

# MANIN–MUMFORD IN ARITHMETIC PENCILS

GREGORIO BALDI, RODOLPHE RICHARD, AND EMMANUEL ULLMO

ABSTRACT. We obtain a refinement of Manin–Mumford (Raynaud’s Theorem) for abelian schemes over some ring of integers. Torsion points are replaced by *special 0-cycles*, that is reductions modulo some, possibly varying, prime of Galois orbits of torsion points. There is a flat/horizontal part and a vertical one. The irreducible components of the flat part are given by the Zariski closure, over the integers, of torsion cosets of the generic fibre of the abelian scheme. The vertical components are given by translates of abelian subvarieties, which “come from characteristic zero”.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries and Hindry’s method	6
3. Horizontal and weak vertical, Theorem 1.2.1	9
4. Strong vertical, Theorem 1.2.3	19
5. Purely $p$ -adic phenomena, Theorem 1.2.6	29
References	42

## 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Motivation.** The following is often referred to as the *Manin–Mumford conjecture*. It was first proved by Raynaud [26, 27], reproved then by Hindry [17] and more recently by Pila–Zannier [24], among others.

**Theorem 1.1.1** (Raynaud). *Let  $A$  be an abelian variety over a field of characteristic 0 and  $E$  be a subset of torsion points. Then the Zariski closure of  $E$  is special. That is, it is a finite union of torsion cosets  $a + B$  for some abelian subvariety  $B \subseteq A$  and a torsion point  $a \in A$ .*

Theorem 1.1.1, together with its multiplicative analogue (as originally appeared in [21]), can be thought as the first instances of a more general philosophy, now referred to as *Unlikely Intersections*, and proposed by Zilber and Pink [25], see also the book [16]. Recently Richard [28] has introduced a new net of conjectures aiming to understand unlikely intersections phenomena *over the integers*. This includes new problems regarding the arithmetic of (models of) both abelian and Shimura varieties. The role of torsion points is replaced by the reduction to some residue field of positive characteristic of the Zariski closure, over the integers, of either a torsion or a CM-point. In an arithmetic family there are two points of view:

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 11G10, 11G35, 14K12.

*Key words and phrases*. Unlikely intersections, sub-schemes of abelian schemes, reduction modulo a prime, Galois orbits of torsion points, lifting abelian subvarieties.

*Date*: December 30, 2021.

- *Horizontal* unlikely intersections. This case corresponds to an intersection with infinitely many vertical fibres;
- *Vertical* unlikely intersections. When the vertical fibres form a finite set.

Even formulating the vertical part for Shimura varieties is challenging. Consider  $Y_0(1)/\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1$ , the modular curve parametrising elliptic curves. Under the generalised Riemann hypothesis for quadratic imaginary fields, Edixhoven and Richard [8] proved the vertical André–Oort for  $Y_0(1) \times Y_0(1)/\mathbb{Z}$  and later, unconditionally, Richard [28] proved the horizontal André–Oort for  $Y_0(1)/\mathbb{Z}$ . The above conjectures contain, as special cases, new statements for subvarieties of abelian varieties that can be thought as an arithmetic counterpart of Theorem 1.1.1. The aim of this paper is to prove both a horizontal and vertical Manin–Mumford, whose statement we explain in the next section.

**1.2. Main results.** Let  $K$  be a number field (with a fixed algebraic closure  $\overline{K}$ ),  $S$  a finite set of finite places of  $K$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$  be the ring of  $S$ -integers of  $K$ . Write  $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$  for the absolute Galois group of  $K$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an abelian scheme over (the spectrum of)  $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$ . We denote by  $A = A_K$  its generic fibre, and by  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  its special fibre at a closed point  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{K,S})$ . The latter is an abelian variety defined at the residue field  $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ . In  $\mathcal{A}$  we consider *special 0-cycles*, that is the reduction to  $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ , of positive characteristic, of the  $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -orbit of a torsion point  $a \in A(\overline{K})$ , for some prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{K,S})$ . Our main result describes the Zariski closure of a set of special 0-cycles in  $\mathcal{A}$ . To do so we introduce two classes of subvarieties of  $\mathcal{A}$ :

- *Flat cycles.* Those obtained as Zariski closure in  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$  of special subvarieties of  $A_{\overline{K}}$  (in the sense of Theorem 1.1.1), and
- *Torsion cycles.* That is torsion coset of abelian subvarieties of some vertical fibre.

Intersections between flat cycles and vertical fibres are particular cases of finite unions of torsion cycles, but in general torsion cycles are given by an abelian subvariety of  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  which is not, a priori, coming from a flat subvariety of  $\mathcal{A}$ . *Special subvarieties* of  $\mathcal{A}$  are the ones obtained as a finite union of flat and torsion cycles. Moreover flat cycles can be globally (additively) torsion.

**Theorem 1.2.1.** *Let  $E_n$ , for  $n \geq 0$ , be a sequence of special 0-cycles in  $\mathcal{A}$ . The Zariski closure of*

$$\bigcup_{n \geq 0} E_n \subset \mathcal{A}$$

*is a special subvariety of  $\mathcal{A}$ , that is it can be written as a finite union of flat and torsion cycles.*

It is natural to try to understand when the torsion cycles given by Theorem 1.2.1 happen to be intersections between flat cycles and vertical fibres, but there is a *p-adic obstruction* to this, as the next example shows.

**Example 1.2.2.** *Let  $A/K$  be geometrically simple abelian surface, and  $\mathfrak{p}$  a prime of residue characteristic  $p > 0$ , such that the reduction of  $A \bmod \mathfrak{p}$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , is isogenous to  $\mathfrak{B} \times \mathfrak{B}'/\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ , with  $\mathfrak{B}$  ordinary and  $\mathfrak{B}'$  super-singular, that is  $\mathfrak{B}'(\overline{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})})[p^\infty] \cong 0$ . Let  $(a_n)_n \subset A_{\text{tors}}$  be a sequence of points such that  $\text{ord}(a_n) = p^n$ . Since  $\mathfrak{B}'[p^\infty] = 0$ , the reduction to  $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$  of the  $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -orbit of the  $a_n$ 's is contained in  $\mathfrak{B} \times \{0_{\mathfrak{B}'}\}$ . We can choose the  $a_n$ 's in such a way that Zariski closure of the union of special cycles  $E_n$  is  $\mathfrak{B} \times \{0_{\mathfrak{B}'}\} \subset \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  (as predicted by Theorem 1.2.1), but  $\mathfrak{B} \times \{0_{\mathfrak{B}'}\}$  can not come from characteristic zero, being  $A$  simple.*

The following is the second main result of the paper and considers only points of order prime to the residue characteristics.

**Theorem 1.2.3.** *Let  $E_n$ , for  $n \geq 0$ , be a sequence of special 0-cycles in  $\mathcal{A}$  of order coprime with the residue characteristic. Then the Zariski closure of  $\bigcup_{n \geq 0} E_n$  is a finite union of flat cycles and intersections between flat cycles and vertical fibres.*

Each special 0-cycle  $E_n \subset \mathcal{A}$ , by definition, can be written as the image of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \cdot a_n$ , for a family of points  $a_n \in A_{\text{tors}}(\overline{K})$  along a<sup>1</sup> map

$$A(\overline{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\overline{\kappa(\mathfrak{p}_n)})$$

to a family of fields of positive characteristic  $\kappa(\mathfrak{p}_n)$ . Notice that the result is purely vertical when the set  $\{\mathfrak{p}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is finite. It may be helpful to give a reformulation of Theorem 1.2.3, assuming that all special 0-cycles lie in a fixed vertical fibre  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . The following is a special case of Theorem 1.2.3, in the purely vertical result part, and may be of independent interest.

**Theorem 1.2.4.** *Fix a prime  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_{K,S}$  of residue characteristic  $p$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$  be an abelian scheme,  $(a_n)_n \subset A_{\text{tors}}$  be a sequence of torsion points of order coprime with  $p$ , and write  $E_n = \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \cdot a_n)$ , for a map*

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{p}} : A(\overline{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\overline{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})}).$$

*Then every component of  $\mathcal{V}$ , the Zariski closure of*

$$\bigcup_{n \geq 0} E_n \subset \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \mathcal{A}$$

*is a component of the intersection between a flat cycle  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .*

An interesting and simple corollary of Theorem 1.2.4 is the following.

**Corollary 1.2.5.** *With the notations and the assumptions of Theorem 1.2.4, if the sequence of subsets  $(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \cdot a_n)_n$  is Zariski dense in  $A_K$ , then the special 0-cycles  $E_n$  are Zariski dense in  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .*

The final main result of the paper shows that the “ $p$ -rank zero factor” explained in Example 1.2.2 is indeed the only obstruction to the lifting problem.

**Theorem 1.2.6.** *Fix a prime  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_{K,S}$  of residue characteristic  $p$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$  be an abelian scheme,  $(a_n)_n \subset A_{\text{tors}}$  be a sequence of torsion points (possibly of order divisible by  $p$ ), and write  $E_n = \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \cdot a_n)$ , for a map*

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{p}} : A(\overline{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\overline{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})}).$$

*Then every component  $\alpha + \mathfrak{B}$  of the Zariski closure  $\mathcal{V}$*

$$\bigcup_{n \geq 0} E_n \subset \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \mathcal{A}$$

*is such that there exists  $B \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  an abelian subvariety with the following property:  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  contains  $\mathfrak{B}$ , and the quotient  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{B}$  is of  $p$ -rank zero.*

---

<sup>1</sup>Even if there is no canonical map  $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}_n} : A(\overline{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\overline{\kappa(\mathfrak{p}_n)})$ , the image of a Galois orbit in  $\mathcal{A}(\overline{\kappa(\mathfrak{p}_n)})$  is well defined (i.e. does not depend on a particular choice of  $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}_n}$ ). Indeed it is just the intersection between the vertical fibre  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and the Zariski closure over  $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$  of  $a_n$ .

**Remark 1.2.7.** It may be interesting to notice that both Theorem 1.2.1 and 1.2.3 are refinements of the classical Manin–Mumford (at least after it is reduced to  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ ). To see how they imply Theorem 1.1.1 we argue as follows. Let  $V \subset A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$  be a component of the Zariski closure of a sequence of torsions points  $a_n \in A$ . Consider the special zero cycles  $E_{m,n}$  given by reducing modulo  $\mathfrak{p}_m$  the Galois orbit of  $a_n$ . By the horizontal Manin–Mumford, we have a torsion coset  $B \subset A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$  such that

$$E_{m,n} \subseteq B \subseteq V \subseteq A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}.$$

Repeating this on  $A/B$  concludes the argument. The same proof works with the strong vertical Manin–Mumford established in Theorem 1.2.3, choosing carefully the reduction place (in both cases we need a characteristic zero abelian subvariety of  $A$ ).

Hindry’s approach to the Manin–Mumford conjecture turned out to provide a fruitful strategy for the *André–Oort conjecture*, as first envisioned by Edixhoven [7]. We refer to [20] and [16] for an overview of recent results and progress. We hope that our strategy can be applied to obtain new evidences in favour of Richard’s arithmetic conjectures. Finally the generality of Hindry’s article is not the focus of the present paper. Probably one could treat, if not all the semi-abelian varieties he considers, the product case of a torus and an abelian variety. Unsurprisingly the base field  $K$  may be replaced by any finitely generated field of characteristic zero (and probably also of finite characteristic).

**1.3. Strategy of the proof.** The proof of the horizontal part of Theorem 1.2.1 is inspired by the approach of Hindry [17] to his proof of Theorem 1.1.1, and it can be made effective (as we do in Section 3.2, see especially 3.2.4). The strategy for Theorem 1.2.3 and Theorem 1.2.6 is more complicate, and requires different ideas and tools.

**1.3.1. Horizontal and weak vertical.** As for Hindry’s proof, the only “Galois input” we need to know is a comparison with the action of the *homotheties*. More precisely let  $a \in A(\overline{K})_{\text{tors}}$  be a point of order  $d$ , and let  $l$  be a positive integer prime to  $d$ . As recalled in Section 2.1, there exists  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$  such that

$$\sigma \cdot a = [l^c](a),$$

where  $[l^c]$  denotes the multiplication by  $l^c$  on  $A$  (for some positive integer  $c$ , depending only on  $K$  and  $A$ ). We denote by  $L$  the group of  $c$ -th powers of homoteties. We actually prove a stronger form of Theorem 1.2.1.

**Theorem 1.3.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{V} \subseteq A$  be a subvariety of  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$  which admits a Zariski dense set of torsion points  $a$  such that  $L \cdot a \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ . Then  $\mathcal{V}_K$  is special in  $A_K$ , that is, each of its geometric irreducible components are cosets  $\alpha + B$  of an abelian subvariety  $B \subset A/\overline{K}$  through a torsion point  $\alpha \in A$ .*

Let  $V$  be a subvariety of  $A$  of degree  $D$  and dimension  $\Delta$ , and assume that  $L \cdot a \subseteq V$ . In Proposition 3.1.1 we show that there exist  $\alpha \in A_{\text{tors}}$  and  $B \subseteq A$  an abelian subvariety of  $A$  such that

$$L \cdot a \subset L \cdot \alpha + B \subseteq V,$$

where  $\text{ord}(\alpha + B) \leq \delta$ , for some constant  $\delta$  depending only on  $D$ ,  $\Delta$ , and  $c$ , and  $\dim(B) > 0$  if  $\text{ord}(a)$  is bigger than a function depending on  $D$  and  $\Delta$ . The idea is to replace  $V$  by a special subvariety  $Z$ , still containing  $L \cdot a$ , of degree as small as possible. In this case we may show that the subgroup generated by  $a$  in  $A$  is fully contained in  $Z$  (rather than only  $L \cdot a$ ). Once this is proven, Theorems 1.3.1 and 1.2.1 easily follow.

**Remark 1.3.2.** If a torsion coset  $a + A'$  of  $A$  is contained in a subvariety  $V \subseteq A$  and in  $[q](V)$ , for some  $q > 1$ , then

$$a + A' \subseteq V \cap [q](V)$$

and in particular there is an irreducible component  $V'$  of  $V$  such that  $a + A' \subseteq V'$ . Compared with Hindry's approach, where everything is defined over a number field  $K$ , the main difference is that in this situation

$$\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \cdot a + A' \subset V'.$$

In an arithmetic family, this point becomes much more subtle, since the standard habit of reducing to irreducible components is usually incompatible with the problem.

1.3.2. *Vertical and lifting.* Refining Theorem 1.2.1, to get Theorem 1.2.3, requires different ideas and more sophisticated tools. It turns out that, using various forms of the Tate conjecture (Faltings theorem, see Section 4.1), the only abelian subvarieties we have to consider are the ones coming from characteristic zero. This is interesting because there are many more abelian subvarieties of  $A_p$ , than the one coming from characteristic zero. The analogy with Shimura varieties is less clear: what is a *special subvariety* of the reduction mod  $p$  of a Shimura variety, which does not come from characteristic zero (that is from reducing mod  $p$  a Shimura sub-variety)?

We briefly explain our strategy for Theorems 1.2.3 and 1.2.4 (and use the same notation Theorem 1.2.4, so we let  $p$  be the characteristic of the residue field). By looking at the order of the  $a_n$ , there are essentially two possibilities to keep in mind:

- (1)  $\text{ord}(a_n) = \ell^n$ , for all  $n$  (where  $\ell$  is a prime different from  $p$ );
- (2)  $\text{ord}(a_n)$  is the  $n$ -th prime number, for all  $n$ .

The main protagonists are (considered as  $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -representation):

- The  $\ell$ -adic (rational) Tate module of  $A$  (for  $\ell \neq p$ );
- The adelic Tate module of  $A$  (or quotients thereof).

Each object plays a similar role, depending only on the order of the torsion points  $a_n$ : the  $\ell$ -adic Tate module in (1), and the adelic one in (2). The idea in both cases is similar, even if it may require different tools and can be summarised as follows. Let  $T$  be one of the above *semisimple*  $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -representations. The Galois orbits of the points  $a_n$  determine a sub-representation  $W \subset T$ , and write  $T = W \oplus W'$ . Faltings's theorem asserts that such decomposition is induced by an element  $u \in \text{End}(A) \otimes E_T$ , where  $E_T \in \{\mathbb{Q}_\ell, \text{a quotient of } \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}\}$ , depending on  $T$ . Knowing from Theorem 1.2.1 that such decomposition is, “after reducing mod  $\mathfrak{p}$ ”, induced by an element of  $\text{End}(A_p)$ , we prove that  $u$  can be found in  $\text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ . It is not hard to see that this corresponds to lifting a torsion cycle to a flat one.

1.3.3. *Points of  $p$ -torsion in characteristic  $p$ .* The proof of Theorem 1.2.6 is inspired by the  $\ell$ -adic argument presented above, but it is more subtle. As Example 1.2.2 shows, when the torsion points  $a_n$  are such that  $\text{ord}(a_n) = p^n$ , for all  $n$ , and are reduced modulo a prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  of residue characteristic  $p$ , we have to take care of the  $p$ -rank zero factors of the special fiber. To emulate the argument of Section 1.3.2, we need to find a vector space where both  $\text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $\text{End}(A_p) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$  act faithfully and compatibly. This is done using some comparison theorem from  $p$ -adic Hodge theory, but it requires to extend the coefficients from  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  to one of Fontaine's period rings. Such results are presented in a self contained way in Section 5.3, the reader fluent in  $p$ -adic Hodge theory can simply read Proposition 5.3.1, and its proof given in the Section 5.7.

**1.4. Outline of the paper.** In Section 2 we describe the Lang group and recall some degree formulae of Hindry that will be used for the horizontal and weak vertical part of our Manin–Mumford. In Section 3 we prove the horizontal part and the weak vertical part. To prove Theorem 1.2.1 it suffices to work with a smaller part of the Galois orbit, and indeed we consider only Lang orbits. The main technical result is stated in Section 3.1. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.2.3 by showing that torsion cycles are components of the intersection of a flat cycle with some vertical fibre. In this section we use the full Galois group, mainly to invoke the Tate conjecture (Faltings theorem). Extra care is required when the order or the torsion points is divisible by the characteristic of the residue field, and the final Section 5 is devoted precisely to the proof of Theorem 1.2.6. Finally Corollary 5.2.2 shows how to obtain the most general result in the case where torsion points are allowed to have order divisible by  $p$  (combining Theorems 1.2.3 and 1.2.6 together).

**Acknowledgements.** The second author would like to thank the I.H.E.S. for a research visit in September and October 2020, during which most of the work regarding the horizontal Manin–Mumford was done. Rodolphe Richard was supported by the Leverhulme grant RPG-2019-180.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES AND HINDRY’S METHOD

We first recall some results which appear in Hindry’s method for Manin–Mumford [17], and reduce Theorem 1.2.1 to a more geometric statement, where we replace the Galois orbits of torsion points by the orbit under the *Lang group*. In the process we check that Hindry’s results hold true also over fields of arbitrary characteristics.

