

Clifford Algebras, Spinors and $Cl(8, 8)$ Unification

Matej Pavšič

Jožef Stefan Institute, Jamova 39, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

e-mail: matej.pavsic@ijs.si

Abstract

It is shown how the vector space $V_{8,8}$ arises from the Clifford algebra $Cl(1, 3)$ of spacetime. The latter algebra describes fundamental objects such as strings and branes in terms of their r -volume degrees of freedom, $x^{\mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_r} \equiv x^M$, $r = 0, 1, 2, 3$, that generalize the concept of center of mass. Taking into account that there are sixteen x^M , $M = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 16$, and in general $16 \times 15/2 = 120$ rotations of the form $x'^M = R^M_N x^N$, we can consider x^M as components of a vector $X = x^M q_M$, where q_M are generators of the Clifford algebra $Cl(8, 8)$. The vector space $V_{8,8}$ has enough room for the unification of the fundamental particles and forces of the standard model. The rotations in $V_{8,8} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ contain the grand unification group $SO(10)$ as a subgroup, and also the Lorentz group $SO(1, 3)$. It is shown how the Coleman-Mandula no go theorem can be avoided. Spinors in $V_{8,8} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ are constructed in terms of the wedge products of the basis vectors rewritten in the Witt basis. They satisfy the massless Dirac equation in $M_{8,8}$ with the internal part of the Dirac operator giving the non vanishing masses in four dimensions.

1 Introduction

Unification of fundamental interactions is still an unfinished project in theoretical physics. Among many attempts string theory has for long time been a very promising avenue. Strings are extended objects that upon quantization “miraculously” give rise to gauge fields. Namely, the quantum excitations of a string contain Yang-Mills fields or gravity, depending on whether the string is open or closed. In other words, the interaction fields are associated with a string’s (quantum) configurations.

Another possible approach to the unification employs the idea that the arena for physics is not spacetime, but the configuration space of matter [1–4]. A matter configuration can be modeled as a multiparticle system represented as a point in configuration space \mathcal{C} , whose dimension equals the number of particles multiplied by the dimension of spacetime $M_{1,3}$. In such scenario, spacetime is a subspace of configuration space, associated with a chosen single particle. One can then proceed à la Kaluza-Klein and obtain 4D gravity and gauge fields from the higher dimensional gravity in \mathcal{C} [2].

This, among others, also brings an insight why a string configuration contains gauge interaction fields. In string theory gauge fields occur at the quantum level, but according

to the postulated general relativity [1–4] in configuration space, they occur already at the classical level for any matter configuration and therefore also for a string.

A string as an extended object has infinite dimensions and can be represented as a point in an infinite dimensional configuration space \mathcal{C} . Choosing a point P on the string, e.g., its center of mass, or one of its ends, it should then in principle be possible to perform a Kaluza-Klein reduction from \mathcal{C} to $M_{1,3}$, association with P , and so obtain 4D gravity and gauge fields.

In the latter description we assumed that the string is embedded in 4-dimensional space-time. This is possible at the classical level, while in the quantized theory one encounters inconsistencies which disappear in 26 dimensions. A peculiar feature of a string is that though extended, it is still singular, because it is infinitely thin. This indicates that a string is an idealized description of an actual physical object. Exact strings, as well as exact point particles, do not exist in nature. Physical objects are not exactly point-like nor exactly string-like. They have thickness. In Refs. [1, 5–13] it was explained how a physical object can be described not only in terms of its center of mass coordinates, i.e., the coordinates of a point, but can also be sampled in more detail by means of oriented lengths, areas, volumes and 4-volumes, in general, r -volumes, $r = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$, also called r -areas. Convenient mathematical objects that describe r -volumes are Clifford numbers, the elements of a Clifford algebra, in our case the Clifford algebra $Cl(1, 3)$ of spacetime. An extended objects can thus be described by $2^4 = 16$ degrees of freedom. By starting with spacetime and considering the Clifford algebra over it we thus gain a lot of new room for description of particles and the interactions between them. Namely, a $Cl(1, 3)$ can be considered as a tangent space at a given point of a 16-dimensional manifold, called *Clifford space* C which, in general, can be curved. Employing the Kaluza-Klein recipe, we thus obtain the unification of interactions in Clifford space [9, 10].

Once we have a Clifford space C , we can consider it as a manifold of “its own” [14] and forget that we have arrived at it via Clifford algebra $Cl(1, 3)$. Thus we just have a 16-dimensional manifold $M_{8,8}$, such that the tangent space at any of its points is a vector space $V_{8,8}$. By considering the rotations in $V_{8,8}$ we thus have the orthogonal group $SO(8, 8)$ whose subgroups are $SO(6, 4)$ and $SO(2, 4)$. The group $Spin(6, 4)$, the cover group of $SO(6, 4)$, is isomorphic to the Pati-Salam [15, 16] grand unification group $SU(4) \times SU(4)$. If we consider complex valued spinors generated by basis vectors of the vector space $V_{6,4}$, then we can equivalently consider complex valued spinors generated by, e.g., the vectors of $V_{0,10}$ that we denote V_{10} . The group $SO(10)$ of rotations in V_{10} is considered, besides $SU(4) \times SU(4)$ and $SU(5)$, in grand unification of particles and forces (see the instructive review by Baez [16]). Concerning the group $SO(2, 4)$, it is related to the Stueckelberg theory [17–20] with evolution parameter and to the two times physics considered by Bars [21]. It contains the Lorentz group $SO(1, 3)$ as a subgroup. In this paper we consider the

spinors of only one minimal left ideal of $Cl(8, 8)$ and show that such a setup incorporates grand unified theories. Consideration of the full $Cl(8, 8)$ or its subgroup $Cl(8)$ brings a lot of additional possibilities concerning the unification [22–29].

2 From the distances in spacetime to Clifford space: How a 16D space is “embedded” in a 4D space

Spacetime consists of point events. The squared distance between two infinitesimally close events is

$$ds^2 = \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu, \quad \mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3, \quad (1)$$

There are two possible ways of taking the square root of the quadratic form (1):

$$(i) \quad \sqrt{ds^2} = ds = \sqrt{\eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu}, \quad (2)$$

where ds is the infinitesimal *scalar distance*;

$$(ii) \quad \sqrt{ds^2} = dx = dx^\mu \gamma_\mu, \quad (3)$$

Here dx is the infinitesimal *vector* that joints the point with the coordinates x^μ and $x^\mu + dx^\mu$, while γ_μ are basis vectors satisfying

$$\gamma_\mu \cdot \gamma_\mu \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu + \gamma_\nu \gamma_\mu) = \eta_{\mu\nu}. \quad (4)$$

The latter relation defines the generators γ_μ of the Clifford algebra $Cl(1,3)$ of spacetime $M_{1,3}$.

