak{D}$  of  $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathfrak{Z}} &:= \{x_1 = \dots = x_b = 0\}, \\ \mathfrak{D} &:= \{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_a x_{b+1} \cdots x_c = 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

and set  $\mathfrak{X} := \overline{\mathfrak{X}} \setminus \mathfrak{D}$ . The log scheme  $(\mathfrak{X}, \overline{\mathfrak{X}})$ , whose underlying scheme is  $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}$  and whose log structure is induced by the divisor  $\mathfrak{D}$ , is a lift to  $W_n(k)$  of the log scheme  $(X, \overline{X})$ . The pair  $\{(X, \overline{X}), (\mathfrak{X}, \overline{\mathfrak{X}})\}$  is an embedding system in the sense of [21, (2.18)]. Let

$$F: \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$$

be the Frobenius lift on  $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}$  induced by  $x_i \mapsto x_i^p$  and the canonical Frobenius on  $W_n(k)$ . The map  $F$  induces a morphism of schemes

$$W_n(X) \xrightarrow{t_F} \overline{\mathfrak{X}},$$

where  $t_F$  is induced by the chosen Frobenius lift  $F$  (see [22, 0. (1.3.20)]). Let us write  $W_n(X, \overline{X})$  for the  $n$ -th Witt lift of the log scheme associated to  $(X, \overline{X})$ , see [21, Def. 3.1]. With the above choice of  $F$ , the morphism  $t_F$  induces a morphism of log schemes

$$W_n(X, \overline{X}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}, \overline{\mathfrak{X}})$$

and so we obtain a morphism of complexes of sheaves

$$\Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log \mathfrak{D}) \rightarrow W_n \Omega_{\overline{X}/k}^\bullet(\log D),$$

where  $\Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log \mathfrak{D})$  denotes the logarithmic de Rham complex of  $(\mathfrak{X}, \overline{\mathfrak{X}})$  over  $W_n(k)$ . Note that  $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}$  has already divided powers (cf. proof of [22, II. Thm. 1.4]) and hence  $\Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log \mathfrak{D})$  is the crystalline complex denoted by  $C_n$  in the proof of [21, Thm. 4.19]. According to *loc. cit.* the above morphism of complexes is a quasi-isomorphism.

Similarly, one obtains a quasi-isomorphism

$$\Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}'}/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log \mathfrak{D}') \xrightarrow{\sim} W_n \Omega_{\overline{X}'/k}^\bullet(\log D')$$

where

$$\pi: \overline{\mathfrak{X}'} := \text{Bl}_{\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}} \overline{\mathfrak{X}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{X}}$$

denotes the blow-up of  $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}$  along  $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}$  and  $\mathfrak{D}' := (\pi^{-1}\mathfrak{D})_{\text{red}}$ . Thus it suffices to prove the quasi-isomorphism

$$(9) \quad R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}, \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log \mathfrak{D})) \cong R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\overline{\mathfrak{X}'}, R\pi_* \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}'}/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log \mathfrak{D}')).$$

In the first step, we reduce the general case to the case  $a = b$ . Thus assume  $b > a$ . We define a new divisor  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{D}} := \{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_a x_{a+1} x_{b+1} \cdots x_c = 0\} \subseteq \overline{\mathfrak{X}}$  and consider the closed immersion

$$i: \overline{\mathfrak{X}}_1 := \{x_{a+1} = 0\} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{X}}.$$

We will write  $\mathfrak{D}_1 := \mathfrak{D} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{X}}_1$  and  $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}_1 := \overline{\mathfrak{Z}} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{X}}_1$  for the intersections with  $\mathfrak{D}$  and  $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}$ . The blow-up

$$\pi: \overline{\mathfrak{X}'} := \text{Bl}_{\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}_1} \overline{\mathfrak{X}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{X}}$$

admits a standard affine open covering

$$\overline{\mathfrak{X}'} = \bigcup_{r=1}^b \overline{\mathfrak{X}}'_r$$

such that  $\pi$  on  $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'_r$  is given by the Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\mathfrak{X}}'_r & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & \overline{\mathfrak{X}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Spec } W_n(k)[y_1, \dots, \check{y}_r, \dots, y_b, x_r, x_{b+1}, \dots, x_d] & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec } W_n(k)[x_1, \dots, x_d], \end{array}$$

where the variable  $y_r$  is omitted on the lower left-hand side and where the lower horizontal morphism is induced by  $x_i \mapsto x_r y_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq b, i \neq r$  and  $x_i \mapsto x_i$  otherwise. The divisors on  $\overline{\mathfrak{X}'}$  induced by  $\mathfrak{D}$  and  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{D}}$  will be denoted by  $\mathfrak{D}' := (\pi^{-1}\mathfrak{D})_{\text{red}}$  and  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{D}}' := (\pi^{-1}\widetilde{\mathfrak{D}})_{\text{red}}$ .

Similarly, the blow-up

$$\pi_1: \overline{\mathfrak{X}}'_1 := \text{Bl}_{\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}_1} \overline{\mathfrak{X}}_1 \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{X}}_1$$



admits a standard affine open covering

$$\overline{\mathfrak{X}}_1 = \bigcup_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq b \\ r \neq a+1}}^b \overline{\mathfrak{X}}'_{1,r}$$

such that  $\pi_1$  on  $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'_{1,r}$  is given by the Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\mathfrak{X}}'_{1,r} & \xrightarrow{\hspace{10em}} & \overline{\mathfrak{X}}_1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Spec } W_n(k)[y_1, \dots, \check{y}_r, \dots, \check{y}_{a+1}, \dots, y_b, x_r, x_{b+1}, \dots, x_d] & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec } W_n(k)[x_1, \dots, \check{x}_{a+1}, \dots, x_d] \end{array}$$

with  $x_i \mapsto x_r y_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq b, i \neq r, a+1$  and  $x_i \mapsto x_i$  otherwise. The divisor on  $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}_1$  induced by  $\mathfrak{D}$  will be denoted by  $\mathfrak{D}'_1 := (\pi_1^{-1} \mathfrak{D})_{\text{red}}$ . Let  $i' : \overline{\mathfrak{X}}'_1 \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{X}}_1$  be the closed immersion induced by  $i : \overline{\mathfrak{X}}_1 \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{X}}$ .

**Claim.** There are short exact sequences of complexes

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log \mathfrak{D}) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}) \longrightarrow i_* \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}_1/W_n(k)}^{\bullet-1}(\log \mathfrak{D}_1) \longrightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\longrightarrow \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log \mathfrak{D}') \longrightarrow \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}') \longrightarrow i'_* \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'_1/W_n(k)}^{\bullet-1}(\log \mathfrak{D}'_1) \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof of Claim.* The sheaf  $\Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/W_n(k)}^1(\log \tilde{\mathfrak{D}})$  is freely generated by

$$\frac{dx_i}{x_i} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq a+1, \quad dx_i \text{ for } a+2 \leq i \leq b, \quad \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \text{ for } b+1 \leq i \leq c, \quad dx_i \text{ for } c+1 \leq i \leq d,$$

while the sheaf  $\Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/W_n(k)}^1(\log \mathfrak{D})$  is freely generated by

$$\frac{dx_i}{x_i} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq a, \quad dx_i \text{ for } a+1 \leq i \leq b, \quad \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \text{ for } b+1 \leq i \leq c, \quad dx_i \text{ for } c+1 \leq i \leq d,$$

So the quotient  $\Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/W_n(k)}^1(\log \tilde{\mathfrak{D}})/\Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/W_n(k)}^1(\log \mathfrak{D})$  is the free  $i_* \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}_1}$ -module generated by the class of  $\frac{dx_{a+1}}{x_{a+1}}$ . Passing to exterior powers proves the exactness of the first short exact sequence.

We prove the exactness of the second short exact sequence on each of the standard open sets  $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'_r$ . For  $1 \leq r \leq a$  the sheaf  $\Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'/W_n(k)}^1(\log \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}')|_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'_r}$  is freely generated by

$$\frac{dy_i}{y_i} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq a, i \neq r, \quad \frac{dx_r}{x_r}, \quad \frac{dy_{a+1}}{y_{a+1}}, \quad dy_i \text{ for } a+2 \leq i \leq b, \quad \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \text{ for } b+1 \leq i \leq c, \quad dx_i \text{ for } c+1 \leq i \leq d,$$

while the sheaf  $\Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'/W_n(k)}^1(\log \mathfrak{D}')|_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'_r}$  is freely generated by

$$\frac{dy_i}{y_i} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq a, i \neq r, \quad \frac{dx_r}{x_r}, \quad dy_i \text{ for } a+1 \leq i \leq b, \quad \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \text{ for } b+1 \leq i \leq c, \quad dx_i \text{ for } c+1 \leq i \leq d.$$

For  $a+2 \leq r \leq b$  the sheaf  $\Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'/W_n(k)}^1(\log \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}')|_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'_r}$  is freely generated by

$$\frac{dy_i}{y_i} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq a, \quad \frac{dx_r}{x_r}, \quad \frac{dy_{a+1}}{y_{a+1}}, \quad dy_i \text{ for } a+2 \leq i \leq b, i \neq r, \quad \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \text{ for } b+1 \leq i \leq c, \quad dx_i \text{ for } c+1 \leq i \leq d,$$

while the sheaf  $\Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'/W_n(k)}^1(\log \mathfrak{D}')|_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'_r}$  is freely generated by

$$\frac{dy_i}{y_i} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq a, \quad \frac{dx_r}{x_r}, \quad dy_i \text{ for } a+1 \leq i \leq b, i \neq r, \quad \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \text{ for } b+1 \leq i \leq c, \quad dx_i \text{ for } c+1 \leq i \leq d.$$

In both cases, the quotient  $\Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'/W_n(k)}^1(\log \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}')/\Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'/W_n(k)}^1(\log \mathcal{D}')|_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'_r}$  is the free  $i_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'_{1,r}}$ -module generated by the class of  $\frac{dy_{a+1}}{y_{a+1}}$ . Passing to exterior powers proves the exactness of the second short exact sequence on all standard open subsets  $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'_r$  for  $1 \leq r \leq b, i \neq a+1$ . It remains to prove the exactness on  $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'_{a+1}$ . The sheaves  $\Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'/W_n(k)}^1(\log \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}')|_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'_{a+1}}$  and  $\Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'/W_n(k)}^1(\log \mathcal{D}')|_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'_{a+1}}$  are both freely generated by

$$\frac{dy_i}{y_i} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq a, \quad \frac{dx_{a+1}}{x_{a+1}}, \quad dy_i \text{ for } a+2 \leq i \leq b, \quad \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \text{ for } b+1 \leq i \leq c, \quad dx_i \text{ for } c+1 \leq i \leq d.$$

So the quotient  $\Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'/W_n(k)}^1(\log \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}')/\Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'/W_n(k)}^1(\log \mathcal{D}')|_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'_{a+1}}$  is zero, but  $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'_{a+1}$  is the complement of the image of  $i'$ , so  $(i'_*\Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'_1/W_n(k)}^1(\log \mathcal{D}'_1))|_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'_{a+1}} = 0$ . This proves the exactness of the second short exact sequence on  $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'_{a+1}$  and concludes the proof of the claim.  $\square$

By the above claim, we obtain a morphism of triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log \mathcal{D}) & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}) & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}_1/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log \mathcal{D}_1)[-1] & \xrightarrow{+1} & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ R\pi_*\Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log \mathcal{D}') & \longrightarrow & R\pi_*\Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}') & \longrightarrow & R\pi_*\Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'_1/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log \mathcal{D}'_1)[-1] & \xrightarrow{+1} & \end{array}$$

In particular, the claimed quasi-isomorphism (9) for the triple  $(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}, \overline{\mathfrak{Z}}, \mathcal{D})$  follows if one proves the quasi-isomorphism (9) for the triples  $(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}_1, \overline{\mathfrak{Z}}_1, \mathcal{D}_1)$  and  $(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}, \overline{\mathfrak{Z}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{D}})$ . For a moment, let us call the parameters  $(a, b, c, d)$  the type of the triple  $(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}, \overline{\mathfrak{Z}}, \mathcal{D})$ . With this terminology, the triple  $(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}_1, \overline{\mathfrak{Z}}_1, \mathcal{D}_1)$  is of type  $(a, b-1, c-1, d-1)$  and  $(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}, \overline{\mathfrak{Z}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{D}})$  is of type  $(a+1, b, c, d)$ . Thus, we can inductively reduce the proof to the case  $a = b$ .

From now on we assume that  $a = b$ . Let us first verify the isomorphism

$$(10) \quad \pi^*\Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/W_n(k)}^i(\log \mathcal{D}) \cong \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'/W_n(k)}^i(\log \mathcal{D}').$$

This is easily checked locally on the open charts  $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'_r$ : the sheaf  $\pi^*\Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/W_n(k)}^1(\log \mathcal{D})$  is freely generated by

$$\frac{dx_i}{x_i} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq a, \quad \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \text{ for } b+1 \leq i \leq c, \quad dx_i \text{ for } c+1 \leq i \leq d,$$

while  $\Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'/W_n(k)}^1(\log \mathcal{D}')|_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'_r}$  is freely generated by

$$\frac{dy_i}{y_i} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq a, i \neq r, \quad \frac{dx_r}{x_r}, \quad \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \text{ for } b+1 \leq i \leq c, \quad dx_i \text{ for } c+1 \leq i \leq d.$$

The map  $\pi^*\Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/W_n(k)}^1(\log \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'/W_n(k)}^1(\log \mathcal{D}')|_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'_r}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx_i}{x_i} &\mapsto \frac{dy_i}{y_i} + \frac{dx_r}{x_r} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq a \text{ and } i \neq r, \\ \frac{dx_i}{x_i} &\mapsto \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \text{ for } b+1 \leq i \leq c \text{ or } i = r, \\ dx_i &\mapsto dx_i \text{ for } c+1 \leq i \leq d. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the isomorphism (10) for  $i = 1$  and the general case follows by passing to exterior powers.

Using the isomorphism (10), the projection formula and the well-known quasi-isomorphism  $R\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}}$ , we obtain the quasi-isomorphisms

$$R\pi_*\Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'/W_n(k)}^i(\log \mathcal{D}') \cong R\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}}} \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/W_n(k)}^i(\log \mathcal{D}) \cong \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/W_n(k)}^i(\log \mathcal{D}),$$

and finally the quasi-isomorphism

$$R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}, \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log \mathcal{D})) \cong R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}, R\pi_*\Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log \mathcal{D}')).$$

□

**Definition 1.34.** Assume Hypotheses 1.5 and 1.7. For  $X \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$  and  $n \geq 1$  set

$$A_n^\bullet(X) := \varinjlim_{(X, \overline{X})/X} A_n^\bullet(X, \overline{X})$$

where the limit runs through the filtered category of normal crossing pairs extending  $X$  (cf. Lem. 1.25). Let  $A^\bullet(X) := R\varinjlim A_n^\bullet(X)$ .

**Corollary 1.35.** Under Hypotheses 1.5, 1.7 and 1.9  $A_n^\bullet(X)$  is quasi-isomorphic to  $A_n^\bullet(X, \overline{X})$  for  $X \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$  and any normal crossing compactification  $\overline{X}$  of  $X$ .

**Proposition 1.36.** Under Hypotheses 1.5, 1.7 and 1.9  $A_n^\bullet(X)$  (and hence  $A^\bullet(X)$ ) is functorial in  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism in  $\mathbf{Sm}_k$ . We consider the category

$$\{\overline{f} : (X, \overline{X}) \rightarrow (Y, \overline{Y}) \mid (X, \overline{X}), (Y, \overline{Y}) \in \mathbf{Var}_k^{nc}\}$$

of morphisms of normal crossing pairs extending  $f$ . For simplicity, we denote it by  $\{\overline{f}/f\}$ . We first observe that this forms a non-empty filtered category.

Choose normal crossing pairs  $(X, \overline{X})$  and  $(Y, \overline{Y})$  extending  $X$  and  $Y$ , which exist by Lemma 1.25. Let  $\overline{X'}$  be the closure of the graph of  $f$  in  $\overline{X} \times_k \overline{Y}$ . Then  $(X, \overline{X'})$  is a geometric pair, and the projection to  $\overline{Y}$  induces a morphism of geometric pairs  $(X, \overline{X'}) \rightarrow (Y, \overline{Y})$  extending  $f$ . By Hypotheses 1.5 and 1.7 there exists a proper, birational morphism  $(X, \overline{X''}) \rightarrow (X, \overline{X'})$  from a normal crossing pair which is an isomorphism on  $X$ . The composition  $\overline{f} : (X, \overline{X''}) \rightarrow (Y, \overline{Y})$  is a morphism of normal crossing pairs extending  $f$  as desired. With similar methods as in Lemma 1.25 it can be seen that the category  $\{\overline{f}/f\}$  is filtered.

There are the two projection functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \{\overline{f}/f\} & \\ P_1 \swarrow & & \searrow P_2 \\ \{(X, \overline{X})/X\} & & \{(Y, \overline{Y})/Y\}, \end{array}$$

where  $\{(X, \overline{X})/X\}$  denotes the category of normal crossing compactifications introduced in Lemma 1.25. The argument above shows in fact that  $P_2$  is surjective. Clearly, any extension  $\overline{f}$  of  $f$  to normal crossing pairs induces a natural morphism of complexes

$$A_n^\bullet(P_2(\overline{f})) \rightarrow A_n^\bullet(P_1(\overline{f})).$$

Hence, we obtain a zigzag of morphisms of complexes

$$\varinjlim_{(Y, \overline{Y})/Y} A_n^\bullet(Y, \overline{Y}) \xleftarrow{\sim} \varinjlim_{\overline{f}/f} A_n^\bullet(P_2(\overline{f})) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{\overline{f}/f} A_n^\bullet(P_1(\overline{f})) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{(X, \overline{X})/X} A_n^\bullet(X, \overline{X})$$

where the left pointing map is an isomorphism (not just a quasi-isomorphism) by the surjectivity of the projection  $P_2$ . This provides a morphism of complexes  $A_n^\bullet(Y) \rightarrow A_n^\bullet(X)$  induced by  $f$  and it is now easy to check functoriality. □

As a consequence, we may regard  $A_n^\bullet$  as a complex of presheaves on  $\mathbf{Sm}_k$ . We show now that  $A_n^\bullet(X)$  satisfies the so-called *Mayer-Vietoris property* (compare [10]) for smooth blow-up squares and smooth Zariski squares. We will see that this implies that  $A_n^\bullet$  and  $A^\bullet$  satisfy cohomological cdp- and rh-descent.

**Proposition 1.37.** Assume Hypotheses 1.5, 1.7, 1.8 and 1.9. For a smooth blow-up square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z' & \longrightarrow & X' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Z & \longrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

the induced diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_n^\bullet(Z') & \longleftarrow & A_n^\bullet(X') \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ A_n^\bullet(Z) & \longleftarrow & A_n^\bullet(X) \end{array}$$

is homotopy co-Cartesian.