**Notations and conventions.** Some notations and conventions we freely use in the text are presented below.

- Given a torsion coset  $a + B$  of an abelian variety  $A$  we denote by  $\text{ord}(a + B)$  its order in  $A/B$ . We may always assume that  $a$  is also of order  $\text{ord}(a + B)$ ;
- By *subvariety* we mean a closed subvariety;
- By *component* we mean irreducible component;
- We write  $<$  to mean strictly less than, and  $\leq$  to mean less, but possibly equal, than;
- We say that  $q$  is a *positive integer* to mean that  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $q > 0$ ;
- Let  $A$  be an abelian variety and  $n$  an positive integer, we denote by  $[n] : A \rightarrow A$  the multiplication by  $n$ , and by  $A[n]$  its kernel;
- Let  $A_{\text{tors}}$  denote the torsion points of  $A$  (defined over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ );
- We usually write  $\ell, p$  for finite places of  $\mathbb{Q}$  (usually different), and  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell, \mathbb{Q}_p$  for the respective local fields;
- We write  $\#$  for cardinality (of finite sets);
- $a|b$  for saying that  $a$  divides  $b$ , and  $a \nmid b$  to say that  $a$  does not divide  $b$ ;
- We write  $a \wedge b = 1$  to mean that  $a$  and  $b$  are coprime;

**2.1. Lang group and adelic Tate module.** Let  $K$  be a number field, and  $A/K$  be a  $g$ -dimensional abelian variety (for some  $g > 0$ ). Denote by  $T(A)$  the  $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -module obtained by taking the inverse limit of the  $A[n]$ ’s, that is the (integral) *adelic Tate module* of  $A$ . We write  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$  for the profinite completion of the ring of integers  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and notice that  $T(A) \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{2g}$ . The proof of the following can be found for example in [5, Theorem B] (which is stated also for finitely generated field extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}$ ). See also [3, Corollary 1, page 702], [17, Section 2 (a)], [32], and references therein.

**Theorem 2.1.1** (Bogomolov–Serre). *There exists a positive integer  $c = c(A, K)$  such that the image of the natural map describing the action of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$  on the torsion points,*

$$\rho_A : \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \rightarrow \text{GL}(T(A)),$$

*contains the  $c$ -th powers of the homoteties. That is the group*

$$(2.1) \quad L = L(c) := \ker \left( \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^* \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^* \otimes \mathbb{Z}/c\mathbb{Z} \right)$$

*is a subgroup of  $\text{Im}(\rho_A)$ .*

By definition, for any  $c$ ,  $L = L(c)$  acts on the torsion points of  $A$ . We refer to the group  $L$  as the *Lang group*, implicitly fixing the constant  $c$ .

**Remark 2.1.2.** As a corollary of Theorem 2.1.1, we have the following. Let  $a \in A_{\text{tors}}$  be a point of order  $d$ , and let  $l$  be a positive integer prime to  $d$ . Then there exists  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$  such that

$$\sigma \cdot a = \sigma(a) = [l^c](a).$$

**Remark 2.1.3.** For completeness we remark that the constant  $c$  of Theorem 2.1.1 can be made effective. See for example [15, 35]. In particular in the former reference, applications to explicit bounds in Manin–Mumford are described, and similar remarks apply also here.

In Section 3.3 we actually prove the following, which, in virtue of Theorem 2.1.1, implies Theorem 1.2.1. Such statement appeared in the Introduction as Theorem 1.3.1. In this formulation it becomes less arithmetic and more of geometric nature, there is indeed no mention of the absolute Galois group of the base field.

**Theorem 2.1.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  be a sub-scheme of  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$  which admits a Zariski dense set of torsion points  $a$  such that  $L \cdot a \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ . Then  $\mathcal{V}_K$  is special in  $A_K$ . That is, the geometric irreducible components of  $\mathcal{V}_K$  are each a coset  $\alpha + B$  of an abelian subvariety  $B \subset A/\overline{K}$  through a torsion point  $\alpha \in A$ .*

The case where the points  $a$  are all of residue characteristic 0 follows from Hindry’s method for Manin–Mumford [17]. The novelty of Section 3.3 consists in proving Theorem 2.1.4 when the  $a$ ’s have positive, and possibly varying, characteristic.

**2.2. Degree, stabiliser subgroup, and a criterion for specialness.** We discuss Hindry’s results of Bézout type in abelian varieties (see [17, Section 1]). The first use of a Bézout theorem/intersection theory to approach Lang conjecture, can be dated back to Tate’s proof [21, Section 2 (page 231)] of a case of the multiplicative Manin–Mumford. See also the discussion about abelian varieties in Section 3 of *op. cit.*.

Let  $k$  be an arbitrary field of characteristic  $p \geq 0$ , and  $A/k$  be an abelian variety (of dimension  $> 1$ ). Given a (positive dimensional, strict) subvariety  $V$  of  $A$ , we speak of its *degree* as in [17, 1.b), page 578], notice that this makes sense regardless the characteristic of the base field.

**Lemma 2.2.1.** *Let  $V$  be an irreducible subvariety of  $A$ , and let  $B \subset A$  be its stabiliser. Let  $q$  be a positive integer not divisible by  $p$ . We have*

$$(2.2) \quad \deg([q](V)) = q^{2 \dim(V)} \deg(V) \cdot (\#B[q])^{-1}.$$

In [17] the stabiliser of  $V$  in  $A$  is denoted by  $G_V$ , and  $G$  denotes a commutative group scheme which is not necessarily assumed to be an abelian variety. For simplicity, we present only the case of abelian varieties (and so  $G = A$ ).

*Proof.* The proof presented in [17, Lemma 6] applies also to cover the above statement, when  $p$  does not divide  $q$ .  $\square$

For simplicity, from now, we simply write that  $q$  is prime to  $p$ , to mean that  $q$  is non zero and not divisible by  $p$  (which makes sense both when  $p = 0$  and  $p > 0$ ).

**Corollary 2.2.2.** *Let  $A, V$ , and  $B$  as in Lemma 2.2.1. Let  $q, q'$  be positive integers, prime to each other and to  $p$ , such that*

$$[q](V) = [q'](V).$$

*Then*

$$\#B[q] = q^{2\dim(V)}, \#B[q'] = q'^{2\dim(V)}, \text{ and } \#B[qq'] = (qq')^{2\dim(V)}.$$

*Proof.* From Lemma 2.2.1 we have that

$$q^{2\dim V} \#B[q]^{-1} = q'^{2\dim V} \#B[q']^{-1}.$$

Since  $q$  and  $q'$  are coprime, the only possibility is that  $\#B[q] = q^{2\dim(V)}$  and  $\#B[q'] = q'^{2\dim(V)}$ . Again by the fact that  $q$  and  $q'$  are coprime, we have

$$B[qq'] = B[q] \times B[q'],$$

concluding the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.3.** *Let  $A, V$ , and  $B$  as in Lemma 2.2.1. Denote by  $B^+$  the neutral component of  $B$ , and the group of components of  $B$  by  $\pi_0(B) = B/B^+$ . We have*

$$\#\pi_0(B) \leq \deg(B) = \#\pi_0(B) \cdot \deg(B^+) \leq \deg(V),$$

*and*

$$\#B[q] = \#\pi_0(B)[q] \cdot \#B^+[q] \leq \#\pi_0(B) \cdot q^{2\dim(B)}.$$

*Assume now that  $\#B[q] = q^{2\dim(V)}$ . Then*

$$q^{2(\dim(V)-\dim(B))} \leq \#\pi_0(B) \leq \deg(V).$$

*If moreover*

$$\deg(V) < q^2,$$

*then  $V$  is special.*

*Proof.* The first formula follows from [17, Lemma 8]. For the second formula, just notice that  $\#B^+[q](\bar{k}) = q^{2\dim B^+}$ , as long as  $q$  is prime to  $p$ . The third formula is a direct consequence of the previous two.

Regarding the last conclusion, we necessarily have  $\dim(V) - \dim(B) = 0$ , since otherwise

$$q^{2(\dim(V)-\dim(B))} \leq \#\pi_0(B) \leq \deg(V) < q^2.$$

Choose a point  $v \in V$ , and notice that necessarily  $v+B \subset V$ . As  $\dim(v+B) = \dim(B) = \dim(V)$ , and  $V$  is irreducible, we have that  $V = v+B$  is special.  $\square$



**Proposition 2.2.4.** *Let  $V$  be an irreducible subvariety of  $A$ ,  $q$  and  $q'$  be prime to each other and to  $p$  be such that*

$$[q](V) = [q'](V),$$

and

$$\deg(V)^{1/2} < q \cdot q'.$$

*Then  $V$  is a special subvariety of  $A$ , and so is  $[q](V)$ .*

Notice that the condition  $\deg(V)^{1/2} < q \cdot q'$  is actually implied by  $\deg(V)^{1/4} \leq q < q'$ . We only use the latter condition.

*Proof.* Corollary 2.2.2 implies that

$$\#B[qq'] = (qq')^{2\dim(V)}.$$

Therefore the assumption on  $\#B[qq']$  (with  $qq'$  for  $q$ ) in Lemma 2.2.3 is satisfied and so  $V$  is special.  $\square$

Finally we check for reference that Hindry's criterion [17, Lemma 15] stays valid in any characteristics, and that it applies to subvarieties which are not necessary irreducible. See also [3, Corollary on page 703].

**Corollary 2.2.5** (Hindry). *Let  $V$  be subvariety of  $A$  such that  $V = [q](V)$ , for some  $q > 1$  prime to  $p$ . Then each component of  $V$  is special.*

*Proof.* Let  $r \leq \deg(V)$  the number of components of  $V$ , and  $r!$  be the cardinality of the symmetric group acting on a set with  $r$  elements. Since  $[q]$  permutes the components of  $V$ , every component  $Z$  is stable under  $[q^{r!}]$ , and similarly under  $[m]$ , for  $m := q^{\deg(V)!}$ . Of course  $m^2 > \deg(V)$ , and Proposition 2.2.4 on  $Z$ ,  $m$  and  $q' = 1$  applies to conclude.  $\square$

### 3. HORIZONTAL AND WEAK VERTICAL, THEOREM 1.2.1

In this section we prove Theorems 1.2.1 and 1.3.1. That is that the Zariski closure of a sequence of special 0-cycles in  $\mathcal{A}$  is a special subvariety of  $\mathcal{A}$ . The statement contains both a horizontal and a vertical part, but the proof is essentially the same. It is only to have the refined conclusion of Theorem 1.2.3 (that is the lift to characteristic zero), that we need more delicate arguments, which are in Sections 4 and 5.

**3.1. Statement of the main proposition.** Let  $L = L(c)$  be the Lang group associated to some positive integer  $c$ , as defined in Section 2.1 (equation (2.1)). The next result is the key for proving Theorem 1.3.1, and it is proven in Section 3.2. Here we consider a field  $k$  (with a fixed algebraic closure  $\bar{k}$ ) of characteristic  $p \geq 0$ , and a  $g$ -dimensional abelian variety  $A/k$  (for some  $g > 1$ ).

**Proposition 3.1.1.** *Let  $V$  be a subvariety of  $A$  (not necessarily irreducible) of degree  $D := \deg(V)$  and dimension  $\Delta := \dim(V) < g$ , and let  $a$  be a torsion point of  $A(\bar{k})$  of order  $d$ . Assume that*

$$(3.1) \quad L \cdot a \subseteq V.$$

*Then there exist  $\alpha \in A_{\text{tors}}$  and  $B \subset A$  an abelian subvariety of  $A$  such that*

$$L \cdot a \subseteq L \cdot \alpha + B \subseteq V,$$

and

$$\text{ord}(\alpha + B) \leq \delta.$$

Where  $\delta = \delta(D, \Delta, c)$  is a constant depending only on  $D$ ,  $\Delta$ , and  $c$ .

From the proof of Proposition 3.1.1, we will see that the constant  $\delta$  can be made very explicit, see indeed Section 3.2.4. The strategy is to replace  $V$  by a special subvariety  $Z \subset V$ , still containing  $L \cdot a$ , and of degree as small as possible. In this case one can hope that not only  $L \cdot a$ , but most of the subgroup of  $A$  generated by  $a$ , which we denote by  $\langle a \rangle$ , is contained in  $Z$  (and hence in  $V$ ).

In the proof we will actually prove the following stronger statement, where we work with arbitrary torsion cosets  $a + A'$  of  $A$ , rather than just torsion points.

**Proposition 3.1.2.** *Let  $A'$  be an abelian subvariety of  $A$ , and  $a + A'$  be a torsion coset of  $A$  of order  $d$ . Let  $V$  be a subvariety of  $A$  of degree  $D$  and dimension  $\Delta$ , such that*

$$(3.2) \quad L \cdot a + A' \subseteq V,$$

and that

$$(3.3) \quad \text{every component of } V \text{ contains a component of } L \cdot a + A'.$$

There exist  $\alpha \in A_{\text{tors}}$  and  $B \subset A$  an abelian subvariety of  $A$  such that

$$\text{ord}(\alpha + B) \leq \delta,$$

and

$$L \cdot a + A' \subseteq L \cdot \alpha + B \subseteq V.$$

Where  $\delta = \delta(D, \Delta, c)$  is a constant depending only on  $D$ ,  $\Delta$ , and  $c$ .

**3.2. Proof of Proposition 3.1.2.** The proof of Proposition 3.1.2 consists of two lemmas, which are proven below. To obtain explicit bounds we need some extra care in the arguments, see especially Section 3.2.4. For this purpose we introduce the following functions. Given a positive integer  $d$ , we write:

- $p(d)$  for the  $d$ -th prime number;
- $\omega(d)$  for the number of distinct prime divisors of  $d$ ;
- $g(d)$  for the *Jacobsthal's function*. That is it represents the smallest number  $M$  such that every sequence of  $M$  consecutive integers contains an integer coprime to  $d$ .

The Jacobsthal's function plays a role in providing sharp estimates. The reader not interested in explicit estimates may replace this arguments by less precise, but easier, estimates that will be explained during the proofs, indeed we only need to know that  $g(d) = d^{o(1)}$ .

**Proposition 3.2.1.** *Let  $d, n$  be positive integers, and  $d'$  be the square-free part of  $d / \gcd(d, n)$ . Let  $a$  be a positive integer such that*

$$(3.4) \quad a + k \cdot n + d\mathbb{Z} \in \mathbb{Z}/(d)^\times,$$

for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . (Namely  $a + \gcd(n, d)\mathbb{Z} \in \mathbb{Z}/(\gcd(n, d))^\times$ ). Then we can find  $k \geq 0$  satisfying (3.4), and such that

$$0 \leq k < g(d') \leq g(d) = d^{o(1)}.$$

In case  $0 \leq a < n$ , we have  $a + k \cdot n \leq g(d') \cdot n$ .

Iwaniec [18] proved that

$$g(d) \leq C \cdot \omega(d)^2 \cdot \log \omega(d)^2,$$

for some unknown  $C$  (this gives the best asymptotic upper bound on  $g(d)$ ). The best known explicit upper bounds, of

$$(3.5) \quad g(d) \leq 2^{\omega(d)}, \text{ and } g(d) \leq 2\omega(d)^{2+2e \log \omega(d)},$$

are due to Kanold [19] and Stevens [30] (with the second bound being stronger for  $\omega(d) \geq 260$ ).

*Proof of Proposition 3.2.1.* By the Chinese Remainder theorem, we may replace  $d$  by  $d'$  and assume that  $d'$  is prime to  $n$ . We rewrite the question into finding the smallest  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$a/n + k \cdot d'\mathbb{Z} \in \mathbb{Z}/(d')^\times,$$

where by  $a/n$  denotes  $a \cdot n^*$  for  $n^* + d'\mathbb{Z}$  an inverse of  $n + d'\mathbb{Z}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/(d')^\times$ . By definition of  $g(d')$ , this happens for some  $0 \leq k < g(d')$ . Finally the  $d^{o(1)}$  estimate follows from the sharper estimates reviewed above.  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.2.** Using a more well known theorem of Linnik, about least prime in the arithmetic progressions, it seems that we can even choose the  $k$  in Proposition 3.2.1 to be searched through the set for which  $a + k \cdot n$  is a prime number  $\ell$  with estimate, enough for our purpose, of the sort

$$\ell \leq n^Q \cdot (\omega(d) + 1),$$

with a constant  $Q$  which, up to date, has been worked out to be at most 5.2. For a complete discussion we refer to [33] and references therein. Linnik proved that

$$\ell \leq Cn^Q,$$

for some  $C$  and  $Q$  to be effectively computable constants.

**3.2.1. First lemma.** The first step towards Propositions 3.1.1 and 3.1.2 consists in finding a subvariety  $V' \subset V$  of suitably bounded degree, depending on  $d$  as a  $d^{o(1)}$ . From now on we denote by  $o(1)$  some functions depending only on  $c$ , and  $\Delta$ . To be more precise/explicit our  $o(1)$  depends only on  $A$ , via  $g = \dim(A)$  and  $h(A)$ , the semistable (logarithmic) Faltings height of  $A/K$  (see indeed Remark 2.1.3, and references therein).

**Lemma 3.2.3.** *Let  $V$  be a subvariety of  $A$  of degree  $D$  and dimension  $\Delta$ , such that  $L \cdot a + A' \subseteq V$ .*

- *Either a component of maximal dimension  $X$  of  $V$  is a special subvariety, say of the form  $\alpha + B$ , for some torsion point  $\alpha \in A$  and  $B$  an abelian subvariety of  $A$ , such that for every  $l \in L$ ,  $l\alpha + B$  is a component of  $V$  and the order of each  $l\alpha + B$  is bounded by  $D^{o(1)} \cdot d^{o(1)}$ , and*

$$L \cdot a + A' \subseteq L \cdot \alpha + B \subseteq V.$$

*Otherwise*

- *There exists  $V' \subset V$  such that  $\dim(V') < \dim(V)$ ,  $V'$  satisfies (3.2) and (3.3), and of degree bounded as follows:*

$$(3.6) \quad \deg(V') \leq D^{o(1)} \cdot d^{o(1)}.$$

More precisely and explicitly we prove that

$$(3.7) \quad \deg(V') \leq f(D, d) := D^2 \cdot \left( p\left(\left\lceil \sqrt[4c]{D} \right\rceil + D + \omega(d) + 1\right)^c \cdot g(d \cdot \max\{1, p\}) \right)^{2 \cdot c \cdot \Delta},$$

where  $\left\lceil \sqrt[4c]{D} \right\rceil$  denotes the smallest integer bigger or equal than  $\sqrt[4c]{D}$ . Bounding  $p(-)$  and  $g(-)$  as reviewed in the previous section, we have  $f(D, d) \leq D^{o(1)} \cdot d^{o(1)}$ .

