SHD360: A Benchmark Dataset for Salient Human Detection in 360° Videos
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Abstract

Salient human detection (SHD) in dynamic 360° immersive videos is of great importance for various applications such as robotics, inter-human and human-object interaction in augmented reality. However, 360° video SHD has been seldom discussed in the computer vision community due to a lack of datasets with large-scale omnidirectional videos and rich annotations. To this end, we propose SHD360, the first 360° video SHD dataset which contains various real-life daily scenes. Our SHD360 provides six-level hierarchical annotations for 6,268 key frames uniformly sampled from 37,403 omnidirectional video frames at 4K resolution. Specifically, each collected key frame is labeled with a super-class, a sub-class, associated attributes (e.g., geometrical distortion), bounding boxes and per-pixel object-instance-level masks. As a result, our SHD360 contains totally 16,238 salient human instances with manually annotated pixel-wise ground truth. Since so far there is no method proposed for 360° image/video SHD, we systematically benchmark 11 representative state-of-the-art salient object detection (SOD) approaches on our SHD360, and explore key issues derived from extensive experimenting results. We hope our proposed dataset and benchmark could serve as a good starting point for advancing human-centric researches towards 360° panoramic data. Our dataset and benchmark will be publicly available at https://github.com/PanoAsh/SHD360.

1 Introduction

As the development of virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) industries, recent years have witnessed an emergence of 360° cameras, such as Facebook’s Surround360, Insta360 series, Ricoh Theta and Google Jump VR, which produce 360° (omnidirectional) images capturing the scenes with a 360°×180° field of view (FoV) (Figure 1). The large-scale accessible omnidirectional images have facilitated deep learning researches towards saliency (or fixation) prediction in 360° panoramic videos (e.g., [57, 56]). 360° fixation prediction, a task aims at predicting irregular regions to which subjects pay most attention when exploring 360° immersive environment, has been widely researched and applied to preliminary VR applications such as panoramic image compression and quality assessment [55]. However, human-centered robotic vision and real-life AR/VR applications such as visual-language navigation, human-object interaction and inter-human interaction, all require object-level visual attention to be mimicked and/or finely predicted in real-time captured 360° immersive natural scenes. In other words, the potential algorithms are required to learn from data and segment the visual salient human entities¹ in 360° panoramic scenes. Unfortunately, so far there is no dataset

¹In this paper, we regard salient human as a specific class of general salient object categories.

Preprint. Under review.
Salient object detection (SOD) [2, 46], or object-level saliency detection, is an active research field in computer vision community over the past few years, with numerous exclusively-designed handcrafted and deep learning computational models. Given an 2D image, the SOD aims to pixel-wisely segment the foreground objects that grasp most of the human attention. Followed by video salient object detection (VSOD), which appeals increasing attention from the community as the establishment of VSOD datasets (e.g., [29, 14]) that collect various 2D videos and provide large-scale (Table 1) manually labeled per-pixel binary masks as ground truth. Most recently, three 360° SOD datasets ([28, 63, 34]) and one 360° VSOD dataset, i.e., ASOD60K [62], have been proposed (see details in Table 1). All four researches emphasize unique challenges brought by 360° SOD, for instance, frequent occurrence of small or geometrically distorted objects in equirectangular (ER) images.

On the other hand, the scale of current 360° SOD datasets are far from being satisfactory for further deep learning-based researches, when compared with SOD datasets (e.g., DUTS-TR [45], as the most widely used training set, contains 10,553 images with manually labeled pixel-wise annotations). ASOD60K is a large-scale dataset however with annotations based on general object categories.