The vector (3) is an oriented line element. By wedge products of vectors we can form oriented areas, volumes and 4-volumes:

$$dx \wedge dx' = \frac{1}{2} dx^{\mu\nu} \gamma_\mu \wedge \gamma_\nu, \quad (5)$$

$$dx \wedge dx' \wedge dx'' = \frac{1}{3!} dx^{\mu\nu\rho} \gamma_\mu \wedge \gamma_\nu \wedge \gamma_\rho, \quad (6)$$

$$dx \wedge dx' \wedge dx'' \wedge dx''' = \frac{1}{4!} dx^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \gamma_\mu \wedge \gamma_\nu \wedge \gamma_\rho \wedge \gamma_\sigma, \quad (7)$$

where $dx^{\mu\nu}$, $dx^{\mu\nu\rho}$ and $dx^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ are the antisymmetrized products of the vector components dx^μ , dx'^μ , dx''^μ , dx'''^μ , namely,

$$dx^{\mu\nu} = dx^\mu dx'^\nu - dx'^\mu dx^\nu, \quad (8)$$

$$dx^{\mu\nu\rho} = dx^\mu dx'^\nu dx''^\rho + dx'^\mu dx''^\nu dx^\rho + dx''^\mu dx^\nu dx'^\rho - dx^\mu dx''^\nu dx'^\rho - dx'^\mu dx'^\nu dx^\rho - dx'^\mu dx^\nu dx''^\rho, \quad (9)$$

and the analogous expression for $dx^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$.

The wedge product denotes the antisymmetrized product of vectors. For the basis vectors we have:

$$\gamma_\mu \wedge \gamma_\nu \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu - \gamma_\nu \gamma_\mu), \quad (10)$$

$$\gamma_\mu \wedge \gamma_\nu \wedge \gamma_\rho \equiv \frac{1}{3!}(\gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu \gamma_\rho + \gamma_\nu \gamma_\rho \gamma_\mu + \gamma_\rho \gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu - \gamma_\mu \gamma_\rho \gamma_\nu - \gamma_\rho \gamma_\nu \gamma_\mu - \gamma_\nu \gamma_\mu \gamma_\rho), \quad (11)$$

and analogous for $\gamma_\mu \wedge \gamma_\nu \wedge \gamma_\rho \wedge \gamma_\sigma$.

In a manifold there are infinitely many ways of connecting its point into submanifolds, for instance, into surfaces of a lesser dimensionality. In particular, the submanifolds can be associated with physical objects in spacetime. For instance, a set of points can lie on a closed string. Another possibility is the set of points lying on an open membrane whose boundary is a closed loop. An infinitesimal oriented area of that membrane (Fig. 1) is the wedge product of two tangent vectors $d\Sigma = d\xi_1 \wedge d\xi_2$. Expanding $d\xi_1$ and $d\xi_2$ in terms of the tangent basis vectors e_a , $a = 1, 2$, on the membrane, we have

$$d\Sigma = d\xi_1 \wedge d\xi_2 = d\xi_1^a d\xi_2^b e_a \wedge e_b = \frac{1}{2} d\xi^{ab} e_a \wedge e_b, \quad (12)$$

where $d\xi^{ab} = d\xi_1^a d\xi_2^b - d\xi_2^a d\xi_1^b$. Recalling now that using the membrane's embedding functions $X^\mu(\xi^a)$, we have

$$dx^\mu = \partial_a X^\mu d\xi^a, \quad (13)$$

where $\partial_a \equiv \partial/\partial\xi^a$. Multiplying the right and the left side of Eq. (13) with γ_μ , we obtain

$$dx = dx^\mu \gamma_\mu = \partial_a X^\mu d\xi^a \gamma_\mu = d\xi^a e_a = d\xi, \quad (14)$$

from which we have the the following relation between the spacetime basis vectors γ_μ and the brane's basis vectors e_a :

$$e_a = \partial_a X^\mu \gamma_\mu. \quad (15)$$

Inserting (15) into Eq. (12) and integrating the infinitesimal oriented surface elements $d\Sigma$ over the membrane, we obtain [8]

$$\int_\Sigma d\Sigma = \frac{1}{4} \int_\Sigma d\xi^{ab} (\partial_a X^\mu \partial_b X^\nu - \partial_a X^\nu \partial_b X^\mu) \gamma_\mu \wedge \gamma_\nu = \frac{1}{2} x^{\mu\nu} \gamma_\mu \wedge \gamma_\nu, \quad (16)$$

where

$$x^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Sigma d\xi^{ab} (\partial_a X^\mu \partial_b X^\nu - \partial_a X^\nu \partial_b X^\mu). \quad (17)$$

By the Stokes theorem, Eq. (17) becomes

$$x^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \int_B ds \left(X^\mu \frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial s} - X^\nu \frac{\partial X^\mu}{\partial s} \right), \quad (18)$$

where $X^\mu(s)$ are the embedding functions of the boundary loop B , s being a parameter along the loop.

The quantity $x^{\mu\nu}$ denotes the effective oriented area of the surface Σ bounded by the loop B . From the relation (18) we see that $X^{\mu\nu}$ depends only on the boundary B and is independent on the shape of Σ . Eqs. (17) and (18) tell us that we have a mapping

$$X^\mu(\xi^a) \longrightarrow x^{\mu\nu}, \quad (19)$$

or equivalently,

$$X^\mu(s) \longrightarrow x^{\mu\nu}, \quad (20)$$

from an infinite dimensional object, namely a surface Σ , described by $X^\mu(\xi^a)$, or its boundary B , described by $X^\mu(s)$, into the finite dimensional object $x^{\mu\nu}$.




Figure 1: An aread element $d\Sigma$ on a surface with the boundary B .

Such arrangement can describe two physically distinct objects [30]:

- (i) A loop B can be a closed instantonic string. Then $x^{\mu\nu}$ are the bivector coordinates associated with the closed instantonic string.
- (ii) A surface Σ can correspond to an open instantonic 2-brane whose boundary is B . Then $x^{\mu\nu}$ are bivector coordinates associated with the open instantonic 2-brane.

Analogous holds for objects of arbitrary dimension. The corresponding multivector (r -vector) coordinates are then

$$\begin{aligned} x^{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_r} &= \frac{1}{r!} \int d\xi^{a_1a_2\dots a_r} \partial_{a_1} X^{[\mu_1} \partial_{a_1} X^{\mu_2} \dots \partial_{a_r} X^{\mu_r]} \\ &= \frac{1}{r!} \int ds^{\underline{a}_1\underline{a}_2\dots\underline{a}_{r-1}} X^{[\mu_1} \partial_{\underline{a}_1} X^{\mu_2} \dots \partial_{\underline{a}_{r-1}} X^{\mu_r]} \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Here $d\xi^{a_1a_2\dots a_r} \equiv d\xi^{[a_1}d\xi^{a_2}\dots d\xi^{a_r]}$ and $ds^{\underline{a}_1\underline{a}_2\dots\underline{a}_{r-1}} \equiv ds^{[\underline{a}_1}ds^{\underline{a}_2}\dots ds^{\underline{a}_{r-1}]}$ are, respectively, the infinitesimal elements of an r -dimensional surface and of its $(r-1)$ -dimensional boundary. The parameters (coordinates) denoting the points in those manifolds are ξ^a , $a = 1, 2, \dots, r$, and $s^{\underline{a}}$, $\underline{a} = 1, 2, \dots, r-1$, while $\partial_a \equiv \partial/\partial\xi^a$ and $\partial_{\underline{a}} \equiv \partial/\partial s^{\underline{a}}$ are the derivatives with respect to those parameters. The bracket ‘ $[]$ ’ denotes antisymmetrization of the expression.

