

A unified view on symmetry, anomalous symmetry, and non-invertible gravitational anomaly

Wenjie Ji^{1,2} and Xiao-Gang Wen¹

¹*Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA*

²*Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, USA*

In this paper, using 1+1D models as examples, we study symmetries and anomalous symmetries via multi-component partition functions obtained through symmetry twists, and their transformations under the mapping class group of spacetime. This point of view allows us to treat symmetries and anomalous symmetries as non-invertible gravitational anomalies (which are also described by multi-component partition functions, transforming covariantly under the mapping group transformations). This allows us to directly see how symmetry and anomalous symmetry constrain the low energy dynamics of the systems, since the low energy dynamics is directly encoded in the partition functions. More generally, symmetries, anomalous symmetries, non-invertible gravitational anomalies, and their combinations, can all be viewed as constraints on low energy dynamics. In this paper, we demonstrate that they all can be viewed uniformly and systematically as pure (non-invertible) gravitational anomalies.

CONTENTS

I. Introduction	1	C. SPT invariant and properties of the boundary partition function	23
II. 1+1D theory with 't Hooft anomaly	3	1. Topological partition function as topological invariant	24
A. Partition functions with symmetry twist	3	2. Properties of the boundary partition function	24
B. Detecting anomaly via symmetry twists and spacetime transformations	4	3. Properties of the boundary partition function for SPT states	25
1. \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry	4	D. Proof of S, T as a permutation in symmetry twist basis with phases given by 3-cocycle	28
2. \mathbb{Z}_N symmetry	6	E. Gauge the charge conjugation symmetry of a topological order	29
III. Anomalies in spin-1/2 Heisenberg chain	6	F. Anomaly for the group beyond \mathbb{Z}_N	29
IV. The non-invertible gravitational anomaly of the 1 + 1D boundary of 2 + 1D topological order	9	1. Anomaly of an Abelian group	29
A. \mathbb{Z}_2 topological order	9	2. Anomaly of a non-abelian group	30
B. Double-semion topological order	10	a. S_3 symmetry	30
C. S_3 -topological order	11	b. $su(2)_1$	30
V. Correspondence between G -'t Hooft anomaly and non-invertible anomaly	12	References	30
VI. 1+1D gravitational anomaly with symmetry	13		
A. \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry in the \mathbb{Z}_2 topological order	14		
1. The on-site \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry in \mathbb{Z}_2 topological order	14		
2. The electric-magnetic duality symmetry in \mathbb{Z}_2 topological order	15		
B. \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry in \mathbb{Z}_3 topological order	18		
1. \mathbb{Z}_2 charge conjugation symmetry in \mathbb{Z}_3 topological order	18		
2. The electric-magnetic duality symmetry in \mathbb{Z}_3 topological order	19		
VII. Summary	21		
A. Group cohomology theory	21		
1. Homogeneous group cocycle	21		
2. Nonhomogeneous group cocycle	22		
3. "Normalized" cocycles	22		
B. Topological path integral on a space-time	22		
1. Space-time lattice and branching structure	22		
2. Discrete path integral	23		

I. INTRODUCTION

A global symmetry in a quantum many-body system on a lattice can be on-site or not on-site.^{1,2} If the global symmetry transformation W is on-site:

$$W = \bigotimes_i W_i, \quad (1)$$

where W_i acts only on site- i , then the system can have a gapped symmetric ground state with no topological order (*i.e.* a product state).^{3,4} If the global symmetry is not on-site (or more precisely, the non-on-siteness cannot be removed by local unitary transformations), then the system cannot have a symmetric gapped ground state with no topological order.⁵ In this case, the system can be in a gapless state, a symmetry breaking state, and/or topological ordered state.

This result is obtained by noting that non-on-site global symmetry can be realized at the boundary of a system with on-site global symmetry. The non-on-siteness (the anomaly) at the boundary is directly related² to symmetry protected topological (SPT) order^{5–7} or symmetry enriched topological (SET) order in the bulk⁴. Now, we can see why anomalous symmetry (*i.e.* non-on-site symmetry) do not allow trivially gapped ground state: according to the topological holographic principle that the boundary uniquely determines the bulk,⁸ a non-trivial SPT or SET phase cannot have a symmetric boundary with no topological order, since a symmetric boundary with no topological order will imply the bulk to be a trivial SPT or SET state.

For a quantum field theory with global symmetry, it is hard to determine if the symmetry is on-site or not since the short-distance cut-off may not be specified. In this case, we examine the obstruction of gauging the global symmetry in the quantum theory, which is referred to as 't Hooft anomaly for the global symmetry.⁹ The 't Hooft anomaly – an IR property, and non-on-siteness – a UV property, of a symmetry is directly related: *a quantum field theory with 't Hooft anomaly does not have any lattice regularization where the global symmetry G is realized as an on-site symmetry.*

However, a quantum field theory with 't Hooft anomaly can be realized as a boundary of a lattice model with SPT order in one higher dimension, where the global symmetry G acts as an on-site symmetry in the bulk. The SPT order in one higher dimension corresponds to the non-on-siteness (*i.e.* the 't Hooft anomaly) of lattice global symmetry. As a result, the 't Hooft anomaly for a global symmetry G is related to¹⁰ and classified by^{2,11} the SPT order protected by G in one higher dimension.

From the above discussion, we see that the 't Hooft anomaly in a field theory can be viewed as the obstruction to have a lattice regularization *in the same dimensions* where the global symmetry is on-site. Even without symmetry, a field theory can be anomalous, which is referred to as gravitational anomaly. Similar to the 't Hooft anomaly discussed above, the gravitational anomaly is an obstruction to have a lattice regularization in the same dimension. More precisely, gravitational anomaly is an obstruction for the field theory to have a realization in the same dimensions where the total Hilbert space has the tensor product decomposition into local Hilbert spaces \mathcal{V}_i ^{2,8,12–14}

$$\mathcal{V}_{\text{tot}} = \bigotimes_i \mathcal{V}_i. \quad (2)$$

However, a field theory with a gravitational anomaly can have a lattice regularization in one higher dimension, and the field theory appears as an effective boundary theory for this lattice model in one higher dimension. To reproduce the gravitational anomaly on the boundary, the lattice model must be in a topologically ordered phase. Thus the gravitational anomaly simply corresponds to the topological order in one higher dimension.^{2,8}

Recently, it was pointed out that the gravitational anomaly defined this way is more general than the usual gravitational anomaly defined via the obstruction to define a path integral (*i.e.* to have a diffeomorphism invariant path integral). In particular, the gravitational anomaly from the point of view of lattice regularization (or tensor product decomposition) can be non-invertible (*i.e.* cannot be canceled by any other gravitational anomalies),^{8,15–17} while the gravitational anomaly from the point of view of diffeomorphism invariance is always invertible.

What is non-invertible anomaly? We first note that the 't Hooft anomaly and global gravitational anomaly discussed in most previous literature focuses mostly on invertible anomalies. The invertible anomaly is associated with invertible topological orders in one higher dimensions. The invertible topological orders are only a small class of topological orders. So it is natural to generalize the notion of anomaly to include non-invertible anomaly, which is associated with generic topological orders in one higher dimension. This more general point of view on anomaly was introduced in Ref. 2, 8, 15, and 16, which generalize an anomaly in-flow picture of Ref. 18 that matches the anomaly to the topological term in one higher dimensions. Recent detailed and systematic discussions of non-invertible gravitational anomalies were presented in Ref. 17, 19–21.

In this paper, we will study non-invertible gravitational anomalies with a global symmetry, in other words, the mixed case of 't Hooft anomaly and non-invertible gravitational anomaly. We will propose a very general unified point of view for both 't Hooft anomaly and global gravitational anomaly. Our theory not only applies to 't Hooft anomaly and global gravitational anomaly, it also applies to a new class of anomaly – *non-invertible anomaly* with symmetry. Including non-invertible anomaly is the key to obtain a unified theory for 't Hooft anomaly and gravitational anomaly, as well as their non-invertible generalization.

A $d + 1$ D quantum field theory with a global symmetry that has 't Hooft anomaly means the global symmetry cannot be gauged, *i.e.* to be promoted to a gauge symmetry. However, if we consider the anomalous symmetry as the effective boundary symmetry of an on-site symmetry in one higher dimension, then we can “gauge” the anomalous symmetry by gauging the on-site symmetry in the whole $d + 2$ D system with a boundary. This way, even global symmetry with 't Hooft anomaly can be “gauged”. “Gauging” the anomalous (or anomaly-free) global symmetry in a $d + 1$ D theory, after first coupling the theory to a theory in $d + 2$ D, produces another coupled theory, in which the boundary theory has a non-invertible gravitational anomaly and the bulk theory describes a topological order. A systematic understanding of the non-invertible anomaly of a quantum field theory as a boundary of the topological order in one higher dimension has been developed in Ref. 17.

Comparing the boundary system before and after the

gauging procedure described above allows us to identify a systematic treatment of anomaly-free global symmetry G , anomalous global symmetry G , and the (non-invertible) gravitational anomaly in the same footing. Specifically, if we restrict ourselves to consider the symmetric sub-Hilbert space of the (anomalous) symmetry $\mathcal{V}_{\text{symm}}$, symmetric sub-Hilbert space does not have a tensor product decomposition¹⁹

$$\mathcal{V}_{\text{symm}} \neq \bigotimes_i \mathcal{V}_i. \quad (3)$$

This constrained Hilbert space can be viewed as having a non-invertible gravitational anomaly. This implies that we can treat anomaly-free and anomalous global symmetry as a non-invertible gravitational anomaly. Ref. 19–21 refer to non-invertible gravitational anomaly as categorical symmetry to stress its connection to symmetry and anomalous symmetry mentioned above.

For example, a lattice model with tensor product decomposed Hilbert space and an anomaly-free or anomalous global symmetry can be viewed as a boundary of a SPT state. We may then gauge the global symmetry in the bulk. The boundary Hilbert space of the gauged bulk matches the symmetric sub-Hilbert space of the original lattice model. We see that restricting to the symmetric sub-Hilbert space corresponds to a non-invertible anomaly. The gauged bulk has a topological order which matches the non-invertible anomaly on the boundary.

We develop a unified theory that covers finite symmetry, 't Hooft anomalous finite symmetry, and non-invertible gravitational anomaly on the equal footing, in terms of multi-component partition functions, indexed by symmetry twists, bulk anyons, as well as topological symmetry defects. In this unified description, We naturally see how symmetry, anomalous symmetry and gravitational anomaly constrain the partition functions. Physically speaking, the (anomalous) symmetry and non-invertible gravitational anomaly are characterized by the same data that characterize the topological order in one higher dimension. Such data includes the group cocycle of the (gauged) symmetry and mapping class group representation. We find that the multi-component partition function of a symmetric or anomalous theory must satisfy some conditions specified by the data that characterize the bulk topological order. Those conditions on partition functions allow us to constrain the low energy properties of the anomalous system^{5,22} (*i.e.* the low energy properties of the boundary of the bulk topological orders). For example, restricting the central charge and the quantum dimensions of operators in a symmetry representation, given the (anomalous) symmetry.

In this paper, we will also consider a question: given a low energy effective field theory with a global symmetry, how to compute the 't Hooft anomaly of the symmetry? If the symmetry is continuous, *part* of its 't Hooft anomaly can be computed via the correlation function of associated conserved current.^{23,24} However, such an approach cannot detect all possible 't Hooft anomaly of the

symmetry. Also, the approach fails utterly for discrete symmetry, since there is no associated conserved current. Ref. 25 propose a way to compute 't Hooft anomaly for discrete Z_2 symmetry in 1+1D system via modular transformation properties. A generalization of this result to general Abelian symmetry and to higher dimensions is presented in Ref. 26. In this paper, we will generalize such an approach to include the mixed case of 't Hooft anomaly and non-invertible gravitational anomaly, via the properties of the multicomponent partition functions $Z^i(M^D, A)$ with symmetry twists A . As pointed out by Ref. 26, for the invertible anomalies (described by single-component partition function), the properties of the partition function $Z(M^D, A)$ is directly related to the topological invariance^{8,27–29} of the SPT order and the invertible topological order in one higher dimension. Therefore, such an approach may allow us to compute all the 't Hooft anomaly of any symmetry, as well as the global gravitational anomaly.

We have mentioned that 't Hooft anomaly can be probed via partition functions with symmetry twists. Since the symmetry twists can be represented as domain walls in D -dimensional spacetime, the 't Hooft anomaly of the symmetry can also be encoded in the fusion properties of the symmetry twists or domain walls. In other words, the fusion of the domain walls form a pointed fusion $(D-1)$ -category,^{22,30} which in turn characterizes the 't Hooft anomaly. In particular, a pointed fusion $(D-1)$ -category is characterized by a group cocycle $\omega_{D+1} \in \mathcal{H}^{D+1}(G; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ where G is the group that describes the pointed fusion of the domain wall. We can also derive the properties of the partition function from the pointed fusion $(D-1)$ -category of the symmetry twist domain walls, or equivalently, from the group cocycle ω_{D+1} that characterize the 't Hooft anomaly. In this paper, we derive explicitly the property of the 1+1D partition function of an anomalous theory in terms of this group 3-cocycle ω_3 .

II. 1+1D THEORY WITH 'T HOOFT ANOMALY

A. Partition functions with symmetry twist

For a system with a global discrete group G , it is important to understand how the symmetry constrains the dynamics of the system. For example, for simple discrete symmetries, we can determine whether the symmetry is anomalous by considering the partition functions of the system under symmetry twists along spatial and temporal directions. Consider a system on a spacetime torus twisted by a symmetry defect $g \in G$ and acted by a symmetry transformation $h \in G$, we denote its partition function as $Z_{g,h}$, with the condition that $gh = hg$, since in a g -twisted sector, where local field satisfies the boundary condition $\phi(L) = g\phi(0)$, the remaining global symmetry is $H = \{h|hg = gh, h \in G\}$. In particular,

the component with $(g, h) = (1, 1) \in G \times G$ represents the untwisted/periodic boundary condition in both space and time directions. We will denote $Z_{(g,h)}$ the partition function in a symmetry-twisted basis.

Under modular transformations, the twisted partition functions are in general not invariant, but mapped into each other,

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{g',h'}(-1/\tau) &= S_{(g',h'),(g,h)} Z_{g,h}(\tau), \\ Z_{g',h'}(\tau+1) &= T_{(g',h'),(g,h)} Z_{g,h}(\tau), \\ Z_{g',h'}(\tau) &= R_{(g',h'),(g,h)}(u) Z_{g,h}(\tau), \\ S_{(g',h'),(g,h)} &= \delta_{(g',h'),(h^{-1},g)} s(g,h), \\ T_{(g',h'),(g,h)} &= \delta_{(g',h'),(g,hg)} t(g,h), \\ R_{(g',h'),(g,h)}(u) &= \delta_{(g',h'),(ugu^{-1},uhu^{-1})} r(u,g,h). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

In the above, we also include the symmetry transformation that does not change the shape of the torus. The above three transformations generate a walk in the space of symmetry twist. For some combinations of the three transformations, the generated “walk” may form a loop. In this case, we obtain a property of the boundary partition function (*i.e.* the partition function for an anomalous system)²⁸

$$Z_{g,h}(\tau) = e^{i\theta} Z_{g,h}(\tau'). \quad (5)$$

where the phase factor $e^{i\theta}$ is a combination of the phase factors $s(g,h)$, $t(g,h)$, and $r(u,g,h)$ in eqn. (4). The phase factor $e^{i\theta}$ is identified as the SPT invariant for the bulk in Ref. 28, and thus can be used to identify the anomaly.

B. Detecting anomaly via symmetry twists and spacetime transformations

When the theory is anomaly-free for the symmetry G , the phases in (4) is trivial,

$$s(h,g) = 1, \quad t(h,g) = 1. \quad (6)$$

We may then gauge the symmetry and consider the partition function of the gauge theory. That is,

$$Z^G = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{h,g \in G, [h,g]=0} Z_{h,g}. \quad (7)$$

Since the theory comes from gauging an anomalous free symmetry, it can have a lattice realization. It follows that the above partition function is invariant under the modular group.

When the theory is anomalous under G (which is classified by group cohomological classes: $\omega_3 \in H^3[G, U(1)]$), the matrix elements $s(h,g)$ and $t(h,g)$ are a $U(1)$ phase. Later in this paper, we show how to determine the anomaly $\omega_3 \in H^3[G, U(1)]$ from the S and T matrix elements. We will also show how to determine S and T matrix elements from the anomaly $\omega_3 \in H^3[G, U(1)]$.

In the following, we consider the symmetry to be \mathbb{Z}_2 or \mathbb{Z}_N , and discuss how to detect the 't Hooft anomaly in systems whose low energy dynamics is described by rational conformal field theories.

1. \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry

When $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$, there is only one nontrivial element $-1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. There are four twisted partition functions,

$$Z_{1,1}, \quad Z_{1,-1}, \quad Z_{-1,1}, \quad Z_{-1,-1}. \quad (8)$$

The first two describe the spectrum in the untwisted Hilbert space, and the last two describe that in the \mathbb{Z}_2 -twisted Hilbert space.

Since $H^3(\mathbb{Z}_2, U(1)) = \mathbb{Z}_2$, there are two types of low energy field theories under the \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry, one is anomalous free, and the other is anomalous. As discussed above, the modular transformation properties of the above 4-component partition function are determined by the SPT invariant in one higher dimension. In the basis of twisted partition functions, the S and T matrix for anomaly-free theory is

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

The S and T matrix for \mathbb{Z}_2 anomalous theory is

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (10)$$

See Appendix C for a detailed calculation of the above S, T matrices for the anomalous \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry.

Let us discuss the gapless phases described by $su(2)_k \otimes su(2)_k$ conformal field theories (CFTs), as an example. The $su(2)_k \otimes su(2)_k$ CFTs has $SU(2)_R \times SU(2)_L$ symmetry. The $SU(2)_R$ and $SU(2)_L$ symmetries both have perturbative anomalies. Here we will concentrate on the center of $SU(2)_L$ – a \mathbb{Z}_2^L symmetry. We would like to ask if such a \mathbb{Z}_2^L symmetry is anomalous.

The diagonal untwisted partition function for $su(2)_k$ CFT is

$$Z_{1,1}(\tau) = \sum_{j=0, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{k}{2}} |\chi_j|^2. \quad (11)$$

With the \mathbb{Z}_2^L symmetry twist in the time direction, we have

$$Z_{1,-1} = \sum_{j=0, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{k}{2}} (-1)^{2j} |\chi_j|^2. \quad (12)$$

We have

$$Z_{1,1}(\tau+1) = Z_{1,1}(\tau), \quad Z_{1,-1}(\tau+1) = Z_{1,-1}(\tau). \quad (13)$$

Start from $Z_{1,-1}$ and the modular transformation properties of the $su(2)_k$ characters χ_j , we can determine $Z_{-1,1}$ up to a phase factor via

$$Z_{-1,1}(-1/\tau) = e^{i\phi} Z_{1,-1}(\tau). \quad (14)$$

Since the expansion of $Z_{-1,1}(\tau)$ in terms of the powers of $q = e^{i2\pi\tau}$ must have positive integer coefficients, this fixes the phase factor $e^{i\phi} = 1$. Now, $Z_{-1,1}$ and $Z_{1,-1}$ are known. This allows us to find that

$$Z_{1,-1}(-1/\tau) = Z_{-1,1}(\tau). \quad (15)$$

Next, we have, up to some phase factors (see eqn. (4)),

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{-1,1}(\tau+1) &= e^{i\theta_{T,1}} Z_{-1,-1}(\tau), \\ Z_{-1,-1}(\tau+1) &= e^{i\theta_{T,2}} Z_{-1,-1}(\tau), \\ Z_{-1,-1}\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) &= e^{i\theta_S} Z_{-1,-1}(\tau), \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

which implies that

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{i\theta_S} \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{i\theta_{T,1}} \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\theta_{T,2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (17)$$

Since, S, T generate a representation of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, they satisfy

$$S^2 = (ST)^3 = C, \quad C^2 = 1. \quad (18)$$

From $S^2 = (ST)^3$, we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{i2\theta_S} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\theta} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{i\theta} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (19)$$

where $\theta = \theta_{T,1} + \theta_{T,2} + \theta_S$. This gives us two solutions, together with T^2 given as follows,

1. $\theta_S = 0 \pmod{2\pi}$, $\theta_{T,1} = -\theta_{T,2} \pmod{2\pi}$, and T^2 is identity, which corresponds to anomaly-free Z_2^L ;
2. $\theta_S = \pi \pmod{2\pi}$, $\theta_{T,1} = \pi - \theta_{T,2} \pmod{2\pi}$,

$$T^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (20)$$

which corresponds to anomalous Z_2^L .

