

**TAUTOLOGICAL CLASSES ON MODULI SPACES OF
HYPER-KÄHLER MANIFOLDS. *ERRATUM***

NICOLAS BERGERON AND ZHIYUAN LI

ABSTRACT. This note is an erratum to the paper “Tautological classes on moduli spaces of hyper-Kähler manifolds.” Thorsten Beckman and Mirko Mauri have pointed to us a gap in the proof of [1, Theorem 8.2.1]. We do not know how to correct the proof. We can only recover a partial statement. This gap affects the proof of one of the two main results of [1], we explain how to correct it.

1. NOTATION

Following notation of [1, Section 3] we let \mathcal{F}_h^ℓ be a connected component of the moduli space of h -polarised (or h -ample) hyper-Kähler manifolds of dimension $2n$ and with second Betti number $b_2 = b + 3$, with a full ℓ -level structure. As in [1, §3.7] we furthermore denote by $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,h}^\ell$ the moduli space of h -ample Σ -polarised hyper-Kähler manifolds with full ℓ -level structure. We have a natural forgetful map

$$(1) \quad \iota_\Sigma : \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,h}^\ell \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_h^\ell.$$

Let

$$\pi_h^\ell : \mathcal{U}_h^\ell \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_h^\ell \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_{\Sigma,h}^\ell : \mathcal{U}_{\Sigma,h}^\ell \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,h}^\ell$$

be the corresponding universal families, let $r + 1$ be the Picard number of the generic fiber of π_h^ℓ , and let

$$\mathcal{B}_\Sigma^\ell = \{\mathcal{L}_0, \dots, \mathcal{L}_r\} \subset \text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{U}_{\Sigma,h}^\ell)$$

be a collection of line bundles whose images in $\text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{U}_{\Sigma,h}^\ell/\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,h}^\ell)$ form a basis.

We define the following subalgebras in $\text{CH}^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_h^\ell)$:

- $\text{NL}^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_h^\ell)$ is the subalgebra generated by irreducible components of the images of the maps (1) as one varies Σ ;
- the *tautological ring* $\text{R}^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_h^\ell)$ is the subalgebra generated by the κ -cycles

$$(\iota_\Sigma \circ \pi_{\Sigma,h}^\ell)_* \left(\prod_{i=0}^r c_1(\mathcal{L}_i)^{a_i} \prod_{j=1}^{2n} c_j(T_{\pi_{\Sigma,h}^\ell}^{\ell})^{b_j} \right);$$

Date: April 2021.

- the *special tautological ring* $\mathrm{DR}^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_h^\ell)$ is the subalgebra generated by the special κ -cycles

$$(\iota_\Sigma \circ \pi_{\Sigma,h}^\ell)_* \left(\prod_{i=0}^r c_1(\mathcal{L}_i)^{a_i} \right).$$

We add the subscript *hom* to denote the images of the the corresponding rings in $\mathrm{H}^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_h^\ell, \mathbb{Q})$ via the cycle class map. e.g. $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{hom}}^k(\mathcal{F}_h^\ell)$ denotes the image of $\mathrm{CH}^k(\mathcal{F}_h^\ell)$ in $H^{2k}(\mathcal{F}_h^\ell, \mathbb{Q})$.

Definition 1. If $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_h^\ell$ or $\mathcal{U}_{\Sigma,h}^\ell$, we will denote by $\mathrm{H}_{\leq d}^\bullet(\mathcal{U})$ the subalgebra of $\mathrm{H}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{Q})$ generated by the classes in

$$\mathrm{Hdg}(\mathcal{U})^{2k} := (W_{2k} \mathrm{H}^{2k}(\mathcal{U}))^{k,k} \quad \text{with } k \leq d.$$

when $d \leq 2n - 1$. When $d = 2n$, we define $\mathrm{H}_{\leq 2n}^\bullet(\mathcal{U})$ to be the subalgebra generated by $\mathrm{H}_{\leq 2n-1}^\bullet(\mathcal{U})$ and the relative Chern class $c_{2n}(\mathcal{T}_\pi)$.

Recall from [1, §2.5] that if X is a hyper-Kähler manifold we denote by $\mathrm{BV}^\bullet(X)$ the subalgebra of $\mathrm{CH}^\bullet(X)$ generated by all divisors and Chern classes $c_i(T_X)$. Similarly we define the Beauville-Voisin ring

$$\mathrm{BV}_{\mathrm{hom}}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}) \subset \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{hom}}^\bullet(\mathcal{U})$$

to be the subalgebra generated by the cycle classes of $c_1(\mathcal{L}_i)$ and $c_j(T_{\pi_{\Sigma,h}^\ell})$. Note that $\mathrm{BV}_{\mathrm{hom}}^\bullet(\mathcal{U})$ is a subring of $\mathrm{H}_{\leq 2n}^\bullet(\mathcal{U})$. We finally define

$$\tilde{\mathrm{R}}_{\mathrm{hom}}^*(\mathcal{F}_h^\ell) \subseteq \mathrm{H}^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_h^\ell, \mathbb{Q})$$

to be the subring generated by all the pushforwards

$$(\pi_{\Sigma,h}^\ell)_*(x) \quad \text{with } x \in \mathrm{H}_{\leq 2n}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}_{\Sigma,h}^\ell),$$

where we let $\pi_{\Sigma,h}^\ell : \mathcal{U}_{\Sigma,h}^\ell \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,h}^\ell$ vary over all Σ . By definition, we have

$$\mathrm{DR}_{\mathrm{hom}}^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_h^\ell) \subseteq \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{hom}}^*(\mathcal{F}_h^\ell) \subseteq \tilde{\mathrm{R}}_{\mathrm{hom}}^*(\mathcal{F}_h^\ell).$$

2. THE LERAY SPECTRAL SEQUENCE AND CUP PRODUCTS: A GAP

Following [1, §8.2] in this section we simply denote by $\pi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ a universal family of lattice polarized hyperkähler manifolds $\pi_{\Sigma,h}^\ell : \mathcal{U}_{\Sigma,h}^\ell \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,h}^\ell$.

