

Note About Null Dimensional Reduction of M5-Brane

J. Klusoň

*Department of Theoretical Physics and Astrophysics
Faculty of Science, Masaryk University
Kotlářská 2, 611 37, Brno
Czech Republic
E-mail: klu@physics.muni.cz*

ABSTRACT: In this short note we study null dimensional reduction of M5-brane covariant action. We analyse longitudinal dimensional reduction that leads to non-relativistic D4-brane and transverse reduction that leads to NS5-brane in non-relativistic string theory.

Contents

1. Introduction and Summary	1
2. Covariant M5-Brane Action and Spatial Dimensional Reduction	3
3. Null Dimensional Reduction of M5-brane	8
4. M5-brane Transverse to Null Direction	13

1. Introduction and Summary

It has been known from the late nineties that string theories have origin in eleven dimensional theory- M-theory [1]. Since all string theories can be considered as limits of M-theory it is clear that M-theory has to contain extended objects that are parent objects of fundamental strings, D-branes and NS5-branes [11], for review see for example [12]. Fundamental object of M-theory is M2-brane as string is fundamental for string theory since M2-brane electrically couples to three form $C^{(3)}$ in the same way as string couples to two form $B^{(2)}$. On the other hand more interesting object in M-theory is M5-brane [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. This is six dimensional object with an exceptional property that on its world-volume self-dual three form field propagates. This fact makes the analysis of this theory rather complicated. On the other hand covariant action for M5-brane was proposed and extensively studied in [4, 5, 6]. In particular, it was shown in [4] that this M5-brane dimensionally reduces into D4-brane under double dimensional reduction and it was also shown in [14] that D3-brane action arises through double dimensional reduction of M5-brane action on two torus despite of the fact that the analysis is rather complicated [14].

It would be certainly interesting to check properties of covariant M5-brane action in another situation. Such an interesting example could be null dimensional reduction of M-theory. It is well known that null dimensional reduction is rather subtle at the level of gravity action [2, 3] however it can be performed at the level of equations of motion. Further, it is also well known that null dimensional reduction of gravity leads to torsional Newton-Cartan gravity [3, 20] in lower dimensional space-time. Clearly we can deduce that null dimensional reduction of M-theory would lead to torsional Newton-Cartan string theory even if the precise study of this problem has not been performed yet ¹. On the other hand we can ask the question how extended objects in M-theory map under null dimensional reductions. In our previous paper [17] we studied null dimensional reduction

¹See also [16]. For another study of non-relativistic limits of M-theory, see [18, 19].

of M2-brane. We showed that when we have M2-brane wrapped null direction we get fundamental string action in torsional Newton-Cartan string theory. Further, when we consider M2-brane transverse to this null direction we get D2-brane action in the same background. Then we can ask the question how this procedure can be applied in case of M5-brane. Exactly the goal of this paper is to study this problem. To begin with we firstly review dimensional reduction along space-like circle that should lead to D4-brane in Type IIA theory. This procedure was firstly discussed in [4] but we analyse this problem in more details and in slightly different way. First of all we perform spatial dimensional reduction of M5-brane that leads to five dimensional action with propagating two form on its world-volume. Since two form in five dimensions is dual to one form it is natural to perform duality transformations following [8, 13]. We show that dual action for dimensional reduced M5-brane action is D4-brane action in Type IIA theory. We would like to stress that this analysis could be considered as dual to the analysis performed in [8] where the starting point was D4-brane and then it was shown that dual action corresponds to M5-brane action dimensionally reduced along spatial dimension.

We extend this idea to the case of null dimensional reduction of M5-brane. We start with the discussion of the background with null isometry following [2, 3]. Then we insert this background metric into covariant M5-brane action and we obtain its dimensionally reduced form. Again since this action still depends on two form field it is natural to perform its duality transformation. Now we should be more careful than in case of relativistic M5-brane since the induced metric corresponds to Newton-Cartan metric where there is no Lorentz invariance in the target space-time. For that reason we consider more general situation with diagonal components of spatial metric $h^{\alpha\beta}$, non-zero component of time one form τ_α together with non-zero components of m_α where meaning of these symbols will be given below. Then we will be able to perform duality transformation as in case of the spatial dimensional reduction and we argue that resulting action corresponds to D4-brane in torsional Newton-Cartan background. This is main result of this paper that together with the paper [17] show that extended objects in M-theory map under null dimensional reductions to fundamental strings and D-branes in torsional Newton-Cartan string theory. It is very interesting that these actions have functionally the same form as their relativistic counterparts since they depend on the pull-back of the Newton-Cartan volume form [20]. This is also especially interesting when we compare these actions with the actions of non-relativistic strings and D-branes that are derived either by specific limiting procedure [21, 22, 23, 24], see also [25, 26, 27], or by null reduction of relativistic string [28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33]. It would be certainly very interesting to understand relations between these string theories in more details.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section (2) we review main properties of M5-brane action and perform its dimensional reduction along spatial dimension. In section (3) we perform dimensional reduction when M5-brane wraps null direction. Finally in section (4) we briefly mention dimensional reduction of M5-brane when it is transverse to null direction. The resulting action should correspond to NS5-brane in torsional Newton-Cartan string theory.

2. Covariant M5-Brane Action and Spatial Dimensional Reduction

In this section we introduce basic notation and study spatial dimensional reduction of M5-brane action. Let us consider covariant form of M5-brane action that was introduced in [4, 5, 6, 7], see also [8, 9]. Explicitly, we consider M5-brane action in the form ²

$$S = -T_{M5} \int d^6 \xi \left[\sqrt{-\det \left(\hat{g}_{mn} + i \frac{\hat{H}_{mn}^*}{\sqrt{\partial a \partial a}} \right)} - \frac{\sqrt{-\hat{g}}}{4 \partial a \partial a} \hat{H}^{*mn} H_{mnr} \partial^r a \right], \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$\widehat{\partial a \partial a} = \partial_m a \hat{g}^{mn} \partial_n a, \quad (2.2)$$

and where

$$m, n = 0, 1, \dots, 5, \quad (2.3)$$

are vector indices of $d = 6$ world-volume coordinates ξ^m ,

$$M, N = 0, 1, \dots, 10 \quad (2.4)$$

are vector indices of $D = 11$ target space-time coordinates X^M

$$\hat{g}_{mn} = \partial_m X^M \hat{g}_{MN} \partial_n X^N \quad (2.5)$$

is the world-volume metric that is induced by embedding the five-brane into $D = 11$ background with metric \hat{g}_{MN} . Further, we have

$$\hat{H}_{mn}^* = \frac{1}{6 \sqrt{-\hat{g}}} \hat{g}_{mr} \hat{g}_{ns} \epsilon^{rstuvw} H_{tuv} \partial_w a, \quad (2.6)$$

where H_{mnl} is the field strength for the world-volume antisymmetric tensor B_{mn}

$$H_{mnl} = \partial_l B_{mn} + \partial_m B_{nl} + \partial_n B_{lm}. \quad (2.7)$$

Finally a is world-volume scalar field that ensures the covariance of the model.