In the above, we determined the possible modular transformation properties of multi-component partition function with a \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry. Next, we can construct multi-component partition functions of \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetric CFT's using the symmetry twists, and examine their modular transformation properties. We find that

- $su(2)_1$ is Z_2^L anomalous

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{1,1} &= |\chi_0^{su2_1}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{su2_1}|^2, \\ Z_{1,-1} &= |\chi_0^{su2_1}|^2 - |\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{su2_1}|^2, \\ Z_{-1,1} &= \chi_0^{su2_1} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{su2_1} + \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{su2_1} \bar{\chi}_0^{su2_1}, \\ Z_{-1,-1} &= -i e^{i\theta_T} (\chi_0^{su2_1} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{su2_1} - \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{su2_1} \bar{\chi}_0^{su2_1}), \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

which transform as

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -e^{i\theta_T} \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-i\theta_T} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (22)$$

- $su(2)_2$ is Z_2^L anomaly-free

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{1,1} &= |\chi_0^{su2_2}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{su2_2}|^2 + |\chi_1^{su2_2}|^2, \\ Z_{1,-1} &= |\chi_0^{su2_2}|^2 - |\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{su2_2}|^2 + |\chi_1^{su2_2}|^2, \\ Z_{-1,1} &= \chi_0^{su2_2} \bar{\chi}_1^{su2_2} + |\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{su2_2}|^2 + \chi_1^{su2_2} \bar{\chi}_0^{su2_2}, \\ Z_{-1,-1} &= e^{i\theta_T} (-\chi_0^{su2_2} \bar{\chi}_1^{su2_2} + |\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{su2_2}|^2 - \chi_1^{su2_2} \bar{\chi}_0^{su2_2}), \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

which transform as

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{i\theta_T} \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-i\theta_T} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (24)$$

In general $su(2)_k$ is Z_2^L anomalous if k is odd and Z_2^L anomaly-free if k is even.

In addition to the Z_2^L anomaly, the above calculation also reveals a mixed anomaly between Z_2^L and $SU(2)$ (the diagonal part of $SU(2)_R \times SU(2)_L$) for odd k . This is because the Z_2^L twist in the space direction induces half-integer spin when k is odd as one can see from the partition function $Z_{-1,1}$. More precisely, there is $\text{spin}-\frac{k}{2}$ excitation in the Z_2^L twisted sector of the $su(2)_k$ CFT. When k is odd, this excitation carries half-integer spin. In contrast, for even k , there is no such a mixed anomaly between Z_2^L and $SU(2)$. The $su(2)_1$ CFT is the boundary of \mathbb{Z}_2 -symmetry protected topological phase. The lattice model is the CZX model first proposed in Ref. 5. In Section III, we discuss a physical realization of the Z_2^L anomalous symmetry by a $\text{spin}-\frac{1}{2}$ chain with translation symmetry. Through this model, we can see how Z_2^L anomalous symmetry protect gaplessness if we do not break the $SU(2)$ spin rotation and translation symmetry.

2. \mathbb{Z}_N symmetry

We start with a CFT with $\mathbb{Z}_N = \langle a | a^N = 1 \rangle$ global symmetry. Under symmetry twist, there are in total N^2 sectors Z_{a^n, a^m} . The T matrix in the symmetry twist basis is (see Appendix C).

$$T_{(a^{n'}, a^{m'})_{(a^n, a^m)}} = \delta_{n', n} \delta_{m', m+1} \omega_q(a^n, a^m, a^n), \quad (25)$$

where ω_q is the 3-cocycle of \mathbb{Z}_N of the class $q \in H^3(\mathbb{Z}_N, U(1)) = \mathbb{Z}_N$. The S matrix is

$$S_{(a^{n'}, a^{m'})_{(a^n, a^m)}} = \delta_{n', m} \delta_{m', N-n} \omega_q(a^m, a^n, a^{N-n}). \quad (26)$$

When \mathbb{Z}_N has 't Hooft anomaly, the S and T matrices are not purely permutation on different symmetry twisted sectors Z_{a^n, a^m} , but decorated with phase factors from 3-cocycles.

In general, the phase factors in the S, T matrices in general depend on the arbitrary choices of the phase factors of the basis vector. We find the following matrix element does not depend on the choices of the phase factors of the basis vector

$$\langle a_x = a, a_t = 1 | \mathcal{T}^N | a_x = a, a_t = 1 \rangle = e^{i\theta_T}. \quad (27)$$

and is directly related to the cohomology class of cocycles. The 't Hooft anomaly of \mathbb{Z}_N symmetry is classified by $H^3(\mathbb{Z}_N, U(1)) = \mathbb{Z}_N$. A class $[q] \in H^3(\mathbb{Z}_N, U(1))$, is given by a 3-cocycle. To be concrete, let us choose a normalized 3-cocycle. For $a^l, a^m, a^n \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, they are

$$\omega_q(a^l, a^m, a^n) = e^{i \frac{2\pi}{N^2} q l(m+n - \langle m+n \rangle_N)}, \quad (28)$$

where $\langle x \rangle_N$ denote $x \bmod N$. We find that (shown in Appendix ??),

$$e^{i\theta_T} = e^{i \frac{2\pi}{N} q} \quad (29)$$

can measure the anomaly of \mathbb{Z}_N symmetry.^{28,31}

Let us consider the example of \mathbb{Z}_3 symmetry in $SU(3)_1$ CFT. In this CFT, except the vacuum $\widehat{0} = [1, 0, 0]$, in terms of the Dynkin label, there is the fundamental representation $\widehat{1} = [0, 1, 0]$ and anti-fundamental representation $\widehat{2} = [0, 0, 1]$, under the $SU(3)$ level 1 current algebra. In the basis of these three states, the generator a of the \mathbb{Z}_3 symmetry, written in the basis of the three states is

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (30)$$

where $\omega = e^{i \frac{2\pi}{3}}$. There is an automorphism that is related to the action of the \mathbb{Z}_3 symmetry by a S transformation

$$SAS^\dagger = a. \quad (31)$$

In $SU(3)_1$, the S and T matrix are

$$S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \omega & \omega^2 \\ 1 & \omega^2 & \omega \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega \end{pmatrix}. \quad (32)$$

It follows that the automorphism is given by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (33)$$

Start with the untwisted partition function, (from now on we lighten the notation by dropping the hat of representation $\widehat{\lambda}$.)

$$Z_{1,1} = \sum_{\mu, \lambda} \bar{\chi}_\mu \mathcal{N}[0, 0]_{\mu\lambda} \chi_\lambda, \quad \mathcal{N}[0, 0]_{\mu\lambda} = \delta_{\mu, \lambda}. \quad (34)$$

The twisted partition function $Z_{n,m}$, with m times the symmetry twist in the spatial direction twisted and m times the symmetry action at fixed time slices, is obtained by the action of A and a ,

$$Z_{n,m} = \sum_{\mu\lambda} \bar{\chi}_\mu \mathcal{N}[n, m]_{\mu\lambda} \chi_\lambda, \quad \mathcal{N}[n, m] = a^m A^n. \quad (35)$$

The actions of S and T are

$$\begin{aligned} T^\dagger a^m A^n T &= e^{i\theta[n, m]} a^{n+m} A^n, \\ S^\dagger a^n A^m S &= e^{i\theta_S[n, m]} A^n a^{-m}. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

The anomaly is given by

$$(T^\dagger)^3 A T^3 = e^{i\theta_T} A, \quad e^{i\theta_T} = e^{i \sum_{m=0}^2 \theta[1, m]}, \quad (37)$$

where we have used $a^3 = 1$. Then in $SU(3)_1$, we find $e^{i\theta_T} = 1$, the \mathbb{Z}_3 symmetry is non-anomalous.

As a second example, we can consider $su(N)_1$ CFT. It describes N -flavor of complex free fermions ψ_i in one dimension. It can also describe the $SU(N)$ spin chain with anti-ferromagnetic Heisenberg interaction. The CFT has the symmetry $SU(N)_R \times SU(N)_L$. The subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_N^L \subset SU(N)_L$ acts on the fundamental representation of $SU(N)$ as follows,

$$\mathbb{Z}_N^L : |j_L\rangle \rightarrow e^{i2\pi \frac{j_L}{N}} |j_L\rangle, \quad |j_R\rangle \rightarrow |j_R\rangle, \quad j_{L/R} = 1, \dots, N. \quad (38)$$

Through a similar analysis as described above, we can find the symmetry $\mathbb{Z}_N^L \subset SU(N)_L$ is anomalous when N is even.

III. ANOMALIES IN SPIN-1/2 HEISENBERG CHAIN

After determining the \mathbb{Z}_2^L anomaly and the \mathbb{Z}_2^L - $SO(3)$ mixed anomaly in the $su2_k \otimes su2_k$ CFT, let us consider

some lattice models that can realize the Z_2^L symmetry. We first consider a spin-1/2 J_1 - J_2 Heisenberg model³²⁻³⁴ on a 1D ring of L sites:

$$H = \sum_i \sigma_i \cdot \sigma_{i+1} + 0.25 \sigma_i \cdot \sigma_{i+2}. \quad (39)$$

Even without the second term, the model realizes a gapless phase described by a CFT. With the second term, the model realizes the same gapless phase described by the same CFT. Nevertheless, the second term makes the lattice model closer to the CFT fixed point, *i.e.* the strength of marginally irrelevant operator $J_L \cdot J_R$ in the Hamiltonian is tuned to be small.

On a ring of 20 sites, the spectrum of H is given by Fig. 5. The low energy spectrum contains a sector $|\chi_0^{su21}|^2$ at momentum $k \sim 0$, and a sector $|\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{su21}|^2$ at momentum $k \sim \pi$. This implies that the operator $V_{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{V}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ (that generates the sector $|\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{su21}|^2$ from the ground state in the sector $|\chi_0^{su21}|^2$) carries a momentum π . On a ring of 18 sites, the spectrum of H is given by Fig. 3. In this case, the sector $|\chi_0^{su21}|^2$ is at momentum $k \sim \pi$, and a sector $|\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{su21}|^2$ at momentum $k \sim 0$. Let us define a pseudo translation by one lattice spacing as

$$\tilde{T} = e^{i\pi N/2T}, \quad (40)$$

where T is the usual translation by one lattice spacing and N is the number of sites. We see that the pseudo momentum is always $\tilde{k} \sim 0$ for the sector $|\chi_0^{su21}|^2$, and $\tilde{k} \sim \pi$ for the sector $|\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{su21}|^2$.

From $Z_{1,-1} = |\chi_0^{su21}|^2 - |\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{su21}|^2$, we also see that the operator $V_{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{V}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ carries a Z_2^L charge -1 , since it contains $\bar{V}_{\frac{1}{2}}$. This allows us to identify Z_2^L with the pseudo translation by one lattice spacing. As a result, the Z_2^L symmetry twist is realized in the system on a ring with an odd number of sites.

On a ring of 21 sites, the spectrum of H is given by Fig. 6. The lowest excitations in each tower are a spin-doublet. They are described by $V_0 \bar{V}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $V_{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{V}_0$. We see that the Z_2^L symmetry twist gives rise to half-integer spins (which is not surprising, since the ring now contains an odd number of spin-1/2's). Thus the spin-1/2 Heisenberg chain has the mixed anomaly between Z_2^L (translation) and $SO(3)$ (spin rotation). We also see that the low energy sectors with the Z_2^L symmetry twist carry pseudo momenta $\tilde{k} \sim 0, \pi$. This corresponds to $\theta_T = \pi/2$ in eqn. (21). Our numerical calculations (see Fig. 1 - 6) indicate that the partition functions of spin-1/2 chain is given by eqn. (21), which transform as eqn. (22). Thus the Z_2^L symmetry has a 't Hooft anomaly.

However, we would like to stress that Z_2^L is only a low energy emergent symmetry. The actual symmetry is the \mathbb{Z} translation symmetry. For spin-1/2 Heisenberg chain, the pseudo translation by 2 lattice spacing becomes the




FIG. 1. The many-body energy spectrum of J_1 - J_2 Heisenberg model on a ring of 16 sites, where the ground state energy is shifted to 0. The horizontal axis is the crystal momentum $k/2\pi$. The numbers by the bars indicate the $SU(2)$ multiplets (the degeneracies). The energy levels at energy ≈ 3.2 and $k = \pi$ contain $SU(2)$ multiplets 1, 3, and 5. The energy levels at energy ≈ 0.8 and $k = 0$ contain $SU(2)$ multiplets 1 and 3 (which correspond to spin $\frac{1}{2} \otimes \frac{1}{2}$).




FIG. 2. The many-body energy spectrum of J_1 - J_2 Heisenberg model on a ring of 17 sites, where the ground state energy is shifted to 0. The horizontal axis is the crystal momentum $k/2\pi$. The numbers by the bars indicate the $SU(2)$ multiplets (the degeneracies).

identity action at low energies, meaning that \mathbb{Z} translation reduces to the emergent low energy Z_2^L symmetry. This realization is important since Z_2^L has 't Hooft anomaly, while the \mathbb{Z} symmetry where Z_2^L is embedded is not anomalous. This is in accord with the fact that $\mathcal{H}^3(Z_2^L, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ while $\mathcal{H}^3(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Therefore, the 't Hooft anomaly for Z_2^L is only an emergent anomaly at low energies for the Heisenberg chain. The spin-1/2 chain with translation symmetry actually does not have the Z_2^L 't Hooft anomaly. The emergent anomaly has been studied in Ref. 35. The emergent Z_2^L 't Hooft anomaly implies that, near the gapless state of the spin-1/2 Heisenberg chain, even the perturbations that break the $SO(3)$ spin rotation symmetry cannot put the system in a gaped phase if the translation symmetry is not broken.³⁵




FIG. 3. The many-body energy spectrum of J_1 - J_2 Heisenberg model on a ring of 18 sites, where the ground state energy is shifted to 0. The horizontal axis is the crystal momentum $k/2\pi$. The numbers by the bars indicate the $SU(2)$ multiplets (the degeneracies). The energy levels at energy ≈ 3.2 and $k = \pi$ contain $SU(2)$ multiplets 1, 3, and 5. The energy levels at energy ≈ 0.8 and $k = 0$ contain $SU(2)$ multiplets 1 and 3 (which correspond to spin $\frac{1}{2} \otimes \frac{1}{2}$).




FIG. 4. The many-body energy spectrum of J_1 - J_2 Heisenberg model on a ring of 19 sites, where the ground state energy is shifted to 0. The horizontal axis is the crystal momentum $k/2\pi$. The numbers by the bars indicate the $SU(2)$ multiplets (the degeneracies).

But the mixed anomaly between Z_2^L and $SO(3)$ remains to be a mixed anomaly after we promote Z_2^L to \mathbb{Z} translation symmetry. (Note that the spin-1/2 chain has a $SO(3)$ symmetry since on each site, we only have half-integer spins, rather than both half-integer and integer spins. If there were both half-integer and integer spins on each site, the symmetry would be $SU(2)$. If there were only integer spins on each site, the symmetry would also be $SO(3)$.) Thus, the spin-1/2 Heisenberg chain has an exact mixed anomaly between Z_2^L and $SO(3)$, or more precisely, an exact mixed anomaly between \mathbb{Z} translation and $SO(3)$ spin rotation. See Ref. 36 for a more complete discussion. As a result, the symmetric gapless phase of spin-1/2 Heisenberg chain must be described by $su_{2k} \otimes \overline{su}_{2k}$ with $k = \text{odd}$, if the \mathbb{Z} translation and $SO(3)$




FIG. 5. The many-body energy spectrum of J_1 - J_2 Heisenberg model on a ring of 20 sites, where the ground state energy is shifted to 0. The horizontal axis is the crystal momentum $k/2\pi$. The numbers by the bars indicate the $SU(2)$ multiplets (the degeneracies). The energy levels at energy ≈ 3.0 and $k = 0$ contain $SU(2)$ multiplets 1, 3, and 5. The energy levels at energy ≈ 0.8 and $k = \pi$ contain $SU(2)$ multiplets 1 and 3 (which correspond to spin $\frac{1}{2} \otimes \frac{1}{2}$).




FIG. 6. The many-body energy spectrum of J_1 - J_2 Heisenberg model on a ring of 21 sites. The horizontal axis is the crystal momentum $k/2\pi$. The numbers by the bars indicate the $SU(2)$ multiplets (the degeneracies).

spin rotation symmetries are not broken.

Since the spin-1 chain can be obtained from the stacking of two spin-1/2 chains, and since the stacking cancels the exact mixed anomaly between \mathbb{Z} and $SO(3)$, The spin-1 chain does not have the mixed anomaly. Its symmetric gapless phase must be described by $su_{2k} \otimes \overline{su}_{2k}$ with $k = \text{even}$. This is the derivation of the symmetry protected gaplessness in Ref. 37 via the mixed anomaly of \mathbb{Z} and $SO(3)$.³⁶

In the above 1D lattice model, the Z_2^L symmetry in the CFT is realized as an emergent symmetry (*i.e.* as a quotient ground of lattice translation \mathbb{Z}). Do we have a lattice model where the Z_2^L symmetry is realized as the exact lattice on-site symmetry? The answer is yes. But since Z_2^L is anomalous, the lattice realization must be in one higher dimension. In fact, we can start with a 2+1D

Z_2 SPT state, the $su2_1 \otimes \overline{su2}_1$ CFT can be realized at the boundary of the 2+1D Z_2 SPT state, with Z_2^L symmetry in the CFT is realized as the Z_2 symmetry of the 2+1D Z_2 SPT state.

IV. THE NON-INVERTIBLE GRAVITATIONAL ANOMALY OF THE 1+1D BOUNDARY OF 2+1D TOPOLOGICAL ORDER

In the above, we have discussed 1+1D 't Hooft anomalies and their reflections in modular transformation properties of the anomalous partition functions with symmetry twist. We can view the non-on-site global symmetry as the boundary effective symmetry of an on-site global symmetry in a 2+1D SPT state. We can then gauge the 2+1D on-site global symmetry to obtain 1+1D theories with a non-invertible gravitational anomaly, which is characterized by 2+1D topological order (*i.e.* the gauged 2+1D SPT order). The boundary of the topological order is described also in terms of a vector of partition functions yet in the basis of quasiparticles that are present in the bulk. The phase on the boundary of the topological order always needs to satisfy the following matching conditions,¹⁷

$$Z^a(\tau + 1) = T_{ab}Z^b(\tau), \quad Z^a(-1/\tau) = S_{ab}Z^b(\tau). \quad (41)$$

In this section, we will show, through examples, how the theory with a global symmetry is related to the theory with non-invertible gravitational anomaly, obtained via gauging on-site global symmetry in one-higher-dimensional bulk. We will see that the boundary theory before gauging is described by a vector of partition functions indexed by symmetry twists, the boundary theory after gauging is described by a vector partition functions indexed by bulk anyons. Nevertheless, both partition functions are built from the same set of data - characters of conformal field theories and 3-cocycles in $H^3(G, U(1))$, the two vectors are related by a matrix transformation.