The functions $X^\mu(\xi^a)$, $a = 1, 2, \dots, r$, can describe an r -dimensional surface, shortly r -surface, bounded by an $(r-1)$ -dimensional surface. Equation (21) determines the mapping from an infinite dimensional object, namely an r -surface, $X^\mu(\xi^a)$, $a = 1, 2, \dots, r$, or its boundary, $X^\mu(s^{\underline{a}})$, $\underline{a} = 1, 2, \dots, r-1$, into the finite dimensional object $x^{\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_r}$.

The quantity $x^{\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_r}$ can thus describe [30, 31] two distinct types of physical objects in spacetime¹

- a) an open instantonic r -brane;
- b) a closed instantonic $(r-1)$ -brane.

The mapping is many-to-one, so that $x^{\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_r}$ is associated with a class of those physical objects, whose representative is an *open instantonic* r -brane or, alternatively, a *closed instantonic* $(r-1)$ -brane.

Distinction between those two types of objects can be formally made by means of a scalar parameter σ which in the case of an open string is proportional to its length, in the case of an open membrane (2-brane) to its scalar area, and, in general, the scalar r -volume (r -area) of an open r -brane. For a closed $(r-1)$ -brane the scalar parameter σ vanishes. For instance, in the case of a closed string there is no “material” embraced by the string, therefore the scalar area σ associated with such string is zero². This is not so for an open membrane and, in general, for an open r -brane. Then

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{A_r} \int d\xi^1 d\xi^2 \dots d\xi^r \left(\det \frac{\partial X^\mu}{\partial \xi^a} \frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial \xi^b} \eta_{\mu\nu} \right)^{1/2}, \quad a, b, 1, 2, \dots, r, \quad (22)$$

is different from zero. The quantity A_r , $r = 1, 2, 3, 4$, is defined as [30]

$$A_r = \sqrt{X^\ddagger * X}, \quad X = x^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_r} \gamma_{\mu_1} \wedge \gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \wedge \gamma_{\mu_r}, \quad (23)$$

where $\sqrt{\quad}$ is the scalar square root of the expression. Here we have generalized the case considered in Eqs. (1) and (2). The symbol \ddagger denotes reversion, i.e., the operation that reverses the order of vectors in an expression, e.g., $(\gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu)^\ddagger = \gamma_\nu \gamma_\mu$; the star $*$ denotes the scalar product of two multivectors, defined as $A * B = \langle AB \rangle_0$, where $\langle \quad \rangle_0$ denotes the scalar part of the expression.

For an *open string* the multivector grade is $r = 1$ and the formula (21) gives

$$X^\mu = X_2^\mu - X_1^\mu, \quad (24)$$

¹The objects considered here are point “particles” (events), strings, membranes, in general, branes, in *spacetime*. Usually those names refer to the objects in *space* (that is, in a three dimensional subspace of spacetime) that in spacetime sweep a worlsline, a worldsheet, a worlvolume, etc.. An r -brane considered here does not sweep an $(r+1)$ -dimensional worldsheet, therefore we call it *instantonic* r -brane. Once this nomenclature is clear, we can omit “instantonic”.

²Recall that the corresponding 2-vector (oriented) area of a closed string is different from zero and given by the bivector $X^{\mu\nu} \gamma \wedge \gamma_\nu$.

where X_1^μ and X_2^μ are the coordinates of the string's ends. Equation (24) is the result of the integration of the oriented line elements (3), i.e, the infinitesimal vectors, along the string. The result of such integration is a finite vector $X^\mu \gamma_\mu$, whose components are given in Eq. (24).

The scalar associated with an open string is determined by Eq. (22) for $r = 1$:

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{A_1} \int d\xi \left(\frac{\partial X^\mu}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial \xi} \eta_{\mu\nu} \right)^{1/2}, \quad (25)$$

where

$$A_1 = \sqrt{(X^\mu \gamma_\mu)^2} = \sqrt{X^\mu X^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu}} \equiv \sqrt{(X_2^\mu - X_1^\mu)(X_2^\nu - X_1^\nu) \eta_{\mu\nu}}, \quad (26)$$

which, in general, is different from the string length $\int d\xi \left(\frac{\partial X^\mu}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial \xi} \eta_{\mu\nu} \right)^{1/2}$. This scalar σ is obtained if we integrate the infinitesimal scalar distances (line elements) along the string.

Let us now take into account that a string is an idealization and that the actual object is not a string but a thick string. Then also the 2-vector, 3-vector and 4-vector coordinates $X^{\mu\nu}$, $X^{\mu\nu\rho}$, can be different from zero.

The multivector coordinates $X^{\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_r}$, $r = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$, describing an extended object in spacetime, are components of a Clifford number

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \sigma \mathbf{1} + x^\mu \gamma_\mu + \frac{1}{2} x^{\mu\nu} \gamma_\mu \wedge \gamma_\nu + \frac{1}{3!} x^{\mu\nu\rho} \gamma_\mu \wedge \gamma_\nu \wedge \gamma_\rho + \frac{1}{4!} x^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \gamma_\mu \wedge \gamma_\nu \wedge \gamma_\rho \wedge \gamma_\sigma \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^4 x^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_r} \gamma_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_r} \equiv \sum x^M \gamma_M \equiv x^M \gamma_M \quad (\text{Einstein's summation convention}). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Here $\gamma_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_r} \equiv \gamma_{\mu_1} \wedge \gamma_{\mu_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \gamma_{\mu_r} |_{\mu_1 < \mu_2 < \dots < \mu_r}$, therefore the factor $1/r!$ does not take place in the expansion of X in terms of $\gamma_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_r}$. In the last step we have condensed the notation even more by introducing $\gamma_M \equiv \gamma_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_r}$ and $x^M \equiv x^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_r} |_{\mu_1 < \mu_2 < \dots < \mu_r}$.

The Clifford number X , the so called *polyvector*, is the sum of multivectors of the grades from $r = 0$ to $r = 4$. It denotes position in the 16-dimensional Clifford space C , a manifold that has at any of its points the Clifford algebra $Cl(4)$, more precisely, $Cl(1, 3)$, as the tangent space.

The objects described by $x^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_r}$ are “instantonic” in the sense that they occupy a *finite* region of spacetime, so that they are localized not only in 3-space but also in time. They are not infinitely extended along a time-like direction as in the case of a particle's worldline or a string's worldsheet.

When we talk about a “particle” we usually have in mind an object that looks like a point in 3-space, and as a line in 4D spacetime M_4 . Similarly, by “string” we usually mean an object whose spatial form is a string, while it is a 2-dimensional sheet in M_4 .

In this work we consider the concept of *instantonic string*, *instantonic membrane*, and, in general, an *instantonic r-brane*, $r = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ which generalize the concept of *event* in

spacetime. The configuration spaces of those objects, described by the embedding functions $X^\mu(\xi^a)$, $a = 1, 2, 3, 4$, are infinite dimensional. The description of r -branes in terms of the multivector coordinates $X^{\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_r}$, $r = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$, is achieved by the mapping

$$X^\mu(\xi^a) \longrightarrow x^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_r}, \quad \mu = 0, 1, 2, 3; \quad a = 1, 2, \dots, n; \quad n = 1, 2, 3, 4, \quad (28)$$

according to Eqs. (21), (22). The cases $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$ denote, respectively, an instantonic string, instantonic membrane, instantonic 3-brane and instantonic 4-brane. The latter object fills a 4-dimensional region of spacetime; it is like a spacetime filling brane that not fills all but only a portion of spacetime.

