

Gravitational and electromagnetic radiation from an electrically charged black hole in general nonlinear electrodynamics

Ramin G. Daghigh¹ and Michael D. Green²

¹ *Natural Sciences Department, Metropolitan State University, Saint Paul, Minnesota, USA 55106*

² *Mathematics and Statistics Department, Metropolitan State University, Saint Paul, Minnesota, USA 55106*

Abstract

We derive the equations for the odd and even parity perturbations of coupled electromagnetic and gravitational fields of a black hole with an electric charge within the context of general nonlinear electrodynamics. The Lagrangian density is a generic function of the Lorentz invariant scalar quantities of the electromagnetic fields. We include the Hodge dual of the electromagnetic field tensor and the cosmological constant in our calculations. For each type of parity, we reduce the system of Einstein field equations coupled to nonlinear electrodynamics to two Schrödinger-type wave equations, one for the gravitational field and one for the electromagnetic field. The stability conditions in the presence of the Hodge dual of the electromagnetic field are derived.

1 Introduction

Penrose, in his Noble prize winning work [1], shows that when a massive star collapses to form a black hole, the singularity formation in general relativity (GR) is inevitable. This issue signals the demise of GR in its classical form. The singularity may be resolved by an ultimate quantum theory of gravity that can describe the final stage of gravitational collapse. In the absence of a microscopic theory, toy models of regular (singularity-free) black holes have been proposed to study the formation and evaporation of such black holes. After the first specific proposal for a regular black hole (RBH), which was presented by Bardeen in [2], many RBH models have been proposed by various authors over the years. See, for example, [3–16] for some of the RBHs that are asymptotically Schwarzschild at large radii. The majority of these black holes, including Bardeen’s model, are constructed in an ad-hoc manner without an underlying theory behind them. However, in [17], Ayón-Beato and García found the first RBH solution in GR that is coupled to nonlinear electrodynamics (NLED). NLED was originally proposed in [18], by Born and Infeld, in an attempt to generalize Maxwell’s theory to strong field regimes. As a result, this theory provides a natural choice for studying charged black holes where we deal with strong electromagnetic and gravitational fields.

Ayón-Beato and García were also able to reinterpret Bardeen’s model as a black hole with a nonlinear magnetic monopole charge in [19]. It was also shown by Rodrigues and Silva in [20] that the Bardeen solution can be obtained in NLED with an electric charge. In addition to the electrically charged black hole in [17], Ayón-Beato and García proposed two more black hole models with electric charge in [21] and [22].

For these RBH models to be viable, they need to be stable when they are perturbed. In addition to its relevance to gravitational wave observations, the study of black hole perturbations is crucial in determining the stability of a black hole model [23]. There are two approaches to study black hole perturbations. In one approach, the perturbation of a field (e.g. a scalar field), which is weakly coupled to the background of a black hole spacetime, is analyzed. In this case, the geometric perturbations are usually neglected. Since the equations governing the perturbations of spherically symmetric black holes are similar to the Klein-Gordon equation for a scalar field, one can achieve a qualitative understanding of the black hole model and its perturbations. However, to achieve a quantitative understanding of the stability of a black hole, one needs to look at the perturbations of the spacetime and any strongly coupled fields to the background geometry.

The wave equations of coupled electromagnetic and gravitational fields of a black hole with an electric charge in general NLED are derived for the first time by Moreno and Sarbach in [24]. The Lagrangian considered in [24] is a general function of the Lorentz invariant scalar quantity F of the electromagnetic field, where $F = \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$ and $F_{\mu\nu}$ is the electromagnetic field tensor. The stability conditions for these black holes are also derived in [24].

The wave equations of coupled electromagnetic and gravitational fields of a black hole with a magnetic monopole charge in general NLED are derived for the first time by Nomura

et al. in [25]. In addition to the electromagnetic field tensor $F_{\mu\nu}$, the authors of [25] include the Hodge dual of the field tensor, $F_{*\mu\nu}$.

Since magnetic monopoles have never been observed in nature, in this paper we focus on electrically charged black holes within the context of NLED. Similar to [24], we introduce perturbations on the background geometry of a charged black hole and its nonlinear electric field. We derive the odd parity (magnetic or axial) and even parity (electric or polar) wave equations for the coupled electromagnetic and gravitational fields. We include the Hodge dual field tensor, which was ignored in [24]. The method we use in this paper is different than the method used in [24]. Our method is more in line with the work done by Zerilli in [26] for the Reissner-Nordström black hole and by Nomura *et al.* in [25] for black holes with a magnetic monopole. For simplicity, in this work, we do not consider any test particle outside the black hole horizon. However, it should be easy to incorporate that using Zerilli's results in [26].

We structure the paper as follows. In Section 2, we set up the problem by deriving the perturbed Einstein-NLED equations. In Section 3, we expand the geometric and NLED perturbations in tensor harmonics and derive the wave equations for odd parity perturbations, which are reduced to two coupled Schrödinger-type wave equations. We then derive stability conditions in Section 4. In Sections 5 and 6, we do the same steps taken in Sections 3 and 4 for even parity perturbations. We provide the summary and conclusion in Section 7. In Appendix A, we explain some of the differences between our results, when reduced to the Reissner-Nordström case, and Zerilli's results in [26].

2 Perturbed Field Equations

In order to make the comparison with the Reissner-Nordström black hole perturbations easier, we closely follow the notation in [26]. The action of NLED in a curved spacetime is

$$\mathcal{S} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{1}{16\pi} (R - 2\Lambda) - \frac{1}{4\pi} \mathcal{L}(F, F_*) \right), \quad (1)$$

where R is the Ricci scalar, Λ is the cosmological constant, g is the determinant of the spacetime metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$, and the Lagrangian density \mathcal{L} is an arbitrary function of the Lorentz invariant scalar quantities

$$F = \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \quad (2)$$

$$F_* = \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F_*^{\mu\nu}. \quad (3)$$

Here, $F_*^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} F_{\alpha\beta}$ is the Hodge dual of the electromagnetic field tensor $F^{\mu\nu}$. The Levi-Civita tensor is normalized as $\epsilon_{0123} = \sqrt{-g}$. In this paper, we adopt Planck units where $c = G = \hbar = 1$. The Einstein-NLED equations that describe the gravitational and NLED fields are

$$\tilde{G}_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi \tilde{E}_{\mu\nu} \quad (4)$$

$$\left(\sqrt{-\tilde{g}}\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{F}}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} + \sqrt{-\tilde{g}}\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{F}_*}\tilde{F}_*^{\mu\nu}\right)_{,\nu} = 0, \quad (5)$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} \equiv \mathcal{L}(\tilde{F}, \tilde{F}_*)$, $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{F}} \equiv \partial\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/\partial\tilde{F}$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{F}_*} \equiv \partial\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/\partial\tilde{F}_*$. We use tilde for quantities associated with the *total* NLED and gravitational fields. Quantities with no tilde refer to the background geometry represented by the static spherically symmetric line element

$$ds^2 = -e^\nu dt^2 + e^{-\nu} dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2). \quad (6)$$

We assume the following general ansatz for the Maxwell field for an electric charge

$$F_{\mu\nu} = 2\delta_{[\mu}^t\delta_{\nu]}^r B(r, \theta, \phi) \quad (7)$$

from which we get $F_* = 0$. One then can integrate Eq. (5) to obtain

$$F_{\mu\nu} = 2\delta_{[\mu}^t\delta_{\nu]}^r \frac{f(\theta, \phi)}{r^2\mathcal{L}_F}. \quad (8)$$

In the case of spherical symmetry, the invariant quantities F and F_* only depend on the radial coordinate. Consequently, both \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L}_F are functions of the radial coordinate only. Therefore, one can use the Bianchi identity, $d\mathbf{F} = 0$, to show $f(\theta, \phi)$ is a constant that we will call $-q$. Therefore, the background field strength can be written as

$$\mathbf{F} = -\frac{q}{r^2\mathcal{L}_F} dt \wedge dr. \quad (9)$$

In the rest of this paper, we choose

$$Q(r) = \frac{q}{\mathcal{L}_F}. \quad (10)$$

In the case of the Reissner-Nordström black hole, where $\mathcal{L}_F = 1$, q is simply the electric charge. In the RBH models presented in [17, 21, 22], q is also interpreted as the electric charge. Equation (9) gives us the background values of the invariant scalar quantities as

$$F = -\frac{Q^2}{2r^4}, \quad F_* = 0. \quad (11)$$

In the right-hand-side of Eq. (4), we have [25]

$$\tilde{E}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{F}}\tilde{g}^{\rho\sigma}\tilde{F}_{\rho\mu}\tilde{F}_{\sigma\nu} + \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{F}_*}\tilde{F}_* - \tilde{\mathcal{L}} - \frac{\Lambda}{2} \right) \right]. \quad (12)$$

Note that in the case of the Reissner-Nordström black hole, $\Lambda = 0$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \tilde{F}$.