The M5-brane action is invariant under following gauge symmetries [4]. The first one is usual gauge symmetry

$$\delta a = 0, \quad \delta B_{mn} = \partial_m \phi_n - \partial_n \phi_m. \quad (2.8)$$

There is another non-trivial gauge symmetry in the form [4, 5, 6]

$$\delta B_{mn} = \phi_m \partial_n a - \partial_m a \phi_n, \quad \delta a = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

Finally the action is invariant under transformation [4, 5, 6]

$$\delta a = \phi, \quad \delta B_{mn} = \frac{\delta a}{\sqrt{\partial a \partial a}} \left[\hat{H}_{mn}^* - H_{mnpq} \hat{g}^{ps} \frac{\partial_s a}{\sqrt{\partial a \partial a}} \right], \quad (2.10)$$

²We work with the metric of the signature $(-, +, \dots, +)$.

where

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{mn}^* = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-\hat{g}}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{DBI}}{\delta \hat{H}^{*mn}}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{DBI} = \sqrt{-\det \left(\hat{g}_{mn} + i \frac{\hat{H}_{mn}^*}{\sqrt{\hat{\partial} a \hat{\partial} a}} \right)}. \quad (2.11)$$

The symmetries (2.9) and (2.10) are fundamental properties of M5-brane action (2.1). They ensure that B_{mn} equations of motion reduce to self-duality conditions, see [4, 5, 6] for more details. As was shown in [4] the equation of motion for $a(\xi)$ is consequence of the equations of motion for B_{mn} and hence it is not a new solution. As a result a can be eliminated by fixing the gauge transformations (2.9). Following [4] we fix a by imposing condition

$$\partial_m a = \delta_m^5. \quad (2.12)$$

Then using (2.9) we get

$$\delta B_{5m} = \frac{1}{2} \phi_m \quad (2.13)$$

and hence we can fix components of B_{5m} to be equal to zero

$$B_{5m} = 0. \quad (2.14)$$

We impose this condition in case of spatial and null dimensional reduction of M5-brane.

Before we proceed to the case of null dimensional reduction we review spatial dimensional reduction of M5-brane. To do this we consider eleven dimensional line element in the form

$$ds^2 = e^{-\frac{2}{3}\phi} g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + e^{\frac{4}{3}\phi} (dy - C_\mu dx^\mu)^2, \quad (2.15)$$

where $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, \dots, 9$. We impose spatial dimensional reduction when we presume that y coincides with ξ^5 and when all world-volume fields do not depend on ξ^5 except a that obeys the condition (2.12). Let us denote remaining world-volume coordinates as $\xi^\alpha, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots = 0, 1, \dots, 4$. Then using (2.14) and also the fact that all world-volume fields do not depend on ξ^5 we find that there are non-zero components of $H_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ only.

Then with the help of (2.15) we find that components of the induced metric are equal to

$$\hat{g}_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\alpha x^\mu \hat{g}_{\mu\nu} \partial_\beta x^\nu = e^{-\frac{2}{3}\phi} g_{\alpha\beta} + e^{\frac{4}{3}\phi} C_\alpha C_\beta, \quad \hat{g}_{\alpha y} = -e^{\frac{4}{3}\phi} C_\alpha, \quad \hat{g}_{yy} = e^{\frac{4}{3}\phi} \quad (2.16)$$

with corresponding components of the inverse metric \hat{g}^{mn}

$$\hat{g}^{\alpha\beta} = e^{\frac{2}{3}\phi} g^{\alpha\beta}, \quad \hat{g}^{y\alpha} = e^{\frac{2}{3}\phi} C_\gamma g^{\gamma\beta}, \quad \hat{g}^{yy} = e^{-\frac{4}{3}\phi} + e^{\frac{2}{3}\phi} C_\alpha g^{\alpha\beta} C_\beta, \quad (2.17)$$

where $g_{\alpha\beta}$ is induced five dimensional matrix and hence $g^{\alpha\beta}$ is its inverse metric that obeys the equation

$$g_{\alpha\beta} g^{\beta\gamma} = \delta_\alpha^\gamma. \quad (2.18)$$

Further, using (2.16) we obtain

$$\det \hat{g}_{mn} = e^{-2\phi} \det g_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (2.19)$$

Let us now turn our attention to \hat{H}_{mn}^* that using (2.12) has the form

$$\hat{H}_{mn}^* = \frac{1}{6\sqrt{-\hat{g}}}\hat{g}_{mr}\hat{g}_{ns}\epsilon^{rstuv5}H_{tuv} . \quad (2.20)$$

Now since ϵ^{mnrstu} is totally antisymmetric symbol we find that all non-zero components have to the form $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\omega 5} \equiv \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\omega}$ so that

$$\hat{H}_{mn}^* = \frac{e^{-2\phi}}{6\sqrt{-g}}\hat{g}_{m\alpha}\hat{g}_{n\beta}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\omega}H_{\gamma\delta\omega} . \quad (2.21)$$

To proceed further we use the fact that we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{-\det\left(\hat{g}_{mn} + i\frac{\hat{H}_{mn}^*}{\sqrt{\partial a\partial a}}\right)} = \\ & = \sqrt{-\det\hat{g}_{mk}}\sqrt{-\det\left(\hat{g}^{kl} + i\frac{\hat{g}^{kr}\hat{H}_{rs}^*\hat{g}^{sl}}{\sqrt{\partial a\partial a}}\right)}\sqrt{-\det\hat{g}_{ln}} , \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

where

$$\hat{g}^{kl} + i\frac{\hat{g}^{kr}\hat{H}_{rs}^*\hat{g}^{sl}}{\sqrt{\partial a\partial a}} = \hat{g}^{kl} + i\frac{1}{6\sqrt{-\hat{g}}\sqrt{\partial a\partial a}}\epsilon^{kltuvw}H_{tuv}\partial_w a . \quad (2.23)$$