*Proof.* By Proposition 1.27 there is a good compactification

$$(11) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (Z', \overline{Z}') & \longrightarrow & (X', \overline{X}') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (Z, \overline{Z}) & \longrightarrow & (X, \overline{X}) \end{array}$$

of the given square where all morphisms are strict. By Corollary 1.35 it suffices to prove that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_n^\bullet(Z', \overline{Z}') & \longleftarrow & A_n^\bullet(X', \overline{X}') \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ A_n^\bullet(Z, \overline{Z}) & \longleftarrow & A_n^\bullet(X, \overline{X}) \end{array}$$

is homotopy co-Cartesian. Note that the diagram (11) is uniquely determined by  $\overline{X}$ ,  $D = \overline{X} \setminus X$  and  $\overline{Z}$ . As in the proof of Proposition 1.33, using suitable Zariski Čech hypercovering, we can reduce to the situation where there exists an étale morphism

$$\overline{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} k[x_1, \dots, x_b, \dots, x_c, \dots, x_d]$$

for some  $0 \leq b \leq c \leq d$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{Z} &= \{x_1 = \dots = x_b = 0\}, \\ D &= \{x_{b+1} \cdots x_c = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Again we choose lifts

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathfrak{X}} &\rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} W_n(k)[x_1, \dots, x_b, \dots, x_c, \dots, x_d], \\ \overline{\mathfrak{Z}} &= \{x_1 = \dots = x_b = 0\}, \\ \mathfrak{D} &= \{x_{b+1} \cdots x_c = 0\} \end{aligned}$$

to  $W_n(k)$  with a Frobenius lift given by  $x_i \mapsto x_i^p$ . Define  $\mathfrak{X} := \overline{\mathfrak{X}} \setminus \mathfrak{D}$ ,  $\mathfrak{Z} = \overline{\mathfrak{Z}} \cap \mathfrak{X}$  and set  $(\mathfrak{X}', \overline{\mathfrak{X}}') := (\mathrm{Bl}_{\mathfrak{Z}} \mathfrak{X}, \mathrm{Bl}_{\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}} \overline{\mathfrak{X}})$  and  $(\mathfrak{Z}', \overline{\mathfrak{Z}}') := (\mathfrak{Z} \times_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{X}', \overline{\mathfrak{Z}} \times_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}} \overline{\mathfrak{X}}')$ . As in the proof of Proposition 1.33, the Frobenius lift induces quasi-isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log \mathfrak{D}) &\xrightarrow{\sim} W_n \Omega_{\overline{X}/k}^\bullet(\log D), \\ \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}' \setminus \mathfrak{X}')) &\xrightarrow{\sim} W_n \Omega_{\overline{X}'/k}^\bullet(\log(\overline{X}' \setminus X')), \\ \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log(\overline{\mathfrak{Z}} \setminus \mathfrak{Z})) &\xrightarrow{\sim} W_n \Omega_{\overline{Z}/k}^\bullet(\log(\overline{Z} \setminus Z)), \\ \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}'/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log(\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}' \setminus \mathfrak{Z}')) &\xrightarrow{\sim} W_n \Omega_{\overline{Z}'/k}^\bullet(\log(\overline{Z}' \setminus Z')), \end{aligned}$$

and hence it suffices to show that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R\Gamma_{\acute{e}t}(\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}', \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}'/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log(\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}' \setminus \mathfrak{Z}'))) & \longleftarrow & R\Gamma_{\acute{e}t}(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}', \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}' \setminus \mathfrak{X}'))) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ R\Gamma_{\acute{e}t}(\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}, \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log(\overline{\mathfrak{Z}} \setminus \mathfrak{Z}))) & \longleftarrow & R\Gamma_{\acute{e}t}(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}, \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log \mathfrak{D})) \end{array}$$

is homotopy co-Cartesian.  $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'$  admits a standard affine open covering

$$\overline{\mathfrak{X}}' = \bigcup_{r=1}^b \overline{\mathfrak{X}}'_r$$

such that the restriction of the blow-up  $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}'$  to  $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'_r$  is given by the Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\mathfrak{X}}'_r & \xrightarrow{\hspace{10em}} & \overline{\mathfrak{X}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Spec} W_n(k)[y_1, \dots, \check{y}_r, \dots, y_b, x_r, x_{b+1}, \dots, x_d] & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec} W_n(k)[x_1, \dots, x_d], \end{array}$$

where the lower horizontal morphism is induced by  $x_i \mapsto x_r y_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq b, i \neq r$  and  $x_i \mapsto x_i$  otherwise. On these charts, we have the following explicit descriptions of the sheaves  $\pi^* \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/W_n(k)}^1(\log \mathfrak{D})$  and  $\Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'/W_n(k)}^1(\log \overline{\mathfrak{X}}' \setminus \mathfrak{X}')$ . The sheaf  $\pi^* \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/W_n(k)}^1(\log \mathfrak{D})|_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'_r}$  is freely generated by

$$dx_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq b, \quad \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \text{ for } b+1 \leq i \leq c, \quad dx_i \text{ for } c+1 \leq i \leq d,$$

while  $\Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'/W_n(k)}^1(\log \overline{\mathfrak{X}}' \setminus \mathfrak{X}')$  is freely generated by

$$dy_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq b, i \neq r, \quad dx_r, \quad \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \text{ for } b+1 \leq i \leq c, \quad dx_i \text{ for } c+1 \leq i \leq d.$$

The logarithmic differentials  $\frac{dx_i}{x_i}$  for  $b+1 \leq i \leq c$  induce compatible weight filtrations on the above complexes. In the following, let us describe the associated graded pieces of these filtrations in terms of the residue map. For  $b+1 \leq i \leq c$  let us write  $\mathfrak{D}_i$  for the closed subscheme of  $\mathfrak{D}$  given by  $\mathfrak{D}_i = \{x_i = 0\}$  and  $\mathfrak{Z}_i = \mathfrak{Z} \cap \mathfrak{D}_i$ . Furthermore, let us write  $\mathfrak{D}'_i = \mathrm{Bl}_{\mathfrak{Z}_i} \mathfrak{D}_i$  and  $\mathfrak{Z}'_i = \mathfrak{Z}_i \times_{\mathfrak{D}_i} \mathfrak{D}'_i$ . For a subset  $L \subseteq \{b+1, \dots, c\}$  let us introduce the notation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}_L &:= \bigcap_{i \in L} \mathfrak{D}_i, & \mathfrak{D}'_L &:= \bigcap_{i \in L} \mathfrak{D}'_i \\ \mathfrak{Z}_L &:= \bigcap_{i \in L} \mathfrak{Z}_i, & \mathfrak{Z}'_L &:= \bigcap_{i \in L} \mathfrak{Z}'_i \end{aligned}$$

and for  $1 \leq l \leq c-b$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}_l &:= \coprod_{\substack{L \subseteq \{b+1, \dots, c\} \\ |L|=l}} \mathfrak{D}_L, & \mathfrak{D}'_l &:= \coprod_{\substack{L \subseteq \{b+1, \dots, c\} \\ |L|=l}} \mathfrak{D}'_L \\ \mathfrak{Z}_l &:= \coprod_{\substack{L \subseteq \{b+1, \dots, c\} \\ |L|=l}} \mathfrak{Z}_L, & \mathfrak{Z}'_l &:= \coprod_{\substack{L \subseteq \{b+1, \dots, c\} \\ |L|=l}} \mathfrak{Z}'_L \end{aligned}$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}_0 &:= \overline{\mathfrak{X}}, & \mathfrak{D}'_0 &:= \overline{\mathfrak{X}}' \\ \mathfrak{Z}_0 &:= \overline{\mathfrak{Z}}, & \mathfrak{Z}'_0 &:= \overline{\mathfrak{Z}}'. \end{aligned}$$

With this notation, the residue map gives the following explicit description of the associated graded pieces for  $0 \leq l \leq c-b$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{gr}_l \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log \mathfrak{D}) &= \Omega_{\mathfrak{D}_l/W_n(k)}^\bullet[-l] \\ \mathrm{gr}_l \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}'/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}' \setminus \mathfrak{X}')) &= \Omega_{\mathfrak{D}'_l/W_n(k)}^\bullet[-l] \\ \mathrm{gr}_l \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log(\overline{\mathfrak{Z}} \setminus \mathfrak{Z})) &= \Omega_{\mathfrak{Z}_l/W_n(k)}^\bullet[-l] \\ \mathrm{gr}_l \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}'/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log(\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}' \setminus \mathfrak{Z}')) &= \Omega_{\mathfrak{Z}'_l/W_n(k)}^\bullet[-l]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it is enough to prove that for  $0 \leq l \leq c - b$  the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}'_l, \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}'_l}^\bullet/W_n(k)) & \longleftarrow & R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\overline{\mathfrak{D}}'_l, \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{D}}'_l}^\bullet/W_n(k)), \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}_l, \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}_l}^\bullet/W_n(k)) & \longleftarrow & R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\overline{\mathfrak{D}}_l, \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{D}}_l}^\bullet/W_n(k)) \end{array}$$

associated to the blow-up square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{Z}'_l & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{D}'_l \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{Z}_l & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{D}_l \end{array}$$

is homotopy co-Cartesian. But this is the non-logarithmic case proved in [18, IV, Thm. 1.2.1.].  $\square$

**Definition 1.38.** We define complexes of sheaves on  $\mathbf{Sm}_{k,\text{cdp}}$  and  $\mathbf{Sm}_{k,\text{rh}}$

$$a_{\text{cdp}}^* A_n^\bullet \quad \text{and} \quad a_{\text{rh}}^* A_n^\bullet$$

as the sheafifications with respect to the cdp- and rh-topology of the complex of presheaves on  $\mathbf{Sm}_k$  given by

$$X \mapsto A_n^\bullet(X).$$

We denote the complexes of sheaves on  $\mathbf{Var}_{k,\text{cdp}}$  and  $\mathbf{Var}_{k,\text{rh}}$  we obtain from  $a_{\text{cdp}}^* A_n^\bullet$  and  $a_{\text{rh}}^* A_n^\bullet$  respectively using the equivalences  $\text{Sh}(\mathbf{Sm}_{k,\text{cdp}}) \cong \text{Sh}(\mathbf{Var}_{k,\text{cdp}})$  and  $\text{Sh}(\mathbf{Sm}_{k,\text{rh}}) \cong \text{Sh}(\mathbf{Var}_{k,\text{rh}})$  by the same symbol. Furthermore, we set

$$a_{\text{cdp}}^* A^\bullet := R\varprojlim a_{\text{cdp}}^* A_n^\bullet, \quad a_{\text{rh}}^* A^\bullet := R\varprojlim a_{\text{rh}}^* A_n^\bullet.$$

Also, we denote by  $R\Gamma_{\text{cdp}}(X, -)$  and  $R\Gamma_{\text{rh}}(X, -)$  the derived global section functor for  $X \in \mathbf{Var}_k$  with respect to cdp- and rh-topology.

Note that  $R\Gamma_{\text{cdp}}(-, a_{\text{cdp}}^* A_n^\bullet)$  and  $R\Gamma_{\text{cdp}}(-, a_{\text{cdp}}^* A^\bullet)$  (resp.  $R\Gamma_{\text{rh}}(-, a_{\text{rh}}^* A_n^\bullet)$  and  $R\Gamma_{\text{rh}}(-, a_{\text{rh}}^* A^\bullet)$ ) satisfy cdp-descent (resp. rh-descent) in  $\mathbf{Var}_k$  by definition.

**Corollary 1.39.** *Assume Hypotheses 1.5, 1.7, 1.8 and 1.9. For  $X \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$ , the natural morphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} A_n^\bullet(X) &\rightarrow R\Gamma_{\text{cdp}}(X, a_{\text{cdp}}^* A_n^\bullet), \\ A^\bullet(X) &\rightarrow R\Gamma_{\text{cdp}}(X, a_{\text{cdp}}^* A^\bullet) \end{aligned}$$

are quasi-isomorphisms.

*Proof.* Under the hypotheses mentioned, we have seen in Proposition 1.37 that  $A_n^\bullet$  satisfies the Mayer-Vietoris property for smooth blow-up squares. As smooth blow-up squares generate the cdp-topology on  $\mathbf{Sm}_k$  by Proposition 1.23, this implies the first quasi-isomorphism by [10, Thm. 3.7]. The second quasi-isomorphism follows by taking (homotopy) limits.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.40.** *Assume Hypotheses 1.5, 1.7, 1.8 and 1.9. For a smooth Zariski square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \longrightarrow & V \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U & \longrightarrow & X, \end{array}$$

the induced diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_n^\bullet(Y) & \longleftarrow & A_n^\bullet(V) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ A_n^\bullet(U) & \longleftarrow & A_n^\bullet(X) \end{array}$$

is homotopy co-Cartesian.

*Proof.* Take a split hypercovering  $X_\bullet \rightarrow X$  with respect to the cdp-topology in  $\text{Var}_k$  with  $X_\bullet$  smooth which satisfies the condition in the statement of Proposition 1.28, and let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_\bullet & \longrightarrow & U_\bullet \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ V_\bullet & \longrightarrow & X_\bullet \end{array}$$

be the pullback of the given smooth Zariski square to  $X_\bullet$ , which is a simplicial good smooth Zariski square. Then we have the quasi-isomorphisms

$$A_n^\bullet(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} R\Gamma_{\text{cdp}}(X, a_{\text{cdp}}^* A_n^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\cong} R\Gamma_{\text{cdp}}(X_\bullet, a_{\text{cdp}}^* A_n^\bullet) \xleftarrow{\cong} A_n^\bullet(X_\bullet),$$

where the first and the third quasi-isomorphisms follows from Corollary 1.39 and the second quasi-isomorphism is the cdp-descent in  $\text{Var}_k$ . Similar quasi-isomorphisms hold also for  $U, V$  and  $Y$ . So it suffices to prove that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_n^\bullet(Y_\bullet) & \longleftarrow & A_n^\bullet(V_\bullet) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ A_n^\bullet(U_\bullet) & \longleftarrow & A_n^\bullet(X_\bullet) \end{array}$$

is homotopy co-Cartesian, and then it is further reduced to proving that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_n^\bullet(Y_i) & \longleftarrow & A_n^\bullet(V_i) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ A_n^\bullet(U_i) & \longleftarrow & A_n^\bullet(X_i) \end{array}$$

is homotopy co-Cartesian for each  $i$ . In particular, we may assume that the given smooth Zariski square is good. Then we may assume that  $X$  is connected, because we can work on each connected component of  $X$ . If the associated square on pairs is one of the Cartesian diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \emptyset & \longrightarrow & \emptyset \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (X, \overline{X}) & \longrightarrow & (X, \overline{X}) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \emptyset & \longrightarrow & (X, \overline{X}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \emptyset & \longrightarrow & (X, \overline{X}) \end{array}$$

the statement is obvious. Thus assume that the given Zariski square may be embedded into a Cartesian diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (Y, \overline{X}) & \hookrightarrow & (V, \overline{X}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (U, \overline{X}) & \hookrightarrow & (X, \overline{X}) \end{array}$$

where all entries have the same normal crossing compactification. By Corollary 1.35 it suffices to show that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_n^\bullet(Y, \overline{X}) & \longleftarrow & A_n^\bullet(V, \overline{X}) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ A_n^\bullet(U, \overline{X}) & \longleftarrow & A_n^\bullet(X, \overline{X}) \end{array}$$

is homotopy co-Cartesian. The complements  $D_X := \overline{X} \setminus X$ ,  $D_U := \overline{X} \setminus U$ ,  $D_V := \overline{X} \setminus V$  and  $D_Y := \overline{X} \setminus Y$  are simple normal crossing divisors on  $\overline{X}$ , and one sees easily that  $D_Y = D_U \cup D_V$  and  $D_X = D_U \cap D_V$ .

Working locally one reduces, similarly to the first reduction step in the proof of Proposition 1.33, to the case where there is an affine étale morphism

$$\overline{X} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} k[x_1, \dots, x_a, \dots, x_b, \dots, x_c, \dots, x_d]$$

for some  $0 \leq a \leq b \leq c \leq d$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} D_U &= \{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_b = 0\}, \\ D_V &= \{x_{a+1} x_{a+2} \cdots x_c = 0\}, \\ D_Y &= \{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_c = 0\}, \\ D_X &= \{x_{a+1} x_{a+2} \cdots x_b = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Choose lifts to  $W_n(k)$

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathfrak{X}} &\rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} W_n(k)[x_1, \dots, x_a, \dots, x_b, \dots, x_c, \dots, x_d], \\ \mathfrak{D}_U &= \{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_b = 0\}, \\ \mathfrak{D}_V &= \{x_{a+1} x_{a+2} \cdots x_c = 0\}, \\ \mathfrak{D}_Y &= \{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_c = 0\}, \\ \mathfrak{D}_X &= \{x_{a+1} x_{a+2} \cdots x_b = 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

together with a lift of Frobenius induced by  $x_i \mapsto x_i^p$ . As in the proof of Proposition 1.33 this Frobenius lift induces quasi-isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log \mathfrak{D}_X) &\xrightarrow{\sim} W_n \Omega_{\overline{X}/k}^\bullet(\log D_X), \\ \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log \mathfrak{D}_Y) &\xrightarrow{\sim} W_n \Omega_{\overline{X}/k}^\bullet(\log D_Y), \\ \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log \mathfrak{D}_U) &\xrightarrow{\sim} W_n \Omega_{\overline{X}/k}^\bullet(\log D_U), \\ \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log \mathfrak{D}_V) &\xrightarrow{\sim} W_n \Omega_{\overline{X}/k}^\bullet(\log D_V). \end{aligned}$$

Thus it comes down to showing that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}, \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log \mathfrak{D}_Y)) & \longleftarrow & R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}, \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log \mathfrak{D}_V)) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}, \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log \mathfrak{D}_U)) & \longleftarrow & R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}, \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log \mathfrak{D}_X)) \end{array}$$

is homotopy co-Cartesian. But this follows from the fact that the sequence of complexes of sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log \mathfrak{D}_X) \rightarrow \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log \mathfrak{D}_U) \oplus \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log \mathfrak{D}_V) \rightarrow \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/W_n(k)}^\bullet(\log \mathfrak{D}_Y) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact which can be checked by explicit computations.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.41.** *Let  $X \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$  and assume Hypotheses 1.5, 1.7, 1.8 and 1.9. The natural morphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} A_n^\bullet(X) &\rightarrow R\Gamma_{\text{cdp}}(X, a_{\text{cdp}}^* A_n^\bullet) \rightarrow R\Gamma_{\text{rh}}(X, a_{\text{rh}}^* A_n^\bullet), \\ A^\bullet(X) &\rightarrow R\Gamma_{\text{cdp}}(X, a_{\text{cdp}}^* A^\bullet) \rightarrow R\Gamma_{\text{rh}}(X, a_{\text{rh}}^* A^\bullet) \end{aligned}$$

*are quasi-isomorphisms.*

*Proof.* Recall that the rh-topology on  $\mathbf{Sm}_k$  is generated by smooth blow-up and smooth Zariski squares by Corollary 1.23. As  $A_n^\bullet$  satisfies the Mayer–Vietoris property for smooth blow-up squares and for smooth Zariski squares by the Propositions 1.37 and 1.40, the first quasi-isomorphism follows again from [10, Thm. 3.7]. The second quasi-isomorphism follows by taking (homotopy) limits.  $\square$



**Corollary 1.42.** *For  $(X, \overline{X}) \in \text{Var}_k^{nc}$ , we have quasi-isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} A_n^\bullet(X, \overline{X}) &\cong A_n^\bullet(X) \cong R\Gamma_{\text{cdp}}(X, a_{\text{cdp}}^* A_n^\bullet) \cong R\Gamma_{\text{rh}}(X, a_{\text{rh}}^* A_n^\bullet), \\ R\varprojlim A_n^\bullet(X, \overline{X}) &\cong A^\bullet(X) \cong R\Gamma_{\text{cdp}}(X, a_{\text{cdp}}^* A^\bullet) \cong R\Gamma_{\text{rh}}(X, a_{\text{rh}}^* A^\bullet) \end{aligned}$$

if we assume Hypotheses 1.5, 1.7, 1.8 and 1.9.

**1.5. Extension to  $k$ -varieties.** In this section we extend the integral  $p$ -adic cohomology theory defined above to general  $k$ -varieties via sheafification. As in the previous subsection, we assume Hypotheses 1.5, 1.7, 1.8 and 1.9.

From the descent results for smooth  $k$ -varieties obtained previously, we deduce the following statement:

**Proposition 1.43.** *Under Hypotheses 1.5, 1.7, 1.8 and 1.9, for  $X \in \text{Var}_k$*

$$\begin{aligned} R\Gamma_{\text{cdp}}(X, a_{\text{cdp}}^* A_n^\bullet) &\cong R\Gamma_{\text{rh}}(X, a_{\text{rh}}^* A_n^\bullet), \\ R\Gamma_{\text{cdp}}(X, a_{\text{cdp}}^* A^\bullet) &\cong R\Gamma_{\text{rh}}(X, a_{\text{rh}}^* A^\bullet). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Choose a cdp-hypercovering  $X_\bullet \rightarrow X$  such that each  $X_i$  is smooth over  $k$ . Then the canonical map

$$R\Gamma_{\text{cdp}}(X, a_{\text{cdp}}^* A_n^\bullet) \rightarrow R\Gamma_{\text{rh}}(X, a_{\text{rh}}^* A_n^\bullet)$$

is identified with the map

$$R\Gamma_{\text{cdp}}(X_\bullet, a_{\text{cdp}}^* A_n^\bullet) \rightarrow R\Gamma_{\text{rh}}(X_\bullet, a_{\text{rh}}^* A_n^\bullet)$$

by cdp-descent, and the latter map is a quasi-isomorphism because, for each  $i$ , the map

$$R\Gamma_{\text{cdp}}(X_i, a_{\text{cdp}}^* A_n^\bullet) \rightarrow R\Gamma_{\text{rh}}(X_i, a_{\text{rh}}^* A_n^\bullet)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism by Corollary 1.42. So we have shown the former quasi-isomorphism of the proposition. The latter quasi-isomorphism can be proven in the same way.  $\square$

For a  $k$ -variety  $X$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{cdp}}^*(X, a_{\text{cdp}}^* A^\bullet) &:= H^* R\Gamma_{\text{cdp}}(X, a_{\text{cdp}}^* A^\bullet), \\ H_{\text{rh}}^*(X, a_{\text{rh}}^* A^\bullet) &:= H^* R\Gamma_{\text{rh}}(X, a_{\text{rh}}^* A^\bullet). \end{aligned}$$

They are isomorphic by Proposition 1.43. Also, by Corollary 1.42, we have the functorial isomorphisms

$$H_{\text{cris}}^*((X, \overline{X})/W(k)) \cong H_{\text{cdp}}^*(X, a_{\text{cdp}}^* A^\bullet) \cong H_{\text{rh}}^*(X, a_{\text{rh}}^* A^\bullet)$$

for an  $nc$ -pair  $(X, \overline{X})$ .

We show that this cohomology gives a good integral  $p$ -adic cohomology theory, by proving the following two theorems.