We introduce first-order perturbations $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$ and $\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} = F_{\mu\nu} + f_{\mu\nu}$ assuming $|h_{\mu\nu}|/|g_{\mu\nu}| \ll 1$ and $|f_{\mu\nu}|/|F_{\mu\nu}| \ll 1$. Also, to first-order, $\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} - h^{\mu\nu}$, where $h^{\mu\nu} =$

$g^{\mu\alpha}g^{\nu\beta}h_{\alpha\beta}$, and $\sqrt{-\tilde{g}} = \sqrt{-g}(1 + \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}h_{\mu\nu})$. Substituting these into Eqs. (4) and (5) and keeping terms to first order, we arrive at the perturbed Einstein-NLED equations

$$\delta G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi\delta E_{\mu\nu} \quad (13)$$

$$\delta \left(\sqrt{-\tilde{g}}\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{F}}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} + \sqrt{-\tilde{g}}\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{F}_*}\tilde{F}_*^{\mu\nu} \right)_{,\nu} = 0, \quad (14)$$

or more specifically¹

$$\begin{aligned} & h_{\mu\nu;\alpha}{}^{;\alpha} - (h_{\mu\alpha}{}^{;\alpha}{}_{;\nu} + h_{\nu\alpha}{}^{;\alpha}{}_{;\mu}) + 2R_{\mu}{}^{\alpha}{}_{\nu}{}^{\beta}h_{\alpha\beta} + h^{\alpha}{}_{\alpha;\mu;\nu} \\ & - (R^{\alpha}{}_{\nu}h_{\mu\alpha} + R^{\alpha}{}_{\mu}h_{\nu\alpha}) + Rh_{\mu\nu} \\ & + g_{\mu\nu}(h_{\alpha\beta}{}^{;\beta;\alpha} - h^{\alpha}{}_{\alpha;\beta}{}^{;\beta} - R^{\alpha\beta}h_{\alpha\beta}) = -16\pi\delta E_{\mu\nu} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \sqrt{-g} \left[\mathcal{L}_F f^{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{L}_{F_*} f_*^{\mu\nu} + (\mathcal{L}_{FF} \delta F^{(f)} + \mathcal{L}_{FF_*} \delta F_*^{(f)}) F^{\mu\nu} + (\mathcal{L}_{F_*F_*} \delta F_*^{(f)} + \mathcal{L}_{F_*F} \delta F^{(f)}) F_*^{\mu\nu} \right] \right\}_{,\nu} \\ & = \left[\sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}_F (h^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta} + g^{\mu\alpha} h^{\nu\beta}) F_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}_F F^{\mu\nu} g^{\alpha\beta} h_{\alpha\beta} \right. \\ & \left. - \sqrt{-g} (\mathcal{L}_{FF} \delta F^{(h)} + \mathcal{L}_{FF_*} \delta F_*^{(h)}) F^{\mu\nu} - \sqrt{-g} (\mathcal{L}_{F_*F_*} \delta F_*^{(h)} + \mathcal{L}_{F_*F} \delta F^{(h)}) F_*^{\mu\nu} \right]_{,\nu} \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where

$$\delta E_{\mu\nu} = \delta E_{\mu\nu}^{(h)} + \delta E_{\mu\nu}^{(f)} \quad (17)$$

in which

$$\begin{aligned} \delta E_{\mu\nu}^{(h)} &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \left\{ \mathcal{L}_F F_{\alpha\mu} F_{\beta\nu} h^{\alpha\beta} - \left(\mathcal{L}_{F_*} F_* - \mathcal{L} - \frac{\Lambda}{2} \right) h_{\mu\nu} \right. \\ & \quad + (\mathcal{L}_F g_{\mu\nu} - \mathcal{L}_{FF} g^{\alpha\beta} F_{\alpha\mu} F_{\beta\nu} - \mathcal{L}_{F_*F} F_* g_{\mu\nu}) \delta F^{(h)} \\ & \quad \left. - (\mathcal{L}_{F_*} g^{\alpha\beta} F_{\alpha\mu} F_{\beta\nu} + \mathcal{L}_{F_*F_*} F_* g_{\mu\nu}) \delta F_*^{(h)} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta E_{\mu\nu}^{(f)} &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \left\{ \mathcal{L}_F g^{\alpha\beta} (f_{\alpha\mu} F_{\beta\nu} + F_{\alpha\mu} f_{\beta\nu}) \right. \\ & \quad - (\mathcal{L}_F g_{\mu\nu} - \mathcal{L}_{FF} g^{\alpha\beta} F_{\alpha\mu} F_{\beta\nu} - \mathcal{L}_{F_*F} F_* g_{\mu\nu}) \delta F^{(f)} \\ & \quad \left. + (\mathcal{L}_{F_*} g^{\alpha\beta} F_{\alpha\mu} F_{\beta\nu} + \mathcal{L}_{F_*F_*} F_* g_{\mu\nu}) \delta F_*^{(f)} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Here $\mathcal{L}_F = \partial\mathcal{L}/\partial F$, $\mathcal{L}_{FF} = \partial^2\mathcal{L}/\partial F^2$, and so on. To derive the equations above, we use the fact that to first order

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F} &= F + \delta F \\ \tilde{F}_* &= F_* + \delta F_* \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

¹We correct a typo in [26], which appears in the fourth term of the left-hand-side of Eq. (15). The correct expression can also be found in [27].

where $\delta F = \delta F^{(h)} + \delta F^{(f)}$ and $\delta F_* = \delta F_*^{(h)} + \delta F_*^{(f)}$, in which

$$\delta F^{(h)} = -\frac{1}{2}g^{\alpha\beta}F_{\gamma\alpha}F_{\lambda\beta}h^{\gamma\lambda} \quad (21)$$

$$\delta F^{(f)} = \frac{1}{2}f_{\alpha\beta}F^{\alpha\beta} \quad (22)$$

$$\delta F_*^{(h)} = \frac{1}{2}F_*g_{\alpha\beta}h^{\alpha\beta} - g^{\alpha\beta}F_{*\gamma\alpha}F_{\lambda\beta}h^{\gamma\lambda} \quad (23)$$

$$\delta F_*^{(f)} = \frac{1}{2}f_{\alpha\beta}F_*^{\alpha\beta}. \quad (24)$$

In the above, we also use the first order Taylor expansions

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{L} + \mathcal{L}_F\delta F + \mathcal{L}_{F_*}\delta F_* \quad (25)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{F}} = \mathcal{L}_F + \mathcal{L}_{FF}\delta F + \mathcal{L}_{FF_*}\delta F_* \quad (26)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{F}_*} = \mathcal{L}_{F_*} + \mathcal{L}_{F_*F_*}\delta F_* + \mathcal{L}_{F_*F}\delta F. \quad (27)$$

The perturbed Einstein-NLED equations (15) and (16) reduce to the Reissner-Nordström results in [26] when we choose $\mathcal{L} = F$ and $\Lambda = 0$.

In addition to the above perturbed field equations, some of the background field equations are useful for this work. A combination of the line element (6) and the Einstein equation in the form

$$G_\mu{}^\nu = 8\pi E_\mu{}^\nu, \quad (28)$$

lead to the background field equations

$$G_t{}^t = G_r{}^r = e^\nu \left(\frac{\nu'}{r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \right) - \frac{1}{r^2} = -2\mathcal{L} - \Lambda - \frac{2Q^2\mathcal{L}_F}{r^4} \quad (29)$$

and

$$G_\theta{}^\theta = G_\phi{}^\phi = \frac{e^\nu}{2} \left(\nu'' + \nu'^2 + \frac{2\nu'}{r} \right) = -2\mathcal{L} - \Lambda. \quad (30)$$

The above equations will be used extensively in the following sections. For more details on the background field equations, see [25].

An electrically charged black hole in NLED should satisfy some reasonable energy condition. We denote $E_t{}^t = -\rho$, $E_r{}^r = p_r$, $E_\theta{}^\theta = p_\theta$, and $E_\phi{}^\phi = p_\phi$, where ρ is the energy density and p_i ($i = r, \theta, \phi$) represents the pressure in the i direction. From Eq. (12), we obtain

$$\rho = -p_r = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\mathcal{L} + \frac{\Lambda}{2} + \frac{Q^2\mathcal{L}_F}{r^4} \right) \quad (31)$$

$$p_\theta = p_\phi = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\mathcal{L} + \frac{\Lambda}{2} \right). \quad (32)$$

Using the above expressions for the energy density and pressure, we examine the following well-known options for the energy condition:

(a) The weak energy condition, where $\rho \geq 0$ and $\rho + p_i \geq 0$, gives

$$\mathcal{L} + \frac{\Lambda}{2} + \frac{Q^2 \mathcal{L}_F}{r^4} \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_F \geq 0. \quad (33)$$

(b) The null energy condition, where $\rho + p_i \geq 0$, gives

$$\mathcal{L}_F \geq 0. \quad (34)$$

(c) The dominant energy condition, where $\rho \geq |p_i|$, gives

• If $\mathcal{L} + \Lambda/2 \geq 0$, then

$$\mathcal{L}_F \geq 0. \quad (35)$$

• If $\mathcal{L} + \Lambda/2 < 0$, then

$$2\mathcal{L} + \Lambda + \frac{Q^2 \mathcal{L}_F}{r^4} \geq 0. \quad (36)$$

(d) The strong energy condition, where $\rho + p_i \geq 0$ and $\rho + \sum_i p_i \geq 0$, gives

$$\mathcal{L}_F \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad -(2\mathcal{L} + \Lambda) \geq 0. \quad (37)$$

Note that all the above conditions force $\mathcal{L}_F \geq 0$. Also, for the background field strength (9) to be finite, we need $\mathcal{L}_F \neq 0$. Therefore, we will assume $\mathcal{L}_F > 0$ in the rest of the paper.