The description of an instantonic object by a polyvector X , as defined in Eq. (27), comprises the usual p -branes, $p = 1, 2, 3$, as limiting cases in which their time-like extension goes to infinity.

A polyvector $X = x^M \gamma_M$, $M = 1, 2, \dots, 16$, thus encodes a configuration of an extended object, not in all its infinite detail, but in terms of the oriented r -areas associated with the object

3 Clifford space as the arena for physics

The dynamics of the objects described by polyvectors has been investigated in Refs. [13,31], where the concept of relativity in Clifford space (C -space) was developed [1, 5, 10, 11, 13] and pointed out how it leads to the unification of particles and forces [9, 10, 32]. This is possible because the arena for physics is taken to be the 16-dimensional Clifford space C . The points of C correspond to the instantonic extended objects in 4D spacetime modeled by the polyvector coordinates $x^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_r} \equiv x^M$.

Taking the differential of the polyvector (27),

$$dX = dx^M \gamma_M, \quad (29)$$

the quadratic form in Clifford space is,

$$dS^2 = dX^\dagger * dX = dx^M dx^N \eta_{MN}, \quad (30)$$

where the metric is

$$\eta_{MN} = \gamma_M^\dagger * \gamma_N = \langle \gamma_M^\dagger \gamma_N \rangle_0. \quad (31)$$

With such a definition of the metric, the signature is (8, 8), so that the explicit form of the expression (30) is

$$\begin{aligned} dS^2 = & d\sigma^2 + (dx^0)^2 - (dx^1)^2 - (dx^2)^2 - (dx^3)^2 \\ & - (dx^{01})^2 - (dx^{02})^2 - (dx^{03})^2 + (dx^{12})^2 + (dx^{13})^2 + (dx^{23})^2 \\ & - (d\tilde{x}^0)^2 + (d\tilde{x}^1)^2 + (d\tilde{x}^2)^2 + (d\tilde{x}^3)^2 - d\tilde{\sigma}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

which comes after rewriting the polyvector (27) according to

$$X = \sigma \underline{1} + x^\mu \gamma_\mu + \frac{1}{2} x^{\mu\nu} + \tilde{x}^\mu \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu + \tilde{\sigma} \gamma_5, \quad (33)$$

where

$$\tilde{x}^\mu = \frac{1}{3!} \epsilon^\mu{}_{\nu\rho\sigma} x^{\nu\rho\sigma}, \quad \tilde{\sigma} = \frac{1}{4!} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} x^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \quad (34)$$

$$\gamma_\mu \wedge \gamma_\nu \wedge \gamma_\rho = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho}{}^\sigma \gamma_5 \gamma_\sigma, \quad \gamma_\mu \wedge \gamma_\nu \wedge \gamma_\rho \wedge \gamma_\sigma = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \gamma_5. \quad (35)$$

A worldline in C , described by the equation $x^M = X^M(\tau)$, represents the evolution of a ‘thick’ particle in spacetime (Fig. 2). Thick particle can be an aggregate of p -branes for various $p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. But such interpretation is not obligatory. Thick particle may be a conglomerate of whatever extended objects that can be sampled by polyvector coordinates $X^M \equiv X^{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_r}$, $r = 0, 1, 2, 3$. The action for such a system is

$$I = \kappa \int d\tau (\eta_{MN} \dot{X}^M \dot{X}^N)^{1/2}, \quad (36)$$

where κ is a constant having the role of mass in C . This is just like the point particle action, only that the ‘point particle’ is now in 16-dimensional Clifford space C . The equation of motion

$$\ddot{X}^M \equiv \frac{d^2 X^M}{d\tau^2} = 0 \quad (37)$$

describes a flat worldline in C , which corresponds to a tensionless brane in M_4 . For the branes with tension one has to introduce curved Clifford space in which instead of the flat space metric η_{MN} we take a curved metric g_{MN} . Then Eq. (37) generalizes to the equation of a geodesic in C . For a particular choice of metric [31] one obtains the description of the Dirac-Nambu-Goto brane sampled the coordinates $X^M \equiv X^{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_r}$.




Figure 2: A worldline in Clifford space C corresponds to a thick worldline in spacetime M_4 (up). A worldsheet in C corresponds to a thick worldsheet in M_4 (down).

A world sheet in C , described by the equation $x^M = X^M(\tau, \sigma)$, represents the evolution of a ‘thick’ string in spacetime M_4 (Fig. 2). Thick string can be an aggregate p -branes for various $p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. But such interpretation is not obligatory. Thick string may be a conglomerate of whatever extended objects that can be sampled by polyvector coordinates $X^M \equiv X^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_r}$. The action for a C -space string in conformal gauge is

$$I = \frac{\kappa}{2} \int d\tau d\sigma (\dot{X}^M \dot{X}^N - X'^M X'^N) \eta_{MN} \quad (38)$$

The space in which such string lives is Clifford space. Its dimension is 16, and signature (8, 8). In Ref. [33] it was shown that upon quantization there are no central terms in the Virasoro algebra, if the space in which the string lives has signature (8, 8), provided that the definition of vacuum as considered in Refs. [34–38] is used. According to Refs. [38, 39], such vacuum definition gives the correct quantization of the harmonic oscillator in a pseudo-Euclidean space, because it gives the correct classical limit.

4 Promoting the Clifford algebra $Cl(1, 3)$ to a vector space $V_{8,8}$

Clifford algebra is a vector space. In particular, $Cl(1, 3)$ is a 16D vector space with signature (8, 8). Let us denote it $V_{8,8}$. We will now adopt the view of Ref. [14] and consider $V_{8,8}$ as a vector space spanned by the basis vectors q_M that satisfy

$$q_M \cdot q_N = \frac{1}{2} (q_M q_N + q_N q_M) = \eta_{MN}, \quad M, N = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 16, \quad (39)$$

where, as before, $\eta_{MN} = \text{diag}(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1)$, i.e., the metric with signature $(r, s) = (8, 8)$. Therefore, if, instead of the basis $\gamma_M \equiv \gamma_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_r}$ of the Clifford algebra $Cl(1, 3)$, we take the generators q_M of $Cl(8, 8)$, satisfying Eq. (39), we obtain the same quadratic form (32).

The $V_{8,8}$ is a tangent space to the 16-dimensional manifold $M_{8,8}$. In general one has to distinguish between the coordinate frame field and the orthonormal frame field. Let us denote with q_M the coordinate basis vectors and with q_A , $A = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 16$, the orthonormal basis vectors. Here for simplicity we use the same symbol q and distinguish those two different sorts of objects by the indices M and A .

A possible local decomposition of $M_{8,8}$ is

$$M_{8,8} = M_{1,1} \dot{+} M_{1,3} \dot{+} M_{6,4} \quad (40)$$

The subspace $M_{1,3}$ is the Minkowski spacetime. The subspace $M_{1,1}$ together with $M_{1,3}$ forms the six-dimensional space $M_{2,4}$. Good features of $M_{2,4}$:

- a) It is the arena for 2T physics (Bars [21]).

- b) It enables the Stueckelberg theory [1, 17–20].
- c) It is the arena for the conformal group.

The subspace $M_{6,4}$ has the role of the internal space that brings into the game the additional interactions, besides the gravity in 4D spacetime. A good feature of $M_{6,4}$ is that it serves as the arena for the $SO(10)$ grand unification.