Considering the indispensability of VSOD datasets for immersive human-centric applications, and unsolved problems in existing 360° SOD datasets, we thereby propose SHD360, the first large-scale 360° video dataset for salient human detection (VSHD), with totally 37,403 360° video frames reflecting various human-centric scenes (example video shown in Figure 1). Our SHD360 provides diverse annotations including hierarchical categories, manually labeled per-pixel object-level and instance-level ground-truth masks, also fine-grained attributes associated with each of the video scenes to disentangle the various challenges for 360° SOD/VSOD/VSHD. In a nutshell, we provide three main contributions as follows:

---

2ER images are regarded as the most widely used lossless planar representation of 360° images.
Table 1: Summary of widely used salient object detection (SOD) datasets and our SHD360. GT = ground truth. ER Image = equirectangular image. Attr. = attributes. obj. = object-level GT. ins. = instance-level GT. Note that all the datasets listed above provide pixel-wise annotations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>GT Scale</th>
<th>GT Resolution</th>
<th>GT Level</th>
<th>Attr.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ECSSD [59]</td>
<td>SOD</td>
<td>CVPR’13</td>
<td>1,000 images</td>
<td>max(w,h)=400, min(w,h)=139</td>
<td>obj.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUT-OMRON [60]</td>
<td>SOD</td>
<td>CVPR’13</td>
<td>5,168 images</td>
<td>max(w,h)=401, min(w,h)=139</td>
<td>obj.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PASCAL-S [30]</td>
<td>SOD</td>
<td>CVPR’13</td>
<td>850 images</td>
<td>max(w,h)=500, min(w,h)=139</td>
<td>obj.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HKU-IS [26]</td>
<td>SOD</td>
<td>CVPR’15</td>
<td>4,447 images</td>
<td>max(w,h)=500, min(w,h)=100</td>
<td>obj.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUTS [45]</td>
<td>SOD</td>
<td>CVPR’17</td>
<td>15,572 images</td>
<td>max(w,h)=500, min(w,h)=100</td>
<td>obj.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILSO [24]</td>
<td>SOD</td>
<td>CVPR’17</td>
<td>1,000 images</td>
<td>max(w,h)=400, min(w,h)=142</td>
<td>obj.&amp;ins.</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOC [10]</td>
<td>SOD</td>
<td>ECCV’18</td>
<td>6,000 images</td>
<td>max(w,h)=849, min(w,h)=161</td>
<td>obj.&amp;ins.</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIP [13]</td>
<td>SOD</td>
<td>TNNLS’20</td>
<td>929 images</td>
<td>max(w,h)=992, min(w,h)=744</td>
<td>obj.&amp;ins.</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SegTrack V2 [23]</td>
<td>VSOD</td>
<td>ICCV’13</td>
<td>1,065 from 1,065 frames</td>
<td>max(w,h)=640, min(w,h)=212</td>
<td>obj.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBMS [35]</td>
<td>VSOD</td>
<td>TPAMI’14</td>
<td>720 from 13,860 frames</td>
<td>max(w,h)=960, min(w,h)=253</td>
<td>obj.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ViSal [47]</td>
<td>VSOD</td>
<td>TIP’15</td>
<td>193 from 963 frames</td>
<td>max(w,h)=512, min(w,h)=240</td>
<td>obj.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAVIS2016 [38]</td>
<td>VSOD</td>
<td>CVPR’16</td>
<td>3,455 from 3,455 frames</td>
<td>max(w,h)=1,920, min(w,h)=900</td>
<td>obj.</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOS [29]</td>
<td>VSOD</td>
<td>TIP’18</td>
<td>7,467 from 116,103 frames</td>
<td>max(w,h)=800, min(w,h)=312</td>
<td>obj.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAVSOD [14]</td>
<td>VSOD</td>
<td>CVPR’19</td>
<td>23,938 from 23,938 frames</td>
<td>max(w,h)=640, min(w,h)=360</td>
<td>obj.&amp;ins.</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360-SOD [28]</td>
<td>360° SOD</td>
<td>JSTSP’19</td>
<td>500 ER images</td>
<td>max(w,h)=1,024, min(w,h)=512</td>
<td>obj.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-360iSOD [63]</td>
<td>360° SOD</td>
<td>ICIP’20</td>
<td>107 ER images</td>
<td>max(w,h)=2,048, min(w,h)=1,024</td>
<td>obj.&amp;ins.</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360-SSOD [34]</td>
<td>360° SOD</td>
<td>TVCG’20</td>
<td>1,105 ER images</td>
<td>max(w,h)=1,024, min(w,h)=546</td>
<td>obj.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASOD60K [62]</td>
<td>360° VSOD</td>
<td>arXiv</td>
<td>0,465 from 62,455 frames</td>
<td>max(w,h)=3,840, min(w,h)=1,920</td>
<td>obj.&amp;ins.</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHD360 [60]</td>
<td>360° VSHD</td>
<td>arXiv</td>
<td>6,268 from 37,403 frames</td>
<td>max(w,h)=3,840, min(w,h)=1,920</td>
<td>obj.&amp;ins.</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- We propose SHD360, the first 360° VSHD dataset that contains 37,403 frames representing 41 human-centric video scenes, with 16,238/6,268 manually labeled per-pixel instance/object-level ground truth corresponding to 16,238 salient human instances, and well-defined per-scene attributes to benefit model evaluation and challenge analysis.
- We contribute the community a comprehensive benchmark study in terms of 360° VSHD, by systematically evaluating 11 state-of-the-art (SOTA) methods on our SHD360, with four widely used metrics as well as our 360° geometry-adapted S-measure.
- We illustrate extensive experimenting results from different perspectives, and present an in-depth analysis to highlight the key issues within the field of 360° SOD/VSOD/VSHD, thus inspiring future model development.

