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Abstract—Tensor completion is the problem of estimating the missing entries of a partially observed tensor by exploiting its low-rank structure. In streaming applications where the frames arrive sequentially such as video completion, the missing entries of the tensor need to be dynamically recovered in an online fashion. Traditional online completion algorithms treat the entire visual data as a tensor, which may not work satisfactorily when there is a big change in the tensor subspace along the temporal dimension, such as due to strong motion across the video frames. In this paper, we develop a novel patch tracking-based online tensor completion framework for streaming data. Each incoming tensor is extracted into small patches, and similar patches are tracked along the temporal domain. We propose a new patch tracking strategy that can accurately and efficiently track the patches with missing data. Further, a new online tensor ring completion method is proposed which can efficiently and accurately update the latent core tensors and complete the missing entries of the tracked patches. Extensive experimental results demonstrate the superior performance of the proposed algorithms compared with both online and offline state-of-the-art tensor completion methods.

I. INTRODUCTION

Multi-way data analysis uses techniques that represent data as multi-dimensional arrays known as tensors. It has drawn increased attention in recent years given its ability to reveal patterns intrinsic to high-order data undetected by other methods by capturing correlations across its different dimensions. Such techniques have found numerous applications in machine learning [11–15], signal processing [6–8] and computer vision [9–13].

Tensor completion, an extension to the matrix completion problem, aims to fill in the missing entries of a partially observed tensor by leveraging its low-rank structure, which stems from the redundancy of the information it represents [14, 15]. For example, a natural multi-channel image or a video sequence can be represented as tensors that exhibit high correlations across the spatial and temporal dimensions. Based on different tensor rank models, several completion algorithms have been proposed, such as CP-based algorithms [16, 17], Tucker-based algorithms [18–20], tubal(t-SVD)-based algorithms [21–23], tensor train-based algorithms [10, 24] and tensor ring-based algorithms [25–27]. Extensive experimental results of these tensor completion algorithms have shown their superior completion performance compared to matrix completion.
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Fig. 1. Illustration of the patch tracking-based method for the grayscale video sequence in the top row. Starting from the first frame, the green patches are tracked along the temporal dimension while the positions of red patches are kept unchanged. The bottom row shows the extracted patches, and the eigenvalue ratio (i.e., each eigenvalue divided by the largest eigenvalue) of the mode-3 unfolding matrix of the tensor formed by stacking the full frames, fixed-location patches (tube), and tracked patches along the third (temporal) dimension.

Traditional (offline) tensor completion methods are of limited use in streaming applications wherein the data arrives sequentially, since they are designed to work on the entire tensor data. To mitigate this problem, several methods have been proposed to complete the missing entries of streaming data in an online manner. In [28], the authors developed a streaming tensor completion approach using the CP model and stochastic gradient descent (SGD). The authors in [29] proposed online low-rank subspace tracking by tensor CP decomposition (OLSTEC), which improves the efficiency and accuracy of [28] by utilizing recursive least squares (RLS) instead of SGD. Then, [30] extends the CP-based online tensor completion to a multi-aspect setting where the tensor grows along multiple modes. Further, a tensor rank-one update on the complex Grassmannian (TOUCAN) algorithm is proposed under the t-SVD model [31]. Also, a sequential tensor completion (STC) approach was developed for recovery of internet traffic data under the Tucker tensor model [32].

Despite the success of these online methods in completing the missing entries of streaming data, there are important limitations that remain to be addressed. In particular, all existing online tensor completion methods require that the entire tensor subspace be stable, that is, the latent tensor factors should be invariant or changing slowly along the temporal
dimension. In the presence of large motion between adjacent tensors, the subspace may change considerably, resulting in degraded completion performance. As shown in the first row of Fig. 1, some objects (car, road sign) move significantly across different frames. Such large motions increase the rank of the mode-3 unfolding matrix (see bottom right of Fig. 1), indicating a big change in the tensor subspace. Thus, existing online tensor completion algorithms may not accurately complete the objects with large motion.

In this paper, we introduce a novel patch tracking-based online tensor completion framework for streaming visual data (see Fig. 2). Rather than performing completion on each tensor data, we complete tensors constructed from similar patches extracted and tracked from each tensor data. As a tensor comes in, similar patches across the temporal domain are tracked using patch matching. For example, as shown in Fig. 1, the patches of road sign are tracked. Compared with fixed tube and full-frame tensors, the rank of the mode-3 unfolding matrix of the tracked patches is relatively small, indicating a more stable tensor subspace along the temporal domain. Therefore, the online completion performance can be improved substantially when applied to similar tracked patches.

Patch-based methods have been widely applied to visual data processing, such as image and video denoising [33–35]. However, patch matching becomes more challenging when the data has missing entries, due to insufficient matching information. The authors of [35] address the problem of patch matching with missing entries by interpolating images whose missing pixels are filled using triangle interpolation. An alternative approach is used in [37] where the missing pixels are estimated by applying tensor completion before matching. These interpolation or completion methods, however, incur more computational cost and may introduce additional errors to patch matching. The authors in [38] proposed a tube matching approach by adding the information of the temporal domain as an extra dimension. The tubes are matched along the spatial domain and completion is performed on the stacked tubes. However, tube matching is an offline method and is not applicable to online tensor completion since the information in the temporal domain is not available. Further, as Fig. 1 depicts, tube matching ignores the change in motion along the temporal dimension. In this work, we develop a novel efficient strategy for patch matching. We perform patch matching on dilated tensor data by propagating the observed pixels to unobserved neighboring pixels. Then, a new parallelized coarse-scale patch matching strategy is proposed to efficiently match the patches with missing data.

To accurately complete the missing entries of a streaming tensor formed from tracked patches, we develop a new online tensor completion algorithm using the tensor ring model. This model exploits a more compact expression for high-order tensors, and has shown superior performance in tensor completion, especially with highly incomplete data [39]. Compared to CP-based online tensor completion methods [28], which are only designed for 3-way tensors, the proposed online tensor ring completion algorithm can work with higher-order tensors required by the proposed framework. Compared with TOUCAN [31] and STC [32], the proposed method utilizes the more compact latent core tensors to track the tensor subspace.

We propose a fast online completion algorithm for the tensor ring model using a least-squares and one-step scaled steepest descent method, which can efficiently update the latent core tensors and complete the streaming tensor with high accuracy.