Consider the local systems $\mathbb{H}^j = R^j \pi_* \mathbb{Q}$. It follows from [3, Theorem 1.1.1] that there is a splitting of the Leray filtration in the category of mixed Hodge structure, the degeneration of the Leray spectral sequence for π therefore gives an isomorphism of mixed Hodge structure

$$(2) \quad \mathrm{H}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \bigoplus_{i+j=k} \mathrm{H}^i(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{H}^j).$$

The cup product on the LHS of (2) induces a cup product on the Leray spectral sequence, and therefore a cup product on the RHS of (2). However

the isomorphism (2) does not preserve the ring structure; see e.g. [4, Prop. 0.4 or Prop. 0.6].

In [1, Theorem 8.2.1], we claimed that the two cup products on (2) agree on the subalgebra generated by low degree classes (degree $< \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathcal{F}$) up to removing some Noether-Lefschetz loci. Based on this result, we proved Theorem 8.3.1 and Theorem 4.3.1 in [1], which implies that

$$R_{\text{hom}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F}_h) = \text{NL}_{\text{hom}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F}_h)$$

when $n < \frac{b_2-3}{8}$. In particular, the cohomological tautological conjecture holds for K3 surfaces and $K3^{[2]}$ -type hyperkähler manifolds. However, in our ‘proof’, we only show that

Proposition 2. *Let α_1 and α_2 be two classes in $H^{\bullet}(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{Q})$. Assume that the sum the degrees of α_1 and α_2 is $< \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathcal{F}$, then*

$$(3) \quad \alpha_1 \wedge_{\text{LHS}} \alpha_2 = \alpha_1 \wedge_{\text{RHS}} \alpha_2,$$

up to removing some Noether-Lefschetz components.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of the cohomological generalized Franchetta Conjecture [1, Theorem 8.1.1]. \square

For the entire algebra $H_{< \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathcal{F}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{U})$, we actually do not know if (3) holds (up to removing some NL loci). In other words, we don’t know if the FKM ring is stable by the LHS ring structure in large degree.

3. THE CORRECTED COHOMOLOGICAL TAUTOLOGICAL CONJECTURE

It remains open whether the two cup products coincides (up to some classes support on NL loci) on the entire subalgebra of $\text{CH}_{\text{hom}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{U})$ generated by cycles of small co-dimension. This causes a problem in the proof of [1, Theorem 8.3.1] and hence of [1, Theorem 4.3.1].

As we will show in the next sections we can however prove the following theorem. The bound on n is weaker but it is good enough to apply to $K3$ surfaces and $K3^{[2]}$ -type hyper-Kähler manifolds.

Recall that $\pi_h^{\ell} : \mathcal{U}_h^{\ell} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_h^{\ell}$ denotes a smooth family of h -polarized hyperkähler manifolds over an irreducible quasi-projective variety \mathcal{F}_h^{ℓ} of dimension $b \geq 3$. We define the following conditions to state our main result:

(*) For every lattice-polarized universal family $\mathcal{U}_{\Sigma, h}^{\ell} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma, h}^{\ell}$, the group of Hodge classes of **degree $2\mathbf{n}$** of the very general fiber is spanned by Beauville-Voisin classes.

(**) For every lattice-polarized universal family $\mathcal{U}_{\Sigma, h}^{\ell} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma, h}^{\ell}$, the group of Hodge classes of **degree $\leq 2\mathbf{n}$** of the very general fiber is spanned by Beauville-Voisin classes.

Then we have

Theorem 3. *Suppose $b \geq \sup\{16n - 12, 12n - 4\}$. Then*

$$\mathrm{DR}_{\mathrm{hom}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F}_h^{\ell}) = \mathrm{NL}_{\mathrm{hom}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F}_h^{\ell}).$$

Moreover, we have $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{hom}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F}_h^{\ell}) = \mathrm{NL}_{\mathrm{hom}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F}_h^{\ell})$ if the condition $()$ holds and*

$$(4) \quad \mathrm{NL}_{\mathrm{hom}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F}_h^{\ell}) = \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{hom}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F}_h^{\ell}) = \widetilde{\mathrm{R}}_{\mathrm{hom}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F}_h^{\ell}),$$

*if either $b \geq \sup\{16n - 8, 12n - 2\}$ or the condition $(**)$ holds. In particular, the cohomological tautological conjecture holds for both K3 surfaces and K3^[2]-type hyper-Kähler manifolds.*

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 3

Before embarking into the proof we first collect some results that quickly follow from theorems proved in [1].

4.1. Noether-Lefschetz locus.

Definition 4. Let α be a class in $\mathrm{Hdg}^{2k}(\mathcal{U}_{\Sigma,h}^{\ell})$. We say that α is *supported on the Noether-Lefschetz locus* if there exist finitely many lattices $\Sigma_j \supset \Sigma$ with $\mathrm{rank}(\Sigma_j) = \mathrm{rank}(\Sigma) + 1$ and classes $\gamma_j \in \mathrm{Hdg}^{2k-2}(\mathcal{U}_{\Sigma_j,h}^{\ell})$ such that

$$\alpha = \sum_j r_j (\rho_j)_*(\gamma_j),$$

where $r_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ and ρ_j denotes the map $\mathcal{U}_{\Sigma_j,h}^{\ell} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\Sigma,h}^{\ell}$ corresponding to the forgetful map $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma_j,h}^{\ell} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,h}^{\ell}$.

