

Algebraic structure underlying spherical, parabolic and prolate spheroidal bases of the nine-dimensional MICZ-Kepler problem

Dai-Nam Le^{1,2,3,4}, Van-Hoang Le⁵

¹Department of Theoretical Physics, Faculty of Physics and Engineering Physics, University of Science, 227 Nguyen Van Cu Street, District 5, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

²Vietnam National University, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

³Atomic Molecular and Optical Physics Research Group, Advanced Institute of Materials Science, Ton Duc Thang University, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

⁴Faculty of Applied Sciences, Ton Duc Thang University, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

⁵Department of Physics, Ho Chi Minh City University of Education, 280 An Duong Vuong Street, District 5, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

E-mail: ledainam@tdtu.edu.vn (Dai-Nam Le)

E-mail: hoanglv@hcmue.edu.vn (Van-Hoang Le)

Abstract. Octonion algebra \mathbb{O} has recently been used to study the fundamental physics of the Standard Model, such as its three-generation structure and its possibility of unifying gravity and quantum mechanics. Interestingly, this octonion algebra \mathbb{O} has also been related to the $SO(8)$ monopole and, consequently, links to the nine-dimensional MICZ-Kepler problem. This problem has been solved exactly by the variables separation method in three different coordinate systems, spherical, parabolic, and prolate spheroidal. In the present study, we establish a relationship between the variable-separation and the algebraic structure of $SO(10)$ symmetry. Each of the spherical, parabolic, or prolate spheroidal bases is proved to be a set of eigenfunctions of a corresponding nonuplet of algebraically-independent integrals of motion. This finding also helps us to establish connections between the bases by the algebraic method. This connection, in turn, allows calculating a complicated integral of confluent Heun, generalized Laguerre, and generalized Jacobi polynomials, which may be engaging in analytics.

MICZ-Kepler, $SO(8)$ monopole, nine-dimensional space, spherical basis, parabolic basis, prolate spheroidal basis, symmetry, separability, interbasis transformation.

Submitted to: *Phys. Scr.*

1. Introduction

The Kepler problem, describing both the microscopic motion of an electron in a hydrogen atom and the macroscopic motion of planets in the solar system, is one of the most fundamental problems in classical and quantum mechanics. Within the framework of nonrelativistic quantum mechanics, Zwanziger [1] and McIntosh and Cisneros [2] had independently investigated the influence of a Dirac magnetic monopole [3] on the Kepler problem in the 1960s and as a result established for the first time the so-called McIntosh-Cisneros-Zwanziger Kepler problem or MICZ-Kepler problem for short.

Analogous to the generalization of its cousin, the Kepler problem, to multidimensional spacetime [4, 5, 6, 7], the MICZ-Kepler problem has also been generalized from $(3 + 1)$ -spacetime to $(N + 1)$ -spacetime with arbitrary of spatial dimensionality N by the works of Meng [8, 9, 10, 11]. Among these multidimensional MICZ-Kepler problems, only three-, five-, and nine-dimensional MICZ-Kepler problems are of interest regarding their dual relations with four-, eight-, and sixteen-dimensional isotropic harmonic oscillator via the Kustaanheimo-Stiefel [12, 13], Hurwitz [14, 15, 16], and generalized Hurwitz transformations [17, 18, 19]. Instead of the gauge field of $U(1)$ Dirac monopole [3] in the three-dimensional MICZ-Kepler problem, the electron interacts with a dyon via the gauge fields belonging to $SU(2)$ Yang monopole [20] and $SO(8)$ monopole [21, 22] in five- and nine-dimensional ones, respectively. Interestingly, the existence of these monopole fields is the direct consequence of some fundamental mathematics objects like the complex \mathbb{C} , quaternion \mathbb{H} , and octonion \mathbb{O} normed division algebras [23] or $S_1 \hookrightarrow S_3 \rightarrow S_2$, $S_3 \hookrightarrow S_7 \rightarrow S_4$ and $S_7 \hookrightarrow S_{15} \rightarrow S_8$ Hopf maps [24, 25]. Moreover, as the consequence of the Hopf maps, the Dirac, Yang, and $SO(8)$ monopoles have also been found in condensed matter physics via two-, four-, and eight-dimensional quantum Hall effects [26, 27, 28]. Notably, in the most recent studies on particle physics in 2018 and 2020 [29, 30], the last generation of norm division algebra \mathbb{O} has also been used to generate three full generation-structure of the Standard model and to build a noncommutative geometry to answer the fundamental question of unifying gravity and particles physics. These results make the nine-dimensional MICZ-Kepler problem noticeable again.

In another aspect, the multidimensional MICZ-Kepler problems have been a fascinating research subject for the mathematical physics community for many decades as they are rare quantum mechanical systems with monopole that still exhibit the (maximal) superintegrability. In the last two decades, many works had both analytically [31, 32, 33, 34] and algebraically [35, 36, 37, 38] examine the five-dimensional MICZ-Kepler problem. Simultaneously, after first being introduced a decade ago [18, 19], nine-dimensional MICZ-Kepler problem (9D MICZ-KP) has also been examined up to now in various aspects such as its (dynamical) symmetry [39, 40], its algebraic solutions [39], its analytical solutions with the wavefunctions in spherical [41], parabolic and prolate spheroidal coordinates [42], and also its superintegrability [43]. Also, in Ref. [42], the interbasis connection between the parabolic and spherical bases has been constructed. Unfortunately, the similar connection between the prolate spheroidal and spherical bases cannot be built in an analytical approach since it required a direct integration between confluent Heun [44], generalized Laguerre, and generalized Jacobi polynomials [45]. This integration has not been analytically calculated yet. Therefore, we expect to solve this remained open problem by another approach that profoundly understands the algebraic structure of each basis of the 9D

MICZ-KP.

In this work, the symmetries responsible for spherical, parabolic, and prolate spheroidal bases are investigated under the algebraic approach to understand which integral of motion mainly characterizes the separable coordinate system. In particular, we introduce nonuplets of the algebraically independent integrals of motion for the 9D MICZ-KP corresponding to the separation variables in the spherical, parabolic, and prolate spheroidal coordinates. We then explicitly construct a connection between the prolate spheroidal and spherical bases using the algebraic structure behind these bases.

2. Symmetry and superintegrability of the 9D MICZ-KP

For further understanding, we briefly review some results of Refs. [40, 41, 42, 43] on symmetry and superintegrability of the 9D MICZ-KP. Then, following Ref. [43], we establish nonuplets of integrals of motion. We especially give three versions of the last member of the nonuplets, which correspond to different variable separations. In this paper, the Latin indices run from 1 to 8 while the Greek ones run from 1 to 9. The Einstein summation convention is used throughout the paper.

The time-independent wavefunction $\Psi(\mathbf{r}, \phi)$ describing the bound motion ($E < 0$) of a test charge under the presence of the self-dual $SO(8)$ monopole field is governed by the following dimensionless Schrödinger equation:

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{2} \hat{\pi} \cdot \hat{\pi} + \frac{\hat{Q}^2}{8r^2} - \frac{Z}{r} \right\} \Psi(\mathbf{r}, \phi) = E \Psi(\mathbf{r}, \phi). \quad (1)$$

Here, Z is the electric charge, and \hat{Q}_{ij} are operators describing the $SO(8)$ monopole charges. $\hat{Q}^2 = \hat{Q}_{ij} \hat{Q}_{ij}$ ($1 \leq i < j \leq 8$) is the Casimir operator. The generalized momentum operators are defined as

$$\hat{\pi} = \left(-i\partial_j + A_k(\mathbf{r}) \hat{Q}_{kj}, -i\partial_9 \right), \quad j, k = 1, 2, \dots, 8, \quad (2)$$

where the monopole vector field is given by

$$A_k(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{x_k}{r(r + x_9)}. \quad (3)$$

Noticeably, \hat{Q}_{ij} are differential operators in terms of variables ϕ_s ($s = 0, 1, \dots, 6$), denoted as ϕ for short. Hence, the wavefunction $\Psi(\mathbf{r}, \phi)$ depends not only on coordinates of the real space ($\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^9$) but also on seven additional angles $(\phi_6, \phi_5, \dots, \phi_0) \in [0, \pi]^6 \times [0, 2\pi]$ of a unit sphere S^7 arisen from the generalized Hurwitz transformation $\mathbb{R}^{16} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^9 \times S_7$, connecting a 16D isotropic harmonic oscillator with the 9D Kepler Coulomb problem. We can intuitively understand that each point $\mathbf{r} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_9)$ on the real 9D space contains an abstract space characterized by a seven-dimensional unit sphere S^7 . Since the operator \hat{Q}^2 commutes with the Hamiltonian in Equation (1), the wavefunction Ψ also satisfies the equation

$$\hat{Q}^2 \Psi = Q(Q + 6) \Psi. \quad (4)$$

Here, the quantum number Q is an integer because angles ϕ_s ($s = 0, 1, \dots, 6$) have to close the abstract monopole space S_7 .

The terms $A_k(\mathbf{r}) \hat{Q}_{kj}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, 8$) present the $SO(8)$ monopole interaction, in which monopole operators \hat{Q}_{kj} act on the abstract space only and does not affect the

real spatial part of the wavefunction $\Psi(\mathbf{r}, \phi)$. To clearer observe the influences of the $SO(8)$ monopole on the 9D Kepler Coulomb system, we expand the Hamiltonian of the 9D MICZ-KP into a more specific form

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^9} + \frac{1}{2r(r+x_9)}\hat{L}_{jk}\hat{Q}_{jk} + \frac{1}{4r(r+x_9)}\hat{Q}^2 - \frac{Z}{r}, \quad (5)$$

where $\hat{L}_{jk} = -i(x_j\partial_k - x_k\partial_j)$ are projectors of the angular momentum on the S^7 sphere $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_8^2 = 1$ of the real space \mathbb{R}^9 . The coupling term $\hat{L}_{jk}\hat{Q}_{jk}$ is similar to the term describing the spin-orbital coupling; thus, we refer to the $SO(8)$ monopole interaction as ‘‘isospin’’ interaction. This $\hat{L} \cdot \hat{Q}$ coupling term between a test charge and the $SO(8)$ monopole constraints the form of orthogonal coordinate systems to separate the Schrödinger equation of the 9D MICZ-KP. Before going on details of the algebraic structure of the 9D MICZ-KP, we note that both \hat{L}_{jk} and \hat{Q}_{jk} are antisymmetric operators forming a closed $SO(8)$ algebra.