The main contributions of the paper are summarized as follows:

1. We develop a novel patch tracking-based online tensor completion framework for streaming visual data. An efficient parallelized coarse-scale patch matching strategy is proposed for patch tracking with missing data. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first patch-based framework designed for online tensor completion.

2. We propose a new online tensor completion algorithm using the tensor ring model. The algorithm utilizes least-squares and one-step scaled steepest descent, and can efficiently update the latent core tensors and complete the streaming tensor.

3. We conduct extensive experiments that demonstrate the superior performance of the proposed method over state-of-the-art online and offline tensor completion algorithms.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section III we briefly introduce our notation and provide some preliminary background on the tensor ring and its properties. In Section IV we propose the new online patch-based tensor completion framework. In Section V we develop the online tensor ring completion algorithm. Experimental results are presented in Section VI to demonstrate the completion performance. Finally, the conclusion is given in Section VII.

II. PRELIMINARIES

Uppercase script letters are used to denote tensors (e.g., \( \mathcal{X} \)), boldface uppercase letters to denote matrices (e.g., \( \mathbf{X} \)) and boldface lowercase letters to denote vectors (e.g., \( \mathbf{x} \)). An \( N \)-order tensor is defined as \( \mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{C}^{I_1 \times \cdots \times I_N} \), where \( I_i, i \in [N] := \{1, \ldots, N\} \) is the dimension of the \( i \)-th way of the tensor, and \( \mathcal{X}_{i_1 \ldots i_N} \) denotes the \( (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_N) \)-th entry of tensor \( \mathcal{X} \). The vector \( \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{I_1 \times \cdots \times I_N} \) denotes the vectorization of tensor \( \mathcal{X} \). For a 3-way tensor (i.e., \( N = 3 \)), the notation \( \mathcal{X}(\cdot, i) \), \( \mathcal{X}(i, \cdot) \), \( \mathcal{X}(i, \cdot) \) denotes the frontal, lateral, horizontal slices of \( \mathcal{X} \), respectively. The Frobenius norm of a tensor \( \mathcal{X} \) is defined as \( \| \mathcal{X} \|_F = \sqrt{\sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_N} |\mathcal{X}_{i_1 \ldots i_N}|^2} \). The product \( \mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B} \) denotes the Hadamard (element-wise) product of two tensors \( \mathcal{A} \) and \( \mathcal{B} \). Next, we introduce some definitions and theorems for tensor ring used throughout the paper.

**Definition 1 (TR Decomposition [40]).** In TR decomposition, a high-order tensor \( \mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{I_1 \times \cdots \times I_N} \) can be represented using a sequence of circularly contracted 3-order core tensors \( \mathcal{Z}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{r_k \times I_k \times r_{k+1}}, k = 1, \ldots, N, r_{N+1} = r_1 \). Specifically, the element-wise relation of tensor \( \mathcal{X} \) and its TR core tensors \( \{\mathcal{Z}_k\}_{k=1}^N \) is defined as

\[
\mathcal{X}_{i_1 \ldots i_N} = \text{Tr} \left( \prod_{k=1}^N \mathcal{Z}_k(:, i_k, :) \right),
\]

where \( \text{Tr}(\cdot) \) is the matrix trace operator. The relation of tensor \( \mathcal{X} \) and its TR decomposition core tensors \( \{\mathcal{Z}_k\}_{k=1}^N \) is written
as \( X = \mathbb{R}(Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_N) \), where \( \mathbb{R} \) is the function defined through \([1]\), and \([r_1, \ldots, r_N] \) is called TR rank.

**Definition 2** (Mode-k unfolding \([39, 41]\)). The mode-k unfolding matrix of tensor \( X \) is denoted by \( X_{[k]} \) of size \( n_k \times \prod_{j \neq k} n_j \) with its elements defined by

\[
X_{[k]}(i_k, i_{k+1}, \ldots, i_{N+1}, \ldots, i_{k-1}) = X(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_N).
\]

Another classical mode-k unfolding matrix of \( X \) is denoted by \( X_{(k)} \) of size \( n_k \times \prod_{j \neq k} n_j \) and defined by

\[
X_{(k)}(i_k, i_1, \ldots, i_{k-1}i_{k+1}, \ldots, i_N) = X(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_N).
\]

**Theorem 1** (\([40]\)). Given a TR decomposition \( X = \mathbb{R}(Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_N) \), its mode-k unfolding matrix \( X_{[k]} \) can be written as

\[
X_{[k]} = Z_{k(2)} \left( Z_{\neq k}^{(2)} \right)^T
\]

where \( Z_{\neq k} \in \mathbb{R}^{r_{k+1} \times \prod_{j=1, j \neq k}^{N} r_j} \) is a subchain obtained by merging all cores except \( Z_k \), whose slice matrices are defined by

\[
Z_{\neq k}^{(i_k \cdots i_{N}i_{1} \cdots i_{k-1})} = \prod_{j=k+1}^{N} Z_j(:, i_j:) \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} Z_j(:, i_j:)
\]

### III. PATCH-BASED ONLINE TENSOR COMPLETION FRAMEWORK

In this section, we propose our patch tracking-based online tensor completion framework (PTOTRC) for streaming visual data, which is illustrated in Fig. 2. First, we start with a high-level description of the proposed framework, followed by a detailed description of the different procedures used.

Assume we have a partially observed video sequence \( \{\mathcal{Y}^t\}_{t=1}^T \), \( \mathcal{Y}^t \in \mathbb{R}^{I_1 \times I_2 \times n} \), with corresponding observed pixel index sets \( \{\Omega^t\}_{t=1}^T \). The number of frames \( T \) can be sufficiently large such that the video is a streaming video. For grayscale and color frames, the value of \( n \) is 1 and 3, respectively. The video frames are given sequentially, i.e., the video frame \( \mathcal{Y}^t \) arrives at time \( t \). To match the patches at the corners and boundaries of the frames, the arriving frame \( \mathcal{Y}^t \) is first padded by mirroring \( b \) pixels at all boundaries and corners, resulting in a padded frame \( \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}^t \) with frame size \((I_1+2b) \times (I_2+2b) \times n\) and corresponding observation index sets \( \tilde{\Omega}^t \).

For the initial video frame \( \mathcal{Y}^1 \), after padding it to \( \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}^1 \), we divide it into overlapping patches of size \( m \times m \times n \) with a number of overlap pixels \( o \), and set each of these patches as a tracked patch of \( \mathcal{Y}^1 \). Then, for each tracked patch, we find the \( K_o \) nearest similar patches in the same frame within a search window of size \( l \times l \) centered at the location of the tracked patch (the tracked patch itself is included in the set of similar patches since it is at a zero distance from itself). Then, a patch tensor of size \( m \times m \times n \times K_o \) is created by stacking similar patches.

