

Operator mixing, UV asymptotics of nonplanar/planar 2-point correlators, and nonperturbative large- N expansion of QCD-like theories

Ugo Aglietti^a Matteo Becchetti^b Marco Bochicchio^c Mauro Papinutto^{a,c}
 Francesco Scardino^{a,c}

^a*Physics Department, Sapienza University,
 Piazzale A. Moro 2, Roma, I-00185, Italy*

^b*Physics Department, Torino University and INFN Torino,
 Via Pietro Giuria 1, I-10125 Torino, Italy*

^c*Physics Department, INFN Roma1,
 Piazzale A. Moro 2, Roma, I-00185, Italy*

E-mail: ugo.aglietti@roma1.infn.it, matteo.becchetti@unito.it,
marco.bochicchio@roma1.infn.it, mauro.papinutto@roma1.infn.it,
francesco.scardino@roma1.infn.it

ABSTRACT: We work out the interplay between lowest-order perturbative computations in the 't Hooft coupling, $g^2 = g_{YM}^2 N$, operator mixing, renormalization-group (RG) improved ultraviolet (UV) asymptotics of leading-order (LO) nonplanar/planar contributions to 2-point correlators, and nonperturbative large- N expansion of perturbatively massless QCD-like theories. As concrete examples, we compute to the lowest perturbative order in $SU(N)$ YM theory the ratios, r_i , of LO-nonplanar to planar contributions to the 2-point correlators in the orthogonal basis in the coordinate representation of the gauge-invariant dimension-8 scalar operators and all the twist-2 operators. The very definition of r_i depends on the existence of the aforementioned basis, in such a way that its meaning is apparently limited to the lowest perturbative order only. Yet, we demonstrate that – if $\frac{\gamma_0}{\beta_0}$ has no LO-nonplanar contribution, with γ_0 and β_0 the one-loop coefficients of the anomalous-dimension matrix and beta function respectively – r_i actually coincides with the corresponding ratio in the large- N expansion of the RG-improved UV asymptotics of the 2-point correlators, provided that a certain canonical nonresonant diagonal renormalization scheme exists for the corresponding operators. Contrary to the aforementioned scalar operators, for the first 10^3 twist-2 operators we actually verify the above conditions, and we get the universal value $r_i = r_{twist-2} = -\frac{1}{N^2}$. Hence, nonperturbatively such r_i must coincide with the UV asymptotics of the ratio of the glueball self-energy loop to the glueball tree contribution to the 2-point correlators above. As a consequence, the universality of $r_{twist-2}$ reflects the universality of the effective coupling in the nonperturbative large- N YM theory for the twist-2 operators in the coordinate representation.

Contents

1	Introduction, physics motivations, and conclusions	1
2	Main results and plan of the paper	3
3	A detour through operator mixing	5
4	UV asymptotics of the nonplanar/planar contributions to 2-point correlators	11
5	Gluonic dimension-8 scalar operators	12
5.1	The defining basis	12
5.2	γ_0 in the defining basis	13
5.3	G_0 in the defining basis	14
5.4	Relation between γ_0 and G_0 in the defining basis	15
5.5	G_0 and γ_0 in the diagonal canonical basis	16
5.6	Computation of r_i	18
6	Gluonic twist-2 operators	20
6.1	The defining basis	20
6.2	γ_0 in the defining basis	21
6.3	G_0 in the defining basis	21
6.4	Relation between G_0 and γ_0 in the defining basis	22
6.5	Computation of r_i	22
7	Acknowledgments	22

1 Introduction, physics motivations, and conclusions

In the present paper we work out the interplay between lowest-order perturbative computations in the 't Hooft coupling [1], $g^2 = g_{YM}^2 N$, operator mixing, renormalization-group (RG) improved ultraviolet (UV) asymptotics of leading-order (LO) nonplanar¹/planar² contributions to 2-point correlators, and nonperturbative large- N 't Hooft expansion of perturbatively massless asymptotically free QCD-like theories (massless QCD-like theories in short) (section 2), such as pure Yang-Mills (YM) theory, QCD with massless quarks, and their $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric extensions.

¹The LO-nonplanar contribution is the next-to-leading one in the large- N expansion.

²The planar contribution is the leading one in the large- N expansion.

Apart from the intrinsic interest, our main motivation is for the qualitative and quantitative constraints that our UV asymptotic computations set on the – yet to come – nonperturbative solution of large- N YM theory and, more generally, of massless QCD-like theories.

As concrete examples, we compute, to the lowest perturbative order³ in g , the ratios, r_i , of LO-nonplanar to planar contributions to the 2-point correlators in the coordinate representation of certain local gauge-invariant operators (sections 5 and 6), which mix among themselves under renormalization, in their orthogonal basis (section 3) in $SU(N)$ YM theory.

Though r_i has an intrinsic meaning from the point of view of the large- N expansion, as it does not depend on the normalization of the operators in the planar theory [1], its very definition depends on the choice of the operators and, specifically, on the existence of an orthogonal basis (section 3) to the lowest perturbative order, in such a way that its meaning is apparently limited to the lowest order only.

Indeed, while to the lowest order r_i is a pure number (section 2) in massless QCD-like theories, to higher orders and nonperturbatively, the space-time dependence of the LO-nonplanar and planar contributions does not cancel in general in their ratio (section 4), thus making the very definition of r_i as a pure number in general meaningless beyond the lowest order.

Yet, as opposed to the general case, we demonstrate (sections 3 and 4) according to [2, 3] that – if $\frac{\gamma_0}{\beta_0}$ has no LO-nonplanar contribution [3] – r_i coincides instead with the corresponding ratio in the large- N expansion of the RG-improved UV asymptotics of 2-point correlators, provided that a certain operator basis exists (sections 2 and 3), which to the lowest perturbative order coincides with the orthogonal basis (section 3), where $\frac{\gamma(g)}{\beta(g)} = \frac{\gamma_0}{\beta_0} \frac{1}{g}$ is diagonal and one-loop exact [2] to all orders of perturbation theory, with $\gamma(g)$ the anomalous-dimension matrix, $\beta(g)$ the beta function, and γ_0, β_0 their first coefficients respectively.

Indeed, in this case, the space-time dependence of LO-nonplanar and planar contributions to 2-point correlators exactly cancels in the UV asymptotics of their ratio (section 4).

We refer to the above renormalization scheme for $\frac{\gamma(g)}{\beta(g)}$ as to the canonical non-resonant diagonal renormalization scheme introduced in [2] (section 3).

Its existence depends on the nonresonant condition [2] in eq. (3.33), for the differences of pairs of eigenvalues of $\frac{\gamma_0}{\beta_0}$, that is generically satisfied [2].

We classify the operators that mix among themselves under renormalization in massless QCD-like theories in two mutually disjoint classes: The class of operators for which the set of the ratios r_i in the orthogonal basis contains at least a real – but not rational – number, which we refer to as the real class, and the class of operators

³We suppose that all the operators are canonically normalized, in such a way that the perturbative contributions to the 2-point correlators start from the order g^0 .

for which all the aforementioned ratios are rational numbers, which we refer to as the rational class.

If r_i coincides with the corresponding LO-nonplanar/planar ratio in the actual UV asymptotics mentioned above, the rationality of r_i may have a nonperturbative meaning in the exact solution of large- N massless QCD-like theories.

Indeed, we find an infinite set of operators in large- N YM theory that belong to the rational class: For all of the gauge-invariant gluonic twist-2 operators [4], $r_i = -\frac{1}{N^2} = r_{twist-2}$ universally (section 6), and $\frac{\gamma_0}{\beta_0}$ has no nonplanar contribution (section 6).

Moreover, we verify by means of Mathematica (section 6) that, for the first 10^3 twist-2 operators ordered by increasing spin, the condition (section 3) for the existence of the canonical nonresonant diagonal renormalization scheme is satisfied.

Therefore, for the twist-2 operators above in large- N YM theory, r_i has a non-perturbative meaning. Indeed, according to fundamental principles [5] of the large- N expansion [1] of YM theory, we point out that such r_i must coincide with the UV asymptotics of the ratio between the glueball self-energy loop [5] and the glueball tree contribution [5] to the aforementioned 2-point correlators in the canonical non-resonant diagonal renormalization scheme.