Now in the gauge $\partial_m a = \delta_m^5$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \det\left(\hat{g}^{kl} + i\frac{1}{6\sqrt{-\hat{g}}\sqrt{\partial a\partial a}}\epsilon^{kltuvw}H_{tuv}\partial_w a\right) = \\ & \left| \begin{array}{cc} e^{\frac{2}{3}\phi}g^{\alpha\beta} + i\frac{1}{6\sqrt{-\hat{g}}\sqrt{\partial a\partial a}}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\omega}H_{\gamma\delta\omega} & g^{\alpha\gamma}C_\gamma e^{\frac{2}{3}\phi} \\ C_\gamma g^{\gamma\beta} e^{\frac{2}{3}\phi} & e^{-\frac{4}{3}\phi} + e^{\frac{2}{3}\phi}C_\alpha g^{\alpha\beta} C_\beta \end{array} \right| = \\ & = \det\left(e^{\frac{2}{3}\phi}g^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{e^{\frac{4}{3}\phi}g^{\alpha\gamma}C_\gamma C_\delta g^{\delta\beta}}{e^{-\frac{4}{3}\phi} + e^{\frac{2}{3}\phi}C_\alpha g^{\alpha\beta} C_\beta}\right) + i\frac{1}{6e^{-\frac{5}{3}\phi}\sqrt{-\det g}\sqrt{1 + e^{2\phi}C_\alpha g^{\alpha\beta} C_\beta}}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\omega}H_{\gamma\delta\omega} \times \\ & \times \left(e^{-\frac{4}{3}\phi} + e^{\frac{2}{3}\phi}C_\alpha g^{\alpha\beta} C_\beta\right) . \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

Let us now analyse the second term in the action (2.1) that using (2.12) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{\sqrt{-\hat{g}}}{4\partial a\partial a}\hat{H}^{*mn}H_{mnr}\partial^r a = -\frac{\sqrt{-\hat{g}}}{4\hat{g}^{yy}}\hat{H}^{*\alpha\beta}H_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\hat{g}^{\gamma 5} = \\ & = -\frac{e^{\frac{2}{3}\phi}}{24(e^{-\frac{4}{3}\phi} + e^{\frac{2}{3}\phi}C_\alpha C^\alpha)}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\omega}H_{\gamma\delta\omega}H_{\alpha\beta\rho}g^{\rho\sigma}C_\sigma . \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

Collecting these terms together we finally obtain dimensionally reduced form of the action

$$S = -T_{D4} \int d^5\xi e^{-\phi} \sqrt{-\det\left(g_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{C_\alpha C_\beta}{1 + e^{2\phi}C_\gamma g^{\gamma\delta} C_\delta} + i\frac{e^\phi g_{\alpha\alpha'} g_{\beta\beta'}}{6\sqrt{-\det g}\sqrt{1 + e^{2\phi}C_\gamma g^{\gamma\delta} C_\delta}}\epsilon^{\alpha'\beta'\gamma\delta\omega}H_{\gamma\delta\omega}\right)} \times$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sqrt{1 + e^{2\phi} C_\gamma g^{\gamma\delta} C_\delta} \\
& - \frac{e^{2\phi}}{24(1 + e^{2\phi} C_\gamma g^{\gamma\delta} C_\delta)} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\omega} H_{\gamma\delta\omega} H_{\alpha\beta\rho} g^{\rho\sigma} C_\sigma + \\
& + \frac{1}{6} \int d^5\xi V^{\alpha\beta\gamma} (H_{\alpha\beta\gamma} - (\partial_\alpha B_{\beta\gamma} + \partial_\beta B_{\gamma\alpha} + \partial_\gamma B_{\alpha\beta})) ,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

where we identified $T_{D4} \equiv T_{M5} \int dy$ since we anticipate that the action above corresponds to D4-brane action in Type IIA theory. However this correspondence is not quite correct since the action (2.26) still depends on the field strength of two form field $B_{\alpha\beta}$ while DBI action is defined using gauge field which is one form. In order to find such an action we should perform duality transformation following [13, 8]. The first step is to extend the action as in (2.26) that allows us to treat $B_{\beta\gamma}$ and $H_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ as independent variables. Since now $B_{\alpha\beta}$ is independent it can be integrated out that implies

$$\partial_\alpha V^{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 0 . \tag{2.27}$$

This equation has solution in five dimensions in the form

$$V^{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\omega} \partial_\delta A_\omega . \tag{2.28}$$

In order to find dual action we should integrate out three form $H_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$. To do this we will perform inverse procedure to the analysis presented in [13, 8]. Explicitly, we start with the action (2.26) and find its dual formulation. Following [13, 8] we use five dimensional Lorentz invariance and switch to local inertial frame where $g_{\alpha\beta} = \eta_{\alpha\beta}$ and where we also presume that C_α has non-zero component C_0 only. We further presume that there are non-zero components H_{340} and H_{120} so that the matrix

$$\mathbf{M}_{\alpha\beta} \equiv g_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{e^{2\phi} C_\alpha C_\beta}{1 + e^{2\phi} C_\gamma C_\gamma} + i \frac{e^\phi g_{\alpha\alpha'} g_{\beta\beta'}}{6\sqrt{-\det g} \sqrt{1 + e^{2\phi} C_\gamma C_\gamma}} \epsilon^{\alpha'\beta'\gamma\delta\omega} H_{\gamma\delta\omega} \tag{2.29}$$

has the form

$$\mathbf{M}_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 - \frac{e^{2\phi} C_0^2}{1 - e^{2\phi} C_0^2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & i \frac{e^\phi}{\sqrt{1 - e^{2\phi} C_0^2}} H_{340} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -i \frac{e^\phi}{\sqrt{1 - e^{2\phi} C_0^2}} H_{340} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & i \frac{e^\phi}{\sqrt{1 - e^{2\phi} C_0^2}} H_{120} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \frac{e^\phi}{\sqrt{1 - e^{2\phi} C_0^2}} H_{120} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.30}$$

so that $\det \mathbf{M}_{\alpha\beta}$ is equal to

$$\det \mathbf{M}_{\alpha\beta} = - \left(1 + \frac{e^{2\phi} C_0^2}{1 - e^{2\phi} C_0^2} \right) \left(1 - \frac{e^{2\phi}}{1 - e^{2\phi} C_0^2} H_2^2 \right) \left(1 - \frac{e^{2\phi}}{1 - e^{2\phi} C_0^2} H_1^2 \right) , \tag{2.31}$$

where $H_{340} = H_2$, $H_{120} = H_1$. We also introduce notation $V^{120} = V_1$, $V^{340} = V_2$. Then the action has the form

$$S = -T_{D4} \int d^5 \xi e^{-\phi} \sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{e^{2\phi}}{1 - e^{2\phi} C_0^2} H_2^2\right) \left(1 - \frac{e^{2\phi}}{1 - e^{2\phi} C_0^2} H_1^2\right)} + T_{D4} \int d^5 \xi \left(\frac{e^{2\phi} C_0}{1 - e^{2\phi} C_0^2} H_1 H_2 + V_1 H_1 + V_2 H_2 \right). \quad (2.32)$$

Let us solve equations of motion for H_1 and H_2 that follow from (2.32)