First two easy observations, which follow directly from the assumptions (3.2)-(3.3), and are also at the heart of Hindry's method:

- For every integer  $m$  prime to  $d = \text{ord}(a + A')$ , we have  $V \supseteq L \cdot a + A' = m^c \cdot L \cdot a + A' \subseteq [m^c](V)$ . Hence

$$L \cdot a + A' \subseteq V \cap [m^c](V);$$

- For every component  $X$  of  $V$ , we have

$$\emptyset \neq X \cap (L \cdot a + A') \subseteq X \cap [m^c](V).$$

In Lemma 3.2.3 we did not assume that (3.3) holds, but the statements is still true, since we may discard a finite number of components of  $V$ , to assume that (3.3) holds.

*Proof.* Let

$$(3.8) \quad x := \left\lceil \sqrt[4c]{D} \right\rceil + D + \omega(d) + 1, \text{ and } n := p(x).$$

For simplicity one can also simply replace  $x$  by  $x_D := 2D + \omega(d) + 1$ , just by noticing that  $x \leq x_D$ . This is indeed what we will do in the second Lemma. Since  $x$  is at least 4, we can use some non-asymptotic bounds on the prime-counting function and write

$$(3.9) \quad n = p(x) \leq x \log(x)(1 + \log \log(x)),$$

see for example [29, Theorem 3, page 69]. Finally we set

$$N := n^c \cdot g(d \cdot \max\{1, p\}),$$

where  $g(-)$  denotes the Jacobsthal's function, as introduced in Section 3.2. The reason why the factor  $\max\{1, p\}$  appears, is that it allows to deal simultaneously the case of zero and positive characteristic.

Let  $X$  be a component of  $V$  of maximal dimension, and set

$$X' = X'(m) := X \cap [m^c](V),$$

for some  $m = m(X)$  chosen in the following set

$$(3.10) \quad \Sigma = \Sigma(d, D) := \{m^c \text{ such that } m \in \{1, \dots, N\}, m \wedge d = 1, \text{ and } p \nmid m\}.$$

Assume now that there exists  $m^c \in \Sigma$  such that  $\dim(X') < \dim(X)$ . Then the degree formula from Section 2.2, namely (2.2), and the fact that  $m^c \leq N^c = n^{c^2} \cdot g(d \cdot \max\{1, p\})^c$ , imply that

$$\deg(X') \leq \deg(X) \cdot \deg([m^c](V)) \leq \deg(X) \cdot \deg(V) \cdot N^{2 \cdot c \cdot \Delta}.$$

Assume now that for each component  $X$ , of maximal dimension, there exists  $m^c \in \Sigma$  such that  $\dim(X' = X'(m)) < \dim(X)$ , and let  $V'$  be the union the  $X'$ 's and the lower dimensional components of  $V$ . In a similar way we can control the degree of  $V'$ :

$$\deg(V') \leq \deg(V)^2 \cdot N^{2 \cdot c \cdot \Delta}.$$

The above, combined with the explicit bounds for the Jacobsthal’s function discussed in Section 3.2, give the second conclusion.

We are left to the case where the above assumption fails. That is the desired  $m \in \Sigma$  can not be found for some  $\Delta$ -dimensional component  $X$  of  $V$ . In other words

$$(3.11) \quad X \subseteq \bigcap_{m^c \in \Sigma} [m^c](V).$$

We show that, if this is the case, then the first conclusion holds. Assuming that  $X$  satisfies (3.11), it is enough to prove that the following properties hold true:

- (1)  $X$  is a special subvariety, say of the form  $\alpha + B$ , for some torsion point  $\alpha \in A$  and  $B$  an abelian subvariety of  $A$ ;
- (2) The order of  $X$  is bounded by  $\text{ord}(X) \leq n^c = d^{o(1)}$  (and the same applies for the order of  $l\alpha + B$ , for  $l \in L$ )<sup>2</sup>;
- (3) For every  $l \in L$ ,  $l\alpha + B$  is a component of  $V$ .

Letting  $Z$  be the union of such components provides the first conclusion.

*Proof of (1).* From the set  $\Sigma$ , defined in (3.10), pick

$$q_1 = (p_1)^c < q_2 = (p_2)^c < \dots < q_{D+1} = (p_{D+1})^c,$$

such that

$$(3.12) \quad \sqrt{D} \leq q_1 < q_{D+1} \leq n^c,$$

and  $p_1, \dots, p_{D+1}$  relatively prime to each other. For simplicity we can find these  $p_i$ ’s among prime numbers, which are known to abound. We can actually choose the  $p_i$ ’s among

$$\Sigma \cap \{p(\lceil \sqrt[4c]{D} \rceil), \dots, p(\lceil \sqrt[4c]{D} \rceil + D + \omega(d) + 1)\},$$

to take care that we might have at most  $\omega(d)$  primes dividing  $d$ , and to exclude at most one more prime, accounting for the characteristic  $p$  of the base field  $k$ . The lower bound in (3.12) then holds, as well as the upper bound, as  $n$  was defined for this very purpose (the choice of  $N$  will be clear later).

For every  $q \in \{q_1, \dots, q_{D+1}\}$  (or more generally in the set  $\Sigma$ ) by assumption (3.11), we have

$$X \subseteq [q](V),$$

and so there exists at least a component  $Y(q)$  of  $V$  such that

$$X \subseteq [q](Y(q)).$$

Since  $X$  is a component of maximal dimension, it follows that  $X = [q](Y(q))$ . As  $q$  ranges through  $\{q_1, \dots, q_{D+1}\}$  the numbers of distinct  $Y(q_i)$  cannot be more than  $\deg(V) = D$ . That is, a collision must occur. We have

$$Y(q_{i_1}) = Y(q_{i_2}),$$

with  $1 \leq i_1 \neq i_2 \leq D + 1$  (this is why we have chosen to work with  $D + 1$  numbers). Write  $Y := Y(q_{i_1}) = Y(q_{i_2})$ , we have

$$\deg(Y) \leq \deg(V) = D \quad \text{and} \quad [q_{i_1}](Y) = X = [q_{i_2}](Y).$$

<sup>2</sup>The estimate  $n^c = d^{o(1)}$  follows from the more precise (3.9).

As we guaranteed that  $D < (q_{i_1}q_{i_2})^2$ , Proposition 2.2.4 implies that  $Y$  is special. Hence so is  $X$ .  $\square$

This covers the first claim, and we are now ready to bound  $\text{ord}(X)$ .

*Proof of (2).* Thanks to (1), we can find an abelian subvariety  $B \subset A$  such that

$$Y = \alpha_Y + B,$$

for some torsion point  $\alpha_Y \in A$ , and we may assume that  $\text{ord}(Y) = \text{ord}(\alpha_Y)$  be the general assumptions. We then have

$$X = q_{i_1} \cdot \alpha_Y + B = q_{i_2} \cdot \alpha_Y + B.$$

Necessarily we have the divisibility

$$\text{ord}(Y) \mid |q_{i_1} - q_{i_2}| \leq n^c.$$

On the other hand we know, for some  $l \in L$ , that  $la + A' \subset Y$ , and so

$$\text{ord}(Y) \mid \text{ord}(l \cdot a + A') = \text{ord}(a + A') = d.$$

Hence each  $q$  in the set  $\Sigma$ , being relatively prime to  $d$ , is relatively prime to  $\text{ord}(Y)$ . We deduce that

$$\text{ord}(X) = \text{ord}(Y) \leq n^c,$$

concluding the proof of (2).  $\square$

Set  $d' := \text{ord}(X)$ . We are left to prove that for every  $l \in L$ ,  $l\alpha + B$  is a component of  $V$ . Namely, for any  $1 \leq j < d'$  such that  $j \wedge d' = 1$ , we have

$$[j^c](X) \subseteq V.$$

To take care of the fact that such  $j^c$ , a priori, does not lie in the set  $\Sigma$  (since  $j^c \wedge d$  could be different from 1), we use some results on the Jacobsthal's function  $g(d)$ , as discussed in Section 3.2. It is only in this proof that we need to argue with  $N$ , rather than  $n$ .

*Proof of (3).* We choose an inverse  $j^* + d'\mathbb{Z}$  of  $j + d'\mathbb{Z}$ , represented by some  $1 \leq j^* < d'$ . By Proposition 3.2.1 there is some  $m$  such that  $m \equiv j^* \pmod{d'}$ , relatively prime to  $d$  and not divisible by  $p$ , satisfying

$$m \leq d' \cdot g((d \cdot \max\{1, p\})/d') \leq n^c \cdot g(d \cdot \max\{1, p\}) = N,$$

since, by (2),  $d' \leq n^c$  (this explains our definition of  $N$ ). Therefore  $m^c$  belongs to the set  $\Sigma = \Sigma(d, D)$ , defined in (3.10). In particular we have

$$X = [m^c](Y(m^c)),$$

for the component  $Y = Y(m^c)$  of  $V$ . We know, for some  $l \in L$ , that  $a_Y := l \cdot a$  belongs to  $Y$  and we can write

$$Y = a_Y + B,$$

for some abelian subvariety  $B$  of  $A$ . Therefore

$$X = [m^c](Y) = m^c \cdot a_Y + B.$$

Applying  $[j^c]$ , the above identity becomes

$$[j^c](X) = [(jm)^c](a_Y + B) = (jm)^c \cdot a_Y + B.$$

But  $\text{ord}(a_Y + B) = d'$  and  $jm \equiv 1 \pmod{d'}$ , so

$$[j^c](X) = Y$$

is indeed a component of  $V$ .  $\square$

The proof of Lemma 3.2.3 is finally completed.  $\square$

**3.2.2. Second lemma.** The following refines the conclusion of Lemma 3.2.3, constructing a special subvariety in  $V$  of explicitly bounded degree.

**Lemma 3.2.4.** *Under the same assumptions and notations of Proposition 3.1.2, there is  $Z$  such that*

$$L \cdot a + A' \subseteq Z \subseteq V,$$

and of the form  $L \cdot \alpha + B$ , for some abelian subvariety  $B \subset A$ , and  $\alpha \in A_{\text{tors}}$ , such that:

$$\deg(Z) \leq D^{o(1)} \cdot d^{o(1)}.$$

The proof of the above result is obtained by induction from Lemma 3.2.3. Notice that, for every  $l \in L$ , we have

$$\text{ord}(l\alpha + B) \leq \deg(Z) \leq D^{o(1)} \cdot d^{o(1)},$$

which is exactly what we need. During the proof we will actually prove that

$$\deg(Z) \leq \max\{D, (\omega(d) + 1)\}^{\delta(\Delta, c)} (2 \cdot g(d \cdot \max\{1, p\}))^{\delta'(\Delta, c)},$$

where  $\delta(\Delta, c)$  and  $\delta'(\Delta, c)$  are explicit constant depending only on  $\Delta$  and  $c$  (see (3.13) for their definition).

*Proof.* We define inductively

$$f_0(D) = D, \quad f_{i+1}(D) = f(f_i(D), d),$$

where  $f(D, d) = D^2 \cdot (n^c \cdot g(d \cdot \max\{1, p\}))^{2 \cdot c \cdot \Delta}$  was defined in (3.7), for  $n = p(x)$ , and  $x = x_D := 2D + \omega(d) + 1$ . For simplicity, from now on, we ignore the factor  $\max\{1, p\}$  in the argument of the Jacobsthal's function. For example, we have

$$f_2(D, d) = (D^2 n^{2c^2 \Delta} g(d)^{2c\Delta})^2 (n_2^c g(d))^{2c\Delta} = D^2 n^{2c^2 \Delta} n_2^{2c^2 \Delta} g(d)^{6c\Delta},$$

where  $n_2 = p(x_2)$ , and

$$x_2 := x_{f(D, d)} = 2f(D, d) + \omega(d) + 1 = 2D^2 \cdot (n^c \cdot g(d))^{2 \cdot c \cdot \Delta} + \omega(d) + 1.$$

Set also

$$V_0 = V, \quad V_{i+1} = V'_i,$$

with  $V'_i$  to be  $V'$  constructed from Lemma 3.2.3 applied to the  $V_i$ . The latter is defined until  $i_0$ , for some  $i_0$ , where the first point of Lemma 3.2.3 occurs, and in this case we obtain  $Z$  with  $\deg(Z) \leq \deg(V_{i_0})$  and of the form

$$L \cdot \alpha + B$$

for some abelian subvariety  $B \subset A$ , and  $\alpha \in A_{\text{tors}}$ . This has to happen for some  $i_0 \leq \Delta$ , since at each step the dimension is dropping by one, and each  $V_i$  is not empty.

The functions  $f_i(D)$  are increasing in both  $D$  and  $i$ , and so we can carry over upper bounds and rely on the computation below. For example, for any  $i$ , we get

$$\deg(V_i) \leq f_i(D) \leq D' := f_\Delta(D),$$

which will be bounded in an explicit way in (3.13) below.

Set  $D_* := \max\{D, \omega(d) + 1\}$ , and notice that

$$4 \leq x = 2D + \omega(d) + 1 \leq 3D_*.$$

In particular we can write

$$n = p(x) \leq x \log(x)(1 + \log \log(x)) \leq 3D_* \log(3D_*)(1 + \log \log(3D_*)) \leq D_*^{1+\epsilon},$$

for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , and

$$f_1(D) = f(D, d) = D^2 \cdot n^{2c^2\Delta} \cdot g(d)^{2 \cdot c \cdot \Delta} \leq D_*^{2(1+c^2 \cdot \Delta \cdot (1+\epsilon))} \cdot g(d)^{2 \cdot c \cdot \Delta}.$$

To bound the  $f_i(D)$ , for  $1 < i \leq \Delta$  we argue inductively. A direct computation shows that

$$(3.13) \quad f_\Delta(D) = D' \leq D_*^{2\Delta(1+\lambda)} \cdot (2 \cdot g(d))^{2(\Delta+1)c\Delta} \quad \text{with } \lambda = c^2 \cdot \frac{\Delta^2 - \Delta}{2} \cdot (1 + \epsilon),$$

$$(3.14) \quad = \max\{D, (\omega(d) + 1)\}^\delta \cdot (2 \cdot g(d))^{\delta'} \quad \text{with } \begin{cases} \delta = \delta(\Delta, c) = 2^\Delta(1 + \lambda); \\ \delta' = \delta'(\Delta, c) = 2^\Delta \lambda / c. \end{cases}$$

where  $\epsilon = 0.5$  will always do. We have therefore proven the desired

$$\deg(Z) \leq D^{o(1)} \cdot d^{o(1)},$$

concluding the proof of Lemma 3.2.4.  $\square$

We are ready to prove Proposition 3.1.1, as was stated in Section 3.1. It consists in a refinement of the conclusion of Lemma 3.2.4.

**3.2.3. Proof of Proposition 3.1.1.** Let  $V$  be a subvariety of  $A$  of degree  $D$  and dimension  $\Delta < g$ , and  $a \in A_{\text{tors}}$ , of order  $d$ , such that  $L \cdot a \subseteq V$ , where  $L = L(c)$  is the Lang group as in (2.1). Consider the set  $\Theta$  of subvarieties of  $V$  of the form

$$Y = L \cdot a_Y + B_Y,$$

where  $a_Y \in A_{\text{tors}}$  and  $B_Y$  is an abelian subvariety of  $A$ , such that

$$L \cdot a \subseteq Y.$$

Notice that  $a \in \Theta$ , and in particular  $\Theta \neq \emptyset$ . We can choose a  $Y_{\min} = L \cdot a_{\min} + B_{\min}$  such that the order of the coset  $a_{\min} + B_{\min}$  is minimal among the elements in  $\Theta$ . Lemma 3.2.4, applied to the pair  $(a_{\min} + B_{\min} \in A_{\text{tors}}, V)$  asserts that there exists  $Z = L\alpha_Z + B_Z$ , containing  $Y_{\min}$ , and of order bounded by  $d^{o(1)}$ , and implicit constant depending only on  $D, \Delta, c^3$ . Let  $\delta = \delta(D, \Delta, c)$  be the biggest number such that  $d^{o(1)} < d$ , for all  $d \geq \delta$ . My minimality of the order of  $a_{\min}$ , it follows that

$$\text{ord}(a_{\min}) \leq \min\{\delta, d\}$$

and therefore  $Y_{\min} = L \cdot a_{\min} + B_{\min}$  satisfies the desired conclusion. Proposition 3.1.1 is finally proven.

**Remark 3.2.5.** The same argument applies to prove also Proposition 3.1.2, but, in Section 3.3 we only need the version proved above. Notice however that it was important to have a version of Lemma 3.2.4 for torsion cosets, rather than just for torsion points.

<sup>3</sup>Here is where we needed a version of Lemma 3.2.4 for torsion cosets, rather than for just torsion points.



3.2.4. *Effective estimates for Proposition 3.1.1.* For completeness we explain how to get explicit estimates for  $\delta(D, \Delta, c)$  (as in the proof of the previous lemma, we ignore the factor  $\max\{1, p\}$  in the argument of the Jacobsthal’s function). We break the bound from Lemma 3.2.4, in a system of inequalities

$$\begin{cases} d \geq (\omega(d) + 1)^\delta \cdot (2 \cdot g(d))^{\delta'}, \\ d \geq D^\delta \cdot (2 \cdot g(d))^{\delta'}. \end{cases}$$

Where  $\delta = \delta(\Delta, c)$ ,  $\delta' = \delta'(\Delta, c)$  are the explicit constants defined in (3.13). We bound  $\omega(d) \leq \log(d)$  and  $g(d) \leq \alpha \cdot (\omega(d))^\beta$  for some explicit constants  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  obtained from bounds on  $g(d)$ , or more precisely on  $g(d \cdot \max\{1, p\})$ , as explained in Section 3.2.

The first inequality is independent of  $D$  and it is satisfied as soon as (up to replacing  $d$  by  $d + 6$ )

$$d \geq \alpha' \log(d)^{\beta'} \geq \alpha' \omega(d)^{\beta'} \geq (\omega(d) + 1)^\delta \cdot (2 \cdot g(d))^{\delta'},$$

where we set

$$\alpha' := \alpha^{\delta'}, \text{ and } \beta' := \delta' \cdot \beta + \delta.$$

This is satisfied whenever

$$d \geq \alpha' \cdot \beta'^{\beta'},$$

which we assume in studying now the second inequality. Note that

$$\log(d) \leq \alpha'^{(-1/\beta')} d^{1/\beta'}.$$

The second inequality now becomes

$$d \geq (\alpha'^{(-1/\beta')} d^{1/\beta'})^\delta \geq \log(d)^\delta D^{\delta'},$$

and it holds precisely when

$$d^{1-1/\beta'} \geq \alpha'^{(-1/\beta')} D^{\delta'}.$$

Finally we can set

$$(3.15) \quad \delta(D, \Delta, c) := \max\{\alpha^\gamma \cdot \beta'^{\beta'}, \alpha^{\gamma \cdot \beta' / (\beta' - 1)} D^{\gamma \cdot \beta' / (1 - \beta')}\}$$

to be a sufficient bound. This bound can explicitly be written in terms of  $\delta = \delta(\Delta, c)$  and  $\delta' = \delta'(\Delta, c)$  of (3.13), and explicit bounds  $\alpha, \beta$  on the Jacobsthal’s function, as recalled in (3.5).