As presented in Ref. [40], the direct calculations show that the Hamiltonian Equation (5) commutes with 54 elements of the following antisymmetric matrix operator \hat{D} :

$$\hat{D} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\Lambda}_{\mu\nu} & -\hat{M}_\mu \\ \hat{M}_\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mu, \nu = 1, 2, \dots, 9, \quad (6)$$

where $\hat{\Lambda}_{\mu\nu}$ and \hat{M}_μ are generalized angular momentum and Laplace-Runge-Lenz vector operators

$$\hat{\Lambda}_{\mu\nu} = x_\mu\hat{\pi}_\nu - x_\nu\hat{\pi}_\mu + v^2[\hat{\pi}_\mu, \hat{\pi}_\nu]_-, \quad (7)$$

$$\hat{M}_\nu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-2\hat{H}}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2}[\hat{\pi}_\mu, \hat{\Lambda}_{\mu\nu}]_+ + \frac{Zx_\nu}{r} \right\}. \quad (8)$$

Here, we use the notations: $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]_\pm = \hat{A}\hat{B} \pm \hat{B}\hat{A}$. Since \hat{D} -matrix satisfies the $SO(10)$ algebra [40, 43], the 9D MICZ-KP is an $SO(10)$ symmetric system, i.e., its symmetry is higher than the trivial geometric symmetry $SO(9)$. Therefore, the quantization of the energy in the 9D MICZ-KP is degenerated so high that its energy solely depends on the principal quantum number n only. The detailed calculations by different approaches given in Refs. [39, 40, 41, 42] have presented the formula

$$E_{n,Q} = -\frac{Z^2}{2(n+4+Q/2)^2}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (9)$$

As shown in Ref. [43], the integrability of the 9D MICZ-KP arises from the existence of nonuplets of algebraically independent integrals. In this study, we denote these nine operators as $\hat{D}_1^2, \hat{D}_2^2, \dots, \hat{D}_9^2$, where superscript 2 on these operators emphasizes the quadratic form in terms of momentum. Trivially, the first member of the nonuplets is the Hamiltonian $\hat{H} \equiv \hat{D}_1^2$. We construct the next seven members from the subgroups $SO(m) \subset SO(10)$ by taking the $m \times m$ block matrices of X -matrix operator as

$$\hat{X}(m) = \begin{pmatrix} \ddots & \vdots & \ddots \\ \dots & \hat{\Lambda}_{jk} & \dots \\ \ddots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}_{j,k=1,2,\dots,m}, \quad m = 2, 3, \dots, 8, \quad (10)$$

and then build seven second-order Casimir operators

$$\hat{D}_m^2 = -\frac{1}{2}X_{jk}(m)X_{kj}(m) = \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} \hat{\Lambda}_{jk}^2, \quad m = 2, 3, \dots, 8 \quad (11)$$

which can be chosen for the next seven needed integrals. All operators Equation (11) are quadratic in terms of momentum and commute with the Hamiltonian $[\hat{D}_m^2, \hat{H}]_- = 0$. Also, according to the fact that $SO(2) \subset SO(3) \subset \dots \subset SO(8)$, these operators \hat{D}_m^2 are all independent and also commute with each other $[\hat{D}_m^2, \hat{D}_n^2]_- = 0$. We note that the conversation of $\hat{D}_2^2, \hat{D}_3^2, \dots, \hat{D}_8^2$ is the direct consequence of the $\hat{L} \cdot \hat{Q}$ coupling interaction between the orbital angular momentum of a test charge and the ‘‘isospin’’ generators of the $SO(8)$ monopole. Here, by direct calculations, we can prove the equation $\hat{\Lambda}_{jk} = \hat{L}_{jk} + \hat{Q}_{jk}$, which is very useful for further discussion. In consistency with the previous works, we use also new notations: $\hat{J}^2 \equiv \hat{D}_8^2$, $\hat{j}_5^2 \equiv \hat{D}_7^2$, $\hat{j}_4^2 \equiv \hat{D}_6^2$, $\hat{j}_3^2 \equiv \hat{D}_5^2$, $\hat{J}_2^2 \equiv \hat{D}_4^2$, $\hat{j}_1^2 \equiv \hat{D}_3^2$, and $\hat{j}_0^2 \equiv \hat{D}_2^2$ for the operators Equation (11).

Now, we show here three choices for the last member \hat{D}_9^2 of the nonuplets of first integrals. According to Ref. [43], the total generalized angular momentum Λ^2 with the following explicit form

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^2 &= \sum_{1 \leq \mu < \nu \leq 9} \Lambda_{\mu\nu}^2 \\ &= \hat{J}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^8 \left[-i(x_j \partial_9 - x_9 \partial_j) + r A_k(\mathbf{r}) \hat{Q}_{kj} \right]^2 \\ &= -r^2 \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^9} + 7(\mathbf{r} \cdot \nabla) + (\mathbf{r} \cdot \nabla)^2 + \frac{r \hat{L}_{jk} \hat{Q}_{jk}}{(r + x_9)} + \frac{r \hat{Q}^2}{2(r + x_9)}, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

commutes with all of the above operators $\hat{D}_1^2, \hat{D}_2^2, \dots, \hat{D}_8^2$ and can be chosen as the last constant of motion \hat{D}_9^2 .

Furthermore, since seven operators Equation (11) \hat{D}_m^2 are built from the top left $m \times m$ block matrices which do not contain the component \hat{M}_9 of the Laplace-Runge-Lenz vector [40], it is natural that this component \hat{M}_9 is independent on $\hat{H}, \hat{D}_2^2, \hat{D}_3^2, \dots, \hat{D}_8^2$ as well as $\hat{\Lambda}^2$. Thus, \hat{M}_9 is also a right choice for the last operator \hat{D}_9^2 of our nonuplet. In Cartesian coordinates, this operator can be written explicitly as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{M}_9 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-2\hat{H}}} \left\{ x_9 \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^9} - (\mathbf{r} \cdot \nabla + 4) \partial_9 + \frac{Z x_9}{r} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{r - x_9}{2r(r + x_9)} \hat{L}_{jk} \hat{Q}_{jk} + \frac{r - x_9}{4r(r + x_9)} \hat{Q}^2 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Because \hat{M}_9 and $\hat{\Lambda}^2$ are independent, their linear combination

$$\hat{\Lambda}_M^2 \equiv \Lambda^2 + \beta \hat{M}_9, \quad (14)$$

with a real parameter β can also be the last member of our nonuplets.

The next Section will show that three different cases of choosing \hat{D}_9^2 correspond to three different separating coordinate systems, namely spherical ($\hat{D}_9^2 = \hat{\Lambda}^2$), parabolic ($\hat{D}_9^2 = \hat{M}_9$), and prolate spheroidal ($\hat{D}_9^2 = \hat{\Lambda}_M^2$).

3. Separable coordinate systems and algebraic structure

The Hamiltonian Equation (5) contains the $SO(8)$ monopole interaction via the coupling term $\hat{L}_{jk}\hat{Q}_{jk}$. This form of the Hamiltonian suggests that we separate the Schrödinger equation of the 9D MICZ-KP using a curvilinear orthogonal coordinate system, which parametrizes the S^7 sphere $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_8^2 = 1$ by seven angles $(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_6)$, denoted φ for short. Such a coordinate system can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
x_9 &= z, \\
x_8 &= \rho \cos \varphi_6, \\
x_7 &= \rho \sin \varphi_6 \cos \varphi_5, \\
x_6 &= \rho \sin \varphi_6 \sin \varphi_5 \cos \varphi_4, \\
x_5 &= \rho \sin \varphi_6 \sin \varphi_5 \sin \varphi_4 \cos \varphi_3, \\
x_4 &= \rho \sin \varphi_6 \sin \varphi_5 \sin \varphi_4 \sin \varphi_3 \cos \varphi_2, \\
x_3 &= \rho \sin \varphi_6 \sin \varphi_5 \sin \varphi_4 \sin \varphi_3 \sin \varphi_2 \cos \varphi_1, \\
x_2 &= \rho \sin \varphi_6 \sin \varphi_5 \sin \varphi_4 \sin \varphi_3 \sin \varphi_2 \sin \varphi_1 \cos \varphi_0, \\
x_1 &= \rho \sin \varphi_6 \sin \varphi_5 \sin \varphi_4 \sin \varphi_3 \sin \varphi_2 \sin \varphi_1 \sin \varphi_0,
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

whereas $(\varphi_6, \varphi_5, \dots, \varphi_0) \in [0, \pi]^6 \times [0, 2\pi]$ are canonical parameters of the S^7 sphere $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_8^2 = \rho^2$; $(z, \rho) \in [-\infty, +\infty] \times [0, +\infty]$ are two real variables which can be transformed further for different coordinate systems.

