

# RANDOM SYMMETRIC MATRICES: RANK DISTRIBUTION AND IRREDUCIBILITY OF THE CHARACTERISTIC POLYNOMIAL

ASAF FERBER, VISHESH JAIN, ASHWIN SAH, AND MEHTAAB SAWHNEY

**ABSTRACT.** Conditional on the extended Riemann hypothesis, we show that with high probability, the characteristic polynomial of a random symmetric  $\{\pm 1\}$ -matrix is irreducible. This addresses a question raised by Eberhard in recent work. The main innovation in our work is establishing sharp estimates regarding the rank distribution of symmetric random  $\{\pm 1\}$ -matrices over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  for primes  $2 < p \leq \exp(O(n^{1/4}))$ . Previously, such estimates were available only for  $p = o(n^{1/8})$ . At the heart of our proof is a way to combine multiple inverse Littlewood–Offord-type results to control the contribution to singularity-type events of vectors in  $\mathbb{F}_p^n$  with anticoncentration at least  $1/p + \Omega(1/p^2)$ . Previously, inverse Littlewood–Offord-type results only allowed control over vectors with anticoncentration at least  $C/p$  for some large constant  $C > 1$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The irreducibility of random polynomials has attracted much interest in recent years. A well-known conjecture of Odlyzko and Poonen [21] is that the random polynomial  $P(x) = x^d + b_{d-1}x^{d-1} + \dots + b_1x + b_0$ , where  $b_0 = 1$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_{d-1}$  are i.i.d.  $\text{Ber}(1/2)$  random variables (i.e. each  $b_i$  is independently 0 or 1 with probability  $1/2$  each), is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  with probability  $1 - o_d(1)$ . This was established in a more general form by Breuillard and Varjú [3] under the Riemann Hypothesis for a family of Dedekind zeta functions. A version of this conjecture, where  $b_0, \dots, b_{d-1}$  are distributed uniformly in  $\{1, \dots, L\}$  for  $L$  divisible by at least 4 distinct primes, was established (unconditionally) by Bary-Soroker and Kozma [2]. In recent work, Bary-Soroker, Koukoulopoulos, and Kozma [1] showed that the result continues to hold for  $\{1, \dots, L\}$  for  $L \geq 35$ . We refer the reader to [1–3] for more precise and general versions of the aforementioned results.

Another popular model of random polynomials is the characteristic polynomial of a random matrix. It was conjectured by Babai in the 1970s (and again, by Vu and Wood in 2009) that for an  $n \times n$  matrix  $N_n$  whose entries are i.i.d. Rademacher random variables (i.e.  $\pm 1$  with probability  $1/2$  each), the characteristic polynomial  $\widehat{\varphi}(t) = \det(tI_n - N_n)$  is irreducible with probability  $1 - o_n(1)$ . This was confirmed, under the extended Riemann Hypothesis, in recent work of Eberhard [8], building on [3] and ideas from the non-asymptotic theory of random matrices. It is perhaps even more natural to consider the (real-rooted) characteristic polynomial  $\varphi(t) = \det(tI_n - M_n)$ , where  $M_n$  is an  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix whose entries on and above the diagonal are i.i.d. Rademacher random variables. In [8], Eberhard asked if  $\varphi(t)$  is irreducible with probability  $1 - o_n(1)$ . We answer this question in the affirmative under the extended Riemann hypothesis.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume the extended Riemann Hypothesis (ERH) (i.e., the Riemann Hypothesis for Dedekind zeta functions for all number fields). Then there is an absolute constant  $c > 0$  such that characteristic polynomial  $\varphi(t) = \det(tI_n - M_n)$  of an  $n \times n$  random symmetric Rademacher matrix  $M_n$  is irreducible with probability at least  $1 - 2\exp(-cn^{1/4})$ .*

---

Ferber was supported in part by NSF grants DMS-1954395 and DMS-1953799. Sah and Sawhney were supported by NSF Graduate Research Fellowship Program DGE-1745302.

*Remark.* The proof in this paper can easily be extended to handle the class of  $\alpha$ -balanced distributions considered in [8] with straightforward modifications; we leave the details to the interested reader.