**3.3. Proof of Theorem 2.1.4, and Theorem 1.2.1.** We are finally ready to prove Theorem 2.1.4, which, as explained in Section 2.1, implies Theorem 1.2.1. Let  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$  be an abelian scheme. Our proof works both when the special 0-cycles are of characteristic zero and when they are of arbitrary characteristics. Here  $A = A_K = \mathcal{A} \times_{\mathcal{O}_{K,S}} K$ , and the implicit constant  $c = c(A)$  in the Lang group  $L = L(c)$  is the one given by Theorem 2.1.1 applied to  $A/K$ .

*Proof of horizontal part of Theorem 2.1.4.* Let  $(E_n)_{n \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a sequence of special 0-cycles associated to  $a_n \in A_{\text{tors}}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_n \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{K,S})$ . Consider the image of the  $a_n$  along the corresponding map

$$\pi_n : A(\overline{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}_n}(\overline{\kappa(\mathfrak{p}_n)}).$$

Denote by  $d_n$  the order of  $a_n \in A$ , and by  $d'_n$  the order of  $\pi_n(a_n)$  in  $\mathcal{A}(\overline{\kappa(\mathfrak{p}_n)})$ .

We study  $\mathcal{V}$ , the Zariski closure of  $\bigcup_{n \geq 0} E_n$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . It is a finite union of vertical fibres and horizontal components (i.e. flat over the base  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{K,S})$ ). We may assume that  $d_n$  and  $d'_n$  tend to

infinity, as  $n$  grows, since otherwise  $\mathcal{V}$  is finite and in particular special. Notice that, for any  $n \geq 0$ , we have

$$L \cdot \pi_n(a_n) = \pi_n(L \cdot a_n) \subseteq E_n.$$

Moreover, up to extracting a subsequence, we may assume that  $|\mathfrak{p}_n| \rightarrow +\infty$ .

We first look at the horizontal/flat part, even if the same argument applies to the vertical case. Set  $D$  for the maximum, over  $n$ , of  $\deg(V_{\mathfrak{p}_n})$ ,  $\Delta := \dim(V_K)$ , and let  $\delta$  for the maximum of  $\delta(\deg(V_{\mathfrak{p}_n}), \Delta, c)$  be as in Proposition 3.1.1 applied to the pair  $\pi_n(a_n)$  and  $V_{\mathfrak{p}_n} \subset \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}_n}$  (it is a finite set, since  $\deg(V_{\mathfrak{p}_n})$  takes only finitely many values as  $n$  varies). We can find a positive integer  $q$  such that

- $q$  is a  $c$ -th power;
- $q$  is relatively prime to  $\delta$ ;
- $q$  is relatively prime to  $p_n := \text{char}(\kappa(\mathfrak{p}_n))$ , for all  $n$  bigger than a given constant  $M$ .

Proposition 3.1.1 asserts that, for each torsion point  $\pi_n(a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}_n}$ , we have

$$L \cdot \pi_n(a_n) \subseteq (L \cdot \alpha_n + B_n) \subseteq \mathcal{V},$$

for some  $\alpha_n \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}_n}$ , with  $\text{ord}(\alpha_n + B_n) \leq \delta$  (in particular the order of  $\alpha_n$  does not depend on  $d'_n$ , nor on  $p_n$ ), and  $B_n \subset \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}_n}$  an abelian subvariety. Notice that

$$L \cdot \alpha_n + B_n$$

is stable under the multiplication by  $[q]$  (thanks to Remark 2.1.2). In particular  $\mathcal{V}$  can be written as the Zariski closure of the set

$$\left( \bigcup_{n \geq M} L \cdot \alpha_n + B_n \right) \subseteq \mathcal{V}.$$

As explained above, the left hand side is stable under multiplication by  $[q]$ , and so  $\mathcal{V}$  itself is stable under  $[q]$ . Corollary 2.2.5, applied to  $\mathcal{V}_K \subseteq A/K$  shows that  $\mathcal{V}_K$  is special. This means, following the definitions introduced in Section 1.2, that the horizontal part of  $\mathcal{V}$  is a finite union of flat cycles.  $\square$

To conclude the proof of Theorem 2.1.4, we have to show that and the vertical part of  $\mathcal{V}$  is a finite union of torsion cycles, essentially by adapting the argument just presented. The lifting argument is more delicate, and presents some challenges depending on the order of the torsion points  $a_n$ , this will be dealt with in the next section.

*Proof of the Weak vertical part of Theorem 2.1.4.* We are left to considering the case where

$$(E_n)_{n \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{A}$$

is a sequence of special 0-cycles associated to  $(a_n)_n \subset A_{\text{tors}}$  and a fixed  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{K,S})$ . Consider the image of the  $a_n$  along the corresponding map

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{p}} : A(\overline{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\overline{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})}).$$

Let  $d'_n$  be the order of  $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}(a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\overline{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})})$ . If the  $d'_n$  are bounded as  $n$  goes to infinity, then the set

$$\bigcup_{n \geq 0} E_n$$

is finite and so there is nothing to prove. We may therefore assume that the  $d'_n$  are unbounded.

The argument described above implies that every component of  $\mathcal{V}$  is a translate of an abelian subvariety of  $\mathcal{A}_p$ . Indeed, let  $c$  be the constant associated to  $A/K$  by Theorem 2.1.1, and let  $\delta = \delta(\deg(\mathcal{V}), \dim(\mathcal{V}), c)$  be the constant given by Proposition 3.1.1 applied to  $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{A}_p$  (and any  $\pi_p(a_n)$ ). We can find a positive integer  $q$  such that  $q$  is a  $c$ -th power, and  $q \wedge \delta p = 1$ . Proposition 3.1.1 asserts that, for each torsion point  $\pi_p(a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ , we have

$$L \cdot \pi_p(a_n) \subseteq \pi_p(L \cdot a_n) \subseteq (L \cdot \alpha + \mathfrak{B}_n) \subseteq \mathcal{V},$$

for some  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_p(\overline{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})})$ , with  $\text{ord}(\alpha + \mathfrak{B}_n) \leq \delta$ , for some  $\delta$  depending only on  $D, \Delta$  and  $c$  (where  $\mathfrak{B}_n$  is some abelian subvariety of  $\mathcal{A}_p$ ). Notice that  $L \cdot \alpha + \mathfrak{B}_n$  is stable under the multiplication by  $[q]$ . Since  $\mathcal{V}$  is defined as the Zariski closure of the  $E_n$ , and from the fact that  $\delta$  does not depend on  $d'_n$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{V}$  is stable under the multiplication by  $[q]$ . Proposition 2.2.4 then shows that  $\mathcal{V}$  is special, that is a finite union of translates by a (torsion) point of  $\mathcal{A}_p(\overline{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})})$  of abelian subvarieties of  $\mathcal{A}_p$ . The proof of Theorems 1.2.1 and 2.1.4 is finally completed.  $\square$

The last statement will be referred to as the *weak vertical part* of the arithmetic Manin–Mumford. The *stronger part*, that is the lift of the torsion cosets of  $\mathcal{A}_p$  to  $K$ , possibly up to  $p$ -rank zero factors, is described in the next two sections.

#### 4. STRONG VERTICAL, THEOREM 1.2.3

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2.3, which may be of independent interest from the arguments presented so far. As in Section 1.2,  $K$  denotes a number field,  $S$  a finite set of finite places of  $K$ , and  $A = A_K$  the generic fibre of an abelian scheme  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$ . To explain the method of the proof we first prove the following easier statement, which appeared in the introduction as Theorem 1.2.4. It can be thought as the analogue of the main theorem of Edixhoven and Richard [8] for abelian varieties (rather than  $\mathbb{A}^1 \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{A}^1$ ).

**Theorem 4.0.1.** *Fix a prime  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_{K,S}$  of residue characteristic  $p > 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$  be an abelian scheme,  $(a_n)_n \subset A_{\text{tors}}$  be a sequence of torsion points of order coprime with  $p$  and write  $E_n = \pi_p(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \cdot a_n)$ , for a map*

$$\pi_p : A(\overline{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_p(\overline{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})}).$$

*Then every component of the Zariski closure  $\mathcal{V}$  of*

$$\bigcup_{n \geq 0} E_n \subseteq \mathcal{A}_p \subset \mathcal{A},$$

*is a component of the intersection between a flat cycle  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_p$ .*

The novelty of Theorem 4.0.1, compared to Theorem 1.2.1, is that the components of  $\mathcal{V}$  are not only given by torsion cycles of  $\mathcal{A}_p$ , but they actually come from characteristic zero. The case where torsion points are allowed to have order divisible by  $p$ , is the main topic of Section 5. From now on, we let  $p$  be the characteristic of the residue field  $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ .

Before proving Theorem 4.0.1, we explain how it implies Theorem 1.2.3.

4.0.1. *Proof of Theorem 1.2.3.* Assuming Theorem 4.0.1, which will be proven in Section 4.3, we show how to conclude the proof of the more general Theorem 1.2.3, as stated in the introduction. Let  $E_n$  be a sequence of special zero cycles in  $\mathcal{A}$ , each one associated to  $a_n \in A_{\text{tors}}$  and primes  $\mathfrak{p}_n \subset \mathcal{O}_{K,S}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  be the Zariski closure of

$$\bigcup_{n \geq 0} E_n \subset \mathcal{A}$$

and write it as a finite union of flat and vertical components. From Theorem 1.2.1, which was proven in Section 3.3, we now that the flat part is a finite union of flat cycles, and that each vertical component at  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_m \subset \mathcal{O}_{K,S}$  is a torsion cycle of some  $A_{\mathfrak{p}_j}$  for  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ . Theorem 4.0.1, applied at  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_m$ , now implies that each torsion cycles is given as the intersection between a flat cycle and vertical fibres. That is  $\mathcal{V}$  can be written as a finite union of flat cycles and intersections between flat cycles and vertical fibres. Theorem 1.2.3 is finally proven.

**4.1. Variants of the Tate conjecture (after Faltings et. al.)** We recall Faltings's results from the landmark paper [9] known as the *semi-simplicity of the Tate module* and the *Isogeny Theorem* (or often referred to as the *Tate conjecture*). Some variants thereof are also discussed, and we remark here that all results are known to hold true even for finitely generated fields over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . This section is purely in characteristic zero, and it is independent from the prime  $p$  fixed in the previous one.

**Theorem 4.1.1** (Faltings). *Let  $K$  be a number field, and  $A/K$  an abelian variety. Let  $\ell$  be a rational prime, and  $V_\ell(A)$  the rational  $\ell$ -adic Tate module of  $A$ . The canonical map*

$$\text{End}_K(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell \rightarrow \text{End}_{\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)}(V_\ell(A))$$

*is an isomorphism. Moreover  $V_\ell(A)$  is a semi-simple representation of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ .*

We recall also a version of the Tate conjecture with finite coefficients. For its proof we refer to [34, Section 5.4], which builds again on Faltings's proof of the Shafarevich conjecture [9].

**Theorem 4.1.2** (Faltings, Zarhin). *Let  $K$  be a number field, and  $A/K$  an abelian variety. For any  $\ell$  large enough and any  $m$ , the  $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -module  $A[\ell^m]$  is semisimple, and the canonical map*

$$\text{End}_K(A) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/\ell^m \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)}(A[\ell^m])$$

*is an isomorphism. Moreover  $A[\ell^m]$  is a semi-simple representation of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ .*

For completeness we recall an effective version of Theorem 4.1.2. Compared to Theorem 4.1.2, it will be important that the dependence on  $K$  is only via its degree over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . We write  $h(A)$  for the semistable (logarithmic) Faltings height of  $A/K$ . The proof of such statement, which builds on previous work of the authors [22], can be found in [23].

**Theorem 4.1.3** (Masser-Wüstholz). *For any positive integer  $m$ , the cokernel of the canonical map*

$$\text{End}_K(A) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)}(A[m])$$

*is killed by  $M$ , for some positive integer  $M$  such that*

$$M \leq c(\max\{1, h(A)\})^\tau,$$

*where  $\tau$  is a constant depending only on  $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$ , and  $c$  depends only on the dimension of  $A$  and the degree of  $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$ .*

The last refinement of the Tate conjecture we need is the following. Let  $(\ell_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of distinct prime numbers, and consider the following quotient of  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$

$$R = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{F}_{\ell_n}.$$

Since  $R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  is a non-zero  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra, there exists a field  $E$  (of characteristic zero) and a ring map  $R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow E$  corresponding to some maximal ideal. Given an abelian variety  $A/K$ , we let  $V_E(A)$  be the  $E$ -Tate module of  $A$ :

$$V_E(A) := T(A) \otimes_{\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}} R \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E.$$

Here the action of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$  on  $V_E(A)$  is trivial on  $R \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E$ .

**Theorem 4.1.4.** *The canonical map*

$$\text{End}_K(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} E \rightarrow \text{End}_{\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)}(V_E(A))$$

*is an isomorphism, and  $V_E(A)$  is semisimple  $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -representation.*

**4.2. Preliminary results.** We first present the simplest result we use to lift torsion cycles, it encompasses the power of Theorem 4.1.1, making it ready to be applied for our purposes. Let  $A/K$  be an abelian variety,  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  its reduction mod  $\mathfrak{p}$ , a place of good reduction. Set  $p = \text{char}(\kappa(\mathfrak{p})) > 0$ , and

$$M^0 := \text{End}_K(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}, \quad N^0 := \text{End}_{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}.$$

The reduction mod  $\mathfrak{p}$  defines a canonical embedding

$$M^0 \hookrightarrow N^0,$$

and, for every  $\ell \neq p$ , we have

$$M^0 \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell} \hookrightarrow N^0 \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell} \hookrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}}(V_{\ell}(A)),$$

thanks to the identification  $V_{\ell}(A) \cong V_{\ell}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}})$  given again by the reduction mod  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

**Proposition 4.2.1.** *Let  $A/K$  be an abelian variety,  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  its reduction mod  $\mathfrak{p}$ , a place of good reduction. Let  $\ell$  be a rational prime different from  $p$ . Let  $W$  be a  $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -invariant subspace of  $V_{\ell}(A)$  and let  $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  be an abelian subvariety such that*

$$W \subseteq V_{\ell}(\mathfrak{B}) \subseteq V_{\ell}(A).$$

*There exists an abelian subvariety  $D$  of  $A$ , defined over  $K$ , such that*

$$W \subseteq V_{\ell}(D) \subseteq V_{\ell}(\mathfrak{B}).$$

Notice that Proposition 4.2.1 can be rewritten in purely algebraic terms. Here  $M^0$  and  $N^0$  are finite dimensional semisimple  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebras. Moreover  $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  corresponds to an idempotent  $u = u^2 \in N^0$  such that

$$W \subseteq u \cdot V_{\ell}(A),$$

And Proposition 4.2.1 asserts that there exists an idempotent  $v$  in  $M^0$  such that

$$W \subseteq v \cdot V_{\ell}(A) \subseteq u \cdot V_{\ell}(A).$$

*Proof of Proposition 4.2.1.* Being  $V_\ell(A)$  a semisimple Galois representation, as established by Theorem 4.1.1, we have that  $W \subseteq V_\ell(A)$  is of the form  $e \cdot V_\ell(A)$  for some idempotent

$$e \in \text{End}_{\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)}(V_\ell(A)).$$

By the Tate conjecture, that is the first part of Theorem 4.1.1, we can actually write

$$W = w \cdot V_\ell(A)$$

for some  $w \in M^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$  (and such  $w$  is unique by faithfulness). Lemma 4.2.2, applied with  $E = \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ , shows how to get  $v$  in  $M^0$  (rather than only in  $M^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ ) such that the conclusion holds.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.2.** *Let  $M, N$  be two semisimple (associative, non necessarily commutative, finite dimensional)  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebras, with  $M$  a sub-algebra of  $N$ . Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be a field extension (not necessarily of finite type), and*

$$i_E : N \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E \hookrightarrow \text{End}_E(V)$$

*be a faithful representation on  $V$ , a finite dimensional  $E$ -linear vector space. We let  $u$  be an idempotent of  $N$ , and  $w$  be an element of  $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E$  such that  $i_E(w) \cdot V \subseteq i_E(u) \cdot V$ . Then there exists an idempotent  $v \in M$ , such that*

$$i_E(w) \cdot V \subseteq i_E(v) \cdot V \subseteq i_E(u) \cdot V.$$

In the proof of Lemma 4.2.2 we use the following standard fact about semisimple  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebras. Let  $M$  be a semisimple associative finite dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra, then any  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear subspace  $X$  of  $M$  is a right ideal if and only if it is of the form  $vM$  for some idempotent  $v$  of  $M$  (possibly, of course,  $v \in \{0, 1\}$ ). In particular, the above result applies to the field  $E$  described in Theorem 4.1.4.

*Proof of Lemma 4.2.2.* Consider  $Y = uN$ , as a right  $N$  linear submodule of  $N$ . Let  $X := Y \cap M$  be the associated right  $M$ -module. By the previous fact, there is at least one idempotent  $v \in M$  with the following property: for any  $m$  in  $M$  we have  $u \cdot m = m$  if and only if  $v \cdot m = m$ . Otherwise stated, we have  $X = v \cdot M$ . The same property holds true with elements in  $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E$ . It is indeed enough to notice, just by computing dimensions, that we have  $(Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E) \cap (M \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E) = X \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E$ .

As  $\text{Im}(i_E(w))$  is a submodule of  $\text{Im}(i_E(y))$ , by assumption, and  $i_E(u)$  is an idempotent, we conclude that  $i_E(u \cdot w) = i_E(w)$ . By faithfulness of  $i_E$ , we have also  $u \cdot w = w \in N \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E$ .

Let  $v \in M$  be the idempotent associated to  $u \in N$  as above. It follows that

$$i_E(v) \cdot i_E(w) = i_E(w),$$

that is

$$\text{Im}(i_E(w)) \subseteq \text{Im}(i_E(v)).$$

Finally, as  $v \in vM \subset uN$ , we get  $u \cdot v = v$ , and likewise

$$\text{Im}(i_E(v)) \subseteq \text{Im}(i_E(u)).$$

In another writing

$$i_E(w) \cdot V \subseteq i_E(v) \cdot V \subseteq i_E(u) \cdot V,$$

as desired. This concludes the proof of the Lemma 4.2.2.  $\square$

Replacing in the proof of Proposition 4.2.1 the role of (the  $\ell$ -adic) Faltings's Theorem by the Tate conjecture with adelic (or  $E$ ) coefficients recalled in Theorem 4.1.4, we also have the following.

**Proposition 4.2.3.** *Let  $A/K$  be an abelian variety,  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  its reduction mod  $\mathfrak{p}$ , a place of good reduction. Let  $(\ell_n)$  be a sequence of distinct primes different from  $p$ , and let  $E$  be the field associated to  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes \prod_n \mathbb{F}_{\ell_n}$  as in Theorem 4.1.4. Let  $W$  be a  $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -invariant subspace of  $V_E(A)$  and let  $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  be an abelian subvariety such that*

$$W \subseteq V_E(\mathfrak{B}) \subseteq V_E(A).$$

*There exists an abelian subvariety  $D$  of  $A$ , defined over  $K$ , such that*

$$W \subseteq V_E(D) \subseteq V_E(\mathfrak{B}).$$

We record here another application of Lemma 4.2.2 which will be useful in the next subsection.