In this scheme the overall arena for physics is $M_{8,8}$. A point in $M_{8,8}$ has coordinates X^M , $M = 1, 2, \dots, 16$. The group $SO(8,8)$ acting within a tangent space $V_{8,8}$ of $M_{8,8}$ contains:

$$SO(8, 8) \supset SO(2, 4) \times SO(6, 4), \quad (41)$$

where

$$SO(2, 4) \supset SO(1, 3) \times SO(1, 1) \quad (42)$$

Here $SO(1, 3)$ is the Lorentz group which together with $SO(1, 1)$ is a subset of the conformal group $SO(2, 4)$.

For the second factor in Eq. (42) we have

$$SO(6, 4) \supset SO(6) \times SO(4) \leftarrow SU(4) \times SU(2) \times SU(2), \quad (43)$$

which gives the Pati-Salam unified model [15, 16]. We have thus arrived at the framework which enables the unification of the Lorentz group with the Pati-Salam group, a descent of which is the Standard model gauge group $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$.

What about the Coleman-Mandula theorem [40]? Its starting assumption is the unitarity of the S -matrix in $M_{1,3}$, which holds for a wave function that depends on position in spacetime. As a consequence, no mixing of spacetime and internal symmetries is possible within such a setup. This is not true for a theory whose starting point is a higher dimensional space, such as, e.g., the Clifford space or the space of the Kaluza-Klein theory, or the space $M_{8,8}$ considered here, in which the wave function is a function of all $N > 4$ coordinates, and thus unitarity holds in the higher dimensional space. Then, fundamentally, there is no distinction between external and internal symmetries, and therefore they can mix among themselves. Only after a symmetry breaking, eg., in Kaluza-Klein theories due to isometries along the internal dimensions or a compactification of small extra dimensions, a wave function is effectively a function of spacetime coordinates only. Then, of course, for such effective theory in four dimensions one gets that the spacetime and internal symmetries do not mix. However, for an underlying more fundamental theory in a higher dimensional space, the Coleman-Mandula theorem is not applicable. To sum up, the spacetime and the internal symmetries can only not mix after a symmetry breaking which sets apart the 4D spacetime and a higher dimensional "internal" space. That there is a loophole in the Coleman-Mandula theorem was shown in Ref. [23] by a different argumentation, namely, that before symmetry breaking, there is no metric and thus no S -matrix.

Vector fields in $M_{8,8}$

Upon the (first) quantization of the classical system described by the action (36) we obtain the Klein-Gordon equation in $M_{8,8}$:

$$(\partial^M \partial_M - \kappa^2)\Phi(X^M) = 0, \quad M = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 16, \quad (44)$$

where X^M denotes coordinates of position in $M_{8,8}$, and $\partial_M \equiv \partial/\partial x^M$. The latter equation comes from the classical momentum constraint $P_M P^M - \kappa^2 = 0$ which becomes the operator equation acting on a state Φ .

For a state we take a vector field in $M_{8,8}$,

$$\Phi = \phi^A q_A, \quad q_A \cdot q_B = \eta_{AB}, \quad A, B = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 16. \quad (45)$$

If the components ϕ^A are complex valued, then we can choose a new basis vectors q'^A such that the same vector field Φ can be expanded in terms of those new basis vectors according to

$$\Phi = \phi'^A q'_A, \quad q'_A \cdot q'_B = \eta'_{AB}, \quad A, B = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 16, \quad (46)$$

where the signature of the new metric η'_{AB} differs from the signature of the old metric η_{AB} . The new signature, (r, s) , can be arbitrary. In particular it can be $r = 2, s = 14$, which corresponds to

$$V_{2,14} = V_{2,4} + V_{0,10}. \quad (47)$$

Instead of the basis of the original vector space $V_{8,8}$ we can thus take the basis of the space $V_{2,14}$ that can be split into the space $V_{2,4}$ and $V_{0,10}$. Over the latter vector space we can construct the spinors of the $SO(10)$ grand unification.

Spinor fields in $M_{8,8}$

We have considered *complex vector fields* in the manifold $M_{8,8}$. Let us now consider *complex spinor fields* in $M_{8,8}$. At any of its points the tangent space is $V_{8,8}$. Its basis vector q_A , $A = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 16$, can be split into the time-like and space-like part according to

$$\begin{aligned} q_A &= (q_a, \tilde{q}_a), & a &= 1, 2, 3, \dots, 8 \\ q_a^\dagger &= q_a, & q_a \cdot q_b &= \delta_{ab} \\ \tilde{q}_a^\dagger &= -\tilde{q}_a, & \tilde{q}_a \cdot \tilde{q}_b &= -\delta_{ab} \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

An alternative basis is the Witt basis:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_a &= \frac{1}{2} (q_a + \tilde{q}_a) \\ \chi_a^\dagger &= \frac{1}{2} (q_a - \tilde{q}_a) \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

Writing $\tilde{q}_a = i\bar{q}_a$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_A &= (q_a, i\bar{q}_a), & a &= 1, 2, 3, \dots, 8 \\ q_a^\dagger &= q_a, & q_a \cdot q_b &= \delta_{ab} \\ \bar{q}_a^\dagger &= \bar{q}_a, & \bar{q}_a \cdot \bar{q}_b &= \delta_{ab} \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

The Witt basis then reads

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_a &= \frac{1}{2} (q_a + i \bar{q}_a) \\ \chi_a^\dagger &= \frac{1}{2} (q_a - i \bar{q}_a)\end{aligned}\quad (51)$$

It satisfies the fermionic anticommutation relations

$$\{\chi_a, \chi_b^\dagger\} = \delta_{ab}, \quad \{\chi_a, \chi_b\} = \{\chi_a^\dagger, \chi_b^\dagger\} = 0. \quad (52)$$

Spinors are given in terms of the creation operators χ_a^\dagger acting on the vacuum [41–48]

$$\Omega = \prod_{a=1}^8 \chi_a \quad (53)$$

A generic spinor field in $M_{8,8}$ is:

$$\Psi = (\psi^0 1 + \psi^{a_1} \chi_{a_1}^\dagger + \psi^{a_1 a_2} \chi_{a_1}^\dagger \chi_{a_2}^\dagger + \dots \psi^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_8} \chi_{a_1}^\dagger \chi_{a_2}^\dagger \dots \chi_{a_8}^\dagger) \Omega \equiv \psi^{\tilde{A}} \xi_{\tilde{A}}, \quad (54)$$

which is a superposition of spinor components $\psi^{\tilde{A}}$ and basis spinors $\xi_{\tilde{A}}$. We assume that it depends on position in $M_{8,8}$ and satisfies the generalized Dirac equation [9, 10, 49]:

$$(i\gamma^M \partial_M + \kappa) \Psi = 0, \quad (55)$$

where κ is the mass in sixteen dimensions. This is analogous to the usual procedure where spinors are assumed to satisfy the Dirac equation in $M_{1,3}$.

In general, the components $\psi^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_r}$, $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 8$, are complex. Therefore the same spinor can be generated in terms of the basis vectors q_A of any signature p, q . For instance, instead of constructing spinors over

$$V_{8,8} \otimes \mathbb{C} \quad (56)$$

we can construct them over

$$(V_{2,4} \dot{+} V_{0,10}) \otimes \mathbb{C}. \quad (57)$$

In the subspace $V_{2,4}$ live the spinors of Minkowski space, whilst in $V_{0,10}$ live the spinors of $SO(10)$ grand unification.