At time \( t > 1 \), for each tracked patch of \( \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}^{t-1} \), a patch tracking method is used to find the \( K_o \) nearest similar patches in \( \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}^t \) within a search window of size \( l \times l \). Meanwhile, the most similar patch (i.e., the one with the smallest distance) is designated as a tracked patch of \( \mathcal{Y}^t \). Then, a patch tensor of size \( m \times m \times n \times K_o \) is formed by stacking the \( K_o \) similar patches obtained in \( \mathcal{Y}^t \). Further, patch refinement is invoked to create new patches for uncovered regions and prune the tracking of highly overlapping patches.

After obtaining all the patch tensors required for completion of \( \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}^t \), the relevant core tensors for each patch tensor are updated (or created) using an online (or offline) tensor ring completion algorithm. Then, the core tensors are stored in a tensor pool for completion of the next frame. Finally, the frame at time \( t \) is recovered as \( \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^t \circ \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}^t + (1 - \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^t) \circ \hat{\mathcal{Y}}^t \), where

\[
\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^t_{i_1, i_2, i_3} = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } (i_1, i_2, i_3) \in \Omega^t \\
0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

and \( \hat{\mathcal{Y}}^t \) is obtained by aggregating all the recovered patches belonging to \( \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}^t \) and removing padded border pixels.

The framework contains two main procedures, namely, patch tracking and patch tensor completion. Next, we discuss the different components underlying our patch tracking procedure. Online tensor completion will be discussed in the next section.

#### A. Image dilation for patch tracking with missing data

Patch tracking is challenging when only a portion of the data is observed, especially when the number of observed pixels is relatively small. For example, for a patch of size \( 20 \times 20 \) and observation ratio 20%, the number of observed pixels common between two patches will be about 16 in average. In this case, the matching accuracy degrades due to lack of common information. In this paper, we address this problem using a simple but efficient image dilation method. Specifically, the \((i, j)\)-th entry of the dilated frame \( \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}^t \) for the \( n \)-th channel is given by

\[
\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_{i,j,n} = \max_{(p,q) \in \mathcal{N}_{i,j}(p,q,n) \in \Omega} \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_{p,q,n}, \quad \text{if } \mathcal{N}_{i,j} \cap \tilde{\Omega} \neq \emptyset
\]

where \( \mathcal{N}_{i,j} \) is the index set formed by 9 neighbors of index \((i, j)\) (including itself), and NaN denotes the corresponding entry is missing.

There are two advantages in using dilation. First, the observed pixels are propagated to the unobserved neighboring pixels, so the observation percentage is greatly improved. Second, the maximization operation among adjacent observed pixels brings larger positional margin to matching, which will be useful for the next coarse-scale matching step. We remark that using a dilated image for matching may yield patches that are within a small offset from the true matching positions. However, since it is a small offset, it does not affect the completion performance significantly, which will be verified in the experiments.

#### B. Parallelized coarse-scale patch matching

Another bottleneck for the patch-based method is the computational efficiency. Searching similar patches could take a long time if the size of the search window is large. On the
other hand, a small search window size may decrease the matching performance. To improve the efficiency of patch matching, one could make use of a hierarchical or multi-resolution search method [42], [43], which searches for similar patches on different coarse to fine scales. However, due to the existence of missing entries, the frames cannot be resized, which precludes direct use of the hierarchical search method for the matching task.

To improve the matching efficiency, in this work we propose a new parallelized coarse-scale patch matching (PCPM) method, illustrated in the middle of Fig. 2. At time \( t \), given a sampling interval parameter \( s \), both dilated new frame \( \bar{Y}^t \) and previous frame \( Y^{t-1} \) are down-sampled by selecting pixels with interval \( s \). Then, the corresponding \( s^2 \) down-sampled images \( \{Y^t_d\}_{d=1}^{s^2} \) and \( \{Y^{t-1}_d\}_{d=1}^{s^2} \) of size \( (I_1+2b)/s \times (I_2+2b)/s \) are obtained (here we assume that \( b \) is such that \( I_1+2b \) and \( I_2+2b \) are divisible by \( s \)). Patch matching is performed on the down-sampled images and finally the locations of the matched patches in \( Y^t \) are obtained. The detailed steps of the proposed PCPM method are described next. To simplify the expressions, we use the coordinate of the top left pixel of the patch to represent the patch location.

1) Build pixel-wise coordinate mapping between original image and down-sampled images: The mapping function \( f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3 \) is defined as \( f : (x, y) \mapsto (x', y', c) \) where

\[
\begin{align*}
x' &= \frac{x - 1}{s} + 1, \quad y' = \frac{y - 1}{s} + 1, \\
c &= \text{mod}(x - 1, s) + \text{mod}(y - 1, s) \times s + 1
\end{align*}
\]

with \( c \in [1, s^2] \), such that the pixel location \((x, y)\) in the original image is mapped to location \((x', y')\) in the \( c\)-th down-sampled image.

2) Perform patch matching on down-sampled images: Given the tracked patch located at \((x_0, y_0)\) in the previous frame \( Y^{t-1} \), we obtain its location \((x_0', y_0')\) in the \( c_0\)-th down-sampled image \( Y^{t-1}_{c_0} \) using (4). Then, the patch with size \([m/s] \times [m/s] \times n \) at \((x_0', y_0')\) in \( Y^{t-1}_{c_0} \) is utilized as the down-sampled tracked patch, and patch matching is performed across all the \( s^2 \) down-sampled images \( \{Y^t_d\}_{d=1}^{s^2} \) of \( Y^t \) within a search region of size \([l/s] \times [l/s] \) centered at \((x_0', y_0')\). The nearest \( K_o \) similar patches of the tracking patch in \( \{Y^t_d\}_{d=1}^{s^2} \) are obtained with locations \( \{(x'_i, y'_i, c_i)\}_{i=1}^{K_o} \).