Let $d = \dim \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,h}^{\ell}$. The main results in [2] and [1] imply the following

Theorem 5. (i) *For every degree $k < \frac{d+1}{3}$ or $k > \frac{2d-1}{3}$, we have*

$$\mathrm{Hdg}^{2k}(\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,h}^{\ell}) = \mathrm{NL}_{\mathrm{hom}}^k(\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,h}^{\ell}).$$

(ii) *Let $\alpha \in \mathrm{Hdg}^{2k}(\mathcal{U}_{\Sigma,h}^{\ell})$ with $k < \min\{\frac{d}{4} + 1, \frac{d+1}{3}\}$. If the restriction of α to the very general fiber of $\pi_{\Sigma,h}^{\ell}$ is zero, then α is supported on the Noether-Lefschetz locus.*

Proof. The first part is precisely the main result of [2].

The second assertion follows from [1, Theorem 6.4.1] and [1, §6.2]. In fact letting E be a finite dimensional $\mathrm{SO}(2, d; \mathbb{R})$ -representation and \mathbb{E} be the associated local system on $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,h}^{\ell}$, it is proved there that

$$(\dagger) \quad \text{for all } i < \frac{d}{4}, \text{ the space } (W_{2i}H^{2i}(\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,h}^{\ell}, \mathbb{E}))^{i,i} \text{ is spanned} \\ \text{by decorated special cycles in } \mathrm{SC}^i(\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,h}^{\ell}, \mathbb{E})$$

and, by definition, special cycle classes are generated by pushforwards of decorated fundamental classes of $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma',h}^{\ell}$, where Σ' is a lattice containing Σ and the pushforward is with respect to the forgetful map $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma',h}^{\ell} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,h}^{\ell}$.

We now proceed as in the proof of [1, Theorem 8.1.1]: under the hypotheses of the theorem, the class α belongs to

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^k (W_{2i} H^{2i}(\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma, h}^\ell, \mathbb{E}))^{i, i}.$$

If $i < k$ then by hypothesis we have $i < \frac{d}{4}$ and (†) applied to $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{H}^{2(k-i)}$ implies that the component of α in $(W_{2i} H^{2i}(\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma, h}^\ell, \mathbb{E}))^{i, i}$ is a linear combination of decorated Noether-Lefschetz cycle classes. Finally if $i = k$ the local system $\mathbb{H}^{2(k-i)}$ is trivial and we can similarly apply (i) to conclude that all the components of α can be decomposed as linear combinations of decorated Noether-Lefschetz cycle classes.

We are therefore reduced to proving that a decorated Noether-Lefschetz cycle class represents a class in $H^\bullet(\mathcal{U}_{\Sigma, h}^\ell)$ that is supported on the Noether-Lefschetz locus. To do so consider a forgetful map

$$\iota_{\Sigma', \Sigma} : \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma', h}^\ell \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma, h}^\ell \quad (\text{with } \Sigma \subset \Sigma' \text{ and } \text{rank}(\Sigma') = \text{rank}(\Sigma) + i),$$

a parallel vector \mathbf{v} in a local system \mathbb{H}^{2a} , and the corresponding cycle class

$$(\iota_{\Sigma', \Sigma})_* \left([\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma', h}^\ell] \otimes \mathbf{v} \right) \in H^{2i}(\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma, h}^\ell, \mathbb{H}^{2a}) \subset H^{2(i+a)}(\mathcal{U}_{\Sigma, h}^\ell).$$

The parallel vector \mathbf{v} corresponds to a class γ in $\text{Hdg}^{2a}(\mathcal{U}_{\Sigma', h}^\ell)$ whose restriction to the very general fiber is precisely \mathbf{v} .

By induction on d and up to classes supported on the Noether-Lefschetz locus of $\mathcal{U}_{\Sigma', h}^\ell$ the class γ is equal to

$$[\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma', h}^\ell] \otimes \mathbf{v} \in H^0(\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma', h}^\ell, \mathbb{H}^a)$$

in any given decomposition (2) of $H^\bullet(\mathcal{U}_{\Sigma', h}^\ell)$. Since $(\iota_{\Sigma', \Sigma})_* \gamma$ is obviously supported on the Noether-Lefschetz locus, it follows that

$$(\iota_{\Sigma', \Sigma})_* \left([\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma', h}^\ell] \otimes \mathbf{v} \right)$$

and therefore α are also supported on the Noether-Lefschetz locus. \square

Another key result is the following

Corollary 6. *Assume that $d < \frac{b}{2} + 1$. Then for any $\alpha \in \text{CH}^k(\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma, h}^\ell)$, the cohomology class $(\iota_\Sigma)_*[\alpha]$ is lying in $\text{NL}_{\text{hom}}^{k+b-d}(\mathcal{F}_h^\ell)$.*

Proof. By assumption, the class $[\alpha]$ belongs to $\text{Hdg}^{2k}(\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma, h}^\ell)$ and its pushforward image

$$(\iota_\Sigma)_*[\alpha] \in \text{Hdg}^{2(k+b-d)}(\mathcal{F}_h^\ell).$$

We then have distinguish two cases.

- (1) If $k + b - d > \frac{2b-1}{3}$, it follows from Theorem 5 (i), applied to \mathcal{F}_h^ℓ , that $(\iota_\Sigma)_*[\alpha]$ lies in $\text{NL}_{\text{hom}}^{k+b-d}(\mathcal{F}_h^\ell)$.