Hence, our main conclusion is that the universality of $r_{twist-2}$ is related to the universality of the effective coupling in the nonperturbative large- N expansion of the YM theory, and that our computations provide a quantitative measure of the strength of such coupling for the twist-2 operators in the coordinate representation.

2 Main results and plan of the paper

We now describe the main results in the present paper concerning the technical aspects of our computations.

To the leading and next-to-leading order in perturbation theory, which we refer to as the lowest orders, massless QCD-like theories are actually conformal invariant [6], since the beta function:

$$\beta(g) = -\beta_0 g^3 - \beta_1 g^5 + \dots \quad (2.1)$$

only affects the solution of the Callan-Symanzik equation starting from the order of g^4 . Therefore, our lowest-order computations are controlled by the conformal symmetry [6] to the lowest orders.

Specifically, r_i is a pure number because, to the lowest order in g , the theory is exactly conformal, and therefore the space-time dependence of the 2-point correlators is completely fixed by the conformal symmetry and cancels exactly in the ratio between LO-nonplanar and planar contributions.

In the concrete examples that we work out, and in general as a rule of thumb, operators that do not mix to order g^0 , i.e., that are mutually orthogonal to the lowest order in their natural defining basis, turn out to belong to the rational class.

On the contrary, operators that do mix to order g^0 , i.e., that are not mutually orthogonal to the lowest order in their natural defining basis, turn out to belong in general to the real class. In the present paper we work out concrete examples of both classes.

Our first main result is that, by explicit computation, in $SU(N)$ YM theory certain gauge-invariant gluonic dimension-8 scalar operators [7, 8] belong to the real class (section 5), while all the gauge-invariant gluonic twist-2 operators belong to the rational class (section 6).

Moreover, for the dimension-8 scalar operators, $\frac{\gamma_0}{\beta_0}$ has a nonvanishing LO-nonplanar contribution (section 5), in such a way that the corresponding r_i is not well defined (section 4) beyond the lowest perturbative order.

Incidentally, we also demonstrate (section 5), as an example of intrinsic interest, that for the aforementioned dimension-8 operators the resonant condition in eq. (3.34) holds in the planar theory, but it is lifted to the leading nonplanar order.

On the contrary, for all of the gluonic twist-2 operators, r_i has the universal rational value (section 6):

$$r_{twist-2} = -\frac{1}{N^2} \tag{2.2}$$

and $\frac{\gamma_0}{\beta_0}$ has no nonplanar contribution (section 6). Moreover, the canonical nonresonant diagonal renormalization scheme exists generically [2], and, specifically, we verify (section 6) that it exists for the first 10^3 twist-2 operators. Therefore, for such operators, r_i makes sense beyond perturbation theory (section 4).

The computation of r_i involves the construction of the orthogonal basis where G_0 , the coefficient matrix (section 3) that sets the normalization of 2-point correlators to order g^0 , is diagonal.

Relatedly, our second main result consists in verifying (sections 5 and 6) in passing the relation [9] (section 3):

$$\gamma_0 G_0 = G_0 \gamma_0^T \tag{2.3}$$

where γ_0^T is the transposed matrix of γ_0 , both for the gluonic dimension-8 scalar operators and the gluonic twist-2 operators in YM theory. By assuming that γ_0 is diagonalizable (section 3), eq. (2.3) allows us the simultaneous diagonalization of G_0 ⁴ and γ_0 (section 3).

In fact, to the best of our knowledge, eq. (2.3) is verified for the first time in the present paper, as in the defining basis of the dimension-8 scalar operators both

⁴For the operators that are considered in the present paper, G_0 is real symmetric and thus diagonalizable by a change of the operator basis (section 3).

γ_0 and G_0 are nondiagonal. For this aim we employ the computation of G_0 in the present paper (section 5) and of γ_0 in [7] (section 5).

For the twist-2 operators eq. (2.3) is trivially verified, as both γ_0 and G_0 are already diagonal in the defining basis (section 6).

Besides, we work out (section 3) the close interplay between our lowest-order computation and the actual nonperturbative asymptotics of 2-point correlators in the case of operator mixing according to [2, 9, 10].

Our third main result consists in demonstrating (section 4) for Hermitian operators that, if $\frac{\gamma_0}{\beta_0}$ has no LO-nonplanar contribution, the perturbative lowest-order ratio, r_i , equals the corresponding ratio in the large- N expansion of the UV asymptotics of 2-point correlators in a certain canonical nonresonant diagonal renormalization scheme (section 3), where $\frac{\gamma(g)}{\beta(g)}$ is one-loop exact and diagonal. In such a scheme, G_0 and γ_0 are simultaneously diagonal as a consequence of eq. (2.3).

Moreover, such a scheme exists generically, provided that the nonresonant condition [2] for the eigenvalues of $\frac{\gamma_0}{\beta_0}$ in eq. (3.33) is satisfied (section 3). We verify numerically that, for the first 10^3 twist-2 operators, the aforementioned nonresonant condition is actually satisfied (section 6).

3 A detour through operator mixing

We summarize briefly the results about operator mixing in [2, 9, 10], both to the lowest orders and to all orders, that are relevant for the present paper.

In a massless QCD-like theory, we consider 2-point correlators of renormalized bosonic Hermitian local gauge-invariant operators in Minkowskian space-time, either PT even or odd, with maximal projection of the integer spin s along the direction $p_+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(p_0 + p_3)$, naive dimension $D = s + \tau$ and twist τ [11].

Moreover, we perform the Wick rotation [11] of the aforementioned operators and correlators to Euclidean space-time:

$$G_{ik}^{(s)}(x) = \langle O_i^{(s)}(x) O_k^{(s)}(0) \rangle \quad (3.1)$$

where $O_i^{(s)}(x)$ are the renormalized Euclidean operators with spin projection s along the direction $p_z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(p_4 + ip_3)$:

$$O_i^{(s)} = Z_{ik} O_{Bk}^{(s)} \quad (3.2)$$

with $O_{Bk}^{(s)}$ the bare operators that mix under renormalization ⁵. In matrix notation:

$$O^{(s)} = Z O_B^{(s)} \quad (3.3)$$

⁵In fact, gauge-invariant operators also mix with BRST-exact operators and with operators that vanish by the equations of motion (EQM). But correlators of gauge-invariant operators with BRST-exact operators vanish, while correlators with EQM operators reduce to contact terms. Hence, for our purposes it suffices to take into account the mixing of gauge-invariant operators only.

where $O^{(s)}$ denotes a column vector whose entries are the operators $O_i^{(s)}$. The Euclidean 2-point correlators satisfy the Callan-Symanzik equation [12, 13] in matrix notation [2, 9]:

$$\left(x \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \beta(g) \frac{\partial}{\partial g}\right) G^{(s)} + \gamma(g) G^{(s)} + G^{(s)} \gamma^T(g) = 0 \quad (3.4)$$

Its asymptotic solution [2, 9] reads in Euclidean space-time:

$$G^{(s)}(x) \sim \frac{x_z^{2s}}{(x^2)^{2s+\tau}} Z^{(s)}(\sqrt{x^2}, \mu) \mathcal{G}^{(s)}(g(\sqrt{x^2})) Z^{(s)T}(\sqrt{x^2}, \mu) \quad (3.5)$$

and, by analytic continuation [11], $x_z \rightarrow ix_+$, $x^2 \rightarrow -|x|^2 + i\epsilon$, in Minkowskian space-time:

$$(-1)^s \frac{x_+^{2s}}{(-|x|^2 + i\epsilon)^{2s+\tau}} Z^{(s)}(\sqrt{-x^2 + i\epsilon}, \mu) \mathcal{G}^{(s)}(g(\sqrt{-x^2 + i\epsilon})) Z^{(s)T}(\sqrt{-x^2 + i\epsilon}, \mu) \quad (3.6)$$

where x^2 and $|x|^2$ are the Euclidean and Minkowskian squared distance [11] respectively. In eqs. (3.5) and (3.6) the space-time dependence of the first factor is uniquely fixed by translational invariance, dimensional analysis, the absence of perturbative scales to the lowest order in massless QCD-like theories, and, respectively, the Euclidean and Lorentz symmetry.