3 Odd Parity Perturbations

The next step is to expand the perturbations $h_{\mu\nu}$ and $f_{\mu\nu}$ in tensor harmonics. The odd parity (magnetic or axial) tensor expansion of the geometric perturbation is

$$||h_{\mu\nu}|| = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -h_0 \frac{1}{\sin\theta} \partial_\phi Y_{lm} & h_0 \sin\theta \partial_\theta Y_{lm} \\ 0 & 0 & -h_1 \frac{1}{\sin\theta} \partial_\phi Y_{lm} & h_1 \sin\theta \partial_\theta Y_{lm} \\ \text{sym} & \text{sym} & h_2 \frac{1}{2\sin\theta} X_{lm} & -h_2 \frac{1}{2} \sin\theta W_{lm} \\ \text{sym} & \text{sym} & \text{sym} & -h_2 \frac{1}{2} \sin\theta X_{lm} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (38)$$

where h_0 , h_1 , and h_2 are functions of the time and radial coordinates only. $Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi)$ are the spherical harmonics and

$$\begin{aligned} X_{lm} &= 2\partial_\phi (\partial_\phi - \cot\theta) Y_{lm} \\ W_{lm} &= \left(\partial_\theta^2 - \cot\theta \partial_\theta - \frac{1}{\sin\theta} \partial_\phi^2 \right) Y_{lm}. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

The freedom to make infinitesimal coordinate transformations allows us to fix the gauge in a way that $h_2 = 0$ (Regge-Weeler gauge [23]).

The odd parity tensor expansion of the NLED perturbation is

$$\|f_{\mu\nu}\| = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \bar{f}_{02} \frac{1}{\sin\theta} \partial_\phi Y_{lm} & -\bar{f}_{02} \sin\theta \partial_\theta Y_{lm} \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{f}_{12} \frac{1}{\sin\theta} \partial_\phi Y_{lm} & -\bar{f}_{12} \sin\theta \partial_\theta Y_{lm} \\ * & * & 0 & \bar{f}_{23} \sin\theta Y_{lm} \\ * & * & * & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (40)$$

where $\bar{f}_{\mu\nu}$ denote angle-independent parts of $f_{\mu\nu}$. The asterisk denotes the anti-symmetric components of the matrix.

As noted by Zerilli in [26], the odd (even) parity geometric perturbations couple only to odd (even) parity electromagnetic perturbations. More specifically, when we combine odd with even parity, the Einstein-Maxwell equations lead to $\bar{f}_{\mu\nu} = 0$. We find this to be true for the NLED case considered here. This, however, is not always true. In a black hole with a magnetic monopole charge, odd parity geometric perturbations couple only to even parity electromagnetic perturbations and vice versa [25].

Since the electromagnetic field tensor $\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}$ is derived from a vector potential \tilde{A}_μ , where $\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} = \tilde{A}_{\nu,\mu} - \tilde{A}_{\mu,\nu}$, we can write

$$f_{\mu\nu} = a_{\nu,\mu} - a_{\mu,\nu}, \quad (41)$$

where a_μ is the perturbed vector potential. This is equivalent to having the field equations of the form $f_{\mu\nu,\lambda} + f_{\lambda\mu,\nu} + f_{\nu\lambda,\mu} = 0$. These field equations lead to the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f}_{12} &= \frac{1}{l(l+1)} \partial_r \bar{f}_{23} \\ \bar{f}_{02} &= \frac{1}{l(l+1)} \partial_t \bar{f}_{23}. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Inserting tensors (38) and (40) into Eq. (15), we obtain three equations from the components $r\theta$, $t\theta$, and $\theta\theta$ respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\nu} \partial_t^2 h_1 - e^{-\nu} \partial_r \partial_t h_0 + \frac{2}{r} e^{-\nu} \partial_t h_0 + e^\nu \left(\nu'' + \nu'^2 + \frac{2\nu'}{r} \right) h_1 + 2\lambda r^{-2} h_1 \\ = -4\mathcal{L}h_1 - 2\Lambda h_1 - 4r^{-2} Q(r) e^{-\nu} \mathcal{L}_F \bar{f}_{02} \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

$$\begin{aligned} -e^\nu \partial_r^2 h_0 + e^\nu \partial_r \partial_t h_1 + \frac{2}{r} e^\nu \partial_t h_1 + e^\nu \left(\nu'' + \nu'^2 + \frac{2\nu'}{r} + \frac{2}{r^2} \right) h_0 + 2\lambda r^{-2} h_0 \\ = -4\mathcal{L}h_0 - 2\Lambda h_0 - 4r^{-2} Q(r) e^\nu \mathcal{L}_F \bar{f}_{12} \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

$$-e^{-\nu} \partial_t h_0 + e^\nu \partial_r h_1 + e^\nu \nu' h_1 = 0, \quad (45)$$

where $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}[l(l+1)-2]$. Throughout this paper, we use prime to denote the derivative with respect to the radial coordinate r . In addition, from the rr component of the perturbed Einstein equation, we find that

$$\mathcal{L}_{FF_*} = 0 \quad (46)$$

when $F_* = 0$, which is the case for an electric charge. This constraint on \mathcal{L} is also noticed by the authors of [25], where they suggest a general form for \mathcal{L} in which

$$\mathcal{L}(F, F_*) = \mathcal{L}_0(F) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \mathcal{L}_n(F) F_*^n. \quad (47)$$

Inserting tensors (38) and (40) into Eq. (16) and using Eq. (42), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}_F e^\nu \partial_r (e^\nu \partial_r \bar{f}_{23}) - \mathcal{L}_F \partial_t^2 \bar{f}_{23} + \mathcal{L}'_F e^{2\nu} \partial_r \bar{f}_{23} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^6} e^\nu (r^4 \mathcal{L}_F + Q^2 \mathcal{L}_{F_* F_*}) \bar{f}_{23} \\ &= \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} e^\nu Q \mathcal{L}_F [-\partial_t h_1 + r^2 \partial_r (h_0/r^2)] + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} e^\nu (Q' \mathcal{L}_F + Q \mathcal{L}'_F) h_0. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

We then solve Eq. (45) for h_0 and substitute it into Eq. (43). After defining $R_{lm}^{(odd)} = (1/r) e^\nu h_1$, $f_{lm}^{(odd)} = \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_F} \bar{f}_{23}/[l(l+1)]$, using the tortoise coordinate r_* where $dr_*/dr = e^{-\nu}$, and using Eq. (30), we get

$$\frac{d^2 R_{lm}^{(odd)}}{dr_*^2} + \left\{ \omega^2 - e^\nu \left[\frac{2\lambda}{r^2} + e^\nu \left(-\frac{\nu'}{r} + \frac{2}{r^2} \right) \right] \right\} R_{lm}^{(odd)} = -\frac{4i\omega}{r^3} Q e^\nu \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_F} f_{lm}^{(odd)} \quad (49)$$

while Eq. (48) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d^2 f_{lm}^{(odd)}}{dr_*^2} + \left\{ \omega^2 - e^\nu \left[\frac{l(l+1)}{r^6 \mathcal{L}_F} (r^4 \mathcal{L}_F + Q^2 \mathcal{L}_{F_* F_*}) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \frac{4}{r^4} Q^2 \mathcal{L}_F - \frac{e^{-\nu}}{4\mathcal{L}_F^2} \left(\left(\frac{d\mathcal{L}_F}{dr_*} \right)^2 - 2\mathcal{L}_F \frac{d^2 \mathcal{L}_F}{dr_*^2} \right) \right] \right\} f_{lm}^{(odd)} \\ &= -\frac{2\lambda}{i\omega r^3} Q e^\nu \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_F} R_{lm}^{(odd)}. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

In the above two equations, we assume all field functions depend on time as $e^{-i\omega t}$, where ω is the quasinormal mode frequency of the perturbations. This is equivalent to a Fourier transform of the field functions where $\partial_t \rightarrow -i\omega$. Recall, we are also requiring $\mathcal{L}_F > 0$, which makes $\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_F}$ well-defined. Equations (49) and (50) reduce to the Reissner-Nordström wave equations when $\mathcal{L} = F$, $\Lambda = 0$, and $e^\nu = 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{q^2}{r^2}$.