It was noted in [8] that given the techniques in [3, 8], [Theorem 1.1](#) (with the weaker probability bound  $1 - o_n(1)$ ) can be deduced from the following universality statement: the probability that  $tI_n - M_n$  is invertible over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , for  $p = n^{\Omega(1)}$ , is essentially the same as for an  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix whose entries on and above the diagonal are sampled from the uniform distribution on  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Despite the intensive efforts to study the singularity probability of symmetric Rademacher matrices ([4, 6, 7, 10, 14, 20, 24] and especially the recent breakthrough [5] which confirms the long-standing conjecture that the singularity probability of symmetric Rademacher matrices is exponentially small), a result of this precision has remained elusive. While for  $p = o(n^{1/8})$ , such a result is known due to work of Maples [18], the bound on  $p$  is too restrictive to imply [Theorem 1.1](#) (even with the weaker probability  $1 - o_n(1)$ ). The main challenge in addressing the regime  $p = \omega(n^{1/2})$  is that one must consider the arithmetic structure of vectors which are orthogonal to random subspaces of small co-dimension. However, inverse Littlewood–Offord type theorems (cf. [11, 22, 23]), which have been designed to study only arithmetically structured vectors, fail to apply to vectors in  $\mathbb{F}_p$  with anticoncentration at least  $C/p$  for some large constant  $C > 1$ . While consideration of vectors with anticoncentration at most  $C/p$  is inessential for the less precise results mentioned above, here we must provide an appropriate structural result for vectors with anticoncentration at least  $1/p + \Omega(1/p^2)$ , say. This is accomplished in the key [Proposition 2.5](#). Finally, we note that an upper bound on the singularity probability of the form  $1/p + O(1/p^2)$  can be deduced for  $p = o(n^{1/2})$  from estimates on the expected size of the kernel over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  due to [9], but for similar reasons to those mentioned above these estimates do not appear to extend to  $p$  which is larger than a small polynomial.

An obvious generalization of studying the probability of singularity of  $M_n$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  is studying the rank distribution of  $M_n$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . For symmetric Rademacher matrices, the only prior work we are aware of is the aforementioned work of Maples [18], which effectively requires  $p = o(n^{1/8})$ . Results for unrestricted  $p$  are available under the very strong assumption that the independent entries of  $M_n$  are uniformly [12] or nearly-uniformly [15] distributed over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

The main innovation of our work is the following result regarding the rank distribution of symmetric Rademacher matrices (and diagonal perturbations) over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  for all  $2 < p \leq \exp(\eta n^{1/4})$ :

**Theorem 1.2.** *There exists  $\eta > 0$  so that for any  $2 < p \leq \exp(\eta n^{1/4})$  and for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p$ , the  $n \times n$  symmetric Rademacher matrix  $M_n$  satisfies*

$$\mathbb{P}[\text{rank}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(M_n - \lambda I_n) = n - k] = \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 - p^{-(2i+1)})}{\prod_{i=1}^k (p^i - 1)} + O(\exp(-\eta n / \log p)).$$

*Remark.* The proof can be extended routinely to the class of  $\alpha$ -balanced distributions; however, for the sake of brevity, we have restricted our attention to the Rademacher distribution.

*Remark.* [Theorem 1.2](#) is the natural symmetric analog of the results in [16, 17]. As mentioned above, a version was known for  $p$  sufficiently small (with weaker error terms) due to Maples [18].

**1.1. Organization.** The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In [Section 2](#), we prove our key structural result ([Proposition 2.5](#)) for vectors which are orthogonal to many rows of  $M_n$ . In [Section 3](#), we use this, along with arguments in [15, 18] to deduce [Theorem 1.2](#). [Appendix A](#) contains the deduction of [Theorem 1.1](#) from the  $k = 1$  case of [Theorem 1.2](#), following the arguments in [8]. Finally, [Appendix B](#) contains the proof of a ‘crude’ structure theorem (which appears in [10], but with worse parameters) for the reader’s convenience.