A. Z_2 topological order

The 1+1D Ising model in a transverse field at the critical point has an anomaly-free Z_2 global symmetry, which is described by the following 4 partition functions with different Z_2 symmetry twist:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{1,1}(\tau) &= |\chi_0^{\text{Is}}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}}|^2, \\ Z_{1,-1}(\tau) &= |\chi_0^{\text{Is}}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}}|^2 - |\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}}|^2, \\ Z_{-1,1}(\tau) &= |\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}}|^2 + \chi_0^{\text{Is}} \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}} + \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}} \chi_0^{\text{Is}}, \\ Z_{-1,-1}(\tau) &= |\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}}|^2 - \chi_0^{\text{Is}} \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}} - \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}} \chi_0^{\text{Is}}. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Under modular transformation, they transform as eqn. (9). On the other hand, we may gauge the 2+1D system with a boundary, the bulk becomes Z_2 topological




FIG. 7. Transformation between (a) boundaries of trivial Z_2 SPT (2+1d Ising model) and (b) that of Z_2 topological order. Transformation from (a) to (b) corresponds to gauging the Z_2 global symmetry in the bulk.

order which has four types of quasiparticles $\mathbf{1}, e, m$ and f . Correspondingly, the boundary partition function is in the quasiparticle basis. This partition function, is related to the one before gauging, via a basis transformation,

$$\begin{pmatrix} Z^{\mathbf{1}} \\ Z^e \\ Z^m \\ Z^f \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Z_{1,1} \\ Z_{1,-1} \\ Z_{-1,1} \\ Z_{-1,-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (43)$$

The correspondence of two vectors of partition functions, is illustrated in Fig. 7.

The partition functions in the quasiparticle basis¹⁷ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{\mathbf{1}} &= |\chi_0^{\text{Is}}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}}|^2, \\ Z^e &= |\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}}|^2, \\ Z^m &= |\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}}|^2, \\ Z^f &= \chi_0^{\text{Is}} \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}} + \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}} \chi_0^{\text{Is}}. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Under modular transformation, they transform as

eqn. (41) and

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (45)$$

Note that T is always diagonal in the quasiparticle basis.

According to Ref. 17, Z_1 , Z_e , Z_m , and Z_f are the 4 partition functions that describe a $c = \bar{c} = \frac{1}{2}$ CFT with a non-invertible anomaly corresponding to a gapless boundary theory of the 2+1D Z_2 -topological order. Therefore, changing to the quasiparticle basis (*i.e.* from eqn. (42) to eqn. (44)) corresponds to gauging Z_2 global symmetry in the bulk. This turns a CFT with Z_2 global symmetry into a CFT with no symmetry but with a non-invertible gravitational anomaly.

A second example is $su_{2_2} \otimes \overline{su}_{2_2}$ CFT with Z_2 anomaly-free symmetry. Its 4 partition functions with Z_2 symmetry twist are given by eqn. (23). In the quasiparticle basis, we obtain the following 4 partition functions:

$$\begin{aligned} Z^1 &= |\chi_0^{su_{2_2}}|^2 + |\chi_1^{su_{2_2}}|^2, \\ Z^e &= |\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{su_{2_2}}|^2, \\ Z^m &= |\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{su_{2_2}}|^2, \\ Z^f &= \chi_0^{su_{2_2}} \overline{\chi_1^{su_{2_2}}} + \chi_1^{su_{2_2}} \overline{\chi_0^{su_{2_2}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

The above 4 partition functions describe a $c = \bar{c} = \frac{3}{2}$ CFT with non-invertible gravitational anomaly of the 2+1D Z_2 topological order.

B. Double-semion topological order

The $su_{2_1} \otimes \overline{su}_{2_1}$ CFT can have a Z_2 global symmetry. Yet the symmetry is anomalous. The CFT under the Z_2 symmetry twists has the partition functions given in eqn. (21). Under modular transformation, they transform as eqn. (10).

The CFT can appear on the boundary of the Z_2 SPT. We can then gauge the Z_2 symmetry (in the 2+1D bulk). The bulk phase becomes the double-semion topological order, where the boundary system is described by the CFT with non-invertible gravitational anomaly. Explicitly, we obtain the boundary partition functions in the quasi particle basis, via a matrix transformation,

$$\begin{pmatrix} Z^1 \\ Z^b \\ Z^s \\ Z^{s^*} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Z_{1,1} \\ Z_{1,-1} \\ Z_{-1,1} \\ Z_{-1,-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (47)$$

The partition functions in the quasiparticle basis¹⁷ are




FIG. 8. Transformation between (a) boundaries of Z_2 SPT and (b) that of double semion topological order. Transformation from (a) to (b) corresponds to gauging the Z_2 global symmetry in the bulk.

given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z^1 &= |\chi_0^{su_{2_1}}|^2, \\ Z^s &= \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{su_{2_1}} \overline{\chi_0^{su_{2_1}}}, \\ Z^{s^*} &= \chi_0^{su_{2_1}} \overline{\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{su_{2_1}}}, \\ Z^b &= |\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{su_{2_1}}|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Under modular transformation, they transform as eqn. (41) and

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (49)$$

As pointed out in Ref. 17, Z^1 , Z^s , Z^{s^*} , and Z^b are the 4 partition functions that describe a $c = \bar{c} = 1$ CFT with a non-invertible anomaly corresponding to the 2+1D double-semion topological order.

\otimes	$\mathbf{1}$	a^1	a^2	b	b^1	b^2	c	c^1
$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	a^1	a^2	b	b^1	b^2	c	c^1
a^1	a^1	$\mathbf{1}$	a^2	b	b^1	b^2	c^1	c
a^2	a^2	a^2	$\mathbf{1} \oplus a^1 \oplus a^2$	$b^1 \oplus b^2$	$b \oplus b^2$	$b \oplus b^1$	$c \oplus c^1$	$c \oplus c^1$
b	b	b	$b^1 \oplus b^2$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus a^1 \oplus b$	$b^2 \oplus a^2$	$b^1 \oplus a^2$	$c \oplus c^1$	$c \oplus c^1$
b^1	b^1	b^1	$b \oplus b^2$	$b^2 \oplus a^2$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus a^1 \oplus b^1$	$b \oplus a^2$	$c \oplus c^1$	$c \oplus c^1$
b^2	b^2	b^2	$b \oplus b^1$	$b^1 \oplus a^2$	$b \oplus a^2$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus a^1 \oplus b^2$	$c \oplus c^1$	$c \oplus c^1$
c	c	c^1	$c \oplus c^1$	$c \oplus c^1$	$c \oplus c^1$	$c \oplus c^1$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus a^2 \oplus b \oplus b^1 \oplus b^2$	$a^1 \oplus a^2 \oplus b \oplus b^1 \oplus b^2$
c^1	c^1	c	$c \oplus c^1$	$c \oplus c^1$	$c \oplus c^1$	$c \oplus c^1$	$a^1 \oplus a^2 \oplus b \oplus b^1 \oplus b^2$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus a^2 \oplus b \oplus b^1 \oplus b^2$

TABLE I. Fusion rules N_c^{ab} of 2+1D S_3 topological order. Here b and c correspond to pure flux excitations, a^1 and a^2 pure charge excitations, $\mathbf{1}$ the vacuum sector while b^1 , b^2 , and c^1 are charge-flux composites.

symbol	(flux, charge)	quantum dimension
$\mathbf{1}$	$([1], \lambda_1^1)$	1
a^1	$([1], \lambda_1^-)$	1
a^2	$([1], \lambda_2^1)$	2
b	$([s], \lambda_1^s)$	2
b^1	$([s], \lambda_+^s)$	2
b^2	$([s], \lambda_-^s)$	2
c	$[r], \lambda_1^r)$	3
c^1	$([r], \lambda_-^r)$	3

TABLE II. Anyons in S_3 topological order.

C. S_3 -topological order

For a CFT with S_3 symmetry, its partition function with symmetry twists $Z_{g,h}$, $g, h \in S_3$, $gh = hg$, transform in the way under modular transformations as in 4. What CFTs would have the non-anomalous S_3 symmetry? And how does the CFT transformation under a symmetry twist? It is not straightforward to find out. In this paper, we give one way to solve it. That is to first think of the CFT on the boundary of a 2 + 1D system with a global S_3 symmetry. Then we gauge the whole system, and find the CFT on the boundary of the S_3 gauge theory. The CFT with a global S_3 symmetry is related to this one by a basis transformation.

To begin with, the S_3 gauge theory describes the $\mathcal{D}(S_3)$ topological order, which has 8 types of topological excitations labeled by $(\mathbf{1}, a^1, a^2, b, b^1, b^2, c, c^1)$, as listed in Table IV C. This topological order is characterized by the

following modular matrices,

$$\text{Diag}(T) = (1, 1, 1, 1, e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}, e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{3}}, 1, -1),$$

$$S = \frac{1}{6} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & -3 & -3 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 & -2 & -2 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & -2 & 4 & -2 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & -2 & -2 & -2 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & -2 & -2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & -3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & -3 \\ 3 & -3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -3 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The fusion rules of the topological excitations are given in Table I.

By matching the boundary with the bulk topological order, we find the 1+1D CFT with a gravitational anomaly given by the 2+1D S_3 -topological order has 8 partition functions. If the CFT has central charges $c = \bar{c} = \frac{4}{5}$, the 8 partition functions are given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{\mathbf{1}} &= |\chi_0^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_3^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{5}{2}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{7}{5}}^{m6}|^2 \\ Z^{a^1} &= \chi_0^{m6} \bar{\chi}_3^{m6} + \chi_3^{m6} \bar{\chi}_0^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{5}{2}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{7}{5}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{7}{5}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{5}{2}}^{m6} \\ Z^{a^2} &= |\chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{15}{15}}^{m6}|^2 \\ Z^b &= |\chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{15}{15}}^{m6}|^2 \\ Z^{b^1} &= \chi_0^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} + \chi_3^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{5}{2}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{15}{15}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{7}{5}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{15}{15}}^{m6} \\ Z^{b^2} &= \chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_0^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_3^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{5}{2}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{15}{15}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{7}{5}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{15}{15}}^{m6} \\ Z^c &= |\chi_{\frac{8}{8}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{13}{8}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{40}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{21}{40}}^{m6}|^2 \\ Z^{c^1} &= \chi_{\frac{1}{8}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{13}{8}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{13}{8}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{8}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{1}{40}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{21}{40}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{21}{40}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{40}}^{m6}. \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

where χ_h^{m6} are characters of $\mathcal{M}(6,5)$ minimal model. In other words, the 2+1D bosonic topological order described by S_3 gauge theory may have a gapless boundary




FIG. 9. Given the same CFT, as the boundary of invertible topological order with symmetry G (left) and non-invertible topological order with gauge group G (right), twisted by the same cocycle $\omega \in H^3(G, U(1))$, the Hilbert space of g -twisted sector (left) is the same as the direct sum of that of the flux- g anyon sectors $a_i^g = (g, \tilde{\lambda}_i^g)$ (right).

described by $c = \bar{c} = \frac{4}{5}$ CFT. Such a gapless boundary has only one relevant operator with scaling dimension $2\frac{2}{5} = \frac{4}{5}$. However, in the above, we only considered the modular covariant partition functions on torus. To be sure that S_3 gauge theory can have a gapless boundary described by the minimal model $\mathcal{M}(6, 5)$, we also need to check the covariance of the partition functions under mapping class group actions for higher genus surfaces.

Since the S_3 gauge theory comes from gauging a non-anomalous S_3 global symmetry, we learn that the minimal model $\mathcal{M}(6, 5)$ can have a S_3 global symmetry. In the next section, we discuss starting with this CFT in the quasiparticle basis, how to find the CFT twisted by the S_3 symmetry.

V. CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN G -T HOOFT ANOMALY AND NON-INVERTIBLE ANOMALY

One advantage of the basis transformation is to identify the invertible anomaly through the non-invertible anomaly. In particular, consider the topological order described by G gauge theory twisted by $\omega \in H^3(G, U(1))$.

The topological orders we consider are described by a discrete finite gauge group G . Particularly, we focus on the topological orders described by (1) untwisted topological field theories (TQFT) (2) TQFT twisted by 3-cocycle $\omega \in H^3(G, U(1))$. We show that there is a basis transformation from the quasiparticle basis labeled by anyons in a G gauge theory to, what we call, symmetry-twisting basis, labeled by $(a, b) \in G \times G$, where a is a representative group element of each conjugacy class of G , and $b \in Z(a)$, the centralizer group of a . The modular matrices S, T in the symmetry-twisting basis, becomes *permutation matrices*, up to phases determined from the slant product of 3-cocycle $\omega \in H^3(G, U(1))$. More explicitly, start with the components $\{Z_{(1,g)}\}$, where g is a representative group element of each conjugacy class of G . The actions of S, T on the components give the whole vector of partition functions.

We find there is a unitary matrix that relates the partition functions in the symmetry twisted basis and that in the quasiparticle basis. The former describes the bound-

ary of a topological phase protected by G symmetry (SPT), the latter describes the boundary of the topological order that is related to the SPT by gauging the global symmetry.

To describe the unitary matrix, let us first discuss the dimension of the partition functions in the symmetry twisted basis. The independent components are given by conjugate classes of commuting pair. A CFT with global symmetry G , in general, the CFT can be twisted in spatial direction by g and time direction by h for any $g, h \in G$ that commute. The total number of symmetry twisted sectors are then $\sum_{[g]} |Z(g)|$, where $[g]$ is to sum over conjugacy classes of G . For example, when $G = S_3$, the number of twisted sectors is $6 + 3 + 2 = 11$. However, not all of them are independent. In fact, if $(g', h') \sim (g, h)$, where the equivalence means there is an element $x \in G$, such that $(g', h') = (xgx^{-1}, xhx^{-1})$, then the twisted sector $Z_{g', h'}$ and $Z_{g, h}$ are related by gauge transformation. In particular, if the symmetry G is anomaly free, $Z_{g', h'} = Z_{g, h}$. Therefore, each independent component $Z_{(g, h)}$ given by a representative g in each conjugacy class of G , and a representative of h in each conjugacy class of the remaining global symmetry group $Z(g)$. The number of independent ones is $\mathcal{N} = \sum_{[g]} k(Z(g))$, where $k(Z(g))$ is the class number (the number of conjugacy classes) of the centralizer group $Z(g)$. For example, for S_3 , $\mathcal{N} = 8$.

Now recall that a quasiparticle in the $\mathcal{D}^{(\omega)}(G)$ topological order is given by (a, μ^a) , where a is the magnetic flux, given by a representative in a conjugacy class of G , and μ^a is a representation of the remaining gauge group $Z(a)$. For certain twisted quantum doubles, that is, those twisted by the 3-cocycle that can be written in terms of 2-cocycles, μ^a is the projective representation.

The transformation between the quasiparticle basis and symmetry twisting basis in the bulk is³⁸

$$Z^{(a, \mu^a)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|G|}} \sum_{a' \in [a], g \in Z_{a'}} \tilde{\chi}_{\mu}^{a'}(g)^* Z_{(a', g)}, \quad (51)$$

where $\tilde{\chi}_{\mu}^g(h) = \text{Tr} \tilde{\mu}^g(h)$ is the projective character. That is, the transformation is given by the projective character table. When the symmetry is untwisted, this is the character table.

Since the S matrix in anyon basis is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a, \mu^a | S | b, \lambda^b \rangle &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\substack{a' \in [a], b' \in [b], \\ a'b' = b'a'}} \tilde{\chi}_{\mu}^{a'}(b')^* \tilde{\chi}_{\lambda}^{b'}(a')^*, \\ \langle a, \mu^a | T | b, \lambda^b \rangle &= \delta_{a,b} \delta_{\mu^a, \lambda^b} \frac{\tilde{\chi}^a(a)}{\dim \mu}. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

We can obtain that, the S matrix in the symmetry twisting basis, when the symmetry is anomaly free, is a permutation matrix as follows,

$$\langle (a, g) | S | (b, h) \rangle = \delta_{a,h} \delta_{g, b^{-1}}. \quad (53)$$

And when the symmetry is anomalous, the S matrix is the permutation matrix modified by the 2-cocycles, which is the slant product applied to $\omega \in H^3(G, U(1))$.

$$\langle (a, g) | S | (b, h) \rangle = \delta_{a,h} \delta_{g,b^{-1}} \beta_h(b, b^{-1}), \quad (54)$$

where

$$\beta_a(b, c) = \omega(a, b, c) \omega(b, b^{-1}ab, c)^{-1} \omega(b, c, (bc)^{-1}abc). \quad (55)$$

We describe the proof that the modular matrices are related as above in Appendix D, as well as give the transformation when the topological order is D_4 quantum double, Q_8 quantum double and \mathbb{Z}_3 twisted quantum double.

Since each sector in the bulk has a boundary described by one component of partition function, we conclude that the boundary partition functions transform the same way accordingly to (51).

The simplest examples are when $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$. If the symmetry is non-anomalous, the transformation (51) is explicitly shown in (43), relating the CFT twisted by the anomaly-free \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry and the CFT with the non-invertible anomaly matched by \mathbb{Z}_2 topological order. If the symmetry is anomalous, the transformation (51) is shown in (47), relating the anomalous \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry and the non-invertible anomaly matched by the double semion topological order. The above correspondence between the \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry twists and non-invertible anomalies are illustrated in Fig. 7 and Fig. 8.

Let us apply the correspondence given by (51) to the example of $G = S_3$. The correspondence answers us how the S_3 symmetry acts on the minimal model $\mathcal{M}(6, 5)$. There are three conjugacy classes of S_3 , and we choose the representative of each class as $1, s, r$. The gauge-inequivalent symmetry twisted sectors are

$$\begin{aligned} & Z_{(1,1)}, Z_{(1,s)}, Z_{(1,r)}, \\ & Z_{(s,1)}, Z_{(s,s)}, Z_{(s,s^2)}, \\ & Z_{(r,1)}, Z_{(r,r)}. \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

The symmetry-twisted basis is related to the quasi-particle basis indexed by anyons in S_3 gauge theory via the following transformation,

$$\begin{pmatrix} Z_{(1,1)} \\ Z_{(1,s)} \\ Z_{(1,r)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Z^1 \\ Z^{a^1} \\ Z^{a^2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (57)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} Z_{(s,1)} \\ Z_{(s,s)} \\ Z_{(s,s^2)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \omega & \bar{\omega} \\ 1 & \bar{\omega} & \omega \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Z^b \\ Z^{b^1} \\ Z^{b^2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (58)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} Z_{(r,1)} \\ Z_{(r,r)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Z^c \\ Z^{c^1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (59)$$

where $\omega = e^{i \frac{2\pi}{3}}$. And the matrices appearing above are the character tables of S_3 , Z_3 and Z_2 group, respectively.

From the above, we obtain that the $\mathcal{M}(6, 5)$ CFT twisted under the non-anomalous S_3 symmetry is,

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{(1,1)} &= |\chi_0^{m6} + \chi_3^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{7}{5}}^{m6}|^2 + 2|\chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6}|^2 + 2|\chi_{\frac{1}{15}}^{m6}|^2, \\ Z_{(1,s)} &= |\chi_0^{m6} + \chi_3^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{7}{5}}^{m6}|^2 - |\chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6}|^2 - |\chi_{\frac{1}{15}}^{m6}|^2, \\ Z_{(1,r)} &= |\chi_0^{m6} - \chi_3^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} - \chi_{\frac{7}{5}}^{m6}|^2 \\ Z_{(s,1)} &= |\chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{15}}^{m6}|^2 \\ &\quad + (\chi_0^{m6} + \chi_3^{m6}) \bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} + (\chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{7}{5}}^{m6}) \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{15}}^{m6}, \\ Z_{(s,s)} &= |\chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{15}}^{m6}|^2 \\ &\quad + \omega(\chi_0^{m6} + \chi_3^{m6}) \bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} + \bar{\omega}(\chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{7}{5}}^{m6}) \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{15}}^{m6}, \\ Z_{(s,s^2)} &= |\chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{15}}^{m6}|^2 \\ &\quad + \bar{\omega}(\chi_0^{m6} + \chi_3^{m6}) \bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} + \omega(\chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{7}{5}}^{m6}) \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{15}}^{m6}, \\ Z_{(r,1)} &= |\chi_{\frac{1}{8}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{13}{8}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{40}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{21}{40}}^{m6}|^2, \\ Z_{(r,r)} &= |\chi_{\frac{1}{8}}^{m6} - \chi_{\frac{13}{8}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{40}}^{m6} - \chi_{\frac{21}{40}}^{m6}|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

VI. 1+1D GRAVITATIONAL ANOMALY WITH SYMMETRY

CFTs with global gravitational anomaly can also have a global symmetry with group G . This is the case when the bulk is a topologically ordered phase enriched by a global symmetry G (SET). We show through examples, that to obtain the CFT on the boundary of a SET, one way is to gauge the global symmetry and obtain the gauged topological order. In the gauged topological order, there is a set of anyons that are self-bosons. If these anyons condense, the condensed phase is the same as the topological order before gauging. It is straightforward to obtain the CFT on the boundary of the gauged topological order first. The input CFTs describing the boundary of the SET and that of the gauged topological order are the same. The two partition functions are related by a basis transformation.