4 Stability for Odd Parity Perturbations

To derive the stability condition for odd parity perturbations, we follow the method in [24]. Defining $\hat{f}_{lm}^{(odd)} = \frac{2i\omega}{\sqrt{2\lambda}} f_{lm}^{(odd)}$, we can rewrite the wave equations (49) and (50) as

$$e^{-\nu} \left[r \frac{d}{dr_*} \left(\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr_*} \left(r R_{lm}^{(odd)} \right) \right) + \omega^2 R_{lm}^{(odd)} \right] - V_{11}^I R_{lm}^{(odd)} - V_{12}^I \hat{f}_{lm}^{(odd)} = 0 \quad (51)$$

$$e^{-\nu} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_F}} \frac{d}{dr_*} \left(\mathcal{L}_F \frac{d}{dr_*} \left(\frac{\hat{f}_{lm}^{(odd)}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_F}} \right) \right) + \omega^2 \hat{f}_{lm}^{(odd)} \right] - V_{22}^I \hat{f}_{lm}^{(odd)} - V_{21}^I R_{lm}^{(odd)} = 0 \quad (52)$$

where

$$V_{11}^I = \frac{2\lambda}{r^2} \quad (53)$$

$$V_{12}^I = V_{21}^I = -\frac{2\sqrt{2\lambda\mathcal{L}_F}Q}{r^3} \quad (54)$$

$$V_{22}^I = \frac{l(l+1)}{r^6\mathcal{L}_F} (r^4\mathcal{L}_F + Q^2\mathcal{L}_{F_*F_*}) + \frac{4}{r^4}Q^2\mathcal{L}_F \quad (55)$$

Equations (51-55) are in good agreement with the results found in [24]. The contribution from including the Hodge dual scalar invariant F_* can be found in the potential (55).

The stability condition in [24], given by requiring the potential matrix

$$V^I = \begin{bmatrix} V_{11}^I & V_{12}^I \\ V_{21}^I & V_{22}^I \end{bmatrix} \quad (56)$$

to be positive-definite, will be modified due to the inclusion of Hodge dual fields. We require the determinant and trace

$$\det(V^I) = \frac{2\lambda}{r^2} \left[\frac{2(\lambda+1)}{r^6\mathcal{L}_F} (r^4\mathcal{L}_F + Q^2\mathcal{L}_{F_*F_*}) + \frac{4}{r^4}Q^2\mathcal{L}_F \right] - \frac{8\lambda\mathcal{L}_F Q^2}{r^6} \quad (57)$$

$$\text{tr}(V^I) = \frac{2\lambda}{r^2} + \frac{2(\lambda+1)}{r^6\mathcal{L}_F} (r^4\mathcal{L}_F + Q^2\mathcal{L}_{F_*F_*}) + \frac{4}{r^4}Q^2\mathcal{L}_F \quad (58)$$

be positive. This gives the stability condition as

$$1 + \frac{Q^2\mathcal{L}_{F_*F_*}}{r^4\mathcal{L}_F} = 1 - 2F \frac{\mathcal{L}_{F_*F_*}}{\mathcal{L}_F} > 0. \quad (59)$$

Recall, to have reasonable energy conditions, we also require \mathcal{L}_F to be positive. This allows us to write the condition for the Hodge dual field as

$$\mathcal{L}_{F_*F_*} > -\frac{r^4\mathcal{L}_F}{Q^2}. \quad (60)$$

5 Even Parity Perturbations

The even parity (electric or polar) tensor expansion of the geometric perturbation is

$$\|h_{\mu\nu}\| = \begin{bmatrix} e^\nu H_0 Y_{lm} & H_1 Y_{lm} & h_0^{(e)} \partial_\theta Y_{lm} & h_0^{(e)} \partial_\phi Y_{lm} \\ sym & e^{-\nu} H_2 Y_{lm} & h_1^{(e)} \partial_\theta Y_{lm} & h_1^{(e)} \partial_\phi Y_{lm} \\ sym & sym & r^2 (K Y_{lm} + G \partial_\theta Y_{lm}) & \frac{1}{2} r^2 G X_{lm} \\ sym & sym & sym & r^2 \sin^2 \theta [K Y_{lm} + G (\partial_\theta^2 Y_{lm} - W_{lm})] \end{bmatrix}, \quad (61)$$

where H_0 , H_1 , $h_0^{(e)}$, $h_1^{(e)}$, K , and G are functions of the time and radial coordinates only. In the Regge-Wheeler gauge, $h_0^{(e)} \equiv h_1^{(e)} \equiv G \equiv 0$. The even parity tensor expansion of the NLED perturbation is

$$\|f_{\mu\nu}\| = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \bar{f}_{01} Y_{lm} & \bar{f}_{02} \partial_\theta Y_{lm} & \bar{f}_{02} \partial_\phi Y_{lm} \\ * & 0 & \bar{f}_{12} \partial_\theta Y_{lm} & \bar{f}_{12} \partial_\phi Y_{lm} \\ * & * & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (62)$$

where \bar{f}_{01} , \bar{f}_{02} , and \bar{f}_{12} are functions of the time and radial coordinates only. One can use the same idea as in Eq. (41) to find the homogeneous Maxwell equation

$$\bar{f}_{01} = \partial_r \bar{f}_{02} - \partial_t \bar{f}_{12} \quad (63)$$

for even parity perturbations.

The tt , rr , a combination of $\theta\theta$ and $\phi\phi^2$, tr , $t\theta$, $r\theta$, and $\theta\phi$ components of Eq. (15) are respectively

$$\begin{aligned} e^{2\nu} \left[2\partial_r^2 K - \frac{2}{r} \partial_r H_2 + \left(\nu' + \frac{6}{r} \right) \partial_r K - 2 \left(\frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{\nu'}{r} \right) (H_0 + H_2) \right] \\ + e^\nu \left(\frac{2}{r^2} H_0 - \frac{2\lambda}{r^2} K - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} H_2 \right) = \frac{2Q}{r^8} e^\nu (Q H_2 + 2r^2 \bar{f}_{01}) (r^4 \mathcal{L}_F - Q^2 \mathcal{L}_{FF}) \\ + 2e^\nu H_0 \left(\Lambda + 2\mathcal{L} + \frac{Q^2}{r^4} \mathcal{L}_F + \frac{Q^4}{r^8} \mathcal{L}_{FF} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

²The $\theta\theta$ and $\phi\phi$ components of Eq. (15) are the same with the exception of one angle-dependent term in each component, both of which involve $H_2 - H_0$. However, these angle-dependent terms can be combined to become angle-independent by simply taking the average of the $\theta\theta$ and $\phi\phi$ components.

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2e^{-2\nu}\partial_t^2 K - \frac{4}{r}e^{-\nu}\partial_t H_1 + \frac{2}{r}\partial_r H_0 - \left(\nu' + \frac{2}{r}\right)\partial_r K + \frac{2}{r^2}e^{-\nu}H_2 - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}e^{-\nu}H_0 + \frac{2\lambda}{r^2}e^{-\nu}K \\
& = \frac{2Q}{r^8}e^{-\nu}(QH_0 - 2r^2\bar{f}_{01})\left(r^4\mathcal{L}_F - Q^2\mathcal{L}_{FF}\right) + 2e^{-\nu}H_2\left(\Lambda + 2\mathcal{L} + \frac{Q^2}{r^4}\mathcal{L}_F + \frac{Q^4}{r^8}\mathcal{L}_{FF}\right) \quad (65)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& r^2\left[e^{-\nu}\partial_t^2 K - e^\nu\partial_r^2 K - e^\nu\left(\nu' + \frac{2}{r}\right)\partial_r K - \left(\nu' + \frac{2}{r}\right)\partial_t H_1 + e^{-\nu}\partial_t^2 H_2 - 2\partial_r\partial_t H_1 + e^\nu\partial_r^2 H_0\right. \\
& + e^\nu\left(\frac{1}{2}\nu' + \frac{1}{r}\right)\partial_r H_2 + e^\nu\left(\frac{3}{2}\nu' + \frac{1}{r}\right)\partial_r H_0 + \frac{l(l+1)}{2r^2}(H_2 - H_0) \\
& \left.+ e^\nu\left(\nu'' + \nu'^2 + \frac{2\nu'}{r}\right)(H_2 - K)\right] = 2r^2(\Lambda + 2\mathcal{L})K + 4Q\mathcal{L}_F\bar{f}_{01} + 2\frac{Q^2}{r^2}\mathcal{L}_F(H_2 - H_0) \quad (66)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\partial_r\partial_t K - \frac{2}{r}\partial_t H_2 - \left(\nu' - \frac{2}{r}\right)\partial_t K - e^\nu\left(\frac{2\nu'}{r} + \frac{2}{r^2}\right)H_1 - \frac{2\lambda}{r^2}H_1 \\
& = 2\left(\Lambda + 2\mathcal{L} + \frac{2Q^2}{r^4}\mathcal{L}_F\right)H_1 \quad (67)
\end{aligned}$$

$$-e^\nu\partial_r H_1 + \partial_t K + \partial_t H_2 - e^\nu\nu' H_1 = -\frac{4Q}{r^2}e^\nu\mathcal{L}_F\bar{f}_{12} \quad (68)$$

$$e^{-\nu}\partial_t H_1 - \partial_r H_0 + \partial_r K - \left(\frac{\nu'}{2} + \frac{1}{r}\right)H_2 - \left(\frac{\nu'}{2} - \frac{1}{r}\right)H_0 = -\frac{4Q}{r^2}e^{-\nu}\mathcal{L}_F\bar{f}_{02} \quad (69)$$

$$H_2 - H_0 = 0. \quad (70)$$

Note that Eqs. (64), (65), and (66) do not reduce to Zerilli's results in [26] for the Reissner-Nordström case. For an explanation, see Appendix A.