3) Map the matched patches to original location: The locations of the nearest \( K_o \) similar patches in the new frame \( Y^t \) (and \( Y^t \)) can be computed using the following inverse mapping \( f^{-1} : (x', y', c) \mapsto (x, y) \) where

\[
\begin{align*}
x &= (x' - 1)s + 1 + \text{mod}(c - 1, s), \\
y &= (y' - 1)s + 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{c - 1}{s} \right\rfloor
\end{align*}
\]

Note that patch matching on each down-sampled image is independent, so parallelization could be used to improve efficiency. Using the above PCPM method, for each tracked patch in \( Y^{t-1} \), the most similar \( K_o \) patches in the new frame \( Y^t \) are tracked and stacked to construct a patch tensor of size \( m \times m \times n \times K_o \). Specifically, patch matching can be applied within the frame \( Y^{t-1} \) itself by setting the new frame as \( \bar{Y}^{t-1} \). We remark that the coarse-scale matching
may also introduce a small offset between the tracked position and the true matching positions. However, as verified in the experiments, such a method achieves significant speedup with only slight degradation in recovery performance.

C. Patch refinement

During the patch tracking process, there are three types of patch (core) tensors to be processed at a given time \( t \), marked in yellow, blue and green in Fig. [2]. In particular, some core tensors need to be updated as new patch tensors arrive (marked in yellow). Other patch tensors should be created to cover those regions that are not covered by the tracked patches (marked in blue). To control the growth of the number of patches tracked, tracking of some patches should stop when they have significant overlap with other patches (marked in green). The specific operations for the latter two types of patches are detailed next.

1) New patch tensor generation for the uncovered regions: At time \( t \), for the regions in the new frame \( \hat{Y}^t \) that are not covered by patches tracked from \( \hat{Y}^{t-1} \), we create new overlapping patches of size \( m \times m \times n \) with a number of overlap pixels \( o \) to cover them. These new patches are added to the tracked patches of \( \hat{Y}^t \). Then, for each tracked patch, the PCPM method is applied to the same frame with search window size \( l \times l \) and we find the \( K_b \) nearest similar patches in \( \hat{Y}^t \). Then, the offline tensor completion algorithm is applied to the stacked patch tensor of size \( m \times m \times n \times K_b \) and the core tensors are obtained. The new core tensors are then added to the core tensor pool.

2) Stop tracking of highly overlapped patches: It can be also observed that patches tracked from different tensors may have considerable overlap after several tracking iterations. Continuing to update all such tensors will result in no or marginal improvement in the completion performance at the expense of extra computational and storage cost. Thus, we stop the growth of the number of tensors by detecting their degree of overlap. Specifically, at time \( t \), the overlap degree of a tensor is measured by counting the number of times each pixel is shared with other tensors. If the minimum number is larger than a predefined threshold \( \tau_c \), the corresponding tracked patch is no longer tracked and updated.

The entire completion process of the proposed PTOTRC at time \( t = 1 \) by setting \( \mathcal{I}_S = \mathcal{I}_Z = \varnothing \), i.e., treating \( \hat{Y}^1 \) as a frame with an entirely uncovered region.

IV. ONLINE TENSOR RING COMPLETION ALGORITHM

In this section, we develop an efficient online tensor completion algorithm for streaming patch tensor completion. We adopt a tensor ring model to formulate the online tensor completion, which is verified to achieve better completion performance compared with the CP and Tucker model. To date, there is no online version for tensor ring completion.

Algorithm 1 Patch Tracking-based Online Tensor Ring Completion (PTOTRC)

**Input:** Partially observed previous frame \( Y^{t-1} \) and new incoming frame \( Y^t \), patch matching parameters \( b, m, \sigma, s, l, K_b \) and \( \tau_c \), overlap threshold \( \tau_c \). Previous tracked patch set \( \mathcal{I}_S \) with corresponding core tensors pool (set) \( \mathcal{I}_Z \).

1. Pad the frames and get \( \hat{Y}^{t-1} \) and \( \hat{Y}^t \).
2. For each patch \( S_i \) in \( \mathcal{I}_S \) do
   3. Search \( K_o \) nearest patches within search range \( l \times l \) in \( \hat{Y}_i \). Replace \( S_i \) in \( \mathcal{I}_S \) with its nearest similar patch in \( \hat{Y}_i \). Construct patch tensor \( M_i \) using obtained \( K_o \) nearest patches.
4. Update core tensors \( \{Z_{i,k}\}_{k=1}^N \) related to \( S_i \) using \( M_i \).
5. End for
6. Create new tracked patches set \( \mathcal{I}_S' \) to cover the uncovered region in \( \hat{Y}_i \).
7. For each patch \( S_i \) in \( \mathcal{I}_S' \) do
   8. Search \( K_b \) nearest patches within search range \( l \times l \) in \( \hat{Y}_i \). Add \( S_i \) to \( \mathcal{I}_S \). Construct patch tensor \( M_i \) using \( K_b \) nearest patches.
9. Compute core tensors \( \{Z_{i,k}\}_{k=1}^N \) related to \( S_i \) and add them to \( \mathcal{I}_Z \).
10. End for
11. Obtain \( \hat{Y}^t \) by aggregating patches according to \( \mathcal{I}_Z \) and remove padded border pixels.
12. Compute recovered frame as \( P^t \circ \hat{Y}^t + (1 - P^t) \circ \hat{Y}^t \).
13. Compute overlap degree set \( c \) according to \( \mathcal{I}_S \). Remove patches from \( \mathcal{I}_S \) and \( \mathcal{I}_Z \) if their overlap degrees are larger than \( \tau_c \).

**Output:** Recovered frame of \( Y^t \), new tracked patch set \( \mathcal{I}_S \) with corresponding core tensors pool (set) \( \mathcal{I}_Z \).

A. Tensor ring completion

First, let us revisit traditional tensor ring completion. Given a tensor \( \mathcal{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{I_1 \times I_2 \times ... \times I_N} \) and its observed index set \( \mathcal{O} \), the recovered full tensor \( \mathcal{X} \) can be obtained by solving the following minimization problem

\[
\min_{\mathcal{X}} \text{rank}_i(\mathcal{X}), \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{M} \tag{6}
\]

where \( \text{rank}_i(\mathcal{X}) \) is a tensor rank of \( \mathcal{X} \).