**Theorem 1.44.** *Assume Hypotheses 1.5, 1.7, 1.8 and 1.9. Then, for a  $k$ -variety  $X$ , the cohomology groups  $H_{\text{cdp}}^*(X, a_{\text{cdp}}^* A^\bullet)$  are finitely generated  $W(k)$ -modules.*

*Proof.* Choose a cdp-hypercovering  $X_\bullet \rightarrow X$  such that each  $X_i$  is smooth over  $k$  and has a normal crossing compactification  $\overline{X}_i$  which exists by our hypothesis on embedded resolutions of singularities. By definition of  $a_{\text{cdp}}^* A^\bullet$  one obtains a descent spectral sequence

$$E_1^{ij} = H_{\text{cdp}}^j(X_i, a_{\text{cdp}}^* A^\bullet) \implies H_{\text{cdp}}^{i+j}(X_\bullet, a_{\text{cdp}}^* A^\bullet) \cong H_{\text{cdp}}^{i+j}(X, a_{\text{cdp}}^* A^\bullet).$$

For each  $i, j$ , we have the natural isomorphism  $H_{\text{cris}}^j((X_i, \overline{X}_i)/W(k)) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{\text{cdp}}^j(X_i, a_{\text{cdp}}^* A^\bullet)$  by Corollary 1.42. This shows that all the  $E_1$ -terms are finitely generated over  $W(k)$ , and hence the same is true for the abutment  $H_{\text{cdp}}^*(X, a_{\text{cdp}}^* A^\bullet)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 1.45.** *Assume Hypotheses 1.5, 1.7, 1.8 and 1.9. Then, for a  $k$ -variety  $X$ , there is a canonical quasi-isomorphism*

$$R\Gamma_{\text{rig}}(X/K) \cong R\Gamma_{\text{cdp}}(X, a_{\text{cdp}}^* A^\bullet) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q},$$

hence the isomorphism

$$H_{\text{rig}}^*(X/K) \cong H_{\text{cdp}}^*(X, a_{\text{cdp}}^* A^\bullet) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}.$$

*Proof.* Take a compactification  $X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$  of  $X$ . First we prove the following claim.

**Claim.** (cf. [27, Prop. 9.2]) There exists a split simplicial  $nc$ -pair  $(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)$  over  $(X, \overline{X})$  such that the morphism  $X_\bullet \rightarrow X$  is a split cdp-hypercovering in  $\mathbf{Var}_k$ .

*Proof of Claim.* As we saw in the proof of Proposition 1.18, there exists a cdp-covering  $X_0 \rightarrow X$  such that  $X_0$  is smooth, and we can compactify it into a morphism  $(X_0, \overline{X}_0) \rightarrow (X, \overline{X})$  with  $(X_0, \overline{X}_0)$  an  $nc$ -pair, using Hypothesis 1.5 and 1.7.

Now let us assume that we have constructed an  $i$ -truncated split simplicial  $nc$ -pair  $(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)_{\bullet \leq i}$  over  $(X, \overline{X})$  such that the morphism  $X_{\bullet \leq i} \rightarrow X$  is an  $i$ -truncated split cdp-hypercovering in  $\mathbf{Var}_k$ . Let  $((\text{cosk}_i(X_\bullet))'_{i+1}, (\text{cosk}_i(\overline{X}_\bullet))'_{i+1})$  be the degree  $i+1$  part of the coskeleton of  $(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)_{\bullet \leq i}$  over  $(X, \overline{X})$  in  $\mathbf{Var}_k^{geo}$ . Then, by the argument in the previous paragraph, there exists a morphism

$$(X'_{i+1}, \overline{X}'_{i+1}) \rightarrow ((\text{cosk}_i(X_\bullet))'_{i+1}, (\text{cosk}_i(\overline{X}_\bullet))'_{i+1})$$

such that  $(X'_{i+1}, \overline{X}'_{i+1})$  is an  $nc$ -pair and that  $X'_{i+1} \rightarrow (\text{cosk}_i(X_\bullet))'_{i+1}$  is a cdp-covering. This data induces an  $(i+1)$ -truncated simplicial  $nc$ -pairs  $(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)_{\bullet \leq i+1}$  over  $(X, \overline{X})$  such that the morphism  $X_{\bullet \leq i+1} \rightarrow X$  is an  $(i+1)$ -truncated split cdp-hypercovering in  $\mathbf{Var}_k$ , by the recipe in [31, Prop. 5.1.3] (see also [13, 6.2]). So the proof of the claim is finished by induction.  $\square$

Let  $(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet) \rightarrow (X, \overline{X})$  be as in the claim. Then, by [27, Cor. 7.7, Cor. 11.7], we have the quasi-isomorphism

$$R\Gamma_{\text{rig}}(X/K) \cong R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^\bullet(\log D_\bullet)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q},$$

where  $D_\bullet = \overline{X}_\bullet \setminus X_\bullet$ . Because we have the quasi-isomorphisms

$$R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^\bullet(\log D_\bullet)) \cong A(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet) \cong A(X_\bullet) \cong R\Gamma_{\text{cdp}}(X_\bullet, a_{\text{cdp}}^* A^*) \cong R\Gamma_{\text{cdp}}(X, a_{\text{cdp}}^* A^*),$$

we obtain the quasi-isomorphism

$$R\Gamma_{\text{rig}}(X/K) \cong R\Gamma_{\text{cdp}}(X, a_{\text{cdp}}^* A^*) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}$$

as desired.  $\square$

*Remark 1.46.* It is possible to find an explicit complex  $A_{\text{rh}}^\bullet(X)$  representing

$$R\Gamma_{\text{rh}}(X, a_{\text{rh}}^* A^*) = R\varprojlim_n R\Gamma_{\text{rh}}(X, a_{\text{rh}}^* A_n^*)$$

in such a way that it is functorial in  $X \in \mathbf{Var}_k$ , because we can take Godement resolution on the rh-site by [17, Cor. 3.8]. (For a geometric description of the points of the rh-site, see [17, Cor. 2.1]. See also [16, Thm. 1.6, 2.3, 2.6].) Then, if we denote by  $C^{\geq 0}(W(k))$  the category of complexes of  $W(k)$ -modules of non-negative degree, we obtain a functor

$$A_{\text{rh}}^\bullet : \mathbf{Var}_k \rightarrow C^{\geq 0}(W(k))$$

satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) It gives a good integral  $p$ -adic cohomology theory in the following sense:
  - (a) For any  $X \in \mathbf{Var}_k$ ,  $A_{\text{rh}}^\bullet(X)$  has finitely generated cohomologies over  $W(k)$ .
  - (b) For any  $nc$ -pair  $(X, \overline{X})$ , there exists a functorial quasi-isomorphism

$$A_{\text{rh}}^\bullet(X) \simeq R\Gamma_{\text{cris}}((X, \overline{X})/W(k)).$$

- (c) For any  $X \in \mathbf{Var}_k$ , there exists a functorial quasi-isomorphism

$$A_{\text{rh}}^\bullet(X)_{\mathbf{Q}} \simeq R\Gamma_{\text{rig}}(X/K).$$

- (ii) It satisfies rh-descent, that is, for any rh-hypercovering  $X_\bullet \rightarrow X$ , the induced morphism

$$A_{\text{rh}}^\bullet(X) \rightarrow A_{\text{rh}}^\bullet(X_\bullet)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

## 2. THE CASE WITHOUT RESOLUTION OF SINGULARITIES

In this section, we discuss integral  $p$ -adic cohomology theories without assuming resolution of singularities. In this case, instead of resolutions to arrive at a situation of projective normal crossing pairs, one could envision to use de Jong's alteration theorem. In fact, for a  $k$ -variety  $X$  and a compactification  $X \subseteq \overline{X}$  (whose existence is due to Nagata), we can form by [27, Prop. 9.2] a commutative diagram

$$(12) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X_{\bullet} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X \\ \downarrow \cap & & \downarrow \cap \\ \overline{X}_{\bullet} & \xrightarrow{\overline{\pi}} & \overline{X}, \end{array}$$

where the square is Cartesian,  $\pi$  is a split proper hypercovering,  $\overline{\pi}$  is proper, and  $(X_{\bullet}, \overline{X}_{\bullet})$  is a simplicial normal crossing pair which gives rise to a simplicial fine log scheme. With  $D_{\bullet} := \overline{X}_{\bullet} \setminus X_{\bullet}$ , its crystalline cohomology  $H_{\text{cris}}^i((X_{\bullet}, \overline{X}_{\bullet})/W(k)) \cong H_{\text{ét}}^i(\overline{X}_{\bullet}, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}/k}^{\bullet}(\log D_{\bullet}))$  is a finitely generated  $W(k)$ -module. Moreover, since we have the isomorphism

$$H_{\text{rig}}^i(X/K) \cong H_{\text{cris}}^i((X_{\bullet}, \overline{X}_{\bullet})/W(k)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}$$

by [27, (1.0.17), Cor. 11.7 1)], the cohomology  $H_{\text{cris}}^i((X_{\bullet}, \overline{X}_{\bullet})/W(k))$  is a candidate for a good integral  $p$ -adic cohomology theory. Hence one is tempted to ask whether this is independent of the choice of the diagram (12). In particular, when  $(X, \overline{X})$  is also a normal crossing pair with  $D := \overline{X} \setminus X$ , we ask whether the natural map

$$(13) \quad \overline{\pi}^* : H_{\text{cris}}^i((X, \overline{X})/W(k)) \rightarrow H_{\text{cris}}^i((X_{\bullet}, \overline{X}_{\bullet})/W(k))$$

is an isomorphism. However, we have the following easy counterexample:

*Example 2.1.* Let  $X$  be an elliptic curve over  $\mathbf{F}_p$  and let  $F : X \rightarrow X$  be the absolute Frobenius morphism. If we denote the Čech hypercovering associated to  $F$  by  $\pi : X'_{\bullet} \rightarrow X$  and if we set  $X_{\bullet} := (X'_{\bullet})_{\text{red}}$ , we see that each  $X_i$  ( $i \in \mathbf{N}$ ) is equal to  $X$ . Moreover,  $X_{\bullet} \rightarrow X$  forms a proper hypercovering: Indeed, for each  $i \in \mathbf{N}$ , we have a commutative diagram

$$(14) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X_{i+1} & \longrightarrow & X'_{i+1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{cosk}_i(X_{\bullet \leq i}) & \longrightarrow & \text{cosk}_i(X'_{\bullet \leq i}) \end{array}$$

such that the horizontal arrows are homeomorphic closed immersions (because so are the morphisms  $X_j \rightarrow X'_j$  ( $j \in \mathbf{N}$ )) and the right vertical arrow is an isomorphism (because  $X'_{\bullet} \rightarrow X$  is a Čech hypercovering). So the left vertical arrow is also a homeomorphic closed immersion and so it is proper and surjective. Thus  $X_{\bullet} \rightarrow X$  is a proper hypercovering, as required. Also, it is obviously split. If we set  $\overline{X}_{\bullet} := X_{\bullet}$  and  $\overline{X} := X$ , the pair  $(X_{\bullet}, \overline{X}_{\bullet})$  over  $(X, \overline{X})$  forms a commutative diagram (12) satisfying the conditions above.

In this case, we have maps

$$H_{\text{cris}}^1(X/W) \xrightarrow{\overline{\pi}^*} H_{\text{cris}}^1(X_{\bullet}/W(k)) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{\text{cris}}^1(X/W(k)),$$

where the second map is the edge map of the spectral sequence

$$E_1^{ij} = H_{\text{cris}}^j(X_i/W(k)) \Rightarrow H_{\text{cris}}^{i+j}((X_{\bullet}/W(k)),$$

such that the composite is equal to  $F^* : H_{\text{cris}}^1(X/W(k)) \rightarrow H_{\text{cris}}^1(X/W(k))$ . Since  $H_{\text{cris}}^1(X/W(k))$  has non-trivial positive slope part,  $F^*$  is not an isomorphism and so  $\overline{\pi}^*$  is not an isomorphism either.

Thus we should assume some generic étaleness assumption on the hypercovering  $\pi$ . (Note that, for a given  $(X, \overline{X})$ , there exists a diagram (12) such that  $\pi$  is a split proper generically étale hypercovering by Lemma B.9(i).) So we would like to consider the following question.

*Question 2.2.* Let  $X$  be a  $k$ -variety and let  $X \subseteq \overline{X}$  be its compactification. Suppose we are given a commutative diagram (12) such that the square is Cartesian,  $\pi$  is a split proper generically étale hypercovering,  $\overline{\pi}$  is proper, and  $(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)$  is a simplicial normal crossing pair. Then, is the crystalline cohomology  $H_{\text{cris}}^i((X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)/W(k))$  independent of the choice of the diagram (12)? In particular, when  $(X, \overline{X})$  is also a normal crossing pair, is the natural map (13) an isomorphism?

**2.1. Independence of  $H^0$ .** In this subsection, we give an affirmative answer to Question 2.2 for the zeroth crystalline cohomology group. It is certainly well-known but we write down a proof for the completeness of the paper.

Let  $X$  be a  $k$ -variety and let  $X \subseteq \overline{X}$  be its compactification. Suppose we are given a commutative diagram (12) such that the square is Cartesian,  $\pi$  is a split proper hypercovering,  $\overline{\pi}$  is proper, and  $(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)$  is a simplicial normal crossing pair. (We do not assume that  $\pi$  is generically étale. This suffices for us because we consider the zeroth cohomology group here.)

Then we have the following:

**Theorem 2.3.**  $H_{\text{cris}}^0((X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)/W(k))$  is independent of the choice of the pair  $(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)$  as above.

**Lemma 2.4.** (i) Let  $Y \hookrightarrow \overline{Y}$  be an open immersion of smooth varieties over  $k$  such that  $\overline{Y} \setminus Y$  is a simple normal crossing divisor (but  $\overline{Y}$  not necessarily proper) and let  $(Y, \overline{Y})$  be the resulting log scheme. Also, let  $U$  be an open dense subscheme of  $Y$ . Then the induced morphism  $H_{\text{cris}}^0((Y, \overline{Y})/W(k)) \rightarrow H_{\text{cris}}^0(U/W(k))$  is an isomorphism.

(ii) Let  $U$  be a connected smooth variety over  $k$  with  $U(k) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $H_{\text{cris}}^0(U/W(k)) = W(k)$ .

*Proof.* First we prove (i). Set  $D := \overline{Y} \setminus Y$ . By Zariski descent for crystalline cohomology, we may shrink  $\overline{Y}$  and so we may suppose the following:  $\overline{Y}$  is affine, connected and liftable to a smooth  $p$ -adic formal scheme  $\overline{\mathfrak{Y}}$  over  $\text{Spf } W(k)$ , and  $D$  is liftable to a relative simple normal crossing divisor  $\mathfrak{D}$  of  $\overline{\mathfrak{Y}}$ . Then  $U$  admits a lift  $\mathfrak{U}$  which is an open formal subscheme of  $\overline{\mathfrak{Y}}$ . Also, by the base change property of crystalline cohomology, we may replace  $k$  by a finite extension and so we may assume that  $U$  admits a  $k$ -rational point. Then  $\mathfrak{U}$  admits a  $\text{Spf } W(k)$ -valued point  $x$ . Let  $t_1, \dots, t_d$  be local coordinates of  $\mathfrak{U}$  at  $x$ . Then the map  $H_{\text{cris}}^0((Y, \overline{Y})/W(k)) \rightarrow H_{\text{cris}}^0(U/W(k))$  is equal to the map

$$(15) \quad \text{Ker}(\Gamma(\overline{\mathfrak{Y}}, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathfrak{Y}}}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\overline{\mathfrak{Y}}, \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{Y}}}^1(\log \mathfrak{D}))) \longrightarrow \text{Ker}(\Gamma(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathfrak{U}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{U}}^1)).$$

Both sides of the map contain  $W(k)$ . Also, there exist injective maps

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker}(\Gamma(\overline{\mathfrak{Y}}, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathfrak{Y}}}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\overline{\mathfrak{Y}}, \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{Y}}}^1(\log \mathfrak{D}))) &= \text{Ker}(\Gamma(\overline{\mathfrak{Y}}, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathfrak{Y}}}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\overline{\mathfrak{Y}}, \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{Y}}}^1)) \\ &\hookrightarrow \text{Ker} \left( W(k)[[t_1, \dots, t_d]] \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^d W(k)[[t_1, \dots, t_d]] dt_i \right) = W(k), \\ \text{Ker}(\Gamma(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathfrak{U}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{U}}^1)) &\hookrightarrow \text{Ker} \left( W(k)[[t_1, \dots, t_d]] \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^d W(k)[[t_1, \dots, t_d]] dt_i \right) = W(k) \end{aligned}$$

induced by injective maps

$$\Gamma(\overline{\mathfrak{Y}}, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathfrak{Y}}}) \hookrightarrow \Gamma(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}}) \hookrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{U}, x} = W(k)[[t_1, \dots, t_d]].$$

Thus both sides of the map (15) are equal to  $W(k)$  and so the assertion (i) is proved.

Next we prove (ii). Take a finite Zariski covering  $U = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$  such that each  $U_i$  is affine, connected and liftable to a smooth  $p$ -adic formal scheme. Also, since we may replace  $k$  by a finite extension to prove

the assertion, we may assume that  $V := \bigcap_{i \in I} U_i$  has a  $k$ -rational point. Then

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{cris}}^0(U/W(k)) &= \text{Ker} \left( \bigoplus_{i \in I} H_{\text{cris}}^0(U_i/W(k)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i, j \in I} H_{\text{cris}}^0(U_i \cap U_j/W(k)) \right) \\ &= \text{Ker} \left( \bigoplus_{i \in I} H_{\text{cris}}^0(V/W(k)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i, j \in I} H_{\text{cris}}^0(V/W(k)) \right) = H_{\text{cris}}^0(V/W(k)) = W(k), \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from (i), the third equality follows from the connectedness of  $U$  and the last equality follows from the computation in the proof of (i).  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.3.* Take an open dense subscheme  $U \subseteq X$  such that  $U$  is smooth over  $k$ , and set  $U_{\bullet} := X_{\bullet} \times_X U$ . Then the induced map  $U_{\bullet} \rightarrow U$  is a proper hypercovering. To prove the theorem, we may replace  $k$  by a finite extension and so we may assume that each connected component of  $U, U_0, U_1$  has a  $k$ -rational point.

By Lemma 2.4(i), we have isomorphisms

$$H_{\text{cris}}^0((X_{\bullet}, \overline{X}_{\bullet})/W(k)) \cong H_{\text{cris}}^0(U_{\bullet}/W(k)) \cong \text{Ker}(H_{\text{cris}}^0(U_0/W(k)) \rightarrow H_{\text{cris}}^0(U_1/W(k))).$$

So, to prove the theorem, it suffices to prove the exactness of the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\text{cris}}^0(U/W(k)) \rightarrow H_{\text{cris}}^0(U_0/W(k)) \rightarrow H_{\text{cris}}^0(U_1/W(k)).$$

By Lemma 2.4(ii), the above sequence is equal to the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow W(k)^{\oplus \pi_0(U)} \rightarrow W(k)^{\oplus \pi_0(U_0)} \rightarrow W(k)^{\oplus \pi_0(U_1)},$$

hence the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^0(U, \mathbf{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W(k) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^0(U_0, \mathbf{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W(k) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^0(U_1, \mathbf{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W(k).$$

The exactness of this sequence follows from the cohomological descent of étale cohomology for proper hypercoverings.  $\square$

**2.2. Independence of  $H^1$ .** In this subsection, we prove our first main result in this section, which gives an affirmative answer to Question 2.2 for the first crystalline cohomology group.

We fix our setting. Let  $X$  be a  $k$ -variety and let  $X \subseteq \overline{X}$  be its compactification. Suppose we are given a commutative diagram (12) such that the square is Cartesian,  $\pi$  is a split proper hypercovering,  $\overline{\pi}$  is proper, and  $(X_{\bullet}, \overline{X}_{\bullet})$  is a simplicial normal crossing pair with  $D_{\bullet} := \overline{X}_{\bullet} \setminus X_{\bullet}$ . Suppose moreover that  $X_0 \rightarrow X$  is generically étale. (This assumption is slightly weaker than that in Question 2.2, but it is the natural one because we only consider the first cohomology.)

Then we have the following:

**Theorem 2.5.**  $H_{\text{cris}}^1((X_{\bullet}, \overline{X}_{\bullet})/W(k))$  is independent of the choice of the pair  $(X_{\bullet}, \overline{X}_{\bullet})$  as above.

When  $p \geq 3$ , this is already proven by Andreatta–Barbieri-Viale [2, Cor. 7.5.4]. We will give a different proof which works also in the case  $p = 2$ . Moreover, in Appendix B, we will give a description of the cohomology  $H_{\text{cris}}^1((X_{\bullet}, \overline{X}_{\bullet})/W(k))$  in the style of the previous section, and discuss the functoriality of it.

First we explain briefly the proof of Andreatta–Barbieri-Viale. We take another diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X'_{\bullet} & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow \cap & & \downarrow \cap \\ \overline{X}'_{\bullet} & \longrightarrow & \overline{X} \end{array}$$

with  $D'_{\bullet} := \overline{X}'_{\bullet} \setminus X'_{\bullet}$  satisfying the same condition as  $(X_{\bullet}, \overline{X}_{\bullet})$ . To prove Theorem 2.5, it suffices to prove that, when we have a morphism  $(X_{\bullet}, \overline{X}_{\bullet}) \rightarrow (X'_{\bullet}, \overline{X}'_{\bullet})$ , the induced morphism of crystalline cohomologies

$$(16) \quad H_{\text{cris}}^1((X_{\bullet}, \overline{X}_{\bullet})/W(k)) \rightarrow H_{\text{cris}}^1((X'_{\bullet}, \overline{X}'_{\bullet})/W(k))$$

is an isomorphism, by Proposition B.10.