The r , θ or ϕ , and t components of the perturbed NLED equation (16) are respectively

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathcal{L}_F - \frac{Q^2}{r^4}\mathcal{L}_{FF}\right)\partial_t\bar{f}_{01} - \frac{1}{r^2}l(l+1)e^\nu\mathcal{L}_F\bar{f}_{12} \\
& = \frac{Q}{2r^2}\left[\left(\mathcal{L}_F - \frac{Q^2}{r^4}\mathcal{L}_{FF}\right)(\partial_t H_0 - \partial_t H_2) + 2\mathcal{L}_F\partial_t K\right] \quad (71)
\end{aligned}$$

$$e^{-\nu}\mathcal{L}_F\partial_t\bar{f}_{02} - \partial_r(e^\nu\mathcal{L}_F\bar{f}_{12}) = 0 \quad (72)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{r^2}\partial_r\left[\left(r^2\mathcal{L}_F - \frac{Q^2}{r^2}\mathcal{L}_{FF}\right)\bar{f}_{01}\right] - \frac{1}{r^2}l(l+1)e^{-\nu}\mathcal{L}_F\bar{f}_{02} \\
& = \frac{1}{2r^2}\partial_r\left[Q\left(1 - 2\mathcal{L}_F + \frac{Q^2}{r^4}\mathcal{L}_{FF}\right)(H_2 - H_0) + 2QK\right]. \quad (73)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that, in addition to Eq. (72), the θ or ϕ component of the perturbed NLED equation requires

$$\left(\mathcal{L}_{F_*} - \frac{Q^2}{r^4}\mathcal{L}_{F_*F}\right)\bar{f}_{01} + \mathcal{L}_{F_*}(\partial_t\bar{f}_{12} - \partial_r\bar{f}_{02}) - \mathcal{L}'_{F_*}\bar{f}_{02} = \frac{Q^3}{2r^6}\mathcal{L}_{F_*F}(H_2 - H_0), \quad (74)$$

which is satisfied only if $\mathcal{L}_{F_*F} = 0$ and $\bar{f}_{01} + \partial_t\bar{f}_{12} - \partial_r\bar{f}_{02} = 0$ that we already determined in Eqs. (46) and (63). This provides a good consistency check.

We find \bar{f}_{01} and \bar{f}_{02} in terms of \bar{f}_{12} by solving Eqs. (71) and (72) respectively. We then substitute these values to Eq. (63) to find a second order differential equation for \bar{f}_{12} :

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_r^2(e^\nu\mathcal{L}_F\bar{f}_{12}) + \left(\nu' - \frac{\mathcal{L}'_F}{\mathcal{L}_F}\right)\partial_r(e^\nu\mathcal{L}_F\bar{f}_{12}) - e^{-2\nu}\partial_t^2(e^\nu\mathcal{L}_F\bar{f}_{12}) - \frac{l(l+1)r^2\mathcal{L}_F}{e^\nu(r^4\mathcal{L}_F - Q^2\mathcal{L}_{FF})}(e^\nu\mathcal{L}_F\bar{f}_{12}) \\ = \frac{r^2Q\mathcal{L}_F^2}{e^\nu(r^4\mathcal{L}_F - Q^2\mathcal{L}_{FF})}\partial_t K. \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

We define $f_{lm}^{(even)} = e^\nu\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_F}\bar{f}_{12}$, and use the tortoise coordinate r_* where $dr_*/dr = e^{-\nu}$, to find

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{r_*}^2 f_{lm}^{(even)} - \partial_t^2 f_{lm}^{(even)} - e^\nu \left\{ \frac{l(l+1)r^2\mathcal{L}_F}{(r^4\mathcal{L}_F - Q^2\mathcal{L}_{FF})} + \frac{e^{-\nu}}{4\mathcal{L}_F^2} \left[3 \left(\frac{d\mathcal{L}_F}{dr_*} \right)^2 - 2\mathcal{L}_F \frac{d^2\mathcal{L}_F}{dr_*^2} \right] \right\} f_{lm}^{(even)} \\ = \frac{r^2 e^\nu Q \mathcal{L}_F^{3/2}}{(r^4\mathcal{L}_F - Q^2\mathcal{L}_{FF})} \partial_t K. \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

In the remainder of this section, we assume all field functions depend on time as $e^{-i\omega t}$, where ω is the quasinormal mode frequency of the perturbations. We now look at the geometric perturbation equations (64-70). We use Eq. (70) to eliminate H_2 in Eqs. (67-69). We then substitute $\partial_r K$ and $\partial_r H_1$, as given by these equations, into Eq. (65). This gives us an algebraic equation that involves H_0 , H_1 , K and the electromagnetic functions \bar{f}_{01} , \bar{f}_{02} , \bar{f}_{12} . We now solve this equation for H_0 and substitute into Eqs. (67) and (68). Using Eqs. (71) and (72), we replace \bar{f}_{01} and \bar{f}_{02} with \bar{f}_{12} . This gives the following two equations

$$\frac{dK}{dr} = \alpha_\omega(r)K + \omega^{-1}\beta_\omega(r)H_1 + S_1 \quad (77)$$

$$\omega^{-1}\frac{dH_1}{dr} = \gamma_\omega(r)K + \omega^{-1}\delta_\omega(r)H_1 + S_2, \quad (78)$$

where

$$\alpha_\omega(r) = \frac{4e^\nu Q^2 \mathcal{L}_F - r^2 \xi (e^\nu - \lambda - 1) - 2r^2 (\lambda + 1)^2 + 2r^2 e^\nu (2\lambda + 1) - 2\omega^2 r^4}{r^3 e^\nu \xi(r)} \quad (79)$$

$$\beta_\omega(r) = 2i \frac{(\lambda + 1) [(\lambda + 1) - e^\nu] + \omega^2 r^2}{r^2 \xi(r)} \quad (80)$$

$$\gamma_\omega(r) = i \frac{-8e^\nu Q^2 \mathcal{L}_F + r^2(\xi - 2\lambda - 2)^2 - 4r^2 e^\nu(2\lambda + 1) + 4\omega^2 r^4}{2r^2 e^{2\nu} \xi(r)} \quad (81)$$

$$\delta_\omega(r) = \frac{-\xi^2 + \xi(\lambda + 1 - 2e^\nu) - 2(\lambda + 1)(e^\nu - \lambda - 1) + 2\omega^2 r^2}{r e^\nu \xi(r)} \quad (82)$$

$$S_1 = i \frac{4Q \{2re^\nu (e^\nu \mathcal{L}_F \bar{f}_{12})' + 2(\lambda + 1)(e^\nu \mathcal{L}_F \bar{f}_{12})\}}{\omega r^3 \xi(r)} \quad (83)$$

$$S_2 = \frac{4Q \{2re^\nu (e^\nu \mathcal{L}_F \bar{f}_{12})' + (\xi + 2\lambda + 2)(e^\nu \mathcal{L}_F \bar{f}_{12})\}}{\omega r^2 e^\nu \xi(r)}. \quad (84)$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(r) &= r e^\nu \nu' - 2e^\nu + l(l + 1) \\ &= -r^2(2\mathcal{L} + \Lambda) - 2r^{-2} Q^2 \mathcal{L}_F - 3e^\nu + 2\lambda + 3. \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

We wish to combine Eqs. (77) and (78) to a second order wave equation of the form

$$\frac{d^2 R_{lm}^{(even)}}{dr_*^2} + [\omega^2 - V^{(even)}(r)] R_{lm}^{(even)} = S_{lm}. \quad (86)$$