Within the coordinate transformation Equation (15), the Hamiltonian Equation (5) can be read as

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{z\rho} + \frac{\hat{L}^2(\varphi)}{4r(r-z)} + \frac{\hat{J}^2(\varphi, \phi)}{4r(r+z)} - \frac{Z}{r}, \tag{16}$$

where $r = \sqrt{z^2 + \rho^2}$; $\Delta_{z\rho}$ is Laplace-Beltrami operator of (z, ρ) subspace; $\hat{L}^2 = \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq 8} \hat{L}_{jk}^2$ depends on angles $(\varphi_6, \varphi_5, \dots, \varphi_0)$ only. Regarding the independence of \hat{L}^2 and \hat{J}^2 on (z, ρ) , the 9D MICZ-KP wavefunction can be separated as $\Psi(z, \rho, \varphi, \phi) = \psi(z, \rho)\mathcal{D}(\varphi, \phi)$ in which $\mathcal{D}(\varphi, \phi)$ is an eigenfunction of \hat{L}^2 and \hat{J}^2 , i.e., satisfies the below equations [41]

$$\begin{cases} \hat{L}^2 \mathcal{D}(\varphi, \phi) = L(L+6)\mathcal{D}(\varphi, \phi) \\ \hat{J}^2 \mathcal{D}(\varphi, \phi) = J(J+6)\mathcal{D}(\varphi, \phi). \end{cases} \tag{17}$$

The explicit expression of $\mathcal{D}_{L, Q, J, j_5, \dots, j_1, m_j}(\varphi, \phi)$ with the eigenvalues $L, J, j_5, j_4, j_3, j_2, j_1, m_j$ can be found in Ref. [41], in which this angular wavefunction is also required to satisfy the following equations

$$\begin{cases} \hat{j}_5^2 \mathcal{D}(\varphi, \phi) = j_5(j_5+5)\mathcal{D}(\varphi, \phi) \\ \hat{j}_4^2 \mathcal{D}(\varphi, \phi) = j_4(j_4+4)\mathcal{D}(\varphi, \phi) \\ \hat{j}_3^2 \mathcal{D}(\varphi, \phi) = j_3(j_3+3)\mathcal{D}(\varphi, \phi) \\ \hat{j}_2^2 \mathcal{D}(\varphi, \phi) = j_2(j_2+2)\mathcal{D}(\varphi, \phi) \\ \hat{j}_1^2 \mathcal{D}(\varphi, \phi) = j_1(j_1+1)\mathcal{D}(\varphi, \phi) \\ \hat{j}_0^2 \mathcal{D}(\varphi, \phi) = m_j^2 \mathcal{D}(\varphi, \phi). \end{cases} \tag{18}$$

Substituting the separation form of the wave function $\Psi(z, \rho, \varphi, \phi)$ into Equation (16) and noticing Equation (17), one may easily show that $\psi(z, \rho)$ obeys the following separated Schrödinger equation

$$\left\{ -\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{z\rho} + \frac{L(L+6)}{4r(r-z)} + \frac{J(J+6)}{4r(r+z)} - \frac{Z}{r} \right\} \psi(z, \rho) = E\psi(z, \rho). \tag{19}$$

Henceforth, the variable separability of the equation depends on the transformation of two coordinates (z, ρ) .

Back to Equation (17) and Equation (18), since the angular wavefunction $\mathcal{D}(\varphi, \phi)$ is an eigenfunction of $\hat{D}_2^2 = \hat{j}_0^2, \hat{D}_3^2 = \hat{j}_1^2, \dots, \hat{D}_8^2 = \hat{J}^2$, these operators are obviously the first integrals of motion related to the basis set $\psi(z, \rho)\mathcal{D}(\varphi, \phi)$. Also, Equation (19) is an eigensystem problem related to the Hamiltonian $\hat{D}_1 = \hat{H}$ itself; thus, the explicit form of the last member \hat{D}_9^2 depends on how to separate Equation (19). In principle, separating this equation arises another separation constant, which is possibly an eigenvalue of the last operator \hat{D}_9^2 . This situation suggests a method to define the explicit form of the last integral of motion. We will apply this prediction on spherical, parabolic, and prolate spheroidal bases of the 9D MICZ-KP.

3.1. Spherical coordinates

From Ref. [41], Equation (19) has the form in spherical coordinates as

$$\left\{ -\frac{1}{2}r^{-8}\partial_r(r^8\partial_r) - \frac{1}{2r^2} \left[\sin^{-7}\theta\partial_\theta(\sin^7\theta\partial_\theta) + \frac{L(L+6)}{4\sin^2\theta/2} + \frac{J(J+6)}{4\cos^2\theta/2} \right] - \frac{Z}{r} \right\} \psi(r, \theta) = E\psi(r, \theta), \quad (20)$$

whereas $r = \sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2}$ and $\theta = \arctan \rho/z$. By the separated wavefunction $\psi(r, \theta) = R(r)\Theta(\theta)$, this equation is separated into two equations

$$\left\{ -\frac{1}{2}r^{-8}\partial_r(r^8\partial_r) - \frac{\lambda(\lambda+7)}{2r^2} - \frac{Z}{r} \right\} R(r) = ER(r), \quad (21)$$

$$\left\{ \sin^{-7}\theta\partial_\theta(\sin^7\theta\partial_\theta) + \frac{L(L+6)}{4\sin^2\theta/2} + \frac{J(J+6)}{4\cos^2\theta/2} \right\} \Theta(\theta) = \lambda(\lambda+7)\Theta(\theta), \quad (22)$$

with the separation constant $\lambda = (L+J)/2, (L+J)/2+1, \dots, n+Q/2$. Solutions of Equation (21) and Equation (22) are given in Ref. [41], where the wavefunction with quantum numbers is read as

$$\Psi_{n,\lambda,L,Q,J,j_5,\dots,j_1,m_j}^{spherical}(r, \theta, \varphi, \phi) = R_{n,\lambda}(r)\Theta_{\lambda,L,Q,J}(\theta) \times \mathcal{D}_{L,Q,J,j_5,\dots,j_1,m_j}(\varphi, \phi). \quad (23)$$

This is the spherical basis set of the wavefunctions.

On the other hand, we can rewrite the operator $\hat{\Lambda}^2$ from Cartesian coordinates as in Equation (12) into spherical coordinates (r, θ) as

$$\hat{\Lambda}^2 = \sin^{-7}\theta\partial_\theta(\sin^7\theta\partial_\theta) + \frac{\hat{L}^2}{4\sin^2\theta/2} + \frac{\hat{J}^2}{4\cos^2\theta/2}, \quad (24)$$

and compare it with the operator on the left-hand side of Equation (22). Then, taking the action of operator $\hat{\Lambda}^2$ on the wave function Equation (23) and considering Equations Equation (17) and Equations Equation (22), we can prove that the basis set Equation (23) satisfies the equation

$$\hat{\Lambda}^2\Psi_{n,\lambda,L,Q,J,j_5,\dots,j_1,m_j}^{spherical} = \lambda(\lambda+7)\Psi_{n,\lambda,L,Q,J,j_5,\dots,j_1,m_j}^{spherical}. \quad (25)$$

This equation indicates that the generalized angular momentum described by the operator $\hat{\Lambda}^2$ is conserved under the spherical basis set of the wavefunctions. This circumstance is consistent with the fact that the operator $\hat{\Lambda}^2$ can be chosen as the last member \hat{D}_9^2 of our nonuplet of integrals, as shown in Section 2. Therefore, we can say

that the operator $\hat{\Lambda}^2$ is related to the separation of variables in spherical coordinates. Moreover, Equation (25) with the eigenvalue $\lambda(\lambda + 7)$, where λ is a non-negative integer, is consistent with the fact that $\hat{\Lambda}^2$ is the second-order Casimir operator of $SO(9)$ algebra.

3.2. Parabolic coordinates

In parabolic coordinates (u, v) , whereas $u = r + z$ and $v = r - z$, Equation (19) can be rewritten as

$$\left\{ -\frac{2}{u+v} (u\partial_u^2 + 4\partial_u + v\partial_v^2 + 4\partial_v) + \frac{L(L+6)}{2v(u+v)} + \frac{J(J+6)}{2u(u+v)} - \frac{2Z}{u+v} \right\} \psi(u, v) = E\psi(u, v). \quad (26)$$

As shown in Refs. [43, 42], this equation is separable on coordinates (u, v) with the wavefunction chosen in the form $\psi(u, v) = U(u)V(v)$, where $U(u)$ and $V(v)$ satisfy the following equations:

$$\{u\partial_u^2 + 4\partial_u - J(J+6)u^{-1} + Z/2 + Eu/2 - P\} U(u) = 0, \quad (27)$$

$$\{v\partial_v^2 + 4\partial_v - L(L+6)v^{-1} + Z/2 + Ev/2 + P\} V(v) = 0, \quad (28)$$

with a separation constant P . Also, Equation (27) and Equation (28) have been solved analytically in Ref. [42] with the separation constant P quantized as

$$-\frac{2P}{\sqrt{-2E}} = n + \frac{Q}{2} - J - 2n_p,$$

with $n_p = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n + Q/2 - (L + J)/2$. Then, the basis set of wave functions can be written in the parabolic coordinates as

$$\Psi_{n, n_p, L, Q, J, j_5, \dots, j_1, m_j}^{parabolic}(u, v, \varphi, \phi) = U_{n, n_p, J}(u) V_{n, n_p, L}(v) \times \mathcal{D}_{L, Q, J, j_5, \dots, j_1, m_j}(\varphi, \phi). \quad (29)$$

On the other hand, we need to extract the integral of motion corresponding to the separation constant P . For this purpose, we first replace $U(u)$ and $V(v)$ in Equation (27) and Equation (28) by $U(u)V(v)$ and then recombine the two equations with excluding the energy E from the equations. As a result, we obtain an equation

$$\left\{ \frac{2uv}{u+v} (\partial_u^2 - \partial_v^2 + 4v^{-1}\partial_v - 4u^{-1}\partial_u) + \frac{vJ(J+6)}{2u(u+v)} - \frac{uL(L+6)}{2v(u+v)} + \frac{Z(u-v)}{u+v} \right\} U(u)V(v) = -2PU(u)V(v). \quad (30)$$

Interestingly, we note that the operator on the left-hand side of Equation (30) is similar to the Laplace-Runge-Lenz component \hat{M}_9 written in parabolic coordinates (u, v) as

$$\hat{M}_9 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-2\hat{H}}} \left\{ \frac{2uv}{u+v} (\partial_u^2 - \partial_v^2 + 4v^{-1}\partial_v - 4u^{-1}\partial_u) + \frac{v\hat{J}^2}{2u(u+v)} - \frac{u\hat{L}^2}{2v(u+v)} + \frac{Z(u-v)}{u+v} \right\}. \quad (31)$$

With this observation, we can easily obtain the equation

$$\hat{M}_9 \Psi_{n, n_p, L, Q, J, j_5, \dots, j_1, m_j}^{parabolic} = -\frac{2P}{\sqrt{-2E}} \Psi_{n, n_p, L, Q, J, j_5, \dots, j_1, m_j}^{parabolic}, \quad (32)$$

which means separating the variables in parabolic coordinates corresponding to the operator \hat{M}_9 chosen for the last integral \hat{D}_9^2 .