- (2) If $k + b - d \leq \frac{2b-1}{3}$, then $k \leq d - \frac{b+1}{3} < \frac{d+1}{3}$ and it follows from Theorem 5 (i), applied to $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma, h}^\ell$, that $[\alpha] \in \mathrm{NL}_{\mathrm{hom}}^k(\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma, h}^\ell)$. The assertion then follows from the fact that $(\iota_\Sigma)_*(\mathrm{NL}^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma, h}^\ell)) \subseteq \mathrm{NL}^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_h^\ell)$. \square

4.2. Inductive step. Throughout this subsection, we simply denote by $\pi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ the universal family $\mathcal{U}_{\Sigma, h}^\ell \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma, h}^\ell$. We make use of Theorem 5(ii) to investigate the difference between the rings

$$\mathrm{DCH}_{\mathrm{hom}}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}) \subseteq \mathrm{BV}_{\mathrm{hom}}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}) \subseteq \mathrm{H}_{\leq 2n}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}).$$

The following result shows that when $d = \dim \mathcal{F}$ is large enough, they only differ by some classes supported on the Noether-Lefschetz loci.

Theorem 7. *Let $\alpha = \prod_i \alpha_i \in \mathrm{H}_{\leq 2n}^{2k}(\mathcal{U})$ with $k \geq 2n$, where each α_i belongs to $\mathrm{Hdg}^{2k_i}(\mathcal{U})$ with $k_i \leq 2n$ and $\alpha_i = c_{2n}(T_\pi)$ if $k_i = 2n$. Suppose one of the following conditions holds*

- (1) $\dim \mathcal{F} \geq \sup\{8n - 3, 6n\}$, or
- (2) $\dim \mathcal{F} \geq \sup\{8n - 7, 6n - 3\}$, $k_i < 2n$ for all i , and $k_{i_0} \neq n$ for some i_0 .

Then, there exists $\beta \in \mathrm{DCH}_{\mathrm{hom}}^{2k}(\mathcal{U})$ such that

$$(5) \quad \alpha - \beta = \sum_j r_j (\rho_j)_*(\gamma_j),$$

with $r_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\gamma_j \in H_{\leq 2n-1}^{2k-2}(\mathcal{U}_{\Sigma_j})$ for some lattices $\Sigma_j \supset \Sigma$ with $\mathrm{rank}(\Sigma_j) = \mathrm{rank}(\Sigma) + 1$.

Moreover, in Case (1), β can be chosen of the form $a\mathcal{L}^k$ for some relative ample line bundle \mathcal{L} , while in Case (2), β can be chosen of the form $\mathcal{L}^{k-1}\mathcal{L}'$ for some $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}' \in \mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{U})$ with \mathcal{L} relative ample.

Proof. Let

$$\delta = \min \left\{ \frac{d}{4} + 1, \frac{d+1}{3} \right\}$$

be the constant appearing in Theorem 5(ii).

Lemma 8. *Let $\alpha \in \mathrm{Hdg}^{2k}(\mathcal{U})$ with $k \in]n, 2n]$. Suppose furthermore $k < \delta$. Then, there exist $\mathcal{L} \in \mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{U})$ relative ample and $\beta \in \mathrm{Hdg}^{4n-2k}(\mathcal{U})$ such that the difference $\alpha - \mathcal{L}^{2k-2n}\beta$ is supported on the Noether-Lefschetz locus.*

Proof. According to the relative Hard Lefschetz isomorphism

$$H^0(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{H}^{2k}) \cong H^0(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{H}^{4n-2k}),$$

we can find a class $\mathcal{L}^{2k-2n}\beta$ with $\mathcal{L} \in \mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{U})$ relative ample and

$$\beta \in \mathrm{Hdg}^{4n-2k}(\mathcal{U})$$

such that the restriction of $\alpha - \mathcal{L}^{2k-2n}\beta$ to each fiber is zero. Now by hypothesis we have $k < \delta$ and we can apply Theorem 5(ii) to $\alpha - \mathcal{L}^{2k-2n}\beta$.

We conclude that the latter is supported on the Noether-Lefschetz locus of \mathcal{F} . \square

We will now make repeated use of this lemma to prove the theorem in each of the two cases.

First consider Case (1), then by hypothesis $2n < \delta$. Lemma 8 applies to every product $\alpha_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_{i_r}$ with $k_{i_1} + \dots + k_{i_r} \in]n, 2n]$. Repeating this we get a class $\beta' \in \text{Hdg}^{2m}(\mathcal{U})$ with $m \leq n$ such that the difference $\alpha - \mathcal{L}^{k-m}\beta'$ satisfies (5). Note that the restrictions of $\mathcal{L}^{2n-m}\beta'$ and \mathcal{L}^{2n} to the very general fiber are proportional, Lemma 8 therefore implies that $\mathcal{L}^{2n-m}\beta' - a\mathcal{L}^{2n}$ is supported on the NL locus for some $a \in \mathbb{Q}$. As a result, we have

$$\mathcal{L}^{k-m}\beta' - a\mathcal{L}^k = \mathcal{L}^{k-2n}(\mathcal{L}^{2n-m}\beta' - a\mathcal{L}^{2n})$$

satisfies (5).