Z^T is the transposed matrix of Z , $\mathcal{G}^{(s)}(g(x))$ is a dimensionless RG-invariant matrix function – which is symmetric, as the operators are bosonic and either PT even or odd, and real, as the operators are Hermitian – of the running coupling only [10], and:

$$Z^{(s)}(x, \mu) = P \exp \left(- \int_{g(x)}^{g(\mu)} \frac{\gamma^{(s)}(g)}{\beta(g)} dg \right) \equiv Z^{(s)}(\sqrt{x^2}, \mu) \quad (3.7)$$

where P denotes the path ordering of the exponential and $g(\mu)$ and $g(x) \equiv g(\sqrt{x^2})$ are short notations for the running coupling at the corresponding scales, $g(\frac{\mu}{\Lambda_{RG}})$ and $g(x\Lambda_{RG}) \equiv g(\sqrt{x^2}\Lambda_{RG})$:

$$g^2(x\Lambda_{RGI}) \sim \frac{1}{\beta_0 \log(\frac{1}{x^2\Lambda_{RGI}^2})} \left(1 - \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0^2} \frac{\log \log(\frac{1}{x^2\Lambda_{RGI}^2})}{\log(\frac{1}{x^2\Lambda_{RGI}^2})} \right) \quad (3.8)$$

with Λ_{RG} the RG-invariant scale and $\gamma^{(s)}(g)$ the matrix of the anomalous dimensions:

$$\gamma^{(s)}(g) = - \frac{\partial Z^{(s)}}{\partial \log \mu} Z^{(s)-1} = \gamma_0^{(s)} g^2 + \gamma_1^{(s)} g^4 + \dots \quad (3.9)$$

In the following, for brevity, we omit the superscript $^{(s)}$, since the spin s has no further role. Both $\mathcal{G}(g(x))$ and $Z(x, \mu)$ admit the perturbative expansion in terms of the renormalized coupling $g = g(\mu)$:

$$\mathcal{G}(g) = G_0 + g^2 G_1 + \dots \quad (3.10)$$

with G_0 real symmetric, and:

$$Z(x, \mu) = I - g^2 \gamma_0 \log \sqrt{x^2 \mu^2} + \dots \quad (3.11)$$

with I the identity matrix. Moreover, in a massless QCD-like theory, it holds [9]:

$$\gamma_0 G_0 = G_0 \gamma_0^T \quad (3.12)$$

that is a consequence [9] of the existence of a scalar product induced by the conformal structure (section 2) to the lowest orders.

We briefly summarize the proof [9] of eq. (3.12) for Hermitian scalar gauge-invariant operators. In a conformal field theory, $G_{conf}(x)$ satisfies the Callan-Symanzik equation:

$$x \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x} G_{conf}(x) + \Delta G_{conf}(x) + G_{conf}(x) \Delta^T = 0 \quad (3.13)$$

with Δ the matrix of the conformal dimensions, whose general solution for scalar operators is:

$$G_{conf}(x) = \langle O(x) O(0) \rangle = e^{-\Delta \log \sqrt{x^2 \mu^2}} \mathcal{G} e^{-\Delta^T \log \sqrt{x^2 \mu^2}} \quad (3.14)$$

in matrix notation, where \mathcal{G} is a matrix independent of space-time and the tensor product between repeated O is understood. If Δ is diagonalizable, the dependence on the scale μ may be reabsorbed into a redefinition of \mathcal{G} . Otherwise, if Δ is nondiagonalizable, a logarithmic [14] conformal field theory arises.

Moreover, in a conformal field theory the operators/states correspondence holds [14, 15]:

$$\begin{aligned} O(0)|0\rangle &= |O_{in}\rangle \\ \langle O_{out}| &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \langle 0| e^{2\Delta \log \sqrt{x^2 \mu^2}} O(x) \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

As a consequence, the scalar product in matrix notation reads:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle O_{out}|O_{in}\rangle &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \langle 0| e^{2\Delta \log \sqrt{x^2 \mu^2}} O(x) O(0) |0\rangle \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} e^{2\Delta \log \sqrt{x^2 \mu^2}} e^{-\Delta \log \sqrt{x^2 \mu^2}} \mathcal{G} e^{-\Delta^T \log \sqrt{x^2 \mu^2}} \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} e^{\Delta \log \sqrt{x^2 \mu^2}} \mathcal{G} e^{-\Delta^T \log \sqrt{x^2 \mu^2}} \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

In order to be well defined, the scalar product in eq. (3.16) must be independent of the variable $\sqrt{x^2 \mu^2}$. To the lowest orders in massless QCD-like theories we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(g) &= D I + g^2 \gamma_0 + \dots \\ \mathcal{G}(g) &= G_0 + g^2 G_1 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

in the conformal renormalization scheme [6]. Expanding the scalar product above to the lowest orders, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle O_{out}|O_{in}\rangle &= \left(I + g^2\gamma_0 \log \sqrt{x^2\mu^2}\right) \mathcal{G}(g) \left(I - g^2\gamma_0^T \log \sqrt{x^2\mu^2}\right) \\ &= (G_0 + g^2G_1) + g^2 (\gamma_0G_0 - G_0\gamma_0^T) \log \sqrt{x^2\mu^2} + \dots\end{aligned}\quad (3.18)$$

The independence from $\sqrt{x^2\mu^2}$ then implies eq. (3.12). Interestingly, eq. (3.12) mixes [9] the lowest orders of perturbation theory.

For the change of basis:

$$O'(x) = SO(x) \quad (3.19)$$

with S a constant invertible matrix, $G(x)$ and $Z(x, \mu)$ transform [9] as:

$$G'(x) = SG(x)S^T \quad (3.20)$$

and:

$$Z'(x, \mu) = SZ(x, \mu)S^{-1} \quad (3.21)$$

Correspondingly, G_0 and γ_0 transform as:

$$G'_0 = SG_0S^T \quad (3.22)$$

and:

$$\gamma'_0 = S\gamma_0S^{-1} \quad (3.23)$$

Consistently, eq. (3.12) is covariant for the aforementioned change of basis.

If γ_0 is diagonalizable by a change of basis, as it should be [9, 10] for Hermitian operators in the gauge-invariant sector of a massless QCD-like theory, unless a nonunitary [14] conformal field theory arises [9, 10] to the lowest orders, by eq. (3.12) G_0 commutes with γ_0 in the diagonal basis. Moreover, as G_0 is a real symmetric matrix, it is diagonalizable [9] by the transformation in eq. (3.22). Therefore, under the above assumption, a basis exists where γ_0 and G_0 are both diagonal.

Thus, an orthogonal basis of operators with well defined conformal dimensions, $\Delta_i = D + \gamma_{0i}g^2$, exists to the lowest orders. These lowest-orders features can be combined with the general solution in eq. (3.5) to get asymptotic estimates for the 2-point correlators.

For this purpose, it is needed an all-orders extension of the lowest-orders diagonal basis for γ_0 and G_0 , i.e., the existence of a canonical diagonal renormalization scheme where:

$$-\frac{\gamma_{ik}(g)}{\beta(g)} = \sum_s \frac{\partial Z_{is}}{\partial g}(Z^{-1})_{sk} = \frac{\gamma_{0ik}}{\beta_0g} + \dots \quad (3.24)$$

is diagonal and one-loop exact [2]:

$$\frac{\gamma_{0i}}{\beta_0 g} = \frac{\partial Z_i}{\partial g} Z_i^{-1} \quad (3.25)$$

with γ_{0i} and Z_i the eigenvalues of the corresponding matrices.

We may wonder whether such a scheme actually exists. The general answer to this question has been worked out in [2, 10] on the basis of the following simple, but fundamental, observations in [2].