According to Definition 1, the cost function for the tensor ring completion algorithm can be formulated as

\[
\min_{Z_1, ..., Z_N} \left\| P \circ (\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{R}(Z_1, ..., Z_N)) \right\|_F^2. \tag{7}
\]

The above problem can be solved using alternating least squares (ALS) [4]. Specifically, at each iteration, the core tensor \( Z_k \) is updated by fixing all core tensors except \( Z_k \), and based on Theorem 1 the following problem is solved

\[
\min_{Z_k} \left\| P_{[k]} \circ (\mathcal{M}_{[k]} - Z_k(Z_k)) \right\|_F^2. \tag{8}
\]

The final estimated tensor \( \mathcal{X} \) can be calculated by \( \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{R}(Z_1, ..., Z_N) \).
B. Online tensor ring completion

Specific to the proposed patch-based online tensor completion framework, for a tracked patch in $\mathcal{Y}_{t-1}$, $K_o$ nearest patches are found in $\mathcal{Y}_t$ and formed as a patch tensor $\mathcal{M}_t \in \mathbb{R}^{r_1 \times \cdots \times r_{K_o-1} \times r_K}$. Further, it can be observed from (7) that only the last core tensor $\mathcal{Z}_N$ incorporates the temporal information of $\mathcal{M}$ (i.e., related to the temporal dimension). Thus, denoting by $S_t \in \mathbb{R}^{r_N \times K_o \times r_t}$ the subtensor of $\mathcal{Z}_N$ related to $\mathcal{M}_t$, the tensor ring completion problem at time $t$ using (7) can be rewritten as

$$\min_{Z_{1}^{t-1}, \ldots, S_t} \sum_{i=1}^{t} \left\| P^{t} \circ \left( \mathcal{M}_t - \mathcal{R}(Z_{1}^{t-1}, \ldots, Z_{N-1}^{t-1}, S_t) \right) \right\|_F^2 + \gamma \sum_{i=1}^{t} \| S_t \|_F^2$$

where we also included a regularization term to constrain the norm of each subtensor $S_t$, $\gamma$ is the regularization parameter.

Different from (7) where the entire $\mathcal{M}$ is initially known, in the online case, only the latest tensor $\mathcal{M}_t$, $P^{t}$ and previous core tensors $\{Z_{k-1}^{t-1}\}_{k=1}^{N-1}$ are assumed to be known at time $t$. Therefore, current methods for tensor ring completion are not applicable to online completion. In this work, we develop online tensor completion algorithms to solve (9). The proposed online tensor ring completion alternates between square-estimation of $S_t$ using $\{Z_{k-1}^{t-1}\}_{k=1}^{N-1}$, and approximation of $\{Z_{k}^{t-1}\}_{k=1}^{N-1}$ for fixed $S_t$ using a scaled steepest descent (SSD) method.

1) Computation of $S_t$: Suppose that at time $t$ we have the tensor $\mathcal{M}_t$ and the previous estimated core tensors $\{Z_{k}^{t-1}\}_{k=1}^{N-1}$. The optimization problem in terms of $S_t$ in (9) becomes

$$S_t = \arg \min_S \left\| P^{t-1} \circ (\mathcal{M}_t - U^{t-1} S_t) \right\|_F^2 + \gamma \| S_t \|_F^2$$

where $U^{t-1} = (Z^{t-1})^N$. Defining $s_k \in \mathbb{R}^{r_{N-1}r_t}$ as the vectorized form of the $k$-th lateral slice of $S_t$, the solution of (10) can be obtained by solving the following $K_o$ subproblems:

$$s_k = \arg \min_{s \in \mathbb{R}^{r_{N-1}r_t}} \left\| p_k - (U^{t-1})^N s \right\|_F^2 + \gamma \| s \|_F^2$$

where $p_k$ and $m_k$ are the $k$-th columns of $P^{t-1}$ and $M_{t-1}$, respectively. Further, (11) can be simplified as

$$s_k = \arg \min_{s \in \mathbb{R}^{r_{N-1}r_t}} \left\| m_k - (U^{t-1})^N s \right\|_F^2 + \gamma \| s \|_F^2 \quad \text{(12)}$$

where $I_N$ denotes the index set of the non-zero entries of $p_k$, and $[m_k]_{I_N} \in \mathbb{R}^{r_{N-1}r_t}$ denotes the vector of elements in $m_k$ indexed by set $I_N$ of cardinality $|I_N|$. The matrix $[U^{t-1}]_{I_N} \in \mathbb{R}^{|I_N| \times r_{N-1}r_t}$ is formed from the rows of $U^{t-1}$ with row index $I_N$. Eq. (12) has a closed-form solution

$$s_k = ([U^{t-1}]_{I_N}^{-1} [U^{t-1}]_{I_N} + \gamma I)^{-1} ([U^{t-1}]_{I_N}^{-1} [m_k])_{I_N} \quad \text{(13)}$$

2) Update $Z_{1}^{t-1}, \ldots, Z_{N-1}^{t-1}$: Traditional online completion methods usually apply stochastic gradient descent (SGD) or recursive least squares (RLS) to update the relative tensors. However, as shown in [29], directly applying SGD suffers from a low convergence rate, while the efficiency of RLS is limited due to its high computational complexity. In this work, we apply scaled steepest descent (SSD) method [45] to solve $Z_k^t$, $k = 1, \ldots, N - 1$.

By only considering the data available at time $t$, the optimization problem in (9) at time $t$ reduces to:

$$\min_{Z_{1}^{t-1}, \ldots, Z_{N-1}^{t-1}} \mathcal{L} := \left\| P^{t} \circ (\mathcal{M}_t - \mathcal{R}(Z_{1}^{t-1}, \ldots, Z_{N-1}^{t-1}, S_t) \right\|_F^2$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ is the loss function.

Traditional completion algorithms used for solving (7) such as ALS can be applied to solve (14). However, alternating minimization may incur a high computational cost, which is not computationally efficient for online processing. In this work, based on the fact that the tensor subspace within similar patches changes slowly (as Fig. 1 shows), we put forth a one-step SSD method to obtain the update of the core tensors.

First, $Z_k^t$, $k = 1, \ldots, N - 1$ are initialized with the corresponding previous estimates $Z_k^{t-1}$. Then, the scaled gradient descent direction $g(Z_k^{t-2})$ in terms of $Z_k^{t-2}$ is computed by first obtaining the gradient

$$\nabla \mathcal{L}(Z_k^{t-2}) = -\left( P^{t-1}_N \circ (M_{t-1} - U_k^T U_k) \right) U_k$$

such that

$$g(Z_k^{t-2}) = \nabla \mathcal{L}(Z_k^{t-2})(U_k^T U_k + \epsilon I)^{-1}$$

where $\epsilon$ is a sufficiently small positive number (i.e., $10^{-10}$). Therefore, $Z_k^{t-2}$ can be updated as

$$Z_k^{t-1} = Z_k^{t-2} - \mu_k g(Z_k^{t-2})$$

where the step size $\mu_k$ is set by using exact line-search as

$$\mu_k = \arg \min_{\mu} \left\| P^{t-1}_N \circ (M_{t-1} - (Z_k^{t-2} - \mu k g(Z_k^{t-2}))) U_k^T \right\|_F^2$$

$$= \frac{\langle \nabla \mathcal{L}(Z_k^{t-2}), g(Z_k^{t-2}) \rangle}{\left\| g(Z_k^{t-2}) U_k^T \right\|_F^2}$$

(18)

Compared with SGD, the SSD method increases the speed of convergence by using the scaled term $U_k^T U_k$ and applying an adaptive step size strategy. The above online tensor ring completion (OTRC) algorithm is summarized in Algorithm 2.