Now consider Case (2), then by hypothesis $2n - 1 < \delta$ and there is at least one class α_{i_0} of degree $\neq n$. Applying Lemma 8 as in Case (1) we therefore conclude that there exists a class $\beta' \in \text{Hdg}^{2m}(\mathcal{U})$ with $m \leq n - 1$ such that the difference

$$\alpha - \mathcal{L}^{k-m}\beta' \in \text{Hdg}^{2k}(\mathcal{U})$$

satisfies (5). By the relative Hard Lefschetz theorem, we can find a line bundle $\mathcal{L}' \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{U})$ such that $\mathcal{L}^{2n-m-1}\beta'$ and $\mathcal{L}^{2n-2}\mathcal{L}'$ agree on the very general fiber. It follows from Theorem 5(ii) that $\beta = \mathcal{L}^{k-1}\mathcal{L}'$ is as desired. \square

We derive the following result directly from Corollary 6 and Theorem 7.

Proposition 9. *Set $b = \dim \mathcal{F}_h^\ell$. Suppose that $b \geq \sup\{16n - 8, 12n - 2\}$ and $\text{DR}(\mathcal{F}_h^\ell) = \text{NL}_{\text{hom}}^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_h^\ell)$, then we have*

$$\text{NL}_{\text{hom}}^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_h^\ell) = \text{R}_{\text{hom}}^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_h^\ell) = \widetilde{\text{R}}_{\text{hom}}^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_h^\ell).$$

Proof. To ease notation we keep using $\pi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ to denote the universal family $\pi_\Sigma^\ell : \mathcal{U}_{\Sigma,h}^\ell \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,h}^\ell$ and let $\iota : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_h^\ell$ be the forgetful map. Under the assumption of the proposition we will in fact prove (the stronger result) that

$$(6) \quad \iota_*(\pi_*\text{H}_{\leq 2n}^\bullet(\mathcal{U})) \subseteq \text{NL}_{\text{hom}}^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_h^\ell)$$

for all $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$.

According to Theorem 7, once $\dim \mathcal{F} \geq \sup\{8n - 3, 6n\}$, any class in $\pi_*(\text{H}_{\leq 2n}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}))$ is a linear combination of classes in $\text{DR}_{\text{hom}}^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$ and in the images $(\iota')_*(\pi'_*(\text{H}_{\leq 2n-1}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}')))$ as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{U}' & \xrightarrow{\rho'} & \mathcal{U} \\ \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathcal{F}' & \xrightarrow{\iota'} & \mathcal{F} \end{array}$$

runs over all the universal family of sublattice polarized hyper-Kähler varieties in \mathcal{F} . By our assumption, the pushforward of $\mathrm{DR}_{\mathrm{hom}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F})$ is lying in $\mathrm{NL}_{\mathrm{hom}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F}_h^{\ell})$. So it suffices to prove

$$(7) \quad (\iota \circ \iota')_*(\pi'_*(\mathbf{H}_{\leq 2n-1}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{U}')))) \subseteq \mathrm{NL}_{\mathrm{hom}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F}_h^{\ell}),$$

with $\dim \mathcal{F}' < \dim \mathcal{F}$. This allows us to cut the dimension of \mathcal{F} whenever $\dim \mathcal{F} \geq \sup\{8n-3, 6n\}$ and we are reduced to prove that (6) holds as long as $\dim \mathcal{F} \leq \sup\{8n-4, 6n-1\}$. This finally follows from Corollary 6 and our hypothesis that $b \geq \sup\{16n-8, 12n-2\}$ since the latter implies that $\dim \mathcal{F} \leq \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathcal{F}_h^{\ell}$. \square

Note that the bound of b in Proposition 9 will be enough to prove Theorem 3 in the case of $K3$ surfaces as $b = 19 > 10$, but it fails short to deal with the case of $K3^{[2]}$ -type hyper-Kähler manifolds, where $b = 20 < 24$. To strengthen Proposition 9, we need the following result.

Lemma 10. *Suppose $b \geq 16n - 12$ and $n > 1$. Let $\pi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be the universal family of a lattice polarized hyper-Kähler varieties in \mathcal{F}_h^{ℓ} with $\dim \mathcal{F} \leq 8n - 4$. Then for any class*

$$\alpha = (c_{2n}(T_{\pi}))^m \prod \alpha_i \in \mathbf{H}_{\leq 2n}^{2k}(\mathcal{U})$$

where $\alpha_i \in \mathrm{Hdg}^{2k_i}(\mathcal{U})$ with $k_i < 2n$, we have

$$(8) \quad \iota_*(\pi_*(\alpha)) \in \mathrm{NL}_{\mathrm{hom}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F}_h^{\ell})$$

if one of the following conditions holds

- (i) $\dim \mathcal{F} \leq 8n - 6$;
- (ii) the α_i 's are either Chern classes of T_{π} or relative ample line bundles;
- (iii) there exists some $k_i \neq n$ and $\sum k_i \geq 2n$.

In particular, this implies $\iota_*(\pi_*\mathrm{BV}_{\mathrm{hom}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{U})) \subseteq \mathrm{NL}_{\mathrm{hom}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F}_h^{\ell})$.

Proof. As $b \geq 16n - 12$, when the condition (i) holds, we can conclude the assertion directly by Corollary 6. It remains to consider the case when $\dim \mathcal{F} = 8n - 5$ or $8n - 4$. We first consider the case where $\dim \mathcal{F} = 8n - 5$. In that case, the proof of Corollary 6 implies that (8) holds for every $k \neq \frac{8n-4}{3} + 2n$. It remains to deal with the case where $k = \frac{8n-4}{3} + 2n$ (the latter being forced to be an integer). Write $\alpha' = \prod \alpha_i$; it is a class of degree

$$\sum 2k_i = 2k - 4nm = \frac{1}{3}(4n(7 - 3m) - 8).$$

With the assumption (ii) or (iii) holds, a simple exercise shows that we are in one of the following situations:

- (a) $m = 2$ and α_i are relative Chern classes or relative ample line bundles,
- (b) $m < 2$ and there exists some $k_i \neq n$;
- (c) $n = 2$ and $\alpha = [c_4(T_{\pi})][c_2(T_{\pi})]^2$ or $[c_2(T_{\pi})]^4$.