It has been pointed out in [2] that renormalization can be interpreted in a differential geometric setting, where a (finite) change of renormalization scheme, i.e., a coupling-dependent change of the operator basis:

$$O'(x) = S(g)O(x) \quad (3.26)$$

is interpreted as a matrix-valued (formal) holomorphic invertible gauge transformation $S(g)$. Accordingly, the matrix valued ratio:

$$-\frac{\gamma(g)}{\beta(g)} = \frac{\gamma_0}{\beta_0} \frac{1}{g} + \dots \quad (3.27)$$

that occurs in the system of ordinary differential equations defining $Z(x, \mu)$:

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial g} + \frac{\gamma(g)}{\beta(g)}\right)Z(x, \mu) = 0 \quad (3.28)$$

is interpreted [2] as a (formal) meromorphic connection $A(g)$, with a simple pole at $g = 0$ – i.e., a Fuchsian singularity –, that for the gauge transformation in eq. (3.26) transforms as:

$$A'(g) = S(g)A(g)S^{-1}(g) + \frac{\partial S(g)}{\partial g}S^{-1}(g) \quad (3.29)$$

Moreover,

$$\mathcal{D} = \frac{\partial}{\partial g} + \frac{\gamma(g)}{\beta(g)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial g} - A(g) \quad (3.30)$$

is interpreted as the corresponding covariant derivative. As a consequence, $Z(x, \mu)$ is interpreted [2] as a Wilson line associated to the aforementioned connection:

$$Z(x, \mu) = P \exp \left(- \int_{g(x)}^{g(\mu)} \frac{\gamma(g)}{\beta(g)} dg \right) = P \exp \left(\int_{g(x)}^{g(\mu)} A(g) dg \right) \quad (3.31)$$

that transforms as:

$$Z'(x, \mu) = S(g(\mu))Z(x, \mu)S^{-1}(g(x)) \quad (3.32)$$

for the gauge transformation $S(g)$.

The above observations in [2], though likely well known, have opened the way to apply in the framework of operator mixing the theory of canonical forms [2, 10] for systems of differential equations with Fuchsian singularities obtained by means of (formal) holomorphic gauge transformations and, specifically, the Poincaré -Dulac theorem [2, 10].

Indeed, the easiest way [2, 10] to compute the UV asymptotics of $Z(x, \mu)$ consists in setting the connection, $A(g) = -\frac{\gamma(g)}{\beta(g)}$, in canonical form by a suitable gauge transformation.

On the basis of the Poincaré-Dulac theorem applied to the system of differential equations for $Z(x, \mu)$ in eq. (3.28), it turns out [2] that, if the following nonresonant condition for the eigenvalues of $\frac{\gamma_0}{\beta_0}$ is satisfied, a (formal) holomorphic gauge transformation exists that sets eq. (3.28) in the diagonal canonical form in eq. (3.25).

The sufficient [2] condition for the mixing associated to eq. (3.28) to be nonresonant is that any two eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots$ of the matrix $\frac{\gamma_0}{\beta_0}$, in nonincreasing order $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots$, do not differ by a positive even integer, i.e.:

$$\lambda_i - \lambda_j - 2k \neq 0 \quad (3.33)$$

for $i \leq j$ and k a positive integer.

Otherwise, in the resonant case, $Z(x, \mu)$ is not diagonalizable [2] by a holomorphic gauge transformation, and a more complicated canonical form [10] for $-\frac{\gamma(g)}{\beta(g)}$ and UV asymptotics for $Z(x, \mu)$ arises [10] from the Poincaré-Dulac theorem. The necessary condition for the mixing to be resonant is that:

$$\lambda_i - \lambda_j - 2k = 0 \quad (3.34)$$

for some $i < j$ and k a positive integer.

Thus, the nonresonant mixing – for which the diagonal canonical renormalization scheme exists – is the generic case [2]. In the nonresonant diagonal scheme where eq. (3.25) holds, $Z(x, \mu)$ is diagonal and its eigenvalues, $Z_i(x, \mu)$, are computed by:

$$Z_i(x, \mu) = \exp \left(\int_{g(x)}^{g(\mu)} \frac{\gamma_{0i}}{\beta_0 g} dg \right) = \left(\frac{g(\mu)}{g(x)} \right)^{\frac{\gamma_{0i}}{\beta_0}} \quad (3.35)$$

Correspondingly, eq. (3.5) reads:

$$G_{ii}(x) \sim \frac{x_z^{2s}}{(x^2)^{2s+\tau}} Z_i(x, \mu) \mathcal{G}_{ii}(g(x)) Z_i^T(x, \mu) \quad (3.36)$$

where no sum on the index i is understood. Eqs. (3.36), (3.35) and (3.10) lead to the asymptotic estimates:

$$G_{ii}(x) \sim \frac{x_z^{2s}}{(x^2)^{2s+\tau}} \left(\frac{g(\mu)}{g(x)} \right)^{\frac{2\gamma_{0i}}{\beta_0}} G_{0i} \quad (3.37)$$

Thus, provided that the canonical nonresonant diagonal renormalization scheme exists, we have reduced [2] the asymptotics of operator mixing to the multiplicatively renormalizable case.

4 UV asymptotics of the nonplanar/planar contributions to 2-point correlators

Now, assuming that the canonical nonresonant diagonal renormalization scheme exists, we expand in powers of $\frac{1}{N}$ the rhs of eq. (3.37). According to [3], the nonplanar contributions, $[\frac{\gamma_{0i}}{\beta_0}]^{NP}$, in:

$$\frac{\gamma_{0i}}{\beta_0} = [\frac{\gamma_{0i}}{\beta_0}]^P + [\frac{\gamma_{0i}}{\beta_0}]^{NP} \quad (4.1)$$

produce terms involving logs of the running coupling:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{ii}(x) &\sim \frac{x_z^{2s}}{(x^2)^{2s+\tau}} \left(\frac{g(\mu)}{g(x)} \right)^{2[\frac{\gamma_{0i}}{\beta_0}]^P + 2[\frac{\gamma_{0i}}{\beta_0}]^{NP}} (G_{0i}^P + G_{0i}^{NP}) \\ &\sim \frac{x_z^{2s}}{(x^2)^{2s+\tau}} \left(\frac{g(\mu)}{g(x)} \right)^{2[\frac{\gamma_{0i}}{\beta_0}]^P} \left(1 + 2[\frac{\gamma_{0i}}{\beta_0}]^{NP} \log \left(\frac{g(\mu)}{g(x)} \right) + \dots \right) (G_{0i}^P + G_{0i}^{NP}) \\ &\sim \frac{x_z^{2s}}{(x^2)^{2s+\tau}} \left(\frac{g(\mu)}{g(x)} \right)^{2[\frac{\gamma_{0i}}{\beta_0}]^P} G_{0i}^P \\ &+ \frac{x_z^{2s}}{(x^2)^{2s+\tau}} \left(\frac{g(\mu)}{g(x)} \right)^{2[\frac{\gamma_{0i}}{\beta_0}]^P} \left(G_{0i}^{NP} + G_{0i}^P \left(2[\frac{\gamma_{0i}}{\beta_0}]^{NP} \log \left(\frac{g(\mu)}{g(x)} \right) + \dots \right) + \dots \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

with:

$$G_{0i} = G_{0i}^P + G_{0i}^{NP} \quad (4.3)$$

Hence:

$$\frac{G_{ii}^{NP}(x)}{G_{ii}^P(x)} \sim \frac{G_{0i}^{NP}}{G_{0i}^P} + \left(2[\frac{\gamma_{0i}}{\beta_0}]^{NP} \log \left(\frac{g(\mu)}{g(x)} \right) + \dots \right) + \dots \quad (4.4)$$

As a consequence:

$$r_i = \frac{G_{0i}^{LO-NP}}{G_{0i}^P} \sim \frac{G_{ii}^{LO-NP}(x)}{G_{ii}^P(x)} \quad (4.5)$$

provided that G_{0i}^{LO-NP} and G_{0i}^P do not vanish, and $[\frac{\gamma_{0i}}{\beta_0}]^{LO-NP} = 0$.