3.3. Prolate spheroidal coordinates

We are now applying the similar procedure to prolate spheroidal coordinates (ξ, η) , defined as [42]

$$\begin{cases} \xi = \frac{\sqrt{\rho^2+z^2} + \sqrt{(\rho-a)^2+z^2}}{a}, \\ \eta = \frac{\sqrt{\rho^2+z^2} - \sqrt{(\rho-a)^2+z^2}}{a}, \end{cases} \quad (33)$$

whereas positive parameter a is the distance between two centers of prolate spheroidal coordinates. It is interesting to notice that this coordinate system becomes the spherical one when $a \rightarrow 0$ and the parabolic one when $a \rightarrow +\infty$. Changing ρ, z variables by the prolate spheroidal coordinates (ξ, η) , we represent Equation (19) in the form [42]

$$\left\{ -\frac{1}{a^2(\xi^2 - \eta^2)} [2(\xi^2 - 1)\partial_\xi^2 + 16\xi\partial_\xi + 2(1 - \eta^2)\partial_\eta^2 - 16\eta\partial_\eta] - \frac{2Z}{a(\xi + \eta)} + \frac{L(L+6)}{a^2(\xi + \eta)(\xi - 1)(1 - \eta)} - \frac{J(J+6)}{a^2(\xi + \eta)(\xi + 1)(1 + \eta)} \right\} \psi(\xi, \eta) = E\psi(\xi, \eta). \quad (34)$$

Equation (34) is separable by variables ξ and η as presented for the first time in Ref. [42]. By setting $\psi(\xi, \eta) = \Xi(\xi)H(\eta)$, this equation is separated into two independent differential equations for $\Xi(\xi)$ and $H(\eta)$ as

$$\left\{ (\xi^2 - 1)\partial_\xi^2 + 8\xi\partial_\xi - \frac{L(L+6)}{2(\xi - 1)} + \frac{J(J+6)}{2(\xi + 1)} + \frac{1}{2}Ea^2(\xi^2 - 1) + Za\xi + K \right\} \Xi(\xi) = 0, \quad (35)$$

$$\left\{ (1 - \eta^2)\partial_\eta^2 - 8\eta\partial_\eta - \frac{L(L+6)}{2(1 - \eta)} - \frac{J(J+6)}{2(1 + \eta)} + \frac{1}{2}Ea^2(1 - \eta^2) - Za\eta - K \right\} H(\eta) = 0, \quad (36)$$

with a separation constant K . These equations have analytical solutions given in Ref. [42] via confluent Heun polynomials, where the separation constant K was found as a function of n, L, J, Q and new quantum number n_k , a non-negative integer. The basis set of wavefunctions in prolate spheroidal coordinates is then defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{n, n_k, L, Q, J, j_5, \dots, j_1, m_j}^{spheroidal}(\xi, \eta, \varphi, \phi) &= \Xi_{n, n_k, L, J}(u) H_{n, n_k, L, J}(\eta) \\ &\times \mathcal{D}_{L, Q, J, j_5, \dots, j_1, m_j}(\varphi, \phi). \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

On the other hand, excluding E from Equation (35) and Equation (36), we can combine these two equations into one equation as

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{ \frac{(\xi^2 - 1)(1 - \eta^2)}{\xi^2 - \eta^2} \left(\partial_\xi^2 + \frac{\xi}{\xi^2 - 1} \partial_\xi - \partial_\eta^2 - \frac{\eta}{1 - \eta^2} \partial_\eta \right) \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{L(L+6)}{2} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \eta} - \frac{1}{\xi - 1} + \frac{1}{\xi + \eta} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{J(J+6)}{2} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \eta} + \frac{1}{\xi + 1} - \frac{1}{\xi + \eta} \right) \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{Za(1 + \xi\eta)}{\xi + \eta} \right\} \Xi(\xi)H(\eta) = -K \Xi(\xi)H(\eta). \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

This is the eigen-equation for the separation constant K . Amazingly, the operator in the left-hand side of this equation is similar to the operator $\hat{\Lambda}_M^2 = \hat{\Lambda}^2 + a\sqrt{-2\hat{H}}\hat{M}_9$ written in prolate spheroidal coordinates as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Lambda}_M^2 = & \frac{(\xi^2 - 1)(1 - \eta^2)}{\xi^2 - \eta^2} \left(\partial_\xi^2 + \frac{\xi}{\xi^2 - 1} \partial_\xi - \partial_\eta^2 - \frac{\eta}{1 - \eta^2} \partial_\eta \right) \\ & + \frac{\hat{L}^2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \eta} - \frac{1}{\xi - 1} + \frac{1}{\xi + \eta} \right) + \\ & + \frac{\hat{J}^2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \eta} + \frac{1}{\xi + 1} - \frac{1}{\xi + \eta} \right) + \frac{Za(1 + \xi\eta)}{\xi + \eta}. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Therefore, acting operator $\hat{\Lambda}_M^2$ on the wavefunction Equation (37) and considering Equation (38) and Equation (17), we have the equation:

$$\hat{\Lambda}_M^2 \Psi_{n,n_k,L,Q,J,j_5,\dots,j_1,m_j}^{spheroidal} = -K \Psi_{n,n_k,L,Q,J,j_5,\dots,j_1,m_j}^{spheroidal}. \quad (40)$$

This means that the wavefunctions in prolate spheroidal coordinates are eigensolutions of the operator $\hat{\Lambda}_M^2$. In other words, we can choose the operator $\hat{\Lambda}_M^2 = \hat{\Lambda}^2 + a\sqrt{-2\hat{H}}\hat{M}_9$ for the last integrals \hat{D}_9^2 and this choice corresponds to the variables separation in prolate spheroidal coordinates.

4. Algebraic relations between bases

After constructing the spherical, parabolic, and prolate spheroidal bases, the next important task is to construct transformations between these bases. However, this construction is not trivial. Although the spherical and parabolic bases for the 3D MICZ-KP were first studied by McIntosh and Cisneros in 1970 [2], the connection between these bases has derived analytically and expressed in $SU(2)$ Clebsch-Gordan coefficients in 2005 [46] only. A similar connection was also built for the 5D MICZ-KP by Mardoyan *et al.* [33] and for 9D MICZ-KP in our recent work [42]. Unfortunately, the connection between the prolate spheroidal and spherical bases is not easy to establish. For the 5D MICZ-KP, Mardoyan *et al.* [33] have expanded the prolate spheroidal basis via the spherical basis; however, the expansion coefficients can not be obtained explicitly but satisfy the recurrent equations. For the 9D MICZ-KP, we can not establish the connection between the bases in prolate spheroidal and spherical coordinates because of the complicated integral between the confluent Heun, generalized Laguerre, and generalized Jacobi polynomials. Fortunately, we can perform this task using the algebraic connections given in the previous section and will show in the following.

4.1. Matrix elements of $\hat{\Lambda}^2$ and \hat{M}_9

For further calculations, we derive here some analytical formulae for the matrix elements of the operators $\hat{\Lambda}^2$ and \hat{M}_9 with respect to the spherical basis set Equation (23).

As shown in Equation (24), the operator $\hat{\Lambda}^2$ in spherical coordinates does not depend on radial variable r , on the one hand. On the other hand, this operator depends on angles φ and ϕ via the operators $\hat{L}^2(\varphi)$ and $\hat{J}^2(\varphi, \phi)$ only. In turn, these operators are diagonal corresponding to the spherical basis set Equation (23). Therefore, we

will focus only on the part of the wavefunction dependent on the variable θ and hence calculate the matrix elements:

$$(\hat{\Lambda}^2)_{\lambda',\lambda}^{n,L,Q,J} = \langle \Psi_{n,\lambda',L,Q,J,j_5,\dots,j_1,m_j}^{spherical} | \hat{\Lambda}^2 | \Psi_{n,\lambda,L,Q,J,j_5,\dots,j_1,m_j}^{spherical} \rangle, \quad (41)$$

with the difference only on λ and λ' . From the orthogonality of the spherical basis set and Equation (25), we have

$$(\hat{\Lambda}^2)_{\lambda',\lambda}^{n,L,Q,J} = \lambda(\lambda + 7)\delta_{\lambda',\lambda}. \quad (42)$$

Oppositely, in the case of Laplace-Runge-Lenz component \hat{M}_9 , the explicit expression Equation (31) of this operator in parabolic coordinates depends on angles φ and ϕ via operators $\hat{L}^2(\varphi)$ and $\hat{J}^2(\varphi, \phi)$ only while depends on variables u, v via ∂_u, ∂_v , and u, v . In the parabolic basis set, both $\hat{L}^2(\varphi)$ and $\hat{J}^2(\varphi, \phi)$ are also diagonal; thus, only the part of the parabolic wavefunction dependent on variables (u, v) is considered to calculate the matrix element

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{M}_9)_{n'_p, n_p}^{n,L,Q,J} &= \langle \Psi_{n,n'_p,L,Q,J,j_5,\dots,j_1,m_j}^{parabolic} | \hat{M}_9 | \Psi_{n,n_p,L,Q,J,j_5,\dots,j_1,m_j}^{parabolic} \rangle \\ &= \left(n + \frac{Q}{2} - J - 2n_p \right) \delta_{n'_p, n_p}, \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

where the orthogonality of the parabolic basis set as well as Equation (32) are used for simplification.