**Lemma 4.2.4.** *Let  $A/K$  be an abelian variety, and  $K'/K$  be a finite field extension. Let  $E$  be  $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ , for some prime  $\ell$ , (resp. a field as in Proposition 4.2.3) and  $W$  be a  $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -invariant subspace of  $V_{\ell}(A)$  (resp.  $V_E(A)$ ). Let  $u \in \text{End}(A_{K'}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  be an idempotent, and  $w$  be an element of  $\text{End}(A_K) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E$  such that  $w \cdot V_E(A) = W$ . Then there exists an idempotent  $v \in \text{End}(A_K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ , such that*

$$w \cdot V_E(A) \subseteq v \cdot V_E(A) \subseteq u \cdot V_E(A).$$

The above result, for example, can be applied to  $K'$  a finite field extension such that all endomorphism of  $A \times \overline{K}$  are defined over  $K'$ .

**4.3. Proof of Theorem 4.0.1.** As we show in Section 4.3.1, the proof of Theorem 4.0.1 follows from Theorem 1.2.1 (the weak vertical Manin–Mumford) and the following result.

**Proposition 4.3.1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_{K,S}$  be a prime ideal of residue characteristic  $p > 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$  be an abelian scheme, and fix a map*

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{p}} : A(\overline{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\overline{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})}).$$

*For  $i = 1, \dots, C$ , let  $\mathfrak{B}_i \subset \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  be abelian subvarieties of  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Let  $D_i/K$  be the biggest abelian subvariety of  $A$  whose reduction mod  $\mathfrak{p}$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{B}_i$ . Let  $(a_n)_{n \geq 0} \subset A_{\text{tors}}$  be a sequence of torsion points of order coprime with  $p$  and, for every  $n$ , let  $E_n = \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \cdot a_n)$ . If*

$$\bigcup_n E_n \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^C \beta_i + \mathfrak{B}_i,$$

*then there exists a finite set  $F \subset A$  such that*

$$a_n \in F + \bigcup_{i=1}^C D_i,$$

*for all  $n$ .*

*Proof.* Heading for a contraction, we may pass to a subsequence of  $(a_n)_n$  for which, for every  $i = 1, \dots, C$ , we have

$$\text{ord}(a_n + D_i/D_i) \rightarrow +\infty,$$

as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . The strategy is to construct some  $1 \leq i \leq C$  and a non-zero abelian subvariety  $A' \subset A/D_i$  such that  $A'_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \mathfrak{B}_i/D_{i,\mathfrak{p}}$ . The preimage of  $A'$  along the quotient map  $A \rightarrow A/D_i$  would then contradict the maximality of  $D_i$ .

For every  $n$  there exists an  $1 \leq i \leq C$  such that the following inequality of cardinalities holds true

$$\#\{\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \cdot a_n) \cap \mathfrak{B}_i\} \geq \frac{1}{C} \#\{\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \cdot a_n\}.$$

Upon extracting a subsequence, we may assume from now on that  $i$  is fixed. And for simplicity set  $D = D_i$ ,  $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{B}_i$  and

$$d_n = \text{ord}(a_n), \text{ and } d'_n = \text{ord}(a_n + D/D).$$

By assumption we know that  $d'_n$  goes to infinity and, possibly extracting a subsequence, at least one of the following holds true:

- (1) There exists a rational prime number  $\ell (\neq p)$ , and a sequence  $(k_n) \subset \mathbb{N}$  going to infinity, as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , such that

$$\forall n, \ell^{k_n} \text{ divides } d'_n.$$

- (2) There exists an infinite sequence of distinct prime numbers  $\ell_n (\neq p)$ , such that

$$\forall n, \ell_n \text{ divides } d'_n.$$

We argue each case separately and with different techniques, even if the strategy is the same one.

*Proof in Case (1).* We set

$$a'_n := [d'_n / \ell^{k_n}] a_n + D/D,$$

which is an element of order exactly  $\ell^{k_n}$  of  $A/D$ . We say that a sequence  $b_n \in \mathbb{Z} / \ell^{k_n} \mathbb{Z}$ , of elements of order  $\ell^{k_n}$ , converges to some  $b_\infty \in T_\ell(A/D)$ , the  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ -Tate module of  $A/D$ , if there exist  $\epsilon_n$  converging to  $0 \in T_\ell(A/D)$  such that  $b_n$  is the reduction modulo  $\ell^{k_n}$  of  $b_\infty + \epsilon_n$ . Extracting if needed, we may assume that  $a'_n$  converges to some non-zero  $a'_\infty \in T_\ell(A/D)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{G}_\ell$  be the image of the natural continuous representation

$$\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_\ell(A/D)).$$

For all  $n$ , we have<sup>4</sup>

$$(4.1) \quad \#\{(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \cdot a'_n)) \cap \mathfrak{B}/D_{\mathfrak{p}}\} \geq \frac{1}{C} \#\{\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \cdot a'_n\}.$$

We define the set

$$\Sigma_n := \{\sigma \in \mathcal{G}_\ell : \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}(\sigma \cdot a'_n) \in \mathfrak{B}/D_{\mathfrak{p}}\}.$$

For any sequence  $(\sigma_n)_n \in \mathcal{G}_\ell$  such that  $\sigma_n \in \Sigma_n$  for every  $n$ , for any limit of any subsequence (for the  $\ell$ -adic topology)  $\sigma_\infty$  we have, along such subsequence

$$\sigma_n \cdot a'_n \rightarrow \sigma_\infty \cdot a'_\infty.$$

As  $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}(\sigma \cdot a'_n)$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{B}/D_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , we see that

$$\sigma_\infty \cdot a'_\infty \in V_\ell(\mathfrak{B}/D_{\mathfrak{p}}) \subseteq V_\ell(A/D),$$

where we identify  $V_\ell(A/D)$  with  $V_\ell(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}/D_{\mathfrak{p}})$  (being  $p$  different from  $\ell$ ). We define  $\Sigma_\infty$  to be the set of the  $\sigma_\infty \in \mathcal{G}_\ell$  obtained as above.

Let  $\mu$  be the Haar probability measure on  $\mathcal{G}_\ell$ . From the equation (4.1) we have  $\mu(\Sigma_n)$  has Haar measure at least  $(1/C)$ , for every  $n$ . In particular, we have that  $\Sigma_\infty$  has measure at least  $1/C$ . Let  $H_\ell/\mathbb{Q}_\ell$  be the Zariski closure of  $\mathcal{G}_\ell$  in  $\text{GL}(V_\ell(A/D))$  and  $H_\ell^0$  its Zariski neutral component. The

<sup>4</sup>By abuse of notation we still denote by  $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}$  the reduction mod  $\mathfrak{p}$  map  $A/D(\overline{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}/D_{\mathfrak{p}}(\overline{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})})$ , induced by the map  $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}} : A(\overline{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\overline{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})})$ .



Zariski closure of  $\Sigma_\infty$  contains one irreducible component  $H_\ell^0 \cdot \sigma_0$ , for some  $\sigma_0 \in \Sigma_\infty$ . Let  $U$  be the sub-vector space of  $V_\ell(A/D)$  given by  $V_\ell(\mathfrak{B}/D_p)$ , and  $W$  be the  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -subvector space generated by  $H_\ell^0(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \cdot \sigma_0 \cdot a'_\infty$ .

By Zariski density, we have that  $H_\ell^0(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \cdot \sigma_0 \cdot a'_\infty \subset U$ . Notice that, by construction,  $W$  is  $H_\ell^0$  invariant. Let  $\mathcal{G}_\ell^0 = \mathcal{G}_\ell \cap H_\ell^0$ . It is a finite index subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}_\ell$  which corresponds to a finite extension of fields  $K_\ell/K$ . We apply Proposition 4.2.1, with  $E = \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -coefficients to  $W$  and  $U$ . So we get an abelian subvariety  $A''/K_\ell \subseteq A/D \times K_\ell$  such that

$$(4.2) \quad V_\ell(A'') \subseteq V_\ell(\mathfrak{B}/D_p),$$

and

$$\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K_\ell) \cdot \sigma \cdot a'_\infty \subset W \subseteq V_\ell(A'').$$

Thanks to Lemma 4.2.4, we have that the same holds true for some abelian subvariety  $A'/K \subseteq A/D$ . By definition of  $D$ , thanks to (4.2), we see that  $A' = 0$ , but since  $0 \neq a'_\infty \in V_\ell(A')$ , we must also have that  $A' \neq 0$ . This is the contradiction we were aiming for.  $\square$

*Proof in Case (2).* In this case, we set

$$a'_n := [d'_n/\ell_n]a_n + D/D,$$

which is an element of order exactly  $\ell_n$  of  $A/D$ . Consider the ring

$$R := \prod_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{F}_{\ell_n}.$$

The sequence  $(a'_n)_n$  is naturally an element of  $T_{\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}}(A/D) \otimes R$ , where we denote by  $T_{\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}}(A/D)$  the adelic Tate module of  $A/D$  (which is denoted from now on by  $V_E$ , the  $E$ -adic Tate module of  $A/D$ ). By assumption, we know that the order of  $a'_n$  is going to infinity, as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , and in particular  $(a'_n)_n$  is not a torsion element. The image of  $(a'_n)_n$  in

$$T_{\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}}(A/D) \otimes R \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong (R \otimes \mathbb{Q})^{2 \dim(A/D)}$$

is non-zero. There exists a field  $E$  and a ring map  $R \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow E$  such that the image  $a'_\infty \in T_{\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}}(A/D) \otimes E$  is non-zero.

Notice that, for every  $n$ ,

$$\#\{(\pi_p(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \cdot a'_n) \cap \mathfrak{B}/D_p)\} \geq \frac{1}{C} \#\{\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \cdot a'_n\}.$$

Let  $G_{\ell,n}$  be the image of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$  in  $\text{GL}(A/D[\ell_n])$ . We can apply the combinatorial result of Section 4.3.2 (with  $V = \mathfrak{B}/D_p$ ) $[\ell_n]$  to guarantee the existence a subgroup  $H_{\ell,n}$  of  $G_{\ell,n}$ , and a  $\sigma_n \in \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ , such that

$$[G_{\ell,n} : H_{\ell,n}] \leq \psi(C) = 3 \cdot C^{4^2 \dim A/D},$$

and

$$\pi_p(H_{\ell,n} \cdot \sigma_n \cdot a'_n) \subset \mathfrak{B}/D_p.$$

Let  $K_{H_{\ell,n}}/K$  be the finite extension of fields corresponding to  $H_{\ell,n}$ , and notice that  $[K_H : \mathbb{Q}] \leq \psi(C)[K : \mathbb{Q}]$ . Let  $M$  be the constant appearing in Theorem 4.1.3, for  $K_{H_{\ell,n}}$ -abelian variety  $A/D$ , and notice that  $M$  is bounded independently of  $n$ . Upon extraction, we may assume that  $\ell_n$  is strictly bigger than  $M$  for every  $n$ . Let  $W_n$  be the  $\mathbb{F}_{\ell_n}$ -subvector space of  $A/D[\ell_n]$  generated by

$H_{\ell,n} \cdot \sigma_n \cdot a'_n$ . Notice that  $W_n$  is invariant under the action of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K_{H_{\ell,n}})$ , and so by Theorem 4.1.3, there exists an element  $f \in \text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{F}_{\ell,n}$ , such that

$$W_n = f(A[\ell_n]).$$

Being  $K$  such that all endomorphism of  $A_{\overline{K}}$  are defined over  $K$ , we see that  $W_n$  is actually  $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -invariant. So we have, for every  $n$ ,

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \cdot \sigma_n \cdot a'_n) \subset \mathfrak{B}/D_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

In particular we see that

$$\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \cdot \sigma_{\infty} \cdot a'_{\infty} \subset V_E(\mathfrak{B}/D_{\mathfrak{p}}) \subset V_E(A/D).$$

We now conclude as in the previous case. Let indeed  $W$  be the  $E$ -subvector space generated by  $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \cdot a'_{\infty}$ . We apply Proposition 4.2.3, with  $E$ -coefficients to  $W$  and  $u \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}/D_{\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  an idempotent corresponding to  $\mathfrak{B}/D_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}/D_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . So we get an abelian subvariety  $A' \subseteq A/D$  such that

$$(4.3) \quad V_E(A') \subseteq V_E(\mathfrak{B}/D_{\mathfrak{p}}),$$

and

$$\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \cdot a'_{\infty} \subset W \subseteq V_E(A').$$

By definition of  $D$ , thanks to (4.3), we see that  $A' = 0$ , but since  $0 \neq a'_{\infty} \in V_E(A')$ , we must also have that  $A' \neq 0$ . This is the contradiction we were aiming for.  $\square$

The proof of Proposition 4.3.1 is finally completed.  $\square$

**4.3.1. Proof of Theorem 4.0.1.** At least assuming the combinatorial result of Section 4.3.2, we are ready to prove the main Theorem of the section, namely Theorem 4.0.1. Let  $E_n$  be a sequence of special zero cycles in  $\mathcal{A}$ , each one associated to some torsion point  $a_n$  of order coprime to  $p$ . We may assume that the  $a_n$ 's are all distinct, and of order  $> 1$ . In particular  $\text{ord}(a_n)$  is unbounded, and we will only consider the positive dimensional components of the Zariski closure of the  $E_n$ 's. We can apply the weaker Theorem 1.2.1 to the Zariski closure of the 0-cycles  $E_n$ . It is of the form

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^C \alpha_i + \mathfrak{B}_i,$$

for some positive integer  $C$  denoting the number of components, where  $\mathfrak{B}_i$  are abelian sub-varieties of  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , and  $\alpha_i$  torsion points. Let  $A_i$  be the biggest special subvariety of  $A$  whose reduction mod  $\mathfrak{p}$  is in  $\mathfrak{B}_i$ . Applying Proposition 4.3.1 we have that each  $a_n$  lies in the union of the  $A_i$ , up to a finite set  $F$ . We have

$$E_n = \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \cdot a_n) \subset F + \bigcup_{i=1}^C A_{i,\mathfrak{p}} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^C \alpha_i + \mathfrak{B}_i.$$

Being  $\bigcup_{i=1}^C \alpha_i + \mathfrak{B}_i$  the Zariski closure of the  $E_n$ , we conclude. The Proof of Theorem 4.0.1 is finished and we observe that

$$F + \bigcup_{i=1}^C A_{i,\mathfrak{p}} = \bigcup_{i=1}^C \alpha_i + \mathfrak{B}_i.$$

4.3.2. *Combinatorial result.* Let  $\ell$  be a prime number, set  $\mathbb{F} := \mathbb{F}_\ell$  for the field with  $\ell$  elements, and let  $d$  be a positive integer.

**Proposition 4.3.2.** *Let  $\mathbb{F}^d$  be a  $d$ -dimensional  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space and let  $a \in \mathbb{F}^d$ . Let  $G \leq \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{F})$  be a subgroup. If  $V \subseteq \mathbb{F}^d$  is a sub-vector space such that, for some  $1 \leq C \leq \infty$ ,*

$$\#\{G \cdot a \cap V\} \geq \frac{1}{C} \#\{G \cdot a\},$$

*then there exists a non trivial sub-vector space  $W \subseteq V$  such that*

$$(4.4) \quad [G : \mathrm{Stab}_G(W)] \leq 3 \cdot C^{4 \dim(V)}.$$

*Moreover  $G \cdot a \cap W \neq \emptyset$  and it generates  $W$ .*

**Corollary 4.3.3.** *With the notation of Proposition 4.3.2, in particular  $W$  and  $V$  contain the orbit  $H \cdot g \cdot a$ , for some  $g \in G$ , under the subgroup  $H = \mathrm{Stab}_G(W) \subseteq G$ , and  $[G : H] \leq 3 \cdot C^{4 \dim V}$ .*

The proof is inspired by the arguments of [4, Section 3].

*Proof of Proposition 4.3.2.* Given a subset  $S \subseteq G \cdot a$  and  $W \subseteq \mathbb{F}^d$ , we set

$$\varepsilon(S) := \frac{\#S}{\#G \cdot a}, \quad \varepsilon(W) := \varepsilon(G \cdot a \cap W) = \frac{\#\{G \cdot a \cap W\}}{\#G \cdot a}.$$

Write  $\langle S \rangle = \sum_{s \in S} \mathbb{F} \cdot s$  for the sub-vector space of  $\mathbb{F}^d$  generated by  $S$ . Notice that  $0 \leq \varepsilon(W) \leq 1$ .

We argue considering subvector spaces  $W \subseteq V$  chosen to be of minimal dimension among all sub-vector spaces of  $V$  and maximizing the quantity

$$\varepsilon(W)^{4(\dim(W) - \dim(V))}.$$

Such  $W$  exists since there are only finitely many possibilities for  $\varepsilon(W)$  and  $\dim(W)$ . In particular we have

$$(4.5) \quad \varepsilon(W)^{4(\dim(W) - \dim(V))} \geq \varepsilon(V)^{4^0} > 0,$$

and

$$(4.6) \quad (1/\varepsilon(W)) \leq (1/\varepsilon(V))^{4(\dim(V) - \dim(W))} \leq (1/\varepsilon(V))^{4 \dim(V)} \leq C^{4 \dim(V)}.$$

Comparing  $W$  to all its sub-vector spaces  $W' < W$ , we also have

$$(4.7) \quad \varepsilon(W') < \varepsilon(W)^{4(\dim(W) - \dim(W'))} \leq \varepsilon(W)^4 \leq \varepsilon(W).$$

We consider  $S := W \cap (G \cdot a)$ , and notice that it generates  $W$ , since otherwise  $W_S = \langle S \rangle$  would contradict the inequality (4.7). The following simple observations will be useful for the sequel:

- If  $gW = W$ , then  $gS = g(G \cdot a \cap W) = gG \cdot a \cap gW = G \cdot a \cap W = S$ ;
- Reciprocally, if  $gS = S$  then  $gW = g\langle S \rangle = \langle gS \rangle = \langle S \rangle = W$ .

Denote by  $G(W) \subseteq G$  the stabiliser of  $W$  in  $G$  (which is also the stabiliser of  $S$ ). If  $gS \neq S$ , the vector space  $W' := gW \cap W$  satisfies  $W' < W$ , as well as

$$gS \cap S \subseteq gW \cap W < W.$$

The inequality (4.7) then gives

$$\frac{\#gS \cap S}{\#G \cdot a} \leq \frac{\#(G \cdot a \cap W')}{\#G \cdot a} = \varepsilon(W') < \varepsilon(W)^4.$$

More generally, given  $g \cdot G(W) \neq g' \cdot G(W)$ , we similarly find

$$(4.8) \quad \frac{\#gS \cap g'S}{\#G \cdot a} \leq \frac{\#g'^{-1}gS \cap S}{\#G \cdot a} < \varepsilon(W)^4.$$

To ease the notation, from now on, we simply set  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(W)$ . Let  $c = [G : G(W)]$  and choose some representative  $g_1 \cdot G(W), \dots, g_c \cdot G(W)$  of  $G/G(W)$ . We are left to prove that  $c \leq 3 \cdot 1/\varepsilon$ .