2 Related Works

2.1 Benchmark Datasets

360° Saliency Prediction. As the development of commercial head-mounted displays (HMDs), 360° saliency (fixation) prediction has become a popular topic in the computer vision community, around which both the image [40, 42] and video [6, 54, 56, 22, 64, 57, 4] benchmark datasets have been proposed. Most datasets provide either head positions or eye positions of several subjects wearing HMDs and embedded eye trackers during subjective experiments. These head/eye movement records were regarded as ground truth for saliency prediction task. It is worth mentioning that, datasets such as VR-Scene [57], 360-Saliency [64], VQA-OV [22] and PVS-HMEM [56] all provide ground-truth eye-fixation data, thus benefiting accurate saliency prediction. However, the task of 360° fixation prediction can hardly contribute real-life VR/AR applications which require the segmentation of salient objects with finely traced boundaries.

360° SOD&VSOD. Recent researches [61, 66, 5, 18] shift attention to object detection in 360°, however, focusing only on bounding box detection. 360° SOD is a task to mimic human attention mechanism by pixel-wisely depicting the most significant objects from the given omnidirectional scenes. 360-SOD [28] is the first 360° SOD dataset with 500 ER images and corresponding object-level binary masks. Followed by F-360iSOD [63], which is the first 360° SOD dataset that provides pixel-wise instance-level ground-truth masks. 360-SSOD [34], as the latest proposed 360° SOD dataset, released 1,105 ER images with only object-level masks. A detailed comparison of three datasets is shown in Table 1. To the best of our knowledge, ASOD60K [62] is the first and so far the
only 360° VSOD dataset, which provides object-instance-level annotations of general audio-visual object classes.

**SOD&VSOD.** In 2D domain, DUTS [45] is so far the biggest SOD dataset, with 10,553/5019 images as training/testing set, respectively. ECSSD [59], DUT-OMRON [60], PASCAL-S [30] and HKU-IS [26] are the most commonly applied benchmark datasets for SOD model evaluation. Besides, more recently proposed datasets such as ILSO [24] and SOC [10] also provide instance-level salient object annotations. Early VSOD datasets such as SegTrack V2 [23], FBMS [35], ViSal [47] and DAVIS2016 [38] (also being famous for video object segmentation) include only one or a few spatially connected salient objects in each of the annotated frames. More recent datasets such as VOS [29] and DAVSOD [14] contain videos with more challenging scenes and more salient objects. Please refer to Table 1 for detailed information of the widely applied SOD/VSOD datasets.