To calculate the matrix element of operator \hat{M}_9 in the spherical basis set

$$(\hat{M}_9)_{\lambda',\lambda}^{n,L,Q,J} = \langle \Psi_{n,\lambda',L,Q,J,j_5,\dots,j_1,m_j}^{spherical} | \hat{M}_9 | \Psi_{n,\lambda,L,Q,J,j_5,\dots,j_1,m_j}^{spherical} \rangle, \quad (44)$$

we use the connection between parabolic and spherical bases given in Ref. [42] as

$$\Psi_{n,\lambda,L,Q,J,j_5,\dots,j_1,m_j}^{spherical} = \sum_{n_p} W_{\lambda;n_p}^{n,L,Q,J} \Psi_{n,n_p,L,Q,J,j_5,\dots,j_1,m_j}^{parabolic}. \quad (45)$$

Here in Equation (45), matrix element $W_{\lambda;n_p}^{n,L,Q,J}$ of the interbasis transformation between spherical and parabolic bases was first given in Ref. [42] and is now written in a more compact form in Appendix A. We can then easily transform the parabolic representation of \hat{M}_9 into the spherical representation by inserting Equation (45) into Equation (43) as

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{M}_9)_{\lambda',\lambda}^{n,L,Q,J} &= \sum_{n'_p, n_p} (\hat{M}_9)_{n'_p, n_p}^{n,L,Q,J} W_{\lambda';n'_p}^{n,L,Q,J} W_{\lambda;n_p}^{n,L,Q,J} \\ &= \sum_{n_p} \left(n + \frac{Q}{2} - J - 2n_p \right) W_{\lambda';n_p}^{n,L,Q,J} W_{\lambda;n_p}^{n,L,Q,J} \\ &= \left(n + \frac{Q}{2} - J \right) \delta_{\lambda',\lambda} - 2 \sum_{n_p} n_p W_{\lambda';n_p}^{n,L,Q,J} W_{\lambda;n_p}^{n,L,Q,J}. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

The first term in the last line of Equation (46) comes from the orthogonality of matrix $W_{\lambda;n_p}^{n,L,Q,J}$, but the second term needs to be simplified.

For this purpose, we develop a recurrence relation of matrix element $W_{\lambda;n_p}^{n,L,Q,J}$ and apply it to the second term of Equation (46). Detailed calculations are given in Appendix A. As a result, we obtain a compact form for this second term and

consequently calculate the non-zero matrix elements of the Laplace-Runge-Lenz component \hat{M}_9 in the spherical representation as

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{M}_9)_{\lambda',\lambda}^{n,L,Q,J} &= -\frac{(J-L)(L+J+6)(2n+Q+8)}{8(\lambda+3)(\lambda+4)} \delta_{\lambda',\lambda} \\ &\quad + B_{\lambda'} \delta_{\lambda'-1,\lambda} + B_{\lambda} \delta_{\lambda'+1,\lambda}, \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

with the coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\lambda} &= \sqrt{\left(n + \frac{Q}{2} - \lambda + 1\right) \left(n + \frac{Q}{2} + \lambda + 7\right)} \\ &\quad \times \sqrt{\frac{(\lambda - \frac{L+J}{2})(\lambda + 6 + \frac{L+J}{2})(\lambda + 3 - \frac{J-L}{2})(\lambda + 3 + \frac{J-L}{2})}{(\lambda + 3)^2(2\lambda + 7)(2\lambda + 5)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

This explicit expression Equation (47) of the matrix element is similar to that of the three- and five-dimensional MICZ-Kepler problems [33, 34, 47].

4.2. Transformation between prolate spheroidal and spherical bases

Once the matrix elements of generalized angular momentum $\hat{\Lambda}^2$ and Laplace-Runge-Lenz component \hat{M}_9 are determined in the spherical representation, the eigenproblem by Equation (40) in prolate spheroidal coordinates can be solved using the spherical basis by the following interbasis transformation

$$\Psi_{n,n_k,L,Q,J,j_5,\dots,j_1,m_j}^{spheroidal} = \sum_{\lambda} T_{\lambda;n_k}^{n,L,Q,J}(a) \Psi_{n,\lambda,L,Q,J,j_5,\dots,j_1,m_j}^{spherical}. \quad (49)$$

Here, the coefficients must satisfy the normalization condition as

$$\sum_{\lambda} T_{\lambda;n_k}^{n,L,Q,J}(a) T_{\lambda;n_k}^{n,L,Q,J}(a) = \delta_{n'_k n_k} \quad (50)$$

and have the natural limits

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} T_{\lambda;n_k}^{n,L,Q,J}(a) = \delta_{n+Q/2-\lambda, n_k} \\ \lim_{a \rightarrow +\infty} T_{\lambda;n_k}^{n,L,Q,J}(a) = W_{\lambda; n - \frac{L+J-Q}{2} - n_k} \end{cases}, \quad (51)$$

as shown in Ref.[42], since the prolate spheroidal coordinates become spherical or parabolic as $a \rightarrow 0$ or $a \rightarrow +\infty$, respectively. We note that the spherical solutions of Equation (22) give values of λ from $(L+J)/2$ to $n+Q/2$.

Substituting connection Equation (49) into Equation (40) and using expressions Equation (42) and Equation (47) for the matrix elements of operators $\hat{\Lambda}^2$ and \hat{M}_9 in the spherical basis, we get a set of linear equations governing the interbasis coefficients as a three-term recurrence relation

$$(A_{\lambda} - K) T_{\lambda;n_k}^{n,L,Q,J}(a) - \tilde{B}_{\lambda} T_{\lambda-1;n_k}^{n,L,Q,J}(a) - \tilde{B}_{\lambda+1} T_{\lambda+1;n_k}^{n,L,Q,J}(a) = 0, \quad (52)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\lambda} &= \frac{Za(J-L)(L+J+6)}{4(\lambda+3)(\lambda+4)} - \lambda(\lambda+7), \\ \tilde{B}_{\lambda} &= \frac{2aZ}{2n+Q+8} B_{\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

For the index λ running from $(L+J)/2$ to $n+Q/2$, we have a set of N linear equations with $N = n+Q/2 - (L+J)/2 + 1$. We can also rewrite them in a matrix form as

$$\left(\hat{\mathcal{K}}(a) - K\right) \hat{T}(a) = 0, \quad (53)$$

where the solution is now presented in a column vector $\hat{T}(a) = [\dots, T_{\lambda; n_k}^{n, L, Q, J}, \dots]^T$; and $\hat{\mathcal{K}}(a)$ is a tridiagonal squared matrix of order N as

$$\hat{\mathcal{K}}(a) = \begin{bmatrix} A_{\frac{L+J}{2}} & -\tilde{B}_{\frac{L+J}{2}+1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -\tilde{B}_{\frac{L+J}{2}+1} & A_{\frac{L+J}{2}+1} & -\tilde{B}_{\frac{L+J}{2}+2} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & -\tilde{B}_{n+\frac{Q}{2}} & A_{n+\frac{Q}{2}} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (54)$$

We do not use the superscripts n, L, Q, J for matrix $\hat{\mathcal{K}}(a)$ and column vector $\hat{T}(a)$ to avoid the cumbersomeness.

For Equation (53), the separation constant K is the n_k -th eigenvalue of matrix $\hat{\mathcal{K}}(a)$. This value depends on a and can be calculated for fixed n, L, Q, J ; thus, we denote the separation constant as $K_{n, n_k, L, Q, J}(a)$. Back to Equation (52), fortunately, the similar three-term recurrence equations have been investigated in our previous works [48, 49]; thus, we can obtain a compact solution of these equations as follows. First, we introduce new coefficients

$$t_\lambda(a) = T_{\lambda; n_k}^{n, L, Q, J}(a) \prod_{j=\frac{L+J}{2}}^{\lambda} \tilde{B}_j.$$

Then multiply Equation (52) by the same factor to rewrite it as a new recurrence equation

$$t_{\lambda+1}(a) = (A_\lambda - K_{n, n_k, L, Q, J}(a)) t_\lambda(a) - \tilde{B}_\lambda^2 t_{\lambda-1}(a) \quad (55)$$

with λ running from $(L+J)/2$ to $n+Q/2$. It is the well-known recurrence relation of a tridiagonal matrix determinant or so-called continuant [50]. Hence $t_\lambda(a)$ can be expressed as a continuant

$$t_\lambda(a) = C \det \left| \hat{\mathcal{K}}_\lambda(a) - K \right| \quad (56)$$

with the normalization constant C given in Ref.[50] as

$$C = \left\{ \sum_{\lambda=\frac{L+J}{2}}^{n+\frac{Q}{2}} \prod_{j=\frac{L+J}{2}}^{\lambda} \tilde{B}_j^{-2} \det \left| \hat{\mathcal{K}}_\lambda(a) - K \right|^2 \right\}^{-1/2}. \quad (57)$$

Here, matrix $\hat{\mathcal{K}}_\lambda(a)$ is a tridiagonal matrix of order $N_\lambda = \lambda - \frac{L+J}{2}$

$$\hat{\mathcal{K}}_\lambda(a) = \begin{bmatrix} A_{\frac{L+J}{2}} & -\tilde{B}_{\frac{L+J}{2}+1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -\tilde{B}_{\frac{L+J}{2}+1} & A_{\frac{L+J}{2}+1} & -\tilde{B}_{\frac{L+J}{2}+2} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & -\tilde{B}_{\lambda-1} & A_{\lambda-1} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (58)$$

Putting the separation constant $K_{n, n_k, L, Q, J}(a)$ into Equation (56), we can calculate $t_\lambda(a)$ and the coefficient $T_{\lambda; n_k}^{n, L, Q, J}(a)$ consequently. This is a significant advantage of the algebraic approach where the separation constant $K_{n, n_k, L, Q, J}(a)$ can principally be found just by calculating eigenvalues of the sparse matrix, and the explicit expression of the coefficient $T_{\lambda; n_k}^{n, L, Q, J}(a)$ can be determined by calculating the continuant.

When approaching this problem analytically in Ref. [42], we could not calculate the coefficient $T_{\lambda;n_k}^{n,L,Q,J}(a)$ because of the complicated integral

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\lambda;n_k}^{n,L,Q,J}(a) &= \int \dots \int r^8 dr \sin^7 \theta d\theta d\Omega(\varphi, \phi) \\ &\times \Psi_{n,\lambda,L,Q,J,j_5,j_4,j_3,j_2,j_1,m_j}^{\text{spherical},*}(r, \theta, \varphi, \phi) \\ &\times \Psi_{n,n_k,L,Q,J,j_5,j_4,j_3,j_2,j_1,m_j}^{\text{spheroidal}}(\xi, \eta, \varphi\phi), \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

with the prolate spheroidal variables replaced by their expression in spherical coordinates. This integral contains three different types of orthogonal polynomial, namely confluent Heun, generalized Laguerre, generalized Jacobi, and on the best of our knowledge, has not been calculated elsewhere. Therefore, the compact expression of $T_{\lambda;n_k}^{n,L,Q,J}(a)$ conducted by the algebraic approach means that the integral Equation (59) is calculated.