*Proof of the first bound for  $c$ .* If  $c > 3 \cdot 1/\varepsilon$ , we set  $\gamma = \lceil 1/\varepsilon + 1 \rceil$ . Recall that  $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ , and the evident bounds

$$(4.9) \quad 1/\varepsilon + 1 \leq \gamma < 1/\varepsilon + 2$$

$$(4.10) \quad \gamma \leq c$$

(since  $2 \leq 2 \cdot (1/\varepsilon)$ ). We can also notice that

$$(4.11) \quad \gamma \cdot \varepsilon \geq (1/\varepsilon + 1) \cdot \varepsilon = 1 + \varepsilon$$

$$(4.12) \quad \frac{\gamma(\gamma - 1)}{2} \cdot \varepsilon^4 < \frac{(1/\varepsilon + 1) \cdot 1/\varepsilon}{2} \cdot \varepsilon^4 = \frac{\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^3}{2} \leq \varepsilon^2.$$

Thanks to (4.10), the classes  $g_1 \cdot G(W), \dots, g_\gamma \cdot G(W)$  are well defined and all distinct. Thanks to inclusion-exclusion we estimate:

$$(4.13) \quad \#G \cdot a \geq \# \bigcup_{i=1}^{\gamma} g_i S \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\gamma} \#g_i S - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq \gamma} \#(g_i S \cap g_j S).$$

The number of terms of the last summation is  $(\gamma(\gamma - 1))/2$ , and each term is bounded, via (4.8), by  $\varepsilon^4 \cdot \#G \cdot a$ . Therefore

$$(4.14) \quad \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq \gamma} \# \{g_i S \cap g_j S\} \leq \frac{\gamma(\gamma - 1)}{2} \cdot \varepsilon^4 \cdot \#G \cdot a$$

For the second to last summation in (4.13), we notice that

$$(4.15) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\gamma} \#g_i S = \gamma \cdot \varepsilon \cdot \#G \cdot a \geq (1/\varepsilon + 1) \cdot \varepsilon \cdot \#G \cdot a = (1 + \varepsilon) \cdot \#G \cdot a.$$

Substituting (4.15) and (4.14) in (4.13), and simplifying by  $\#G \cdot a > 0$ , we obtain the inequality

$$1 > 1 + \varepsilon - \varepsilon^2,$$

which is the contradiction we were aiming for, since the right hand side satisfies  $1 + \varepsilon - \varepsilon^2 \geq 1$ .  $\square$

To conclude the proof, we are left to show that

$$c = [G : G(W)] \leq 3/\varepsilon.$$

Bounding the right hand side by three times the inequality (4.6), we obtain the desired bound (4.4). We know that  $\varepsilon(W) > 0$ , thanks (4.5), and that there exists an element  $g \cdot a$  of  $G \cdot a$  in  $W$ . This concludes the proof of Proposition 4.3.2.  $\square$

5. PURELY  $p$ -ADIC PHENOMENA, THEOREM 1.2.6

In this final section we prove Theorem 1.2.6, which, for the convenience of the reader, is recalled below. The most general result which combines Theorem 1.2.3 and Theorem 1.2.6 is also proven here as Corollary 5.2.2.

**Theorem 5.0.1.** *Fix a prime  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_{K,S}$  of residue characteristic  $p$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$  be an abelian scheme,  $(a_n)_n \subset A_{\text{tors}}$  be a sequence of torsion points (possibly of order divisible by  $p$ ), and write  $E_n = \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \cdot a_n)$ , for a map*

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{p}} : A(\overline{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\overline{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})}).$$

*Then every component  $\alpha + \mathfrak{B}$  of the Zariski closure  $\mathcal{V}$  of*

$$\bigcup_{n \geq 0} E_n \subset \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \mathcal{A}$$

*is such that there exists  $B \subseteq A$  an abelian subvariety such that  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  contains  $\mathfrak{B}$  and the quotient  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{B}$  is of  $p$ -rank zero.*

The key input needed to adapt the strategy of the proof of Theorem 4.0.1 to the above, is the following  $p$ -adic version of the arguments of Section 4.2. Compared to the  $\ell$ -adic argument, the crux is to have some control on the reduction map from  $T_p(A)$  to  $T_p(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}})$  and to find a faithful representation of the endomorphism algebra of  $A$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . All this is summarised in the statement of Proposition 5.3.1, which we first use as a black box, and then explain its proof (in the very end of the section).

**Theorem 5.0.2.** *Let  $W$  be a  $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -invariant subspace of  $V_p(A)$  and denote by  $W_{\mathfrak{p}}$  its image in  $V_p(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . If there exists  $u \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  such that*

$$W_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq u \cdot V_p(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}),$$

*then there is an idempotent  $v$  in  $\text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  such that*

$$W_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq v \cdot V_p(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \subseteq u \cdot V_p(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

*In particular, if the idempotent  $u \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  corresponds to an abelian subvariety  $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , then  $v$  corresponds to an abelian subvariety  $B \subseteq A$  with the following property:  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  contains  $\mathfrak{B}$ ,  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}[p^{\infty}] = \mathfrak{B}[p^{\infty}]$ , and the quotient abelian variety  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{B}$  is of  $p$ -rank zero.*

**5.1. Proof of Theorem 5.0.2.** There exists a finite extension  $K'/K$  such that all endomorphisms of  $A \times \overline{K}$  are defined over  $K'$ . In first approximation we may replace  $K$  by  $K'$  (and we will find an abelian subvariety of  $A_{K'}$ , rather than  $A_K$ ). We explain at the end of the proof how to get rid of the extension  $K'/K$ , to find the desired  $B$  in  $A$ , using Lemma 4.2.4. The place  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a place of good reduction for  $A$ , and, we now have

$$\text{End}_{K'}(A_{K'}) = \text{End}_{K'_p}(A_{K'_p}).$$

As a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -vector spaces of dimension  $2g$ , we may also naturally identify  $V_p(A_{K'})$  with  $V_p(A_{K'_p})$ , via the map induced by  $\overline{K'} = \overline{K} \rightarrow \overline{K'_p}$ . We now use some facts that will be explained in details in Section 5.3 (where we let  $L$  to be  $K'_p$ ). Set  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be the field extension of Proposition 5.3.1, and consider

$$V := V_p(A_{K'}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E,$$

with its natural action of  $\text{End}(A_{K'}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$  and the  $\rho$ -action of  $\text{End}(A_k) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$  coming from (1) of Proposition 5.3.1.

We can consider the element

$$(5.1) \quad \pi \in (\text{End}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \cap (\text{End}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p),$$

defined in (2) of Proposition 5.3.1. By construction,  $\pi$  is a central element (it is a  $(\mathbb{Q}_p \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ -combination of powers of the Frobenius endomorphism  $F_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ ). We have that  $W \otimes E = w \cdot V$ , and that  $\rho(u) \cdot V$  is related to the abelian variety  $\mathfrak{B}$ . The key point of Proposition 5.3.1 is that

$$(5.2) \quad \rho(\pi)(V) = V^r \otimes E$$

where  $V^r$  denotes the kernel of the reduction map  $V_p(A_{K'}) \rightarrow V_p(A_{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})})$ . By assumption  $W$ , modulo  $V^r$ , is in the naïve Tate module of  $\mathfrak{B}$ , which is  $u \cdot V_p(A_{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})})$ . Thanks to (3) of Proposition 5.3.1, we may identify the  $W \otimes E$ , modulo  $V^r \otimes E$ , with  $\rho(u) \cdot V$ .

Thanks to the definition of  $\pi$  from (5.1), and (5.2), we have an inclusion, modulo  $V^r$ :

$$(5.3) \quad \text{Im}(w) \otimes E \subseteq \text{Im}(\rho(u)).$$

We can now apply Proposition 5.1.1 (which is proven below): there exists an idempotent  $v \in \text{End}(A_{K'}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  such that

$$w \cdot V + V^r \otimes E \subseteq v \cdot V + V^r \otimes E \subseteq \rho(u) \cdot V + V^r \otimes E.$$

The image of  $v$  gives, up to a quasi-isogeny, the desired abelian subvariety  $B \subset A/K'$ :  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  contains  $\mathfrak{B}$ , and by construction  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}[p^\infty] = \mathfrak{B}[p^\infty]$ . Equivalently the quotient abelian variety  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{B}$  is of  $p$ -rank zero.

To conclude the proof, we have to show how to get rid of the extension  $K'/K$ . Indeed assume that there is a finite extension  $K'/K$  (which we may assume to be Galois), a  $K'$  abelian subvariety  $B_{K'}$  of  $A_{K'}$  such that  $\mathfrak{B}_{\kappa(\mathfrak{p}')} contains  $B_{\mathfrak{p}'} = B_{K'} \otimes \kappa(\mathfrak{p}')$  for some prime  $\mathfrak{p}'$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{K'}$  above  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$  and, up to a finite subgroup,$

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{p}'}(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K').a_n) \subseteq B_{\mathfrak{p}'} \subseteq \mathfrak{B} \otimes_{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})} \kappa(\mathfrak{p}').$$

Here we use that  $E_n$  were actually  $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -orbits, to deduce the stronger version. Along the map  $K \rightarrow K'$ , we can identify, as  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -vector spaces  $V_p(A)$  and  $V_p(A_{K'})$  for some prime  $\ell$  (possible even  $\ell = p$ ). Consider

$$\text{End}(A_K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \subseteq \text{End}(A_{K'}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \subseteq \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(V_p(A)).$$

Lemma 4.2.4, which is just a simple application of Lemma 4.2.2, produces the desired conclusion (with  $E = \mathbb{Q}_p$ ).

5.1.1. *Variant of Lemma 4.2.2.* The variant of Lemma 4.2.2 needed for the previous argument is the following.

**Proposition 5.1.1.** *Let  $M, N$  be two semisimple finite dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebras, with  $M$  a subalgebra of  $N$ . Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be a field extension,  $\pi \in N \otimes E$  a central idempotent, and*

$$(N \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E) \hookrightarrow \text{End}_E(V)$$

be a faithful representation in  $V$  a finite dimensional  $E$  linear vector space. Let  $u$  be an idempotent of  $N$ , and  $w$  be an idempotent of  $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E$  such that

$$w \cdot V + \pi \cdot V \subseteq u \cdot V + \pi \cdot V.$$

Then there exists an idempotent  $v \in M$ , such that

$$w \cdot V + \pi \cdot V \subseteq v \cdot V + \pi \cdot V \subseteq u \cdot V + \pi \cdot V.$$

**Remark 5.1.2.** Let  $B$  be a semisimple algebra, and  $\pi$  be a *central* idempotent. Then we can write, as  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebras

$$B = \pi B \oplus (1_B - \pi)B.$$

Let  $I$  be a left (resp. right) ideal of  $B$  containing  $\pi$ , we may write

$$I = \pi B \oplus I',$$

for  $I' := (1_B - \pi)I$ . So we have a correspondence between left (resp. right) ideals of  $B$  containing  $\pi$ , and left (resp. right) ideals of  $(1_B - \pi)B$ .

For the proof of Proposition 5.1.1, we need two lemmas (the first being a simple fact in the theory of semisimple algebras).

**Lemma 5.1.3.** *Let  $M \subset B$  be a semisimple algebras,  $\pi$  a central idempotent such that  $B = M[\pi]$ . Then  $M$  surjects onto  $B/(\pi)$ , and every idempotent of  $B/(\pi)$ , comes from an idempotent of  $M$ .*

**Lemma 5.1.4.** *Let  $M, B, \pi$  be as in Lemma 5.1.3, and  $V$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space with a faithful action of  $B$ . Let  $u$  be an idempotent of  $B$ , and consider the ideal of  $B$*

$$I := uB + \pi B \subset B.$$

An element  $b \in B$  belongs to  $I$  if and only if

$$b \cdot V + \pi \cdot V \subseteq u \cdot V + \pi \cdot V.$$

*Proof.* We need only to prove  $\Leftarrow$ , the other implication being evident. Let  $b \in B$  be such that  $b \cdot V + \pi \cdot V \subseteq u \cdot V + \pi \cdot V$ . We write

$$b = \pi b + (1 - \pi)b.$$

We also have an induced decomposition on  $V$ :

$$V = \ker(\pi \cdot) \oplus \text{Im}(\pi \cdot).$$

As  $u$  and  $b$  commute with  $\pi$ , they are compatible with the above direct sum decomposition, that is  $u$  acts on  $\ker(\pi \cdot)$  as  $(1 - \pi)u$  and on  $\text{Im}(\pi \cdot)$  as  $\pi u$ , and similarly for  $b$ . We have

$$\text{Im}((1 - \pi)b) \oplus \text{Im}(\pi) = \text{Im}(b) + \text{Im}(\pi) \subseteq \text{Im}(u) + \text{Im}(\pi) = \text{Im}((1 - \pi)u) \oplus \text{Im}(\pi).$$

Hence  $\text{Im}((1 - \pi)b) \subseteq \text{Im}((1 - \pi)u)$ . But  $(1 - \pi)u$  is unipotent, by Lemma 4.2.2,  $(1 - \pi)b$  belongs to  $(1 - \pi)uB$ , say

$$(1 - \pi)b = (1 - \pi)ux,$$

for some  $x \in B$ . Finally  $b = \pi b + (1 - \pi)b = \pi b + (1 - \pi)ux = \pi b + u(1 - \pi)x \in I$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 5.1.1.* We first consider

$$X_\pi := (uN[\pi] + \pi N[\pi]) \cap (M[\pi]),$$

where  $u \in N$  acts diagonally on  $N[\pi]$ . It is a right ideal of  $M[\pi]$ , and as such it is generated by an idempotent  $m = m^2$  of  $M[\pi]$  (as recalled before the proof of Lemma 4.2.2):

$$X_\pi = mM[\pi].$$

Notice that  $X_\pi$  contains  $(\pi)$ , and so, by Lemma 5.1.3 (applied to  $B = M[\pi]$ ), we can write  $X_\pi = vM[\pi] + \pi M[\pi]$ , where  $v$  is an idempotent of  $M$  such that

$$v \pmod{(\pi)} = m \pmod{(\pi)},$$

that is  $v + (\pi) = m + (\pi)$ . Hence  $mM[\pi] = mM[\pi] + \pi mM[\pi] = vM[\pi] + \pi M[\pi]$ .

Notice that

$$X_\pi \otimes E = ((uN[\pi] + \pi N[\pi]) \otimes E) \cap (M[\pi] \otimes E).$$

We may apply Lemma 5.1.4 to  $b = v \in I = uN[\pi] \otimes E + \pi N[\pi] \otimes E$ , to get

$$v \cdot V + \pi \cdot V \subseteq u \cdot V + \pi \cdot V.$$

Again applying Lemma 5.1.4  $w \in uN[\pi] \otimes E + \pi N[\pi] \otimes E$ , since we know that

$$w \cdot V + \pi \cdot V \subseteq u \cdot V + \pi \cdot V.$$

By construction  $w \in M[\pi] \otimes E$ , so  $w \in X_\pi \otimes E$ , and we can write

$$w \cdot V + \pi \cdot V \subseteq v \cdot V + \pi \cdot V.$$

□

**5.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2.6.** The following is a version of Proposition 4.3.1 that works also for points of order divisible by  $p$ , the main difference being in the definition of the  $D_i$ . Here we denote by  $\text{red}$  the map

$$\text{red} : T_p(A) \rightarrow T_p(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

**Proposition 5.2.1.** *Fix a prime  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_{K,S}$ , of residue characteristic  $p > 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$  be an abelian scheme, and fix a map*

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{p}} : A(\overline{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\overline{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})}).$$

*For  $i = 1, \dots, C$ , let  $\mathfrak{B}_i \subset \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  be abelian subvarieties of  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Let  $D_i/K$  be the biggest abelian subvariety of  $A$  with the following property:*

$$\text{red } T_p(D_i) \subseteq T_p(\mathfrak{B}_i)$$

*Let  $(a_n)_n \subset A_{\text{tors}}$  be an arbitrary sequence of torsions points, and write  $E_n = \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \cdot a_n)$ . If*

$$E_n \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^C \mathfrak{B}_i,$$

*then there exists a finite set  $F \subset A$  such that for all  $n$*

$$a_n \in F + \bigcup_{i=1}^C D_i.$$



*Proof.* Heading for a contraction, we may pass to a subsequence of  $(a_n)_n$  for which, for every  $i = 1, \dots, C$ , we have

$$\text{ord}(a_n + D_i/D_i) \rightarrow +\infty,$$

as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . We will construct some  $i$  and a non zero abelian subvariety  $A' \subset A/D_i$  such that  $A'_p \subseteq \mathfrak{B}_i/D_{i,p}$ . The preimage of  $A'$  along the quotient map  $A \rightarrow A/D_i$  would then contradict the maximality of  $D_i$ .

For every  $n$  there exists an  $1 \leq i \leq C$  such that

$$\#\{\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K \cdot a_n) \cap \pi_p^{-1}(\mathfrak{B}_i)\} \geq \frac{1}{C} \#\{\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \cdot a_n\}.$$

Upon extracting a subsequence, we may and do assume from now on that  $i$  is fixed. And for simplicity set  $D = D_i$ ,  $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{B}_i$  and

$$d_n = \text{ord}(a_n), \text{ and } d'_n = \text{ord}(a_n + D/D).$$

By assumption we know that  $d'_n$  goes to infinity and, possibly extracting a subsequence, at least one of the holds true:

- (1) There exists a rational prime number  $\ell (\neq p)$ , and a sequence  $(x_n)_n \subset \mathbb{N}$  going to infinity, as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , such that

$$\forall n, \ell^{x_n} \text{ divides } d'_n.$$

- (2) There exists an infinite sequence of distinct prime numbers  $\ell_n (\neq p)$ , such that

$$\forall n, \ell_n \text{ divides } d'_n.$$

- (3) There exists a sequence  $(k_n)_n \subset \mathbb{N}$  going to infinity, as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , such that

$$\forall n, p^{k_n} \text{ divides } d'_n.$$

For the first two cases, arguing as in the proof of Proposition 4.3.1, we obtain the contradiction we were aiming for (we actually have a stronger conclusion, namely a true lift to characteristic zero, rather than a lift up to  $p$ -rank zero factors). For the third case, we can argue in parallel with the  $\ell$ -adic one. The only change needed is indeed to replace the reference to Proposition 4.2.1 by Theorem 5.0.2 which was proven in the previous section, and has the effect of producing a lift up to  $p$ -rank zero factors.  $\square$

As explained in Section 4.3.1, Theorem 1.2.6 easily follows by combining Proposition 5.2.1 and the weak vertical Manin–Mumford (Theorem 1.2.1). The arguments presented so far prove even the following stronger version.