Specifically, we study the gapless boundary of Z_2 symmetry enriched topological order phases and their relations to the gapless boundary of topologically ordered phases after gauging the Z_2 symmetry. The Z_2 symmetries we consider include a symmetry that does not permute anyons, the charge conjugation symmetry, and electric-magnetic duality symmetry that permute anyons. In all cases, we find (1) a gapless boundary of the SET phase in which for any sector, either with an anyon or a symmetry defect in the bulk has gapless excitations on the boundary. The vector of partition functions transforms under the modular S and T matrix of the G -crossed MTC; (2) a correspondence between the gapless boundary of the SET and the gapless state with certain anyon condensed on the boundary of the topological order after gauging. An empirical characteriza-

tion of a gapless state with certain anyon condensed is summarized in (65). Similar formal relations have been established relating chiral degrees of freedom in rational conformal field theory and Abelian topological field theories (TFT) in one higher dimensions.³⁹ To our knowledge, the relations between non-chiral rational conformal field theory and TFT have not been studied previously.

We further find it is always possible to relate this boundary state to a gapless boundary state of the SET before gauging. We also find, the gapless boundaries with no anyon condensation of a SET and the topological order after gauging do not necessarily admit a field theory built from the characters of the same conformal field theory, as in the example of $Z_3^{(1)} \boxtimes SU(2)_4$ topological order described in subsection. **VIB 2**.

Let us begin with the description of the boundary of the SET phase in terms of a vector of partition functions. One algebraic way to describe a SET phase is the G -crossed unitary fusion category.⁴⁴ That is first to generalize the unitary braided fusion category \mathcal{C}_0 describing the topological phase to a G -graded fusion category, $\mathcal{C}_G = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{C}_g$. The element a_g in \mathcal{C}_g with $g \neq 0$ labels the g -symmetry defect that appears in the SET phase as an external topological defect. The next is to find the compatible braiding of the topological defects and topological quasiparticle, and promote \mathcal{C}_G to a “ G -crossed” braided tensor category \mathcal{C}_G^\times . Now it is natural to expect that the vector of boundary partition functions is also labeled by elements in \mathcal{C}_g . More precisely, each component of the boundary CFT is labeled by $Z_{g,h}^{a_g}$, the subscript $g \in G$ means the bulk is in the g -twisted sector, the superscript $a_g \in \mathcal{C}_g$ means the presence of a topological defect a_g in the bulk, and the subscript $h \in Z(g)$ means a global symmetry action on the system.

A. Z_2 symmetry in the Z_2 topological order

We begin with the simplest example, and consider a $c = \bar{c} = \frac{1}{2}$ CFT with simplest non-invertible gravitational anomaly and symmetry. The gravitational anomaly is matched by a 2+1D Z_2 bosonic topologically ordered bulk, in which there are 4 types of excitations $\mathbf{1}, e, m, f$. *i.e.* the 1+1D CFT appears on the boundary of the 2+1D Z_2 bosonic topological order. The symmetry is Z_2 symmetry, *i.e.* the 2+1D Z_2 bosonic topological order and its boundary can also have a Z_2 symmetry. However, there are many 2+1D topological states that have Z_2 bosonic topological order with a Z_2 symmetry: those are topological states with Z_2 symmetry, which become the Z_2 bosonic topological order after we break the symmetry. In Ref. 40 and 41, 2+1D topological orders with symmetry (including Z_2 symmetry) are full classified. In particular, there are in total 6 types of Z_2 topological orders with Z_2 symmetry^{42,43}. Those Z_2 symmetric Z_2 topological orders are clearly different types of SET phases, as they become different types of topological orders after gauging the Z_2 symmetry. In four types, the symmetry

is not fractionalized—there are no anyons carrying projective representations. In this subsection, we focus on these types and describe how the gapless boundary partition functions can be determined and related when the bulk is the SET phase or the topological ordered phase after the global symmetry is gauged.

1. The on-site Z_2 symmetry in Z_2 topological order

First, we consider an on-site Z_2 symmetry on the Z_2 topological order. After gauging the Z_2 symmetry, the topological phase becomes the $Z_2 \times Z_2$ topological order (*i.e.* the topological order described by $Z_2 \times Z_2$ gauge theory), or the quantum double model $\mathcal{D}(Z_2 \times Z_2)$. This topological order is also the same as the stack of two Z_2 topological orders, which we denote as $\mathcal{D}(Z_2) \boxtimes \mathcal{D}(Z_2)$. Reversely, we can start with the stack of two Z_2 topological orders and turn on certain interactions that let the anyon mm' , the bound state of the magnetic flux in two layers, to condense. This way, we obtain the Z_2 bosonic topological order with the Z_2 symmetry.

How are the gapless boundary state of the SET and that of the TO after gauging related? We give one scenario. One of the gapless boundary of $\mathcal{D}(Z_2) \boxtimes \mathcal{D}(Z_2)$ topological order is described by an anomalous CFT has 16 partition functions $Z_{g,h}^{i,i'}$ where $i = \mathbf{1}, e, m, f$ and $g, h = \pm 1$.

In the quasiparticle basis, the following 16 partition functions $Z^{i,i'}$, $i' = \mathbf{1}, e', m', f'$ describes a boundary where mm' quasiparticle is condensed,

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{\mathbf{1};\mathbf{1}'} &= |\chi_0^{\text{Is}}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}}|^2, \\ Z^{\mathbf{1};e'} &= 0, \\ Z^{\mathbf{1};m'} &= |\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}}|^2, \\ Z^{\mathbf{1};f'} &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{e;\mathbf{1}'} &= 0, \\ Z^{e;e'} &= |\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}}|^2, \\ Z^{e;m'} &= 0, \\ Z^{e;f'} &= \chi_0^{\text{Is}} \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}} + \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}} \chi_0^{\text{Is}}, \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{m;\mathbf{1}'} &= |\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}}|^2, \\ Z^{m;e'} &= 0, \\ Z^{m;m'} &= |\chi_0^{\text{Is}}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}}|^2, \\ Z^{m;f'} &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Z^{f;1'} &= 0, \\
Z^{f;e'} &= \chi_0^{\text{Is}} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}} + \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}} \bar{\chi}_0^{\text{Is}}, \\
Z^{f;m'} &= 0, \\
Z^{f;f'} &= |\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}}|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

Indeed, this solution describes the gapless boundary phase that mm' is condensed on the boundary. In this phase, the condensed anyon appears on the boundary does not cost extra energy, the boundary excitations in this sector are thus the same as those in the vacuum sector, those anyons that braid non-trivially with mm' become gapped excitations when appearing on the boundary, while those anyons that braid trivially with mm' remain gapless excitations on the boundary. We can observe these phenomena from the above partition functions, as they satisfy the following properties. Say $a_0 = mm'$ is condensed,

$$\begin{aligned}
Z^{\text{vacuum}} &= Z^{a_0}, \\
Z^a &\begin{cases} = 0, & M_{aa_0} \neq 1, \\ \neq 0, & M_{aa_0} = 1, \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

where $M_{ab} = \frac{S_{ab}^* S_{00}}{S_{0a} S_{0b}}$ means the braiding phase between a and b anyon.

To change to the symmetry twist basis, we use

$$\begin{aligned}
Z^{1'} &= \frac{1}{2}(Z_{1,1} + Z_{1,-1}), \quad Z^{e'} = \frac{1}{2}(Z_{1,1} - Z_{1,-1}), \\
Z^{m'} &= \frac{1}{2}(Z_{-1,1} + Z_{-1,-1}), \quad Z^{f'} = \frac{1}{2}(Z_{-1,1} - Z_{-1,-1}),
\end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

the same transformation for non-anomalous Z_2 symmetry as in (43).

We find that the boundary vector of partition functions on the Z_2 topological order enriched by the on-site Z_2 symmetry is the following. When the bulk is in the untwisted superselection sector, the components are

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{1,1}^1 &= |\chi_0^{\text{Is}}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}}|^2, \\
Z_{1,-1}^1 &= |\chi_0^{\text{Is}}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}}|^2, \\
Z_{1,1}^e &= |\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}}|^2, \\
Z_{1,-1}^e &= -|\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}}|^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{1,1}^m &= |\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}}|^2, \\
Z_{1,-1}^m &= |\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}}|^2, \\
Z_{1,1}^f &= \chi_0^{\text{Is}} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}} + \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}} \bar{\chi}_0^{\text{Is}}, \\
Z_{1,-1}^f &= -\chi_0^{\text{Is}} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}} - \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}} \bar{\chi}_0^{\text{Is}},
\end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

As expected, the e and f quasiparticle carry the on-site Z_2 symmetry charges. When the bulk is in the Z_2 -twisted

defect sector, the components are

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{-1,1}^1 &= |\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}}|^2, \\
Z_{-1,-1}^1 &= |\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}}|^2, \\
Z_{-1,1}^e &= \chi_0^{\text{Is}} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}} + \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}} \bar{\chi}_0^{\text{Is}}, \\
Z_{-1,-1}^e &= -\chi_0^{\text{Is}} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}} - \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}} \bar{\chi}_0^{\text{Is}},
\end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{-1,1}^m &= |\chi_0^{\text{Is}}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}}|^2, \\
Z_{-1,-1}^m &= |\chi_0^{\text{Is}}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}}|^2, \\
Z_{-1,1}^f &= |\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}}|^2, \\
Z_{-1,-1}^f &= -|\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}}|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

Here we have used the knowledge that in the Z_2^\times braided tensor category describing the bulk SET, the Z_2 -twisted sector \mathcal{C}_{-1} also contains four types of abelian defects a with $Z_2 \times Z_2$ fusion and trivial F symbols. And to simplify notations, we also label them as $1, e, m, f$, as appeared in the components $Z_{-1,h}^a$. The above partition functions describe a gapless boundary with non-invertible gravitational anomaly (with symmetry). We can see that in our gauge choice, the e and f topological defects also carry the Z_2 charges. Indeed, this assignment of local charges is consistent with fusion rules. Alternatively, one can also attach a trivial Z_2 SPT (the Ising model) to the bulk, and combine the charged anyons with the charged spins in the SPT. After this redefinition, all anyons are charged trivially.

We also observe that

$$Z_{(-1,1)}^{a-1} = Z_{(1,1)}^{a-1 \times m}, \tag{71}$$

where m is a topological defect in \mathcal{C}_{-1} , and we have used the fusion rule in \mathcal{C}_G that $a_g \times b_{g'} = (ab)_{gg'}$.

2. The electric-magnetic duality symmetry in Z_2 topological order

The Z_2 symmetry on the 2+1D Z_2 topological order with Z_2 symmetry can also act as an exchange symmetry on the e and m topological excitations of the Z_2 topological order. The topological orders enriched by this symmetry are studied in Ref. 41 and described in Table III. After gauging the Z_2 symmetry (*i.e.* after the modular extension), they become Ising \boxtimes Ising topological order and $SU(2)_2 \boxtimes \overline{SU(2)}_2$ topological order (also known as the Ising⁽³⁾ \boxtimes Ising⁽³⁾ topological order).⁴¹ Here the ‘‘bar’’ the time reversal conjugate of the topological order. The $SU(2)_2$ topological order can be obtained from the Ising topological order if we let the fermion ψ in the Ising topological order to form a filling fraction $\nu = 1$ integer quantum Hall state. The Ising \boxtimes Ising and $SU(2)_2 \boxtimes \overline{SU(2)}_2$ topological order both have 9 types

TABLE III. The two topological orders coming from gauging an on-site Z_2 symmetry that is not fractionalized, in the Z_2 topological order. The quantum dimensions and topological spins of quasiparticles in the two 2+1D bosonic topological orders with 9 types of topological excitations. “+” and “-” represents the Z_2 charge of the corresponding quasiparticle before the symmetry is gauged. “x” means the quasiparticle corresponds to a symmetry defect before gauging.

label	d_1, \dots, d_9	s_1, s_2, \dots, s_9	N_c^B
Ising \boxtimes $\overline{\text{Ising}}$	$1 \times 4, 2, \sqrt{2} \times 4$ Z_2 -charge/defect:	$0, 0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{15}{16}, \frac{1}{16}, \frac{7}{16}, \frac{9}{16}$ $+, -, +, -, \pm, \times, \times, \times, \times$	9_0^B
$SU(2)_2 \boxtimes \overline{SU(2)_2}$	$1 \times 4, 2, \sqrt{2} \times 4$ Z_2 -charge:	$0, 0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{3}{16}, \frac{13}{16}, \frac{11}{16}, \frac{5}{16}$ $+, -, +, -, \pm, \times, \times, \times, \times$	9_0^B

of topological excitations, whose quantum dimensions d_i and topological spins s_i are given in the Table III. The excitations labeled by $i = 6, 7, 8, 9$ carry the π -flux of the gauged Z_2 symmetry. We also listed the Z_2 -charge of the topological excitation *before the Z_2 -gauging*.

Here, we focus on the $e-m$ exchange symmetry, which after gauging the symmetry, we obtain the Ising \boxtimes $\overline{\text{Ising}}$ topological order. If we choose to first glue a Z_2 SPT onto the Z_2 topological order and perform the $e-m$ exchange symmetry, we will obtain the $3_{3/2}^B \boxtimes 3_{-3/2}^B$ ⁴⁴ (or rather $SU(2)_2 \boxtimes \overline{SU(2)_2} = \text{Ising}^{(3)} \boxtimes \overline{\text{Ising}}^{(3)}$).

The Ising \boxtimes $\overline{\text{Ising}}$ topological order has a $c = \bar{c} = \frac{1}{2}$ gapless boundary with gravitational anomaly. Such an anomalous CFT is described by the following 9-component partition functions in the quasi-particle basis,

$$\begin{pmatrix} Z^{1\bar{1}} \\ Z^\psi \\ Z^\sigma \\ Z^{\bar{\psi}} \\ Z^{\psi\bar{\psi}} \\ Z^{\sigma\bar{\psi}} \\ Z^{\bar{\sigma}} \\ Z^{\psi\bar{\sigma}} \\ Z^{\sigma\bar{\sigma}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} |\chi_0^{\text{Is}}|^2 \\ \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}} \bar{\chi}_0^{\text{Is}} \\ \chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}} \bar{\chi}_0^{\text{Is}} \\ \chi_0^{\text{Is}} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}} \\ \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}} \\ \chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}} \\ \chi_0^{\text{Is}} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}} \\ \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}} \\ \chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (72)$$

From the expression $Z^1 = \chi_0^{\text{Is}}(\tau) \bar{\chi}_0^{\text{Is}}(\bar{\tau})$, we see that such a $c = \bar{c} = \frac{1}{2}$ gapless boundary of the third Z_2 topological order (with the e, m exchange symmetry) has no relevant operators and is stable.

The anyons in this bulk topological order comes from anyons in the Z_2 symmetry enriched Z_2 topological order which either carry the Z_2 -charges or are Z_2 symmetry twist defect, as listed in Table III. From this correspondence, we can obtain the partition functions in the symmetry twist basis:

$$Z_{1,1}^1 = |\chi_0|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}|^2,$$

$$Z_{1,1}^f = \chi_0 \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{2}} + \chi_{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{\chi}_0,$$

$$Z_{1,1}^e = |\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}|^2,$$

$$Z_{1,1}^m = |\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}|^2,$$

$$Z_{1,-1}^1 = |\chi_0|^2 - |\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}|^2,$$

$$Z_{1,-1}^f = -\chi_0 \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{2}} + \chi_{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{\chi}_0, \quad (73)$$

$$Z_{-1,1}^{v+} = \chi_{\frac{1}{16}} (\bar{\chi}_0 + \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{2}}),$$

$$Z_{-1,1}^{v-} = (\chi_0 + \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}) \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{16}},$$

$$Z_{-1,-1}^{v+} = \eta \chi_{\frac{1}{16}} (\bar{\chi}_0 - \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{2}}),$$

$$Z_{-1,-1}^{v-} = \eta^* (\chi_0 - \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}) \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{16}}.$$

where η is a phase factor $|\eta| = 1$. The $Z_{-1,1}^{v+}$ and $Z_{-1,1}^{v-}$ are the two kinds of twisting defects v_{\pm} that exchange e and m particles. The fusion rules of them with particles in the Z_2 topological order are as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} e \otimes v_{\pm} &= m \otimes v_{\pm} = v_{\mp}, \\ f \otimes v_{\pm} &= v_{\pm}, \\ v_{\pm} \otimes v_{\pm} &= 1 \oplus f, \\ v_{\pm} \otimes v_{\mp} &= e \oplus m. \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

The modular matrices, which are unitary,⁴⁴ are as fol-

following,

$$\begin{aligned}
Z^1 &= |\chi_0^{\text{Is}}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}}|^2, \\
Z^\sigma &= 0, \\
Z^\psi &= \chi_0^{\text{Is}} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}} + \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}} \bar{\chi}_0^{\text{Is}}, \\
Z^{\bar{\sigma}} &= 0, \\
Z^{\sigma\bar{\sigma}} &= |\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}}|^2, \\
Z^{\psi\bar{\sigma}} &= 0, \\
Z^{\bar{\psi}} &= \chi_0^{\text{Is}} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}} + \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}} \bar{\chi}_0^{\text{Is}}, \\
Z^{\sigma\bar{\psi}} &= 0, \\
Z^{\psi\bar{\psi}} &= |\chi_0^{\text{Is}}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}}|^2. \tag{79}
\end{aligned}$$

This boundary satisfies the conditions (65) to describe a boundary phase with anyon condensed.

B. \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry in \mathbb{Z}_3 topological order

1. \mathbb{Z}_2 charge conjugation symmetry in \mathbb{Z}_3 topological order

We consider the bulk to be the \mathbb{Z}_3 topological order. The anyons in the \mathbb{Z}_3 topological orders are $e^a m^b$, $a, b = 0, 1, 2$. The \mathbb{Z}_3 topological order can be enriched with a global \mathbb{Z}_2 charge conjugation symmetry, $e^a m^b \rightarrow e^{-a} m^{-b}$. When we gauge the \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry in the bulk, we obtain the S_3 topological order, also known as the quantum double $\mathcal{D}(S_3)$. Now consider the boundary vector of partition functions. We can expect that it is the same as that on the boundary of $\mathcal{D}(S_3)$ topological order.

The question is what is the transformation between the two vectors of partition functions: one is in the quasiparticle-twisted-by-symmetry basis, the other in the quasiparticle basis.

To begin with, on the boundary of \mathbb{Z}_3 topological order, the vector of partition functions is composed of characters of the three-state Potts conformal field theory.

$$\begin{aligned}
Z^1 &= |\chi_0^{m6} + \chi_3^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{7}{3}}^{m6}|^2, \\
Z^e &= |\chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{15}{15}}^{m6}|^2, \\
Z^{e^2} &= |\chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{15}{15}}^{m6}|^2, \\
Z^m &= |\chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{15}{15}}^{m6}|^2, \\
Z^{me} &= \chi_0^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} + \chi_3^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{15}{15}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{7}{3}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{15}{15}}^{m6}, \\
Z^{me^2} &= \chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_0^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_3^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{15}{15}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{15}{15}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{7}{3}}^{m6}, \\
Z^{m^2} &= |\chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{15}{15}}^{m6}|^2, \\
Z^{m^2e} &= \chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_0^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_3^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{15}{15}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{15}{15}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{7}{3}}^{m6}, \\
Z^{m^2e^2} &= \chi_0^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} + \chi_3^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{15}{15}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{7}{3}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{15}{15}}^{m6}. \tag{80}
\end{aligned}$$

The topological order after gauging the \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry has the following anyons. The symmetry defect becomes a fluxion, let us call it c anyon. The other fluxions come from the anyons before gauging. The anyons invariant can carry either trivial or sign representation of the \mathbb{Z}_2 , and therefore split. The anyons related to each other under \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry form a \mathbb{Z}_2 orbit, a single anyon in the gauged model.