To do this, we follow the method outlined by Zerilli in [26]. The first step is to transform Eqs. (77) and (78) to the form

$$\frac{d\hat{K}}{d\hat{r}} = \hat{L} + \hat{S}_1 \quad (87)$$

$$\frac{d\hat{L}}{d\hat{r}} = -[\omega^2 - V(\hat{r})]\hat{K} + \hat{S}_2, \quad (88)$$

where the new variable \hat{r} is given in terms of r by $d\hat{r}/dr = 1/n(r)$. For brevity, one can rewrite Eqs. (77), (78), (87) and (88) in the matrix form

$$\frac{d\psi}{dr} = A\psi + S \quad (89)$$

$$\frac{d\hat{\psi}}{d\hat{r}} = \hat{A}\hat{\psi} + \hat{S}, \quad (90)$$

where

$$\psi = \begin{bmatrix} K \\ \omega^{-1} H_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_\omega & \beta_\omega \\ \gamma_\omega & \delta_\omega \end{bmatrix}, \quad S = \begin{bmatrix} S_1 \\ S_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (91)$$

and

$$\hat{\psi} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{K} \\ \hat{L} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\omega^2 + V & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{S} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{S}_1 \\ \hat{S}_2 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (92)$$

We now look for a transformation

$$\psi = \mathcal{F}\hat{\psi}, \quad (93)$$

where

$$\mathcal{F} = \begin{bmatrix} f(r) & g(r) \\ h(r) & k(r) \end{bmatrix} \quad (94)$$

is to be determined. Inserting Eq. (93) into (89) gives

$$n^{-1} \frac{d}{d\hat{r}} (\mathcal{F}\hat{\psi}) = A\mathcal{F}\hat{\psi} + S, \quad (95)$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\frac{d\hat{\psi}}{d\hat{r}} = n\mathcal{F}^{-1} \left(A\mathcal{F} - \frac{d\mathcal{F}}{dr} \right) \hat{\psi} + n\mathcal{F}^{-1} S. \quad (96)$$

Comparing the above equation with Eq. (90) tells us that

$$n\mathcal{F}^{-1} \left(A\mathcal{F} - \frac{d\mathcal{F}}{dr} \right) = \hat{A} \quad (97)$$

$$\hat{S} = n\mathcal{F}^{-1} S. \quad (98)$$

Using the above equations, one can determine $n(r)$, \mathcal{F} , and consequently \hat{S} . The results for the components of the matrix \mathcal{F} are

$$f(r) = \frac{2e^\nu (2Q^2 \mathcal{L}_F + \lambda r^2)}{r^3 \xi} + \frac{\lambda + 1 - e^\nu}{r} \quad (99)$$

$$g(r) = 1 \quad (100)$$

$$h(r) = -ie^{-\nu} \left[\frac{2e^\nu (2Q^2 \mathcal{L}_F + \lambda r^2)}{r^2 \xi} + \lambda + 1 - e^\nu - \frac{\xi}{2} \right] \quad (101)$$

$$k(r) = -ire^{-\nu}, \quad (102)$$

where we have used Eq. (30) to simplify the above functions. Also

$$n(r) = e^\nu, \quad (103)$$

which shows that the new variable \hat{r} is just the tortoise coordinate r_* . Note that the functions $f(r)$ and $h(r)$ given in Eqs. (99) and (101) do not reduce to Zerilli's results in [26] for the Reissner-Nordström case. For an explanation, see Appendix A.

We can now express the potential in the following form

$$V^{(even)}(r) = e^\nu \left\{ \frac{8e^\nu Q^2 \mathcal{L}_F^2}{\xi (r^4 \mathcal{L}_F - Q^2 \mathcal{L}_{FF})} + \frac{\xi}{r^2} + \frac{2}{r^2} (e^\nu - 2\lambda - 1) - \frac{4Q^2 \mathcal{L}_F}{r^4} - \frac{8}{r^4 \xi} (e^\nu - \lambda - 1) (\lambda r^2 + 2Q^2 \mathcal{L}_F) - \frac{8e^\nu}{r^6 \xi^2} (\lambda r^2 + 2Q^2 \mathcal{L}_F)^2 \right\}. \quad (104)$$

We can use Eq. (98) to determine \hat{S}_1 and \hat{S}_2 . More specifically

$$\hat{S}_1 = n \frac{kS_1 - gS_2}{fk - gh} = -\frac{8ie^\nu Q \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_F}}{\omega r^2 \xi} f_{lm}^{(even)} \quad (105)$$

$$\hat{S}_2 = -n \frac{hS_1 - fS_2}{fk - gh}. \quad (106)$$

Comparing Eqs. (87) and (88) with (86), we get

$$S_{lm} = \hat{S}_2 + \frac{d\hat{S}_1}{dr_*}. \quad (107)$$

It is also easy to combine Eqs. (87) and (93) to obtain

$$K = f\hat{K} + g\hat{L} = fR_{lm}^{(even)} + g \left(\frac{dR_{lm}^{(even)}}{dr_*} - \hat{S}_1 \right). \quad (108)$$

Using the results above for \hat{S}_1 , S_{lm} , and K we can write the final wave equations as

$$\frac{d^2 R_{lm}^{(even)}}{dr_*^2} + [\omega^2 - V^{(even)}(r)] R_{lm}^{(even)} = -\frac{16ie^\nu Q \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_F}}{\omega r^5 \xi^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} r^2 \xi (\xi - 4\lambda - 4) - 4e^\nu Q^2 \mathcal{L}_F \right. \\ \left. + 2r^2 e^\nu (\xi - \lambda) - \frac{r^6 e^\nu \xi \mathcal{L}_F}{r^4 \mathcal{L}_F - Q^2 \mathcal{L}_{FF}} \right\} f_{lm}^{(even)} \quad (109)$$

$$\frac{d^2 f_{lm}^{(even)}}{dr_*^2} + \left\{ \omega^2 - e^\nu \left[\frac{l(l+1)r^2 \mathcal{L}_F}{r^4 \mathcal{L}_F - Q^2 \mathcal{L}_{FF}} + \frac{e^{-\nu}}{4\mathcal{L}_F^2} \left(3 \left(\frac{d\mathcal{L}_F}{dr_*} \right)^2 - 2\mathcal{L}_F \frac{d^2 \mathcal{L}_F}{dr_*^2} \right) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \frac{8e^\nu Q^2 \mathcal{L}_F^2}{\xi (r^4 \mathcal{L}_F - Q^2 \mathcal{L}_{FF})} \right] \right\} f_{lm}^{(even)} \\ = -\frac{i\omega r^2 e^\nu Q \mathcal{L}_F^{3/2}}{r^4 \mathcal{L}_F - Q^2 \mathcal{L}_{FF}} \left[f_{lm}^{(even)} + \frac{dR_{lm}^{(even)}}{dr_*} \right]. \quad (110)$$

The equations (109) and (110) are similar in structure to the results found by Zerilli in [26].

6 Stability for Even Parity Perturbations

To make the wave equations more suitable for the stability analysis conducted in [24], we want to eliminate the $dR_{lm}^{(even)}/dr_*$ term in Eq. (110). Below we explain how to systematically approach this problem. We first rewrite Eqs. (75), (77), and (78) in the following form

$$\frac{dK}{dr} = \alpha_\omega(r)K + \omega^{-1}\beta_\omega(r)H_1 + \omega^{-1}\varepsilon(r)F_1 + \omega^{-1}\eta(r)F \quad (111)$$

$$\omega^{-1} \frac{dH_1}{dr} = \gamma_\omega(r)K + \omega^{-1} \delta_\omega(r)H_1 + \omega^{-1} \varkappa(r)F_1 + \omega^{-1} \rho(r)F \quad (112)$$

$$\omega^{-1} \frac{dF_1}{dr} = \tau(r)K + \omega^{-1} \phi(r)F_1 + \omega^{-1} \chi_\omega(r)F \quad (113)$$

$$\frac{dF}{dr} = F_1, \quad (114)$$

where $F = 2e^\nu \mathcal{L}_F \bar{f}_{12}$ and

$$\varepsilon(r) = i \frac{4e^\nu Q}{r^2 \xi(r)} \quad (115)$$

$$\eta(r) = i \frac{4Q(\lambda + 1)}{r^3 \xi(r)} \quad (116)$$

$$\varkappa(r) = \frac{4Q}{r \xi(r)} \quad (117)$$

$$\rho(r) = \frac{2Q(\xi + 2\lambda + 2)}{r^2 e^\nu \xi(r)} \quad (118)$$

$$\tau(r) = -i \frac{2r^2 Q \mathcal{L}_F^2}{e^\nu (r^4 \mathcal{L}_F - Q^2 \mathcal{L}_{FF})} \quad (119)$$

$$\phi(r) = -\nu' + \frac{\mathcal{L}'_F}{\mathcal{L}_F} \quad (120)$$

$$\chi_\omega(r) = -e^{-2\nu} \omega^2 + \frac{2(\lambda + 1)r^2 \mathcal{L}_F^2}{e^\nu (r^4 \mathcal{L}_F - Q^2 \mathcal{L}_{FF})}. \quad (121)$$