## 2. STRUCTURE THEOREM FOR ALMOST-KERNEL VECTORS

We begin by showing the easy fact that, except with exponentially small probability, no sparse vector has sparse image under  $M$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $0 \leq r \leq n$  be a parameter. We say that  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$  is an  $r$ -kernel vector of a matrix  $M \in \mathbb{F}_p^{n \times n}$  if  $M\mathbf{v}$  is  $r$ -sparse.

In particular, 0-kernel vectors correspond to the usual right-kernel of  $M$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $p \geq 3$ . With probability at least  $1 - \exp(-n/6)$ , the symmetric random matrix  $M_n - \lambda I_n$  has no  $n/(16 \log p)$ -sparse  $n/4$ -kernel vectors in  $\mathbb{F}_p^n$ .*

*Proof.* Fix a non-zero  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$  with  $v_1 \neq 0$ . We begin by computing the probability that  $M\mathbf{v}$  is  $n/4$ -sparse. We denote the rows of  $M$  by  $R_1, \dots, R_n$  and reveal the rows from bottom-to-top. Since the first entry  $R_i$  is independent  $R_{i+1}, \dots, R_n$ , since  $v_1 \neq 0$ , and since  $p \geq 3$ , it follows that

$$\max_{R_{i+1}, \dots, R_n} \mathbb{P}[R_i \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0 \mid R_{i+1}, \dots, R_n] \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Therefore, the probability that  $M\mathbf{v}$  is  $n/4$ -sparse is at most

$$\frac{1}{2^{n-n/4}} \cdot \binom{n}{n/4}.$$

Finally, taking the union bound over the at most

$$\exp(nH(1/(16 \log p)))p^{n/(8 \log p)}$$

choices of  $n/(16 \log p)$ -sparse vectors in  $\mathbb{F}_p^n$  gives the desired conclusion.  $\square$

We recall the definition of the atom probability of a vector  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$  with respect to Rademacher random variables.

**Definition 2.3.** The atom probability of a vector  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$  is defined as

$$\rho_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbf{v}) = \max_{r \in \mathbb{F}_p} \mathbb{P}[\xi_1 v_1 + \dots + \xi_n v_n = r],$$

where  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$  are i.i.d. Rademacher random variables.

We will need the following ‘crude’ structure theorem for  $(n - n/\log p)$ -kernel vectors, which follows from a more careful version of the argument in [10]. For the reader’s convenience, we include details in [Appendix B](#).

**Proposition 2.4.** *Suppose that  $2 < p \leq \exp(c_{2.4} n^{1/4})$  and fix  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p$ . With probability at least  $1 - \exp(-n/8)$ , every vector  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$  which is orthogonal to at least  $n - n/\log p$  rows of the random symmetric matrix  $M_n - \lambda I_n$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  satisfies the following two properties:*

- $|\text{supp}(\mathbf{v})| \geq n/(16 \log p)$ , and
- There exists  $S \subseteq \text{supp}(\mathbf{v})$  with  $|S| \in [\sqrt{n \log n}, n^{3/4}]$  such that

$$\rho_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbf{v}|_S) \leq \frac{C_{2.4}}{p}.$$

Since

$$\rho_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbf{v}) \leq \rho_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbf{v}|_S)$$

for any  $S \subseteq [n]$ , the crude structure theorem shows that any  $(n/\log p)$ -kernel vector of  $M_n - \lambda I_n$  has atom probability at most  $\frac{C_{2.4}}{p}$ . While this result is optimal up to the constant  $C_{2.4}$ , it is unfortunately insufficient for our application. The next proposition, which is one of the main innovations of this paper, allows us to show that any  $(n/\log p)$ -kernel vector of  $M_n - \lambda I_n$  has many disjoint chunks with atom-probability approximately  $1/p$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** *Suppose that  $2 < p \leq \exp(c_{2.5} n^{1/4})$  and fix  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p$ . Let  $m = C_{2.5} \log p$ . With probability at least  $1 - \exp(-n/9)$ , every vector  $\mathbf{v}$  orthogonal to at least  $n - n/\log p$  rows of the random symmetric matrix  $M_n - \lambda I_n$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  has the following property, which we denote by  $(\dagger)$ : given any set  $T \subseteq [n]$  of size  $n/4$ , there at least  $n/(2m)$  disjoint sets  $S$  of size  $m$  in  $[n] \setminus T$  such that*

$$\text{disc}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbf{v}|_S) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} \left| \mathbb{P}_{\xi} \left[ \sum_{i \in S} \xi_i v_i = x \right] - \frac{1}{p} \right| \leq \frac{C_{2.5}}{p^2}.$$

*Proof.* This is trivial for  $p \leq C_{2.5}^{1/2}$ , so assume the opposite. Let  $r = n/\log p$ .