Let us derive these anyons, as well as the boundary vector of partition functions. First, consider the vacuum sector. It is invariant under the symmetry. Therefore certain excitations on the boundary of the vacuum sector carry the sign representation under the on-site \mathbb{Z}_2 action,

$$Z_{1,-1}^1 = |\chi_0^{m6} - \chi_3^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{2}{5}}^{m6} - \chi_{\frac{7}{5}}^{m6}|^2. \tag{81}$$

Combining this sector with $Z^1 = Z_{1,1}^1$, we can separate the two anyonic sectors in the topological order after gauging. One anyon $\bar{\mathbf{1}}$, carrying trivial representation of the on-site \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry, and the other a^1 , carrying the sign representation. That is through the linear combination

$$\begin{pmatrix} Z_{1,1}^1 \\ Z_{1,-1}^1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Z^{\bar{\mathbf{1}}} \\ Z^{a^1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{82}$$

The resulting components of partition functions are

$$\begin{aligned}
Z^{\bar{\mathbf{1}}} &= |\chi_0^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_3^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{2}{5}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{7}{5}}^{m6}|^2, \\
Z^{a^1} &= \chi_0^{m6} \bar{\chi}_3^{m6} + \chi_3^{m6} \bar{\chi}_0^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{2}{5}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{7}{5}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{7}{5}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{5}}^{m6}. \tag{83}
\end{aligned}$$

We can obtain the twisted sector $Z_{-1,1}^1$ by the S transformation of the sector $Z_{1,-1}^1$,

$$Z_{-1,1}^1 = |\chi_{\frac{1}{8}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{13}{8}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{40}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{21}{40}}^{m6}|^2. \tag{84}$$

It is the \mathbb{Z}_2 twisted sector. Under the \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry, now acted as an on-site symmetry, it becomes

$$Z_{-1,-1}^1 = |\chi_{\frac{1}{8}}^{m6} - \chi_{\frac{13}{8}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{40}}^{m6} - \chi_{\frac{21}{40}}^{m6}|^2. \tag{85}$$

$Z_{-1,1}^1$ contains both the trivial representation as well as the sign representation of the on-site \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry. They correspond to two defect anyon sectors c and c^1 . The partition function of the two sectors are related by the following,

$$\begin{pmatrix} Z_{-1,1}^1 \\ Z_{-1,-1}^1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Z^c \\ Z^{c^1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{86}$$

The gapless excitations on their boundary are as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
Z^c &= |\chi_{\frac{1}{8}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{13}{8}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{40}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{21}{40}}^{m6}|^2, \\
Z^{c^1} &= \chi_{\frac{1}{8}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{13}{8}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{13}{8}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{8}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{1}{40}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{21}{40}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{21}{40}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{40}}^{m6}. \tag{87}
\end{aligned}$$

Let us summarize. Before gauging, the exchange symmetry acts on anyons in two ways, exchanging anyons,

and as the on-site \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry on those anyons invariant under exchanging. In the gauged model, the symmetry defects become dynamical, added to the family of anyons.

Now consider anyons get permuted under the symmetry. After the symmetry is gauged, they get combined and form \mathbb{Z}_2 -orbits. The boundary local excitations of those anyons, before gauging are the same. Those local excitations contribute to one component of the vector of partition functions, labeled by a representative anyon in the \mathbb{Z}_2 -orbit. In the current case, we have four \mathbb{Z}_2 -orbits. They become four types of anyons that have quantum dimension 2 in the gauged model,

$$\begin{aligned} [e] &= \{e, e^2\} \sim a^2, \\ [m] &= \{m, m^2\} \sim b, \\ [me] &= \{me, m^2e^2\} \sim b^1, \\ [m^2e] &= \{m^2e, me^2\} \sim b^2. \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

Among them, $[e] \sim a^2$ carries the representation of the \mathbb{Z}_3 gauge group, the other three carry the \mathbb{Z}_3 flux.

The boundary partition functions are the following,

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{[e]} &= |\chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{15}}^{m6}|^2, \\ Z^{[m]} &= |\chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{15}}^{m6}|^2, \\ Z^{[me]} &= \chi_0^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} + \chi_3^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{5}{2}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{15}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{7}{5}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{15}}^{m6}, \\ Z^{[m^2e]} &= \chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_0^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_3^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{1}{15}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{5}{2}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{1}{15}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{7}{5}}^{m6}. \end{aligned} \quad (89)$$

In summary, we obtain the full partition functions on the boundary.

$$\begin{aligned} Z^1 &= |\chi_0^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_3^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{5}{2}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{7}{5}}^{m6}|^2, \\ Z^{a^1} &= \chi_0^{m6} \bar{\chi}_3^{m6} + \chi_3^{m6} \bar{\chi}_0^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{5}{2}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{7}{5}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{7}{5}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{5}{2}}^{m6}, \\ Z^{a^2} &= |\chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{15}}^{m6}|^2, \\ Z^b &= |\chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{15}}^{m6}|^2, \\ Z^{b^1} &= \chi_0^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} + \chi_3^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{5}{2}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{15}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{7}{5}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{15}}^{m6}, \\ Z^{b^2} &= \chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_0^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_3^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{1}{15}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{5}{2}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{1}{15}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{7}{5}}^{m6}, \\ Z^c &= |\chi_{\frac{1}{8}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{13}{8}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{40}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{21}{40}}^{m6}|^2, \\ Z^{c^1} &= \chi_{\frac{1}{8}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{13}{8}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{13}{8}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{8}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{1}{40}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{21}{40}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{21}{40}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{40}}^{m6}. \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

This boundary is exactly the same as that of a gapless boundary of the $\mathcal{D}(S_3)$ topological order, where no anyon is condensed on the boundary.

Reversely, in the S_3 topological order, the Abelian anyon a^1 is a self-boson. In modern terminology, this worldline of this anyon generates a \mathbb{Z}_2 one-form symmetry that is anomaly-free. Turning on an appropriate interaction, we can let a^1 condensed. This leads to confinement of the c and c^1 anyons, and the split of a^1, b, b^1, b^2 .

This condensed phase is the phase with \mathbb{Z}_3 topological order, with a gapless boundary described by (80).

We close this subsection, by giving another gapless boundary of S_3 topological order, where the a^1 anyon is condensed on the boundary,

$$\begin{aligned} Z^1 &= |\chi_0^{m6} + \chi_3^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{5}{2}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{7}{5}}^{m6}|^2, \\ Z^{a^1} &= |\chi_0^{m6} + \chi_3^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{5}{2}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{7}{5}}^{m6}|^2, \\ Z^{a^2} &= |\chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{15}}^{m6}|^2, \\ Z^b &= |\chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{15}}^{m6}|^2, \\ Z^{b^1} &= \chi_0^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} + \chi_3^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{5}{2}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{15}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{7}{5}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{15}}^{m6}, \\ Z^{b^2} &= \chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_0^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_3^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{1}{15}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{5}{2}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{1}{15}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{7}{5}}^{m6}, \\ Z^c &= 0, \\ Z^{c^1} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

It satisfies the empirical rules (65) for the gapless boundary state with anyon condensed.

2. The electric-magnetic duality symmetry in \mathbb{Z}_3 topological order

In the example in VIA 2, there is a prefactor $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ appearing in the S matrix given in (75), describing the topological order enriched by the $e - m$ exchange symmetry. It means that on the boundary of this SET phase, the component in the twisted sector and that in untwisted sector are not related by a one-to-one map under the S transformation. Now let us show with a further example that the prefactor is tied to the number of types of invariant anyons under the symmetry. Since two symmetry defects fuse into those invariant anyons, this prefactor is also related to that the symmetry defect is non-Abelian – its quantum dimension is greater than 1.

We consider the EM exchange symmetry in the \mathbb{Z}_3 topological order. The symmetry defect now has quantum dimension $\sqrt{3}$. We will see that when applying the S transformation on the boundary of the SET phase, the unitary S matrix involves a prefactor $1/\sqrt{3}$.

We start with the boundary of the \mathbb{Z}_3 topological order. The anyons in the \mathbb{Z}_3 topological orders are $e^a m^b, a, b = 0, 1, 2$. We begin with the same vector of partition functions (80), composed of characters of the three-state Potts conformal field theory.

The \mathbb{Z}_3 topological order can be equivalently written as the $\mathbb{Z}_3^{(1)} \boxtimes \bar{\mathbb{Z}}_3^{(1)}$ topological order.⁴⁴ That is a tensor product of two chiral topological orders. The $\mathbb{Z}_3^{(1)}$ TO has chiral central charge $c_- = 2 \pmod{8}$. It has anyons $a_+^i, i = 0, 1, 2$, obeying the fusion rules $a_+^i \times a_+^j = a_+^{[i+j]_3}$, and all trivial F -symbols. The spin for a_+^i is $\theta_{a_+^i} = e^{i \frac{2\pi}{3} i^2}$.

The $\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_3^{(1)}$ TO is the time-reversal conjugate of $\mathbb{Z}_3^{(1)}$ TO. The charge anyon e and the flux anyon m are related to

anyons $a_+ \in Z_3^{(1)}$ and $a_- \in \bar{Z}_3^{(1)}$ via

$$e = a_+ a_-, \quad m = a_+ a_-^2. \quad (92)$$

It follows that the vector of partition functions can also be in the basis labeled by anyons in $Z_3^{(1)} \boxtimes \bar{Z}_3^{(1)}$ TO, as in the following. From now on, we omit the superscript of the character indicating the rational CFT that the character comes from.

$$\begin{aligned} Z^1 &= |\chi_0 + \chi_3|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{2}{3}} + \chi_{\frac{7}{3}}|^2, \\ Z^{a_+} &= \chi_0 \bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{3}} + \chi_3 \bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{3}} + \chi_{\frac{2}{3}} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{15}} + \chi_{\frac{7}{3}} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{15}}, \\ Z^{a_+^2} &= \chi_0 \bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{3}} + \chi_3 \bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{3}} + \chi_{\frac{2}{3}} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{15}} + \chi_{\frac{7}{3}} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{15}}, \\ Z^{a_-} &= \chi_{\frac{2}{3}} \bar{\chi}_0 + \chi_{\frac{2}{3}} \bar{\chi}_3 + \chi_{\frac{1}{15}} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{3}} + \chi_{\frac{1}{15}} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{7}{3}}, \\ Z^{a_+ a_-} &= |\chi_{\frac{2}{3}}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{15}}|^2, \\ Z^{a_+^2 a_-} &= |\chi_{\frac{2}{3}}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{15}}|^2, \\ Z^{a_-^2} &= \chi_{\frac{2}{3}} \bar{\chi}_0 + \chi_{\frac{2}{3}} \bar{\chi}_3 + \chi_{\frac{1}{15}} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{3}} + \chi_{\frac{1}{15}} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{7}{3}}, \\ Z^{a_+ a_-^2} &= |\chi_{\frac{2}{3}}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{15}}|^2, \\ Z^{a_+^2 a_-^2} &= |\chi_{\frac{2}{3}}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{15}}|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (93)$$

Then the EM exchange symmetry on the Z_3 topological order acts as the charge conjugation (CC) on the anyons in $\bar{Z}_3^{(1)}$ topological order, $Z_2^{EM} : a_+^l a_-^m \rightarrow a_+^l a_-^{-l}$. In particular, there is a single type of topological defect σ with quantum dimension $\sqrt{3}$. Its fusion rules with other anyons in $\bar{Z}_3^{(1)}$ are

$$\sigma \times a_-^l = a_-^l \times \sigma = \sigma, \quad \sigma \times \sigma = 1 + a_- + a_-^2, \quad (94)$$

where $l = 0, 1, 2$. Further solving the F symbols, one finds two Z_2 -crossed fusion categories, identified with two types of Z_3 Tambara-Yamagami fusion categories.

More specifically, the boundary partition function in the symmetry twisted basis of this SET phase is the following,

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{-1,1}^\sigma &= \left(\chi_{\frac{1}{8}} + \chi_{\frac{13}{8}} \right) (\bar{\chi}_0 + \bar{\chi}_3) \\ &\quad + \left(\chi_{\frac{1}{40}} + \chi_{\frac{21}{40}} \right) (\bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{5}} + \bar{\chi}_{\frac{7}{5}}), \\ Z_{-1,1}^{a_+ \sigma} &= \left(\chi_{\frac{1}{8}} + \chi_{\frac{13}{8}} \right) \bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{3}} \\ &\quad + \left(\chi_{\frac{1}{40}} + \chi_{\frac{21}{40}} \right) \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{15}}, \\ Z_{-1,1}^{a_+^2 \sigma} &= Z_{-1,1}^{a_+ \sigma}. \end{aligned} \quad (95)$$

These are obtained from performing a S transformation on the following components in the untwisted sector and acted by the symmetry

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{1,-1}^1 &= (\chi_0 - \chi_3) (\bar{\chi}_0 + \bar{\chi}_3) \\ &\quad + \left(-\chi_{\frac{2}{3}} + \chi_{\frac{7}{3}} \right) (\bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{5}} + \bar{\chi}_{\frac{7}{5}}), \\ Z_{1,-1}^{a_+} &= \left(\chi_0 \bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{3}} - \chi_3 \bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{3}} - \chi_{\frac{2}{3}} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{15}} + \chi_{\frac{7}{3}} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{15}} \right), \\ Z_{1,-1}^{a_+^2} &= Z_{1,-1}^{a_+}, \end{aligned} \quad (96)$$

and the components in the untwisted sector without symmetry action are those in (93), each Z^a in (1) is relabeled as $Z_{1,-1}^a$ as a component on the SET boundary.

The remaining components can be obtained by a T transformation, up to an overall phase that will be absorbed in the modular T matrix,

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{-1,-1}^\sigma &= \left(\chi_{\frac{1}{8}} - \chi_{\frac{13}{8}} \right) (\bar{\chi}_0 + \bar{\chi}_3) \\ &\quad - \left(\chi_{\frac{1}{40}} - \chi_{\frac{21}{40}} \right) (\bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{5}} + \bar{\chi}_{\frac{7}{5}}), \\ Z_{-1,-1}^{a_+ \sigma} &= \left(\chi_{\frac{1}{8}} - \chi_{\frac{13}{8}} \right) \bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{3}} \\ &\quad - \left(\chi_{\frac{1}{40}} - \chi_{\frac{21}{40}} \right) \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{15}}, \\ Z_{-1,-1}^{a_+^2 \sigma} &= Z_{-1,-1}^{a_+ \sigma}. \end{aligned} \quad (97)$$

The S matrix acting on the boundary of the Z_2^{EM} SET phase is the following,

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} S^{\mathcal{D}(Z_3)} & & \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} M^{Z_3} & \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} M^{Z_3} & \\ & & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} M^{Z_3} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (98)$$

where

$$M^{Z_3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \omega & \omega^2 \\ 1 & \omega^2 & \omega \end{pmatrix}, \quad (99)$$

is the character table of Z_3 group and $\omega = e^{i \frac{2\pi}{3}}$. And the T matrix is

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} T^{\mathcal{D}(Z_3)} & & \\ & T^{Z_3} & \\ & & \eta_{Z_3} T^{Z_3} \\ & & \eta_{Z_3} T^{Z_3} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (100)$$

where

$$T^{Z_3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega \end{pmatrix}, \quad (101)$$

is the same as the T matrix for $Z_3^{(1)}$ topological order, and η_{Z_3} here equals $e^{i 2\pi/8}$. The S matrix here is unitary. Indeed, it is a theorem that the C_G^\times BFC is G -crossed modular if and only if C_0 is a UMTC. We say that the C_G^\times is G -crossed modular if its S -matrix is G -graded unitary.⁴⁴

Notice that the prefactor appearing in the S matrix expanded by the component twisted by symmetries (along temporal or spacial direction) is $\frac{1}{d}$ with $d = \sqrt{3}$, the same as the quantum dimension of the EM exchange defect in the Z_3 topological order. We take the assumption that in the presence of a topological defect in the bulk, the

whole system is still a Hilbert space of integral dimensions. Specifically, the coefficients in $Z_{-1,1}^a$ are required to be integral.

The $Z_{-1,1}$ is consistent with the sector twisted by the non-invertible line in the \mathbb{Z}_3 Tambara-Yamagami category. Indeed, after we group the components exchanged by the symmetry into the \mathbb{Z}_2 -orbits, the vector becomes of 15 dimensions, with the following components,

$$\begin{aligned} & (Z_{1,1}^{\mathbf{1}}, Z_{1,-1}^{\mathbf{1}}, Z_{-1,1}^{\sigma}, Z_{-1,-1}^{\sigma}, \\ & Z_{1,1}^{a_+}, Z_{1,-1}^{a_+}, Z_{-1,1}^{a_+\sigma}, Z_{-1,-1}^{a_+\sigma}, \\ & Z_{1,1}^{a_+^2}, Z_{1,-1}^{a_+^2}, Z_{-1,1}^{a_+^2\sigma}, Z_{-1,-1}^{a_+^2\sigma}, \\ & Z^{a_-\oplus a_-^2}, Z^{a_+a_-\oplus a_+a_-^2}, Z^{a_+^2a_-\oplus a_+^2a_-^2})^T. \end{aligned} \quad (102)$$

The bulk becomes the $\mathbb{Z}_3^{(1)} \boxtimes \overline{SU(2)}_4$ topological order after the EM symmetry is gauged.⁴⁴ For our purpose, we only describe the anyon types here. After gauging, a_- and a_-^2 form a \mathbb{Z}_2 orbit, a single anyon with quantum dimension 2. For both $\mathbf{1}$ and σ , the remaining stabilizer group is \mathbb{Z}_2 , so each splits into two anyons, one with trivial representation and one with sign representation. We denote them as $\mathbf{1}, z, \sigma, \sigma^z$, respectively. Their quantum dimensions are $1, 1, \sqrt{3}, \sqrt{3}$. The dictionary between these anyons and their spin under the $SU(2)$, as well as the topological spins in $SU(2)_4$ topological order is as follows.

anyon	$\mathbf{1}$	σ	$[a_-]$	σ^z	z
$SU(2)$ spin	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\frac{3}{2}$	2
topological spin	1	$e^{i2\pi\frac{1}{8}}$	$e^{i2\pi\frac{1}{3}}$	$e^{i2\pi\frac{5}{8}}$	1

Up to certain prefactors, the vector above can be transformed to the vector labeled by those anyons in $\mathbb{Z}_3^{(1)} \boxtimes \overline{SU(2)}_4$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} Z_{1,1}^{a_+^l} \\ Z_{1,-1}^{a_+^l} \\ Z_{-1,1}^{a_+^l\sigma} \\ Z_{-1,-1}^{a_+^l\sigma} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & & \\ 1 & -1 & & \\ & & 1 & 1 \\ & & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Z^{(a_+^l, \mathbf{1})} \\ Z^{(a_+^l, z)} \\ Z^{(a_+^l, \sigma)} \\ Z^{(a_+^l, \sigma^z)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (103)$$

$$Z^{a_+^l a_- \oplus a_+^l a_-^2} = Z^{[a_+^l a_-]}, \quad (104)$$

where $l = 0, 1, 2$. However, unfortunately, the vector produced by this transformation does not describe a gapless boundary of $\mathbb{Z}_3^{(1)} \boxtimes \overline{SU(2)}_4$. Especially, the component $Z^{(a_+, \sigma)} = Z^{(a_+, \frac{1}{2})}$ has a conformal spin that does not match that of the $(a_+, \frac{1}{2})$ anyon, which is $\frac{5}{24}$. In fact, in $\mathcal{M}(6, 5)$, there is no combination of left and right characters with a chiral conformal spin $\frac{5}{24}$.