In this situation, we have the morphism between Picard 1-motives

$$(17) \quad \mathrm{Pic}^+(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet) \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}^+(X'_\bullet, \overline{X}'_\bullet)$$

associated to  $(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)$  and  $(X'_\bullet, \overline{X}'_\bullet)$ . Then Theorem 2.5 in the case  $p \geq 3$  is a consequence of the following two theorems.

**Theorem 2.6** ([2, Prop. A.2.8]). *The morphism (17) is an isomorphism (for any  $p$ ).*

**Theorem 2.7** ([2, Thm. B']). *If  $p \geq 3$ , there exists a functorial isomorphism  $\mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathrm{Pic}^+(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{\mathrm{cris}}^1((X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)/W(k))$  which is compatible with weight filtration and Frobenius action, where  $\mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{cris}}$  is the covariant Dieudonné functor (the contravariant Dieudonné functor of the  $p$ -divisible group associated to the dual).*

In our proof of Theorem 2.5, we will use Theorem 2.6 but we will not use Theorem 2.7. Thus our proof is different from that in [2] even when  $p \geq 3$ .

*Remark 2.8.* In Appendix A we give a proof of Theorem 2.7 for any prime  $p$ , but have to assume that  $k$  is a subfield of  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ .

First we recall the definition of the Picard 1-motive  $\mathrm{Pic}^+(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)$ . Recall that a 1-motive over  $k$  is a complex  $\mathbb{M} := [\mathbb{X} \xrightarrow{u} \mathbb{G}]$  sitting in degrees  $-1, 0$  consisting of a finite free  $\mathbf{Z}$ -module  $\mathbb{X}$  endowed with continuous  $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$ -action (regarded as a locally constant group scheme over  $k$ ), a semiabelian variety  $\mathbb{G}$  over  $k$  and a homomorphism of  $k$ -group schemes  $u : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ .

Let  $(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)$  be a simplicial proper normal crossing pair with  $D_\bullet = \overline{X}_\bullet \setminus X_\bullet$ . Then the Picard fppf-sheaf

$$T \mapsto \mathrm{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}(T) := H_{\mathrm{fppf}}^0(T, R^1 f_{T,*} \mathbb{G}_{m, \overline{X}_\bullet \times_k T}),$$

where  $f_T : \overline{X}_{\bullet, T} := \overline{X}_\bullet \times_{\mathrm{Spec} k} T \rightarrow T$  is the projection, is representable by a group scheme  $\mathrm{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}$  over  $k$  [3, Lem. 4.1.2] and its reduced identity component  $\mathrm{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^{0, \mathrm{red}}$  is a semiabelian variety over  $k$  (see Remark 2.11 below).

*Remark 2.9* (cf. [3, p.54]). For a  $k$ -variety  $T$  the Leray spectral sequence

$$E_2^{i,j} = H_{\mathrm{fppf}}^i(T, R^j f_{T,*} \mathbb{G}_m) \implies H_{\mathrm{fppf}}^{i+j}(\overline{X}_{\bullet, T}, \mathbb{G}_m)$$

induces the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{fppf}}^1(T, f_{T,*} \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{fppf}}^1(\overline{X}_{\bullet, T}, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{fppf}}^0(T, R^1 f_{T,*} \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{fppf}}^2(T, f_{T,*} \mathbb{G}_m).$$

Suppose  $k = \overline{k}$ . Then we have an isomorphism  $f_* \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet} \cong k^N$  for some  $N \geq 1$  and then  $f_{T,*} \mathbb{G}_m \cong (\mathcal{O}_T^\times)^N$ . Hence, if  $T = \mathrm{Spec} R$  for some Artinian local  $k$ -algebra  $R$ ,  $H_{\mathrm{fppf}}^i(T, f_{T,*} \mathbb{G}_m) = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and so

$$\mathrm{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}(T) \cong H_{\mathrm{fppf}}^1(\overline{X}_{\bullet, T}, \mathbb{G}_m) \cong H_{\mathrm{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_{\bullet, T}, \mathbb{G}_m).$$

Next, let  $\mathrm{Div}_{D_\bullet}(\overline{X}_\bullet)$  be the group of Weil divisors  $E$  on  $\overline{X}_0 \times_{\mathrm{Spec} k} \mathrm{Spec} \overline{k}$  supported on  $D_0 \times_{\mathrm{Spec} k} \mathrm{Spec} \overline{k}$  with  $d_1^* E = d_0^* E$ , where  $d_i : \overline{X}_1 \times_{\mathrm{Spec} k} \mathrm{Spec} \overline{k} \rightarrow \overline{X}_0 \times_{\mathrm{Spec} k} \mathrm{Spec} \overline{k}$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ) are projections. This is a finite free  $\mathbf{Z}$ -module endowed with continuous  $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$ -action, and there is the canonical morphism  $\mathrm{Div}_{D_\bullet}(\overline{X}_\bullet) \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}$ . If we define  $\mathrm{Div}_{D_\bullet}^0(\overline{X}_\bullet)$  to be the kernel of the composition

$$\mathrm{Div}_{D_\bullet}(\overline{X}_\bullet) \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet} \rightarrow \pi_0(\mathrm{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}) =: \mathrm{NS}(\overline{X}_\bullet),$$

we have the induced morphism  $u : \mathrm{Div}_{D_\bullet}^0(\overline{X}_\bullet) \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^{0, \mathrm{red}}$ . Then the Picard 1-motive  $\mathrm{Pic}^+(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)$  is defined by

$$\mathrm{Pic}^+(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet) := [\mathrm{Div}_{D_\bullet}^0(\overline{X}_\bullet) \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^{0, \mathrm{red}}].$$

By Theorem 2.6, we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.10.** *The natural morphisms  $\mathrm{Div}_{D_\bullet}^0(\overline{X}_\bullet) \rightarrow \mathrm{Div}_{D'_\bullet}^0(\overline{X}'_\bullet)$ ,  $\mathrm{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^{0, \mathrm{red}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_{\overline{X}'_\bullet}^{0, \mathrm{red}}$  induced by (17) are isomorphisms (for any  $p$ ).*

*Remark 2.11.* We have by a standard argument the exact sequence

$$(18) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet} \rightarrow \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}'$$

with

$$\mathbb{T} := \frac{\mathrm{Ker} \left( (f_1)_* \mathbb{G}_{m, \overline{X}_1} \rightarrow (f_2)_* \mathbb{G}_{m, \overline{X}_2} \right)}{\mathrm{Im} \left( (f_0)_* \mathbb{G}_{m, \overline{X}_0} \rightarrow (f_1)_* \mathbb{G}_{m, \overline{X}_1} \right)}, \quad \mathbb{T}' := \frac{\mathrm{Ker} \left( (f_2)_* \mathbb{G}_{m, \overline{X}_2} \rightarrow (f_3)_* \mathbb{G}_{m, \overline{X}_3} \right)}{\mathrm{Im} \left( (f_1)_* \mathbb{G}_{m, \overline{X}_1} \rightarrow (f_2)_* \mathbb{G}_{m, \overline{X}_2} \right)},$$

$$\mathbb{K} := \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{Pic}_{\overline{X}_0} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_{\overline{X}_1}),$$

where  $f_i : X_i \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} k$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2$ ) are structure morphisms. The reduced identity components  $\mathbb{T}^{0, \mathrm{red}}, \mathbb{T}'^{0, \mathrm{red}}$  of  $\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{T}'$  are tori, and the reduced identity component

$$\mathbb{K}^{0, \mathrm{red}} = \mathrm{Ker}^{0, \mathrm{red}}(\mathrm{Pic}_{\overline{X}_0} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_{\overline{X}_1}) = \mathrm{Ker}^{0, \mathrm{red}}(\mathrm{Pic}_{\overline{X}_0}^{0, \mathrm{red}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_{\overline{X}_1}^{0, \mathrm{red}})$$

of  $\mathbb{K}$  is an abelian variety. Therefore, the composite  $\mathbb{K}^{0, \mathrm{red}} \rightarrow \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}'$  is zero. So, if we set  $\mathrm{Pic}'_{\overline{X}_\bullet} := \mathrm{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet} \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}^{0, \mathrm{red}}$ , we obtain an exact sequence

$$(19) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}'_{\overline{X}_\bullet} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^{0, \mathrm{red}} \rightarrow 0$$

by pulling back the sequence (18) by  $\mathbb{K}^{0, \mathrm{red}} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ . If we set  $\mathbb{F} := \mathbb{T}/\mathbb{T}^{0, \mathrm{red}}$ , it is a finite group scheme and if we denote the quotient  $\mathrm{Pic}'_{\overline{X}_\bullet}/\mathbb{T}^{0, \mathrm{red}}$  by  $\widetilde{\mathbb{K}}$ , we obtain exact sequences

$$(20) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{0, \mathrm{red}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}'_{\overline{X}_\bullet} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{K}} \rightarrow 0, \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{K}} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^{0, \mathrm{red}} \rightarrow 0.$$

From the second sequence of (20), we see that  $\widetilde{\mathbb{K}}$  is proper and so its reduced identity component  $\widetilde{\mathbb{K}}^{0, \mathrm{red}}$  is an abelian variety. Thus, by pulling back the first sequence of (20) by  $\widetilde{\mathbb{K}}^{0, \mathrm{red}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{K}}$ , we obtain the exact sequence

$$(21) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{0, \mathrm{red}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^{0, \mathrm{red}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{K}}^{0, \mathrm{red}} \rightarrow 0,$$

which describes  $\mathrm{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^{0, \mathrm{red}}$  as an extension of an abelian variety by a torus.

By construction, there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}' \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{K}}^{0, \mathrm{red}} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^{0, \mathrm{red}} \rightarrow 0$$

for some closed subgroup scheme  $\mathbb{F}'$  of  $\mathbb{F}$ . In [2, Remark 5.1.2], Andreatta–Barbieri-Viale claim that  $\mathbb{K}^{0, \mathrm{red}}$  is the abelian part of  $\mathrm{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^{0, \mathrm{red}}$  (hence  $\mathbb{F}' = 0$ ), but it seems that there is no proof for it. Because they use this description of the abelian part in the proof of Theorem 2.7, some supplementary argument would be required. In this article, we do not use Theorem 2.7.

*Remark 2.12.* Let the notations be as above and assume that  $k$  is algebraically closed. Then we have  $\mathrm{NS}(\overline{X}_\bullet) := \pi_0(\mathrm{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}) = \mathrm{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}(k)/\mathrm{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^{0, \mathrm{red}}(k)$ . By the following commutative diagrams with exact horizontal lines

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{T}^{0, \mathrm{red}}(k) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^{0, \mathrm{red}}(k) & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{\mathbb{K}}^{0, \mathrm{red}}(k) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{T}(k) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}(k) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K}(k), \\ & & & & & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}'(k) & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{\mathbb{K}}^{0, \mathrm{red}}(k) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K}^{0, \mathrm{red}}(k) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K}(k) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K}(k) \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K}^{0,\text{red}}(k) & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_0}^{0,\text{red}}(k) & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_1}^{0,\text{red}}(k) \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K}(k) & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_0}(k) & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_1}(k),
\end{array}$$

we obtain the exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{T}(k)/\mathbb{T}^{0,\text{red}}(k) &\rightarrow \text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}(k)/\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^{0,\text{red}}(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}(k)/\text{Im}(\tilde{\mathbb{K}}^{0,\text{red}}(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}(k)), \\
0 &\rightarrow \mathbb{K}(k)/\text{Im}(\tilde{\mathbb{K}}^{0,\text{red}}(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}(k)) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}(k)/\mathbb{K}^{0,\text{red}}(k) \rightarrow 0, \\
0 &\rightarrow \mathbb{K}(k)/\mathbb{K}^{0,\text{red}}(k) \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_0}(k)/\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_0}^{0,\text{red}}(k).
\end{aligned}$$

Because  $\mathbb{T}(k)/\mathbb{T}^{0,\text{red}}(k)$  is a finite group and  $\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_0}(k)/\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_0}^{0,\text{red}}(k) = \text{NS}(\overline{X}_0)$  is a finitely generated  $\mathbf{Z}$ -module, we conclude that  $\text{NS}(\overline{X}_\bullet)$  is also a finitely generated  $\mathbf{Z}$ -module.

Now we start the proof of Theorem 2.5. Note that, to prove that the map (16) is an isomorphism, we can reduce to the case where  $k$  is algebraically closed. So we assume that  $k$  is algebraically closed and we generalise a part of the theory of de Rham–Witt cohomology in [22] to the case of proper smooth simplicial schemes.

First, we consider the slope spectral sequence

$$(22) \quad E_1^{ij} = H_{\text{ét}}^j(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^i) \implies H_{\text{ét}}^{i+j}(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^i) \cong H_{\text{cris}}^{i+j}(\overline{X}_\bullet/W(k)).$$

(The last isomorphism is proven in [27, Cor. 7.7].) This degenerates at  $E_1$  modulo torsion by [27, Prop. 7.3] (see also [22, II. Thm. 3.2]). Then, because  $H_{\text{ét}}^0(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^i) \subseteq H_{\text{ét}}^0(\overline{X}_0, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_0/k}^i)$  is  $p$ -torsion free, we see that, in the spectral sequence (22),

$$(23) \quad H_{\text{ét}}^0(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^i) = E_\infty^{i,0} \subseteq H_{\text{cris}}^i(\overline{X}_\bullet/W(k)).$$

Because  $H_{\text{cris}}^i(\overline{X}_\bullet/W(k))$  is a finite  $W(k)$ -module, we see that  $H_{\text{ét}}^0(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^i)$  is a finite free  $W(k)$ -module.

Next we study  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet})$ . We will need a simplicial version of several results in [22]. For the convenience of the reader we provide the arguments which can be adapted from the non-simplicial case with minor modifications.

**Lemma 2.13** (cf. [22, II. (2.1.2)]). *For any  $i, j$ , there exists an isomorphism*

$$(24) \quad H_{\text{ét}}^i(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^j) \xrightarrow{\cong} \varprojlim_n H_{\text{ét}}^i(\overline{X}_\bullet, W_n\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^j).$$

*Proof.* Because  $W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^j = R\varprojlim_n W_n\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^j$  (which follows from the case for usual smooth schemes), we have the isomorphism

$$R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^j) \xrightarrow{\cong} R\varprojlim_n R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\overline{X}_\bullet, W_n\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^j).$$

Also, since  $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\overline{X}_\bullet, W_n\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^j)$  is a  $W(k)$ -module of finite length (because this is the case for usual proper smooth schemes),

$$R^1\varprojlim_n H_{\text{ét}}^i(\overline{X}_\bullet, W_n\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^j) = 0.$$

Thus we obtain the isomorphism (24).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.14.** *The group  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet})$  is  $V$ -adically separated and complete. Also, for any  $n$ ,  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet})/V^n H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet})$  is a  $W(k)$ -module of finite length.*



*Proof.* We follow the argument in [33, pp.30–31]. Because the inverse limit of a projective system of exact sequences of  $W(k)$ -modules of finite length is exact [33, 4. Lem. 1], the exact sequences

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W_m \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}) \xrightarrow{V^n} H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W_{n+m} \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W_n \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}) \quad (m \in \mathbf{N})$$

induce the diagram

$$(25) \quad H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}) / V^n H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}) \hookrightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W_n \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet})$$

by Lemma 2.13. Taking the inverse limit with respect to  $n$  and using Lemma 2.13 again, we obtain maps

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}) \rightarrow \varprojlim_n (H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}) / V^n H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet})) \hookrightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet})$$

whose composition is the identity. Thus the first morphism is an isomorphism

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \varprojlim_n (H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}) / V^n H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet})).$$

In particular,  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet})$  is  $V$ -adically separated and complete. Moreover, the inclusion in the diagram (25) implies that  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}) / V^n H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet})$  is of finite length.  $\square$

*Remark 2.15.* The inclusion in the diagram (25) shows that the projective limit topology on  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}) = \varprojlim_n H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W_n \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet})$  coincides with the  $V$ -adic topology.

**Proposition 2.16** (cf. [22, II. Prop. 2.19], [33, 7. Prop. 4]). *The cohomology group  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet})$  is a finite free  $W(k)$ -module.*

*Proof.* We follow the proof in [33, 7. Prop. 4]. Since there exists an injection  $F^{n-1}d : W_n \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet} / F W_n \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet} \hookrightarrow \Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^1$  [22, I. (3.11.4)], we have

$$\dim_k H_{\text{ét}}^0(\overline{X}_\bullet, W_n \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet} / F W_n \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}) \leq \dim_k H_{\text{ét}}^0(\overline{X}_\bullet, \Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^1) =: c.$$

Then, since we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^0(\overline{X}_\bullet, W_n \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet} / F W_n \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W_n \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}) \xrightarrow{F} H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W_n \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet})$$

and  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W_n \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet})$  is a  $W(k)$ -module of finite length, we obtain the inequality

$$\dim_k (H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W_n \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}) / F H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W_n \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet})) = \dim_k H_{\text{ét}}^0(\overline{X}_\bullet, W_n \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet} / F W_n \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}) \leq c.$$

Taking inverse limit, we obtain the inequality  $\dim_k (H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}) / F H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet})) \leq c$ . Together with Lemma 2.14 using the equality  $p = FV$ , we see that  $\dim_k (H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}) / p H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}))$  is finite.

To ease the notation, we denote  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet})$  by  $H$  in what follows. Take a finite  $W(k)$ -submodule  $N$  of  $H$  which surjects to  $H/pH$ . Then  $N$  is dense in  $H$  with respect to the  $V$ -adic topology: Indeed, if we set  $M := H/(N + V^n H)$ , then  $M = pM$  by definition of  $N$ , and  $M$  is of finite length by the latter assertion of Lemma 2.14. Thus  $M = 0$  and hence  $N$  is dense in  $H$ . On the other hand,  $N$  is closed in  $H$  with respect to  $V$ -adic topology: Indeed, if we set  $N_n := N \cap V^n H$  for  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $\{N_n\}_n$  is a family of submodules of a finite  $W(k)$ -module  $N$  with  $N/N_n$  finite length and  $\bigcap_n N_n = 0$ . By the argument of [32, Ch. 1, 3. Prop. 2], these conditions imply that the topology defined by the family  $\{N_n\}_n$  is the same as the  $p$ -adic topology. Thus  $N$  is complete with respect to the topology defined by  $\{N_n\}_n$  and so  $N$  is closed in  $H$ . Hence  $N = H$  and thus  $H$  is a finite  $W(k)$ -module.

Finally we prove the freeness of  $H$ . Since the map  $W_{n+1} \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}$  admits a section  $a \mapsto (a, 0, \dots, 0)$  as a map of sheaves of sets, it induces the surjection  $H_{\text{ét}}^0(\overline{X}_\bullet, W_{n+1} \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^0(\overline{X}_\bullet, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet})$ . Hence the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow W_n \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet} \xrightarrow{V} W_{n+1} \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet} \rightarrow 0$$

induces the injection  $V : H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W_n \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}) \hookrightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W_{n+1} \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet})$ , thus the injection  $V : H \hookrightarrow H$ . If we denote the torsion submodule of  $H$  by  $T$ ,  $V : T \rightarrow T$  is injective and  $T$  is of finite length. Thus  $V(T) = T$  and so  $T = \bigcap_n V^n(T) \subseteq \bigcap_n V^n(H) = 0$ . Hence  $H$  is free, as required.  $\square$

*Remark 2.17.* The above proof shows that the  $V$ -adic topology on  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet})$  coincides with the  $p$ -adic topology. In particular, the action of  $V$  on  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet})$  is topologically nilpotent with respect to the  $p$ -adic topology.