We want to convert the system of equations (111-114) to

$$\frac{d\mathcal{R}}{d\hat{r}} = \mathcal{R}_1 \quad (122)$$

$$\frac{d\mathcal{R}_1}{d\hat{r}} = -[\omega^2 - V_{\mathcal{R}}(\hat{r})]\mathcal{R} + a(r)\mathcal{B} \quad (123)$$

$$\frac{d\mathcal{B}_1}{d\hat{r}} = b(r)\mathcal{R} - [\omega^2 - V_{\mathcal{B}}(\hat{r})]\mathcal{B} \quad (124)$$

$$\frac{d\mathcal{B}}{d\hat{r}} = \mathcal{B}_1, \quad (125)$$

where the new variable \hat{r} is given in terms of r by $d\hat{r}/dr = 1/n(r)$. We first put the equations in matrix form:

$$\frac{d\Psi}{dr} = M\Psi \quad (126)$$

$$\frac{d\hat{\Psi}}{d\hat{r}} = \mathcal{M}\hat{\Psi}, \quad (127)$$

where

$$\Psi = \begin{bmatrix} K \\ \omega^{-1}H_1 \\ \omega^{-1}F_1 \\ \omega^{-1}F \end{bmatrix}, \quad M = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_\omega & \beta_\omega & \varepsilon & \eta \\ \gamma_\omega & \delta_\omega & \varkappa & \rho \\ \tau & 0 & \phi & \chi_\omega \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (128)$$

and

$$\hat{\Psi} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{R} \\ \mathcal{R}_1 \\ \mathcal{B}_1 \\ \mathcal{B} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{M} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\omega^2 + V_{\mathcal{R}} & 0 & 0 & a \\ b & 0 & 0 & -\omega^2 + V_{\mathcal{B}} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (129)$$

We now look for a matrix transformation

$$\Psi = \mathcal{N}\hat{\Psi}. \quad (130)$$

Inserting Eq. (130) into (126) gives

$$n^{-1} \frac{d}{d\hat{r}} (\mathcal{N}\hat{\Psi}) = M\mathcal{N}\hat{\Psi}, \quad (131)$$

from which we get

$$n\mathcal{N}^{-1} (M\mathcal{N} - \frac{d\mathcal{N}}{dr}) = \mathcal{M}. \quad (132)$$

We can now solve for n , \mathcal{N} , and \mathcal{M} . We find $n(r) = e^\nu$, which means $\hat{r} = r_*$. Equation (130) then gives:

$$K = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\lambda}(\lambda+1)} \mathcal{R}_1 + \frac{1}{r\sqrt{2\lambda}(\lambda+1)} \left(\lambda + 1 - e^\nu + \frac{2\lambda e^\nu}{\xi} \right) \mathcal{R} - \frac{2e^\nu Q \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_F}}{r^2 \xi (\lambda+1)} \mathcal{B} \quad (133)$$

$$H_1 = -\frac{i\omega r}{e^\nu \sqrt{2\lambda}(\lambda+1)} \mathcal{R}_1 + \frac{i\omega}{e^\nu \sqrt{2\lambda}(\lambda+1)} \left(\frac{\xi}{2} - \lambda - 1 + e^\nu - \frac{2\lambda e^\nu}{\xi} \right) \mathcal{R} + \frac{2i\omega Q \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_F}}{r \xi (\lambda+1)} \mathcal{B} \quad (134)$$

$$\bar{f}_{12} = \frac{i\omega Q}{2re^\nu \sqrt{2\lambda}(\lambda+1)} \mathcal{R} + \frac{i\omega}{4e^\nu (\lambda+1) \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_F}} \mathcal{B} \quad (135)$$

and equation (127) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d^2 \mathcal{R}}{dr_*^2} + \left\{ \omega^2 - e^\nu \left[\frac{16\lambda e^\nu Q^2 \mathcal{L}_F}{r^4 \xi^2} + \frac{\xi}{r^2} - \frac{2(2\lambda + 1 - e^\nu)}{r^2} + \frac{8\lambda(\lambda + 1 - e^\nu)}{r^2 \xi} + \frac{8\lambda^2 e^\nu}{r^2 \xi^2} \right] \right\} \mathcal{R} \\ & = \sqrt{8\lambda \mathcal{L}_F} e^\nu Q \left\{ \frac{1}{r^3} - \frac{4e^\nu}{r^5 \xi^2} (\lambda r^2 + 2Q^2 \mathcal{L}_F) - \frac{4}{r^3 \xi} (\lambda + 1 - e^\nu) - \frac{2re^\nu \mathcal{L}_F}{\xi (r^4 \mathcal{L}_F - Q^2 \mathcal{L}_{FF})} \right\} \mathcal{B} \end{aligned} \quad (136)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^2 \mathcal{B}}{dr_*^2} + \left\{ \omega^2 - e^\nu \left[\frac{32e^\nu Q^4 \mathcal{L}_F^2}{r^6 \xi^2} + \frac{16\lambda e^\nu Q^2 \mathcal{L}_F}{r^4 \xi^2} - \frac{4Q^2 \mathcal{L}_F}{r^4} \left(1 - \frac{4(\lambda + 1 - e^\nu)}{\xi} \right) \right. \right. \\
\left. - \frac{2(\lambda + 1 - e^\nu) - \xi}{r^2} + \frac{16e^\nu Q^2 \mathcal{L}_F^2}{\xi(r^4 \mathcal{L}_F - Q^2 \mathcal{L}_{FF})} + \frac{r^2 \mathcal{L}_F (2\lambda + 2 - e^\nu - \xi)}{r^4 \mathcal{L}_F - Q^2 \mathcal{L}_{FF}} \right. \\
\left. - \frac{7r^6 e^\nu \mathcal{L}_F^2}{(r^4 \mathcal{L}_F - Q^2 \mathcal{L}_{FF})^2} + \frac{2r^2 e^\nu \mathcal{L}_F^2 (3r^8 \mathcal{L}_F - Q^4 \mathcal{L}_{FFF})}{(r^4 \mathcal{L}_F - Q^2 \mathcal{L}_{FF})^3} \right\} \mathcal{B} \\
= \sqrt{8\lambda \mathcal{L}_F} e^\nu Q \left\{ \frac{1}{r^3} - \frac{4e^\nu}{r^5 \xi^2} (\lambda r^2 + 2Q^2 \mathcal{L}_F) - \frac{4}{r^3 \xi} (\lambda + 1 - e^\nu) - \frac{2re^\nu \mathcal{L}_F}{\xi(r^4 \mathcal{L}_F - Q^2 \mathcal{L}_{FF})} \right\} \mathcal{R},
\end{aligned} \tag{137}$$

where the relation between \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{B} and our original functions can easily be derived from equations (133 - 135). Specifically:

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{2r\sqrt{2\lambda}(\lambda + 1)}{\xi} K + \frac{2e^\nu \sqrt{2\lambda}(\lambda + 1)}{i\omega \xi} H_1 \tag{138}$$

$$\mathcal{B} = \frac{4e^\nu(\lambda + 1)\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_F}}{i\omega} \left(\bar{f}_{12} - \frac{i\omega Q}{e^\nu \xi} K - \frac{Q}{r\xi} H_1 \right). \tag{139}$$

The wave equations can be rewritten in the form

$$e^{-\nu} \left[\frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr_*} \left(r^2 \frac{d}{dr_*} \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}}{r} \right) \right) + \omega^2 \mathcal{R} \right] - V_{11}^{\text{II}} \mathcal{R} - V_{12}^{\text{II}} \mathcal{B} = 0 \tag{140}$$

$$e^{-\nu} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_F}} \frac{d}{dr_*} \left(\mathcal{L}_F \frac{d}{dr_*} \left(\frac{\mathcal{B}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_F}} \right) \right) + \omega^2 \mathcal{B} \right] - V_{22}^{\text{II}} \mathcal{B} - V_{21}^{\text{II}} \mathcal{R} = 0, \tag{141}$$

where

$$V_{11}^{\text{II}} = -\frac{2\lambda}{r^2} + \frac{8\lambda(\lambda + 1 - e^\nu)}{r^2 \xi} + \frac{8\lambda^2 e^\nu}{r^2 \xi^2} + \frac{16\lambda e^\nu Q^2 \mathcal{L}_F}{r^4 \xi^2} \tag{142}$$

$$V_{12}^{\text{II}} = V_{21}^{\text{II}} = \sqrt{8\lambda \mathcal{L}_F} Q \left\{ \frac{1}{r^3} - \frac{4e^\nu}{r^5 \xi^2} (\lambda r^2 + 2Q^2 \mathcal{L}_F) - \frac{4}{r^3 \xi} (\lambda + 1 - e^\nu) - \frac{2re^\nu \mathcal{L}_F}{\xi(r^4 \mathcal{L}_F - Q^2 \mathcal{L}_{FF})} \right\} \tag{143}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{22}^{\text{II}} = & \frac{32e^\nu Q^4 \mathcal{L}_F^2}{r^6 \xi^2} + \frac{16\lambda e^\nu Q^2 \mathcal{L}_F}{r^4 \xi^2} - \frac{4Q^2 \mathcal{L}_F}{r^4} \left(1 - \frac{4(\lambda + 1 - e^\nu)}{\xi} \right) \\
& - \frac{2(2\lambda + 2 - e^\nu - \xi)}{r^2} + \frac{16e^\nu Q^2 \mathcal{L}_F^2}{\xi(r^4 \mathcal{L}_F - Q^2 \mathcal{L}_{FF})} + \frac{2r^2 \mathcal{L}_F (2\lambda + 2 + e^\nu - \xi)}{r^4 \mathcal{L}_F - Q^2 \mathcal{L}_{FF}} \\
& - \frac{16r^6 e^\nu \mathcal{L}_F^2}{(r^4 \mathcal{L}_F - Q^2 \mathcal{L}_{FF})^2} + \frac{4r^2 e^\nu \mathcal{L}_F^2 (3r^8 \mathcal{L}_F - Q^4 \mathcal{L}_{FFF})}{(r^4 \mathcal{L}_F - Q^2 \mathcal{L}_{FF})^3}.
\end{aligned} \tag{144}$$

Note that the Hodge dual of the electromagnetic field does not appear anywhere in the equations above. Therefore, the even parity perturbations are unaltered by the inclusion of Hodge dual fields. So, our results should, and do, agree with those in [24]. That means the stability conditions for even parity perturbations do not change from those that appear in [24].