Nevertheless, the boundary of $\mathbb{Z}_3^{(1)} \boxtimes \overline{SU(2)}_4$, where the spin-2 anyon in $\overline{SU(2)}_4$ is condensed, has the following

vector of partition function,

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{1,0} &= |\chi_0 + \chi_3|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{2}{3}} + \chi_{\frac{7}{3}}|^2, \\ Z^{1,\frac{1}{2}} &= 0, \\ Z^{1,1} &= \chi_{\frac{2}{3}} (\bar{\chi}_0 + \bar{\chi}_3) + \chi_{\frac{1}{15}} \left(\bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{3}} + \bar{\chi}_{\frac{7}{3}} \right), \\ Z^{1,\frac{3}{2}} &= 0, \\ Z^{1,2} &= |\chi_0 + \chi_3|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{2}{3}} + \chi_{\frac{7}{3}}|^2, \\ Z^{a_+,0} &= (\chi_0 + \chi_3) \bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{3}} + \left(\chi_{\frac{2}{3}} + \chi_{\frac{7}{3}} \right) \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{15}}, \\ Z^{a_+,\frac{1}{2}} &= 0, \\ Z^{a_+,1} &= |\chi_{\frac{2}{3}}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{15}}|^2, \\ Z^{a_+,\frac{3}{2}} &= 0, \\ Z^{a_+,2} &= (\chi_0 + \chi_3) \bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{3}} + \left(\chi_{\frac{2}{3}} + \chi_{\frac{7}{3}} \right) \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{15}}, \\ Z^{a_+^2,0} &= (\chi_0 + \chi_3) \bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{3}} + \left(\chi_{\frac{2}{3}} + \chi_{\frac{7}{3}} \right) \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{15}}, \\ Z^{a_+^2,\frac{1}{2}} &= 0, \\ Z^{a_+^2,1} &= |\chi_{\frac{2}{3}}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{15}}|^2, \\ Z^{a_+^2,\frac{3}{2}} &= 0, \\ Z^{a_+^2,2} &= (\chi_0 + \chi_3) \bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{3}} + \left(\chi_{\frac{2}{3}} + \chi_{\frac{7}{3}} \right) \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{15}}. \end{aligned} \quad (105)$$

VII. SUMMARY

In this paper, we study anomalous symmetries via multi-component partition function and their transformation properties under mapping class group transformations of the space-time. This allows us to treat symmetry, anomalous symmetry, and gravitational anomaly at equal footing. In other words, we can treat symmetry and anomalous symmetry as a non-invertible gravitational anomaly. This gives us a very general and unified point of view about symmetry and anomaly. Since gravitational anomaly is just topological order in one higher dimension,² symmetry and anomalous symmetry can be viewed as topological order in one higher dimension, which leads to a holographic point of view of symmetry and anomalous symmetry.

We thank Shu-Heng Shao, Cenke Xu, Po-Shen Hsin, Dominic Else, Meng Cheng and Zhen Bi for helpful discussions. This research is partially supported by NSF DMR-2022428 and by the Simons Collaboration on Ultra-Quantum Matter, which is a grant from the Simons Foundation (651440).

Appendix A: Group cohomology theory

1. Homogeneous group cocycle

In this section, we will briefly introduce group cohomology. The group cohomology class $\mathcal{H}^d(G, \mathcal{M})$ is

an Abelian group constructed from a group G and an Abelian group \mathbb{M} . We will use “+” to represent the multiplication of the Abelian groups. Each elements of G also induce a mapping $\mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$, which is denoted as

$$g \cdot m = m', \quad g \in G, m, m' \in \mathbb{M}. \quad (\text{A1})$$

The map $g \cdot$ is a group homomorphism:

$$g \cdot (m_1 + m_2) = g \cdot m_1 + g \cdot m_2. \quad (\text{A2})$$

The Abelian group \mathbb{M} with such a G -group homomorphism, is called a G -module.

A homogeneous d -cochain is a function $\nu_d : G^{d+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$, that satisfies

$$\nu_d(g_0, \dots, g_d) = g \cdot \nu_d(gg_0, \dots, gg_d), \quad g, g_i \in G. \quad (\text{A3})$$

We denote the set of d -cochains as $\mathcal{C}^d(G, \mathbb{M})$. Clearly $\mathcal{C}^d(G, \mathbb{M})$ is an Abelian group. homogeneous group cocycle

Let us define a mapping d (group homomorphism) from $\mathcal{C}^d(G, \mathbb{M})$ to $\mathcal{C}^{d+1}(G, \mathbb{M})$:

$$(d\nu_d)(g_0, \dots, g_{d+1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{d+1} (-)^i \nu_d(g_0, \dots, \widehat{g}_i, \dots, g_{d+1}) \quad (\text{A4})$$

where $g_0, \dots, \widehat{g}_i, \dots, g_{d+1}$ is the sequence $g_0, \dots, g_i, \dots, g_{d+1}$ with g_i removed. One can check that $d^2 = 0$. The homogeneous d -cocycles are then the homogeneous d -cochains that also satisfy the cocycle condition

$$d\nu_d = 0. \quad (\text{A5})$$

We denote the set of d -cocycles as $\mathcal{Z}^d(G, \mathbb{M})$. Clearly $\mathcal{Z}^d(G, \mathbb{M})$ is an Abelian subgroup of $\mathcal{C}^d(G, \mathbb{M})$.

Let us denote $\mathcal{B}^d(G, \mathbb{M})$ as the image of the map $d : \mathcal{C}^{d-1}(G, \mathbb{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^d(G, \mathbb{M})$ and $\mathcal{B}^0(G, \mathbb{M}) = \{0\}$. The elements in $\mathcal{B}^d(G, \mathbb{M})$ are called d -coboundary. Since $d^2 = 0$, $\mathcal{B}^d(G, \mathbb{M})$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}^d(G, \mathbb{M})$:

$$\mathcal{B}^d(G, \mathbb{M}) \subset \mathcal{Z}^d(G, \mathbb{M}). \quad (\text{A6})$$

The group cohomology class $\mathcal{H}^d(G, \mathbb{M})$ is then defined as

$$\mathcal{H}^d(G, \mathbb{M}) = \mathcal{Z}^d(G, \mathbb{M}) / \mathcal{B}^d(G, \mathbb{M}). \quad (\text{A7})$$

We note that the d operator and the cochains $\mathcal{C}^d(G, \mathbb{M})$ (for all values of d) form a so-called cochain complex,

$$\dots \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{C}^d(G, \mathbb{M}) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{C}^{d+1}(G, \mathbb{M}) \xrightarrow{d} \dots \quad (\text{A8})$$

which is denoted as $C(G, \mathbb{M})$. So we may also write the group cohomology $\mathcal{H}^d(G, \mathbb{M})$ as the standard cohomology of the cochain complex $H^d[C(G, \mathbb{M})]$.

2. Nonhomogeneous group cocycle

The above definition of group cohomology class can be rewritten in terms of nonhomogeneous group cochains/cocycles. An nonhomogeneous group d -cochain is a function $\omega_d : G^d \rightarrow M$. All $\omega_d(g_1, \dots, g_d)$ form $\mathcal{C}^d(G, \mathbb{M})$. The nonhomogeneous group cochains and the homogeneous group cochains are related as

$$\nu_d(g_0, g_1, \dots, g_d) = \omega_d(h_{01}, \dots, h_{d-1,d}), \quad (\text{A9})$$

with

$$g_0 = 1, \quad g_1 = g_0 h_{01}, \quad g_2 = g_1 h_{12}, \quad \dots \quad g_d = h_{d-1} h_{d-1,d}. \quad (\text{A10})$$

Now the d map has a form on ω_d :

$$\begin{aligned} (d\omega_d)(h_{01}, \dots, h_{d,d+1}) &= h_{01} \cdot \omega_d(h_{12}, \dots, h_{d,d+1}) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^d (-)^i \omega_d(h_{01}, \dots, h_{i-1,i} h_{i,i+1}, \dots, h_{d,d+1}) \\ &+ (-)^{d+1} \omega_d(h_{01}, \dots, h_{d-2,d-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A11})$$

This allows us to define the nonhomogeneous group d -cocycles which satisfy $d\omega_d = 0$ and the nonhomogeneous group d -coboundaries which have a form $\omega_d = d\mu_{d-1}$. In the following, we are going to use nonhomogeneous group cocycles to study group cohomology. Geometrically, we may view g_i as living on the vertex i , while h_{ij} as living on the edge connecting the two vertices i to j .

3. “Normalized” cocycles

We know that each element in $\mathcal{H}^d(G, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ can be represented by many cocycles. In the following, we are going to describe a way to simplify the cocycles, so that the simplified cocycles can still represent all the elements in $\mathcal{H}^d(G, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$.

The simplification is obtained by considering “normalized” cochains,⁴⁵ which satisfy

$$\omega_d(g_1, \dots, g_d) = 0, \quad \text{if one of } g_i = 1. \quad (\text{A12})$$

One can check that the d -operator maps a “normalized” cochain to a “normalized” cochain. The group cohomology classes obtained from the ordinary cochains is isomorphic to the group cohomology classes obtained from the “normalized” cochains. Let us use $\overline{\mathcal{C}}^d(G, \mathbb{M})$, $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}^d(G, \mathbb{M})$, and $\overline{\mathcal{B}}^d(G, \mathbb{M})$ to denote the “normalized” cochains, cocycles, and coboundaries. We have $\mathcal{H}^d(G, \mathbb{M}) = \overline{\mathcal{Z}}^d(G, \mathbb{M}) / \overline{\mathcal{B}}^d(G, \mathbb{M})$.

Appendix B: Topological path integral on a space-time

1. Space-time lattice and branching structure

To find the phase factors in eqn. (4), we need to use extensively the space-time path integral. So we will first




FIG. 10. A two-dimensional complex. The vertices (0-simplices) are labeled by i . The edges (1-simplices) are labeled by $\langle ij \rangle$. The faces (2-simplices) are labeled by $\langle ijk \rangle$. The degrees of freedom may live on the vertices (labeled by v_i), on the edges (labeled by e_{ij}) and on the faces (labeled by ϕ_{ijk}).

describe how to define a space-time path integral. We first triangulate the 3-dimensional space-time to obtain a simplicial complex \mathcal{M}^3 (see Fig. 10). Here we assume that all simplicial complexes are of bounded geometry in the sense that the number of edges that connect to one vertex is bounded by a fixed value. Also, the number of triangles that connect to one edge is bounded by a fixed value, *etc*.

In order to define a generic lattice theory on the space-time complex \mathcal{M}^3 , it is important to give the vertices of each simplex a local order. A nice local scheme to order the vertices is given by a branching structure.^{7,46,47} A branching structure is a choice of the orientation of each edge in the n -dimensional complex so that there is no oriented loop on any triangle (see Fig. 11).

The branching structure induces a *local order* of the vertices on each simplex. The first vertex of a simplex is the vertex with no incoming edges, and the second vertex is the vertex with only one incoming edge, *etc*. So the simplex in Fig. 11a has the following vertex ordering: $0 < 1 < 2 < 3$.

The branching structure also gives the simplex (and its sub simplices) an orientation denoted by $s_{ij\dots k} = 1, *$. Fig. 11 illustrates two 3-simplices with opposite orientations $s_{0123} = 1$ and $s_{0123} = *$. The red arrows indicate the orientations of the 2-simplices which are the subsimplices of the 3-simplices. The black arrows on the edges indicate the orientations of the 1-simplices.

The degrees of freedom of our lattice model live on the vertices (denoted by v_i where i labels the vertices), on the edges (denoted by e_{ij} where $\langle ij \rangle$ labels the edges), and on other high dimensional simplicies of the space-time complex (see Fig. 10).

2. Discrete path integral

In this paper, we will only consider a type of 2+1D path integral that can be constructed from a tensor set T of two real and one complex tensor: $T = (w_{v_0}, d_{e_{01}}^{v_0 v_1}, C_{v_0 v_1 v_2 v_3; \phi_{013} \phi_{123}}^{e_{01} e_{02} e_{03} e_{12} e_{13} e_{23}; \phi_{012} \phi_{023}})$. The complex tensor $C_{v_0 v_1 v_2 v_3; \phi_{013} \phi_{123}}^{e_{01} e_{02} e_{03} e_{12} e_{13} e_{23}; \phi_{012} \phi_{023}}$ can be associated with a tetrahedron, which has a branching structure (see Fig.




FIG. 11. (Color online) Two branched simplices with opposite orientations. (a) A branched simplex with positive orientation and (b) a branched simplex with negative orientation.




FIG. 12. The tensor $C_{v_0 v_1 v_2 v_3; \phi_{013} \phi_{123}}^{e_{01} e_{02} e_{03} e_{12} e_{13} e_{23}; \phi_{012} \phi_{023}}$ is associated with a tetrahedron, which has a branching structure. If the vertex-0 is above the triangle-123, then the tetrahedron will have an orientation $s_{0123} = *$. If the vertex-0 is below the triangle-123, the tetrahedron will have an orientation $s_{0123} = 1$. The branching structure gives the vertices a local order: the i^{th} vertex has i incoming edges.

12). A branching structure is a choice of the orientation of each edge in the complex so that there is no oriented loop on any triangle (see Fig. 12). Here the v_0 index is associated with the vertex-0, the e_{01} index is associated with the edge-01, and the ϕ_{012} index is associated with the triangle-012. They represent the degrees of freedom on the vertices, edges, and triangles.

Using the tensors, we can define the path integral on any 3-complex that has no boundary:

$$Z(\mathcal{M}^3) = \sum_{v_0, \dots; e_{01}, \dots; \phi_{012}, \dots} \prod_{\text{vertex}} w_{v_0} \prod_{\text{edge}} d_{e_{01}}^{v_0 v_1} \times \prod_{\text{tetra}} [C_{v_0 v_1 v_2 v_3; \phi_{013} \phi_{123}}^{e_{01} e_{02} e_{03} e_{12} e_{13} e_{23}; \phi_{012} \phi_{023}}]_{s_{0123}} \quad (\text{B1})$$

where $\sum_{v_0, \dots; e_{01}, \dots; \phi_{012}, \dots}$ sums over all the vertex indices, the edge indices, and the face indices, $s_{0123} = 1$ or $*$ depending on the orientation of tetrahedron (see Fig. 12). We believe such type of path integral can realize any 2+1D topological order.

Appendix C: SPT invariant and properties of the boundary partition function

In this section, we will give a brief description of SPT invariant²⁷⁻²⁹ and its relation to the properties of boundary partition function. This allows us to gain a more general understanding of 't Hooft anomaly and its consequence on the anomalous boundary partition function.

1. Topological partition function as topological invariant

A very general way to characterize a topologically ordered phase is via its partition function $Z(M^D)$ on closed spacetime M^D with all possible topologies. A detailed discussion on how to define the partition function via tensor network is given in Ref. 8 and Appendix B, from which, we see that the partition function also depends on the branched triangulation of the space-time (see Appendix B), as well as the tensor associated with each simplex. We collectively denote the triangulation, the branching structure, and the tensors as \mathcal{T} . Thus the partition function should be more precisely denoted as $Z_{\text{TN}}(M^D, \mathcal{T})$.

However, $Z_{\text{TN}}(M^D, \mathcal{T})$ is not a topological invariant since it contains a so-called volume term $e^{-\int_{M^D} \epsilon d^D x}$ where ϵ is the energy density. After removing the volume term, we can obtain a topological partition function $Z_{\text{TN}}^{\text{top}}(M^D)$ which is a topological invariant:^{8,48}

$$Z_{\text{TN}}(M^D, \mathcal{T}) = e^{-\int_{M^D} \epsilon d^D x} Z_{\text{TN}}^{\text{top}}(M^D, \mathcal{T}). \quad (\text{C1})$$

Appendix B describes the way to make volume term vanish (*i.e.* $\epsilon = 0$), in this case, the path integral directly produces the topological partition function. Such a topological invariant may completely characterize the topological order.

In the above, we have ignored the symmetry. To characterize a topological order with symmetry G , we need to include the symmetry twists described by the flat connection A on the space-time M^D , and use the corresponding topological partition function $Z_{\text{TN}}^{\text{top}}(M^D, A, \mathcal{T})$ (after removing the volume term). Since topological order with symmetry includes trivial topological order with symmetry, therefore $Z_{\text{TN}}^{\text{top}}(M^D, A, \mathcal{T})$ can also be used to characterize trivial topological order with symmetry, which is nothing but the SPT order. Thus $Z_{\text{TN}}^{\text{top}}(M^D, A, \mathcal{T})$ include the SPT invariant mentioned above.

2. Properties of the boundary partition function

In this paper, we will concentrate on global anomaly, and we will assume that there is no perturbative anomaly. In this case, the global anomaly is characterized by the bulk topological invariant $Z_{\text{TN}}^{\text{top}}(M^D, A, \mathcal{T})$, which is described by the topological path integral described in Appendix B.

One way to systematically generate some of the bulk topological invariant $Z_{\text{field}}^{\text{top}}(M^D, A, \mathcal{T})$ is to consider the following topological partition function $Z^{\text{top}}(B^d \ltimes_{\varphi} S^1, A)$. Here where $d = D - 1$ and $B^d \ltimes_{\varphi} S^1$ is the mapping torus obtained from $I \times B^d$ by gluing its two boundaries via map $\varphi: B^d \rightarrow B^d$ in the mapping class group of B^d . In other words, $B^d \ltimes_{\varphi} S^1$ is a fiber bundle with fiber B^d and base space S^1 . We see that we can obtain a topological invariant from each element of mapping




FIG. 13. (Color online) (a) A torus with a shape τ . (b,c) two tori with a shape $\tau + 1$. (a,b) The two tori have the same triangulation \mathcal{T} . (b) The torus has another triangulation \mathcal{T}' . (a) and (b) actually describe the same thing and are equivalent: $(\tau, \mathcal{T}) \sim (\tau + 1, \mathcal{T}')$

class group of B^d , provided that there is no perturbative anomaly.

To link such a topological invariant for invertible orders with symmetry, $Z_{\text{TN}}^{\text{top}}(M^D, A, \mathcal{T})$, to the partition function on the boundary B^d , we note that the gapped state on M^D can have a boundary $B^d = \partial M^D$. The boundary partition function is given by

$$Z(B^d, A, \mathcal{T}_B) = Z_{\text{TN}}^{\text{top}}(M^D, A, \mathcal{T}). \quad (\text{C2})$$

We may obtain a more general boundary by attaching a d -dimensional system described by a d -dimensional tensor network, $Z_{\text{TN}}(B^d, A, \mathcal{T}_B)$, to the boundary. The resulting boundary partition function has a form

$$Z(B^d, A, \mathcal{T}_B) = Z_{\text{TN}}(B^d, A, \mathcal{T}_B) Z_{\text{TN}}^{\text{top}}(M^D, A, \mathcal{T}) \quad (\text{C3})$$

We see that the boundary partition function $Z(B^d, A, \mathcal{T}_B)$ is not purely given by a tensor network on the boundary B^d , which gives rise to a partition function $Z_{\text{TN}}(B^d, A, \mathcal{T}_B)$. The boundary partition function also contain a bulk topological term $Z_{\text{TN}}^{\text{top}}(M^D, A, \mathcal{T})$. This makes the boundary quantum system defined by $Z(B^d, A, \mathcal{T}_B)$ to be potentially anomalous. If the boundary partition function is given purely by a tensor network $Z_{\text{TN}}(B^d, A, \mathcal{T}_B)$ on the boundary (*i.e.* when $Z_{\text{TN}}^{\text{top}}(M^D, A, \mathcal{T}) = 1$), such a quantum system will be anomaly-free.

To see the anomaly from the boundary partition function, as an example, we assume $d = 2$ and $B^d = S^1 \times S^1 \equiv T^2$. The partition function on T^2 will depend on the shape of the torus, *i.e.* the sizes L_1, L_2 and the angle ϕ (see Fig. 13). The partition function also depends on the symmetry twist characterized by a flat connection A , as well as the triangulation \mathcal{T} on T^2 . So we will denote that partition function as $Z(T^2, A, \mathcal{T})$. In this paper, we choose the energy zero such that the energy density $\epsilon = 0$. In this case $Z(T^2, A, \mathcal{T})$ does not depend on the size of the spacetime L_1, L_2 . $Z(T^2, A, \mathcal{T})$ only depends on the shape of the space-time characterized by L_2/L_1 and ϕ . We will introduce a complex number

$$\tau \equiv \frac{L_2}{L_1} e^{i\phi} \quad (\text{C4})$$

to characterize the shape of the torus. Thus partition

function can be written as

$$Z(\tau, A, \mathcal{T}) \quad (\text{C5})$$

For example, Fig. 13a and Fig. 13c describe torus with different shapes but with the same triangulation \mathcal{T} Fig. 13b has a different triangulation \mathcal{T}' . Although Fig. 13a and Fig. 13b have different shapes described by τ and $\tau + 1$ and different triangulations described by \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{T}' , they actually describe the same thing (*i.e.* the same simplicial complex). Thus

$$Z(\tau, 0, \mathcal{T}) = Z(\tau + 1, 0, \mathcal{T}'). \quad (\text{C6})$$

(For the time being, we set the symmetry twist $A = 0$.) However, the partition function for Fig. 13b, $Z(\tau + 1, 0, \mathcal{T}')$, may not equal to the partition function for Fig. 13c, $Z(\tau + 1, 0, \mathcal{T})$. $Z(\tau + 1, 0, \mathcal{T}')$ and $Z(\tau + 1, 0, \mathcal{T})$ may differ by a phase. Such a triangulation dependent phase factor represent a gravitational anomaly. This resembles the non-invariance under diffeomorphism giving rise to gravitational anomaly.