**Human Detection.** As human is the most frequent and significant participant in our daily visual data, understanding human from images and videos is of great importance in computer vision community. Popular human-centric tasks including human detection [7], human re-identification [44], human pose estimation [43], human parsing [16] and human-centric relation segmentation [32]. Recent large-scale human detection datasets were established for specific purposes, such as pedestrian detection (e.g., Caltech Pedestrian [9], EuroCity Persons [3]) and crowd counting (e.g., UCF-CC-50 [20], PANDA [48]). Note that these datasets do not provide pixel-wise ground truth. To the best of our knowledge, SIP [13] is so far the only SHD dataset which provides 929 2D images with both object-instance-level per-pixel ground-truth masks.

### 2.2 SOD Methodologies

**SOD.** In the past few years, U-Net and feature pyramid networks (FPN) have been the most commonly used basic architectures for SOTA models (e.g., [39, 51, 31, 65, 52, 21, 69, 68, 50, 36, 15, 67]), which were trained on large-scale benchmark datasets (e.g., DUTS [45]) in a manner of fully supervision. Specifically, methods such as BASNet [39], PoolNet [31], EGNet [65] SCRN [52] and LDF[50] pay much attention to object boundaries detection. GateNet [67] was embedded with a gated module for more efficient information exchange between the encoder and decoder. With comparable detecting accuracy, methods such as CPD [51], ITSD [68] and CSNet [15] focus on designing light-weighted models with significantly improved inference speed. Due to the limited space, we will not include all the SOD methods in this section (please refer to recent survey [46] for more information).

**VSOD.** Recent development of large-scale video datasets such as VOS [29] and DAVSOD [14] have facilitated the development of deep learning-based VSOD. [27], [25] and [58] modeled the temporal information by combining optical flow. SSAV [14] mimicked human attention shift mechanism by proposing saliency-shift-aware ConvLSTM. COSNet [33] learned mutual features between video frames with co-attention Siamese networks. PCSA [17] applied self-attention to learn the relations of pair-wise frames. More recently, TENet [41] proposed new excitation module from the perspective of curriculum learning, and achieved top performance on multiple VSOD benchmarks.

**360° SOD.** To the best of our knowledge, DDS [28], SW360 [34] and FANet [19] are so far the only three models exclusively designed for 360° SOD, which all emphasized the importance of mitigating the geometrical distortion of ER images via specific new modules. Besides, no method has been proposed for 360° VSOD.

### 3 SHD360 Dataset

In this section, we introduce our SHD360 from the aspects of data collection, saliency judgements, annotation pipeline and dataset statistics. Some example video frames and corresponding annotations are shown in Figure 3.

#### 3.1 Video Collection

The stimuli of our SHD360 are all high resolution (4K) downloads (ER videos) from YouTube, for high visual quality may facilitate future applications of our dataset based on VR/AR devices (e.g., HMDs). We first collected 101 360° panoramic videos (where 67 videos were borrowed from ASOD60K [62]) representing random natural daily scenes such as concerts, sports, urban
transportation, carnivals, animals, machines and architectures. Since our goal is to establish a human-centric 360° VSOD dataset, we then carefully filtered the raw videos and further gained 69 videos centered on different human activities. To serve our annotation protocol for non-ambiguous saliency judgements (please refer to § 3.2 for details), we further selected 41 videos from the 69 human-centered videos, to contain only natural daily scenes with clear foreground persons involved in specific human activities. Therefore, we finally collected 37,403 video frames representing 41 indoor/outdoor panoramic human-centric scenes (Figure 2 (c)), which cover multiple human activities such as singing, acting, conversation, monologue and sports.

### 3.2 Saliency Judgements

Inspired by ViSal [47], which is the first specially designed VSOD dataset with annotated salient objects according to semantic classes of videos, we annotated the salient persons based on specific human activity (Figure 2 (c)) of each of the video scenes (please refer to Figure 3 and supplementary material (SM) for partial/full visual examples, respectively). Specifically, since we only keep the videos with foreground persons involved in specific human activities § 3.1, we considered all foreground human instances as salient targets in our SHD360. Compared with other widely used SOD (ECSSD [59], DUTS [45], HKU-IS [26], etc.) and VSOD (DAVIS2016 [38], SegTrack V2 [23], FBMS [35], etc.) datasets, which contain only one or several center-biased foreground objects,
Figure 3: Examples of instance-level pixel-wise labels and challenging attributes (please refer to Figure 2 (f) for details) of our SHD360. Best viewed in color and zoomed in.

our SHD360 is much more challenging for including multiple scattered foreground human targets (e.g., SingingDancing shown in Figure 3).