**Proposition 2.18** (cf. [22, II. Prop. 3.11]). *In the slope spectral sequence (22), we have the equality  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}) = E_\infty^{0,1}$ . Consequently, there is an exact sequence of finite free  $W(k)$ -modules*

$$(26) \quad 0 \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^0(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^1) \rightarrow H_{\text{cris}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet/W(k)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}) \rightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* Consider the map  $d : H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^1)$ . Note that  $FdV = d$ . So we have increasing sequences of  $W(k)$ -modules  $\{\text{Ker}(F^n d)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{\text{Im}(F^n d)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . In order to show that  $d = 0$ , it suffices, by a Lemma due to Nygaard [22, II. Lem. 3.8], to prove that the above sequences are both stationary. (Note that, to apply [22, II. Lem. 3.8], we need to check that the map  $d$  above is continuous with respect to (a topology coarser than) the  $V$ -adic topology on  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet})$  and some separated topology on  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^1)$ . This is true if we take the projective limit topology in both cases defined by (24), which on  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet})$  is equal to the  $V$ -adic topology by Remark 2.15.) The sequence  $\{\text{Ker}(F^n d)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is stationary because  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet})$  is a finite  $W(k)$ -module. On the other hand,  $\{\text{Im}(F^n d)/\text{Im}(d)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an increasing sequence of  $W(k)$ -modules in  $E_2^{1,1}$  of the spectral sequence (22). Because  $E_2^{3,0} = E_\infty^{3,0}$  by (23), the map  $E_2^{1,1} \rightarrow E_2^{3,0}$  in the spectral sequence is zero, and so  $E_2^{1,1} = E_\infty^{1,1}$ . Thus  $E_2^{1,1}$  is a subquotient of  $H_{\text{cris}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet/W(k))$  and so a finite  $W(k)$ -module. Thus the sequence  $\{\text{Im}(F^n d)/\text{Im}(d)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is stationary and so  $\{\text{Im}(F^n d)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is also stationary. Hence we have proved that  $d = 0$ . Then, using this fact and (23), we see that  $H^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}) = E_\infty^{0,1}$ .  $\square$

We relate the cohomology groups in the exact sequence (26) to the reduced Picard scheme. To achieve this, but also at later parts in this section, we will need an isomorphism of certain cohomology groups that we explain now: Recall that the exact sequence on the fppf-site of  $\overline{X}_\bullet$

$$1 \rightarrow \mu_{p^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{p^n} \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow 1$$

and the exact sequence on the étale site of  $\overline{X}_\bullet$

$$1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^\times \xrightarrow{p^n} \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^\times / (\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^\times)^{p^n} \rightarrow 1$$

induce isomorphisms

$$(27) \quad \varprojlim_n H_{\text{ét}}^i(\overline{X}_\bullet, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^\times / (\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^\times)^{p^n}) \cong \varprojlim_n H_{\text{ét}}^i(\overline{X}_\bullet, \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{p^n} \mathbb{G}_m) \\ \cong \varprojlim_n H_{\text{fppf}}^i(\overline{X}_\bullet, \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{p^n} \mathbb{G}_m) \cong H_{\text{fppf}}^{i+1}(\overline{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Z}_p(1)).$$

**Lemma 2.19.** *Assume that  $k$  is algebraically closed. Then there exists a canonical isomorphism*

$$H_{\text{fppf}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Z}_p(1)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W(k) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{\text{ét}}^0(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^1).$$

*Proof.* The exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^\times / (\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^\times)^{p^n} \rightarrow W_n \Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^1 \xrightarrow{1-F} W_n \Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^1 \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \in \mathbb{N})$$

on the étale site of  $\overline{X}_\bullet$  (see [22, I. (3.27.1)]) together with the isomorphism (27) imply the isomorphism

$$H_{\text{fppf}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Z}_p(1)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Ker}(1 - F : H_{\text{ét}}^0(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^1) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^0(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^1)).$$

On the other hand, because  $H_{\text{ét}}^0(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^1) \subseteq H_{\text{ét}}^0(\overline{X}_0, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_0/k}^1)$  is pure of slope 1 (with respect to the Frobenius on crystalline cohomology), the map  $F : H_{\text{ét}}^0(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^1) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^0(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^1)$  induced by  $F$  on  $W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^1$  is an automorphism. Since  $H_{\text{ét}}^0(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^1)$  is a finite  $W(k)$ -module, the required isomorphism follows from [22, II. Lem. 6.8.4].  $\square$

**Proposition 2.20.** *Assume that  $k$  is algebraically closed. Then  $H_{\text{ét}}^0(\overline{X}_{\bullet}, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}/k}^1)$  is canonically isomorphic to the covariant Dieudonné module of the étale part of the  $p$ -divisible group associated to  $\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}}^{0,\text{red}}$ .*

*Proof.* By [2, Lem. A.2.1] (which is the simplicial version of [25, III. Lem. 4.17]), there is an isomorphism  $H_{\text{fppf}}^1(\overline{X}_{\bullet}, \mathbf{Z}_p(1)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}(\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p, \text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}})$ , and the right hand side is equal to

$$\text{Hom}(\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p, \text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}}^{0,\text{red}}) = \text{Hom}(\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p, \text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}}^{0,\text{red}}[p^\infty]),$$

because  $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p, G) = 0$  when  $G$  is a finite group scheme or a finitely generated discrete group scheme. By duality, this is further equal to  $\text{Hom}((\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}}^{0,\text{red}})^\vee[p^\infty], \mu_{p^\infty}) = \text{Hom}((\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}}^{0,\text{red}})^\vee[p^\infty], \mathbb{G}_m)$ . Thus  $H_{\text{fppf}}^1(\overline{X}_{\bullet}, \mathbf{Z}_p(1)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W(k) \cong H_{\text{ét}}^0(\overline{X}_{\bullet}, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}/k}^1)$  is isomorphic to

$$\text{Hom}((\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}}^{0,\text{red}})^\vee[p^\infty], \mathbb{G}_m) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W(k),$$

which is the contravariant Dieudonné module of the multiplicative part of the dual of  $\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}}^{0,\text{red}}$  (see [28, Def. 3.12, Def. 3.17]), namely, the covariant Dieudonné module of the étale part of the  $p$ -divisible group associated to  $\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}}^{0,\text{red}}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.21.** *Assume that  $k$  is algebraically closed.  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_{\bullet}, W\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}})$  is canonically isomorphic to the covariant Dieudonné module of the connected part of the  $p$ -divisible group associated to  $\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}}^{0,\text{red}}$ .*

*Proof.* We follow the proof of [28, Thm. 4.4].

First we fix notations. Let  $W_n, W := \varprojlim_n W_n$  be the truncated and untruncated Witt ring schemes. We denote the sheaf on a simplicial  $k$ -scheme associated to  $W$  by the same letter. In particular,  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_{\bullet}, W)$  is the same as  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_{\bullet}, W\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}})$ .

Let  $C_{-n} := W_n$  and let  $C := \varinjlim_n C_{-n}$ , where the limit is taken with respect to the maps  $C_{-n} = W_n \xrightarrow{V} W_{n+1} = C_{-(n+1)}$  ( $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ). This is called the module scheme of Witt covectors. The operator  $F : C \rightarrow C$  is defined as the inductive limit of  $F : W_n \rightarrow W_n$  ( $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ) and the operator  $V : C \rightarrow C$  is defined as the inductive limit of  $W_n \xrightarrow{V} W_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\text{proj.}} W_n$  ( $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ).  $V$  is the same as the inductive limit of  $W_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\text{proj.}} W_n$  ( $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ). We set  $C := C(k)$ .

For a group scheme  $G$  over  $k$  or an ind-object of finite group schemes  $G$  over  $k$  whose ranks are powers of  $p$ , its contravariant Dieudonné module  $\mathbf{M}(G)$  is defined by

$$\mathbf{M}(G) := \text{Hom}(G, C) \oplus (\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{G}_m) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} W(k)),$$

endowed with the operators  $F, V$  in a suitable way (see [28, Def. 3.12, Def. 3.17]). On the first factor, the operators  $F, V$  are the ones induced by those on  $C$ . Also, note that the second factor vanishes when  $G$  is unipotent.

We have the following compatibilities of the functor  $\mathbf{M}$  with duality: First, for a finite  $k$ -group scheme  $G$  whose rank is a power of  $p$ , we have the canonical isomorphism  $\mathbf{MD}(G) \cong \text{Hom}_{W(k)}(\mathbf{M}(G), C)$ , where  $\mathbf{D}$  is the Cartier dual and the operators  $F, V$  on the right hand side are defined by

$$F(\varphi)(x) = F(\varphi(Vx)), \quad V(\varphi)(x) = F^{-1}(\varphi(Fx)) \quad (\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{W(k)}(\mathbf{M}(G), C), x \in \mathbf{M}(G))$$

(see [28, Thm. 3.19]). Second, for a  $p$ -divisible group  $G$ , we have the canonical isomorphism  $\mathbf{MD}(G) \cong \text{Hom}(\mathbf{M}(G), W)$ , where  $\mathbf{D}$  is the Cartier dual of  $p$ -divisible groups and the definition of  $F, V$  on the right hand side is similar to the previous case (see [28, Prop. 3.22]).

Now we start the proof. We need to prove that  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_{\bullet}, W)$  is canonically isomorphic to the contravariant Dieudonné module of the unipotent part of  $(\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}}^{0,\text{red}})^\vee[p^\infty]$ . By duality, this is the  $W(k)$ -linear dual of the contravariant Dieudonné module of the connected part of  $\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}}^{0,\text{red}}[p^\infty]$ . Because the connected part of  $\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}}^{0,\text{red}}[p^\infty]$  is  $\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}}^{0,\text{red}}[F^\infty]$ , what we should prove is the equality  $\mathbf{M}(\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}}^{0,\text{red}}[F^\infty]) =$

$\text{Hom}(H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W), W(k))$ . Applying the Cartier dual, it suffices to prove the equality

$$\varinjlim_n \mathbf{MD}(\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^{0,\text{red}}[F^n]) = C \otimes_{W(k)} H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W).$$

First, we prove that the above equality follows from the equality

$$(28) \quad \varinjlim_n \mathbf{MD}(\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}[F^n]) = H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, C).$$

We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^{0,\text{red}}[F^\infty] \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}[F^\infty] \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

for a finite group scheme  $N$  killed by a power of  $F$ . In the associated exact sequence of Dieudonné modules

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{M}(N) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}(\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}[F^\infty]) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}(\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^{0,\text{red}}[F^\infty]) \rightarrow 0,$$

$\mathbf{M}(N)$  is killed by a power of  $F$  by [28, Prop. 3.13]. On the other hand,  $\mathbf{M}(\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^{0,\text{red}}[F^\infty])$  is a finite free  $W(k)$ -module because it is the Dieudonné module of a  $p$ -divisible group, and so  $F$  is injective on it. Thus

$$\mathbf{M}(\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^{0,\text{red}}[F^\infty]) = \varinjlim_m (\mathbf{M}(\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}[F^\infty]) / \text{Ker}(F^m : \mathbf{M}(\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}[F^\infty]) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}(\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}[F^\infty]))).$$

Applying the Cartier dual, we see that

$$\varinjlim_n \mathbf{MD}(\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^{0,\text{red}}[F^n]) = \bigcap_m \text{Im} \left( V^m : \varinjlim_n \mathbf{MD}(\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}[F^n]) \rightarrow \varinjlim_n \mathbf{MD}(\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}[F^n]) \right).$$

If we have the equality (28), the above module is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcap_m \text{Im} (V^m : H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, C) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, C)) &= \bigcap_m \varinjlim_n \text{Im} (V^m : H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, C_{-n-m}) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, C_{-n})) \\ &= \bigcap_m \varinjlim_{n, V} \text{Im} (\text{proj.} : H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W_{n+m}) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W_n)) \\ &\stackrel{\cong}{\leftarrow} \varinjlim_{n, V} \bigcap_m \text{Im} (\text{proj.} : H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W_{n+m}) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W_n)) \\ &= \varinjlim_{n, V} \text{Im} (\text{proj.} : H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W_n)) \\ &\stackrel{\cong}{\rightarrow} \varinjlim_{n, V} H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W) / V^n H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W) \cong C \otimes_{W(k)} H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varinjlim_{n, V}$  denotes the colimit induced by the maps  $W_n \xrightarrow{V} W_{n+1}$  ( $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ). Here, the isomorphism in the third line follows from the exact sequences

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W_{n'+m}) \xrightarrow{(V^{n-n'}, \text{proj.})} H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W_{n+m}) \oplus H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W_{n'}) \xrightarrow{(\text{proj.}, V^{n-n'})} H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W_n)$$

for  $m, n, n' \in \mathbf{N}$  with  $n \geq n'$ , the isomorphism in the fifth line follows from the exact sequence

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W) \xrightarrow{V^n} H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W) \xrightarrow{\text{proj.}} H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W_n)$$

and the last isomorphism follows from [28, Lem. 4.6]. (Note that, to apply [28, Lem. 4.6], we use the finite freeness of  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W)$  as  $W(k)$ -module which is shown in Proposition 2.16 and the topological nilpotence of the action of  $V$  on  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W)$  with respect to the  $p$ -adic topology which is shown in Remark 2.17.) Thus it suffices to prove the equality (28) to prove the theorem.

Now we prove the equality (28). We set  $H_n := (\mathcal{O}_{\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet, 0}}/(F^*)^n(\mathfrak{m}_{\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet, 0}})\mathcal{O}_{\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet, 0}})^*$ , where  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet, 0}}$  is the local ring of  $\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}$  at the identity,  $\mathfrak{m}_{\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet, 0}}$  is its maximal ideal and  $*$  denotes the  $k$ -linear dual. Then  $\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}[F^n] = \text{Spec } H_n$ . Since each  $\mathbf{D}(\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}[F^n])$  is unipotent, (28) is equivalent to the equality

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, C) = \varinjlim_n \text{Hom}(\text{Spec } H_n, C)$$

and to prove the latter, it suffices to prove the equality

$$(29) \quad H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W_n) = \text{Hom}(\text{Spec } H, W_n),$$

where  $H = \bigcup_n H_n$ .

We prove the equality (29). Note that, for an augmented Artinian local  $k$ -algebra  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, \pi : R \rightarrow k)$ ,  $R^* = k \oplus \mathfrak{m}^*$  is a  $k$ -coalgebra and so  $\text{Sym}(\mathfrak{m}^*)$  is a  $k$ -bialgebra. If we set  $S_R := \text{Spec } \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{m}^*)$ , we have the following for a  $k$ -algebra  $B$ :

$$\begin{aligned} S_R(B) &= \text{Hom}_{k\text{-alg.}}(\text{Sym}(\mathfrak{m}^*), B) \\ &= \{\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{k\text{-vec. sp.}}(k \oplus \mathfrak{m}^*, B) \mid \varphi(1) = 1\} \\ &= \{\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{k\text{-vec. sp.}}(R^*, B) \mid \varphi(\text{id}) = 1\} \\ &= \{a \in R \otimes B \mid (\pi \otimes \text{id})(a) = 1\} = 1 + B \otimes_k \mathfrak{m}. \end{aligned}$$

We compute  $\text{Ker}(\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}(R) \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}(k))$  in two ways. First, we have the equalities

$$\text{Ker}(\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}(R) \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}(k)) = \text{Ker}\left(H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, (\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet} \otimes_k R)^\times) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^\times)\right) = H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, S_R).$$

(The first equality follows from Remark 2.9.) Second, we have the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker}(\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}(R) \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}(k)) &= \text{Hom}_{\text{aug. } k\text{-alg.}}(\mathcal{O}_{\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet, 0}}, R) = \text{Hom}_{\text{aug. } k\text{-coalg.}}(R^*, H) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\text{aug. } k\text{-bialg.}}(\text{Sym}(\mathfrak{m}^*), H) = \text{Hom}(\text{Spec } H, S_R). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$(30) \quad H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, S_R) = \text{Hom}(\text{Spec } H, S_R).$$

It is known (see [28, p. 112]) that, when  $R = k[t]/(t^p)$ ,

$$S_R = W_n \times \prod_{\substack{1 < i < p^n \\ (i, p) = 1}} W_{r_i},$$

where  $r_i = \min\{r \mid p^r \geq p^n/i\}$ . Using this, we see that (30) implies the required equality (29). So the proof is finished.  $\square$

The last ingredient for the proof of our first main theorem in this section is the following exact sequence:

**Proposition 2.22.** *Assume that  $k$  is algebraically closed. Then there exists an exact sequence*

$$(31) \quad 0 \rightarrow H_{\text{cris}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet/W(k)) \rightarrow H_{\text{cris}}^1((X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)/W(k)) \rightarrow \text{Div}_{D_\bullet}^0(\overline{X}_\bullet) \otimes W(k) \rightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* Consider the weight filtration  $P_j W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^i(\log D_\bullet)$  defined in [26, 1.4]. (One has also the description

$$P_j W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^i(\log D_\bullet) = \text{Im}\left(W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^j(\log D_\bullet) \otimes W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^{i-j} \rightarrow W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^i(\log D_\bullet)\right)$$

which is given in [24, 8.2, 9].) The Poincaré residue isomorphism ([26, 1.4.5] or [24, 9]) induces the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow W\Omega_{\overline{X}_i/k}^\bullet \rightarrow P_1 W\Omega_{\overline{X}_i/k}^\bullet(\log D_i) \rightarrow a_{i*}^{(1)} W\Omega_{D_i^{(1)}/k}^\bullet[-1] \rightarrow 0$$

for each  $i$ , where  $D_i^{(1)}$  is the disjoint union of the irreducible components of  $D_i$  and  $a_i^{(1)} : D_i^{(1)} \rightarrow \overline{X}_i$  is the canonical morphism. Since the map  $W\Omega_{\overline{X}_i/k}^\bullet \rightarrow P_1 W\Omega_{\overline{X}_i/k}^\bullet(\log D_i)$  is compatible with respect to  $i$ , the above exact sequences for  $i \in \mathbf{N}$  induce the exact sequence of the form

$$0 \rightarrow W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^\bullet \rightarrow P_1 W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^\bullet(\log D_\bullet) \rightarrow a_{\bullet*}^{(1)} W\Omega_{D_\bullet^{(1)}/k}^\bullet[-1] \rightarrow 0.$$

Here  $a_{\bullet*}^{(1)}W\Omega_{D_{\bullet}^{(1)}/k}^{\bullet}[-1]$  denotes the complex of sheaves on  $\overline{X}_{\bullet}$  defined on each  $\overline{X}_i$  by  $a_{i*}^{(1)}W\Omega_{D_i^{(1)}/k}^{\bullet}[-1]$  with the transition maps induced by those on  $W\Omega_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}}^{\bullet}$  and  $P_1W\Omega_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}}^{\bullet}(\log D_{\bullet})$ . (Beware that the schemes  $D_i^{(1)}$  ( $i \in \mathbf{N}$ ) do not form a simplicial scheme and the maps  $a_i^{(1)}$  ( $i \in \mathbf{N}$ ) do not form a map of simplicial schemes.) This exact sequence induces the long exact sequence

$$(32) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_{\bullet}, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}/k}^{\bullet}) &\rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_{\bullet}, P_1W\Omega_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}/k}^{\bullet}(\log D_{\bullet})) \\ &\rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^0(\overline{X}_{\bullet}, a_{\bullet*}^{(1)}W\Omega_{D_{\bullet}/k}^{\bullet}) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(\overline{X}_{\bullet}, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}/k}^{\bullet}). \end{aligned}$$

We rewrite the second and the third nonzero terms of the sequence. First, by using the spectral sequences

$$\begin{aligned} E_i^{ij} = H_{\text{ét}}^j(\overline{X}_{\bullet}, P_1W\Omega_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}/k}^i(\log D_{\bullet})) &\implies H_{\text{ét}}^{i+j}(\overline{X}_{\bullet}, P_1W\Omega_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}/k}^{\bullet}(\log D_{\bullet})), \\ E_i^{ij} = H_{\text{ét}}^j(\overline{X}_{\bullet}, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}/k}^i(\log D_{\bullet})) &\implies H_{\text{ét}}^{i+j}(\overline{X}_{\bullet}, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}/k}^{\bullet}(\log D_{\bullet})) \end{aligned}$$

and noting the facts

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{ét}}^j(\overline{X}_{\bullet}, P_1W\Omega_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}/k}^i(\log D_{\bullet})) &= H_{\text{ét}}^j(\overline{X}_{\bullet}, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}/k}^i(\log D_{\bullet})) \text{ for } i \leq 1, \\ H_{\text{ét}}^0(\overline{X}_{\bullet}, P_1W\Omega_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}/k}^i(\log D_{\bullet})) &\subseteq H_{\text{ét}}^0(\overline{X}_{\bullet}, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}/k}^i(\log D_{\bullet})) \text{ for } i \in \mathbf{N}, \end{aligned}$$

we see the equality  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_{\bullet}, P_1W\Omega_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}/k}^{\bullet}(\log D_{\bullet})) = H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_{\bullet}, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}/k}^{\bullet}(\log D_{\bullet}))$ . Next, we have the equality

$$(33) \quad H_{\text{ét}}^0(\overline{X}_{\bullet}, a_{\bullet*}^{(1)}W\Omega_{D_{\bullet}/k}^{\bullet}) = \text{Ker}(d_0^* - d_1^* : H_{\text{ét}}^0(\overline{X}_0, a_{0*}^{(1)}W\Omega_{D_0^{(1)}}^{\bullet}) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^0(\overline{X}_1, a_{1*}^{(1)}W\Omega_{D_1^{(1)}}^{\bullet})),$$

where the maps  $d_i^*$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ) are the ones induced by the maps

$$d_i^* : W\Omega_{\overline{X}_0/k}^{\bullet} \rightarrow W\Omega_{\overline{X}_1/k}^{\bullet}, \quad d_i^* : P_1W\Omega_{\overline{X}_0/k}^{\bullet}(\log D_0^{(1)}) \rightarrow P_1W\Omega_{\overline{X}_1/k}^{\bullet}(\log D_1^{(1)})$$

which are defined by the projections  $d_i : \overline{X}_1 \rightarrow \overline{X}_0$ . Since  $k$  is algebraically closed,  $H_{\text{ét}}^0(\overline{X}_i, a_{0*}^{(1)}W\Omega_{D_i^{(1)}}^{\bullet})$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ) is identified with  $\text{Div}_{D_i}(\overline{X}_i) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} W(k)$  (where  $\text{Div}_{D_i}(\overline{X}_i)$  is the group of Weil divisors on  $\overline{X}_i$  supported on  $D_i$ ). With this identification the map  $d_i^*$  is, by definition of the Poincaré residue isomorphism in [26, 1.4] or [24, 8.2, 9], equal to the pullback map of divisors by the projection  $d_i$ . Thus the group in (33) is identified with  $\text{Div}_{D_{\bullet}}(\overline{X}_{\bullet}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} W(k)$ . Consequently, we have shown that the long exact sequence (32) is rewritten as follows:

$$(34) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_{\bullet}, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}/k}^{\bullet}) &\rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_{\bullet}, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}/k}^{\bullet}(\log D_{\bullet})) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Div}_{D_{\bullet}}(\overline{X}_{\bullet}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} W(k) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(\overline{X}_{\bullet}, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}/k}^{\bullet}). \end{aligned}$$