4.3. Spherical and Parabolic bases as limits of Prolate Spheroidal basis

Initially, the prolate spheroidal coordinates have two limits as spherical or parabolic regarding the distance a . Correspondingly, when taking the limits of $a \rightarrow 0$ or $a \rightarrow +\infty$, the prolate spheroidal basis of the 9D MICZ-KP must become the spherical basis or parabolic. This interesting property of the prolate spheroidal basis has been verified analytically by examining the prolate spheroidal wavefunction in Ref. [42]. Now we also give a proof by the algebraic perspective.

First, we examine the limits of separation constant $K_{n,n_k,L,Q,J}(a)$, which is the eigenvalue of the characterized equation Equation (40) in prolate spheroidal coordinates. Upon the limits of the operator $\hat{\Lambda}_M^2 = \hat{\Lambda}^2 + a\sqrt{-2\hat{H}}\hat{M}_9$, Equation (40) becomes Equation (25) when $a \rightarrow 0$ and Equation (32) when $a \rightarrow +\infty$. In turn, these limits lead to the limit relations

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} K_{n,n_k,L,Q,J}(a) &= -\left(n + \frac{Q}{2} - n_k\right)\left(n + \frac{Q}{2} - n_k + 7\right), \\ \lim_{a \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{K_{n,n_k,L,Q,J}(a)}{a} &= \frac{2Z(n+Q/2-L-2n_k)}{2n+Q+8}, \end{cases} \quad (60)$$

corresponding to the separation constants of the spherical and parabolic bases, respectively.

Now, we consider the limit of $T_{\lambda;n_k}^{n,L,Q,J}(a)$ when $a \rightarrow 0$. In this case, all elements of the determinant become $A_\lambda(0) = -\lambda(\lambda + 7)$ and $\tilde{B}_\lambda(a) = 0$; thus, the continuant

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \det \left| \hat{\mathcal{K}}_\lambda - K_{n,n_k,L,Q,J}(a) \right| \\ &= \prod_{j=\frac{L+J}{2}}^{\lambda-1} (n + Q/2 - n_k - j)(n + Q/2 - n_k + j + 7) \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

vanishes for all $\lambda > n + \frac{Q}{2} - n_k$, and consequently, $T_{\lambda;n_k}^{n,L,Q,J}(a \rightarrow 0) = 0$ for this case. Conversely, when $\lambda \leq n + \frac{Q}{2} - n_k$, we pay attention to the normalization factor C , which has the dominant contribution at $\lambda = n + \frac{Q}{2} - n_k$ so that $T_{\lambda;n_k}^{n,L,Q,J}(a \rightarrow 0) \sim a^{n+Q/2-n_k-\lambda}$. As a result, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} T_{\lambda;n_k}^{n,L,Q,J}(a) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lambda \neq n + Q/2 - n_k \\ 1 & \text{if } \lambda = n + Q/2 - n_k \end{cases} \\ &= \delta_{n+Q/2-\lambda, n_k}. \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

On the other hand, when $a \rightarrow +\infty$, the three-term recurrence relation Equation (52) approximately becomes

$$\left\{ \frac{(J-L)(L+J+6)(2n+Q+8)}{8(\lambda+3)(\lambda+4)} - 2n_k + n - \frac{Q}{2} - L \right\} T_{\lambda;n_k}^{n,L,Q,J}(+\infty) - B_\lambda T_{\lambda-1;n_k}^{n,L,Q,J}(+\infty) - B_{\lambda+1} T_{\lambda+1;n_k}^{n,L,Q,J}(+\infty) \approx 0 \quad (63)$$

identical to the three-term recurrence relation Equation (A.17) of $W_{\lambda;n_p}^{n,L,Q,J}$ with $n_p = n - \frac{L+J-Q}{2} - n_k$. Hence, we can conclude that

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow +\infty} T_{\lambda;n_k}^{n,L,Q,J}(a) = W_{\lambda;n - \frac{L+J-Q}{2} - n_k}^{n,L,Q,J}. \quad (64)$$

Equation (62) and Equation (64) are consistent with the results given in Equation (51).

5. Conclusion

In this study, we have revisited the $SO(10)$ symmetry of the nine-dimensional MICZ-Kepler problem (9D MICZ-KP) by constructing a nonuplet of algebraically independent integrals of motion. Besides, we have constructed explicitly three versions for the last member \hat{D}_9^2 of the nonuplet and related them to the variable separation of the 9D MICZ KP. We have shown that the last constant of motion can be the total generalized angular momentum $\hat{\Lambda}^2$, the last component of the Laplace-Runge-Lenz vector \hat{M}_9 , or their combination $\hat{\Lambda}_M^2 = \hat{\Lambda}^2 + a\sqrt{-2\hat{H}}\hat{M}_9$ corresponding to the spherical, parabolic, or prolate spheroidal bases. Specifically, we have variable-separated the Schrödinger equation in spherical, parabolic, and prolate spheroidal coordinates and proved that the separation constants are the chosen integrals of motion.

Another significant result is the relation between the prolate spheroidal and spherical bases. We have established the interbasis transformation coefficients between the bases by using the algebraic structure behind these bases. Furthermore, we have shown an algebraic approach to calculate the nine-dimensional complicated integral of confluent Heun, generalized Laguerre, and Jacobi polynomials using the results above.

Acknowledgement

We thank Professor Pinaki Roy (Atomic Molecular and Optical Physics Research Group, Advanced Institute of Materials Science, Ton Duc Thang University) for his helpful comments when finalizing this work. Dai-Nam Le was funded by Vingroup Joint Stock Company and supported by the Domestic Master/ PhD Scholarship Programme of Vingroup Innovation Foundation (VINIF), Vingroup Big Data Institute (VINBIGDATA), code VINIF.2020.TS.03.

References

- [1] Zwanziger D 1968 *Physical Review* **176** 1480 URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.176.1480>
- [2] McIntosh H V and Cisneros A 1970 *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **11** 896–916 URL <http://aip.scitation.org/doi/10.1063/1.1665227>
- [3] Dirac P A M 1931 *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* **133** 60–72 URL <http://rspa.royalsocietypublishing.org/cgi/doi/10.1098/rspa.1931.0130>

- [4] Nieto M M 1979 *American Journal of Physics* **47** 1067–1072 URL <https://doi.org/10.1119/1.11976>
- [5] Mladenov I and Tsanov V 1985 *Journal of Geometry and Physics* **2** 17 – 24 URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0393044085900166>
- [6] Aquilanti V, Cavalli S and Coletti C 1997 *Chemical Physics* **214** 1 – 13 URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0301010496003102>
- [7] Al-Jaber S M 1998 *International Journal of Theoretical Physics* **37** 1289–1298 URL <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1023/A:1026679921970>
- [8] Meng G 2007 *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **48** 032105 ISSN 0022-2488 URL <http://aip.scitation.org/doi/10.1063/1.2712420>
- [9] Meng G 2008 *Physics of Atomic Nuclei* **71** 946–950 ISSN 1063-7788 URL <http://link.springer.com/10.1134/S1063778808050256>
- [10] Meng G 2010 *Journal of the London Mathematical Society* **81** 663–678 ISSN 00246107 URL <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1112/jlms/jdq019>
- [11] Meng G and Zhang R 2011 *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **52** 042106 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3574886>
- [12] Kustaanheimo P and Stiefel E 1965 *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)* **218** 204–219 URL <https://doi.org/10.1515/crll.1965.218.204>
- [13] Kleinert H 1968 *Group dynamics of the hydrogen atom* (New York: Gordon and Breach)
- [14] Kibler M, Ronveaux A and Négadi T 1986 *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **27** 1541–1548 URL <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.527064>
- [15] Davtyan L, Mardoyan L, Pogosyan G, Sissakian A and Ter-Antonyan V 1987 *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **20** 6121 URL <http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/0305-4470/20/17/044>
- [16] Le V H, Vilorio T J and Le A T 1991 *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **24** 3021–3030 ISSN 03054470
- [17] Le V H and Komarov L I 1993 *Physics Letters A* **177** 121–124 ISSN 03759601 URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/037596019390520A>
- [18] Le V H, Nguyen T S and Phan N H 2009 *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **42** 175204 URL <http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1751-8113/42/17/175204>
- [19] Le V H and Nguyen T S 2011 *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **52** 032105 URL <http://aip.scitation.org/doi/10.1063/1.3567422>
- [20] Yang C N 1978 *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **19** 320–328 ISSN 0022-2488 URL <http://aip.scitation.org/doi/10.1063/1.523506>
- [21] Grossman B, Kephart T W and Stasheff J D 1984 *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **96** 431–437 URL <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF01212529>
- [22] Pedder C, Sonner J and Tong D 2008 *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2008** 065 URL <http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/03/065>
- [23] Baez J 2002 *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society* **39** 145–205 URL <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0273-0979-01-00934-X>
- [24] Hopf H 1931 *Mathematische Annalen* **104** 637–665
- [25] Hopf H 1935 *Fundamenta Mathematicae* **25** 427–440 URL http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-662-25046-4_7
- [26] Laughlin R B 1983 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50**(18) 1395–1398 URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.50.1395>
- [27] Zhang S C and Hu J 2001 *Science* **294** 823–828 URL <https://science.sciencemag.org/content/294/5543/823>
- [28] Bernevig B A, Hu J, Toumbas N and Zhang S C 2003 *Physical Review Letters* **91** 236803 URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.236803>
- [29] Furey C 2018 *Physics Letters B* **785** 84 – 89 ISSN 0370-2693 URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0370269318306452>
- [30] Boyle L and Farnsworth S 2020 *New Journal of Physics* **22** 073023 URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/2F1367-2630/2Fab9709>
- [31] Mardoyan L G, Sissakian A N and Ter-Antonyan V M 1997 *ArXiv* ISSN 10637788 (Preprint 9712235) URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9712235>
- [32] Mardoyan L G, Sissakian A N and Ter-Antonyan V M 1999 *Modern Physics Letters A* **14** 1303–1307 ISSN 0217-7323 URL <http://www.worldscientific.com/doi/abs/10.1142/S0217732399001395>
- [33] Mardoyan L G, Sisakyan A N and Ter-Antonyan V M 2000 *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics* **123** 451–462 ISSN 0040-5779 URL <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/BF02551051>
- [34] Mardoyan L 2003 *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **44** 4981–4987 ISSN 0022-2488 URL