Algorithm 2 Online tensor ring completion (OTRC)

**Input:** Partially observed tensor $\mathcal{M}_t$ with corresponding mask tensor $P^{t}$ and previous estimation $Z_k^{t-1}, k = 1, \ldots, N - 1$. Parameter $\gamma$.

1: Compute $S_t$ using (13).
2: for $k = 1, \ldots, N - 1$ do
3: Update $Z_k^t$ using (17).
4: end for

**Output:** New core tensors $Z_k^t$, $k = 1, \ldots, N - 1$ and $S_t$.

C. New patch tensor rank estimation and completion

For a new patch tensor $\mathcal{M}_t$, there are no previous estimates of core tensors $Z_k^{t-1}, k = 1, \ldots, N$. Therefore, one should apply offline completion algorithms for $\mathcal{M}_t$ and create
core tensors \( Z_k \). Inspired by the SSD method for OTRC, we develop a new offline tensor ring algorithm using scaled steepest descent method (BCSSD). The method alternatively updates the core tensors \( Z_k, k = 1, \ldots, N \) using (17).

Before completion and before obtaining the core tensors of a new patch tensor, we should also estimate the rank of core tensors. To get the desired completion performance, we note that the rank of different tensors should be set differently. Specifically, if the patch is texture-less or has only few observed pixels, then the rank should be set to a relatively small value. Therefore, by setting all elements of TR rank to the same value \( r \), we use an adaptive strategy to estimate \( r \) using the following equation:

\[
r = \min([C_1 \sqrt{p\sigma^2_{M_k}}], [C_2 \sqrt{p}]) + r_o
\]

where \( \sigma^2_{M_k} \) denotes the variance of the observed entries of \( M \), \( p \) the observation ratio of \( M \). \( C_1, C_2 \) and \( r_o \) are parameters to be set.

We term the method proposed above TRSSD, and the pseudo code is summarized in Algorithm 3. The method proposed can outperform least-square-based method for patch tensor completion, which is verified in the experimental results.

Algorithm 3 Tensor ring completion with rank estimation and scaled steepest descent (TRSSD)

**Input:** Partially observed tensor \( M \) with corresponding mask tensor \( P \), maximum iteration number \( L \), TR rank \( [r_1, \ldots, r_N] \) and error tolerance \( \varepsilon \).

1. Set \( r_1 = \cdots = r_N = r \) and estimate \( r \) using (19).
2. Initialize \( Z_k, k = 1, \ldots, N \). Set \( \tau = 1 \).
3. repeat
   4. for \( k = 1, \ldots, N \) do
      5. Update \( Z_k \) using (17).
      6. \( \tau = \tau + 1 \).
   7. end for
   8. Compute \( X^{\tau} = \Re(Z_1^{\tau}, Z_2^{\tau}, \ldots, Z_N^{\tau}) \).
   9. until \( \tau > L \) or \( \|X^{\tau - 1} - X^{\tau - 2}\|_F / \|X^{\tau - 2}\|_F < \varepsilon \)

**Output:** Core tensors \( Z_k, k = 1, \ldots, N \).

V. COMPLEXITY ANALYSIS

Assume \( m \) and \( l \) are divisible by \( s \), and all elements in the TR rank are set to the same value \( r \). The complexity of patch matching for each tracked patch using the PCPM method with interval \( s \) is \( \mathcal{O}(p m^2 l^2 / s^2) \), where \( p \) is the observation ratio. The time complexity of OTRC for a tensor of size \( m \times m \times n \times K_b \) is \( \mathcal{O}(p m^2 n K_b r^4) \), while TRSSD for a tensor of size \( m \times m \times n \times K_b \) requires time complexity of \( \mathcal{O}(p m^2 n K_b r^4 L) \). Suppose the total number of tensors for the recovered frame is \( T \), with \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) being the number of tensors to be updated and created, respectively. Then, the overall complexity for completing the frame is \( \mathcal{O}(p m^2 l^2 / s^2 T + p m^2 n K_b r^4 T_1 + p m^2 n K_b r^4 LT_2) \).

It can be observed that using PCPM method can reduce the computational complexity of patch matching by a factor of \( 1/s^2 \). Increasing the overlap parameter \( o \) will result in a relatively larger \( T \) which would increase the overall complexity. Moreover, since both patch matching and tensor completion for each patch tensor are independent, parallel computation can be used to further improve the computational efficiency.

VI. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In this section, we conduct experiments to verify the performance of the proposed methods. We compare to both existing online and offline algorithms. The online methods include the CP-based algorithm OLSTEC [29] and the t-SVD-based algorithm TOUCAN [31]. For offline methods, we compare to traditional global tensor completion algorithms, including the matrix factorization-based algorithm TMAC [20], tensor ring-based algorithms TRN [41] and PRTC [59], and t-SVD-based algorithms TNN [21] and TCTF [22]. We also compare two non-local (NL) patch-based offline methods including NLTNN [56] and tensor train-based NLTNN [58].

The completion performance is evaluated by the average peak signal-to-noise ratio (PSNR) over 20 Monte Carlo runs with different missing entries. For all patch-based algorithms PTOTRC, NLTNN and NLTNN, the patch size \( m \) and the number of overlap pixels \( o \) are set to 36 and 18, respectively. The number of nearest neighbors \( K \) for NLTNN and NLTNN is set to 20, while \( K_b \) and \( K_o \) for PTOTRC are set to 30 and 10, respectively. The search size \( l \) for PTOTRC and NLTNN are both set to 41. The interval sampling parameter \( s \) and padding pixels \( b \) for PTOTRC are set to 3 and 20, respectively.