We now deal with each of these cases separately.

Case (a). The class α' has degree $\frac{8n-16}{3}$ and it involves at most $\frac{2n-4}{3}$ distinct line bundles \mathcal{L}_i . Let Σ be the lattice generated by these line bundles and let \mathcal{F}'' be the corresponding moduli space of h -ample Σ -polarized hyper-Kähler varieties. Then α' can be obtained as the pullback of a cohomology class $\tilde{\alpha}$ on the universal family above \mathcal{F}'' , in other words considering the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{U}'' & \xleftarrow{\rho''} & \mathcal{U} \\ \downarrow \pi'' & & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathcal{F}'' & \xleftarrow{\iota''} & \mathcal{F} \end{array}$$

we have $\alpha' = (\rho'')^* \tilde{\alpha}$.

Now we have

$$\pi''_* (c_{2n}(T_\pi)^2 \tilde{\alpha}) \in \text{Hdg}^{\frac{16n-8}{3}}(\mathcal{F}'')$$

and

$$\dim \mathcal{F}'' \geq \dim \mathcal{F}_h^\ell - \frac{2n-4}{3} + 1 > 8n - 5.$$

Theorem 5 therefore implies that

$$\pi''_* (c_{2n}(T_\pi)^2 \tilde{\alpha}) \in \text{NL}_{\text{hom}}^\bullet(\mathcal{F}'')$$

and hence

$$\pi_*(\alpha) = (\iota'')^* (\pi''_* (c_{2n}(T_\pi)^2 \tilde{\alpha})) \in \text{NL}_{\text{hom}}^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$$

as pullback preserves the NL ring.

In case (b). It follows from Theorem 7 that $\alpha' - \mathcal{L}^{k-2n-1} \mathcal{L}'$ is supported on the NL loci of \mathcal{F} for some $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}' \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{U})$. Then it suffices to show that

$$(9) \quad \pi_* ([c_{2n}(T_\pi)]^m \mathcal{L}^{k-2n-1} \mathcal{L}') \in \text{NL}^{k-2n}(\mathcal{F}).$$

We proceed as in case (a). Let Σ be the lattice generated by \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L}' . The class $[c_{2n}(T_\pi)]^m \mathcal{L}^{k-2n-1} \mathcal{L}'$ can be obtained as the pullback of a cohomology class on the universal family associated to the moduli space of h -ample Σ -polarized hyper-Kähler manifolds. The rest of the proof is similar.

In case (c). The class α can be obtained as the pullback of a class in $H_{\leq 2n}^{2k}(\mathcal{U}_h^\ell)$ and we proceed as in the first two cases.

Finally, in case $\dim \mathcal{F} = 8n - 4$, (8) holds for all $k \neq \lceil \frac{8n-1}{3} \rceil + 2n$ from Corollary 6 and we can proceed the discussion for the case $k = \lceil \frac{8n-1}{3} \rceil + 2n$ similarly. \square

Proposition 11. *Suppose that $b \geq 16n - 12$, $n > 1$ and $\text{DR}_{\text{hom}}^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_h^\ell) = \text{NL}_{\text{hom}}^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_h^\ell)$. Then we have*

$$\text{NL}_{\text{hom}}^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_h^\ell) = \text{R}_{\text{hom}}^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_h^\ell)$$

if (*) holds;

$$\text{NL}_{\text{hom}}^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_h^\ell) = \tilde{\text{R}}_{\text{hom}}^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_h^\ell).$$

if (**) holds.

Proof. According to the proof in Proposition 9, we have

$$\mathrm{NL}_{\mathrm{hom}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F}_h^{\ell}) = \widetilde{\mathrm{R}}_{\mathrm{hom}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F}_h^{\ell})$$

once the inclusion (6) holds for any $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ with $\dim \mathcal{F} \leq 8n - 4$. So it suffices to check (6) when $\dim \mathcal{F} \leq 8n - 4$. When (**) holds, any class in $\mathrm{H}_{\leq 2n}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{U})$ can be expressed as a linear combination of classes which, up to a class supported on the Noether-Lefschetz locus, satisfy the conditions in Lemma 10. The assertion then follows from Lemma 10.

Similarly, to prove

$$\mathrm{NL}_{\mathrm{hom}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F}_h^{\ell}) = \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{hom}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F}_h^{\ell}),$$

it suffices to show that

$$(10) \quad \iota_*(\pi_* \mathrm{H}_{\leq 2n-1}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{U})) \subseteq \mathrm{NL}_{\mathrm{hom}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F}_h^{\ell}) \text{ and } \iota_*(\pi_* \mathrm{BV}_{\mathrm{hom}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{U})) \subseteq \mathrm{NL}_{\mathrm{hom}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F}_h^{\ell})$$

for all $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ with $\dim \mathcal{F} \leq 8n - 4$. With the assumption (*), any class in $\mathrm{H}_{\leq 2n-1}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{U})$ can be written as a linear combination of classes which, up to a class supported on the NL locus, satisfy the conditions in Lemma 10. Note that any class in $\mathrm{H}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{Q})$ supporting on the NL locus of \mathcal{F} of dimension $\leq 8n - 6$, its pushforward to $\mathrm{H}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F}_h^{\ell}, \mathbb{Q})$ lies in $\mathrm{NL}_{\mathrm{hom}}(\mathcal{F}_h^{\ell})$. Then our assertion follows from Lemma 10. \square