5 Gluonic dimension-8 scalar operators

5.1 The defining basis

We consider in $SU(N)$ YM theory the set – defined in [7] – of bare dimension-8 gauge-invariant Hermitian scalar operators:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}_{B841} &= \frac{1}{N^4} F_{\mu\sigma}^a F^{a\mu\rho} F^{b\sigma\nu} F_{\rho\nu}^b & \mathcal{O}_{B842} &= \frac{1}{N^4} F_{\mu\sigma}^a F^{b\mu\rho} F^{b\sigma\nu} F_{\rho\nu}^a \\
\mathcal{O}_{B843} &= \frac{1}{N^4} F_{\mu\sigma}^a F_{\nu\rho}^a F^{b\sigma\mu} F^{b\rho\nu} & \mathcal{O}_{B844} &= \frac{1}{N^4} F_{\mu\sigma}^a F_{\nu\rho}^b F^{a\sigma\mu} F^{b\rho\nu} \\
\mathcal{O}_{B845} &= \frac{1}{N^4} d_4^{abcd} F_{\mu\sigma}^a F^{b\mu\sigma} F_{\nu\rho}^c F^{d\nu\rho} & \mathcal{O}_{B846} &= \frac{1}{N^4} d_4^{abcd} F_{\mu\sigma}^a F^{c\mu\rho} F^{b\nu\sigma} F_{\nu\rho}^d \\
\mathcal{O}_{B847} &= \frac{1}{N^4} d_4^{abcd} F_{\mu\sigma}^a F^{b\mu\sigma} F_{\nu\rho}^c F^{d\nu\rho} & \mathcal{O}_{B848} &= \frac{1}{N^4} d_4^{abcd} F_{\mu\sigma}^a F^{c\mu\rho} F^{b\nu\sigma} F_{\nu\rho}^d \quad (5.1)
\end{aligned}$$

where $F_{\mu\nu}^a$ is the field strength:

$$F_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a - g f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \quad (5.2)$$

d_4^{abcd} is the rank-4 tensor:

$$d_4^{abcd} = d^{abe} d^{dce} \quad (5.3)$$

with f^{abc} and d^{abc} the antisymmetric and symmetric tensors respectively:

$$\begin{aligned}
[T^a, T^b] &= i f^{abc} T^c \\
\{T^a, T^b\} &= \frac{1}{N} \delta^{ab} I + d^{abc} T^c \quad (5.4)
\end{aligned}$$

associated to the generators, T^a , of the Lie algebra of $SU(N)$ in the fundamental representation, normalized as:

$$\text{Tr}(T^a T^b) = \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ab} \quad (5.5)$$

We refer to the operators, $\mathcal{O}_{B841} \cdots \mathcal{O}_{B844}$, and $\mathcal{O}_{B845} \cdots \mathcal{O}_{B848}$, as to double-trace and single-trace operators respectively. These operators mix among themselves under renormalization in perturbation theory [7, 8]:

$$\mathcal{O} = Z \mathcal{O}_B \quad (5.6)$$

where \mathcal{O} is the column vector containing the renormalized operators defined in eq. (5.1), whose transposed, \mathcal{O}^T , reads:

$$\mathcal{O}^T = (\mathcal{O}_{841} \mathcal{O}_{8412} \mathcal{O}_{843} \mathcal{O}_{844} \mathcal{O}_{845} \mathcal{O}_{846} \mathcal{O}_{847} \mathcal{O}_{848}) \quad (5.7)$$

with \mathcal{O}_B the vector of the bare operators.

5.2 γ_0 in the defining basis

The matrix $\gamma(g)$ of the anomalous dimensions for the above operators has been computed to the order of g^2 in [7]. From [7] we extract the large- N expansion of γ_0 :

$$\gamma_0 = \sum_{k=0}^3 \frac{1}{N^k} \gamma_{0k} \quad (5.8)$$

where the matrices γ_{0k} read:

$$\gamma_{00} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{11}{6} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{14}{3} & \frac{10}{3} & -4 & -\frac{1}{6} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{28}{3} & -8 & -\frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{22}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{5}{2} & 6 & \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{16}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{4}{3} & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{25}{12} & \frac{19}{3} & -1 & -\frac{16}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{5}{6} & \frac{8}{3} & -2 & \frac{4}{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\gamma_{01} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{11}{3} & -\frac{4}{3} & -\frac{11}{3} & \frac{4}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{11}{3} & \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{11}{3} & -\frac{2}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{25}{3} & \frac{34}{3} & -\frac{25}{3} & -\frac{34}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 28 & 2 & -28 \\ 28 & -28 & 2 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{4}{3} & \frac{4}{3} & -\frac{11}{3} & \frac{11}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{34}{3} & -\frac{34}{3} & -\frac{25}{3} & \frac{25}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{2}{3} & -\frac{11}{3} & \frac{11}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\gamma_{02} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{8}{3} & \frac{8}{3} & -\frac{22}{3} & \frac{22}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{4}{3} & -\frac{4}{3} & -\frac{22}{3} & \frac{22}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{68}{3} & -\frac{68}{3} & -\frac{50}{3} & \frac{50}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 56 & -56 & 4 & -4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & -56 & -4 & 56 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{22}{3} & \frac{8}{3} & \frac{22}{3} & -\frac{8}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{50}{3} & -\frac{68}{3} & \frac{50}{3} & \frac{68}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{22}{3} & -\frac{4}{3} & \frac{22}{3} & \frac{4}{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\gamma_{03} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -112 & 112 & -8 & 8 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{16}{3} & -\frac{16}{3} & \frac{44}{3} & -\frac{44}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{136}{3} & \frac{136}{3} & \frac{100}{3} & -\frac{100}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{8}{3} & \frac{8}{3} & \frac{44}{3} & -\frac{44}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.9)$$

5.3 G_0 in the defining basis

We compute G_0 for the operator basis in eq. (5.1) by means of a FORM [16] implementation of the Wick theorem. The large- N expansion of G_0 reads:

$$G_0 = \sum_{k=0}^6 \frac{1}{N^k} G_{0k} \quad (5.10)$$

with the matrices G_{0k} given by:

$$G_{00} = \frac{1}{\pi^8} \begin{pmatrix} 576 & 384 & 768 & 1152 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 384 & 768 & 1152 & 384 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 768 & 1152 & 2688 & 768 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1152 & 384 & 768 & 4608 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5376 & 1920 & 3456 & 1536 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1920 & 1056 & 1728 & 960 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3456 & 1728 & 4416 & 1920 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1536 & 960 & 1920 & 1152 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$G_{01} = \frac{1}{\pi^8} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1536 & 960 & 1920 & 1152 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2304 & 1152 & 1536 & 768 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5376 & 1920 & 3456 & 1536 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1536 & 1536 & 5376 & 2304 \\ 1536 & 2304 & 5376 & 1536 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 960 & 1152 & 1920 & 1536 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1920 & 1536 & 3456 & 5376 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1152 & 768 & 1536 & 2304 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$G_{02} = \frac{1}{\pi^8} \begin{pmatrix} -192 & 384 & 384 & -768 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 384 & -768 & -768 & 1536 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 384 & -768 & -1920 & 3840 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -768 & 1536 & 3840 & -7680 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -54528 & -23424 & -52608 & -23040 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -23424 & -13344 & -23232 & -13248 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -52608 & -23232 & -53568 & -23424 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -23040 & -13248 & -23424 & -13440 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{03} &= \frac{1}{\pi^8} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -7680 & -4800 & -9600 & -5760 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -11520 & -5760 & -7680 & -3840 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -26880 & -9600 & -17280 & -7680 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -7680 & -7680 & -26880 & -11520 \\ -7680 & -11520 & -26880 & -7680 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -4800 & -5760 & -9600 & -7680 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -9600 & -7680 & -17280 & -26880 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -5760 & -3840 & -7680 & -11520 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
G_{04} &= \frac{1}{\pi^8} \begin{pmatrix} -384 & -768 & -1152 & -384 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -768 & 0 & -384 & -1920 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1152 & -384 & -768 & -4608 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -384 & -1920 & -4608 & 3072 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 159744 & 76800 & 190464 & 82944 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 76800 & 44544 & 79872 & 46080 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 190464 & 79872 & 175104 & 76800 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 82944 & 46080 & 76800 & 43008 \end{pmatrix} \\
G_{05} &= \frac{1}{\pi^8} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6144 & 3840 & 7680 & 4608 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 9216 & 4608 & 6144 & 3072 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 21504 & 7680 & 13824 & 6144 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6144 & 6144 & 21504 & 9216 \\ 6144 & 9216 & 21504 & 6144 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3840 & 4608 & 7680 & 6144 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 7680 & 6144 & 13824 & 21504 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4608 & 3072 & 6144 & 9216 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
G_{06} &= \frac{1}{\pi^8} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -110592 & -55296 & -141312 & -61440 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -55296 & -32256 & -58368 & -33792 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -141312 & -58368 & -125952 & -55296 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -61440 & -33792 & -55296 & -30720 \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.11}
\end{aligned}$$