We prove that the last map factors through  $\text{NS}(\overline{X}_{\bullet}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} W(k)$ . Consider the non-logarithmic and logarithmic first Chern class maps of the de Rham–Witt complexes

$$d\log : \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}}^{\times} \rightarrow W\Omega_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}/k}^{\bullet}[1], \quad d\log : j_{\bullet*}\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_{\bullet} \setminus D_{\bullet}}^{\times} \rightarrow W\Omega_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}/k}^{\bullet}(\log D_{\bullet})[1],$$

where  $j_{\bullet} : \overline{X}_{\bullet} \setminus D_{\bullet} \rightarrow \overline{X}_{\bullet}$  is the natural open immersion (compare [18]). The exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_i}^{\times} \rightarrow j_{i*}\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_i}^{\times} \rightarrow a_{i*}^{(1)}\mathbf{Z}_{D_i^{(1)}} \rightarrow 0$$

for  $i \in \mathbf{N}$  induce the exact sequence of the form

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}}^{\times} \rightarrow j_{\bullet*}\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_{\bullet}}^{\times} \rightarrow a_{\bullet*}^{(1)}\mathbf{Z}_{D_{\bullet}^{(1)}} \rightarrow 0$$

which makes the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^\times & \longrightarrow & j_{\bullet\ast} \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet \setminus D_\bullet}^\times & \longrightarrow & a_{\bullet\ast}^{(1)} \mathbf{Z}_{D_\bullet^{(1)}} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow d\log & & \downarrow d\log & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^\bullet[1] & \longrightarrow & W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^\bullet(\log D_\bullet)[1] & \longrightarrow & a_{\bullet\ast}^{(1)} W\Omega_{D_\bullet^{(1)}}^\bullet \longrightarrow 0.
 \end{array}$$

Considering the connecting homomorphisms, we obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathrm{Div}_{D_\bullet}(\overline{X}_\bullet) & \longrightarrow & H_{\acute{e}t}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^\times) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathrm{Div}_{D_\bullet}(\overline{X}_\bullet) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} W(k) & \longrightarrow & H_{\acute{e}t}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^\bullet).
 \end{array}$$

Thus the map  $\mathrm{Div}_{D_\bullet}(\overline{X}_\bullet) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} W(k) \rightarrow H_{\acute{e}t}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^\bullet)$  factors through  $(\varprojlim_n H_{\acute{e}t}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^\times)/p^n) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W(k)$ . Since we have isomorphisms

$$\varprojlim_n H_{\acute{e}t}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^\times)/p^n = \varprojlim_n \mathrm{Pic}(\overline{X}_\bullet)(k)/p^n \cong \varprojlim_n \mathrm{NS}(\overline{X}_\bullet)/p^n \cong \mathrm{NS}(\overline{X}_\bullet) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_p,$$

we see that the map  $\mathrm{Div}_{D_\bullet}(\overline{X}_\bullet) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} W(k) \rightarrow H_{\acute{e}t}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^\bullet)$  factors as

$$(35) \quad \mathrm{Div}_{D_\bullet}(\overline{X}_\bullet) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} W(k) \rightarrow \mathrm{NS}(\overline{X}_\bullet) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} W(k) \rightarrow H_{\acute{e}t}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^\bullet),$$

as required.

In the subsequent lemma, we will see that the latter map in (35) is injective. Hence we obtain from (34) the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{cris}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet/W(k)) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{cris}}^1((X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)/W(k)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Div}_{D_\bullet}^0(\overline{X}_\bullet) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} W(k) \rightarrow 0,$$

as desired.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.23** (cf. [22, II. Rem. 6.8.5]). *Keep the assumption that  $k$  is algebraically closed. Then the map  $\mathrm{NS}(\overline{X}_\bullet) \otimes W \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{cris}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet/W(k))$  in (35) is injective.*

*Proof.* We follow the proof of [22, II. Rem. 6.8.5]. In the proof, we will identify  $\varprojlim_n H_{\acute{e}t}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^\times)/(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^\times)^{p^n}$  and  $H_{\mathrm{fppf}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Z}_p(1))$  via (27). First, the exact sequences on the étale site

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^\times \xrightarrow{p^n} \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^\times / (\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^\times)^{p^n} \rightarrow 0$$

for  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  induce the injection  $\mathrm{NS}(\overline{X}_\bullet) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_p \hookrightarrow H_{\mathrm{fppf}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Z}_p(1))$ , hence the injection

$$(36) \quad \mathrm{NS}(\overline{X}_\bullet) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} W(k) \hookrightarrow H_{\mathrm{fppf}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Z}_p(1)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W(k).$$

Next, note that we have the following exact sequence on the étale site

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^\times / (\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^\times)^{p^n}[-1] \xrightarrow{d\log} W_n \Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^{\geq 1} \xrightarrow{1-F'} W_n \Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^{\geq 1} \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \in \mathbf{N})$$

(where  $d\log$  is the map induced by  $d\log : \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^\times \rightarrow W_n \Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^\bullet[-1]$  and  $F'$  is the map of the complex  $W_n \Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^{\geq 1} \rightarrow W_n \Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^{\geq 1}$  whose degree  $i$  part is  $p^{i-1}F$ ), by [22, I. (3.29.2)]. From this, we obtain the long exact sequence

$$(37) \quad \cdots \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{fppf}}^i(\overline{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Z}_p(1)) \rightarrow H_{\acute{e}t}^i(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^{\geq 1}) \xrightarrow{1-F'} H_{\acute{e}t}^i(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^{\geq 1}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

(cf. [22, II. (5.5.2)]). By the long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\acute{e}t}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^{\geq 1}) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{cris}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet/W(k)) \rightarrow H_{\acute{e}t}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}) \rightarrow H_{\acute{e}t}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^{\geq 1}) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{cris}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet/W(k))$$

associated to the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^{\geq 1} \rightarrow W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k} \rightarrow W\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_\bullet} \rightarrow 0$$

and Proposition 2.18, we obtain the equality  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^{\geq 1}) = H_{\text{ét}}^0(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^1)$  and the injection

$$(38) \quad H_{\text{ét}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^{\geq 1}) \hookrightarrow H_{\text{cris}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet/W(k)).$$

In particular,  $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^{\geq 1})$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are finite  $W(k)$ -modules. Then, by [22, II. Lem. 5.3], the long exact sequence (37) induces the exact sequence

$$(39) \quad 0 \rightarrow H_{\text{fppf}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Z}_p(1)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^{\geq 1}) \xrightarrow{1-F'} H_{\text{ét}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^{\geq 1}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that  $H_{\text{fppf}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Z}_p(1))$  is a finite  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -module because so are  $H_{\text{fppf}}^j(\overline{X}_i, \mathbf{Z}_p(1))$  for  $j \leq 2$  by [22, II. (5.8.1), (5.8.2), 5.9]. Let  $H_{\text{fppf}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Z}_p(1))_{\text{tor}}$ ,  $H_{\text{ét}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^{\geq 1})_{\text{tor}}$  be the subgroup of torsion elements of  $H_{\text{ét}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Z}_p(1))$ ,  $H_{\text{ét}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^{\geq 1})$  respectively and set

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{fppf}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Z}_p(1))_{\text{fr}} &:= H_{\text{fppf}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Z}_p(1)) / H_{\text{fppf}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Z}_p(1))_{\text{tor}}, \\ H_{\text{ét}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^{\geq 1})_{\text{fr}} &:= H_{\text{ét}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^{\geq 1}) / H_{\text{ét}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^{\geq 1})_{\text{tor}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$(40) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{fppf}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Z}_p(1))_{\text{tor}} & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{fppf}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Z}_p(1)) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{fppf}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Z}_p(1))_{\text{fr}} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^{\geq 1})_{\text{tor}} & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^{\geq 1}) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^{\geq 1})_{\text{fr}} \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Moreover, we see by (39) that the middle vertical arrow is injective and the left square is Cartesian. Hence the right vertical arrow is an injective morphism such that the image is contained in the kernel of  $1 - F'$  on  $H_{\text{ét}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^{\geq 1})_{\text{fr}}$ . Then we see that this induces the injective morphism

$$(41) \quad H_{\text{fppf}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Z}_p(1))_{\text{fr}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W(k) \hookrightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^{\geq 1})_{\text{fr}}.$$

Indeed, assume there is an element  $0 \neq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i$  in the kernel with  $a_i \in W$ ,  $e_i \in H_{\text{fppf}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Z}_p(1))_{\text{fr}}$  such that  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ . Take such an element with minimal  $n$ . Then we may assume that  $a_1 = p^m$  for some  $m$ , and then we see that  $\sum_{i=1}^n (F(a_i) - a_i) e_i = \sum_{i=2}^n (F(a_i) - a_i) e_i$  is also in the kernel. By minimality of  $n$ , we conclude that all the coefficients  $F(a_i) - a_i$  should be zero, but this contradicts the linear independence of  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  over  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ .

Next we prove that the left vertical map in (40) induces an isomorphism

$$(42) \quad H_{\text{fppf}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Z}_p(1))_{\text{tor}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{\text{ét}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^{\geq 1})_{\text{tor}}.$$

Indeed, by [22, II. Lem. 6.8.2], the complex of sheaves  $W\Omega_{\overline{X}_i/k}^{\geq 1}/F'W\Omega_{\overline{X}_i/k}^{\geq 1}$  has zero cohomology in degree 0, 1 for any  $i$ . Thus  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^{\geq 1}/F'W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^{\geq 1}) = 0$  and so the map

$$F' : H_{\text{ét}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^{\geq 1}) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^{\geq 1})$$

is injective. Because  $H_{\text{ét}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^{\geq 1})_{\text{tor}}$  is of finite length,  $F'$  induces the automorphism

$$F' : H_{\text{ét}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^{\geq 1})_{\text{tor}} \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^{\geq 1})_{\text{tor}}.$$

Then the isomorphism (42) follows from [22, II. (6.8.4)]. Now, the injection (41) and the isomorphism (42) imply that the middle vertical arrow in (40) induces an injection

$$H_{\text{fppf}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Z}_p(1)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W \hookrightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}_\bullet/k}^{\geq 1}).$$



Combining it with (36) and (38), we obtain the required injection

$$\mathrm{NS}(\overline{X}_\bullet) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} W \hookrightarrow H_{\mathrm{cris}}^2(\overline{X}_\bullet/W(k)),$$

which by definition is equal to the map in (35).  $\square$

Now we give a proof of Theorem 2.5.

*Proof of Theorem 2.5.* Let  $(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)$  be as in Theorem 2.5, let  $(X'_\bullet, \overline{X}'_\bullet)$  be another simplicial normal crossing pair satisfying the same assumption. By Proposition B.10, it suffices to prove that, when there is a morphism  $f : (X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet) \rightarrow (X'_\bullet, \overline{X}'_\bullet)$ , the induced morphism of crystalline cohomologies (16) is an isomorphism. Moreover, we may assume that  $k$  is algebraically closed.

Note that the morphism  $f$  induces the morphism

$$(43) \quad H_{\mathrm{cris}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet/W(k)) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{cris}}^1(\overline{X}'_\bullet/W(k)).$$

Moreover, we have a morphism from the exact sequence (26) to the analogous sequence for  $\overline{X}'_\bullet$ . By the description of the terms of the exact sequence (26) given in Propositions 2.20 and 2.21, the morphism (43) is an isomorphism, because the map of reduced Picard schemes  $\mathrm{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^{0,\mathrm{red}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_{\overline{X}'_\bullet}^{0,\mathrm{red}}$  is an isomorphism by Corollary 2.10.

Next, note that the morphism  $f$  induces the morphism from the exact sequence (31) to the similar exact sequence for  $(X'_\bullet, \overline{X}'_\bullet)$ . This is an isomorphism because the map (43) is an isomorphism and the map of divisor groups  $\mathrm{Div}_{D_\bullet}^0(\overline{X}_\bullet) \rightarrow \mathrm{Div}_{D'_\bullet}^0(\overline{X}'_\bullet)$  (where  $D'_\bullet := \overline{X}'_\bullet \setminus X'_\bullet$ ) is an isomorphism by Corollary 2.10. So we have proven that the morphism (16) is an isomorphism.  $\square$

**2.3. Non-independence of  $H^i$ ,  $i \geq 2$ .** In this subsection, we give a negative answer to Question 2.2 for  $H^i$ ,  $i \geq 2$  by providing counterexamples.

Let  $k$  be a perfect field of characteristic  $p > 0$  and set  $\overline{X} := \mathbb{P}_k^1$ . Denote the coordinate of  $\mathbb{A}_k^1 \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^1 = \overline{X}$  by  $x$ . Let  $r \geq 1$ , let  $a_1, \dots, a_r$  be distinct elements of  $k$  and let  $n_1, \dots, n_r$  be positive integers prime to  $p$ .

Let  $f : \overline{X}_0 \rightarrow \overline{X}$  be the morphism between proper smooth curves over  $k$  induced by the field extension

$$k(\overline{X}) = k(x) \subseteq k(x)[y]/(y^p - y - \frac{x^{\sum_{i=1}^r n_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^r (x - a_i)^{n_i}}) =: k(\overline{X}_0).$$

This is a finite flat morphism of degree  $p$  between proper smooth curves such that  $k(\overline{X}_0)/k(\overline{X})$  is a Galois extension with Galois group  $G = \langle g \rangle \cong \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ . If we denote by  $P_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ) the closed point of  $\overline{X}$  defined by  $x = a_i$ , the ramification locus  $D \subseteq \overline{X}$  of  $f$  is equal to the union of  $P_i$ 's.

For  $i \in \mathbf{N}$ , let  $\overline{X}_i$  be the normalization of  $\overline{X}_0 \times_{\overline{X}} \overline{X}_0 \cdots \times_{\overline{X}} \overline{X}_0$  of  $(i+1)$ -copies of  $\overline{X}_0$  over  $\overline{X}$ . Note that  $\overline{X}_i$  is equal to  $\coprod^{G^i} \overline{X}_0$ . Then  $\overline{X}_\bullet$  forms a simplicial scheme over  $\overline{X}$ . We set  $X := \overline{X} \setminus D$ ,  $X_\bullet := X \times_{\overline{X}} \overline{X}_\bullet$ . Then we obtain the commutative diagram (12) and it satisfies the assumption imposed in Question 2.2. We prove the following.

**Proposition 2.24.** *With the above notation, the map*

$$H_{\mathrm{cris}}^2((X, \overline{X})/W(k)) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{cris}}^2((X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)/W(k))$$

*is not an isomorphism. In particular, Question 2.2 has a negative answer for  $H^2$ .*

*Proof.* We compute  $H_{\mathrm{cris}}^2((X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)/W(k))$  by using the spectral sequence

$$(44) \quad E_1^{i,j} = H_{\mathrm{cris}}^j((X_i, \overline{X}_i)/W(k)) \implies H_{\mathrm{cris}}^{i+j}((X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)/W(k)).$$

The complex  $E_1^{\bullet,j}$  has the form

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{cris}}^j((X_{i-1}, \overline{X}_{i-1})/W(k)) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{cris}}^j((X_i, \overline{X}_i)/W(k)) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{cris}}^j((X_{i+1}, \overline{X}_{i+1})/W(k)) \rightarrow \cdots,$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots &\rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{sets}}(G^{i-1}, H_{\mathrm{cris}}^j((X_0, \overline{X}_0)/W(k))) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{sets}}(G^i, H_{\mathrm{cris}}^j((X_0, \overline{X}_0)/W(k))) \\ &\rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{sets}}(G^{i+1}, H_{\mathrm{cris}}^j((X_0, \overline{X}_0)/W(k))) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Since this complex is the one computing the group cohomology of  $G$ , we have

(45)

$$E_2^{i,j} = H^i(E_1^{\bullet,j}) = H^i(G, H_{\text{cris}}^j((X_0, \overline{X}_0)/W(k)))$$

$$= \begin{cases} \text{Ker}(1-g \text{ on } H_{\text{cris}}^j((X_0, \overline{X}_0)/W(k))), & (i=0) \\ \text{Ker}(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} g^i \text{ on } H_{\text{cris}}^j((X_0, \overline{X}_0)/W(k)))/\text{Im}(1-g \text{ on } H_{\text{cris}}^j((X_0, \overline{X}_0)/W(k))), & (i: \text{ odd}) \\ \text{Ker}(1-g \text{ on } H_{\text{cris}}^j((X_0, \overline{X}_0)/W(k)))/\text{Im}(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} g^i \text{ on } H_{\text{cris}}^j((X_0, \overline{X}_0)/W(k))), & (i > 0: \text{ even}) \end{cases}$$

where the action of  $G$  on  $H_{\text{cris}}^j((X_0, \overline{X}_0)/W(k))$  is the one induced by the geometric action of  $G$  on  $(X_0, \overline{X}_0)$ .

When  $j=0$ , the action of  $G$  on  $H_{\text{cris}}^0((X_0, \overline{X}_0)/W(k)) = W(k)$  is trivial. Thus we see from (45) that

$$(46) \quad E_2^{i,0} = H^i(G, H_{\text{cris}}^0((X_0, \overline{X}_0)/W(k))) = \begin{cases} W(k), & (i=0) \\ 0, & (i: \text{ odd}) \\ k, & (i > 0: \text{ even}) \end{cases}$$

Then we have the isomorphisms

$$W(k)^{r-1} \cong H_{\text{cris}}^1((X, \overline{X})/W(k)) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{\text{cris}}^1((X_{\bullet}, \overline{X}_{\bullet})/W(k)) = E_{\infty}^{0,1} = E_3^{0,1} = \text{Ker}(E_2^{0,1} \rightarrow E_2^{2,0} = k),$$

where the first isomorphism follows from the assumption that  $X$  is equal to  $\overline{X} = \mathbb{P}_k^1$  minus  $r$  points with  $r > 0$  and the second isomorphism follows from Theorem 2.5. Since  $E_2^{0,1} \subseteq H_{\text{cris}}^1((X_0, \overline{X}_0)/W(k))$  is a finite free  $W(k)$ -module, we conclude that

$$(47) \quad \text{Ker}(1-g \text{ on } H_{\text{cris}}^1((X_0, \overline{X}_0)/W(k))) = E_2^{0,1} \cong W(k)^{r-1},$$

and when  $r=1$ ,

$$(48) \quad E_{\infty}^{2,0} = E_3^{2,0} = \text{Coker}(E_2^{0,1} \rightarrow E_2^{2,0} = k) = k.$$

We estimate the length of

$$E_{\infty}^{1,1} = E_2^{1,1} \cong \text{Ker}(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} g^i)/\text{Im}(1-g) \text{ on } H_{\text{cris}}^1((X_0, \overline{X}_0)/W(k)).$$

First, since  $g^p - 1 = 0$ ,  $\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} g^i$  acts as zero on  $H_{\text{cris}}^1((X_0, \overline{X}_0)/W(k))/\text{Ker}(1-g)$ . Also,  $\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} g^i$  acts as the multiplication by  $p$  on  $\text{Ker}(1-g)$ , which is injective. So, by considering the action of  $\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} g^i$  on the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(1-g) \rightarrow H_{\text{cris}}^1((X_0, \overline{X}_0)/W(k)) \rightarrow H_{\text{cris}}^1((X_0, \overline{X}_0)/W(k))/\text{Ker}(1-g) \rightarrow 0,$$

we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} g^i) \rightarrow H_{\text{cris}}^1((X_0, \overline{X}_0)/W(k))/\text{Ker}(1-g) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(1-g)/p\text{Ker}(1-g),$$

and hence the exact sequence

$$(49) \quad 0 \rightarrow \frac{\text{Ker}(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} g^i)}{\text{Im}(1-g)} \rightarrow \frac{H_{\text{cris}}^1((X_0, \overline{X}_0)/W(k))/\text{Ker}(1-g)}{(1-g)(H_{\text{cris}}^1((X_0, \overline{X}_0)/W(k))/\text{Ker}(1-g))} \rightarrow \frac{\text{Ker}(1-g)}{p\text{Ker}(1-g)}.$$

Since  $H_{\text{cris}}^1((X_0, \overline{X}_0)/W(k))$  is a finite free  $W(k)$ -module, we see that  $H_{\text{cris}}^1((X_0, \overline{X}_0)/W(k))/\text{Ker}(1-g)$  is also a finite free  $W(k)$ -module on which  $\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} g^i$  acts as zero. Thus the action of  $g$  defines a finite free  $W(k)[\zeta_p]$ -module structure on  $H_{\text{cris}}^1((X_0, \overline{X}_0)/W(k))/\text{Ker}(1-g)$  (where  $\zeta_p$  is a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity), and its rank as a  $W(k)[\zeta_p]$ -module is equal to

$$(\text{rk}_{W(k)} H_{\text{cris}}^1((X_0, \overline{X}_0)/W(k))/\text{Ker}(1-g)) / (p-1).$$