4.3. Proof of Theorem 3. Let $b \geq \sup\{16n - 12, 12n - 4\}$. We first prove the following

Lemma 12. *Let \mathcal{L} be a universal polarization of $\mathcal{U}_h^{\ell} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_h^{\ell}$. For all integer $k \geq 2n + 1$ we have*

$$(11) \quad (\pi_h^{\ell})_*(\mathcal{L}^k) \in \mathrm{NL}_{\mathrm{hom}}^{k-2n}(\mathcal{F}_h^{\ell}).$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on $k \geq 2n + 1$. Theorem 5(ii) implies that \mathcal{L}^{2n+1} is supported on the Noether-Lefschetz locus of \mathcal{F}_h^{ℓ} . Assume (11) holds for $k \leq k_0$. Now let $k = k_0 + 1 > 2n$. We are therefore reduced to prove that for every Σ and every $\gamma \in \mathrm{Hdg}^{2j}(\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,h}^{\ell})$ with $j \leq 2n - 1$ and $j + j' + b = k + \dim \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,h}^{\ell}$, we have

$$(12) \quad (\iota_{\Sigma})_*(\pi_{\Sigma})_*(\mathcal{L}_{\Sigma}^{j'} \gamma) \in \mathrm{NL}_{\mathrm{hom}}^{k-2n}(\mathcal{F}_h^{\ell}),$$

where

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{U}_{\Sigma,h}^{\ell} & \xrightarrow{\rho_{\Sigma}} & \mathcal{U}_h^{\ell} \\ \downarrow \pi_{\Sigma} & & \downarrow \pi_h^{\ell} \\ \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,h}^{\ell} & \xrightarrow{\iota_{\Sigma}} & \mathcal{F}_h^{\ell} \end{array}$$

and we write $\mathcal{L}_{\Sigma} = \rho_{\Sigma}^* \mathcal{L}$ for simplicity.

The proof of (12) is similar to that of Proposition 9. We first explain how to reduce to $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,h}^{\ell}$ with $\dim \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,h} \leq \sup\{8n - 4, 6n - 1\}$. Indeed: if

$\dim \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma, h}^\ell \geq \sup\{8n - 3, 6n\}$, Theorem 7 implies that there exists a constant a such that the difference

$$(13) \quad (\mathcal{L}_\Sigma)^{2n-j}\gamma - a\mathcal{L}_\Sigma^{2n}$$

is supported on the Noether-Lefschetz locus of $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma, h}^\ell$. It follows that

$$(14) \quad \mathcal{L}_{\Sigma'}^j \gamma - a\mathcal{L}_\Sigma^{k+\dim \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma, h}^\ell - b} = \mathcal{L}_\Sigma^{k+\dim \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma, h}^\ell - b - 2n} (\mathcal{L}_\Sigma^{2n-j}\gamma - a\mathcal{L}_\Sigma^{2n})$$

can be expressed as linear combinations of the pushforward of the class

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Sigma_i}^{j_i} \gamma_i \in H_{\leq 2n-1}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}_{\Sigma_i, h}^\ell)$$

via ρ_{Σ_i} , where $\rho_{\Sigma_i} : \mathcal{U}_{\Sigma_i, h}^\ell \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\Sigma, h}^\ell$ is the universal family of some sublattice polarized hyper-Kähler varieties and $\gamma_i \in \text{Hdg}^{2k_i}(\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma_i, h}^\ell)$ with $k_i < 2n$.

Since $b - \dim \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma, h}^\ell \geq 1$, the inductive hypothesis implies that

$$(\pi_h^\ell)_* \mathcal{L}^{k+\dim \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma, h}^\ell - b} \in \text{NL}_{\text{hom}}^\bullet \mathcal{F}_h^\ell,$$

therefore that

$$(15) \quad a(\pi_\Sigma)_* (\mathcal{L}_\Sigma)^{k+\dim \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma, h}^\ell - b} \in \text{NL}_{\text{hom}}^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma, h}^\ell).$$

and hence that the pushforward $(\iota_\Sigma)_*$ of the class (15) is in $\text{NL}_{\text{hom}}^{k-2n}(\mathcal{F}_h^\ell)$.

We are therefore reduced to show that $(\iota_{\Sigma_i})_*(\pi_{\Sigma_i})_*(\mathcal{L}_{\Sigma_i}^{j_i} \gamma_i) \in \text{NL}_{\text{hom}}^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_h^\ell)$ and this allows us to reduce the dimension of $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma, h}^\ell$.

It remains to prove (12) when $\dim \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma, h}^\ell \leq \sup\{8n - 4, 6n - 1\}$. Since $b \geq \sup\{16n - 12, 12n - 4\}$, Corollary 6 applies as long as $\dim \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma, h}^\ell \leq \sup\{8n - 6, 6n - 2\}$ and we are left with the cases where $\dim \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma, h}^\ell = 8n - 4$ or $8n - 5$ (if $n > 1$). In both cases, any class of the form (12) satisfies the condition (iii) of Lemma 10 and hence belongs to $\text{NL}_{\text{hom}}^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_h^\ell)$. \square

Strengthening the proof of Lemma 12 we get:

Lemma 13. *Consider $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma, h}^\ell$ of dimension $\geq \sup\{8n - 5, 6n - 2\}$. Let $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_m \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{U}_{\Sigma, h}^\ell)$ and $\gamma \in \text{Hdg}^{2k}(\mathcal{U}_{\Sigma, h}^\ell)$ with $k < 2n$. Suppose $m + k > 2n$, then we have*

$$(16) \quad (\iota_\Sigma)_*(\pi_\Sigma)_*(\mathcal{L}_1 \mathcal{L}_2 \dots \mathcal{L}_m \gamma) \in \text{NL}_{\text{hom}}^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_h^\ell).$$

Proof. If $\dim \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma, h}^\ell \geq \sup\{8n - 3, 6n\}$, Theorem 7 implies that there exists a constant a such that the class

$$(17) \quad \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{L}_i \right) \gamma - a\mathcal{L}_\Sigma^{k+m}$$

is supported on the Noether-Lefschetz locus of $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma, h}^\ell$. Here $\mathcal{L}_\Sigma = \rho_\Sigma^* \mathcal{L}$ still denotes the pull-back of the universal polarisation fixed in Lemma 12.