First, by Lemma 2.2 and Proposition 2.4, we may assume that  $\mathbf{v}$  has some  $S \subseteq \text{supp}(\mathbf{v})$  with  $|S| \in [\sqrt{n \log n}, n^{3/4}]$  such that

$$\rho_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbf{v}|_S) \leq \frac{C_{2.4}}{p}.$$

For some fixed vector with this property, a straightforward argument (cf. proof of Proposition 2.4) shows that the probability that it is orthogonal to some set of  $n - r$  rows of  $M_n$  is at most

$$\binom{n}{r} \cdot \left( \frac{C_{2.4}}{p} \right)^{n-n^{3/4}-r} \leq C^n p^{-n}. \quad (2.1)$$

We will use a union bound argument to establish Proposition 2.5. Given (2.1), the key is to show that the set of vectors  $\mathbf{v}$  which fail to satisfy  $(\dagger)$  is sufficiently sparse in  $\mathbb{F}_p^n$ . To this end, consider some  $T$  of size  $n/4$  and for each such  $T$ , consider a fixed (but otherwise arbitrary) partition of  $[n] \setminus T$  into  $S_1, \dots, S_{3n/(4m)}$  of size  $m$  (up to rounding). There are at most  $2^n$  ways to choose  $T$  and at most  $2^n$  ways to choose which of these sets satisfy

$$\text{disc}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbf{v}|_{S_i}) \leq C_{2.5}/p^2.$$

If  $\mathbf{v}$  violates  $(\dagger)$ , then at least a third of these indices are failures. Thus, we see that the number of vectors which violate  $(\dagger)$  is at most

$$2^n \cdot 2^n \cdot (p^{3n/4}) \cdot |T|^{n/(4m)}, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $T$  is the set of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -vectors of size  $m$  with

$$\text{disc}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbf{v}|_{S_i}) > C_{2.5}/p^2.$$

Fix some sufficiently large absolute constant  $C' > 0$  (depending on  $C$  in (2.1)). We claim that if  $C_{2.5} \geq 1$  chosen large enough, then  $|T| \leq (p/C')^m$  (recall that  $p > C_{2.5}^{1/2}$ ). Note that this claim, together with (2.1) and (2.2) completes the proof of Proposition 2.5.

We now prove the claim. Note that if  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_m) \in T \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^m$  for i.i.d. Rademacher random variables  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p^2} < \text{disc}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbf{b}) &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} \left| \mathbb{P}_{\xi} [b_1 \xi_1 + \dots + b_m \xi_m = x] - \frac{1}{p} \right| \\ &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} \left| \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times} \exp(2\pi i(\ell x/p)) \prod_{j=1}^m \cos(2\pi(\ell b_j/p)) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times} \prod_{j=1}^m |\cos(2\pi(\ell b_j/p))| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times} \exp\left( - \sum_{j=1}^m \|2\ell b_j/p\|_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}}^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \max_{\ell \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times} \exp \left( - \sum_{j=1}^m \|\ell b_j/p\|_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}}^2 \right).$$

In particular, there is some  $\ell \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$  with

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \|\ell b_j/p\|_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}}^2 \leq 2 \log p.$$

To count the number of such vectors, note that there are at most  $p$  choices of  $\ell$ . Moreover, since coordinate-wise multiplication by  $\ell$  is a bijection from  $\mathbb{F}_p^m$  onto itself, it follows that

$$|T| \leq p \cdot \#\{\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{F}_p^m : \sum_{j=1}^m \|b_j/p\|_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}}^2 \leq 2 \log p\}.$$