The phase difference from retriangulation $\mathcal{T}' \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ is given by the partition function of the bulk topological order

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\tau, 0, \mathcal{T}) &= Z(\tau + 1, 0, \mathcal{T}') \\ &= Z^{\text{top}}(T^2 \times I, A = 0) Z(\tau + 1, 0, \mathcal{T}) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C7})$$

Here the bulk $T^2 \times I$ has a particular triangulation $\mathcal{T}_{\text{bulk}}$, such that one boundary of $T^2 \times I$, together with the triangulation \mathcal{T}' on the boundary, is given by Fig. 13b, while the other boundary of $T^2 \times I$, together with the triangulation \mathcal{T} on the boundary, is given by Fig. 13c. Since Fig. 13b and Fig. 13a describe the same thing and Fig. 13a differ from Fig. 13c by a modular transformation $T : \tau \rightarrow \tau + 1$, the factor $Z^{\text{top}}(T^2 \times I, A = 0)$ is a topological invariant discussed above

$$Z^{\text{top}}(T^2 \times I, A = 0) = Z^{\text{top}}(T^2 \curvearrowright_{\varphi_T} S^1, A = 0), \quad (\text{C8})$$

where φ_T is an element of the mapping class group of the torus $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$.

The main point of the above discussion is that, in absence of perturbative anomaly, the change of the boundary partition function under the mapping class group transformation is given by the bulk topological invariant $Z^{\text{top}}(T^2 \curvearrowright_{\varphi} S^1, A = 0)$ that characterizes the bulk invertible topological order. Thus the non-invariance of the boundary partition function under the mapping class group transformation is a sign of anomaly.^{25,49} In other words, an anomaly-free system has an invariant partition function under the mapping class group transformation.

However, in two-dimensional spacetime, in absence of perturbative gravitational anomaly, there is no other gravitational anomaly. In other words, without symmetry there is no other invertible topological orders in 2+1D, except the ones generated by abelian topological order characterized by the K -matrix K_{E_8} . Therefore,




FIG. 14. A torus with symmetry twist. (a,b,c) all have the same symmetry twist. (a,b) The symmetry twist is described by the same cuts (g, h) . (c) The symmetry twist is described by different cuts $(g', h') = (gh, h)$.

without symmetry twist, we always have $Z^{\text{top}}(T^2 \curvearrowright_{\varphi} S^1, A = 0) = 1$. As a result, the boundary partition function $Z^{\text{top}}(T^2 \times I, A = 0)$ is always modular invariant without symmetry twist, provided that the chiral central charge $c - \bar{c} = 0$. So the non-trivial results only appear when we have symmetry and symmetry twist $A \neq 0$.

In the following, we will consider partition functions with symmetry twist $A = 0$, $Z(\tau, A, \mathcal{T})$. We note that on a torus the symmetry twist A can be described by symmetry transformation across two cuts g, h (see Fig. 14a), where g, h satisfy

$$gh = hg, \quad g, h \in G. \quad (\text{C9})$$

So we can denote the partition function as $Z(\tau, g, h, \mathcal{T})$. Since Fig. 14a and Fig. 14b are equivalent, we have

$$Z(\tau, g, h, \mathcal{T}) = Z(\tau + 1, g, h, \mathcal{T}') \quad (\text{C10})$$

But $Z(\tau, g, h, \mathcal{T})$ and $Z(\tau + 1, g', h', \mathcal{T})$ differ by a retriangulation, and may differ by a phase

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\tau, g, h, \mathcal{T}) &= Z^{\text{top}}(T^2 \times I, A_{g,h;g',h'}) Z(\tau + 1, g', h', \mathcal{T}), \\ (g', h') &= (gh, h). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C11})$$

Here the bulk $T^2 \times I$ has a particular triangulation $\mathcal{T}_{\text{bulk}}$ and symmetry twist $A_{g,h;g',h'}$, such that one boundary of $T^2 \times I$, together with the triangulation \mathcal{T}' and symmetry twist on the boundary, is given by Fig. 14b, while the other boundary of $T^2 \times I$, together with the triangulation \mathcal{T} and the symmetry twist on the boundary, is given by Fig. 14c. Similarly, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\tau, g, h, \mathcal{T}) &= Z^{\text{top}}(T^2 \times I, A_{g,h;g',h'}) Z(-\tau^{-1}, g', h', \mathcal{T}), \\ (g', h') &= (h^{-1}, g). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C12})$$

Even if we do not change the shape of the torus, but just change the symmetry twist, we may still have a phase factor

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\tau, g, h, \mathcal{T}) &= Z^{\text{top}}(T^2 \times I, A_{g,h;g',h'}) Z(\tau, g', h', \mathcal{T}), \\ (g', h') &= (ugu^{-1}, uhu^{-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C13})$$

3. Properties of the boundary partition function for SPT states

Eqn. (C11), eqn. (C12) and eqn. (C13) are one of the main results of this paper. They link the proper-




FIG. 15. A simplification of Fig. 14 to have the simplest triangulation.




FIG. 16. A simple triangulation of $T^2 \times I$ with the symmetry twist $A_{g,h;ugu^{-1},uhu^{-1}}$. The two squares 1265 and 4378 are identified. The two squares 2376 and 1485 are also identified. Here $g' = ugu^{-1}$ and $h' = uhu^{-1}$.

ties of the boundary partition function to the bulk topological invariance. Now let us calculate the phase factors $Z^{\text{top}}(T^2 \times I, A_{g,h;g'h'})$ in eqn. (C11), eqn. (C12), and eqn. (C13), for boundary of SPT state. We know that the SPT invariant of the bulk SPT state with symmetry G can be expressed in terms of group cocycle $\omega_3 \in \mathcal{H}^3(G; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$. Thus $Z^{\text{top}}(T^2 \times I, A_{g,h;g'h'})$ can be computed directly from the group cocycle that characterize the SPT order.²⁸ We would like to remark that $Z^{\text{top}}(T^2 \times I, A_{g,h;g'h'})$ has boundary. As a result, $Z^{\text{top}}(T^2 \times I, A_{g,h;g'h'})$ may depend on the choices of coboundary for the group cocycle. Only a certain choice of the coboundary gives rise to the correct properties of the boundary partition function, which respects the positivity of the partition function.

First, let us calculate the phase factor induced by changing the symmetry twist with a symmetry transformation: $(g, h) \rightarrow (g', h') = (ugu^{-1}, uhu^{-1})$, without changing the shape of the torus (see eqn. (C13)). We may triangulate $T^2 \times I$ as in Fig. 16 (see Appendix B)). The group elements on the links describe the symmetry twist.²⁸ The 3-cocycle ω_3 on $T^2 \times I$ is a function of those group elements on the links. We note that $T^2 \times I$ is formed by two wedges 123567, 143587, with their canonical triangulation. Each wedge is given by Fig. 17:

$$\begin{aligned} w(g, h, u) &\equiv e^{i2\pi \int_{123456} \omega_3} \\ &= e^{i2\pi\omega_3(1236)} e^{-i2\pi\omega_3(1256)} e^{i2\pi\omega_3(1456)} \\ &= e^{i2\pi\omega_3(g,h,u)} e^{-i2\pi\omega_3(g,u,uhu^{-1})} e^{i2\pi\omega_3(u,ugu^{-1},uhu^{-1})} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C14})$$

Thus $Z^{\text{top}}(T^2 \times I, A_{g,h;ugu^{-1},uhu^{-1}})$ in eqn. (C13) can be




FIG. 17. A wedge with its canonical triangulation is formed by three tetrahedrons 1236, 1456, 1256. Here $g' = ugu^{-1}$ and $h' = uhu^{-1}$.




FIG. 18. A simple triangulation of $T^2 \times I$ with the symmetry twist $A_{g,h;gh,h}$, which contains two wedges 458367, 145236. The wedge 458367 has the canonical triangulation as in Fig. 17, but the wedge 145236 does not have the canonical triangulation, and is formed by three tetrahedrons 1456, 1246, 2436. The two squares 1265 and 4378 are identified. The two squares 2376 and 1485 are also identified. The bottom boundary matches Fig. 15b and the top boundary matches Fig. 15c. Here $u = 1$, $g' = g$ and $h' = hg$.

expressed in terms of group cocycle $\omega_3(g_1, g_2, g_3)$:

$$Z^{\text{top}}(T^2 \times I, A_{g,h;ugu^{-1},uhu^{-1}}) = \frac{w(g, h, u)}{w(h, g, u)}. \quad (\text{C15})$$

Note that the wedge 143587 has a “-” orientation, and hence it contributes a phase factor $w^{-1}(h, g, u)$.

Next, let us try to compute the phase factor $Z^{\text{top}}(T^2 \times I, A_{g,h;gh,h})$ for transformation $\tau \rightarrow \tau + 1$ in eqn. (C11). We first simplify the triangulation of Fig. 14 to Fig. 15. The group elements on the links describe the symmetry twist.²⁸ We then use the triangulation in Fig. 18 to triangulate $T^2 \times I$ in $Z^{\text{top}}(T^2 \times I, A_{g,h;gh,h})$. The phase factor $Z^{\text{top}}(T^2 \times I, A_{g,h;gh,h})$ is given by ω_3 via

$$Z^{\text{top}}(T^2 \times I, A_{g,h;gh,h}) = e^{i2\pi \int_{T^2 \times I} \omega_3} \quad (\text{C16})$$

In Fig. 18 we divided $T^2 \times I$ into one wedge 458367 with the canonical triangulation, plus three tetrahedrons 1456, 1246, 2436. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{\text{top}}(T^2 \times I, A_{g,h;gh,h}) &= e^{i2\pi \int_{T^2 \times I} \omega_3} \\ &= e^{i2\pi \int_{1456} \omega_3} e^{i2\pi \int_{1246} \omega_3} e^{-i2\pi \int_{2436} \omega_3} e^{-i2\pi \int_{458367} \omega_3} \\ &= \frac{e^{i2\pi\omega_3(hg,g^{-1}h^{-1},g)} e^{i2\pi\omega_3(g,h,h^{-1})} e^{-i2\pi\omega_3(h,g,g^{-1}h^{-1})}}{w(g^{-1}h^{-1}, hg, g)}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C17})$$




FIG. 19. A torus with symmetry twist. (a,b,c) all have the same symmetry twist. (a) has a shape τ , and (b,c) has a shape $-1/\tau$. (a,c) has the same triangulation \mathcal{T} and (b) has another triangulation \mathcal{T}' . (a) and (b) are equivalent. Here $g' = h^{-1}$ and $h' = g$.




FIG. 20. A simple triangulation of $T^2 \times I$ with the symmetry twist $A_{g,h;h^{-1},g}$, which contains wedges 216347, 156487, with the canonical triangulation. The two squares 1265 and 4378 are identified. The two squares 2376 and 1485 are also identified. The bottom boundary gives rise to Fig. 19b and the top boundary gives rise to Fig. 19c. Here $u = 1$, $g' = h^{-1}$ and $h' = g$.

or

$$\begin{aligned} & Z(\tau, g, h, \mathcal{T}) \\ &= e^{i2\pi\omega_3(hg, g^{-1}h^{-1}, g)} e^{i2\pi\omega_3(g, h, h^{-1})} e^{-i2\pi\omega_3(h, g, g^{-1}h^{-1})} \\ & w^{-1}(g^{-1}h^{-1}, hg, g)Z(\tau + 1, g', h', \mathcal{T}), \\ & (g', h') = (gh, h). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C18})$$

This way, we express $Z^{\text{top}}(T^2 \times I, A_{g,h;gh,h})$ in eqn. (C11) in terms of group cocycle $\omega_3(g_1, g_2, g_3)$.

Similarly, to calculate $Z^{\text{top}}(T^2 \times I, A_{g,h;h^{-1},g})$ in eqn. (C12), we need to consider Fig. 19. We see that the phase factor $Z^{\text{top}}(T^2 \times I, A_{g,h;h^{-1},g})$ is induced by the retriangulation: Fig. 19b to Fig. 19c. We may triangulate $T^2 \times I$ as in Fig. 20, which allows us to express $Z^{\text{top}}(T^2 \times I, A_{g,h;h^{-1},g})$ in eqn. (C12) in terms of group cocycle $\omega_3(g_1, g_2, g_3)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & Z^{\text{top}}(T^2 \times I, A_{g,h;h^{-1},g}) = e^{i2\pi \int_{T^2 \times I} \omega_3} \\ &= e^{i2\pi \int_{216347} \omega_3} e^{i2\pi \int_{156487} \omega_3} \\ &= w(h, h^{-1}, g)w(1, h^{-1}, g), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C19})$$

In other words

$$\begin{aligned} & Z(\tau, g, h, \mathcal{T}) \\ &= w(h, h^{-1}, g)w(1, h^{-1}, g)Z(-1/\tau, g', h', \mathcal{T}), \end{aligned}$$

$$(g', h') = (h^{-1}, g). \quad (\text{C20})$$

To summarize, the partition function for a boundary of a 2+1D SPT state characterized by $\omega_3 \in \mathcal{H}^3(G, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ has the following properties

$$\begin{aligned} & Z(\widehat{T}(\tau); \widehat{T}(g, h)) = e^{-i2\pi\omega_3(hg, g^{-1}h^{-1}, g)} e^{-i2\pi\omega_3(g, h, h^{-1})} \\ & e^{i2\pi\omega_3(h, g, g^{-1}h^{-1})} w(g^{-1}h^{-1}, hg, g)Z(\tau; g, h), \\ & Z(\widehat{S}(\tau); \widehat{S}(g, h)) = w^{-1}(h, h^{-1}, g)w^{-1}(1, h^{-1}, g)Z(\tau; g, h), \\ & Z(\widehat{R}_u(\tau); \widehat{R}_u(g, h)) = \frac{w(h, g, u)}{w(g, h, u)}Z(\tau; g, h), \\ & \widehat{T}(\tau) = \tau + 1, \quad \widehat{T}(g, h) = gh, h, \\ & \widehat{S}(\tau) = -1/\tau, \quad \widehat{S}(g, h) = h^{-1}, g, \\ & \widehat{R}_u(\tau) = \tau, \quad \widehat{R}_u(g, h) = ugu^{-1}, uhu^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C21})$$

We remark that the transformation \widehat{R}_u is in general non-trivial for the non-Abelian symmetry group and needs to be included. For Abelian symmetry group, we can ignore \widehat{R}_u as in Ref. 26. We also would like to remark that in eqn. (C21), the partition function is labeled by a pair of group elements (g, h) in one-to-one fashion. In Ref. 26, the partition functions are labeled by a larger set of indices than a pair of group elements (g, h) . So there are many partition functions for the same symmetry twist (g, h) . The difference of the partition functions that correspond to the same symmetry twist is viewed as the gauge non-invariance in Ref. 26 that characterize the anomaly. The different conventions lead to different expressions for the transformation, and our 1-to-1 labeling of the partition functions in terms of a pair of group elements (g, h) is more convenient for non-Abelian symmetry.

From the above expression, we see that, starting from a symmetry twist (g, h) , the three transformations

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{T}(g, h) = (g', h') = (gh, h), \\ & \widehat{S}(g, h) = (g', h') = (h^{-1}, g), \\ & \widehat{R}_u(g, h) = (g', h') = (ugu^{-1}, uhu^{-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C22})$$

generate a “walk” in the space of possible symmetry twists. For some combinations of the three transformations, the generated “walk” may form a loop. In this case, we obtain a property of the boundary partition function (*i.e.* the partition function for an anomalous system)

$$Z(\tau; g, h) = e^{i\theta} Z(\tau', g, h). \quad (\text{C23})$$

where the phase factor $e^{i\theta}$ is a combination of the phase factors in eqn. (C21). Such a combination correspond to a topological invariant $e^{i\theta} = Z^{\text{top}}(T^2 \times_{\varphi} S^1, A)$ for the 2+1D bulk SPT state and is independent of the choice of the coboundaries in the 3-cocycle ω_3 .

In the example of Z_2 symmetry on to 1+1D partition function with a Z_2 't Hooft anomaly, the Z_2 -anomaly is

characterized by a 3-cocycle $\omega_3 \in \mathcal{H}^3(Z_2; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$, whose “normalized” form (see Appendix A) is given by

$$\omega_3(g_1, g_2, g_3) = \frac{s(g_1)s(g_2)s(g_3)}{2}, \quad s(g) = \frac{1-g}{2}. \quad (\text{C24})$$

For an Abelian group, the action of \widehat{R}_u is trivial and indeed $\frac{w(g,h,u)}{w(h,g,u)} = 1$. The cocycles appear in the actions of T, S in the following way,

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\widehat{T}(\tau); \widehat{T}(g, h)) &= (-)^{s(g)s(h)} Z(\tau; g, h), \\ Z(\widehat{S}(\tau); \widehat{S}(g, h)) &= (-)^{s(g)s(h)} Z(\tau; g, h), \\ \widehat{T}(\tau) &= \tau + 1, \quad \widehat{T}(g, h) = gh, h, \\ \widehat{S}(\tau) &= -1/\tau, \quad \widehat{S}(g, h) = h^{-1}, g. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C25})$$

Appendix D: Proof of S, T as a permutation in symmetry twist basis with phases given by 3-cocycle

Here, we prove that for CT-twisted quantum double, the S, T matrices quasiparticle basis (52) are the same as S, T matrices (54) in the symmetry twist basis, after the basis transformation (51). Let us begin with the matrix element of S .