- <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1619205>
- [35] Pletyukhov M V and Tolkachev E A 1999 *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **32** L249–L253 ISSN 0305-4470 URL <http://stacks.iop.org/0305-4470/32/i=23/a=101?key=crossref.a2af2608f4d675b85balecedcef00e12>
- [36] Marquette I 2010 *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **51** 102105 ISSN 0022-2488 URL <http://aip.scitation.org/doi/10.1063/1.3496900>
- [37] Marquette I 2012 *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **53** 022103 ISSN 0022-2488 URL <http://aip.scitation.org/doi/10.1063/1.3684955>
- [38] Hoque M F, Marquette I and Zhang Y Z 2017 *Annals of Physics* **380** 121–134 ISSN 00034916 URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0003491617300799>
- [39] Le V H, Phan T T and Truong C T 2011 *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **52** 072101 URL <http://aip.scitation.org/doi/10.1063/1.3606515>
- [40] Phan N H and Le V H 2012 *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **53** 082103 URL <http://aip.scitation.org/doi/10.1063/1.4740514>
- [41] Nguyen T S, Le D N, Thoi T Q N and Le V H 2015 *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **56** 052103 URL <http://aip.scitation.org/doi/10.1063/1.4921171>
- [42] Le D N, Phan N H, Thoi T Q N and Le V H 2019 *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **60** 062102 URL <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5051787>
- [43] Phan N H, Le D N, Thoi T Q N and Le V H 2018 *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **59** 032102 URL <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4997693>
- [44] Kereselidze T, Chkadua G and Ogilvie J F 2016 *GESJ: Physics* **2** 44–56
- [45] Gradshteyn I S and Ryzhik I M 2014 *Table of integrals, series, and products* (Academic press)
- [46] Mardoyan L G 2005 *Physics of Atomic Nuclei* **68** 1746–1755 ISSN 1063-7788 URL <http://link.springer.com/10.1134/1.2121925>
- [47] Coulson C A and Joseph A 1967 *Proceedings of the Physical Society* **90** 887 URL <http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/0370-1328/90/4/301>
- [48] Le D N, Hoang N T D and Le V H 2017 *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **58** 042102 URL <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4979618>
- [49] Le D N, Hoang N T D and Le V H 2018 *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **59** 032101 URL <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4997532>
- [50] Wilkinson J H 1965 *Algebraic Eigenvalue Problem* (New York: Oxford University Press)
- [51] Tarter C B 1970 *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **11** 3192–3195 ISSN 0022-2488 URL <http://aip.scitation.org/doi/10.1063/1.1665113>
- [52] Rainville E D 1945 *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society* **51** 714–724 ISSN 0002-9904 URL <http://www.ams.org/journal-getitem?pii=S0002-9904-1945-08425-0>
- [53] Varshalovich D A, Moskalev A N and Khersonskii V K 1988 *Quantum Theory of Angular Momentum* (WORLD SCIENTIFIC) (Preprint <https://www.worldscientific.com/doi/pdf/10.1142/0270>) URL <https://www.worldscientific.com/doi/abs/10.1142/0270>

Appendix A. Interbasis transformation between parabolic and spherical bases

For further calculations, we recall the explicit spherical and parabolic bases given in Refs. [41, 42] as

$$\Psi_{n,\lambda,L,Q,J,j_5,\dots,j_1,m_j}^{\text{spherical}}(r, \theta, \varphi, \phi) = \psi_{n,\lambda,L,Q,J}^{\text{spherical}}(r, \theta) \mathcal{D}_{L,Q,J,j_5,\dots,j_1,m_j}(\varphi, \phi), \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$\Psi_{n,n_p,L,Q,J,j_5,\dots,j_1,m_j}^{\text{parabolic}}(u, v, \varphi, \phi) = \psi_{n,n_p,L,Q,J}^{\text{parabolic}}(u, v) \mathcal{D}_{L,Q,J,j_5,\dots,j_1,m_j}(\varphi, \phi), \quad (\text{A.2})$$

in which

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{n,\lambda,L,Q,J}^{\text{spherical}}(r, \theta) &= C_{n,\lambda,L,Q,J}^s \alpha^{9/2} \\ &\times (\alpha r)^\lambda \exp(-\alpha r/2) \mathcal{L}_{n+Q/2-\lambda}^{2\lambda+7}(\alpha r) \\ &\times 2^{-(L+J+7)/2} (1 - \cos \theta)^{L/2} (1 + \cos \theta)^{J/2} P_{\lambda-(L+J)/2}^{(L+3, J+3)}(\cos \theta), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{n,n_p,L,Q,J}^{\text{parabolic}}(u, v) &= C_{n,n_p,L,Q,J}^p 2^{-7/2} \alpha^{9/2} \\ &\times (\alpha u/2)^{J/2} \exp(-\alpha u/4) \mathcal{L}_{n_p}^{J+3}(\alpha u/2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\times (\alpha v/2)^{L/2} \exp(-\alpha v/4) \mathcal{L}_{n+Q/2-(L+J)/2-n_p}^{L+3}(\alpha v/2). \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Here, \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{P} are the generalized Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials whose definitions are given in Ref. [45]; $\alpha = 4Z(2n + Q + 8)^{-1}$; and $C_{n,\lambda,L,Q,J}^s$, $C_{n,n_p,L,Q,J}^p$ are normalization constants given as follows

$$C_{n,\lambda,L,Q,J}^s = \sqrt{\frac{(n + \frac{Q}{2} - \lambda)! (2\lambda + 7)(\lambda - \frac{L+J}{2})! (\lambda + 6 + \frac{L+J}{2})!}{(2n + Q + 8)(n + \frac{Q}{2} + \lambda + 7)! (\lambda + 3 + \frac{J-L}{2})! (\lambda + 3 - \frac{J-L}{2})!}}, \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$C_{n,n_p,L,Q,J}^p = \sqrt{\frac{n_p! (n + \frac{Q}{2} - \frac{L+J}{2} - n_p)!}{(2n + Q + 8)(n_p + J + 3)! (n + \frac{Q}{2} + \frac{J-L}{2} - n_p + 3)!}}. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Then the interbasis transformation between the spherical and parabolic bases of the 9D MICZ-KP is defined as

$$\Psi_{n,\lambda,L,Q,J,j_5,\dots,j_1,m_j}^{\text{spherical}} = \sum_{n_p} W_{\lambda;n_p}^{n,L,Q,J} \Psi_{n,n_p,L,Q,J,j_5,\dots,j_1,m_j}^{\text{parabolic}} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

with coefficients determined by the following integrals

$$W_{\lambda;n_p}^{n,L,Q,J} = \int \psi_{n,n_p,L,Q,J}^{\text{parabolic},*}(u,v) \psi_{n,\lambda,L,Q,J}^{\text{spherical}}(r,\theta) r^8 \sin^7 \theta dr d\theta, \quad (\text{A.8})$$

derived in Ref. [42] for the first time. In this Appendix, we will represent this interbasis in a more compact form as a recurrence relation, which is necessary for application in Section 4.

Plugging wavefunctions Equation (A.3) into Equation (A.8) and doing some expansions, we obtain the following formula

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\lambda;n_p}^{n,L,Q,J} &= C_{n,\lambda,L,Q,J}^s C_{n,n_p,L,Q,J}^p \\ &\times \sum_{s=0}^{n_p} \sum_{t=0}^{n+\frac{Q}{2}-(L+J)/2-n_p} \left\{ \frac{(-1)^{s+t}}{s!t!} (n_p - s + 1)_{(s+J+3)} \right. \\ &\times \left. \left(n + \frac{Q}{2} - \frac{L+J}{2} - n_p - t + 1 \right)_{(t+L+3)} I_{s,t} K_{s,t} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

with the Pochhammer rising factorial $(a)_{(b)} = a(a+1)\dots(a+b-1)$ and two integrals calculated in Ref. [45] as

$$\begin{aligned} I_{s,t} &= \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-x} x^{\lambda + \frac{L+J}{2} + 8 + s + t} \mathcal{L}_{n+Q/2-\lambda}^{2\lambda+7}(x) dx \\ &= \frac{(\lambda + \frac{L+J}{2} + 8 + s + t)!}{(n + Q/2 - \lambda)!} \left(\lambda - \frac{L+J}{2} - s - t - 1 \right)_{(n+Q/2-\lambda)}, \quad (\text{A.10}) \\ K_{s,t} &= \frac{2^{-(L+J+7+s+t)}}{(s+J+3)!(t+L+3)!} \int_{-1}^{+1} (1-x)^{t+L+3} (1+x)^{s+J+3} \mathcal{P}_{\lambda-\frac{L+J}{2}}^{(L+3,J+3)}(x) dx \\ &= \frac{(\lambda - \frac{J-L}{2} + 3)!}{(L+3)!(\lambda + \frac{J-L}{2})!(L+J+s+t+7)!} \\ &\quad \times {}_3F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} -(\lambda - \frac{L+J}{2}), \lambda + \frac{L+J}{2} + 7, t + L + 4 \\ L + 4, L + J + s + t + 8 \end{matrix} ; 1 \right] \\ &= \frac{(\lambda - \frac{J-L}{2} + 3)!}{(L+3)!(\lambda - \frac{L+J}{2})!(L+J+s+t+7)!} \frac{[-(s+t)]_{(\lambda-\frac{L+J}{2})}}{[-(\lambda + \frac{L+J}{2} + 7 + s + t)]_{(\lambda-\frac{L+J}{2})}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\times {}_3F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} -\left(\lambda - \frac{L+J}{2}\right), -t, \lambda + \frac{L+J}{2} + 7 \\ L+4, -(s+t) \end{matrix} ; 1 \right]. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