**Corollary 5.2.2.** *Fix a prime  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_{K,S}$  of residue characteristic  $p$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$  be an abelian scheme,  $(a_n)_n \subset \mathcal{A}_{\text{tors}}$  be a sequence of torsion points, and write  $E_n = \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \cdot a_n)$ , for a map*

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathcal{A}(\overline{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\overline{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})}).$$

*Then every component  $\alpha + \mathfrak{B}$  of  $\mathcal{V}$ , the Zariski closure of*

$$\bigcup_{n \geq 0} E_n \subset \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \mathcal{A},$$

*is such that there exists  $B \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  an abelian subvariety with the following property:*

- *If the  $p$  part of the order of the  $a_n$  (or a subsequence thereof) is bounded, then  $B_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{B}$ ;*

- Otherwise  $B_p$  contains  $\mathfrak{B}$ , and the quotient  $B_p/\mathfrak{B}$  is of  $p$ -rank zero.

**5.3. Some  $p$ -adic Hodge theory (for abelian varieties with good reduction).** To conclude the paper we need to justify the steps of the proof of Theorem 5.0.2 that used some  $p$ -adic Hodge theory. The reader fluent in  $p$ -adic Hodge Theory can simply read Proposition 5.3.1 and its proof given in Section 5.7. Here we try to make our argument as understandable as possible, by recalling some standard facts in  $p$ -adic Hodge Theory.

**5.3.1. Notation.** Let  $p$  be a rational prime number,  $L$  be a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_L$  its ring of integers and  $k = \mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_{p^f}$  its residue field. Let  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{O}_L$  be an abelian scheme, of relative dimension  $g > 0$ , and denote its special and generic fibres respectively by  $A_k$  and  $A_L$ . We choose  $\bar{L}$  an algebraically closed extension of  $L$  and denote  $\bar{k}$  the corresponding residue field extension of  $k$ , so that we can properly define the  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -linear Tate modules:

$$(5.4) \quad T_p(A_k) := \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A_k[p^\infty](\bar{k})) \text{ and } T_p(A_L) := \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A_L[p^\infty](\bar{L}))$$

and the reduction map of the statement below. (The former is the *naïve* Tate module accounting only for the  $p$ -rank of  $A$ , whereas the second has rank  $2g$ .)

We denote by  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$  the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}$  inside of  $\bar{L}$ , so that the field  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}} \cap \mathbb{Q}_p$  is properly defined.

We write the corresponding  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -linear Tate modules as follows

$$V_p(A_k) = T_p(A_k) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p \simeq \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}_p, A_k[p^\infty](\bar{k})),$$

and

$$V_p(A_L) = T_p(A_L) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p \simeq \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}_p, A_L[p^\infty](\bar{L})).$$

We are interested in the reduction map  $A_L(\bar{L}) \rightarrow A_k(\bar{k})$  induced by

$$A_L(\bar{L}) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{L}}) \rightarrow A_k(\bar{k}).$$

Passing to the Tate modules there is a reduction map

$$T_p(A_L) \rightarrow T_p(A_k),$$

which is equivariant with respect to the covariant action of  $\text{End}(A_L) \subseteq \text{End}(A_{\bar{L}})$  whereas

$$\text{End}(A_k) \subseteq \text{End}(A_{\bar{k}})$$

acts a priori only on  $T_p(A_k)$ .

Inside of  $\text{End}(A_k)$  there is a specific element, the Frobenius of  $A_k$  relative to  $k$ ,

$$(5.5) \quad \text{Frob}_{A_k} : A_k \rightarrow A_k$$

associated to the  $q$ -power map, where  $q = \#k$ . On coordinates  $A(\bar{k}) \rightarrow A(\bar{k})$  it acts with the Frobenius map

$$\text{Frob}_{\bar{k}/k} : \bar{k} \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^q} \bar{k}.$$

(This is just the morphism of local ringed spaces given by the identity at the topological level and the  $|k|$ -power at the level of structural sheaves.)

5.3.2. *Purpose.* In difference with the  $\ell$ -adic reduction map, for  $\ell \neq p$ , the  $p$ -adic reduction map is never an isomorphism, unless  $A = 0$ , which makes it difficult to relate:

- On the one hand our special 0-cycles, which are related to abelian varieties of the special fibres, and to the action of  $\text{End}(A_k)$  on  $V_p(A_k)$ ;
- On the other hand the invariant subspaces of the global Galois group  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/K)$  from which  $L = K_p$ , which are related to the action of  $\text{End}(A_L)$  on  $V_p(A_L)$ .

To top it all, we may have no possible action of  $\text{End}(A_k)$  on  $V_p(A_L)$  and non-zero representation of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/K)$  on  $V_p(A_k)$ .

5.3.3. *Statement of the main result.* The notations are those of Section 5.3.1, and set  $V := V_p(A_L)$ .

**Proposition 5.3.1.** *There exist a field extension  $E$  of  $L$ , and a polynomial  $Q(X)$  with coefficients in  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \cap \mathbb{Q}_p$  such that:*

- (1) *The left action  $\text{End}(A_L)$  on  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$  extends to an  $E$ -linear left action*

$$\rho : \text{End}(A_k) \rightarrow \text{End}_E(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E);$$

- (2) *The kernel of the reduction map, which we call the ramified part of  $V$ , is denoted by*

$$V^r := \ker(V_p(A_L) \rightarrow V_p(A_k)),$$

*and the Frobenius endomorphism  $\text{Frob}_{A_k} \in \text{End}(A_k)$  satisfy the identity of  $E$ -linear subspaces*

$$\rho(\pi)(V \otimes E) = V^r \otimes E,$$

*where  $\pi = Q(\rho(\text{Frob}_{A_k}))$  is seen as an element of  $\text{End}_E(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)$ . Moreover  $\pi$  is a central idempotent;*

- (3) *Consider  $V \otimes E$  modulo  $V^r \otimes E$ . Via  $\rho$ , it is an  $\text{End}(\mathcal{A}_p) \otimes E$ -module, and it can naturally be identified with the  $\text{End}(\mathcal{A}_p) \otimes E$ -module  $V_p(\mathcal{A}_p) \otimes E$  (where the action on the latter is the natural one coming from the naïve  $p$ -adic Tate module of  $\mathcal{A}_p$ , as an  $\text{End}(\mathcal{A}_p)$ -module).*

The proof appears in Section 5.7, after all required objects are introduced and described (for example  $E$  is one of Fontaine's famous period rings).

5.3.4. *Witt vectors notations.* Two standard references for crystalline cohomology are [1] and [2]. We let  $W(k)$  denote the ring of Witt vectors with coefficients in  $k$  (and  $L_0 = \mathbb{Q}_q$  its fraction field), and  $F := F_{W(k)}, V := V_{W(k)} \in \text{End}_{W(\mathbb{F}_p)}(W(k))$  the *Frobenius* and *Verschiebung* operators.

In practice: the first acts on the Witt coordinates by applying on each coordinate the  $p$ -th power map

$$\text{Frob}_{k/\mathbb{F}_p} : k \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^p} k;$$

the second acts by shifting those coordinates.

Concretely the ring  $W(\mathbb{F}_p)$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  defined in a schematic way, whereas the ring  $W(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is naturally isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_q$ , the ring of integers of an unramified extension  $\mathbb{Q}_q$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  with residue field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . One should know that the fraction field  $W(k) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$  is naturally isomorphic to the maximal unramified extension  $L_0$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  contained in  $L$ .

Of relevance is the Dieudonné ring  $W(k)[F_{W(k)}, V_{W(k)}]$  as the (non commutative)  $W(k)$ -algebra of additive endomorphisms of  $W(k)$  generated by  $W(k)$  acting by ring multiplication, and  $F_{W(k)}$  and  $V_{W(k)}$  just defined. One usually recovers  $V$  from  $F \circ V = p = V \circ F$ .

**5.4. Crystalline-étale comparison theorem.** On the category of abelian schemes  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{O}_L$ , we consider the crystalline and  $p$ -adic étale cohomologies as contravariant functors

$$(5.6) \quad H_{\text{crys}}^1(A_k) \text{ and } H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\bar{L}}, \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

The first is a free  $W(k)$ -module of rank  $2g$  functorial in the special fibre  $A_k$ , and comes equipped with a  $W(\mathbb{F}_p)$ -linear endomorphism  $\phi \in \text{End}_{W(\mathbb{F}_p)}(H_{\text{crys}}^1(A_k))$  which is semi-linear with respect to  $F_{W(k)}$ : mapping  $F_{W(k)}$  to  $\phi$  makes  $H_{\text{crys}}^1(A_k)$  a  $W(k)[F_{W(k)}, V_{W(k)}]$ -module which is free as a  $W(k)$ -module.

The second is a free  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module of rank  $2g$ , and is functorial in the generic fibre  $A_L$ , and depends upon a choice of algebraically closed extension  $\bar{L}/L$ . It is in guise the usual Tate module, or rather its dual.

The following comparison theorem holds for whichever of the Fontaine rings  $E = B_{\text{dR}}$  (and then generalises to varieties, according to Faltings) or, sufficiently for abelian schemes,  $E = B_{\text{crys}}$ . Those constructions depend a priori on  $L$  and  $\bar{L}$ , but the resulting rings not, up to the relevant isomorphism. The construction of period rings, with all details, can be found in [14]. We following is a special case of the so called  $C_{\text{crys}}$ -theorem (for  $H^1$ s and abelian varieties with good reduction). Of course everything holds in a greater generality, even if we limit our discuss to abelian varieties. The general case is due to Faltings [10].

**Theorem 5.4.1.** *There is an field extension  $E$  of the fraction field  $L_0$  of  $W(k)$  and a natural isomorphism*

$$(5.7) \quad \gamma_{\text{crys}}(A) : E \otimes_{W(k)} H_{\text{crys}}^1(A_k) \xrightarrow{\sim} E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\bar{L}}, \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

of functors from the category of smooth projective varieties over  $\mathcal{O}_L$  to that of vector spaces over  $E$ .

The next remark explains the reason why we need to extend to  $B_{\text{crys}}$ -coefficients rather than  $\mathbb{C}_p$ .

**Remark 5.4.2.** Originally Tate [31] proved the so called Hodge-Tate decomposition for the first étale cohomological group of abelian varieties. That is that the existence of a canonical equivariant isomorphism

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\bar{L}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes \mathbb{C}_p \cong H^1(A, \mathcal{O}_A) \otimes \mathbb{C}_p(-1) \oplus H^0(A, \Omega^1) \otimes \mathbb{C}_p.$$

By the degeneration of the Hodge to de Rham spectral sequence in characteristic zero, the RHS is isomorphic to  $H_{\text{dR}}^1(A/\mathbb{C}_p)$ . The problem is that such isomorphism is, in general, not canonical.

On the homology side, we get the covariant  $W(k)$ -dual and  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -dual functors

$$H_1^{\text{crys}}(A_k) := \text{Hom}_{W(k)}(H_{\text{crys}}^1(A_k), W(k)) \text{ and } H_1^{\text{ét}}(A_{\bar{L}}) := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\bar{L}}, \mathbb{Z}_p))$$

and, passing to the  $E$ -linear duals, a natural isomorphism

$$(5.8) \quad \gamma_{\text{crys}}^{\vee}(A) : E \otimes_{W(k)} H_1^{\text{crys}}(A_k) \xleftarrow{\sim} E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} H_1^{\text{ét}}(A_{\bar{L}}, \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

**5.5. The Connected-étale exact sequence.** Let  $\bar{k}$  be the residue field of  $\bar{L}$ . We consider the reduction map

$$(5.9) \quad \text{red} : A(\bar{L})[p^\infty] \rightarrow A(\bar{k})[p^\infty],$$

in terms of the connected-étale exact sequence.

This sequence involves  $p$ -divisible groups and to do so we recall some notions from  $p$ -divisible groups and Dieudonné theory, following Demazure’s classical reference [6] (both over  $L$  and  $k$ ). To the abelian scheme  $\mathcal{A}$ , and the abelian varieties  $A_L$  and  $A_k$ , Tate attached  $p$ -divisible groups

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}} = \varinjlim_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mathcal{A}[p^n] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}[p^{n+1}],$$

and its generic and special fibres

$$\mathcal{G}_{A_L} = \varinjlim_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} A_L[p^n] \hookrightarrow A_L[p^{n+1}] \text{ and } \mathcal{G}_{A_k} = \varinjlim_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} A_k[p^n] \hookrightarrow A_k[p^{n+1}].$$

These are *ind-schemes* of groups schemes: its functor of points is tested against  $\mathcal{O}_L$ -algebras  $R$  and is  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}(R) = \mathcal{A}(R)[p^n]$ .

We denote the neutral connected components (with respect to the union of Zariski topologies) as

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}^0, \text{ as } \mathcal{G}_{A_L}^0 \text{ and as } \mathcal{G}_{A_k}^0.$$

These first and third are actually the formal Lie groups attached to  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $A_k$ .

The corresponding quotients are well defined as  $p$ -divisible groups and there are short exact sequences of  $p$ -divisible groups:

$$(5.10) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}^0 \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}} \xrightarrow{\varpi} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{over } \mathcal{O}_L,$$

$$(5.11) \quad \text{and } 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{A_k}^0 \xrightarrow{\iota_k} \mathcal{G}_{A_k} \xrightarrow{\varpi_k} \mathcal{G}_{A_k}^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{over } k.$$

The (co)homologies we evoked in (5.6) factor as functor through the “passing to the attached  $p$ -divisible groups over  $\mathcal{O}_L$ ”, and  $H_{\text{crys}}^1$ , resp.  $H_{\text{ét}}^1$ , factors even more through “passing to the special (resp. generic) fibre”. We can moreover identify respectively

$$H_1^{\text{crys}}(A_k) \text{ and } H_1^{\text{ét}}(A_L; \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

with the *covariant Dieudonné module*  $M(\mathcal{G}_{A_k})$  of  $\mathcal{G}_{A_k}$ , and its *Tate module*

$$T(\mathcal{G}_{A_L}) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathcal{G}_{A_L}(\bar{L})).$$

As Dieudonné modules description is fairly explicit by reduction to the finite group schemes  $A_k[p^n]$ , this can serve as a working definition of the  $H_{\text{crys}}^1$  and  $H_{\text{ét}}^1$  cohomologies applied to abelian varieties.

Important to us is that the Dieudonné module, resp. Tate module still make sense for any the  $p$ -divisible groups appearing in (5.11) and (5.10) respectively. Even though these are not the full  $p$ -divisible group of an abelian variety, or of an abelian scheme resp. We still get covariant functors

$$\mathbf{M}() \text{ and } \mathbf{T}()$$

on the category of  $p$ -divisible groups over  $k$  and  $L$  respectively, to that of free  $W(k)$ -modules and  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -modules respectively.

Applying the functors  $\mathbf{T}()$  and  $\mathbf{M}()$  to (5.10) and (5.11) respectively gives us exact sequences that we relabel

$$(5.12) \quad 0 \rightarrow T^0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow T^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow 0 = \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}^0) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{T}(\iota)} \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{T}(\varpi)} \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ét}}) \rightarrow 0$$

$$(5.13) \quad \text{and } 0 \rightarrow M^0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow M^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow 0 = \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{M}(\mathcal{G}_{A_k}^0) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{M}(\iota_k)} \mathbf{M}(\mathcal{G}_{A_k}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{M}(\varpi_k)} \mathbf{M}(\mathcal{G}_{A_k}^{\text{ét}}) \rightarrow 0.$$

*Fact.* We will use the following explicit description of the Dieudonné module of an étale  $p$ -divisible group  $\mathcal{G}$  over  $k$ . We have, as  $W(k)$ -modules, a natural identification

$$\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{G}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k) \simeq \mathbf{M}(\mathcal{G}).$$

We won't need to explicit semi-linear action making it a *Dieudonné* module, but we stress above identification is functorial, and in particular receives a left action of  $\text{End}(\mathcal{G})$ .

The reduction map  $\text{red}$  factors through the above exact sequences, by which we mean the commutativity of the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G}_A[p^\infty](\mathcal{O}_{\bar{L}}) & \xrightarrow{\varpi} & \mathcal{G}_A^{\text{ét}}[p^\infty](\mathcal{O}_{\bar{L}}) \\ \downarrow \text{red} & & \downarrow \sim \\ \mathcal{G}_{A_k}[p^\infty](\bar{k}) & \xrightarrow{\varpi_k} & \mathcal{G}_{A_k}^{\text{ét}}[p^\infty](\bar{k}). \end{array}$$

Applying the dualising  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, -)$  to pass to the Tate modules, we get equivalently

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T & \longrightarrow & T^{\text{ét}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \sim \\ T_p(A_k[p^\infty](\bar{k})) & \xlongequal{\quad} & T_p(A_k[p^\infty](\bar{k})). \end{array}$$

where in the bottom row is the naïve Tate module of  $A_k$ : its rank as a free  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  module is the  $p$ -rank of  $A_k$ .

In another formulation, we have the identity

$$(5.14) \quad \ker(\mathbf{T}(\varpi) : T \rightarrow T^{\text{ét}}) = \ker(T(\text{red}) : T \rightarrow T_p(A_k[p^\infty](\bar{k})))$$

**5.6. Unit root eigenspaces.** We now work with the connected-étale exact sequence of Dieudonné modules:

$$0 \rightarrow M^0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow M^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow 0.$$

**5.6.1. Dieudonné-Manin semilinear eigenspaces.** We recall a notion of eigenspaces for semilinear actions, and detail it for convenience. Let  $M'$  be any free Dieudonné module over  $k$ , namely a finite rank free module over  $W(k)$  equipped with  $W(\mathbb{F}_p)$ -linear endomorphism  $\phi$  which is semi-linear with respect to  $W(k)$ . We have the following notion of eigenspace on the  $L_0$ -linear vector space  $D = M' \otimes_{W(k)} L_0$ . Pick any  $W(k)$  basis of  $M'$  over  $L_0$ , the representative matrix  $\Phi$  of  $\phi$  applied to this basis has associated (generalised) eigenspaces in  $D \otimes_{L_0} \bar{L}$ , and these are defined over  $L_0$  when the corresponding eigenvalue belongs to  $L_0$ . A change of basis of matrix  $B$  will change  $\Phi' = \Phi \mapsto F_{W(k)}(B)\Phi B^{-1}$ , each eigenvalue obtained from the  $\lambda$  by some operation

$$\lambda \mapsto \lambda' = F_{W(k)}(c_\lambda)/c_\lambda \cdot \lambda$$

for some invertible  $c(\lambda)$  in  $W(k) \subseteq L_0^{\text{nr}}$ . As  $F_{W(k)}$  preserves the  $p$ -adic absolute values  $\|\cdot\|_p : L_0 \mapsto \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ , we will have the invariance

$$|\lambda|_p = |\lambda'|_p.$$

For every real number  $\mu$ , we let  $\bar{V}_\mu$  be the sum, in  $\bar{D} = D \otimes_{L_0} \bar{L}$ , of the generalised eigenspaces for eigenvalues  $\lambda$  having valuation  $\mu = -\log_p |\lambda|_p$ . Then  $\bar{D}_\mu$  does not depend on the choice of basis, and is defined over  $L_0$ .