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta e^{-\phi} \sqrt{\frac{1 - \Delta H_2^2}{1 - \Delta H_1^2}} H_1 + \Delta C_0 H_2 + V_1 &= 0, \\ \Delta e^{-\phi} \sqrt{\frac{1 - \Delta H_1^2}{1 - \Delta H_2^2}} H_2 + \Delta C_0 H_1 + V_2 &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

where

$$\Delta = \frac{e^{2\phi}}{1 - e^{2\phi} C_0^2}. \quad (2.34)$$

The equations (2.33) have solutions

$$H_1 = V_2 C_0 \mp e^{-\phi} \sqrt{\frac{1 + V_2^2}{1 + V_1^2}} V_1, \quad H_2 = V_1 C_0 \mp e^{-\phi} \sqrt{\frac{1 + V_1^2}{1 + V_2^2}} V_2. \quad (2.35)$$

Finally inserting these solutions into (2.32) we obtain

$$S = -T_{D4} \int d^5 \xi [e^{-\phi} \sqrt{(1 + V_1^2)(1 + V_2^2)} - C_0 V_1 V_2] \quad (2.36)$$

using that on-shell we have

$$-e^{-\phi} \sqrt{(1 - \Delta H_1^2)(1 - \Delta H_2^2)} = \frac{1}{\Delta H_1} (\Delta C_0 H_2 + V_1)(1 - \Delta H_1^2) \quad (2.37)$$

and we have chosen $-$ sign in (2.35) to have an action with correct sign. Then we finally introduce $F_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\alpha A_\beta - \partial_\beta A_\alpha$ and restore world-volume covariance so that the action (2.36) has final form

$$S = -T_{D4} \int d^5 \xi \left[e^{-\phi} \sqrt{-\det(g_{\alpha\beta} + F_{\alpha\beta})} - \frac{1}{8} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\omega} C_\alpha F_{\beta\gamma} F_{\delta\omega} \right]. \quad (2.38)$$

This is action for D4-brane in the background with metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ and Ramond-Ramond form C_μ . Observe that we proceeded in the inverse direction to the duality transformation studied in [8] where the starting point was D4-brane in the background with non-trivial metric and Ramond-Ramond one form. In the next section we apply similar idea to the case of null dimensional reduction of M5-brane.

3. Null Dimensional Reduction of M5-brane

We begin this section with the review of basic facts about null dimensional reduction of gravity.

Let us consider gravity background with null isometry and perform its dimensional reduction, following [2, 3]. We presume that we have vierbein \hat{E}_M^A and inverse \hat{E}_A^M where $M = 0, 1, \dots, 10$ and tangent space-indices $A, B = 0, 1, \dots, 10$ that obey

$$\hat{E}_M^A \hat{E}_B^M = \delta_B^A, \quad \hat{E}_M^A \hat{E}_A^N = \delta_M^N. \quad (3.1)$$

If we try to dimensionally reduce along null direction we assume an existence of null Killing vector $\xi = \xi^M \partial_M$ that obeys

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi \hat{g}_{MN} = 0, \quad \xi^M \hat{g}_{MN} \xi^N = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Without loss of generality we choose adapted coordinates $x^M = (x^\mu, y)$ where $\mu = 0, 1, \dots, 9$ and where $x^{10} = y$ so that $\xi = \xi^y \partial_y$. Then $\mathcal{L}_\xi \hat{g} = 0$ implies that \hat{g} is independent on y and null condition on ξ implies

$$\hat{g}_{yy} = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

To proceed further we introduce suitable ansatz for vierbein, following [2, 3]. We split 11 tangent space indices into $a = 1, \dots, 9$ and remaining as $+, -$ so that $\eta_{ab} = \delta_{ab}$, $\eta_{+-} = \eta_{-+} = 1$. Then we have following ansatz for \hat{E}_M^A

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{E}_\mu^a &= e_\mu^a, & \hat{E}_\mu^- &= S^{-1} \tau_\mu, & \hat{E}_\mu^+ &= -S m_\mu, \\ \hat{E}_y^a &= 0, & \hat{E}_y^- &= 0, & \hat{E}_y^+ &= S \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

and hence we have following components of metric

$$\hat{g}_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu} - m_\mu \tau_\nu - \tau_\mu m_\nu, \quad \hat{g}_{\mu y} = \tau_\mu = \hat{g}_{y\mu}, \quad \hat{g}_{yy} = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Let us now consider induced metric on the world-volume of M5-brane with world-volume coordinates $m, n = 0, 1, \dots, 5$ when we presume that M5-brane is extended along y -direction. Explicitly

$$\xi^5 = y \quad (3.6)$$

so that the induced metric \hat{g}_{mn} has the form

$$\hat{g}_{\alpha\beta} = h_{\alpha\beta} - m_\alpha \tau_\beta - \tau_\alpha m_\beta \equiv \bar{h}_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \hat{g}_{yy} = 0, \quad \hat{g}_{y\alpha} = \tau_\alpha, \quad (3.7)$$

where $h_{\alpha\beta} = h_{\mu\nu} \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\beta X^\nu$, $m_\alpha = m_\mu \partial_\alpha X^\mu$, $\tau_\alpha = \tau_\mu \partial_\alpha X^\mu$. For further purposes we also write elements of the world-volume inverse metric in the form

$$\hat{g}^{yy} = 2\Phi, \quad \hat{g}^{\alpha y} = -\hat{v}^\alpha, \quad \hat{g}^{y\alpha} = -\hat{v}^\alpha, \quad \hat{g}^{\alpha\beta} = h^{\alpha\beta}, \quad (3.8)$$

where we defined

$$\hat{v}^\alpha = v^\alpha - h^{\alpha\beta} m_\beta, \quad \Phi = -v^\alpha m_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} m_\alpha h^{\alpha\beta} m_\beta, \quad (3.9)$$

and where we also introduced $v^\alpha, h^{\alpha\beta}$ through the relations

$$\tau_\alpha v^\alpha = -1, \quad \tau_\alpha h^{\alpha\beta} = 0, \quad v^\alpha h_{\alpha\beta} = 0, \quad h_{\alpha\beta} h^{\beta\gamma} - \tau_\alpha v^\gamma = \delta_\alpha^\gamma. \quad (3.10)$$

It is important to stress that v^α and $h^{\alpha\beta}$ are five dimensional vector and tensor respectively.