7 Summary and Conclusion

We studied the perturbations of the Einstein equation coupled to general NLED for a spherically symmetric black hole solution with electric charge. We also included the cosmological constant and the Hodge dual of the electromagnetic field strength tensor in our calculations. The NLED Lagrangian density is a generic function of the Lorentz invariant scalar quantities of the electromagnetic fields, i.e. F and F_* . The wave equations for odd and even parity perturbations of gravitational and NLED fields were derived. For each parity, we reduced the Einstein-NLED field equations to two coupled Schrödinger-type equations, one of which determines the gravitational and the other the NLED field oscillations.

Our results are consistent with those found in [24], although we use a different technique. Our method is more in line with the work done by Nomura *et al.* in [25] and by Zerilli in [26]. We also included the Hodge dual of the electromagnetic field strength tensor, which was ignored in [24]. In addition, all our equations reduce to the correct results for the Reissner-Nordström case when we use Maxwell's Lagrangian density ($\mathcal{L} = F$) and take the cosmological constant Λ to be zero.

The inclusion of the Hodge dual of the electromagnetic field modifies the results of [24] only for odd parity perturbations. The even parity perturbations stay unaltered. Therefore, we conclude that the inclusion of F_* does not change the stability conditions for even parity perturbations that were explored earlier in the literature. We provided new stability conditions for the odd parity perturbations that include the Hodge dual of the electromagnetic field.

A Comparison with the Reissner-Nordström Results

There are multiple mistakes in Eqs. (22-24) of [26]. These mistake are also noticed by Pani *et al.* in [28]. We provide the correct equations in (64-66). In addition, Eqs. (99) and (101) for the Reissner-Nordström black hole, where $\mathcal{L} = F$, $\Lambda = 0$, and $e^\nu = 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{q^2}{r^2}$, reduce to

$$f(r) = \frac{16q^4 - 4q^2r[11M + 2(\lambda - 1)r] + r^2\{24M^2 + 12\lambda Mr + 4\lambda(\lambda + 1)r^2\}}{4r^3(3Mr + \lambda r^2 - 2q^2)} \quad (145)$$

$$h(r) = -i \left\{ 1 - r^{-2}e^{-\nu}(Mr - q^2) - \frac{3Mr - 4q^2}{3Mr + \lambda r^2 - 2q^2} \right\}. \quad (146)$$

The above two functions are different than the $f(r)$ and $h(r)$ provided by Zerilli in [26]. However, they are in agreement with the results provided in [28].

The mistakes in [26] appear to be typos, because Zerilli's final wave equations (Eqs. (48) and (49) of [26]) are in good agreement with Eqs. (109) and (110) when reduced to the Reissner-Nordström case. It is important, however, to keep in mind that when Zerilli's wave equation for the even parity gravitational field (Eq. (48) of [26]) is used, to

avoid obtaining wrong results, Zerilli's function $f(r)$ should be replaced with the correct function provided in Eq. (145) above.

References

- [1] R. Penrose, Gravitational Collapse and Space-Time Singularities, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **14** 57 (1965).
- [2] J.M. Bardeen, Non-singular general-relativistic gravitational collapse, in Proceedings of the International Conference GR5, Tbilisi, USSR (Tbilisi University Press, Tbilisi, 1968), p. 174.
- [3] E. Poisson and W. Israel, Structure of the Black Hole Nucleus, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **5** 201-205 (1988).
- [4] I. Dymnikova, Vacuum nonsingular black hole, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **24** 235–242 (1992).
- [5] C. Barrabes and V.P. Frolov, How many new worlds are inside a black hole?, *Phys. Rev. D* **53** 3215 (1996).
- [6] M. Mars, M.M. Martín-Prats, J.M.M. Senovilla, Models of regular Schwarzschild black holes satisfying weak energy conditions, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **13** L51 (1996).
- [7] A. Cabo and E. Ayon-Beato, About black holes without trapping interior, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **14** 2013 (1999).
- [8] A. Bogojevic and D. Stojkovic, A Nonsingular black hole, *Phys. Rev. D* **61** 084011 (2000).
- [9] R. Casadio, A. Fabbri and L. Mazzacurati, New black holes in the brane-world?, *Phys. Rev. D* **65** 084040 (2002).
- [10] K.A. Bronnikov, V.N. Melnikov and H. Dehnen, On a general class of brane-world black holes, *Phys. Rev. D* **68** 024025 (2003).
- [11] S.A. Hayward, Formation and evaporation of regular black holes, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96** 031103 (2006).
- [12] K.A. Bronnikov and J.C. Fabris, Regular Phantom Black Holes, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96** 251101 (2006).
- [13] L. Modesto, Loop Quantum Black Hole, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **23** 5587 (2006); Black Hole Interior from Loop Quantum Gravity, *Adv. High Energy Phys.* **2008**, 459290 (2008); Space-Time Structure of Loop Quantum Black Hole, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **49** 1649 (2010).
- [14] A. Peltola and G. Kunstatter, Complete, Single-Horizon Quantum Corrected Black Hole Spacetime, *Phys. Rev. D* **79** 061501 (2009); Effective Polymer Dynamics of D-Dimensional Black Hole Interiors, *Phys. Rev. D* **80** 044031 (2009).
- [15] A. Ashtekar, J. Olmedo, and P. Singh, Quantum Transfiguration of Kruskal Black Holes, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121** 241301 (2018); Quantum Extension of the Kruskal Space-time, *Phys. Rev. D* **98** 126003 (2018).
- [16] A. Simpson and M. Visser, Black-bounce to traversable wormhole, *JCAP* **02** 042 (2019).

- [17] E. Ayón-Beato and A. García, Regular Black Hole in General Relativity Coupled to Non-linear Electrodynamics, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80** 5056 (1998).
- [18] M. Born and L. Infeld, On the quantum theory of the electromagnetic field, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A* **143** 410 (1934); Foundations of the new field theory, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A* **144** 425 (1934); On the quantization of the new field equations I, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A* **147** 522 (1934).
- [19] E. Ayón-Beato and A. García, The Bardeen model as a nonlinear magnetic monopole, *Phys. Lett.* **B493** 149 (2000).
- [20] M.E. Rodrigues and M.V. de S. Silva, Bardeen regular black hole with an electric source, *J.Cosmol.Astrpart.Phys.* 06 (2018) 025.
- [21] E. Ayón-Beato and A. García, New regular black hole solution from nonlinear electrodynamics, *Phys. Lett.* **B464** 25 (1999).
- [22] E. Ayón-Beato and A. García, Four-parametric regular black hole solution, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **37** (2005) 635.
- [23] T. Regge and J.A. Wheeler, Stability of a Schwarzschild Singularity, *Phys. Rev.* **108** 1063 (1957).
- [24] C. Moreno and O. Sarbach, Stability properties of black holes in self-gravitating nonlinear electrodynamics, *Phys. Rev.* **D67** 024028 (2003).
- [25] K. Nomura, D. Yoshida, and J. Soda, Stability of magnetic black holes in general nonlinear electrodynamics, *Phys. Rev.* **D101** 124026 (2020).
- [26] F.J. Zerilli, Perturbation analysis for gravitational and electromagnetic radiation in a Reissner-Nordström geometry, *Phys. Rev.* **D9** 860 (1974).
- [27] C. Beetle, Linearized Gravity, online lecture notes at <http://www.physics.fau.edu/~cbeetle/PHY6938.07F/linearized.pdf>.
- [28] P. Pani, E. Berti, and L. Gualtieri, Scalar, electromagnetic, and gravitational perturbations of Kerr-Newman black holes in the slow-rotation limit, *Phys. Rev.* **D88** 064048 (2013).