3.3 Data Annotation

Generally, the hierarchical annotations of our SHD360 are four-fold: 1) 41 scene categories respectively denote 41 (12 outdoor/29 indoor) human-centric video scenes (Figure 2 (c)). 2) comprehensive attributes attached to each of the collected video scenes (Figure 2 (f)). 3) 6,268 manually labeled object-level pixel-wise masks (in 4K resolution) as the ground truth for 360° VSHD tasks. 4) 16,238 manually labeled instance-level pixel-wise masks (in 4K resolution) corresponding to each of the salient human instances. Note that the density of object-/instance-level masks of each scene class is shown in Figure 2 (a)/(b), respectively.

Inspired by the sampling strategy in VOS [29] (a widely used VSOD dataset, Table 1), we uniformly extracted 6,268 key frames from the total 37,403 raw video frames with a sampling rate of one out of six. Several experienced experts were then recruited to manually annotate all the salient human instances in the 6,268 key frames. To ensure satisfying annotations, they were first required to pass a training session during which they were shown with sampled key frames from four videos. They were asked to correctly depict the human instances by finely tracing objects’ boundaries, rather than drawing rough polygons. Besides, the manually labeled pixel-wise masks should be exquisite enough to reflect various attributes such as occlusions, geometrical distortion, etc (please refer to Figure 3 for annotation examples, also SM for more passed/rejected annotation samples). During the following testing session 4, they were asked to finish all pixel-wise instance-level annotations regarding the rest 37 ER videos. Further, three senior researchers were gathered to conduct a quality check procedure (please refer to SM for details). The manual per-pixel annotations were repeatedly revised and finally done after another six months. Therefore, we gained 16,238 instance-level masks representing each of the salient human instances from the 41 scene categories (Figure 2). To implement 360° VSHD, all the instance-level masks were further thresholded to 6,268 object-level binary masks corresponding to 6,268 object-level binary masks corresponding to 6,268 object-level binary masks corresponding to

1Collecting the per-pixel labels was a laborious and time-consuming work, and it took us about 1 year to set up this large-scale database.

2The training/testing session took the annotator two weeks/six months to finish, respectively.
Table 2: Performance comparison of 8/2 SOTA SOD/VSOD methods and one 360° SOD method over the three testing sets of our SHD360.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Test0</th>
<th>Test1</th>
<th>Test2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$F_\beta \uparrow$</td>
<td>$S_\alpha \uparrow$</td>
<td>$S_{360\alpha} \uparrow$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVPR'19 CPD [51]</td>
<td>.529</td>
<td>.686</td>
<td>.628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAI'20 F3Net [21]</td>
<td>.677</td>
<td>.773</td>
<td>.797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVPR'20 LDF [50]</td>
<td>.664</td>
<td>.739</td>
<td>.786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAI'21 PA-KRN [53]</td>
<td>.596</td>
<td>.695</td>
<td>.727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPL'20 FANet [19]</td>
<td>.475</td>
<td>.672</td>
<td>.586</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The three best results of each column are in red, blue and green, respectively.

The table shows that each of the 6,268 key frames. Note that both the object-level and instance-level pixel-wise masks are in 4K resolution, while previous 360° SOD datasets ([28, 63, 34]) released ground truth based only on down-sampled ER images (no more than 2K resolution as shown in Table 1). Besides, with the manual pixel-wise labels, the ER-based instance-/object-level bounding boxes were easily acquired by computing the coordinates of each of the four corners of salient persons.