5.4 Relation between γ_0 and G_0 in the defining basis

We verify eq. (3.12) to all orders of $\frac{1}{N}$ by employing eqs. (5.8), (5.9), (5.10) and (5.11) for γ_0 and G_0 respectively. Incidentally, this is a powerful check both of the computation of G_0 in the present paper and of γ_0 in [7].

Yet, for reasons of space, we only display the verification of eq. (3.12) in the

planar theory. The planar contributions to γ_0 and G_0 are given by:

$$\gamma_{00} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{11}{6} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{14}{3} & \frac{10}{3} & -4 & -\frac{1}{6} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{28}{3} & -8 & -\frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{22}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{5}{2} & 6 & \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{16}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{4}{3} & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{25}{12} & \frac{19}{3} & -1 & -\frac{16}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{5}{6} & \frac{8}{3} & -2 & \frac{4}{3} \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.12)$$

and:

$$G_{00} = \frac{1}{\pi^8} \begin{pmatrix} 576 & 384 & 768 & 1152 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 384 & 768 & 1152 & 384 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 768 & 1152 & 2688 & 768 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1152 & 384 & 768 & 4608 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5376 & 1920 & 3456 & 1536 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1920 & 1056 & 1728 & 960 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3456 & 1728 & 4416 & 1920 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1536 & 960 & 1920 & 1152 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.13)$$

respectively. We evaluate both sides of eq. (3.12) in the planar theory, thus verifying that they are actually equal:

$$\gamma_{00} G_{00} = G_{00} \gamma_{00}^T = \frac{1}{\pi^8} \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \begin{pmatrix} 2112 & 704 & 1408 & 8448 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 704 & -320 & -3456 & 2816 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1408 & -3456 & -4096 & 5632 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 8448 & 2816 & 5632 & 33792 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 19072 & 7168 & 11712 & 4736 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7168 & 2848 & 3840 & 2240 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 11712 & 3840 & 3488 & 1216 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4736 & 2240 & 1216 & 1536 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.14)$$

5.5 G_0 and γ_0 in the diagonal canonical basis

A major implication (section 3) of eq. (3.12) for the Hermitian operators in eq. (5.1) is that an operator basis exists where G_0 and γ_0 are simultaneously diagonal.

For reasons of space, we only write down the transformation that diagonalizes simultaneously the planar contributions to G_0 and γ_0 .

A simplifying feature of the planar contributions, which follows from the block form of γ_{00} and G_{00} in eqs. (5.9) and (5.11) respectively, is that the mixing between single- and double-trace operators does not occur.

We find by Mathematica a transformation (section 3), S , that diagonalizes simultaneously γ_{00} and G_{00} :

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{2}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{5\sqrt{13}}{6} + 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{2}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & 3 - \frac{5\sqrt{13}}{6} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & \frac{2}{3} & \frac{2}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{656} (41 - 3\sqrt{41}) & \frac{7}{2\sqrt{41}} - \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{8} - \frac{3}{8\sqrt{41}} & \frac{1}{4} - \frac{11}{4\sqrt{41}} & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{101}{16\sqrt{697}} - \frac{1}{16} & \frac{1}{2} - \frac{13}{2\sqrt{697}} & -\frac{1}{8} - \frac{75}{8\sqrt{697}} & \frac{1}{4} + \frac{75}{4\sqrt{697}} & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{656} (3\sqrt{41} + 41) & -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{7}{2\sqrt{41}} & \frac{1}{8} + \frac{3}{8\sqrt{41}} & \frac{1}{4} + \frac{11}{4\sqrt{41}} & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{16} - \frac{101}{16\sqrt{697}} & \frac{1}{2} + \frac{13}{2\sqrt{697}} & \frac{75}{8\sqrt{697}} - \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{4} - \frac{75}{4\sqrt{697}} & \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.15)$$

Hence:

$$\gamma'_{00} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{22}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{22}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{14}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{6} (3\sqrt{41} + 5) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{12} (\sqrt{697} + 13) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{6} (-3\sqrt{41} + 5) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{12} (-\sqrt{697} + 13) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.16)$$

and:

$$G'_{00} = \frac{1}{\pi^8} \begin{pmatrix} 1280 (18\sqrt{13} + 65) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1280 (65 - 18\sqrt{13}) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1280 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1152 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 144 - \frac{816}{\sqrt{41}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 432 + \frac{7056}{\sqrt{697}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 144 + \frac{816}{\sqrt{41}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 432 - \frac{7056}{\sqrt{697}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.17)$$

are diagonal in the new operator basis $\mathcal{O}' = S\mathcal{O}$:

$$\mathcal{O}'_1 = -\frac{2}{3}\mathcal{O}_{842} + \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{O}_{843} + \left(3 + \frac{5\sqrt{13}}{6}\right)\mathcal{O}_{844}$$

$$\mathcal{O}'_2 = -\frac{2}{3}\mathcal{O}_{842} + \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{O}_{843} + \left(3 - \frac{5\sqrt{13}}{6}\right)\mathcal{O}_{844}$$

$$\mathcal{O}'_3 = -2\mathcal{O}_{841} + \frac{2}{3}\mathcal{O}_{842} + \frac{2}{3}\mathcal{O}_{843} + \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{O}_{844},$$

$$\mathcal{O}'_4 = 2\mathcal{O}_{841} - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{O}_{844}$$

$$\mathcal{O}'_5 = \frac{1}{656} (41 - 3\sqrt{41}) \mathcal{O}_{845} + \left(\frac{7}{2\sqrt{41}} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \mathcal{O}_{846} + \left(\frac{1}{8} - \frac{3}{8\sqrt{41}}\right) \mathcal{O}_{847}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{11}{4\sqrt{41}} \right) \mathcal{O}_{848} \\
\mathcal{O}'_6 & = \left(\frac{101}{16\sqrt{697}} - \frac{1}{16} \right) \mathcal{O}_{845} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{13}{2\sqrt{697}} \right) \mathcal{O}_{846} + \left(-\frac{1}{8} - \frac{75}{8\sqrt{697}} \right) \mathcal{O}_{847} \\
& + \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{75}{4\sqrt{697}} \right) \mathcal{O}_{848} \\
\mathcal{O}'_7 & = \frac{1}{656} \left(3\sqrt{41} + 41 \right) \mathcal{O}_{845} + \left(-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{7}{2\sqrt{41}} \right) \mathcal{O}_{846} + \left(\frac{1}{8} + \frac{3}{8\sqrt{41}} \right) \mathcal{O}_{847} \\
& + \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{11}{4\sqrt{41}} \right) \mathcal{O}_{848} \\
\mathcal{O}'_8 & = \left(-\frac{1}{16} - \frac{101}{16\sqrt{697}} \right) \mathcal{O}_{845} + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{13}{2\sqrt{697}} \right) \mathcal{O}_{846} + \left(\frac{75}{8\sqrt{697}} - \frac{1}{8} \right) \mathcal{O}_{847} \\
& + \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{75}{4\sqrt{697}} \right) \mathcal{O}_{848} \tag{5.18}
\end{aligned}$$