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a, \mu^a | S | b, \lambda^b \rangle &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\substack{a' \in [a] \\ g \in Z_{a'}}} \sum_{\substack{b' \in [b] \\ h \in Z_{b'}}} \tilde{\chi}_\mu^{a'}(g) \tilde{\chi}_\mu^{b'}(h)^* \langle a', g | S | b', h \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\substack{a' \in [a] \\ g \in Z_{a'}}} \sum_{\substack{b' \in [b] \\ h \in Z_{b'}}} \tilde{\chi}_\mu^{a'}(g) \tilde{\chi}_\mu^{b'}(h)^* \langle a', g | h, b'^{-1} \rangle \beta_h^*(b', b'^{-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\substack{a' \in [a], b' \in [b] \\ a'b' = b'a'}} \tilde{\chi}_\mu^{a'}(b'^{-1}) \tilde{\chi}_\mu^{b'}(a')^* \beta_{a'}^*(b', b'^{-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\substack{a' \in [a], b' \in [b] \\ a'b' = b'a'}} \tilde{\chi}_\mu^{a'}(b')^* \tilde{\chi}_\mu^{b'}(a')^* \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D1})$$

where we use the relation $\tilde{\chi}_\mu^{a'}(b')^* = \tilde{\chi}_\mu^{a'}(b'^{-1}) \beta_{a'}^*(b', b'^{-1})$. This is obtained by taking the trace of the last equation of the following,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\rho}_\mu^{a'}(b') \tilde{\rho}_\mu^{a'}(b'^{-1}) &= \beta_{a'}(b', b'^{-1}) \mathbf{1}, \\ \tilde{\rho}_\mu^{a'}(b')^\dagger &= \beta_{a'}^*(b', b'^{-1}) \tilde{\rho}_\mu^{a'}(b'^{-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D2})$$

$$\begin{aligned} T | a, \mu^a \rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|G|}} \sum_{\substack{a' \in [a] \\ g \in Z_{a'}}} \tilde{\chi}_\mu^{a'}(g)^* T | a', g \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|G|}} \sum_{\substack{a' \in [a] \\ g \in Z_{a'}}} \tilde{\chi}_\mu^{a'}(g)^* \beta_{a'}(a', g) | a', a'g \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|G|}} \sum_{\substack{a' \in [a] \\ g' \in Z_{a'}}} \tilde{\chi}_\mu^{a'}(a'^{-1}g')^* \beta_{a'}(a', a'^{-1}g') | a', g' \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|G|}} \sum_{\substack{a' \in [a] \\ g' \in Z_{a'}}} \frac{\tilde{\chi}_\mu^{a'}(a')}{\dim \mu} \tilde{\chi}_\mu^{a'}(g')^* | a', g' \rangle \\ &= \frac{\tilde{\chi}_\mu^{a'}(a')}{\dim \mu} | a, \mu^a \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D3})$$

where we have used $\tilde{\rho}^a(a) = \frac{\tilde{\chi}_\mu^a(a)}{\dim \mu} \mathbf{1}$ from the Schur's lemma, and $\tilde{\chi}_\mu^{a'}(a'^{-1}g')^* \beta_{a'}(a', a'^{-1}g') = \frac{\tilde{\chi}_\mu^{a'}(a')}{\dim \mu} \tilde{\chi}_\mu^{a'}(g')^*$, coming from the trace of $\tilde{\rho}^{a'}(a') \tilde{\rho}^{a'}(a'^{-1}g') = \beta_{a'}(a', a'^{-1}g') \tilde{\rho}^{a'}(g')$. In particular, the projective characters are related to the characters through 1-cochain of the centralizer group $\epsilon_a : Z_a \rightarrow U(1)$,

$$\tilde{\chi}_\mu^a(g) = \epsilon_a(g) \chi_\mu^a(g). \quad (\text{D4})$$

To digest, let us look at some examples. First, we consider the untwisted topological order with a non-Abelian group G .

- $G = D_4 = \langle r, s | r^4 = s^2 = 1, (rs)^2 = 1 \rangle = [1] \cup [r^2 = -1] \cup [r] \cup [s] \cup [rs] = \{1\} \cup \{-1\} \cup \{r, r^3\} \cup \{s, r^2s\} \cup \{rs, r^3s\}$. The normal subgroups are $N_1 = D_4, N_{-1} = D_4, N_r = \langle r \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_4, N_s = \{1, s, r^2s, -1\} = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. There are 22 anyons. The basis transformation $M_{\text{sym, any}}$ is block-diagonalized, with the diagonal blocks as the following.

$$M^{C(1)=D_4} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -2 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$M^{C(s^2)=D_4} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -2 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$M^{C(s)=\mathbb{Z}_4} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & i & -1 & -i \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -i & -1 & i \end{bmatrix},$$

$$M^{C(r) = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2} = M^{C(sr)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (\text{D5})$$

- $G = Q_8 = \langle \bar{e}, X^1, X^2, X^3 | \bar{e}^2 = e, (X^1)^2 = (X^2)^2 = (X^3)^2 = X^1 X^2 X^3 = \bar{e} \rangle = [e] \cup [\bar{e}] \cup [X^1] \cup [X^2] \cup [X^3]$, in which e is the identity element. There are 22 anyons as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{\text{anyon}} : \quad & 1 = (e, 1) \quad J_a = (e, J_a), \quad \chi = (e, \chi), \quad a = 1, 2, 3 \\
& \bar{1} = (\bar{e}, 1) \quad \bar{J}_a = (\bar{e}, \bar{J}_a) \quad \bar{\chi} = (\bar{e}, \bar{\chi}), \\
& X_i^a = (X^a, \Gamma_i), \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \quad a = 1, 2, 3.
\end{aligned} \tag{D6}$$

The basis transformation $M_{\text{sym, any}}$ is block-diagonalized, with the diagonal blocks as the following.

$$\begin{aligned}
M^{C(1)=Q_8} = M^{C(s^2)=Q_8} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -2 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\
M^{C(s)=Z_4} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & i & -1 & -i \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -i & -1 & i \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{D7}$$

Next, we consider the twisted topological order with group Z_3 . The character table and the 1-cochain table are as follows,

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} Z_3 & [1] & [a] & [a^2] \\ \hline \lambda_1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \lambda_\omega & 1 & \omega & \omega^2 \\ \lambda_{\omega^2} & 1 & \omega^2 & \omega \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c|ccc} Z_3 & [1] & [a] & [a^2] \\ \hline \epsilon_1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \epsilon_a & 1 & s & s^2 \\ \epsilon_{a^2} & 1 & s^2 & s^4 \end{array}. \tag{D8}$$

Here, $\omega = e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}$ and $s = e^{i\frac{2\pi}{N}}$ with $N = 3$. Explicitly, the basis transformation is

$$\begin{bmatrix} (1, 1) \\ (1, a) \\ (1, a^2) \end{bmatrix} = M_0 \begin{bmatrix} (1, \tilde{\lambda}_1) \\ (1, \tilde{\lambda}_\omega) \\ (1, \tilde{\lambda}_{\bar{\omega}}) \end{bmatrix}, \tag{D9}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} (a, 1) \\ (a, a) \\ (a, a^2) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (s^2)^* \end{bmatrix} M_0 \begin{bmatrix} (a, \tilde{\lambda}_1) \\ (a, \tilde{\lambda}_\omega) \\ (a, \tilde{\lambda}_{\bar{\omega}}) \end{bmatrix}, \tag{D10}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} (a^2, 1) \\ (a^2, a) \\ (a^2, a^2) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (s^2)^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (s^4)^* \end{bmatrix} M_0 \begin{bmatrix} (a^2, \tilde{\lambda}_1) \\ (a^2, \tilde{\lambda}_\omega) \\ (a^2, \tilde{\lambda}_{\bar{\omega}}) \end{bmatrix}, \tag{D11}$$

where

$$M_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \omega & \bar{\omega} \\ 1 & \bar{\omega} & \omega \end{bmatrix}, \tag{D12}$$

is the Hermitian conjugate matrix of Z_3 character table normalized to have determinate 1. The basis transformation tells us immediately the spin selection rules. The CFT with Z_N (generated by a) 't Hooft anomaly labeled by the 3-cocycle ω_q , the conformal spin of the primary fields in the a -twisted sector satisfies

$$h_L - h_R \in \frac{q}{N^2} + \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{N}. \tag{D13}$$

Appendix E: Gauge the charge conjugation symmetry of a topological order

In broad strokes, a *topological symmetry*⁴⁴ on a topological order \mathcal{C} is characterized by a map: $[\rho] : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ from the group G to the automorphisms of \mathcal{C} . Given such a topological symmetry ρ , there is a unique element $[\mathfrak{D}] \in H_{[\rho]}^3(G, \mathcal{A})$ telling us if the symmetry can be fractionalized. If and only if $[\mathfrak{D}] = [0]$, the Abelian anyons \mathcal{A} in \mathcal{C} , invariant under the map $[\rho]$, can carry projective representations of the symmetry G . This symmetry fractionalization is classified by the $H^2(G, \mathcal{A})$ torsor.

Let us consider the charge conjugation symmetry \mathbf{C} on the fusion space V_c^{ab} , representing the c superselection sector with a and b anyon that split from a c -anyon. On the local state $|a, b; c, \mu\rangle \in V_c^{ab}$, the symmetry acts as,

$$\rho_{\mathbf{C}}(g)|a, b; c, \mu\rangle = \sum_{\nu} [U_{\mathbf{C}}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}; \bar{c})] (g)_{\mu\nu} |\bar{a}, \bar{b}; \bar{c}, \nu\rangle. \tag{E1}$$

The local symmetry action operators $U_{\mathbf{C}}$ can admit Z_2 symmetry fractionalization. When there is no obstruction $[\mathfrak{D}] = [0]$, the symmetry fractionalization is given by⁴⁴

$$H_{[\rho]}^2(Z_2, \mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}^{\mathbf{C}}, \tag{E2}$$

where $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbf{C}} = \{a \in \mathcal{A} | a = \bar{a}\}$ is the group defined by the set of self-dual Abelian anyons, with group multiplication given by the fusion rules. For example, for $Z_3^{(-1)}$ we consider, $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbf{C}} = \{1\}$. There is only one trivial symmetry fractionalization. The operators $U_{\mathbf{C}}$ on each space V_c^{ab} will be a linear representation of the Z_2 group.

Appendix F: Anomaly for the group beyond Z_N

1. Anomaly of an Abelian group

Another way to detect the anomaly is via the deformation and fusion of the lines of the symmetry twist. In particular, the symmetry twist is anomalous when the following F move corresponds to a nontrivial cocycle

$$F_g^{gg^{-1}g} = \omega(g, g^{-1}, g) \tag{F1}$$

where g runs through the generators of the group G .

We are now ready to determine the abelian group G anomaly through S and T matrices on the boundary. We

denote the generators in G as g_1, \dots, g_r , and r is the rank of the group. Any symmetry action is represented by $a = g_1^{n_1} \dots g_r^{n_r}$. Given the action of the generators g_j on the representations, as a matrix $(g_j)_{\mu\lambda}$. And $[g_j, g_{j'}] = 0$. The g_j action twisting the spatial boundary condition is

$$G_j = S^\dagger g_j S \quad (\text{F2})$$

where we have used that S is an unitary matrix $S^{-1} = S^\dagger$. It follows that $[G_j, G_{j'}] = 0$.

Consider the sector, twisted in the spatial direction by $a_x = g_1^{n_1} \dots g_r^{n_r}$, and in the temporal direction by $a_t = g_1^{m_1} \dots g_r^{m_r}$ is captured in the matrix

$$Z_{\{n_j\}, \{m_j\}} = \sum_{\mu\lambda} \bar{\chi}_\mu \mathcal{N}[\{n_j\}, \{m_j\}]_{\mu\lambda} \chi_\lambda$$

$$\mathcal{N}[\{n_j\}, \{m_j\}] = \prod_{j=1}^r G_j^{n_j} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^r g_j^{m_j} \quad (\text{F3})$$

$$e^{i\theta[\{n_j\}, \{m_j\}]} = \omega_3(a_x, a_t, a_x) \quad (\text{F4})$$

where $a_x = g_1^{n_1} \dots g_r^{n_r}$, $a_t = g_1^{m_1} \dots g_r^{m_r}$.

2. Anomaly of a non-abelian group

We can also detect the anomaly of non-abelian subgroup by symmetry twisted partition functions. The setup is as follows. Consider the non-abelian subgroup G . For each element $g \in G$, we can construct a twisted Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_g , depending only on the conjugacy class $[g]$. In each sector, we can project onto the states invariant under the stabilizer group N_g , with the projector

$$P^g = \frac{1}{|N_g|} \sum_{h \in N_g} h \quad (\text{F5})$$

and $|N_g| = |G|/|[g]|$.

a. S_3 symmetry

The 't Hooft anomaly of S_3 is classified by $p \in H^3(S_3, U(1)) = \mathbb{Z}_6$. Denote the group element $g = r^A s^a$, with $A = 0, 1$, $a = 0, 1, 2$ for S_3 . The group multiplicity is $(A, a)(B, b) = (\langle A + B \rangle_2, \langle (-1)^B a + b \rangle_3)$, where $\langle x \rangle_n = x \bmod n$. Then the 3-cocycles are given by⁵⁰

$$\omega_q((A, a), (B, b), (C, c))$$

$$= \exp \left\{ -\frac{i2\pi}{9} p [(-1)^{B+C} a [(-1)^C b + c - \langle (-1)^C b + c \rangle_3] + \frac{9}{2} ABC] \right\} \quad (\text{F6})$$

We find the anomaly indexed by $p \in \mathbb{Z}_6$ can be detected by measuring two gauge invariant 3-cocycle,

$$\omega_p(s, s^{-1}, s) = e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{3}p}, \quad \omega_p(r, r, r) = e^{i\pi p}. \quad (\text{F7})$$

We examine the D_N anomaly in $SU(2)_k$ CFT. For this purpose, we write the primary fields of $SU(2)_k$ CFT in terms of parafermion and $U(1)$ primary fields.

$$\phi_m^l(z) = f_m^l(z) e^{i\frac{m}{\sqrt{2k}}\varphi(z)} \quad (\text{F8})$$

with $l = 0, 1, \dots, k$, $-l \leq m \leq l$, $m + l = 0 \pmod{2}$.

b. $su(2)_1$

$$s \left(e^{i\frac{m}{2}\varphi(z)} \right) = e^{-i\frac{m}{2}\varphi(z)}$$

$$r \left(e^{i\frac{m}{2}\varphi(z)} \right) = e^{i2\pi\frac{m}{N}} e^{-i\frac{m}{2}\varphi(z)} \quad (\text{F9})$$

since $m = 0, 1$, defined $\pmod{2}$. On the character, the action of s on the characters is trivial.

$$s = \text{Id}_2, \quad r = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\frac{2\pi}{N}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{F10})$$

$$R = S^\dagger r S = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \omega & 1 - \omega \\ 1 - \omega & 1 + \omega \end{pmatrix},$$

$$R^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \omega^{-1} & 1 - \omega^{-1} \\ 1 - \omega^{-1} & 1 + \omega^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{F11})$$

where $\omega = e^{i\frac{2\pi}{N}}$ and $R^N = 1$.

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{F12})$$

$$T^N R (T^\dagger)^N = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \omega & e^{-i\frac{N\pi}{2}}(1 - \omega) \\ e^{-i\frac{N\pi}{2}}(1 - \omega) & 1 + \omega \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{F13})$$

There is no anomaly if $N \in 4\mathbb{Z}$.

¹ X. Chen, Z.-C. Gu, and X.-G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B **83**, 035107 (2011), [arXiv:1008.3745](https://arxiv.org/abs/1008.3745).

² X.-G. Wen, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 045013 (2013),

- arXiv:1303.1803.
- ³ X.-G. Wen, *Phys. Rev. B* **40**, 7387 (1989).
 - ⁴ X. Chen, Z.-C. Gu, and X.-G. Wen, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 155138 (2010), arXiv:1004.3835.
 - ⁵ X. Chen, Z.-X. Liu, and X.-G. Wen, *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 235141 (2011), arXiv:1106.4752.
 - ⁶ Z.-C. Gu and X.-G. Wen, *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 155131 (2009), arXiv:0903.1069.
 - ⁷ X. Chen, Z.-C. Gu, Z.-X. Liu, and X.-G. Wen, *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 155114 (2013), arXiv:1106.4772.
 - ⁸ L. Kong and X.-G. Wen, (2014), arXiv:1405.5858.
 - ⁹ G. 't Hooft, in *Recent Developments in Gauge Theories. NATO Advanced Study Institutes Series (Series B. Physics)*, Vol. 59, edited by G. 't Hooft et al. (Springer, Boston, MA., 1980) pp. 135–157.
 - ¹⁰ S. Ryu, J. E. Moore, and A. W. W. Ludwig, *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 045104 (2012), arXiv:1010.0936.
 - ¹¹ A. Kapustin and R. Thorngren, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 231602 (2014), arXiv:1404.3230.
 - ¹² A. Kitaev and J. Preskill, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 110404 (2006), hep-th/0510092.
 - ¹³ M. Levin and X.-G. Wen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 110405 (2006), arXiv:cond-mat/0510613.
 - ¹⁴ S. Yang, L. Lehman, D. Poilblanc, K. Van Acoleyen, F. Verstraete, J. I. Cirac, and N. Schuch, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 036402 (2014), arXiv:1309.4596.
 - ¹⁵ D. Fiorenza and A. Valentino, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **338**, 1043 (2015), arXiv:1409.5723.
 - ¹⁶ S. Monnier, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **338**, 1327 (2015), arXiv:1410.7442.
 - ¹⁷ W. Ji and X.-G. Wen, *Phys. Rev. Research* **1**, 033054 (2019), arXiv:1905.13279.
 - ¹⁸ C. Callan and J. Harvey, *Nucl. Phys. B* **250**, 427 (1985).
 - ¹⁹ W. Ji and X.-G. Wen, *Phys. Rev. Research* **2**, 033417 (2020), arXiv:1912.13492.
 - ²⁰ L. Kong, T. Lan, X.-G. Wen, Z.-H. Zhang, and H. Zheng, *J. High Energ. Phys.* **2020**, 93 (2020), arXiv:2003.08898.
 - ²¹ L. Kong, T. Lan, X.-G. Wen, Z.-H. Zhang, and H. Zheng, *Physical Review Research* **2**, 043086 (2020), arXiv:2005.14178.
 - ²² Y.-H. Lin and S.-H. Shao, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 025013 (2019), arXiv:1904.04833.
 - ²³ S. L. Adler, *Phys. Rev.* **177**, 2426 (1969).
 - ²⁴ J. Bell and R. Jackiw, *Nuovo Cimento* **60A**, 47 (1969).
 - ²⁵ S. Ryu and S.-C. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 245132 (2012).
 - ²⁶ A. Tiwari, X. Chen, K. Shiozaki, and S. Ryu, *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 245133 (2018), arXiv:1710.04730.
 - ²⁷ X.-G. Wen, *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 035147 (2014), arXiv:1301.7675.
 - ²⁸ L.-Y. Hung and X.-G. Wen, *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 075121 (2014), arXiv:1311.5539.
 - ²⁹ A. Kapustin, (2014), arXiv:1404.6659.
 - ³⁰ C.-M. Chang, Y.-H. Lin, S.-H. Shao, Y. Wang, and X. Yin, *J. High Energ. Phys.* **2019**, 26 (2019), arXiv:1802.04445.
 - ³¹ C.-M. Chang, Y.-H. Lin, S.-H. Shao, Y. Wang, and X. Yin, *JHEP* **01**, 026 (2019), arXiv:1802.04445 [hep-th].
 - ³² F. Haldane, *Physical Review B* **25**, 4925 (1982).
 - ³³ K. Okamoto and K. Nomura, *Physics Letters A* **169**, 433 (1992).
 - ³⁴ S. Eggert, *Physical Review B* **54**, R9612 (1996).
 - ³⁵ M. A. Metlitski and R. Thorngren, *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 085140 (2018), arXiv:1707.07686.
 - ³⁶ Y. Yao, C.-T. Hsieh, and M. Oshikawa, , arXiv:1805.06885 (2018), arXiv:1805.06885.
 - ³⁷ S. C. Furuya and M. Oshikawa, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 021601 (2017), arXiv:1503.07292.
 - ³⁸ Y. Hu, Y. Wan, and Y.-S. Wu, *Physical Review B* **87**, 125114 (2013).
 - ³⁹ E. Witten, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **1998**, 012 (1999).
 - ⁴⁰ M. Barkeshli, P. Bonderson, M. Cheng, and Z. Wang, (2014), arXiv:1410.4540.
 - ⁴¹ T. Lan, L. Kong, and X.-G. Wen, *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 235140 (2017), arXiv:1602.05946.
 - ⁴² A. Mesaros and Y. Ran, *Physical Review B* **87**, 155115 (2013).
 - ⁴³ Y.-M. Lu and A. Vishwanath, *Physical Review B* **93**, 155121 (2016).
 - ⁴⁴ M. Barkeshli, P. Bonderson, M. Cheng, and Z. Wang, *Physical Review B* **100**, 115147 (2019).
 - ⁴⁵ G. Hochschild and J.-P. Serre, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **74**, 110 (1953).
 - ⁴⁶ F. Costantino, *Math. Z.* **251**, 427 (2005), math/0403014.
 - ⁴⁷ X. Chen, Z.-C. Gu, Z.-X. Liu, and X.-G. Wen, *Science* **338**, 1604 (2012), arXiv:1301.0861.
 - ⁴⁸ X.-G. Wen and Z. Wang, *Phys. Rev. Research* **2**, 033030 (2020), arXiv:1801.09938.
 - ⁴⁹ M. Levin, *Phys. Rev. X* **3**, 021009 (2013), arXiv:1301.7355.
 - ⁵⁰ M. D. F. de Wild Propitius, *Topological interactions in broken gauge theories*, Ph.D. thesis, Amsterdam U. (1995), arXiv:hep-th/9511195.