The second term in the product is a count of lattice points in a ball. A standard volumetric argument shows that there are at most  $\text{vol}(B_2^m(R + \sqrt{m}))$  integer lattice points in an  $m$ -dimensional ball of radius  $R$ . Hence, we see that

$$|T| \leq p \cdot \left( 1 + \frac{p\sqrt{2 \log p}}{\sqrt{m}} \right)^m \leq 2^m \cdot \left( 1 + p\sqrt{2C_{2.5}^{-1}} \right)^m \leq \left( 8C_{2.5}^{-1/2} p \right)^m$$

since  $m = C_{2.5} \log p$  and  $p > C_{2.5}^{1/2}$ . Choosing  $C_{2.5}$  sufficiently large completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

We are now in position to deduce Theorem 1.2; the high-level structure of the argument is as in [15, 18]. However, we make explicit a number of details which are implicit in [18] as well as make a number of simplifications and changes to account for use of our structure theorem Proposition 2.5.

We first recall a basic linear algebra fact about full-rank principal minors.

**Lemma 3.1.** *If  $M \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$  is a symmetric matrix of rank  $r$ , then there is an invertible  $r \times r$  principal minor of  $M$ .*

Fix  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p$ . We consider the nested sequence of symmetric matrices

$$M_1 - \lambda I_1 \subseteq M_2 - \lambda I_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M_n - \lambda I_n,$$

where  $M_i - \lambda I_i$  is the top-left  $i \times i$ -submatrix of  $M - \lambda I$  (hence, the nested sequence is obtained by iteratively adding symmetric “reverse-L” shapes of Rademacher random variables, with a shift by  $\lambda$  on the diagonal element). For simplicity, let  $A_t = M_t - \lambda I_t$ . Define the events

$$\mathcal{E}_{S,t} = \{A_t \text{ has no nonzero } t/(16 \log p)\text{-sparse } t/4\text{-kernel vector}\}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{U,t} = \{\text{every nonzero } (t/\log p)\text{-kernel vector } \mathbf{v} \text{ of } A_t \text{ satisfies property } (\dagger)\},$$

where recall that  $(\dagger)$  is the property that given any set  $T \subseteq [t]$  of size  $t/4$ , there at least  $t/(2m)$  disjoint sets  $S$  of size  $m = C_{2.5} \log p$  in  $[t] \setminus T$  such that

$$\text{disc}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbf{v}|_S) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} \left| \mathbb{P}_\xi \left[ \sum_{i \in S} \xi_i v_i = x \right] - \frac{1}{p} \right| \leq \frac{C_{2.5}}{p^2}.$$

By Lemma 2.2 and Proposition 2.5, we see that

$$\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{E}_{S,t}^c \vee \mathcal{E}_{U,t}^c] \leq 2 \exp(-t/9). \tag{3.1}$$

**Lemma 3.2.** We have for  $2 < p \leq \exp(c_{3.2}n^{1/4})$ , fixed  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p$ , and for any  $k \geq 0$  that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}[\text{corank } A_{t+1} = k - 1 | A_t : \text{corank } A_t = k \wedge \mathcal{E}_{S,t} \wedge \mathcal{E}_{U,t}] &= 1 - p^{-k} + O(\exp(-\Omega(t))), \\ \mathbb{P}[\text{corank } A_{t+1} = k + 0 | A_t : \text{corank } A_t = k \wedge \mathcal{E}_{S,t} \wedge \mathcal{E}_{U,t}] &= p^{-k} - p^{-k-1} + O(\exp(-\Omega(t/\log p))), \\ \mathbb{P}[\text{corank } A_{t+1} = k + 1 | A_t : \text{corank } A_t = k \wedge \mathcal{E}_{S,t} \wedge \mathcal{E}_{U,t}] &= p^{-k-1} + O(\exp(-\Omega(t/\log p))).\end{aligned}$$

*Remark.* Note that, without the error terms inside  $O(\cdot)$ , the probabilities are exactly the same as for the uniform model (i.e. the independent entries of  $M_n$  are chosen uniformly from  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ).

Before proving [Lemma 3.2](#), let us show how it implies [Theorem 1.2](#).