5.6 Computation of r_i

Hence, the eigenvalues of G'_{00} are given by eq. (5.17):

$$\begin{aligned}
G'_{001} & = \frac{1}{\pi^8} 1280 \left(18\sqrt{13} + 65 \right) \\
G'_{002} & = \frac{1}{\pi^8} 1280 \left(65 - 18\sqrt{13} \right) \\
G'_{003} & = \frac{1}{\pi^8} 1280 \\
G'_{004} & = \frac{1}{\pi^8} 1152 \\
G'_{005} & = \frac{1}{\pi^8} \left(144 - \frac{816}{\sqrt{41}} \right) \\
G'_{006} & = \frac{1}{\pi^8} \left(432 + \frac{7056}{\sqrt{697}} \right) \\
G'_{007} & = \frac{1}{\pi^8} \left(144 + \frac{816}{\sqrt{41}} \right) \\
G'_{008} & = \frac{1}{\pi^8} \left(432 - \frac{7056}{\sqrt{697}} \right) \tag{5.19}
\end{aligned}$$

In order to compute the LO-nonplanar contributions, G'_{02} and γ'_{02} , to G_0 and γ_0 in the diagonal basis, we adopt the following strategy. Firstly, by means of Mathematica, we obtain an exact expression to all orders of $\frac{1}{N}$ for the eigenvalues of G_0 and γ_0 , which for reasons of space we do not report. Secondly, we expand the exact result in powers of $\frac{1}{N}$.

According to the general principles of the large- N expansion, the LO-nonplanar contributions to the eigenvalues – as opposed to the entries of G_0 and γ_0 in the defining basis in eqs. (5.8), (5.9), (5.10) and (5.11) – only are on the order of $\frac{1}{N^2}$:

$$G'_{021} = -\frac{1}{\pi^8} \frac{128 \left(23996211283253349853325\sqrt{13} + 87171526429617703123189 \right)}{81257571090377185773}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G'_{022} &= \frac{1}{\pi^8} \frac{128 (23996211283253349853325\sqrt{13} - 87171526429617703123189)}{81257571090377185773} \\
G'_{023} &= -\frac{1}{\pi^8} \frac{15781120}{7653} \\
G'_{024} &= \frac{1}{\pi^8} \frac{726912}{313} \\
G'_{025} &= \frac{1}{\pi^8} \frac{48 (21503005810853\sqrt{41} - 179803584559127)}{10879768244321} \\
G'_{026} &= -\frac{1}{\pi^8} \frac{144 (81108663810864685\sqrt{697} + 2185373648831844591)}{56806020789473521} \\
G'_{027} &= -\frac{1}{\pi^8} \frac{48 (21503005810853\sqrt{41} + 179803584559127)}{10879768244321} \\
G'_{028} &= \frac{1}{\pi^8} \frac{144 (81108663810864685\sqrt{697} - 2185373648831844591)}{56806020789473521}. \tag{5.20}
\end{aligned}$$

and:

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma'_{021} &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} 20 \\
\gamma'_{022} &= -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{11}{7} \\
\gamma'_{023} &= -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} 40 \\
\gamma'_{024} &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{550}{9} \\
\gamma'_{025} &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \left(10 - \frac{190}{\sqrt{41}}\right) \\
\gamma'_{026} &= -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{121 (21607 - 811\sqrt{697})}{87822} \\
\gamma'_{027} &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \left(10 + \frac{190}{\sqrt{41}}\right) \\
\gamma'_{028} &= -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{121 (21607 + 811\sqrt{697})}{87822} \tag{5.21}
\end{aligned}$$

Interestingly, according to eq. (5.16), in the planar theory the differences $\frac{\gamma'_{001}}{\beta_0} - \frac{\gamma'_{004}}{\beta_0} = \frac{\gamma'_{002}}{\beta_0} - \frac{\gamma'_{004}}{\beta_0} = 2$ satisfy the resonant condition in eq. (3.34), with $\beta_0 = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{11}{3}$ in $SU(N)$ YM theory.

Yet, to the leading nonplanar order, it follows from eqs. (5.16) and (5.21) that eq. (3.33) is actually satisfied, in such a way that, instead, the nonresonant diagonal renormalization scheme exists to the leading nonplanar order (section 3).

Finally, by employing eqs. (5.20) and (5.19) we obtain the ratios, r_i , between the LO-nonplanar and planar eigenvalues of G_0 :

$$\begin{aligned}
r_1 &= \left(-\frac{785165809905643651219}{162515142180754371546} + \frac{9333742321650915751277}{812575710903771857730\sqrt{13}} \right) \frac{1}{N^2} \\
r_2 &= \left(-\frac{785165809905643651219}{162515142180754371546} - \frac{9333742321650915751277}{812575710903771857730\sqrt{13}} \right) \frac{1}{N^2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
r_3 &= -\frac{12329}{7653} \frac{1}{N^2} \\
r_4 &= \frac{631}{313} \frac{1}{N^2} \\
r_5 &= \left(-\frac{2173245686161}{265360201081} - \frac{5147390284628}{265360201081\sqrt{41}} \right) \frac{1}{N^2} \\
r_6 &= \left(-\frac{1333572530869403}{163001494374386} - \frac{32290757869709541}{163001494374386\sqrt{697}} \right) \frac{1}{N^2} \\
r_7 &= \left(-\frac{2173245686161}{265360201081} + \frac{5147390284628}{265360201081\sqrt{41}} \right) \frac{1}{N^2} \\
r_8 &= \left(-\frac{1333572530869403}{163001494374386} + \frac{32290757869709541}{163001494374386\sqrt{697}} \right) \frac{1}{N^2} \tag{5.22}
\end{aligned}$$

whose irrationality for most of the eigenvalues shows that the aforementioned scalar operators belong to the real class.

Moreover, as $\frac{\gamma'_0}{\beta_0}$ has a nonvanishing LO-nonplanar contribution, the ratios r_i do not make sense (section 4) beyond the lowest perturbative order for the aforementioned scalar operators.

6 Gluonic twist-2 operators

6.1 The defining basis

In Minkowski space-time the bare gluonic Hermitian twist-2 operators that are primary conformal operators for the collinear subgroup are defined in the spinorial basis [11] by:

$$\mathcal{O}_B^{(s)} = \text{Tr} f_{11}(x) (i\vec{D}_+ + i\overleftarrow{D}_+)^{s-2} C_{s-2}^{\frac{5}{2}} \left(\frac{\vec{D}_+ - \overleftarrow{D}_+}{\vec{D}_+ + \overleftarrow{D}_+} \right) f_{ii}(x) \tag{6.1}$$

with maximal collinear spin $s \geq 2$. In the vectorial basis [11] they read:

$$\mathbb{O}_B^{(s)} = -\frac{1}{2} g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} \text{Tr} F_{+\mu}(x) (i\vec{D}_+ + i\overleftarrow{D}_+)^{s-2} C_{s-2}^{\frac{5}{2}} \left(\frac{\vec{D}_+ - \overleftarrow{D}_+}{\vec{D}_+ + \overleftarrow{D}_+} \right) F_{+\nu}(x) \tag{6.2}$$

for even s , and:

$$\tilde{\mathbb{O}}_B^{(s)} = -\frac{i}{2} \epsilon_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} \text{Tr} F_{+\mu}(x) (i\vec{D}_+ + i\overleftarrow{D}_+)^{s-2} C_{s-2}^{\frac{5}{2}} \left(\frac{\vec{D}_+ - \overleftarrow{D}_+}{\vec{D}_+ + \overleftarrow{D}_+} \right) F_{+\nu}(x) \tag{6.3}$$

for odd s , with $C_{j-1}^{\frac{5}{2}}(x)$ Gegenbauer polynomials [11]. We also define:

$$\mathcal{O}_{Bk}^{(s)} = (i\partial_+)^{s-k} \text{Tr} f_{11}(x) (i\vec{D}_+ + i\overleftarrow{D}_+)^{k-2} C_{k-2}^{\frac{5}{2}} \left(\frac{\vec{D}_+ - \overleftarrow{D}_+}{\vec{D}_+ + \overleftarrow{D}_+} \right) f_{ii}(x) \tag{6.4}$$

For $s > k \geq 2$ the above operators are conformal descendants [6] of the corresponding primary conformal operators with $k = s$ in eq. (6.1). They mix among themselves under renormalization in $SU(N)$ YM theory, in such a way that each primary conformal operator with spin s only mixes [4, 6] with the derivatives along the direction p_+ of primary conformal operators with lower s .