### 3.4 Dataset Features and Statistics

Following recent SOC [10], DAVIS2016 [38] and DAVSOD [14], we further concluded six attributes to reflect the major challenges of our SHD360, including multiple persons (MP), occlusions (OC), distant view (DV), out-of-view (OV), motion blur (MB) and geometrical distortion (GD). It is worth mentioning that, OV and GD were exclusively designed geometrical attributes reflected by ER images. Besides, DV sustains stricter standard than its counterpart in 2D domain (In DAVIS2016 [38], small objects occupy $\leq 0.1$ of the whole image area, rather than $\leq 0.01$ as in SHD360), which indicates extra challenges brought by extreme small objects (far from 360° camera) when conducting 360° SOD/VSOD. As shown in Figure 2 (d) and (e), the six proposed attributes all own high frequency and are closely related to each other, representing the challenging scenarios included in our SHD360 (please see SM for per-scene attributes statistics). The proposed attributes are able to support systematic evaluation of computational models (Table 3), thus inspiring future model development.

### 3.5 Dataset Splits

In SHD360, all the 41 videos were split into separate training and testing sets in the ratio of about 1:1, with a random selection strategy. Therefore, we reached a unique split consists of 21 training and 20 testing videos (3,150/3,118 key frames respectively), with corresponding per-pixel instance-/object-level ground-truth. The testing set was further divided into test0/test1/test2 with 8/8/4 videos, respectively, according to the density (human instances per frame) of annotated human instances, that is $\leq 2$ for test0, $3 \leq 4$ for test1, $5 \leq 2$ for test2.

### 4 Benchmark Experiments

#### 4.1 Experimental Settings

**General Metrics.** In this work, we apply four widely used metrics to the quantitative comparison of 41 baselines, which are all representative SOTA SOD/VSOD models. Specifically, we adopt the recently proposed S-measure ($S_\alpha$) [11] and E-measure ($E_\phi$) [12], commonly agreed Mean Absolute Error ($M$) [37] and F-measure ($F_\beta$) [1]. The F-measure and MAE focus on the local (per-pixel)
match between ground truth and prediction, while S-measure shifts attention to the evaluation of object structure and local region similarities between ground truth and saliency prediction maps. E-measure, a cognitive vision-inspired metric, considers both the local and global similarities by computing an enhanced alignment matrix (φ). Please refer to SM for detailed descriptions.

360° Geometry-adapted S-measure. Traditional $S_\alpha$ (Eq. 1) [11] is proposed for SOD in 2D images with centered foreground objects.

$$S_\alpha = \alpha S_\sigma(P,G) + (1 - \alpha) S_r(P,G),$$

where $\alpha = 0.5$, $S_\sigma(\cdot)$ and $S_r(\cdot)$ denote the object/region similarities between each of the saliency prediction map ($P$) and the ground-truth map ($G$). To compute $S_r(\cdot)$, each $\{P,G\}$ pair is first divided into four blocks by using a horizontal and a vertical cut-off lines. However, natural ER images own a FoV of 90°×90°, observed from the right, left, up, down, front and back by a 360° camera. The significant divergence of objects’ distribution and size may lead to inappropriate computation of $S_r(\cdot)$ based on ER blocks (Figure 5). Considering the unique geometrical features of ER images, we further propose a 360° geometry-adapted S-measure ($S_{\alpha}^{360}$):

$$S_{\alpha}^{360} = \alpha S_\sigma(P,G) + (1 - \alpha) S_r^{360}, \text{ where } S_r^{360} = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \omega_m \text{ssim}_m(P,G),$$

where $\omega_m = 1/6$, $M$ denotes total number of cube maps, $S_r^{360}$ is computed based on $\text{ssim}_m(\cdot), m \in M$ [49] on each of the cube maps ($\{+X,-X,+Y,-Y,+Z,-Z\}$ (Figure 5)).

Benchmark Models. To contribute the community a comprehensive benchmark study, as well as filling the blank of 360° VSHD, we collect 8/2/1 open-sourced SOTA SOD/VSOD/360°SOD methods as the baselines. For SOD, we select well-known CPD [51], SCRN [52] and F3Net [21], recently proposed MINet [36], LDF [50], CSF [15], GateNet [67] and PA-KRN [53]. For VSOD, we notice exclusively designed algorithms including RCRNet [58] and PCSA [17]. We further adopt one newly proposed 360° SOD method, FANet [19]. Note that all the benchmark models are selected based on four prerequisites. The chosen methods must 1) own easily applied end-to-end structures. 2) be recent published SOTA methods evaluated on widely recognized SOD/VSOD benchmark datasets. 3) provide official training as well as inference codes with well written documents to ensure accurate re-implementation. 4) all based on ImageNet [8] pre-training.