For the proposed OTRC and TRSSD, the parameters \( \gamma, L, C_1, C_2, r_o \) and \( \varepsilon \) are set to \( 10^{-5}, 10, 1000, 6, 4 \) and \( 10^{-2} \), respectively. For the other algorithms, the parameters are adjusted so as to achieve their best performance. Further, the parameters are fixed in each simulation.

A. Short video fragment completion

In this part, we evaluate the completion performance using short color video fragments from the YUV dataset. Five video fragments are selected with representative frames shown in Fig. 6. For each video, a fragment of 50 frames is selected, each frame with size \( 288 \times 352 \times 3 \) such that the size of the whole video tensor is \( 288 \times 352 \times 3 \times 50 \). Frames arrive sequentially in an online fashion. The bottom row of Fig. 6 shows the average magnitude of the optical flow between frames for each video, along with the eigenvalues of the matrix unfolded from the video tensor along the temporal (4th) dimension. One can see that these videos have different motion degrees.

1) Ablation experiment: We first carry out an ablation experiment on the proposed online patch-based completion method. The experiment is carried out on the ‘bus’ video with 20% random observation percentage, i.e., the observed pixels are selected uniformly at random with probability 0.2.

First, we investigate the performance of PTOTRC with different values of the interval parameter \( s \). Fig. 5 depicts the PSNR of the recovered video for different values of \( s \), along with the computation time of patch matching for each frame. Note that \( s = 1 \) designates patch matching on the

full-scale. As can be seen, using the proposed coarse-scale patch matching method \( (s > 1) \) achieves significant speedup compared with full-scale matching. Further, as \( s \) increases, the matching accuracy decreases, underscoring a tradeoff between matching accuracy and efficiency.

Second, we evaluate the performance with different pre-processing methods for patch matching with missing data, i.e., dilation, interpolation and completion. Fig. 4 depicts the PSNR and patch matching time cost under different pre-processing methods. The prefix ‘+’ and ‘-’ mean ‘with’ and ‘without’, respectively. One can observe that all methods achieve comparable good completion performance except for the one with \( s = 3 \) without dilation, while the proposed dilation method with \( s = 3 \) incurs a smaller computational cost for patch matching compared with interpolation and completion. Further, compared with Fig. 3, dilation greatly improves the patch matching accuracy, while increasing the computational cost. On the other hand, the speedup achieved due to coarse-scale patch matching counteracts the extra computational cost for matching using dilation. Therefore, our proposed patch tracking method can maintain both efficiency and accuracy.

Third, we test performance using different tensor ring completion methods. For comparison, we developed two extra online tensor ring completion methods. The first SGD method utilizes the gradient in (10) with exact line-search for updating \( \{Z_i\}_{i=1}^N \). The second least-squares (LS) method applies one-step LS to update \( \{Z_i\}_{i=1}^N \). To compare with the CP-based algorithm, we also develop two methods by introducing SSD and LS to the CP model. The PSNR and completion time cost with different completion methods are shown in Fig. 5. As shown, the proposed SSD method using the tensor ring model achieves the best completion performance, with computational cost smaller than that of LS.

2) Short video completion: In this part, we compare the completion with both offline and online tensor completion algorithms. For offline methods, the whole video tensor of size \( 288 \times 352 \times 3 \times 50 \) is given as the input tensor. For PTRC and TRNN (which favor high order tensors for better performance), we reshape the tensor to an 11-order tensor of size \( 2 \times 4 \times 4 \times 9 \times 2 \times 4 \times 4 \times 11 \times 3 \times 5 \times 10 \). For TNN and TCTF, which only afford 3-order tensor completion, we reshape the tensor to a 3-order tensor of size \( 288 \times 352 \times 150 \).

We apply different types of missing patterns to each video fragment. In particular, for each frame of video ‘bus’, the observed pixels are randomly and uniformly selected with observation ratio \( p_r \times 100\% \). For video ‘akiyo’, a time-invariant random missing pattern with observation ratio \( p_r \times 100\% \) is applied. For video ‘foreman’, the observed columns and rows are randomly and uniformly selected with probability \( p_r \times 100\% \). For video ‘stefan’, we use a time-invariant missing pattern where \( p_r \times 100\% \) of the columns and rows are randomly and uniformly selected and observed. For video ‘flower’, a fixed sentence is masked on all frames so that the video contains a ‘watermark’.

Table I shows the average PSNR and running time for each video for all algorithms with different parameters \( p_r \).

![Fig. 3. Left: curves of average PSNR with different interval \( s \). Right: average time cost of patch tracking step with different \( s \).](image)

![Fig. 4. Left: curves of average PSNR with different pre-processing methods \( (s \) is set to 3 as default); Right: average time cost of patch tracking step with different methods.](image)

![Fig. 5. Left: curves of average PSNR with different completion methods; Right: average time cost of completion step with different methods.](image)

![Fig. 6. Top: representative frames of five video fragments. Bottom left: curves of average magnitude of optical flow between frames for each video. Bottom right: eigenvalues of the mode-4 unfolding matrix of each video tensor.](image)
TABLE I
AVERAGE PSNR AND TOTAL RUNNING TIME ON FIVE VIDEOS WITH DIFFERENT MISSING PATTERNS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Video</th>
<th>Missing pattern</th>
<th>Observation rate</th>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>offline methods</th>
<th>online methods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TMAC</td>
<td>TNN</td>
<td>PTRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>random</td>
<td></td>
<td>time</td>
<td>124.13</td>
<td>150.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pixel missing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>22.45</td>
<td>21.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>PSNR</td>
<td>132.05</td>
<td>428.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>time</td>
<td>24.25</td>
<td>23.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>PSNR</td>
<td>25.12</td>
<td>150.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>time</td>
<td>111.08</td>
<td>99.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>akiyo</td>
<td>time-invariant</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>PSNR</td>
<td>15.59</td>
<td>20.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>random</td>
<td></td>
<td>time</td>
<td>99.33</td>
<td>804.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pixel missing</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>PSNR</td>
<td>23.15</td>
<td>23.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>time</td>
<td>104.45</td>
<td>808.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>PSNR</td>
<td>24.85</td>
<td>26.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>time</td>
<td>84.82</td>
<td>816.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>foreman</td>
<td></td>
<td>PSNR</td>
<td>32.68</td>
<td>35.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>time-varying</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>time</td>
<td>147.09</td>
<td>778.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>random</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>32.88</td>
<td>35.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>stripe missing</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>time</td>
<td>93.02</td>
<td>782.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>34.92</td>
<td>38.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>time</td>
<td>57.80</td>
<td>886.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stefan</td>
<td>time-invariant</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>PSNR</td>
<td>8.620</td>
<td>12.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>random</td>
<td></td>
<td>time</td>
<td>142.89</td>
<td>783.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>stripe missing</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>time</td>
<td>9.810</td>
<td>15.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>120.97</td>
<td>774.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>time</td>
<td>11.34</td>
<td>22.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>67.17</td>
<td>800.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>flower</td>
<td></td>
<td>PSNR</td>
<td>26.31</td>
<td>31.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>time-invariant</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>time</td>
<td>90.52</td>
<td>828.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>watermark</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>26.07</td>
<td>24.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 7. Curves of average PSNR for each frame using different algorithms on five video sequences (left to right: bus (p_s = 0.2), akiyo (p_s = 0.2), foreman (p_s = 0.4), stefan (p_s = 0.4) and flower).