Let us now proceed to the null dimensional reduction of M5-brane action (2.1). First of all we again use the fact that generally we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\det \left(\hat{g}_{mn} + i \frac{\hat{H}_{mn}^*}{\sqrt{\partial a \partial a}} \right) \\ &= -\det \hat{g}_{mr} \left(-\det(\hat{g}^{rs}) + i \frac{1}{6\sqrt{\partial a \partial a} \sqrt{-\hat{g}}} \epsilon^{rstuvw} H_{tuv} \partial_w a \right) (-\det \hat{g}_{sn}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

As in previous section we find that non-zero components of H_{mnr} are $H_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ when we also imposed the gauge fixing condition (2.12). Using these facts we find that the second bracket in (3.11) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & -\det(\hat{g}^{rs} + i \frac{1}{6\sqrt{\partial a \partial a} \sqrt{-\hat{g}}} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\omega} H_{\gamma\delta\omega}) = - \left| \begin{array}{cc} h^{\alpha\beta} + i \frac{1}{6\sqrt{2\Phi} \sqrt{-\hat{g}}} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\omega} H_{\gamma\delta\omega} & -\hat{v}^\beta \\ -\hat{v}^\alpha & 2\Phi \end{array} \right| = \\ &= -2\Phi \det(h^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2\Phi} \hat{v}^\alpha \hat{v}^\beta + i \frac{1}{6\sqrt{-\det \bar{h}_{\alpha\beta}}} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\omega} H_{\gamma\delta\omega}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where in the final step we used the fact that

$$\det \hat{g}_{mn} = \left| \begin{array}{cc} 0 & -\tau_\beta \\ -\tau_\alpha & \bar{h}_{\alpha\beta} \end{array} \right| = \left| \begin{array}{cc} -\tau_\gamma \bar{h}^{\gamma\delta} \tau_\delta & 0 \\ -\tau_\alpha & \bar{h}_{\alpha\beta} \end{array} \right| = \frac{1}{2\Phi} \det \bar{h}_{\alpha\beta} \quad (3.13)$$

together with the fact that the matrix

$$\bar{h}^{\alpha\beta} = h^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2\Phi} \hat{v}^\alpha \hat{v}^\beta \quad (3.14)$$

is inverse to $\bar{h}_{\alpha\beta} = h_{\alpha\beta} - m_\alpha \tau_\beta - \tau_\alpha m_\beta$

$$\bar{h}_{\alpha\beta} \bar{h}^{\beta\gamma} = \delta_\alpha^\gamma. \quad (3.15)$$

This can be easily proved using the fact that

$$\bar{h}_{\alpha\beta} \hat{v}^\beta = 2\tau_\alpha \Phi, \quad \tau_\alpha \bar{h}^{\alpha\beta} \tau_\beta = -\frac{1}{2\Phi}. \quad (3.16)$$

With the help of these results we obtain that the gauge fixed form of the expression (3.12) is equal to

$$-\det\left(\hat{g}_{mn} + i\frac{\hat{H}_{mn}^*}{\sqrt{\partial a \partial a}}\right) = -\frac{1}{2\Phi} \det\left(\bar{h}_{\alpha\beta} + i\frac{1}{6\sqrt{-\det\bar{h}_{\alpha\beta}}}\bar{h}_{\alpha\gamma}\bar{h}_{\beta\delta}\epsilon^{\gamma\delta\sigma\omega\rho}H_{\sigma\omega\rho}\right). \quad (3.17)$$

Further we have

$$-\frac{\sqrt{-\hat{g}}}{4\partial a \partial a}\hat{H}^{*mnr}H_{mnr}\partial^r a = \frac{1}{48\Phi}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\omega}H_{\gamma\delta\omega}H_{\alpha\beta\sigma}\hat{v}^\sigma \quad (3.18)$$

so that we obtain M5-brane action dimensionally reduced along null direction in the form

$$S = -T_{M5} \int dy \int d^5\xi \left[\sqrt{-\frac{1}{2\Phi} \det(\bar{h}_{\alpha\beta} + i\frac{1}{6\sqrt{-\det\bar{h}_{\alpha\beta}}}\bar{h}_{\alpha\gamma}\bar{h}_{\beta\delta}\epsilon^{\gamma\delta\sigma\omega\rho}H_{\sigma\omega\rho})} + \frac{1}{48\Phi}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\omega}H_{\gamma\delta\omega}H_{\alpha\beta\sigma}\hat{v}^\sigma \right]. \quad (3.19)$$

It is important to stress that Φ is equal to $-\frac{1}{2}\hat{v}^\alpha\bar{h}_{\alpha\beta}\hat{v}^\beta$. However it is convenient to treat it as independent when we add $\int d^5\xi B(2\Phi + \hat{v}^\alpha\bar{h}_{\alpha\beta}\hat{v}^\beta)$ into action. Further, this action still depend on two form $B_{\alpha\beta}$ however it is more natural to find its dual formulation in the same way as in case of the spatial dimensional reduction. In order to find such formulation we again extend the action as in previous section

$$S = -T_{ND4} \int d^5\xi \left[\sqrt{-\frac{1}{2\Phi} \det(\bar{h}_{\alpha\beta} + i\frac{1}{6\sqrt{-\det\bar{h}_{\alpha\beta}}}\bar{h}_{\alpha\gamma}\bar{h}_{\beta\delta}\epsilon^{\gamma\delta\sigma\omega\rho}H_{\sigma\omega\rho})} + \frac{1}{48\Phi}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\omega}H_{\gamma\delta\omega}H_{\alpha\beta\sigma}\hat{v}^\sigma + B(2\Phi + \hat{v}^\alpha\bar{h}_{\alpha\beta}\hat{v}^\beta) \right] + \frac{1}{6} \int d^5\xi V^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(H_{\alpha\beta\gamma} - (\partial_\alpha B_{\beta\gamma} + \partial_\beta B_{\gamma\alpha} + \partial_\gamma B_{\alpha\beta})), \quad (3.20)$$

where we introduced effective non-relativistic D4-brane tension through the relation

$$T_{ND4} = T_{M5} \int dy. \quad (3.21)$$

In (3.20) we treat $H_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ and $B_{\alpha\beta}$ as independent fields. Then the equations of motion for $B_{\alpha\beta}$ implies that $V^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ is equal to

$$V^{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\omega}\partial_\delta A_\omega. \quad (3.22)$$

Finally we should solve equations of motion for $H_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$. Since it is difficult to solve them in the full generality we proceed in the similar way as in previous section even if we have to be more careful now. First of all we can still presume that the space metric $h_{\alpha\beta}$ has the form

$$h_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_\alpha^i \delta_\beta^j \delta_{ij} , \quad i, j = 1, \dots, 4 . \quad (3.23)$$