As a consequence, for the renormalized operators with collinear spin s we obtain [4, 6]:

$$\mathcal{O}_i^{(s)} = \sum_{i \geq k \geq 2} Z_{ik} \mathcal{O}_{Bk}^{(s)} \quad (6.5)$$

where the mixing matrix, Z , is lower triangular and independent of s [4, 6].

6.2 γ_0 in the defining basis

Hence, $\gamma(g)$ is lower triangular in the defining basis. Moreover, it turns out [4, 6] that γ_0 is diagonal and γ_1 is lower triangular. In our notation the eigenvalues of γ_0 are given [4] by:

$$\gamma_{0i} = \frac{2}{(4\pi)^2} \left(4\psi(i+1) - 4\psi(1) - \beta_0 - 8 \frac{i^2 + i + 1}{(i-1)i(i+1)(i+2)} \right) \quad (6.6)$$

for even $i \geq 2$, and:

$$\gamma_{0i} = \frac{2}{(4\pi)^2} \left(4\psi(i+1) - 4\psi(1) - \beta_0 - 8 \frac{i^2 + i - 2}{(i-1)i(i+1)(i+2)} \right) \quad (6.7)$$

for odd $i \geq 3$. Consistently, $\gamma_{02} = 0$.

From the above equations it follows that, for the twist-2 operators in $SU(N)$ YM theory, γ_0 is independent of N and, therefore, has no nonplanar contribution.

Moreover, we verify by means of Mathematica that, up to $i, j = 10^3$, the differences $\frac{\gamma_{0i}}{\beta_0} - \frac{\gamma_{0j}}{\beta_0}$ satisfy the nonresonant condition in eq. (3.33), in such a way that the nonresonant diagonal renormalization scheme (section 3) exists for the aforementioned operators.

6.3 G_0 in the defining basis

The 2-point correlators in Minkowskian space-time of all the twist-2 operators have been computed in [11] to the lowest perturbative order:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{Bs_1}^{(s_1)}(x) \mathcal{O}_{Bs_2}^{(s_2)}(y) \rangle = \mathcal{C}_{s_1}(x, y) \delta_{s_1 s_2} \quad (6.8)$$

with:

$$\mathcal{C}_s(x, y) = \frac{1}{(4\pi^2)^2} \frac{N^2 - 1}{4} \frac{2^{2s+2} i^{2s-4}}{(4!)^2} (s+1)^2 (s+2)^2 \frac{(x-y)_+^{2s}}{(|x-y|^2 - i\epsilon)^{2s+2}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k_1=0}^{s-2} \sum_{k_2=0}^{s-2} \binom{s}{k_1} \binom{s}{k_1+2} \binom{s}{k_2} \binom{s}{k_2+2} (-1)^{s-k_2+k_1} \\
& (s-k_1+k_2)!(s+k_1-k_2)! \\
& = \frac{1}{(4\pi^2)^2} \frac{N^2-1}{4} \frac{2^{2s+2} i^{2s-4}}{(4!)^2} (s+1)^2 (s+2)^2 (2s)! \frac{(x-y)_+^{2s}}{(|x-y|^2 - i\epsilon)^{2s+2}} \\
& \sum_{k_1=0}^{s-2} \sum_{k_2=0}^{s-2} \binom{s}{k_1} \binom{s}{k_1+2} \binom{s}{k_2} \binom{s}{k_2+2} (-1)^{k_2+k_1} \frac{1}{\binom{2s}{k_1+k_2+2}} \tag{6.9} \\
& = \frac{1}{(4\pi^2)^2} \frac{N^2-1}{4} \frac{2^{2s+2}}{(4!)^2} (-1)^s (s-1)s(s+1)(s+2)(2s)! \frac{(x-y)_+^{2s}}{(|x-y|^2 - i\epsilon)^{2s+2}}
\end{aligned}$$

6.4 Relation between G_0 and γ_0 in the defining basis

The corresponding Euclidean correlators have been obtained by analytic continuation [11]. Hence, the defining basis is orthogonal to the lowest order, and G_0 is diagonal. Besides, γ_0 and G_0 , being both diagonal, obviously commute (section 3).

6.5 Computation of r_i

From eqs. (6.8) and (6.9), it follows that:

$$r_i = -\frac{1}{N^2} = r_{twist-2} \tag{6.10}$$

is the same one for all of the Hermitian twist-2 operators in $SU(N)$ YM theory. Moreover, as $\frac{\gamma_0}{\beta_0}$ has no nonplanar contribution and the canonical nonresonant diagonal renormalization scheme exists at least up to $i = 10^3$, $r_{twist-2}$ has a nonperturbative meaning (section 4) for such operators.

7 Acknowledgments

We would like to thank J. A. Gracey for making available to us the computation of γ_0 for the dimension-8 scalar operators in [7] before publication and for correspondence.

The second named author also acknowledges the financial support from the European Union Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme: *High precision multi-jet dynamics at the LHC* (grant agreement no. 772009).

References

- [1] G.'t Hooft, *A Planar Diagram Theory for Strong Interactions*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **72** (1974) 461.
- [2] M. Bochicchio, *On the geometry of operator mixing in massless QCD-like theories*, [arXiv:2103.15527 \[hep-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.15527).

- [3] M. Bochicchio, *The large- N Yang-Mills S matrix is ultraviolet finite, but the large- N QCD S matrix is only renormalizable*, *Phys. Rev. D* **95** (2017) 054010, [arXiv:1701.07833 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [4] A. V. Belitsky and D. Mueller, *Broken conformal invariance and spectrum of anomalous dimensions in QCD*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **537** (1999) 397, [arXiv:hep-ph/9804379](#).
- [5] M. Bochicchio, *An asymptotic solution of large- N QCD, for the glueball and meson spectrum and the collinear S -matrix*, *AIP Conf. Proc.* **1735** (2016) 030004.
- [6] V. M. Braun, G. P. Korchemsky and D. Müller, *The Uses of conformal symmetry in QCD*, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **51** (2003) 311, [arXiv:hep-ph/0306057](#).
- [7] J. A. Gracey, *Eight dimensional QCD at one loop*, *Phys. Rev. D* **97** (2018) 025009, [arXiv:1712.02565 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [8] J. A. Gracey, *Classification and one loop renormalization of dimension-six and dimension-eight operators in quantum gluodynamics*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **634** (2002) 192, *Erratum: Nucl. Phys. B* **696** (2004) 295, [arXiv:hep-ph/0204266](#).
- [9] M. Becchetti, M. Bochicchio, *Canonical forms of operator mixing and UV asymptotics of OPE coefficients in massless QCD-like theories*, to appear in arXiv.
- [10] M. Becchetti and M. Bochicchio, *Operator mixing in massless QCD-like theories and Poincaré-Dulac theorem*, [arXiv:2103.16220 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [11] M. Bochicchio, M. Papinutto and F. Scardino, *n -point correlators of twist-2 operators in $SU(N)$ Yang-Mills theory to the lowest perturbative order*, [arXiv:2104.13163 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [12] C. G. Callan, *Broken Scale Invariance in Scalar Field Theory*, *Phys. Rev. D* **2** (1970) 15411547.
- [13] K. Symanzik, *Small Distance Behaviour in Field Theory and Power Counting*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **18** (1970) 227.
- [14] M. Hogervorst, M. Paulos and A. Vichi, *The ABC (in any D) of Logarithmic CFT*, *JHEP* **10** (2017) 201, [arXiv:1605.03959 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [15] D. Simmons-Duffin, *The Conformal Bootstrap*, Contribution to **TASI 2015**, [arXiv:1602.07982 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [16] B. Ruijl, T. Ueda and J. Vermaseren, *FORM version 4.2*, [arXiv:1707.06453 \[hep-ph\]](#).