and p_s, and Fig. 7 shows the PSNR of each recovered frame for a single run. It can be observed that the proposed PTOTRC achieves the best overall performance. NLTNN outperform PTOTRC in ‘foreman’, but with a considerably larger computational cost. Also, the completion performance of the traditional completion algorithms is highly dependent on the degree of motion between the frames. Specifically, for these algorithms, a relatively lower motion degree may result in higher completion performance. By contrast, the completion performance of PTOTRC improves by temporal consistency within the similar patches, thus the performance is not highly affected by the variance in motion degree. For better visualization, examples of recovered frames using different algorithms are shown in Fig. 8. The proposed PTOTRC (last column) yields the best visual quality with clear texture and accurate color.

B. Long streaming video completion

In this section, we verify the completion performance on a live basketball video downloaded from YouTube. We select 500 consecutive frames and resize each frame to size 360 × 640. The frames arrive sequentially in an online manner. For each frame, the observed pixels are randomly and uniformly selected with probability 20%. Since offline completion methods cannot handle such a large tensor, we only compare the performance to the online completion methods OLSTEC and TOUCAN.

Fig. 9 depicts the PSNR of each recovered frame, along with the average magnitude of the optical flow between frames. Some sudden changes have been marked in the figure including two camera switch events in which the whole scene changes, and one flash overexposure where the frame is entirely almost white. Some recovered frames using different algorithms are also displayed in Fig. 10. As shown, the

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=I33o9UnUe1A&t=986s
proposed PTOTRC significantly outperforms OLSTEC and TOUCAN.

![Fig. 8. Examples of recovered frames (middle part) corresponding to top row of Fig. 6. Best viewed in ×2 sized color pdf file.](image)

![Fig. 9. Top: curves of average PSNR for each frame using different algorithms on basketball streaming video. Bottom: curves of average magnitude of optical flow between frames of the original fully observed video.](image)

C. Image (single frame) completion

In this part, we verify the performance of the proposed method on image completion task. Image completion can be regarded as a special case of online video completion where there is only one incoming frame at \( t = 1 \). In this case, only the TRSSD algorithm is utilized, and patch tensors are constructed using patch matching within the image. To avoid ambiguity, we name PTOTRC under this setting patch matching-based TRSSD (PMTRSSD).

We use the Berkeley Segment Dataset as our test data; 30 images are randomly selected from the dataset and reshaped to a size of \( 320 \times 480 \). For each image, \( p \times 100\% \) of the pixels are randomly and uniformly selected as the observed data. The image completion problem is then formulated as a \( 320 \times 480 \times 3 \) tensor completion task. For PTRC and TRNN, we reshape the tensor to an 9-order tensor of size \( 4 \times 4 \times 4 \times 5 \times 4 \times 5 \times 6 \times 3 \). We also develop two new algorithms using the proposed PCPM method, namely, PTTNN and PMTRNN, where TRSSD is replaced with tensor train nuclear norm (TTNN) and tensor ring nuclear norm (TRNN), respectively. In this experiment, the number of nearest neighbors \( K \) for all patch-based method is set to 30.

First, we compare the completion performance of all algorithms on all the 30 test images. Fig. 11 shows the average PSNR for the test images with \( p = 0.2 \). As shown, our proposed method achieves the best performance and PMTRNN comes second. The results verify that the patch-based method can improve performance upon methods that treat the entire
image as a tensor.

Second, we investigate the performance of the algorithms with different observation rate \( p \). Figure 12 shows the completion performance for each algorithm for different values of \( p \) for the image ‘bamboo house’ (See Fig. 13). As can be seen, patch-based tensor ring methods (PMTRSSD and PMTRNN) achieve the overall best performance for all \( p \) compared with other methods. Moreover, for the low observability regime with \( p < 0.3 \), PMTRSSD outperforms PMTRNN in PSNR with a significantly smaller computational cost. When the number of observed pixels is relatively large (i.e., \( p > 0.3 \)), PMTRNN has better performance than PMTRSSD. An example of recovered images under \( p = 0.05 \) is also provided in Fig. 14. As shown, the completed images using the proposed PMTRSSD and PMTRNN have better visual performance than other algorithms. It also shows that NLTNN suffers from color inconsistencies in some pixels due to misguidance from wrong interpolation.

For online tensor completion where the frames arrive sequentially, an offline method can be applied on a frame-by-frame basis, i.e., each incoming frame \( Y^t \) can be treated as a single image and completed individually. We carry out an experiment to investigate the performance of completion done by frame-by-frame for the video data. Fig. 14 depicts the average PSNR for completion of the 25-th frame in five short video fragments in Fig. 6. The missing patterns are the same as in Fig. 7. The dotted bars denote the corresponding PSNR in Fig. 7. As can be seen, almost all algorithms suffer from some performance degradation compared with Fig. 7 in which the adjacent frames were considered together. This experiment verifies that it is beneficial to exploit the relation between frames rather than to treat each frame individually.

VII. CONCLUSION

We proposed a new patch tracking-based online tensor ring completion algorithm PTOTRC, which exploits the high correlation between patches to improve the online completion performance. To improve the patch matching efficiency, a parallelized coarse-scale patch matching is proposed. A new online tensor ring completion algorithm using LS and SSD is developed, which can simultaneously achieve favorable
completion performance and high computational efficiency. Equipped with a patch tracking method and an online tensor completion algorithm, the proposed online algorithm can obtain higher completion accuracy than the state-of-the-art online completion algorithms. Further, experimental results demonstrate the superior performance of the proposed algorithm compared to existing offline state-of-the-art methods.
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