On the other hand in case of time one form we presume that it is constant and equal to

$$\tau_\alpha = \tau \delta_\alpha^0 . \quad (3.24)$$

We further presume that m has non-zero components m_0, m_1 so that $\bar{h}_{00} = -2\tau m_0, \bar{h}_{01} = -\tau m_1 = \bar{h}_{10}$. Then since v^α is defined as $\tau_\alpha v^\alpha = -1$ we have $v^0 = -\frac{1}{\tau}$. Finally, as in previous section we presume that non-zero components of $H_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ are $H_{340} \equiv H_2, H_{120} \equiv H_1$. Then the matrix $M_{\alpha\beta} = \bar{h}_{\alpha\beta} + i \frac{1}{6\sqrt{-\det \bar{h}_{\alpha\beta}}} \bar{h}_{\alpha\gamma} \bar{h}_{\beta\delta} \epsilon^{\gamma\delta\sigma\omega\rho} H_{\sigma\omega\rho}$ has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{h}_{00} & \bar{h}_{01} & i \frac{\bar{h}_{01}}{\sqrt{-\bar{h}}} H_2 & 0 & 0 \\ \bar{h}_{10} & 1 & i \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\bar{h}}} H_2 & 0 & 0 \\ -i \frac{\bar{h}_{01}}{\sqrt{-\bar{h}}} H_2 & -i \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\bar{h}}} H_2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & i \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\bar{h}}} H_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\bar{h}}} H_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.25)$$

where we defined $\bar{h} = \det \bar{h}_{\alpha\beta} = \bar{h}_{00} - \bar{h}_{01}^2$. Then the extended action (3.20) has the form

$$\begin{aligned} S = & -T_{ND4} \int d^5 \xi \sqrt{-\frac{\bar{h}}{2\Phi}} \left[\sqrt{(1 - \tilde{H}_1^2)(1 - \tilde{H}_2^2)} + \sqrt{-\frac{\bar{h}(v^0)^2}{2\Phi}} \tilde{H}_1 \tilde{H}_2 - \tilde{V}_1 \tilde{H}_1 - \tilde{V}_2 \tilde{H}_2 \right] + \\ & + T_{ND4} \int d^5 \xi B \left(2\Phi + 2\frac{m_0}{\tau} + m_1^2 \right) , \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

where $V_1 \equiv V^{120}, V_2 \equiv V^{340}$ and where we performed rescaling $H_{1,2} = \sqrt{-\bar{h}} \tilde{H}_{1,2}, V_{1,2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\Phi}} \tilde{V}_{1,2}$. From (3.26) we obtain following equations of motion

$$\begin{aligned} -\tilde{H}_1 \sqrt{\frac{1 - \tilde{H}_2^2}{1 - \tilde{H}_1^2}} + \Delta \tilde{H}_2 - \tilde{V}_1 &= 0 , \\ -\tilde{H}_2 \sqrt{\frac{1 - \tilde{H}_1^2}{1 - \tilde{H}_2^2}} + \Delta \tilde{H}_1 - \tilde{V}_2 &= 0 , \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

where $\Delta = \sqrt{-\frac{\bar{h}(v^0)^2}{2\Phi}}$. If we multiply two equations in (3.27) together we obtain

$$\tilde{H}_1 \tilde{H}_2 = (\tilde{V}_1 - \Delta \tilde{H}_2)(\tilde{V}_2 - \Delta \tilde{H}_1) \quad (3.28)$$

that allows us to express \tilde{H}_2 as

$$\tilde{H}_2 = \frac{\tilde{V}_1 \tilde{V}_2 - \Delta \tilde{H}_1 \tilde{V}_1}{(1 - \Delta^2) \tilde{H}_1 + \Delta \tilde{V}_2} . \quad (3.29)$$

Inserting this result into the first equation in (3.27) we obtain quadratic equation for \tilde{H}_1 that has solution

$$\tilde{H}_1 = \frac{1}{1 - \Delta^2} \left[-\Delta \tilde{V}_2 \pm \tilde{V}_1 \sqrt{\frac{(1 - \Delta^2) + \tilde{V}_2^2}{(1 - \Delta^2) + \tilde{V}_1^2}} \right] . \quad (3.30)$$

Then inserting this result into (3.29) we also find \tilde{H}_2 to be equal to

$$\tilde{H}_2 = \frac{1}{1 - \Delta^2} \left[-\Delta \tilde{V}_1 \pm \tilde{V}_2 \sqrt{\frac{(1 - \Delta^2) + \tilde{V}_1^2}{(1 - \Delta^2) + \tilde{V}_2^2}} \right] . \quad (3.31)$$

Finally inserting (3.30),(3.31) into the action (3.26) we obtain

$$S = -T_{ND4} \int d^5 \xi \left[\sqrt{-\frac{1}{2\Phi} (\bar{h} + \bar{h} \hat{V}_1^2) (1 + \hat{V}_2^2)} - \frac{1}{2\Phi} \bar{h} v^0 \hat{V}_1 \hat{V}_2 + B(2\Phi + 2\frac{m_0}{\tau} + m_1^2) \right] , \quad (3.32)$$

where we performed rescalling $\tilde{V}_{1,2} = \sqrt{1 - \Delta^2} \hat{V}_{1,2}$. To proceed further let us observe that we can write

$$\bar{h}(1 + \hat{V}_1^2) = \begin{vmatrix} \bar{h}_{00} - \bar{h}_{01} \bar{h}_{10} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \hat{V}_1 \\ 0 & -\hat{V}_1 & 1 \end{vmatrix} \quad (3.33)$$

so that it is natural to introduce redefined form of the metric $\bar{h}'_{00} = \bar{h}_{00} - \bar{h}_{01} \bar{h}_{10}$. Further, we have $\bar{h} v^0 = m_0 + m_1 m_0 \equiv m'_0$ introducing redefined m'_0 . Using these results we can restore general form of the action for D4-brane in Newton-Cartan background in the form

$$S = -T_{ND4} \int d^5 \xi \left[\sqrt{-\frac{1}{2\Phi} (\bar{h}_{\alpha\beta} + F_{\alpha\beta})} - \frac{1}{16\Phi} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\omega} m_\alpha F_{\beta\gamma} F_{\delta\omega} + B(2\Phi + \hat{v}^\alpha \bar{h}_{\alpha\beta} \hat{v}^\beta) \right] . \quad (3.34)$$

Finally we can integrate B to replace 2Φ with $-\hat{v}^\alpha \bar{h}_{\alpha\beta} \hat{v}^\beta$. Then we can rewrite the action into alternative form with the help of following manipulation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\Phi} \det(\bar{h}_{\alpha\beta} + F_{\alpha\beta}) &= \frac{1}{2\Phi} \det \bar{h}_{\alpha\gamma} \det(\delta_\beta^\gamma + \bar{h}^{\gamma\omega} F_{\omega\beta}) = \\ \det(H_{\alpha\gamma}) \det(\delta_\beta^\gamma + \bar{h}^{\gamma\omega} F_{\omega\beta}) &= \det(H_{\alpha\beta} + H_{\alpha\gamma} \bar{h}^{\gamma\omega} F_{\omega\beta}) = \\ &= \det(H_{\alpha\beta} + \tilde{F}_{\alpha\beta}) , \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

where we introduced $H_{\alpha\beta}$ and its inverse $H^{\alpha\beta}$ as

$$H_{\alpha\beta} = -\tau_\alpha \tau_\beta + h_{\alpha\beta} , \quad H^{\alpha\beta} = h^{\alpha\beta} - v^\alpha v^\beta \quad (3.36)$$

and also $\tilde{F}_{\alpha\beta}$ through the relation

$$\tilde{F}_{\alpha\beta} = H_{\alpha\gamma} \bar{h}^{\gamma\delta} F_{\delta\beta} . \quad (3.37)$$