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* We consider the exposure process obtained by iteratively revealing  $M_t$  for  $1 \leq t \leq n$  and considering the resulting corank of  $A_t$ . Starting from a random  $A_{n/20}$ , let  $\tau$  denote the (random) first value of  $t \geq n/20$  such that either (i)  $A_t \in \mathcal{E}_{S,t}^c \cup \mathcal{E}_{U,t}^c$ , or (ii)  $\text{corank}(A_t) = 0$ . We claim that, except with probability at most  $O(\exp(-\Omega(n/\log p)))$ , we have  $\tau \leq n/2$ , and moreover,  $A_\tau$  satisfies condition (ii) and not condition (i).

To see this, note that by [Lemma 2.2](#) and [Proposition 2.5](#), the probability that  $A_t \in \mathcal{E}_{S,t}^c \cup \mathcal{E}_{U,t}^c$  is  $O(\exp(-\Omega(n)))$  for any  $t \geq n/20$ . Moreover, for  $A_t \in \mathcal{E}_{S,t} \wedge \mathcal{E}_{U,t}$  with  $\text{corank}(A_t) = k \geq 1$ , we see that  $\mathbb{P}[\text{corank } A_{t+1} = k - 1 | A_t] \geq 1 - \frac{1}{3} + O(\exp(-\Omega(n))) \geq \frac{2}{3}$  for all  $n$  sufficiently large, and similarly,  $\mathbb{P}[\text{corank } A_{t+1} = k + 1 | A_t] \leq \frac{1}{8}$  for all  $n$  sufficiently large. Therefore, by a straightforward comparison argument, it follows that for all  $n$  sufficiently large, the probability that  $\tau \leq n/2$  and  $A_\tau$  satisfies condition (ii) and not condition (i) is at most

$$O(\exp(-\Omega(n/\log p))) + q,$$

where  $q = O(\exp(-\Omega(n)))$  is the probability that a biased random walk with steps  $-1$  with probability  $1/2$ ,  $0$  with probability  $1/4$ , and  $+1$  with probability  $1/4$  and initial state  $n/20$  does not hit  $0$  in  $n/2 - n/20$  steps.

To summarize, we have shown that except with probability  $O(\exp(-\Omega(n/\log p)))$ , there exists some  $\tau \in [n/20, n/2]$  such that  $A_\tau \in \mathcal{E}_{S,\tau} \wedge \mathcal{E}_{U,\tau}$  and  $\text{corank}(A_\tau) = 0$ . From this point onwards, outside an event of probability at most  $O(\exp(-\Omega(n/\log p)))$ , it follows by the remark following [Lemma 3.2](#) that we can couple the corank process  $A_{\tau+1}, \dots, A_n$  with the corank process  $A'_1, \dots, A'_{n-\tau}$  where  $A'_i$  is the top-left  $i \times i$  sub-matrix of  $M'_{n-\tau} - \lambda$  and  $M'_{n-\tau}$  is an  $(n-\tau) \times (n-\tau)$  random symmetric matrix whose independent entries are chosen uniformly from  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . By [\[12, Theorem 4.1\]](#), for  $\tau \in [n/20, n/2]$  and for any  $0 \leq k \leq n-\tau$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}[\text{corank } A'_{n-\tau} = k] = \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 - p^{-(2i+1)})}{\prod_{i=1}^k (p^i - 1)} + O(p^{-\Omega(n)}),$$

which completes the proof. □

Finally, we prove [Lemma 3.2](#)

*Proof of Lemma 3.2.* Note that  $\text{rank } A_{t+1} - \text{rank } A_t \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  since rank is monotone and sub-additive and the rank of the “reverse-L” is at most 2. Since the ambient dimension increases by 1 at each step, it follows that the corank increases by one of the three values  $\{0, \pm 1\}$ , so that it suffices to prove the first and third equalities. Write

$$A_{t+1} = \begin{bmatrix} A_t & \xi_t \\ \xi_t^T & z \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $\xi_t$  is an i.i.d. Rademacher vector and  $z + \lambda$  is an independent Rademacher. Let  $\xi$  be the vector  $[\xi_t^T z]^T$ .