Spinors in $M_{8,8}$ are functions of position X^M in $M_{8,8}$. They have values (as members of minimal ideals) in the complexified $Cl(8, 8)$ which can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}Cl(8, 8) \otimes \mathbb{C} &= Cl(2, 14) \otimes \mathbb{C} \\ &= (Cl(2, 4) \otimes Cl(10)) \otimes \mathbb{C} = Cl(16) \otimes \mathbb{C}\end{aligned}\quad (58)$$

Crucial here are the two subalgebras:

(i) In $Cl(2, 4)$ are contained the spinors of Minkowski space, as a part of a larger theory which can be, as mentioned before, the 2T physics by Bars, the Stueckelberg theory, or conformal theory.

(ii) In $Cl(10)$ have values the spinors of $SO(10)$ grand unification.

In the following, instead of considering the full theory in $M_{8,8}$, we will confine us here to the theory in the subspace $M_{7,7} = M_{1,3} + M_{6,4}$ and so neglect the piece $M_{1,1}$ which together with spacetime forms $M_{2,4}$.

A spinor field in $M_{7,7}$ can be written as

$$\Psi = \psi^{\tilde{A}} \xi_{\tilde{A}} \equiv \psi^{\alpha i} \xi_{\alpha i}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 32, \quad (59)$$

where $\xi_{\tilde{A}}$, $\tilde{A} = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 128$ are the basis spinors³ in $M_{7,7}$ and $\psi^{\tilde{A}}$ the spinor components. If the manifold $M_{7,7}$ is flat, then $\xi_{\tilde{A}}$ can be written as the product $\xi_{\tilde{A}} = \xi_{\alpha} \eta_i$ of the basis spinors ξ_{α} in $M_{1,3}$ and the basis spinors η_i in the internal space $M_{6,4}$.

The spinor Ψ depends on position in $M_{8,8}$ and hence also on position in the subspace $M_{7,7}$. From now on, x^M will denote coordinates in $M_{7,7}$, and the index M will take values $1, 2, 3, \dots, 14$. By splitting the coordinates into those of spacetime $M_{1,3}$, and those of the internal space, we have

$$\Psi = \Psi(X^M), \quad X^M = (x^{\mu}, x^{\bar{M}}), \quad \mu = 0, 1, 2, 3; \quad \bar{M} = 5, 6, \dots, 14 \quad (60)$$

Considering the Dirac equation we find

$$(i\gamma^M \partial_M + \kappa)\Psi = i(\gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} + \gamma^{\bar{M}} \partial_{\bar{M}} + \kappa)\Psi = 0 \quad (61)$$

If the higher dimensional mass κ is zero, then the Dirac equation becomes

$$\gamma^M \partial_M \Psi = \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \Psi + \gamma^{\bar{M}} \partial_{\bar{M}} \Psi = 0 \quad (62)$$

For the higher dimensional spinor field Ψ we can take the ansatz

$$\Psi(x^{\mu}, x^{\bar{M}}) = \Psi^{(4)}(x^{\mu}) \exp[-ip_{\bar{M}} x^{\bar{M}}] U^{(10)}, \quad (63)$$

which is the product of a spinor field in $M_{1,3}$ and a spinor field in $M_{0,10}$. This is a simplified model in which the spinor field in the ten dimensional internal space is just a plane wave spinor. With such ansatz the Dirac equation (62) becomes

$$\gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \Psi^{(4)} U^{(10)} + \Psi^{(4)} (-i) \gamma^{\bar{M}} p_{\bar{M}} U^{(10)} = 0 \quad (64)$$

Using the relation

$$\gamma^{\bar{M}} p_{\bar{M}} U^{(10)} = m U^{(10)} \quad (65)$$

we obtain

$$i\gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \Psi^{(4)} U^{(10)} + m \Psi^{(4)} U^{(10)} = 0 \quad (66)$$

which is the massive Dirac equation in four dimensions.

³We now use for the basis spinors and the spinor components in $M_{7,7}$ the same symbols as we did in Eq. (54) for those in $M_{8,8}$.

A more general ansatz is

$$\Psi(x^\mu, x^{\bar{M}}) = \Psi^{(4)}(x^\mu)\Psi^{(10)}(x^{\bar{M}}) \quad (67)$$

which is not constrained to plane waves. Then from Eqs. (62) and (67) we obtain

$$i\gamma^{\bar{M}}\partial_{\bar{M}}\Psi^{(10)} = m\Psi^{(10)}, \quad (68)$$

and

$$i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\Psi^{(4)}\Psi^{(10)} + m\Psi^{(4)}\Psi^{(10)} = 0. \quad (69)$$

According to Eq. (68), the internal states are eigenstates of the operator $i\gamma^{\bar{M}}\partial_{\bar{M}}$ with m being an eigenvalue. The mass term in the 4D Dirac equation (69) thus comes from the internal space. This is a well know feature of Kaluza-Klein theories which work in curved higher dimensional manifolds. Therefore, in the following we will assume that $M_{7,7}$ is curved and such that $M_{7,7} = M_{1,3} + M_{6,4}$ still holds locally.

The operator $i\gamma^{\bar{M}}\partial_{\bar{M}}$ is responsible for generations. When acting on the spinor field $\Psi^{(10)} = \psi^i\eta_i$ it consists of two parts:

$$\gamma^{\bar{M}}\partial_{\bar{M}}\Psi^{(10)} = \left(\gamma^{\bar{M}}\partial_{\bar{M}}\psi^i + \gamma^{\bar{M}}\Gamma_{\bar{M}j}^i\psi^j\right)\eta_i \equiv D_{\bar{M}}\psi^i\eta_i. \quad (70)$$

The first part is the ‘‘orbital’’ contribution, while the second part comes from the action of the derivative⁴ $\partial_{\bar{M}}$ on the basis spinors η_i of the internal space

$$\partial_{\bar{M}}\eta_i = \Gamma_{\bar{M}i}^j\eta_j. \quad (71)$$

We see that the second part in Eq. (70) is the contribution due to Yukawa coupling, where the spin connection in the ‘‘internal’’ space, $\Gamma_{\bar{M}i}^j$, has the role of *Higgs fields*.

The eigenvalue equation (68) in the internal space yields the possible values of the particle mass m . According to Eq. (70), mass does not arise from the Higgs field only, but also from the orbital momentum $\gamma^{\bar{M}}\partial_{\bar{M}}\psi^i$. Namely, if we multiply Eq. (70) from the left by $-i\gamma^{\bar{N}}\partial_{\bar{N}}$ and then also by $\eta^{j\dagger}$, we obtain, after taking the scalar part and renaming the indices, the following equation [4]:

$$g^{\bar{M}\bar{N}}D_{\bar{M}}D_{\bar{N}}\psi^i + (\sigma_{\bar{M}\bar{N}})^i_j R_{\bar{M}\bar{N}k}^j\psi^k = m^2\psi^i, \quad (72)$$

where

$$g^{\bar{M}\bar{N}}D_{\bar{M}}D_{\bar{N}}\psi^i = g^{\bar{M}\bar{N}}\left(\partial_{\bar{M}}D_{\bar{N}}\psi^i - \Gamma_{\bar{M}\bar{N}}^{\bar{K}}D_{\bar{K}}\psi^i + \Gamma_{\bar{M}j}^i\partial_{\bar{N}}\psi^j\right). \quad (73)$$