Finally we used the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\Phi} \det \bar{h}_{\alpha\beta} &= \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\tau_\beta \\ -\tau_\alpha & \bar{h}_{\alpha\beta} \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\tau_\beta \\ -\tau_\alpha & -\tau_\alpha \tau_\beta + h_{\alpha\beta} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \det \begin{pmatrix} -\tau_\gamma H^{\gamma\delta} \tau_\delta & 0 \\ -\tau_\alpha & H_{\gamma\delta} \end{pmatrix} = \det(H_{\alpha\beta}) . \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

Then we obtain that the action (3.34) can be rewritten into the form

$$\begin{aligned} S &= -T_{ND4} \int d^5 \xi \left[\sqrt{-(H_{\alpha\beta} + \tilde{F}_{\alpha\beta})} - \frac{1}{16\Phi} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\omega} m_\alpha \tilde{F}_{\beta\gamma} \tilde{F}_{\delta\omega} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{8\Phi} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\omega} m_\alpha \tilde{F}_{\beta\gamma} \tau_\delta (-v^\sigma \tilde{F}_{\sigma\omega} + \frac{1}{2\Phi} (1 + v^\delta m_\delta) \hat{v}^\sigma \tilde{F}_{\sigma\omega}) \right] , \end{aligned} \quad (3.39)$$

The action (3.34) or its alternative form (3.39) is final form of non-relativistic D4-brane action in the background that arises by null dimensional reduction of M-theory. The kinetic part of the action has standard DBI like form and has functionally the same form as D2-brane action in torsional Newton-Cartan background that was found in [17]. There is also an additional WZ like term that has an exceptional property that it depends on the world-volume fields $v^\alpha, h^{\alpha\beta}$.

4. M5-brane Transverse to Null Direction

In this last section we briefly discuss situation when we presume that M5-brane is transverse to null direction. In this case the induced metric has the form

$$\hat{g}_{mn} = \bar{h}_{mn} + \tau_m \partial_n y + \partial_m y \tau_n , \quad \tau_m = \tau_\mu \partial_m X^\mu , \quad \bar{h}_{mn} = \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} \partial_m X^\mu \partial_n X^\nu , \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$\bar{h}_{mn} = h_{mn} - \tau_m m_n - \tau_n m_m . \quad (4.2)$$

Note that this metric is non-singular with inverse metric

$$\bar{h}^{mn} = h^{mn} - \frac{1}{2\Phi} \hat{v}^m \hat{v}^n , \quad (4.3)$$

where again

$$\hat{v}^m = v^m - h^{mn} m_n , \quad \Phi = -v^m m_m + \frac{1}{2} m_m h^{mn} m_n , \quad (4.4)$$

where v^m is six dimensional vector that obeys $v^m \tau_m = -1, v^m h_{mn} = 0$. Finally h^{mn} is six dimensional singular matrix that obeys

$$h_{mn} h^{nk} - \tau_m v^k = \delta_m^k , \tau_m h^{mn} = 0 . \quad (4.5)$$

In order to find NS5-brane in torsional Newton-Cartan background it is appropriate to express all components of world-volume metric \hat{g}_{mn} and its inverse \hat{g}^{mn} with the help of $\bar{h}_{mn}, \tau_m, m_n$, derivatives of y together with inverse fields \bar{h}^{mn}, \hat{v}^m . In case of \hat{g}_{mn} these relations are given in (4.1). In case of \hat{g}^{mn} the situation is more complicated and after some calculations we find

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{g}^{kl} = & \bar{h}^{kl} + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{\Phi} \hat{v}^m \partial_m y + \frac{1}{2\Phi} \partial_m y h^{mn} \partial_n y} \left(-\frac{1}{4\Phi^2} \hat{v}^k \hat{v}^l \partial_m y \bar{h}^{mn} \partial_n y \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{2\Phi} \bar{h}^{kp} \partial_p y \left(1 + \frac{1}{2\Phi} \hat{v}^m \partial_m y \right) \hat{v}^l + \frac{1}{2\Phi} \hat{v}^k \left(1 + \frac{1}{2\Phi} \hat{v}^m \partial_m y \right) \partial_p y \bar{h}^{pl} - \frac{1}{2\Phi} \bar{h}^{km} \partial_m y \partial_n y \bar{h}^{nl} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Then we obtain an action for non-relativistic NS5-brane when we insert (4.1) and (4.6) into (2.1). Note that there are two scalar fields $y(\xi), a(\xi)$ that propagate on the world-volume of NS5-brane. In fact this result is similar to transverse dimensional reduction of M5-brane as was studied in [7]. However due to the form of the metric $\hat{g}_{mn}, \hat{g}^{mn}$ it is impossible to simplify M5-brane action further so that we will not write explicit form of the action for NS5-brane in torsional Newton-Cartan background here.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the grant ‘‘Integrable Deformations’’ (GA20-04800S) from the Czech Science Foundation (GACR).

References

- [1] E. Witten, ‘‘String theory dynamics in various dimensions,’’ Nucl. Phys. B **443** (1995), 85-126 doi:10.1016/0550-3213(95)00158-O [arXiv:hep-th/9503124 [hep-th]].
- [2] B. Julia and H. Nicolai, ‘‘Null Killing vector dimensional reduction and Galilean geometrodynamics,’’ Nucl. Phys. B **439** (1995), 291-326 doi:10.1016/0550-3213(94)00584-2 [arXiv:hep-th/9412002 [hep-th]].
- [3] E. Bergshoeff, A. Chatzistavrakidis, L. Romano and J. Rosseel, ‘‘Newton-Cartan Gravity and Torsion,’’ JHEP **10** (2017), 194 doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2017)194 [arXiv:1708.05414 [hep-th]].
- [4] P. Pasti, D. P. Sorokin and M. Tonin, ‘‘Covariant action for a $D = 11$ five-brane with the chiral field,’’ Phys. Lett. B **398** (1997), 41-46 doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(97)00188-3 [arXiv:hep-th/9701037 [hep-th]].
- [5] I. A. Bandos, K. Lechner, A. Nurmagambetov, P. Pasti, D. P. Sorokin and M. Tonin, ‘‘On the equivalence of different formulations of the M theory five-brane,’’ Phys. Lett. B **408** (1997), 135-141 doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(97)00784-3 [arXiv:hep-th/9703127 [hep-th]].
- [6] I. A. Bandos, K. Lechner, A. Nurmagambetov, P. Pasti, D. P. Sorokin and M. Tonin, ‘‘Covariant action for the superfive-brane of M theory,’’ Phys. Rev. Lett. **78** (1997), 4332-4334 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.4332 [arXiv:hep-th/9701149 [hep-th]].
- [7] I. A. Bandos, A. Nurmagambetov and D. P. Sorokin, ‘‘The Type IIA NS5-brane,’’ Nucl. Phys. B **586** (2000), 315-330 doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(00)00398-9 [arXiv:hep-th/0003169 [hep-th]].