This can be approached another way. Denote  $f = [k : \mathbb{F}_p]$ , so that  $W(k)^f$  will actually be the identity of  $W(k)$ . But the power  $\phi^f$ , being  $W(k)^f$ -semi-linear, will actually be  $W(k)$ -linear. We let  $P(X)$  be its characteristic polynomial, and  $P_\mu(X)$  be, in  $\bar{L}[X]$ , its maximal unitary factor whose roots with roots  $\lambda$  have valuation  $f\mu = -f \cdot \log |\lambda|_p$ . Then  $P_\mu(X)$  is actually defined over  $L_0$ , and the kernel  $D_\mu = \ker P_\mu(\phi)$  will produce  $\bar{D}_\mu = D_\mu \otimes_{L_0} \bar{L}$ .

These “Dieudonné modules”  $M'$  are also known as *crystals*, the corresponding  $D$  as *isocrystals*, and the subspace  $D_0$  as a *unit root* isocrystal.

5.6.2. *Unit root isosplitting of étale quotient.* It happens that the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M^0 \otimes_{W(k)} L_0 \xrightarrow{t} M \otimes_{W(k)} L_0 \xrightarrow{\pi} M^{\text{ét}} \otimes_{W(k)} L_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

$\swarrow \xrightarrow{s}$

is (uniquely) split as an exact sequence of  $(F_{W(k)}$ -semi-linear) modules, and that a (unique semi-linear) splitting is given by the unit root subspace

$$s(D^{\text{ét}}) = D_0 \text{ of } D = M \otimes_{W(k)} L_0, \text{ letting } D^{\text{ét}} := M^{\text{ét}} \otimes_{W(k)} L_0.$$

5.6.3. *Spectral projector  $\pi$  and functorial Frobenius.* Let  $\pi$  be the corresponding eigenspace projector

$$D \rightarrow D$$

with kernel  $D_0$ . It can be recovered in terms of  $\phi^f$  in the following usual way. Let  $K_0 \subseteq L_0$  be any field containing the coefficients of the factor  $P_\mu(X)$  of the characteristic polynomial  $P(X)$  of  $\phi^f$ . There is a polynomial  $Q(X) \in K_0[X]$ , uniquely defined upto  $P(X)$ , such that  $Q \equiv 0 \pmod{P_\mu(X)}$  and  $Q \equiv 1 \pmod{P_\phi(X)/P(X)}$ . For any such polynomial,  $\pi = Q(\phi^f)$  is uniquely is the sought for spectral projector with kernel  $D_0$ .

We now consider the  $q$ -th powers Frobenius endomorphism  $\text{Frob}_{A_k} \in \text{End}(A_k)$  from (5.5) of the abelian variety  $A_k$  with respect to the field  $k$ . We let  $\text{End}(A_k)$  act on  $\mathcal{G}_{A_k}$  as well.

Applying the functor  $\mathbf{M}()$  to it turns out to give again

$$(5.15) \quad \mathbf{M}(F_{A_k}) = \phi^f \text{ on } M$$

We now define

$$\pi = Q(\text{Frob}_{A_k})$$

as an element of  $\text{End}(A_k) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} K$ .

We may extend  $D : \text{End}(A_k) \rightarrow \text{End}_{L_0}(D(\mathcal{G}_{A_k}))$  by  $L_0$ -linearity, and now define

$$\mathbf{D}_{K_0} : \text{End}(A_k) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} K_0 \rightarrow \text{End}(A_k) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} L_0 \rightarrow \text{End}_{L_0}(D(\mathcal{G}_{A_k}))$$

and finally the element

$$\mathbf{D}_{K_0}(\pi) \in \text{End}_{L_0}(D)$$

is well defined, and (5.15) implies

$$\mathbf{D}_{K_0}(\pi) = Q(\phi^f) = \pi \text{ in } \text{End}_{L_0}(D).$$

5.6.4. *Comparison Theorem for  $p$ -divisible groups.* We now review the connected-étale sequence in our comparison by factoring, for abelian varieties, the crystalline étale comparison through the categories of  $p$ -divisible groups on  $\mathcal{O}_L$  and on  $k$ .

We refer to the dedicated book [13] of Fontaine, and most notably Appendix B therein. A more recent exposition can be found for example in [12, Chapter 4]. See also [11, Section 6]. In *op. cit.* Faltings describes more delicate problems about an *integral comparison* and works with  $B^+(\mathcal{O}_L)$ , rather than  $B_{\text{crys}}$ , but it is easy to see that those results imply the following.

**Theorem 5.6.1** (Comparison for  $p$ -divisible groups). *Let  $E$  be a period ring as in Theorem 5.7. There exists (a factorisation through) a natural ( $E$ -linear) isomorphism*

$$(5.16) \quad \gamma(\mathcal{G}) : E \otimes_{W(k)} H_{\text{crys}}^1(\mathcal{G}_k) \xrightarrow{\sim} E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{G}_{\overline{L}}, \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

of functors from the category of  $p$ -divisible groups  $\mathcal{G}$  over  $\mathcal{O}_L$  to that of vector spaces over  $E$ .

**Remark 5.6.2.** We actually know that  $\gamma(\mathcal{G})$  extends the map  $\gamma_{\text{crys}}(A)$  from Theorem 5.4.1.

We again use the  $E$ -linear dual comparisons

$$\gamma^\vee(\mathcal{G}) : \mathbf{M}(\mathcal{G}) \otimes_{W(k)} E \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{G}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} E$$

or equivalently, with the isocrystal  $D(\mathcal{G}) = \mathbf{M}(\mathcal{G}) \otimes_{W(k)} L_0$  and the  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -linear Tate module  $V(\mathcal{G}) = \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{G}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$ , with abuse of notations,

$$\gamma^\vee(\mathcal{G}) : D(\mathcal{G}) \otimes_{L_0} E \xleftarrow{\sim} V(\mathcal{G}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E.$$

5.7. **Assembling everything together.** The following enhances Proposition 5.3.1 into a more precise statement, which we are ready to prove.

**Proposition 5.7.1.** *Set  $D_\pi := \text{Im } \mathbf{D}_{K_0}(\pi)$  for the  $L_0$ -linear subspace of  $D = \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{G}_{A_k})$  given by the image of  $\pi$ . We consider the comparison isomorphism*

$$\gamma_A^\vee := \gamma^\vee(A) : V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E \rightarrow D \otimes_{L_0} E.$$

(1) *Then  $\gamma$  is equivariant with respect to the covariant (i.e. on the left) action of  $\text{End}(A_L) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} E$  on both side given by*

- *the  $\mathbf{M}()$ -functorial action of  $\text{End}(A_L)$  on the  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module  $M$ , extended  $E$ -linearly to  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ ;*
- *the composition of the reduction  $\text{End}(A_L) \xleftarrow{\sim} \text{End}(A_L) \hookrightarrow \text{End}(A_k)$  with the  $\mathbf{D}()$ -functorial action of  $\text{End}(A_k)$  on the  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -linear vector space  $D$ , extended  $E$ -linearly.*

(2) *With the notation of Proposition 5.3.1, we have the identity*

$$(5.17) \quad \gamma_A^\vee(V^r \otimes E) = D_c \otimes_{L_0} E$$

of  $E$ -linear vector subspaces of  $D \otimes_{L_0} E$ .

We conclude the section with the proof of Proposition 5.7.1, obtained by combination of arsenal of tools this section has reviewed.

*Proof.* The first part is only an explicit formulation of the functoriality in  $\mathcal{A}$  of the comparison isomorphisms, that is that  $\gamma^\vee$  is a morphism of *functors*. This requires only the abelian varieties comparison recalled as Theorem 5.4.1.



For  $e \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$  we apply  $\gamma^\vee$  to  $e$  to get the equivariance identity as the naturality commutativity in the morphism of sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E & \xrightarrow{\gamma^\vee(\mathcal{A})} & D \otimes_{L_0} E \\ & & \downarrow V(e) & & \downarrow D(e) \\ \downarrow \gamma^\vee(e) & & V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E & \xrightarrow{\gamma^\vee(\mathcal{A})} & D \otimes_{L_0} E. \end{array}$$

The second part involves the connected-étale sequence,  $p$ -divisible groups and the rest of the theory. We apply  $\gamma^\vee$  to the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}^0 \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}} \xrightarrow{\varpi} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ét}} \longrightarrow 0,$$

and get the isomorphism of exact sequences, in notations (5.12) and (5.13),

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} E & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{T}(\iota) \otimes E} & T \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} E & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{T}(\varpi) \otimes E} & T^{\text{ét}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} E \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \gamma^\vee(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}^0) & & \downarrow \gamma^\vee(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}) & & \downarrow \gamma^\vee(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ét}}) \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M^0 \otimes_{W(k)} E & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{M}(\iota) \otimes E} & M \otimes_{W(k)} E & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{M}(\varpi) \otimes E} & M^{\text{ét}} \otimes_{W(k)} E \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

In particular the kernel of  $V(\iota) \otimes E$  is sent to the kernel of  $D(\iota) \otimes E$ . We shall be done after checking that the identity  $\gamma(\ker V(\varpi) \otimes E) = \ker(D(\varpi) \otimes E)$  is the equality (5.17) we seek.

We can already determinate the upper kernel before passing to  $E$  from (5.14) via identity of sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}^0) & \xrightarrow{T(\iota)} & T(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}) & \xrightarrow{T(\text{red})} & T(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ét}}) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \downarrow \sim \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T^0 & \longrightarrow & T(A_L) & \xrightarrow{T(\varpi)} & T^{\text{ét}} \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Thanks to (5.14), we can identify  $T^0$  with  $\ker(T(\text{red}))$ .

Likewise, we determinate, the lower kernel before passing from  $W(k)$  to  $E$ , from the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M^0 \xrightarrow{\iota} M \xrightarrow{\varpi} M^{\text{ét}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

We note that  $\text{End}(\mathcal{G}_k)$  acts  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -linearly on this sequence: it acts on each term and the map are functorial. The kernel of idempotent (to prove)  $\mathbf{D}_{K_0}(\pi)$  is the unit root lift  $D_0 \subseteq D$  of  $D^{\text{ét}}$ . We want to check that its image  $D_\pi = \mathbf{D}_{K_0}(\pi)(D)$ , a supplementary subspace to  $D_0$ , is indeed the image of  $D^0 := M^0 \otimes_{W(k)} L_0$ . By exactness and dimensionality, it amounts to  $D_\pi$  having image  $\{0\}$  in  $D^{\text{ét}}$ .

The map  $\mathcal{G}_{A_k} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{A_k}^{\text{ét}}$  is  $\text{End}(A_k)$ -equivariant: namely, the connected component of  $\mathcal{G}_{A_k}$  is invariant under  $\text{End}(A_k)$ . By  $\mathbf{M}(\cdot)$  functoriality so is the map  $M \rightarrow M^{\text{ét}}$ , and equivariance extends  $L_0$ -linearly to an action of  $\text{End}(A_k) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} L_0$  on  $D \rightarrow D^{\text{ét}}$ .

We asserted that the unit root  $D_0 \subseteq D$  is a linear lift of  $D \rightarrow D^{\text{ét}}$ . By construction,  $D_0$  is invariant under  $\mathbf{M}(\text{Frob}_{A_k})$ . It follows the restriction map  $D_0 \rightarrow D^{\text{ét}}$  is  $L_0[\text{Frob}_{A_k}]$ -equivariant. Since  $\mathbf{D}_{K_0}(\pi) = Q(\mathbf{M}(\text{Frob}_{A_k}))$  will be 0 on  $D_0$  hence, by equivariance, on  $D^{\text{ét}}$ . This ends the proof of Proposition 5.7.1.  $\square$

**Remark 5.7.2.** We provide an alternative and direct explanation of the fact that  $Q(\text{Frob}_{A_k})$  acts on  $D^{\text{ét}}$  by 0. It relies on the remark that  $\text{Frob}_{A_k}$  is invertible when acting on  $\mathcal{G}^{\text{ét}}$ . Hence the action  $F'$  of  $\text{Frob}_{A_k}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -linear automorphism of  $M^{\text{ét}}$ : its eigenvalues will be invertible algebraic integers over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , hence  $p$ -adic units. Furthermore, the action of  $F'$  on  $D^{\text{ét}}$  being a quotient of that on  $D$ , the former's characteristic polynomial, say  $P'$  will be a factor of  $P$ , that of the later. As roots are  $p$ -adic units, it will be furthermore a factor of  $Q$ , by definition of  $Q$ . It follows  $Q(F')$ , which gives the action of  $\pi$  on  $D^{\text{ét}}$ , is indeed 0.

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. Berthelot. *Cohomologie cristalline des schemas de caractéristique  $p > 0$* , volume 407. Springer, Cham, 1974.
- [2] P. Berthelot and A. Ogus. Notes on crystalline cohomology. Mathematical Notes. Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press. Tokyo: University of Tokyo Press. VI, not consecutively paged., 1978.
- [3] F. A. Bogomolov. Sur l'algébricité des représentations  $\ell$ -adiques. *C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Sér. A*, 290:701–703, 1980.
- [4] B. Bollobás, S. Janson, and O. Riordan. On covering by translates of a set. *Random Struct. Algorithms*, 38(1-2):33–67, 2011.
- [5] A. Cadoret and B. Moonen. Integral and adelic aspects of the Mumford-Tate conjecture. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 19(3):869–890, 2020.
- [6] M. Demazure. *Lectures on  $p$ -divisible groups*, volume 302. Springer, Cham, 1972.
- [7] B. Edixhoven. Special points on the product of two modular curves. *Compos. Math.*, 114(3):315–328, 1998.
- [8] B. Edixhoven and R. Richard. A  $\bmod p$  variant of the André-Oort conjecture. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2)*, 69(1):151–157, 2020.
- [9] G. Faltings. Endlichkeitssätze für abelsche Varietäten über Zahlkörpern. *Invent. Math.*, 73:349–366, 1983.
- [10] G. Faltings.  $p$ -adic Hodge theory. *J. Am. Math. Soc.*, 1(1):255–299, 1988.
- [11] G. Faltings. Integral crystalline cohomology over very ramified valuation rings. *J. Am. Math. Soc.*, 12(1):117–144, 1999.
- [12] L. Fargues and J.-M. Fontaine. *Courbes et fibrés vectoriels en théorie de Hodge  $p$ -adique*, volume 406. Paris: Société Mathématique de France (SMF), 2018.
- [13] J.-M. Fontaine. *Groupes  $p$ -divisibles sur les corps locaux*, volume 47-48. Société Mathématique de France (SMF), Paris, 1977.
- [14] J.-M. Fontaine. Sur certains types de représentations  $p$ -adiques du groupe de Galois d'un corps local; construction d'un anneau de Barsotti-Tate. *Ann. Math. (2)*, 115:529–577, 1982.
- [15] A. Galateau and C. Martínez. Homothéties explicites des représentations  $\ell$ -adiques. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2006.07401, June 2020.
- [16] P. Habegger, G. Rémond, T. Scanlon, E. Ullmo, and A. Yafaev. *Autour de la conjecture de Zilber-Pink*, volume 52. Paris: Société Mathématique de France (SMF), 2017.
- [17] M. Hindry. Autour d'une conjecture de Serge Lang. (Around a conjecture by Serge Lang). *Invent. Math.*, 94(3):575–603, 1988.
- [18] H. Iwaniec. On the problem of Jacobsthal. *Demonstr. Math.*, 11:225–231, 1978.
- [19] H.-J. Kanold. Über eine zahlentheoretische Funktion von Jacobsthal. *Math. Ann.*, 170:314–326, 1967.
- [20] B. Klingler, E. Ullmo, and A. Yafaev. Bi-algebraic geometry and the André-Oort conjecture. In *Algebraic geometry: Salt Lake City 2015*, volume 97 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 319–359. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2018.
- [21] S. Lang. Division points on curves. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)*, 70:229–234, 1965.
- [22] D. W. Masser and G. Wüstholz. Factorization estimates for Abelian varieties. *Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci.*, 81:5–24, 1995.
- [23] D. W. Masser and G. Wüstholz. Refinements of the Tate conjecture for abelian varieties. In *Abelian varieties. Proceedings of the international conference held in Egloffstein, Germany, October 3-8, 1993*, pages 211–223. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 1995.

- [24] J. Pila and U. Zannier. Rational points in periodic analytic sets and the Manin-Mumford conjecture. *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei, Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Nat., IX. Ser., Rend. Lincei, Mat. Appl.*, 19(2):149–162, 2008.
- [25] R. Pink. A combination of the conjectures of Mordell-Lang and André-Oort. In *Geometric methods in algebra and number theory*, pages 251–282. Basel: Birkhäuser, 2005.
- [26] M. Raynaud. Courbes sur une variété abélienne et points de torsion. *Invent. Math.*, 71:207–233, 1983.
- [27] M. Raynaud. Sous-variétés d’une variété abélienne et points de torsion. Arithmetic and geometry, Pap. dedic. I. R. Shafarevich, Vol. I: Arithmetic, Prog. Math. 35, 327-352., 1983.
- [28] R. Richard. A two dimensional arithmetic André-Oort problem. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1808.07900, Aug. 2018.
- [29] J. B. Rosser and L. Schoenfeld. Approximate formulas for some functions of prime numbers. *Illinois J. Math.*, 6(1):64–94, 03 1962.
- [30] H. R. Stevens. On Jacobsthal’s  $g(n)$ -function. *Math. Ann.*, 226:95–97, 1977.
- [31] J. T. Tate.  $p$ -divisible groups. Proc. Conf. local Fields, NUFFIC Summer School Driebergen 1966, 158-183., 1967.
- [32] J.-P. Wintenberger. Démonstration d’une conjecture de Lang dans des cas particuliers. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 553:1–16, 2002.
- [33] T. Xylouris. On the least prime in an arithmetic progression and estimates for the zeros of Dirichlet L-functions. *Acta Arith.*, 150(1):65–91, 2011.
- [34] Y. G. Zarhin. A finiteness theorem for unpolarized Abelian varieties over number fields with prescribed places of bad reduction. *Invent. Math.*, 79:309–321, 1985.
- [35] D. Zywinia. An effective open image theorem for abelian varieties. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1910.14171, Oct. 2019.

I.H.E.S., UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-SACLAY, CNRS, LABORATOIRE ALEXANDRE GROTHENDIECK. 35 ROUTE DE CHARTRES, 91440 BURES-SUR-YVETTE (FRANCE)

*E-mail address*, G. Baldi: baldi@ihes.fr E. Ullmo: ullmo@ihes.fr

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE LONDON, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GOWER STREET, WC1E 6BT, LONDON, UK

*E-mail address*, R. Richard: rodolphe.richard@normalesup.org