⁴In ref. [10] it was explained that the same symbol ∂_M can be used for the derivative operator acting on different kinds of objects. For instance, if acting on a scalar field, then it behaves as partial derivative, if acting on a basis vector it gives the connection, and if acting on a basis spinor it give the spin connection. Usage of the same symbol for the derivative in all such cases much simplifies the calculations.

and

$$g^{\bar{M}\bar{N}}\partial_{\bar{M}}D_{\bar{N}}\psi^i = g^{\bar{M}\bar{N}}\partial_{\bar{M}}\left(\partial_{\bar{N}}\psi^i + \Gamma_{\bar{N}j}^i\psi^j\right). \quad (74)$$

Here $(\sigma_{\bar{M}\bar{N}})^i_j = \frac{1}{2}([\gamma_{\bar{M}}, \gamma_{\bar{N}}]^i_j)$ is the spin tensor and $R_{\bar{M}\bar{N}k}^i$ the curvature tensor expressed in term of the spin connection. The term $g^{\bar{M}\bar{N}}\partial_{\bar{M}}\partial_{\bar{N}}\psi^i$, written in terms of the spherical coordinates in the internal space, becomes an expression that contains the ‘‘orbital’’ momentum operator acting on the internal state ψ^i . In this setup the number of generations is given by the number of eigenstates and eigenvalues determined by the equation (68). Moreover, the fact that masses are not determined by Higgs fields only, but also by the orbital momentum in the internal space should be taken into account and recalculate, e.g., the proton life time.

In general, in Eq. (62), the derivative ∂_M , acting on the basis spinors $\xi_{\bar{A}}$, gives the connection $\Gamma_{\bar{M}\bar{B}}^{\bar{A}}$ that includes the ordinary spin connection, a Yang-Mills field and a multiplet of Higgs fields. All those fields are contained in the covariant derivative $\partial_M\Psi = (\partial_M\psi^{\bar{A}} + \Gamma_{\bar{M}\bar{B}}^{\bar{A}}\psi^{\bar{B}})\xi_{\bar{A}}$. A first step in this direction was proposed in Ref. [10], where the internal piece of the connection was not yet recognized as a Higgs multiplet. That the covariant Dirac derivative contains all those fields, including the Higgs multiplet, was observed in Ref. [23], but without using the concept of geometric spinors defined according to Eq. (59).

5 Conclusion

Fundamental objects such as strings and branes can be described in terms of their spacetime volume degrees of freedom represented by Clifford numbers belonging to $Cl(1, 3)$. The latter algebra is considered as a tangent space of a 16-dimensional manifold, called Clifford space. Clifford algebra as a vector space can be considered as being spanned over 16 basis vectors that are generator of $Cl(8, 8)$ or its complexified version. We thus have a 16-dimensional vector space $V_{8,8}$ that have enough room for the unification of fundamental particles and interactions including gravity. Spinors are members of left ideals of $Cl(8, 8)$. They satisfy the Dirac equation in sixteen dimensions. The extra dimensions give rise not only to the presence of the first generation particles of the $SO(10)$ grand unification, but also to different generations. Namely, the effective mass in four dimensions is due to the presence of extra dimensions, a known feature of Kaluza-Klein theories. But in those theories there is also a problem of how to reconcile the chiral properties of spinors in higher dimensions with the observed parity non conservation in four dimensions. Within the framework considered here, such problem does not exist. Namely, considering the concept of Clifford space whose tangent space at any of its points is a Clifford algebra, with its members being spinors, automatically leads [12, 45, 49] to mirror particles [50–56] that are coupled to mirror gauge fields and are unobservable by means of ordinary gauge

fields. Therefore, as extensively investigated in Refs. [12, 49, 56–68], they are candidates for dark matter.

References

- [1] M. Pavšič, *The Landscape of theoretical physics: A Global view. From point particles to the brane world and beyond, in search of a unifying principle*, (Kluwer Academic, 2001) [arXiv:gr-qc/0610061 [gr-qc]].
- [2] M. Pavšič, “Towards a new paradigm: Relativity in configuration space,” Contribution to: Time and Matter 2007, 161-178 [arXiv:0712.3660 [gr-qc]].
- [3] M. Pavšič, “Branes and Quantized Fields,” *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **845**, no.1, 012018 (2017) doi:10.1088/1742-6596/845/1/012018 [arXiv:1703.05140 [hep-th]].
- [4] M. Pavšič, *Stumbling Blocks Against Unification: On Some Persistent Misconceptions in Physics*, (World Scientific, 2020), doi:10.1142/11738.
- [5] C. Castro, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals* **10**, 295 (1999); **11**, 1663 (2000); **12**, 1585 (2001);
C. Castro, *Found. Phys.* **30**, 1301 (2000).
- [6] S. Ansoldi, A. Aurilia, C. Castro and E. Spallucci, *Phys. Rev. D* **64**, 026003 (2001) [hep-th/0105027].
- [7] A. Aurilia, S. Ansoldi and E. Spallucci, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **19**, 3207 (2002).
- [8] M. Pavšič, *Found. Phys.* **33**, 1277-1306 (2003) doi:10.1023/A:1025637126758 [arXiv:gr-qc/0211085 [gr-qc]].
- [9] M. Pavšič, *Phys. Lett. B* **614**, 85-95 (2005) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2005.03.052 [arXiv:hep-th/0412255 [hep-th]].
- [10] M. Pavšič, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **21**, 5905 (2006) [arXiv:gr-qc/0507053].
- [11] C. Castro and M. Pavšič, *Prog. Phys.* **1**, 31 (2005).
- [12] M. Pavšič, “Quantized Fields à la Clifford and Unification”, in *Beyond Peaceful Coexistence; The Emergence of Space, Time and Quantum* (Ignazio Licata, Ed., Imperial College Press, 2016), pp. 615–660, [arXiv:1707.05695 [physics.gen-ph]].
- [13] M. Pavšič, *Found. Phys.* **37**, 1197-1242 (2007) doi:10.1007/s10701-007-9147-3 [arXiv:hep-th/0605126 [hep-th]].
- [14] M. Pavšič, *J. Phys. A* **41**, 332001 (2008) doi:10.1088/1751-8113/41/33/332001 [arXiv:0806.4365 [hep-th]].
- [15] J. C. Pati, A. Salam, *Phys. Rev. D* **10** (1), 275 (1974), doi:10.1103/physrevd.10.275.
- [16] J. C. Baez, J. Huerta (2009). ”The Algebra of Grand Unified Theories”. arXiv:0904.1556 [hep-th].
- [17] E. C. G. Stueckelberg, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **14**, 322 (1941).
- [18] E.C.G. Stueckelberg, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **15**, 23 (1942).