- [8] M. Aganagic, J. Park, C. Popescu and J. H. Schwarz, “*Dual D-brane actions,*” Nucl. Phys. B **496** (1997), 215-230 doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(97)00257-5 [arXiv:hep-th/9702133 [hep-th]].
- [9] M. Aganagic, J. Park, C. Popescu and J. H. Schwarz, “*World volume action of the M theory five-brane,*” Nucl. Phys. B **496** (1997), 191-214 doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(97)00227-7 [arXiv:hep-th/9701166 [hep-th]].
- [10] E. Witten, “*Five-brane effective action in M theory,*” J. Geom. Phys. **22** (1997), 103-133 doi:10.1016/S0393-0440(97)80160-X [arXiv:hep-th/9610234 [hep-th]].
- [11] P. K. Townsend, “*D-branes from M-branes,*” Phys. Lett. B **373** (1996), 68-75 doi:10.1016/0370-2693(96)00104-9 [arXiv:hep-th/9512062 [hep-th]].
- [12] P. K. Townsend, “*Four lectures on M theory,*” [arXiv:hep-th/9612121 [hep-th]].
- [13] A. A. Tseytlin, “*Selfduality of Born-Infeld action and Dirichlet three-brane of type IIB superstring theory,*” Nucl. Phys. B **469** (1996), 51-67 doi:10.1016/0550-3213(96)00173-3 [arXiv:hep-th/9602064 [hep-th]].
- [14] D. Berman, “*M5 on a torus and the three-brane,*” Nucl. Phys. B **533** (1998), 317-332 doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(98)80009-6 [arXiv:hep-th/9804115 [hep-th]].
- [15] D. Hansen, J. Hartong and N. A. Obers, “*Non-Relativistic Gravity and its Coupling to Matter,*” JHEP **06** (2020), 145 doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2020)145 [arXiv:2001.10277 [gr-qc]].
- [16] N. Lambert and T. Orchard, “*Null reductions of the M5-brane,*” JHEP **12** (2020), 037 doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2020)037 [arXiv:2005.14331 [hep-th]].
- [17] J. Kluson, “*Null Dimensional Reduction of M2-Brane,*” [arXiv:2103.00566 [hep-th]].
- [18] C. D. A. Blair, D. Gallegos and N. Zinnato, “*A non-relativistic limit of M-theory and 11-dimensional membrane Newton-Cartan,*” [arXiv:2104.07579 [hep-th]].
- [19] J. Klusoň and P. Novosad, “*Non-Relativistic M2-Brane,*” JHEP **06** (2019), 072 doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2019)072 [arXiv:1903.12450 [hep-th]].
- [20] D. Hansen, J. Hartong and N. A. Obers, “*Non-Relativistic Gravity and its Coupling to Matter,*” JHEP **06** (2020), 145 doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2020)145 [arXiv:2001.10277 [gr-qc]].
- [21] E. A. Bergshoeff, J. Gomis, J. Rosseel, C. Şimşek and Z. Yan, “*String Theory and String Newton-Cartan Geometry,*” J. Phys. A **53** (2020) no.1, 014001 doi:10.1088/1751-8121/ab56e9 [arXiv:1907.10668 [hep-th]].
- [22] E. Bergshoeff, J. Gomis and Z. Yan, “*Nonrelativistic String Theory and T-Duality,*” JHEP **11** (2018), 133 doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2018)133 [arXiv:1806.06071 [hep-th]].
- [23] R. Andringa, E. Bergshoeff, J. Gomis and M. de Roo, “*‘Stringy’ Newton-Cartan Gravity,*” Class. Quant. Grav. **29** (2012), 235020 doi:10.1088/0264-9381/29/23/235020 [arXiv:1206.5176 [hep-th]].
- [24] E. A. Bergshoeff, J. Lahnsteiner, L. Romano, J. Rosseel and C. Şimşek, “*A Non-Relativistic Limit of NS-NS Gravity,*” [arXiv:2102.06974 [hep-th]].
- [25] J. Kluson, “*D-Brane Actions in Non-Relativistic String Theory and T-Duality,*” [arXiv:2011.14323 [hep-th]].
- [26] J. Kluson, “*Stable and unstable Dp-branes in p-brane Newton-Cartan background,*” J. Phys. A **54** (2021) no.21, 215401 doi:10.1088/1751-8121/abf768 [arXiv:2003.14037 [hep-th]].

- [27] J. Klusoň, “*Nonrelativistic String Theory Sigma Model and Its Canonical Formulation,*” Eur. Phys. J. C **79** (2019) no.2, 108 doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-6623-9 [arXiv:1809.10411 [hep-th]].
- [28] T. Harmark, J. Hartong, L. Menculini, N. A. Obers and G. Oling, “*Relating non-relativistic string theories,*” JHEP **11** (2019), 071 doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2019)071 [arXiv:1907.01663 [hep-th]].
- [29] T. Harmark, J. Hartong, L. Menculini, N. A. Obers and Z. Yan, “*Strings with Non-Relativistic Conformal Symmetry and Limits of the AdS/CFT Correspondence,*” JHEP **11** (2018), 190 doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2018)190 [arXiv:1810.05560 [hep-th]].
- [30] T. Harmark, J. Hartong and N. A. Obers, “*Nonrelativistic strings and limits of the AdS/CFT correspondence,*” Phys. Rev. D **96** (2017) no.8, 086019 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.96.086019 [arXiv:1705.03535 [hep-th]].
- [31] J. Klusoň, “*Unstable D-brane in Torsional Newton-Cartan Background,*” JHEP **09** (2020), 191 doi:10.1007/JHEP09(2020)191 [arXiv:2001.11543 [hep-th]].
- [32] J. Klusoň, “*T-duality of Non-Relativistic String in Torsional Newton-Cartan Background,*” JHEP **05** (2020), 024 doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2020)024 [arXiv:1909.13508 [hep-th]].
- [33] J. Klusoň, “*Remark About Non-Relativistic String in Newton-Cartan Background and Null Reduction,*” JHEP **05** (2018), 041 doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2018)041 [arXiv:1803.07336 [hep-th]].