As can be seen from Equation (A.11), integral $K_{s,t}$ non vanishes if and only if $\lambda - (L+J)/2 - (s+t) - 1 < 0$. Therefore Equation (A.10) should be rewritten as

$$I_{s,t} = \frac{(\lambda + \frac{L+J}{2} + 8 + s + t)!}{(n + Q/2 - \lambda)!} \times \left[- \left((s+t) - \left(\lambda - \frac{L+J}{2} \right) + 1 \right) \right]_{(n+Q/2-\lambda)}, \quad (\text{A.12})$$

which is only nonzero when $(s+t - (\lambda - L/2 - J/2) + 1) < n + Q/2 - \lambda - 1 \Rightarrow s+t \geq n + Q/2 - L/2 - J/2 - 1$. Comparing with the boundary values of indices (s, t) , the nonzero terms in Equation (A.9) correspond to

$$\begin{cases} (1) & s = n_p, & t = n + \frac{Q}{2} - \frac{L+J}{2} - n_p, \\ (2) & s = n_p, & t = n + \frac{Q}{2} - \frac{L+J}{2} - n_p - 1, \\ (3) & s = n_p - 1, & t = n + \frac{Q}{2} - \frac{L+J}{2} - n_p. \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.13})$$

Replacing these expressions into Equation (A.9), then reducing the last two terms (2) and (3) by the contiguous relation for ${}_3F_2(z=1)$ given in [51, 52] as

$$\begin{aligned} n_p {}_3F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} -\left(\lambda - \frac{L+J}{2}\right), -\left(n + \frac{Q}{2} - \frac{L+J}{2}\right) + n_p, \lambda + \frac{L+J}{2} + 7 \\ L+4, -\left(n + \frac{Q}{2} - \frac{L+J}{2}\right) + 1 \end{matrix} ; 1 \right] \\ = - \left(n + \frac{Q}{2} - \frac{L+J}{2} - n_p \right) \\ \times {}_3F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} -\left(\lambda - \frac{L+J}{2}\right), -\left(n + \frac{Q}{2} - \frac{L+J}{2}\right) + n_p + 1, \lambda + \frac{L+J}{2} + 7 \\ L+4, -\left(n + \frac{Q}{2} - \frac{L+J}{2}\right) + 1 \end{matrix} ; 1 \right] \\ + \left(n + \frac{Q}{2} - \frac{L+J}{2} \right) \\ \times {}_3F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} -\left(\lambda - \frac{L+J}{2}\right), -\left(n + \frac{Q}{2} - \frac{L+J}{2}\right) + n_p, \lambda + \frac{L+J}{2} + 7 \\ L+4, -\left(n + \frac{Q}{2} - \frac{L+J}{2}\right) \end{matrix} ; 1 \right], \quad (\text{A.14}) \end{aligned}$$

followed by comparing them with the ${}_3F_2(z=1)$ -form of $SU(2)$ Clebsch-Gordan coefficients (see in Refs. [53, 33]), we finally obtain the compact form of the coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\lambda; n_p}^{n, L, Q, J} &= (-1)^{\lambda - (L+J)/2} \frac{(n + \frac{Q}{2} - \frac{L+J}{2})!}{(L+3)!} \sqrt{\frac{(\lambda + \frac{L+J}{2} + 6)!}{(\lambda - \frac{L+J}{2})!}} \\ &\times \sqrt{\frac{(2\lambda + 7)(\lambda - \frac{J-L}{2} + 3)!}{(n + \frac{Q}{2} + \lambda + 7)!(n + \frac{Q}{2} - \lambda)!(\lambda + \frac{J-L}{2} + 3)!}} \\ &\times \sqrt{\frac{(n_p + J + 3)!}{(n + \frac{Q}{2} - \frac{L+J}{2} - n_p)!} \frac{(n + \frac{Q}{2} - \frac{L+J}{2} - n_p + L + 3)!}{n_p!}} \\ &\times {}_3F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} -\left(\lambda - \frac{L+J}{2}\right), -\left(n + \frac{Q}{2} - \frac{L+J}{2}\right) + n_p, \lambda + \frac{L+J}{2} + 7 \\ L+4, -\left(n + \frac{Q}{2} - \frac{L+J}{2}\right) \end{matrix} ; 1 \right] \\ &= (-1)^{n+Q/2-\lambda-n_p} \\ &\times C_{\frac{n+3}{2} + \frac{Q+J-L}{4}, n_p - \frac{n}{2} + \frac{L+J-Q}{4} + \frac{J+3}{2}; \frac{n+3}{2} + \frac{Q-J+L}{4}, \frac{n}{2} - \frac{L+J-Q}{4} - n_p + \frac{L+3}{2}}^{\lambda+3, \frac{L+J}{2}+3}. \quad (\text{A.15}) \end{aligned}$$

The above expression has the same form as the Tarter coefficient of the three-dimensional hydrogen atom [51] and also consistent with that of three- and five-dimensional MICZ-Kepler problem [33, 46].

Now utilizing the well-known recurrence relation of $SU(2)$ Clebsch-Gordan coefficients [53]

$$\left\{ \frac{\alpha - \beta}{2} - \frac{\gamma(a-b)(a+b+1)}{2c(c+1)} \right\} \mathcal{C}_{a,\alpha;b,\beta}^{c,\gamma} = \sqrt{\frac{(c-\gamma+1)(c+\gamma+1)(b-a+c+1)(a-b+c+1)(a+b-c)(a+b+c+2)}{4(c+1)^2(2c+3)(2c+1)}} \mathcal{C}_{a,\alpha;b,\beta}^{c+1,\gamma} + \sqrt{\frac{(c-\gamma)(c+\gamma)(b-a+c)(a-b+c)(a+b-c+1)(a+b+c+1)}{4c^2(2c+1)(2c-1)}} \mathcal{C}_{a,\alpha;b,\beta}^{c-1,\gamma}, \quad (\text{A.16})$$

we finally show that the coefficients must obey the following recurrence relation

$$\left[n_p - \frac{1}{2}(n + Q/2 - J) - \frac{(J-L)(L+J+6)(2n+Q+8)}{16(\lambda+3)(\lambda+4)} \right] W_{\lambda;n_p}^{n,L,Q,J} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(B_\lambda W_{\lambda-1;n_p}^{n,L,Q,J} + B_{\lambda+1} W_{\lambda+1;n_p}^{n,L,Q,J} \right), \quad (\text{A.17})$$

with

$$B_\lambda = \sqrt{(n+Q/2-\lambda+1)(n+Q/2+\lambda+7)} \times \sqrt{\frac{(\lambda - \frac{L+J}{2})(\lambda + \frac{L+J}{2} + 6)(\lambda - \frac{J-L}{2} + 3)(\lambda + \frac{J-L}{2} + 3)}{(\lambda+3)^2(2\lambda+7)(2\lambda+5)}}. \quad (\text{A.18})$$

Furthermore, in Equation (46), the last term $2 \sum_{n_p} n_p W_{\lambda';n_p}^{n,L,Q,J} W_{\lambda;n_p}^{n,L,Q,J}$ needs to be simplified so that the matrix element of Laplace-Runge-Lenz component \hat{M}_9 in the spherical representation is determined as Equation (47). This simplification is as follows. First, we rewrite the recurrence relation Equation (A.17):

$$2n_p W_{\lambda';n_p}^{n,L,Q,J} = \left[n + Q/2 - J + \frac{(J-L)(L+J+6)(2n+Q+8)}{8(\lambda'+3)(\lambda'+4)} \right] W_{\lambda';n_p}^{n,L,Q,J} - B_{\lambda'} W_{\lambda'-1;n_p}^{n,L,Q,J} - B_{\lambda'+1} W_{\lambda'+1;n_p}^{n,L,Q,J}. \quad (\text{A.19})$$

Then replace the term $2n_p W_{\lambda';n_p}^{n,L,Q,J}$ in the sum $2 \sum_{n_p} n_p W_{\lambda';n_p}^{n,L,Q,J} W_{\lambda;n_p}^{n,L,Q,J}$ by the right-hand-side of the above equation to obtain

$$\sum_{n_p} n_p W_{\lambda';n_p}^{n,L,Q,J} W_{\lambda;n_p}^{n,L,Q,J} = \sum_{n_p} \left\{ \left[n + Q/2 - J + \frac{(J-L)(L+J+6)(2n+Q+8)}{8(\lambda'+3)(\lambda'+4)} \right] W_{\lambda';n_p}^{n,L,Q,J} W_{\lambda;n_p}^{n,L,Q,J} - B_{\lambda'} W_{\lambda'-1;n_p}^{n,L,Q,J} W_{\lambda;n_p}^{n,L,Q,J} - B_{\lambda'+1} W_{\lambda'+1;n_p}^{n,L,Q,J} W_{\lambda;n_p}^{n,L,Q,J} \right\}. \quad (\text{A.20})$$

Now taking into account the orthogonality of the matrix $W_{\lambda;n_p}^{n,L,Q,J}$, we finally reduce the explicit expression of the sum as the form of a tridiagonal matrix

$$2 \sum_{n_p} n_p W_{\lambda';n_p}^{n,L,Q,J} W_{\lambda;n_p}^{n,L,Q,J} = \left[n + Q/2 - J + \frac{(J-L)(L+J+6)(2n+Q+8)}{8(\lambda+3)(\lambda+4)} \right] \delta_{\lambda'\lambda} - B_{\lambda+1} \delta_{\lambda'-1,\lambda} - B_\lambda \delta_{\lambda'+1,\lambda}, \quad (\text{A.21})$$

from which we determine the matrix element of Laplace-Runge-Lenz component \hat{M}_9 in the spherical representation given in Equation (47).