- [19] L.P. Horwitz and C. Piron, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **46**, 316 (1973).
- [20] J.R. Fanchi, *Found. Phys.* **23**, 287 (1993), and many references therein.
- [21] I. Bars, C. Deliduman, and O. Andreev, *Phys. Rev. D* **58**, 066004 (1998) ;
I. Bars, *Phys. Rev. D* 58 (1998) 066006;
I. Bars, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **18** (2001) 3113; I. Bars, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 085019 (2006).
- [22] A. G. Lisi, “An Explicit Embedding of Gravity and the Standard Model in E8,” [arXiv:1006.4908 [gr-qc]].
- [23] A. G. Lisi, L. Smolin and S. Speziale, “Unification of gravity, gauge fields, and Higgs bosons,” *J. Phys. A* **43**, 445401 (2010) doi:10.1088/1751-8113/43/44/445401 [arXiv:1004.4866 [gr-qc]].
- [24] A. B. Gillard and N. G. Gresnigt, [arXiv:1906.05102 [physics.gen-ph]].
- [25] N. G. Gresnigt, “The Standard Model particle content with complete gauge symmetries from the minimal ideals of two Clifford algebras,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**, no.6, 583 (2020) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-8141-1 [arXiv:2003.08814 [physics.gen-ph]].
- [26] C. Castro, “Clifford Algebraic Unification of Conformal Gravity with an Extended Standard Model,” *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras* **27**, no.2, 1031-1042 (2017) doi:10.1007/s00006-016-0702-x
- [27] C. Castro Perelman, “ $\mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O}$ -Valued Gravity as a Grand Unified Field Theory,” *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras* **29**, no.1, 22 (2019) doi:10.1007/s00006-019-0937-4
- [28] C. Castro Perelman,
Hermitian Matrix Geometry and Nonsymmetric Kaluza–
Klein Theory,” *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras* **29**, no.3, 58 (2019) doi:10.1007/s00006-019-0977-9
- [29] C. Castro On Jordan-Clifford Algebras, Three Fermion Generations with Higgs Fields and a $SU(3) \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)$ (Available on Academia.edu)
- [30] M. Pavšič, *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras* **23**, 469-495 (2013) doi:10.1007/s00006-013-0381-9 [arXiv:1201.5755 [hep-th]].
- [31] M. Pavšič, ”Beyond spacetime: On the Clifford algebra based generalization of relativity”, Contribution to 5th Summer School in Modern Mathematical Physics (MPHYS5) 6-17 July 2008. Belgrade, Serbia, <https://inspirehep.net/conferences/979332>, (C08-07-06.1) pp. 343-356 [<https://arxiv.org/pdf/0907.2773>].
- [32] C. Castro, ”A Clifford Algebra Based Grand Unification Program of Gravity and the Standard Model : A Review Study”, *Can.J.Phys.* **92**,1501-1527 (2014) DOI: 10.1139/cjp-2013-0686 .
- [33] M. Pavšič, *Found. Phys.* **35**, 1617 (2005) doi:10.1007/s10701-005-6485-x [arXiv:hep-th/0501222 [hep-th]].
- [34] D. Cangemi, R. Jackiw and B. Zwiebach, *Annals of Physics* **245**, 408 (1996).

- [35] E. Benedict, R. Jackiw and H. J. Lee, *Phys. Rev. D.* **54**, 6213 (1996).
- [36] Y.S. Kim and M.E. Noz, *Phys. Rev. D* **8**, 3521 (1973); **12**, 122 (1975); **15**,335 (1977); *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **63**, 348 (1989).
- [37] Y.S. Kim and M.E. Noz, *Theory and Applications of the Poincaré Group* (D. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht, 1986).
- [38] M. Pavšič, *Phys. Lett. A* **254**, 119 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9812123].
- [39] R. P. Woodard, *Lect. Notes Phys.* **720**, 403 (2007) [arXiv:astro-ph/0601672].
- [40] S. Coleman, J. Mandula, *Phys. Rev.* **159** (5), 1251 (1967) Bibcode:1967PhRv..159.1251C. doi:10.1103/PhysRev.159.1251.
- [41] E. Cartan, *Leçons sur la théorie des spineurs I & II* (Paris: Hermann, 1938)
E. Cartan *The theory of spinors*, English transl. by R.F Streater, (Paris: Hermann, 1966)
C. Chevalley *The algebraic theory of spinors* (New York: Columbia U.P, 1954)
I.M. Benn, R.W. Tucker, *An introduction to spinors and geometry with applications in physics* (Bristol: Hilger, 1987).
- [42] P. Budinich *Phys. Rep.* **137**, 35 (1986);
P. Budinich and A. Trautman *Lett. Math. Phys.* **11**, 315 (1986).
- [43] S. Giler, P. Kosiński, J. Rembieliński and P. Maślanka, *Acta Phys. Pol. B* **18**, 713 (1987).
- [44] J. O. Winnberg, *J. Math. Phys.* **18**, 625 (1977).
- [45] M. Pavšič, “Behavior in Weak Interactions,” *Phys. Lett. B* **692**, 212 (2010) [arXiv:1005.1500 [hep-th]].
- [46] M. Pavšič, *v. Appl. Clifford Algebras* **22**, 449-481 (2012) doi:10.1007/s00006-011-0314-4 [arXiv:1104.2266 [math-ph]].
- [47] M. Pavšič, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **437**, 012006 (2013) doi:10.1088/1742-6596/437/1/012006 [arXiv:1210.6820 [hep-th]].
- [48] M. Budinich, *J. Math. Phys.*, **50**, 053514 (2009).
M. Budinich, *J. Phys. A*, **47**, 115201 (2014).
M. Budinich, *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras*, **25**, 771 (2015).
- [49] M. Pavšič, “Geometric Spinors, Generalized Dirac Equation and Mirror Particles,” Contribution to 3rd International Conference on Theoretical Physics (ICTP 2013) 24-28 June 2013. Moscow, Russian Federation (C13-06-24.8) [arXiv:1310.6566 [hep-th]].
- [50] T. D. Lee and C. N. Yang, *Phys. Rev.*, **104**, 254 (1956).
- [51] I. Yu. Kobzarev, L. B. Okun and I. Ya. Pomeranchuk, *Soviet J. Nucl. Phys.* **5**, 837 (1966).
- [52] M. Pavšič, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **9**, 229 (1974).
- [53] E.W. Kolb, D. Seckel, M.S. Turner *Nature* **314**, 415 (1985).

- [54] S. I. Blinnikov and M. Y. Khlopov, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **36**, 472 (1982) [*Yad. Fiz.*, **36**, 809 (1982)].
- [55] S. I. and M. Y. Khlopov, *Sov. Astron.*, **27**, 371 (1983) [*Astron. Zh.*, **60**, 632 (1983)].
- [56] R. Foot, H. Lew and R. R. Volkas *Phys. Lett. B* **272**, 67 (1991).
- [57] R. Foot, H. Lew and R. R. Volkas *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **7**, 2567 (1992).
- [58] R. Foot *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **9**, 169 (1994).
- [59] R. Foot and R. R. Volkas, *Phys. Rev. D* **52**, 6595 (1995).
- [60] H. M. Hodges, *Phys. Rev. D* **47**, 456 (1993).
- [61] R. Foot, *Phys. Lett. B* **452**, 83 (1999).
- [62] R. Foot, *Phys. Lett. B* **471**, 191 (1999).
- [63] R.N. Mohapatra, *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 063506 (2000).
- [64] Z. Berezhiani, D. Comelli and F. Villante, *Phys. Lett. B* **503**, 362 (2001).
- [65] P. Ciarcelluti, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **14**, 187 (2005).
- [66] P. Ciarcelluti, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **14**, 223 (2005).
- [67] P. Ciarcelluti and R. Foot, *Phys. Lett. B* **679**, 278 (2009).
- [68] R. Foot, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A*, **29**, 1430013 (2014) [arXiv:1401.3965 [astro-ph.O]].