Hence the length of

$$\frac{H_{\text{cris}}^1((X_0, \overline{X}_0)/W(k))/\text{Ker}(1-g)}{(1-g)(H_{\text{cris}}^1((X_0, \overline{X}_0)/W(k))/\text{Ker}(1-g))} = \frac{H_{\text{cris}}^1((X_0, \overline{X}_0)/W(k))/\text{Ker}(1-g)}{(1-\zeta_p)(H_{\text{cris}}^1((X_0, \overline{X}_0)/W(k))/\text{Ker}(1-g))}$$

is equal to

$$(\mathrm{rk}_{W(k)} H_{\mathrm{cris}}^1((X_0, \overline{X}_0)/W(k))/\mathrm{Ker}(1-g))/(p-1).$$

So, by (47) and (49), we obtain an estimate of the length of

$$E_{\infty}^{1,1} = E_2^{1,1} \cong \mathrm{Ker}(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} g^i)/\mathrm{Im}(1-g)$$

in the form of the following inequality:

$$(50) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathrm{length} E_{\infty}^{1,1} &\geq (\mathrm{rk}_{W(k)} H_{\mathrm{cris}}^1((X_0, \overline{X}_0)/W(k))/\mathrm{Ker}(1-g))/(p-1) - (r-1) \\ &= (\mathrm{rk}_{W(k)} H_{\mathrm{cris}}^1((X_0, \overline{X}_0)/W(k)) - r + 1)/(p-1) - (r-1). \end{aligned}$$

If we denote by  $\mathrm{Fil}^i H_{\mathrm{cris}}^2((X_{\bullet}, \overline{X}_{\bullet})/W(k))$  the filtration induced by the spectral sequence (44), we deduce from (48) and (50)

$$(51) \quad \mathrm{length}(\mathrm{Fil}^1 H_{\mathrm{cris}}^2((X_{\bullet}, \overline{X}_{\bullet})/W(k))) \geq (\mathrm{rk}_{W(k)} H_{\mathrm{cris}}^1((X_0, \overline{X}_0)/W(k)) - r + 1)/(p-1) - (r-1),$$

$$(52) \quad \mathrm{length}(\mathrm{Fil}^1 H_{\mathrm{cris}}^2((X_{\bullet}, \overline{X}_{\bullet})/W(k))) = (\mathrm{rk}_{W(k)} H_{\mathrm{cris}}^1((X_0, \overline{X}_0)/W(k)))/(p-1) + 1 \quad \text{if } r = 1.$$

Let  $g_0$  be the genus of  $\overline{X}_0$ . Then, by the Riemann–Hurwitz formula, we have the equality

$$2g_0 - 2 = -2p + \sum_{i=1}^r (n_i + 1)(p-1),$$

hence  $2g_0 = (p-1)(\sum_{i=1}^r (n_i + 1) - 2)$ . Hence

$$\mathrm{rk}_{W(k)} H_{\mathrm{cris}}^1((X_0, \overline{X}_0)/W(k)) = (p-1) \left( \sum_{i=1}^r (n_i + 1) - 2 \right) + r - 1$$

and therefore (51), (52) are rewritten as

$$(53) \quad \mathrm{length}(\mathrm{Fil}^1 H_{\mathrm{cris}}^2((X_{\bullet}, \overline{X}_{\bullet})/W(k))) \geq \sum_{i=1}^r (n_i + 1) - 2 - (r-1) \geq r-1,$$

$$(54) \quad \mathrm{length}(\mathrm{Fil}^1 H_{\mathrm{cris}}^2((X_{\bullet}, \overline{X}_{\bullet})/W(k))) = (n_1 + 1) - 1 \geq 1 \quad \text{if } r = 1.$$

By (53) and (54), we conclude that  $H_{\mathrm{cris}}^2((X_{\bullet}, \overline{X}_{\bullet})/W(k)) \neq 0$ . Since  $H_{\mathrm{cris}}^2((X, \overline{X})/W(k))$  is zero and  $H_{\mathrm{cris}}^2((X_{\bullet}, \overline{X}_{\bullet})/W(k))$  is non-zero, the map

$$H_{\mathrm{cris}}^2((X, \overline{X})/W(k)) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{cris}}^2((X_{\bullet}, \overline{X}_{\bullet})/W(k))$$

is not an isomorphism.  $\square$

*Remark 2.25.* The above proof shows that there does not exist a functor

$$A_{\mathrm{ét}}^{\bullet} : \mathrm{Sm}_k \rightarrow C^{\geq 0}(W(k)),$$

where  $C^{\geq 0}(W(k))$  denotes again the category of complexes of  $W(k)$ -modules of non-negative degree, satisfying the following conditions (compare with Remark 1.46):

- (i) It gives a good integral  $p$ -adic cohomology theory in the sense that, for any  $nc$ -pair  $(X, \overline{X})$ , there exists a functorial quasi-isomorphism

$$A_{\mathrm{ét}}^{\bullet}(X) \simeq R\Gamma_{\mathrm{cris}}((X, \overline{X})/W(k)).$$

- (ii) It satisfies Galois descent in the sense that, for any Čech hypercovering  $X_{\bullet} \rightarrow X$  associated to a finite étale Galois covering  $X_0 \rightarrow X$ , the induced morphism

$$A_{\mathrm{ét}}^{\bullet}(X) \rightarrow A_{\mathrm{ét}}^{\bullet}(X_{\bullet})$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

Indeed, for the hypercovering  $X_\bullet \rightarrow X$  in the above proof, if the map  $H^1(A_{\acute{e}t}^\bullet(X)) \rightarrow H^1(A_{\acute{e}t}^\bullet(X_\bullet))$  is not an isomorphism, it violates the condition (ii), and if it is an isomorphism, the argument of the above proof works for the spectral sequence

$$E_1^{i,j} = H^j(A_{\acute{e}t}^\bullet(X_i)) \implies H^{i+j}(A_{\acute{e}t}^\bullet(X_\bullet))$$

and we conclude that  $H^2(A_{\acute{e}t}^\bullet(X)) \rightarrow H^2(A_{\acute{e}t}^\bullet(X_\bullet))$  is not an isomorphism, which again violates the condition (ii).

*Remark 2.26.* In the above remark, if we assume moreover that  $A_{\acute{e}t}^\bullet(X_0)$  is a perfect complex of  $W(k)[G]$ -modules (where  $G$  is the Galois group of  $X_0$  over  $X$ ), one can prove the nonexistence of the functor  $A_{\acute{e}t}^\bullet$  in a simpler way, which is due to Crew:

For the hypercovering  $X_\bullet \rightarrow X$  in the proof of Proposition 2.24,  $G$  is equal to  $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ . In this case,  $W(k)[G]$  is a local ring and so every finitely generated projective module is free (see [11, Lem. 1.6]). Thus  $A_{\acute{e}t}^\bullet(X_0)$  is quasi-isomorphic to a bounded complex of finitely generated free  $W(k)[G]$ -modules. Then, by comparing the cohomologies of  $A_{\acute{e}t}^\bullet(X_0) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}$  and those of

$$A_{\acute{e}t}^\bullet(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q} \simeq A_{\acute{e}t}^\bullet(X_\bullet) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q} = R\Gamma(G, A_{\acute{e}t}^\bullet(X_0) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}) = \Gamma(G, A_{\acute{e}t}^\bullet(X_0) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}),$$

we obtain the equality

$$\sum_i (-1)^i \dim_K(H^i(A_{\acute{e}t}^\bullet(X_0)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}) = p \left( \sum_i (-1)^i \dim_K(H^i(A_{\acute{e}t}^\bullet(X)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}) \right)$$

(see [11, Thm. 1.5, Cor. 1.7]). But this is absurd because the right hand side is equal to

$$p \left( \sum_i (-1)^i \dim(H_{\text{cris}}^i((X, \bar{X})/W(k)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}) \right) = p(2-r),$$

while the left hand side, which is equal to  $\sum_i (-1)^i \dim(H_{\text{cris}}^i((X_0, \bar{X}_0)/W(k)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q})$ , can be estimated by

$$(2-r) - (p-1) \left( \sum_{i=1}^r (n_i + 1) - 2 \right) \leq (2-r) - (p-1)(2r-2) < p(2-r).$$

We can extend the above counterexample easily to the case of  $H^i$  ( $i \geq 2$ ).

**Corollary 2.27.** *For any  $i \geq 2$ , Question 2.2 has a negative answer for  $H^i$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(X_\bullet, \bar{X}_\bullet) \rightarrow (X, \bar{X})$ ,  $D_\bullet, D$  be as in Proposition 2.24. Let  $Y$  be a proper smooth connected variety over  $k$  of dimension  $d$  such that, for any  $0 \leq n \leq 2d$ ,  $H_{\text{cris}}^n(Y/W(k))$  is a non-zero, finite free  $W(k)$ -module. (For example, one can take as  $Y$  a  $d$ -fold fibre product of a proper smooth curve of positive genus over  $k$ .) Then we have isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{cris}}^i((X \times_k Y, \bar{X} \times_k Y)/W(k)) &\cong \bigoplus_{a+b=i} H_{\text{cris}}^a((X, \bar{X})/W(k)) \otimes_{W(k)} H_{\text{cris}}^b(Y/W(k)), \\ H_{\text{cris}}^i((X_\bullet \times_k Y, \bar{X}_\bullet \times_k Y)/W(k)) &\cong \bigoplus_{a+b=i} H_{\text{cris}}^a((X_\bullet, \bar{X}_\bullet)/W(k)) \otimes_{W(k)} H_{\text{cris}}^b(Y/W(k)). \end{aligned}$$

Consider the morphism  $(X_\bullet \times_k Y, \bar{X}_\bullet \times_k Y) \rightarrow (X \times_k Y, \bar{X} \times_k Y)$ . Then the induced morphism

$$H_{\text{cris}}^i((X \times_k Y, \bar{X} \times_k Y)/W(k)) \rightarrow H_{\text{cris}}^i((X_\bullet \times_k Y, \bar{X}_\bullet \times_k Y)/W(k))$$

is not an isomorphism for  $2 \leq i \leq 2(d+1)$ , because one of its direct factor

$$H_{\text{cris}}^2((X, \bar{X})/W(k)) \otimes_{W(k)} H_{\text{cris}}^{i-2}(Y/W(k)) \rightarrow H_{\text{cris}}^2((X_\bullet, \bar{X}_\bullet)/W(k)) \otimes_{W(k)} H_{\text{cris}}^{i-2}(Y/W(k))$$

is not an isomorphism by Proposition 2.24. So we are done.  $\square$

## APPENDIX A. THE FIRST CRYSTALLINE COHOMOLOGY GROUP AND THE PICARD 1-MOTIVE

As for the comparison of the first log crystalline cohomology and the Dieudonné module of the associated 1-motive, we have the following result mentioned in Remark 2.8.

**Proposition A.1.** *Let  $(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)$  be a split simplicial proper strict normal crossing pair over  $k$ . Then, if  $k$  is a subfield of  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ , there exists a functorial isomorphism*

$$\mathbf{T}_{\text{cris}}(\text{Pic}^+(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{\text{cris}}^1((X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)/W(k))$$

which is compatible with weight filtration and Frobenius action, where  $\mathbf{T}_{\text{cris}}$  is the covariant Dieudonné functor (the contravariant Dieudonné functor of the  $p$ -divisible group associated to the dual).

*Remark A.2.* Compared to Theorem 2.7 of Andreatta–Barbieri-Viale, our result includes the case  $p = 2$  but we have to impose the condition  $k \subseteq \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ .

*Proof.* First, note that we can define both  $\mathbf{T}_{\text{cris}}(\text{Pic}^+(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet))$  and  $H_{\text{cris}}^1((X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)/W(k))$  by using only the 3-truncation  $(\overline{X}_\bullet, X_\bullet)_{\bullet \leq 3}$  of  $(\overline{X}_\bullet, X_\bullet)$ . Then, since there exists a finite subfield  $k_0$  of  $k$  such that  $(\overline{X}_\bullet, X_\bullet)_{\bullet \leq 3}$  is defined over  $k_0$ , it suffices to prove the theorem for a model of  $(\overline{X}_\bullet, X_\bullet)_{\bullet \leq 3}$  over  $k_0$ . Thus we may assume that  $k$  is a finite field.

Denote the base change of  $(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)$ ,  $D_\bullet := \overline{X}_\bullet \setminus X_\bullet$  to  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$  by  $(X'_\bullet, \overline{X}'_\bullet)$ ,  $D'_\bullet$  and denote  $W(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p)$  simply by  $W'$ .

We denote the covariant Dieudonné functor for  $p$ -divisible groups also by  $\mathbf{T}_{\text{cris}}$ . If we denote the étale and the connected part of  $\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}'_\bullet}^{0, \text{red}}[p^\infty]$  by  $\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}'_\bullet}^{0, \text{red}}[p^\infty]^{\text{et}}$ ,  $\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}'_\bullet}^{0, \text{red}}[p^\infty]^0$  respectively, we have a split exact sequence of  $F$ -crystals

$$(55) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_{\text{cris}}(\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}'_\bullet}^{0, \text{red}}[p^\infty]^{\text{et}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_{\text{cris}}(\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}'_\bullet}^{0, \text{red}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_{\text{cris}}(\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}'_\bullet}^{0, \text{red}}[p^\infty]^0) \rightarrow 0.$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 2.18, we have a short exact sequence

$$(56) \quad 0 \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^0(\overline{X}'_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}'_\bullet/\overline{k}}^1) \rightarrow H_{\text{cris}}^1(\overline{X}'_\bullet/W') \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}'_\bullet, W\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}'_\bullet}) \rightarrow 0,$$

which is a split exact sequence of  $F$ -crystals because we are working over  $W'$ . By Propositions 2.20 and 2.21, the first and the last term of (55) are isomorphic to the corresponding terms of (56), and these isomorphisms are compatible with the action of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$ . Then we have an isomorphism

$$(57) \quad \mathbf{T}_{\text{cris}}(\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}'_\bullet}^{0, \text{red}}) \cong H_{\text{cris}}^1(\overline{X}'_\bullet/W')$$

which induces the isomorphism between (55) and (56). Such an isomorphism is unique by the fact that there is no non-zero morphism of  $F$ -crystals

$$\mathbf{T}_{\text{cris}}(\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}'_\bullet}^{0, \text{red}}[p^\infty]^0) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^0(\overline{X}'_\bullet, W\Omega_{\overline{X}'_\bullet/\overline{k}}^1)$$

because the slopes of the source and the target are different. Thus the isomorphism (57) descends to the unique isomorphism

$$(58) \quad \mathbf{T}_{\text{cris}}(\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^{0, \text{red}}) \cong H_{\text{cris}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet/W(k)).$$

Next, by definition of the 1-motive and its Dieudonné module (see [2]), we have an exact sequence

$$(59) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_{\text{cris}}(\text{Pic}_{\overline{X}_\bullet}^{0, \text{red}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_{\text{cris}}(\text{Pic}^+(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)) \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_{\text{cris}}(\text{Div}_{D_\bullet}^0(\overline{X}_\bullet)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 2.23, we have the exact sequence (31) after some finite extension of  $k$ . (Strictly speaking, we have shown this exact sequence when  $k$  is algebraically closed. However, the same argument works as long as each irreducible component of  $D_0, D_1$  has a  $k$ -rational point.) The sequences (59), (31) are split exact sequences of  $F$ -crystals after some finite extension of  $k$ , and by (58), the first and the last term of (59) are isomorphic to the corresponding term of (31). Furthermore, these isomorphisms are compatible with the action of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$ . So we have an isomorphism

$$(60) \quad \mathbf{T}_{\text{cris}}(\text{Pic}^+(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)) \cong H_{\text{cris}}^1((X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)/W(k))$$

which induces the isomorphism between (59) and (31) over some finite Galois extension of  $k$ . Moreover, such an isomorphism is unique by the fact that there is no non-zero morphism of  $F$ -crystals

$$\mathbf{T}_{\text{cris}}(\text{Div}_{D_\bullet}^0(\overline{X}_\bullet)) \rightarrow H_{\text{cris}}^1(\overline{X}_\bullet/W(k))$$

because the weights of the source and the target are different. (Here we used the assumption that  $k$  is finite.) Thus the isomorphism (60) holds true without extending  $k$ .  $\square$

## APPENDIX B. A SITE OF SEPARABLE ALTERATIONS

The goal of this appendix is to reframe the simplicial construction used in Section 2 within a setting similar to the first section. To this end we consider a version of Gabber's alteration site [29], [20, Def. 6.8].

To identify the underlying category recall that a morphism of schemes is called *maximally dominant* if it sends generic points of the source to generic points of the target.

**Definition B.1.** Let  $\text{Dom}_k$  be the category whose objects are  $k$ -varieties  $X$ , but whose morphisms are the maximally dominant morphisms.

**Lemma B.2.** *The category  $\text{Dom}_k$  admits fibre products.*

*Proof.* This is essentially [29, Prop. 1.2.6]. Let  $Y \rightarrow X$  and  $Z \rightarrow X$  be morphisms in  $\text{Dom}_k$ , i.e. they are maximally dominant. Let  $Y \times_X^{\text{Dom}_k} Z$  be the union of the reduced irreducible components of the fibre product  $Y \times_X Z$  in  $\text{Var}_k$  which dominate an irreducible component of  $X$ . Together with the obvious projections this provides the desired fibre product.  $\square$

**Lemma B.3.** *Let  $g : X' \rightarrow X$  and  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be morphisms in  $\text{Dom}_k$ . Assume in addition that for every generic points  $\xi$  of  $Y$ , the extension of residue fields  $k(\xi)/k(f(\xi))$  is finite and separable. Then the morphism  $f' : Y' = Y \times_X^{\text{Dom}_k} X' \rightarrow X'$  satisfies the same property.*

*Proof.* Let  $\xi' \in Y$  be a generic point. Its images  $\xi$  in  $Y$ ,  $f'(\xi')$  in  $X'$ ,  $g(f(\xi')) = f(\xi)$  in  $X$  are generic points, because all morphisms in sight are maximally dominant by hypothesis. The situation is illustrated by the diagram of field extensions

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k(\xi') & \longleftarrow & k(\xi) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ k(f'(\xi')) & \longleftarrow & k(f(\xi)) \end{array}$$

where the extension  $k(\xi)/k(f(\xi))$  is finite and separable, the extension  $k(f'(\xi'))/k(f(\xi))$  is arbitrary and  $k(\xi') = k(\xi)k(f'(\xi'))$ . So we see that  $k(\xi')/k(f'(\xi'))$  is also finite and separable.  $\square$

**Lemma B.4.** *Let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be a morphism in  $\text{Dom}_k$ . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *For every generic point  $\xi$  of  $Y$ , the extension of residue fields  $k(\xi)/k(f(\xi))$  is finite and separable.*
- (ii) *The morphism  $f$  is generically étale, i.e. there is a dense open subset  $U \subset X$  over which  $f$  is étale.*

*Proof.* The equivalence follows from [35, Tag 02GU]. Note, in particular, the equivalence between (6) and (9) in loc. cit.  $\square$

**Definition B.5.** Let  $\text{Dom}_k^{\text{geo}}$  (resp.  $\text{Dom}_k^{\text{nc}}$ ) be the category of geometric pairs (resp. normal crossing pairs), with morphisms  $(\pi, \overline{\pi})$  such that  $\pi$  is maximally dominant.

Note that  $\text{Dom}_k^{\text{geo}}$  admits fibre products by Lemma B.2 and the argument in Remark 1.2.

**Definition B.6.** We call the topology on  $\text{Dom}_k$  generated by generically étale proper morphisms the *s-alt-topology* and we denote the resulting site by  $\text{Dom}_{k,\text{s-alt}}$ . Also, we call the topology on  $\text{Dom}_k^{\text{geo}}$  induced from  $\text{Dom}_{k,\text{s-alt}}$  by the forgetful functor

$$\psi : \text{Dom}_k^{\text{geo}} \rightarrow \text{Dom}_k, \quad (X, \overline{X}) \mapsto X$$

the *s-alt-topology on  $\text{Dom}_k^{\text{geo}}$*  and we denote the resulting site by  $\text{Dom}_{k,\text{s-alt}}^{\text{geo}}$ .

Since  $\text{Dom}_k$  and  $\text{Dom}_k^{geo}$  admit fiber products and the forgetful functor  $\psi$  preserves fiber products, a family of morphisms  $\{(X_i, \overline{X}_i) \rightarrow (X, \overline{X})\}_i$  is an s-alt-covering in  $\text{Dom}_k^{geo}$  if and only if the family of morphisms  $\{X_i \rightarrow X\}_i$  is an s-alt-covering in  $\text{Dom}_k$  ([36, Cor. 3.3]).

*Remark B.7.* (i) Recall that an alteration is a surjective generically finite proper morphism. Therefore the covering morphisms in the s-alt-topology are exactly the generically étale alterations.

(ii) A diagram (12) with the assumption in Question 2.2 is nothing but a split hypercovering  $(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet) \rightarrow (X, \overline{X})$  in  $\text{Dom}_{k, \text{s-alt}}^{geo}$  such that each  $(X_i, \overline{X}_i)$  belongs to  $\text{Dom}_k^{nc}$ .