Training Protocol. To ensure fair comparison of 11 baselines, we re-train all models using only the training set of our SHD360, from their initial checkpoints pre-trained on ImageNet [5].
that we re-train all 11 benchmark models based on officially released codes with recommended hyperparameters. We conduct all experiments based on a platform which consists of Intel® Xeon(R) W-2255 CPU @ 3.70GHz and one Quadro RTX 6000 GPU.

### 4.2 Performance Comparison

**General Performance.** The quantitative results of all 11 baselines over three testing sets of our **SHD360** are shown in Table 2. Generally, these SOD/VSOD methods show a gap between their performance on **SHD360** and on existing SOD benchmarks (e.g., GateNet [67] on **SHD360-test0/DUTS-TE**: $F_\beta = 0.660 < 0.888$, CSF [15] on **SHD360-test1/DUTS-TE**: $F_\beta = 0.791 < 0.893$, RCRNet [58] on **SHD360-test2/DAVIS2016**: $S_\alpha = 0.828 < 0.884$, etc), which indicates the strong challenges brought by our **SHD360** when compared to 2D datasets. Besides, as for 360° SOD method, FANet [19] shows relatively high maximum $F_\beta/E_\phi$ scores across all testing sets (Figure 4). However, a rapid decline of $F_\beta$ and $E_\phi$ scores appears as image intensity threshold increases.

**Attributes-based Performance.** To help assess the model performance over different types of parameters and variations, we further evaluate all baselines based on each of the six attributes of our **SHD360** (Figure 2 (f)). As shown in Table 2 and Table 3, we can observe a consistency between the results of general and attributes-based comparison. In other words, F3Net [21], LDF [50], CSF [15], RCRNet [58], PCSA [17] and FANet [19] show relatively high performance when compared to other baselines.
5 Discussion, Limitations and Future Work

Domain Gap. As discussed in §4.2, a gap between the performance of the SOTA SOD/VSOD methods on the existing datasets and our SHD360 is spotted. The finding indicates the significant challenges for 360° SOD/VSOD, which is consistent with recent 360° SOD researches [28, 63, 34]. To take a deeper look at 360° VSHD, we design and attach different attributes §3.4 to each of the 41 360° video scenes. These attributes highlight both the general (MP, MB, OC, DV) and 360°-specific (GD, OV) challenges for object segmentation tasks. By providing further quantitative comparison from the perspective of attributes (§4.2), we aim to disentangle the 360° VSHD to six sub-issues regarding omnidirectional image segmentation. Therefore, the key issues may include small object detection, ER projection-induce distortion mitigation, multi-projection-based object localization in 360° ×180° FoV, moving object detection, occlusion reasoning and multiple foreground objects ranking/segmentation. So far, our benchmark (§4) only focuses on binary segmentation. Future works may further explore the 360° VSHD by considering above mentioned issues.

Evaluation Methods. To the best of our knowledge, so far there is no exclusive metric for the quantitative assessment of 360° SOD. In addition, the only structure-focused SOD metric, S-measure [11], may not well adapted to the evaluation in ER images (Figure 5). The ER image captures a FoV of 360° ×180° thus owning large image area as background scenes, while relatively extreme small object regions randomly distributed near the equator (Figure 3). However, the S-measure is originally designed for the situation where one or a few obvious foreground objects with appropriate size distributed near the center of the given 2D image. Our $S_{\alpha}^{360}$ (Eq. 2)) is more appropriate for evaluation on ER images, by computing region similarities based on cube maps which contain intact salient objects (Figure 5). Future works may propose new metrics regarding the 360° geometry for fair comparison between 360° SOD/VSOD algorithms.
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