**Step 1: Corank decrease via linear forms.** The first equality is only nontrivial when  $k \geq 1$ , and in this case we need the rank to increase by 2. Basic linear algebra (cf. [\[7, Lemma 2.4\]](#)) shows

that this is equivalent to requiring  $\xi_t$  to lie outside the span of the column space of  $A_t$ . Equivalently,  $\xi_t$  should not be orthogonal to all kernel vectors of  $A_t$  (which form a dimension  $k$  subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_p^t$ ). Let  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$  form a basis of the kernel. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathbb{P}_\xi[\mathbf{v}_j \cdot \xi_t = 0 \text{ for all } j \in [k]] - p^{-k} \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{p^k} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{F}_p^k \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} \mathbb{E}_\xi \exp \left( \frac{2\pi i}{p} (\xi_t \cdot (a_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + a_k \mathbf{v}_k)) \right) \right| \\ &\leq \max_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{F}_p^k \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} \left| \mathbb{E}_\xi \exp \left( \frac{2\pi i}{p} (\xi_t \cdot (a_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + a_k \mathbf{v}_k)) \right) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{F}_p^k \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  denote the element attaining the maximum. Since  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$  are linearly independent vectors in the kernel of  $A_t$ ,  $\mathbf{v} = a_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + a_k \mathbf{v}_k$  is a nonzero kernel vector of  $A_t$ . Since we have conditioned on  $\mathcal{E}_{S,t} \wedge \mathcal{E}_{U,t}$ ,  $\mathbf{v}$  has at least  $t/(16 \log p)$  non-zero entries and satisfies property  $(\dagger)$ .

From  $\mathcal{E}_{U,t}$  we see that  $\mathbf{v}$  can be partitioned into at least  $t/(2m)$  disjoint sets  $S_1, \dots, S_{t/2m}$  of size  $m$  with  $\text{disc}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbf{v}|_{S_i}) \leq C_{2.5} p^{-2}$  for all  $i \in [t/2m]$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_\xi \exp \left( \frac{2\pi i}{p} \xi_t \cdot \mathbf{v} \right) &\leq \prod_{\ell=1}^{t/2m} \left| \mathbb{E}_{\xi|_{S_\ell}} \exp \left( \frac{2\pi i}{p} \xi|_{S_\ell} \cdot \mathbf{v}|_{S_\ell} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \prod_{\ell=1}^{t/2m} \left| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{F}_p} \exp \left( \frac{2\pi i}{p} j \right) \cdot \left( \frac{1}{p} + \text{disc}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbf{v}|_{S_\ell}) \right) \right| \\ &\leq \prod_{\ell=1}^{t/2m} \left| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{F}_p} \text{disc}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbf{v}|_{S_\ell}) \right| \\ &\leq (C_{2.5} p^{-1})^{t/(2m)} = \exp(-\Omega(t)), \end{aligned}$$

if  $p > C_{2.5}$ .

From  $\mathcal{E}_{S,t}$  we see that  $\mathbf{v}$  has support size at least  $t/(16 \log p)$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_\xi \exp \left( \frac{2\pi i}{p} \xi_t \cdot \mathbf{v} \right) &\leq \prod_{j \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{v})} \left| \mathbb{E}_{\xi_j} \exp \left( \frac{2\pi i}{p} \xi_j v_j \right) \right| \\ &\leq (1 - \Omega(1/p^2))^{t/16 \log p} \\ &= \exp(-\Omega(t/(p^2 \log p))) = \exp(-\Omega(t)), \end{aligned}$$

if  $p \leq C_{2.5}$ . Therefore regardless of what  $p > 2$  is, we have

$$\mathbb{P}_\xi[\mathbf{v}_j \cdot \xi_t = 0 \text{ for all } j \in [k]] = p^{-k} + O(\exp(-\Omega(t))),$$

as desired to establish the first equality.

**Step 2: Corank increase via quadratic forms.** Now we turn to the third equality. First note that it suffices to prove the claim when  $k \leq t/(64 \log p)$  since if  $k > t/(64 \log p)$ , the first equality already implies that the second and third probabilities are of size  $p^{-k} + O(\exp(-\Omega(t))) = O(\exp(-\Omega(t)))$ .