**Lemma B.8.** *Let  $(X, \overline{X})$  be an object in  $\text{Dom}_k^{geo}$ , and let  $(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet) \rightarrow (X, \overline{X}), (X'_\bullet, \overline{X}'_\bullet) \rightarrow (X, \overline{X})$  be hypercoverings in  $\text{Dom}_{k, \text{s-alt}}^{geo}$ .*

(i) *The fibre product  $(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet) \times_{(X, \overline{X})} (X'_\bullet, \overline{X}'_\bullet) \rightarrow (X, \overline{X})$  in the category of simplicial objects in  $\text{Dom}_k^{geo}$  over  $(X, \overline{X})$  is also a hypercovering in  $\text{Dom}_{k, \text{s-alt}}^{geo}$ .*

(ii) *If we are given two morphisms  $\alpha, \beta : (X'_\bullet, \overline{X}'_\bullet) \rightarrow (X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)$  over  $(X, \overline{X})$ , there exists another hypercovering  $(X''_\bullet, \overline{X}''_\bullet) \rightarrow (X, \overline{X})$  in  $\text{Dom}_{k, \text{s-alt}}^{geo}$  and a morphism  $\gamma : (X''_\bullet, \overline{X}''_\bullet) \rightarrow (X'_\bullet, \overline{X}'_\bullet)$  over  $(X, \overline{X})$  such that  $\alpha \circ \gamma$  and  $\beta \circ \gamma$  are homotopic.*

*Proof.* (i) follows from [35, Tag 01GI] and (ii) follows from [35, Tag 01GS].  $\square$

**Lemma B.9.** *Let  $(X, \overline{X})$  be an object in  $\text{Dom}_k^{geo}$ .*

(i) *There exists an s-alt-covering  $(X', \overline{X}') \rightarrow (X, \overline{X})$  with  $(X', \overline{X}') \in \text{Dom}_k^{nc}$ .*

(ii) *Let  $(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet) \rightarrow (X, \overline{X})$  be a hypercovering in  $\text{Dom}_{k, \text{s-alt}}^{geo}$ . Then there exists a split hypercovering  $(X'_\bullet, \overline{X}'_\bullet) \rightarrow (X, \overline{X})$  in  $\text{Dom}_{k, \text{s-alt}}^{geo}$  with each  $(X'_i, \overline{X}'_i)$  in  $\text{Dom}_k^{nc}$  and a morphism  $(X'_\bullet, \overline{X}'_\bullet) \rightarrow (X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)$  over  $(X, \overline{X})$ .*

*Proof.* (i) follows from de Jong's alteration theorem. We prove (ii). Suppose that we have constructed an  $i$ -truncated split hypercovering  $(X'_\bullet, \overline{X}'_\bullet)_{\bullet \leq i} \rightarrow (X, \overline{X})$  in  $\text{Dom}_{k, \text{s-alt}}^{geo}$  with each  $(X'_j, \overline{X}'_j)$  ( $j \leq i$ ) in  $\text{Dom}_k^{nc}$  and a morphism  $(X'_\bullet, \overline{X}'_\bullet)_{\bullet \leq i} \rightarrow (X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)_{\bullet \leq i}$  over  $(X, \overline{X})$ . Let  $(X'''_{i+1}, \overline{X}'''_{i+1})$  be the fibre product of the diagram

$$\text{cosk}_i(X'_\bullet, \overline{X}'_\bullet)_{i+1} \rightarrow \text{cosk}_i(X'_\bullet, \overline{X}'_\bullet)_{i+1} \leftarrow (X_{i+1}, \overline{X}_{i+1})$$

in  $\text{Dom}_k^{geo}$  and take an s-alt-covering  $(X'''_{i+1}, \overline{X}'''_{i+1}) \rightarrow (X_{i+1}, \overline{X}_{i+1})$  with  $(X'''_{i+1}, \overline{X}'''_{i+1}) \in \text{Dom}_k^{nc}$ , which exists by (i). Then, by the recipe in [31, Prop. 5.1.3], we can form from  $(X'''_{i+1}, \overline{X}'''_{i+1})$  an  $(i+1)$ -truncated split hypercovering  $(X'_\bullet, \overline{X}'_\bullet)_{\bullet \leq i+1} \rightarrow (X, \overline{X})$  in  $\text{Dom}_{k, \text{s-alt}}^{geo}$  with each  $(X'_j, \overline{X}'_j)$  ( $j \leq i+1$ ) in  $\text{Dom}_k^{nc}$  and a morphism  $(X'_\bullet, \overline{X}'_\bullet)_{\bullet \leq i+1} \rightarrow (X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)_{\bullet \leq i+1}$  over  $(X, \overline{X})$ . By induction we obtain the required assertion.  $\square$

**Proposition B.10.** *Let  $f : (X', \overline{X}') \rightarrow (X, \overline{X})$  be a morphism in  $\text{Dom}_k^{geo}$  and let  $(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet) \rightarrow (X, \overline{X})$  be a hypercovering in  $\text{Dom}_{k, \text{s-alt}}^{geo}$ .*

(i) *There exists a split hypercovering  $(X'_\bullet, \overline{X}'_\bullet) \rightarrow (X', \overline{X}')$  in  $\text{Dom}_{k, \text{s-alt}}^{geo}$  with each  $(X'_i, \overline{X}'_i)$  in  $\text{Dom}_k^{nc}$  and a morphism  $(X'_\bullet, \overline{X}'_\bullet) \rightarrow (X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)$  over  $f$ .*

(ii) *For any two split hypercoverings  $(X'_{j, \bullet}, \overline{X}'_{j, \bullet}) \rightarrow (X', \overline{X}')$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) in  $\text{Dom}_{k, \text{s-alt}}^{geo}$  with each  $(X'_{j, i}, \overline{X}'_{j, i})$  in  $\text{Dom}_k^{nc}$  and morphisms  $g_j : (X'_{j, \bullet}, \overline{X}'_{j, \bullet}) \rightarrow (X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)$  over  $f$ , there exists a third split hypercovering  $(X'_{3, \bullet}, \overline{X}'_{3, \bullet}) \rightarrow (X', \overline{X}')$  with each  $(X'_{3, i}, \overline{X}'_{3, i})$  in  $\text{Dom}_k^{nc}$ , a morphism  $g_3 : (X'_{3, \bullet}, \overline{X}'_{3, \bullet}) \rightarrow (X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)$  over  $f$  and morphisms  $h_j : (X'_{3, \bullet}, \overline{X}'_{3, \bullet}) \rightarrow (X'_{j, \bullet}, \overline{X}'_{j, \bullet})$  over  $(X', \overline{X}')$  such that  $g_j \circ h_j = g_3$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ).*

(iii) For any split hypercovering  $(X'_\bullet, \overline{X}'_\bullet) \rightarrow (X', \overline{X}')$  in  $\text{Dom}_{k, \text{s-alt}}^{geo}$  with each  $(X'_i, \overline{X}'_i)$  in  $\text{Dom}_k^{nc}$  and two morphisms  $\alpha, \beta : (X'_\bullet, \overline{X}'_\bullet) \rightarrow (X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)$  over  $f$ , there exists a split hypercovering  $(X''_\bullet, \overline{X}''_\bullet) \rightarrow (X', \overline{X}')$  in  $\text{Dom}_{k, \text{s-alt}}^{geo}$  with each  $(X''_i, \overline{X}''_i)$  in  $\text{Dom}_k^{nc}$  and a morphism  $\gamma : (X''_\bullet, \overline{X}''_\bullet) \rightarrow (X'_\bullet, \overline{X}'_\bullet)$  over  $(X', \overline{X}')$  such that  $\alpha \circ \gamma$  and  $\beta \circ \gamma$  are homotopic.

*Proof.* By replacing  $(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)$  by its pullback along  $f$ , we may assume that  $f$  is the identity. Then (i) is the immediate consequence of Lemma B.9(ii). (ii) follows from Lemma B.8(i) and Lemma B.9(ii). (iii) follows from Lemma B.8(ii) and Lemma B.9(ii).  $\square$

For  $X \in \text{Dom}_k$ , denote by  $\{(X, \overline{X})/X\}^{geo}$  the category of geometric pairs  $(X, \overline{X})$  extending  $X$ . It is easy to see that this category is filtered (cf. Lemma 1.25). Also, for  $(X, \overline{X}) \in \text{Dom}_k^{geo}$ , denote by  $\{(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)/(X, \overline{X})\}$  the category of split hypercoverings  $(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet) \rightarrow (X, \overline{X})$  in  $\text{Dom}_{k, \text{s-alt}}^{geo}$  with each  $(X_i, \overline{X}_i)$  in  $\text{Dom}_k^{nc}$ . Then, the category  $\{(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)/(X, \overline{X})\}$  is ‘filtered up to homotopy’ by Proposition B.10 (for  $f$  the identity and  $(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet) \rightarrow (X, \overline{X})$  the identity hypercovering). Hence, for a fixed  $(X, \overline{X})$ , the inductive system  $\{H_{\text{cris}}^i((X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)/W(k))\}_{(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet) \in \{(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)/(X, \overline{X})\}}$  is cofiltered.

Also, for a morphism  $f : X' \rightarrow X$  in  $\text{Dom}_k$ , denote by  $\{\overline{f}/f\}^{geo}$  the category of morphisms of geometric pairs  $(X', \overline{X}') \rightarrow (X, \overline{X})$  extending  $f$ . It is easy to see that this category is also filtered and that there exists a diagram of projections

$$\{(X', \overline{X}')/X\}^{geo} \leftarrow \{\overline{f}/f\}^{geo} \rightarrow \{(X, \overline{X})/X\}^{geo}$$

where the second arrow is surjective (cf. Proposition 1.36). Also, for  $\overline{f} : (X', \overline{X}') \rightarrow (X, \overline{X})$  in  $\{\overline{f}/f\}^{geo}$ , denote by  $\{\overline{f}_\bullet/\overline{f}\}$  the category of morphisms  $\overline{f}_\bullet : (X'_\bullet, \overline{X}'_\bullet) \rightarrow (X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)$  over  $\overline{f}$  such that  $(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)$  (resp.  $(X'_\bullet, \overline{X}'_\bullet)$ ) is a split hypercovering of  $(X, \overline{X})$  (resp.  $(X', \overline{X}')$ ) in  $\text{Dom}_{k, \text{s-alt}}^{geo}$  with each  $(X_i, \overline{X}_i)$  (resp.  $(X'_i, \overline{X}'_i)$ ) in  $\text{Dom}_k^{nc}$ . Then there exists a diagram of projections

$$\{(X'_\bullet, \overline{X}'_\bullet)/(X', \overline{X}')\} \leftarrow \{\overline{f}_\bullet/\overline{f}\} \rightarrow \{(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)/(X, \overline{X})\}.$$

By Proposition B.10, we see that the second arrow in the above diagram is surjective and that, for a fixed  $\overline{f} : (X', \overline{X}') \rightarrow (X, \overline{X})$ , objects in  $\{\overline{f}_\bullet/\overline{f}\}$  induce a morphism of cofiltered inductive systems

$$\{H_{\text{cris}}^i((X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)/W(k))\}_{(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet) \in \{(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)/(X, \overline{X})\}} \rightarrow \{H_{\text{cris}}^i((X'_\bullet, \overline{X}'_\bullet)/W(k))\}_{(X'_\bullet, \overline{X}'_\bullet) \in \{(X'_\bullet, \overline{X}'_\bullet)/(X', \overline{X}')\}}.$$

Therefore, we can make the following definition.

**Definition B.11.** For  $i = 0, 1$ , we define the cohomology theory  $X \mapsto H_{\text{s-alt}}^i(X)$  on  $\text{Dom}_k$  by

$$(61) \quad H_{\text{s-alt}}^i(X) := \varinjlim_{\{(X, \overline{X})/X\}^{geo}} \varinjlim_{\{(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)/(X, \overline{X})\}} H_{\text{cris}}^i((X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)/W(k)).$$

The following is the reformulation of the results in Section 2.

**Theorem B.12.** For  $i = 0, 1$ , the inductive system on the right hand side of (61) is constant.

*Proof.* Theorems 2.3, 2.5 imply that the inductive system on the right hand side of (61) is constant with respect to  $\{(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)/(X, \overline{X})\}$ . Note also that, for a morphism  $(X, \overline{X}') \rightarrow (X, \overline{X})$  in  $\text{Dom}_k^{geo}$  and an object  $(Y_\bullet, \overline{Y}_\bullet) \rightarrow (X, \overline{X}')$  in  $\{(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)/(X, \overline{X}')\}$ , the composition  $(Y_\bullet, \overline{Y}_\bullet) \rightarrow (X, \overline{X}') \rightarrow (X, \overline{X})$  is an object in  $\{(X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)/(X, \overline{X})\}$ . This fact and Theorems 2.3, 2.5 imply that the inductive system on the right hand side of (61) is constant also with respect to  $\{(X, \overline{X})/X\}^{geo}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary B.13.** (i) For any  $X \in \text{Dom}_k$ ,  $H_{\text{s-alt}}^i(X)$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ) are finitely generated over  $W(k)$ .

(ii) For any  $(X, \overline{X}) \in \text{Dom}_k^{nc}$ , there exist functorial isomorphisms

$$H_{\text{s-alt}}^i(X) \cong H_{\text{cris}}^i((X, \overline{X})/W(k)) \quad (i = 0, 1).$$

(iii) For any  $X \in \text{Dom}_k$ , there exist functorial isomorphisms

$$H_{\text{s-alt}}^i(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q} \cong H_{\text{rig}}^i(X/K) \quad (i = 0, 1).$$



*Proof.* Since any member in the limit on the right hand side of (61) is finitely generated over  $W(k)$ , (i) follows from Theorem B.12. Noting the functoriality of  $H_{s\text{-alt}}^i(X)$  with respect to  $X \in \text{Dom}_k$ , we see that (ii) follows also from Theorem B.12. (iii) follows from the canonical isomorphism  $H_{\text{cris}}^*((X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)/W(k)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q} \cong H_{\text{rig}}^*(X/K)$  in [27, (Cor. 11.7 1)].  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] D. Abramovich, M. Temkin, *Functorial factorisation of birational maps for qc schemes in characteristic 0*. Algebra and Number Theory, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 379–424, (2019)
- [2] F. Andreatta, L. Barbieri-Viale, *Crystalline realizations of 1-motives*. Mathematische Annalen, vol. 331, pp. 111–172, (2005).
- [3] L. Barbieri-Viale, V. Srinivas, *Albanese and Picard 1-motives*. Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.) No. 87, (2001).
- [4] P. Berthelot, *Cohomologie cristalline des schémas de caractéristique  $p > 0$* , Lecture Notes in Math. 407, Springer-Verlag, 1974.
- [5] P. Berthelot, *Cohomologie rigide et cohomologie rigide à supports propres: Première partie*, Prépublication de l’IRMAR, pp.96-03, 1996.
- [6] P. Berthelot, *Finitude et pureté cohomologique en cohomologie rigide* (with an appendix in English by A.J. de Jong), Invent. Math. 128 (1997), pp.329–377.
- [7] V. Cossart, U. Jannsen and S. Saito, *Desingularization: Invariants and Strategy Application to Dimension 2*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 2270, Springer-Verlag, 2020.
- [8] V. Cossart, O. Piltant, *Resolution of singularities of threefolds in positive characteristic I. Reduction to local uniformization on Artin–Schreier and purely inseparable coverings*. J. Algebra, vol. 320, no. 3, pp. 1051–1082, (2008).
- [9] V. Cossart, O. Piltant, *Resolution of singularities of threefolds in positive characteristic II*. J. Algebra, vol. 321, no. 7, pp. 1836–1976, (2009).
- [10] G. Cortiñas, C. Haesemeyer, M. Schlichting, C. Weibel, *Cyclic Homology, cdh-Cohomology and Negative K-Theory*. Annals of Mathematics Second Series, vol. 167, no. 2, pp. 549–573, (2008).
- [11] R. Crew, *Etale  $p$ -covers in characteristic  $p$* . Compos. Math., vol. 52, pp.31–45, (1984).
- [12] C.J. Davis, A. Langer, T. Zink, *Overconvergent de Rham–Witt cohomology*. Annales scientifiques de l’É.N.S. (4), vol. 44, no. 2, pp. 197–262, (2011) .
- [13] P. Deligne, *Théorie de Hodge III*. Pub. Math. I.H.E.S., vol. 44, pp. 5–77, (1974).
- [14] A.J. de Jong, *Smoothness, semi-stability and alterations*. Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS, vol. 83. pp. 51–93, (1996).
- [15] V. Ertl, A. Shiho, *On infiniteness of integral overconvergent de Rham–Witt cohomology modulo torsion*. Tohoku Mathematical Journal, vol. 72, no. 3, pp. 395–410, (2020).
- [16] O. Gabber, S. Kelly, *Points in algebraic geometry*. J. Pure and Applied Alg. vol. 219, pp.4667–4680, (2015).
- [17] T. G. Goodwillie, S. Lichtenbaum, *A cohomological bound for the h-topology*. Amer. J. Math. vol. 123, pp. 425–443, (2001).
- [18] M. Gros, *Classes de Chern ate classes de cycles en cohomologie de Hodge–Witt logarithmique*. Mémoires de la S.M.F. 2<sup>e</sup> série, tome 21, pp. 1–87, (1985)
- [19] K. Hirayama, A. Shiho, *On comparison between relative log de Rham–Witt cohomology and relative log crystalline cohomology*. Preprint, arXiv:1803.09245v2 (2018).
- [20] A. Huber, S. Kebekus, S. Kelly, *Differential forms in positive characteristic avoiding resolution of singularities*. Bull. Soc. Math. Fr., vol. 145, fas. 2, pp. 305–343, (2017).
- [21] O. Hyodo, K. Kato, *Semi-stable reduction and crystalline cohomology with logarithmic poles*. Astérisque, vol. 223, pp. 221–268, (1994).
- [22] L. Illusie, *Complexe de de Rham–Witt et cohomologie cristalline*. Annales scientifiques de l’É.N.S. (4), vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 501–661, (1979).
- [23] N. Lawless, *A comparison of overconvergent Witt de-Rham cohomology and rigid cohomology on smooth schemes*. Preprint, arXiv:1810.10059v1 (2018).
- [24] H. Matsuue, *On relative and overconvergent de Rham–Witt cohomology for log schemes*. Math. Z. vol. 286, no. 1, pp. 19–87, (2017).
- [25] J.S. Milne, *Étale cohomology*. Princeton University Press, (1980).
- [26] A. Mokrane, *La suite spectrale des poids en cohomologie de Hyodo–Kato*. Duke Mathematical Journal, vol. 72, no. 2, pp. 301–337, (1993).

- [27] Y. Nakkajima, *Weight filtration and slope filtration on the rigid cohomology of a variety in characteristic  $p > 0$* , vol. 130-131, Mémoire de la Société Mathématique de France, (2012).
- [28] T. Oda, *The first de Rham cohomology group and Dieudonné modules*. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 63–135, (1969).
- [29] F. Orgogozo, *Exposé II: Topologies adaptées à l'uniformisation locale*. In *Travaux de Gabber sur l'uniformisation locale et la cohomologie étale des schémas quasi-excellents*. Ed. by L. Illusie, Y. Laszlo, F. Orgogozo. Astérisque, vol. 363–365, Soc. Mat. France, pp. 23–34, (2014). Séminaire à l'École Polytechnique 2006–2008. With the collaboration of F. Déglise, A. Moreau, V. Pilloni, M. Raynaud, J. Riou, B. Stroh, M. Temkin, W. Zheng.
- [30] M. Raynaud, L. Gruson, *Critères de platitude et de projectivité*. Inventiones math. 13, pp. 1–89, (1971)
- [31] B. Saint-Donat, *Techniques de descente cohomologique*. Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale de schémas (SGA 4), vol. 2, pp. 265–298, Lect. Notes in Math. 270, Springer-Verlag, (1972).
- [32] P. Samuel, *Algèbre locale*. Mémoires des sciences mathématiques, fascicule 123 (1953).
- [33] J-P. Serre, *Sur la topologie des variétés algébriques en caractéristique  $p$* . Symposium internacional de topología algebraica pp. 24–53 Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México and UNESCO, Mexico City (1958).
- [34] A. Susline, V. Voevodsky, *Bloch–Kato conjecture and motivic cohomology with finite coefficients*. In The arithmetic and geometry of algebraic cycles (Banff, AB, 1998), vol. 548 of NATO Sci. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., pp. 117–189. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, (2000).
- [35] The Stacks project authors, *The Stacks project*. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu> (2020).
- [36] J.-L. Verdier, *Fonctorialité de catégories de faisceaux*. Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale de schémas (SGA 4), vol. 1, pp. 265–298, Lect. Notes in Math. 269, Springer-Verlag, (1972).
- [37] V. Voevodsky, *Homotopy theory of simplicial sheaves in completely decomposable topologies*. Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, vol. 214 pp. 1384–1398, (2010).
- [38] V. Voevodsky, *Unstable motivic homotopy categories in Nisnevich and cdh-topologies*. Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, vol. 214 pp. 1399–1406, (2010).

UNIVERSITÄT REGENSBURG, FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK UNIVERSITÄTSSTRASSE 31, 93053 REGENSBURG, GERMANY  
*Email address:* `veronika.ertl@mathematik.uni-regensburg.de`

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, THE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, 3-8-1 KOMABA, MEGURO-KU, TOKYO  
 153-8914, JAPAN  
*Email address:* `shiho@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp`

UNIVERSITÄT DUISBURG-ESSEN, FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, THEA-LEYMANN-STRASSE 9, 45127 ESSEN, GERMANY  
*Email address:* `johannes.sprang@uni-due.de`