Since $m + k > 2n$, Lemma 12 implies that $(\pi_h^\ell)_*(\mathcal{L}^{k+m})$ belongs to $\mathrm{NL}_{\mathrm{hom}}^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_h^\ell)$. We conclude that

$$(\pi_\Sigma)_*(\mathcal{L}_\Sigma^{k+m}) \in \mathrm{NL}_{\mathrm{hom}}^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,h}^\ell).$$

It remains to prove that the pushforward of (17) is lying in $\mathrm{NL}_{\mathrm{hom}}^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_h^\ell)$. By a repeated use of Theorem 7, as in the proof of Lemma 12, we are inductively reduced to prove that (16) holds when $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,h}^\ell \leq \sup\{8n-4, 6n-1\}$. The two cases we are left with follow from Lemma 10. \square

Lemma 13 implies in particular that for all $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,h}^\ell$ of dimension $\geq \sup\{8n-3, 6n\}$ we have

$$(\iota_\Sigma)_*((\pi_{\Sigma,h}^\ell)_*\mathrm{DCH}_{\mathrm{hom}}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}_{\Sigma,h}^\ell)) \subseteq \mathrm{NL}_{\mathrm{hom}}^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_h^\ell),$$

and hence $\mathrm{DR}_{\mathrm{hom}}^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_h^\ell) = \mathrm{NL}_{\mathrm{hom}}^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_h^\ell)$. Then we get (4) from Proposition 9 and Proposition 11.

To conclude the proof of Theorem 3, it remains to check that both $K3$ surfaces and $K3^{[2]}$ -type hyper-Kähler manifolds satisfy the conditions.

For $K3$ surfaces, the second Betti number is 22 and hence $b = \dim \mathcal{F}_h^\ell = 19$, which is greater than both $16 - 8 = 8$ and $12 - 2 = 10$.

For $K3^{[2]}$ -type hyper-Kähler manifolds, the second Betti number is 23 and $b = \dim \mathcal{F}_h^\ell = 20$, which is exactly $16 \times 2 - 12 = 12 \times 2 - 4$. In this case, as a representation of $\mathrm{O}(2, b; \mathbb{R})$ we have $\mathbb{H}^4 = \mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathbb{H}^2)$. The primitive part of \mathbb{H}^2 is the standard representation of $\mathrm{O}(h^\perp)$. As a representation of $\mathrm{O}(\Sigma^\perp)$, the space \mathbb{H}^2 decomposes as the direct sum of the subspace spanned by Σ on which $\mathrm{O}(\Sigma^\perp)$ acts trivially and the standard representation $\mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{prim}}^2$.

Then the trivial isotypic subspace of $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathbb{H}^2)$ decomposes as the direct sum of $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\langle \Sigma \rangle)$ and the trivial summand in $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{prim}}^2)$; in other words the trivial isotypic subspace is spanned by products of line bundle classes and the second Chern class of the tangent bundle. This implies condition (*).

Remark 14. From our proof, one can see that we do not need the full strength of condition (*) or (**). What we really need is that: the group of Hodge classes of degree $= 2n$ (or $\leq 2n$ respectively) on general fibers of $\mathcal{U}_{\Sigma,h}^\ell \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,h}^\ell$ is spanned by the product of line bundles and classes which can descend to the general fiber of $\mathcal{U}_h^\ell \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_h^\ell$.

5. ANOTHER CORRECTION

There are some minor issues in the paper. In section 2.4, we say that the orthogonal group G_X can act on the entire cohomology ring of X via the monodromy action. This is not true in general. There is only a Spin group action on the entire cohomology ring and it does not factor through the orthogonal group in general (e.g. this fails for generalized Kummer varieties). But G_X does act on the ring of even degree cohomology groups via the LLV action. Two actions agree after taking level structures (passing

to a finite index subgroup). See [1, Remark 2.4.1]. Note that the G_X action is only needed to construct theta classes in even degrees, so this does not affect our results.

REFERENCES

- [1] Nicolas Bergeron and Zhiyuan Li. Tautological classes on moduli spaces of hyper-Kähler manifolds. *Duke Math. J.*, 168(7):1179–1230, 2019.
- [2] Nicolas Bergeron, Zhiyuan Li, John Millson, and Colette Moeglin. The Noether-Lefschetz conjecture and generalizations. *Invent. Math.*, 208(2):501–552, 2017.
- [3] Mark Andrea A. de Cataldo. Hodge-theoretic splitting mechanisms for projective maps. *J. Singul.*, 7:134–156, 2013.
- [4] Claire Voisin. Chow rings and decomposition theorems for families of $K3$ surfaces and Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces. *Geom. Topol.*, 16(1):433–473, 2012.

SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉ, INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE JUSSIEU–PARIS RIVE GAUCHE,
CNRS, UNIV PARIS DIDEROT, F-75005, PARIS, FRANCE

Email address: nicolas.bergeron@imj-prg.fr

SHANGHAI CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, 220 HANDAN
ROAD, SHANGHAI, 200433 CHINA,

Email address: zhiyuan_li@fudan.edu.cn