By Lemma 3.1,  $A_t$  has a principal minor of rank  $(t - k)$ . Therefore, without loss of generality, we may suppose that the top left  $(t - k) \times (t - k)$  block, call it  $B$ , has full rank. Let  $\phi$  be the restriction of  $\xi$  to these coordinates. In order for the corank of  $A_{t+1}$  to be larger than the corank of  $A_t$ , it must be the case that  $\text{rank}(A_{t+1}) = \text{rank}(A_t)$ . This precisely corresponds to the event

$$\{\exists \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{F}_p^{t-k} : \xi_t = A_t \mathbf{w}\} \wedge \{\phi^T B^{-1} \phi = z\}.$$

Indeed, if  $\xi_t$  is not in the column space then the rank of  $A_{t+1}$  must increase and if  $\phi^T B^{-1} \phi \neq -z$ , then  $B$  along with the new elements in the “reverse-L” will have rank  $(t - k + 1)$ . On the other hand, if the above event holds, then it is easy to see that  $\text{rank}(A_{t+1}) = \text{rank}(A_t)$ .

As in the first case, let  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$  form a basis of the kernel of  $A_t$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mathbb{P}_\xi[\mathbf{v}_j \cdot \xi_t = 0 \text{ for all } j \in [k] \wedge \phi^T B^{-1} \phi = z] - p^{-k-1} \right| \\ & \leq \sup_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{F}_p^{k+1} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} \left| \mathbb{E}_\xi \exp \left( \frac{2\pi i}{p} (\xi_t \cdot (a_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + a_k \mathbf{v}_k) + a_{k+1} (\phi^T B^{-1} \phi - z)) \right) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Note here that  $\xi_t, \phi, z$  all depend on  $\xi$ . Let  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_{k+1}) \in \mathbb{F}_p^{k+1} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  denote the element attaining the maximum. If  $a_{k+1} = 0$ , we have a bound of  $\exp(-\Omega(t))$  exactly as in the first case. It therefore suffices to consider  $a_{k+1} \neq 0$ . Let  $\mathbf{v} = a_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + a_k \mathbf{v}_k$ .

To handle the quadratic term  $\phi^T B^{-1} \phi$ , we will use a decoupling trick, which in this context essentially goes back to [7]. Let  $I \sqcup J = \{1, \dots, t\}$  be the partition with  $J = \lceil t/(64 \log p) \rceil$ , and let  $\xi_I, \xi_J$  be the obvious restrictions. Let  $\xi'_J$  be an independent resample of  $\xi_J$ . Let  $\xi = \xi_I + \xi_J$  and  $\xi' = \xi_I + \xi'_J$ . Let  $\phi' = \xi' \upharpoonright_{[t-k]}$ . We have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mathbb{E}_\xi \exp \left( \frac{2\pi i}{p} (\xi_t \cdot \mathbf{v} + a_{k+1} (\phi^T B^{-1} \phi - z)) \right) \right|^2 \\ & = \mathbb{E}_{\xi_I, \xi_J, \xi'_J} \exp \left( \frac{2\pi i}{p} ((\xi_J - \xi'_J) \cdot \mathbf{v} + a_{k+1} (\phi^T B^{-1} \phi - (\phi')^T B^{-1} \phi')) \right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{E}_{\xi_J, \xi'_J} \left| \mathbb{E}_{\xi_I} \left[ \exp \left( \frac{2\pi i}{p} a_{k+1} (\phi - \phi')^T B^{-1} (\phi + \phi') \right) \right] \right|_{\xi_J, \xi'_J} \\ & \leq \mathbb{E}_{\xi_J, \xi'_J} \left| \mathbb{E}_{\xi_I} \left[ \exp \left( \frac{2\pi i}{p} (2a_{k+1} (\xi_J - \xi'_J)^T B^{-1} \phi'') \right) \right] \right|_{\xi_J, \xi'_J}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have abused notation by using  $\xi_J - \xi'_J$  to denote the extension of this vector to  $[t - k]$  with the coordinates in  $[t - k] \setminus J$  equal to 0 and where  $\phi''$  denotes the  $(t - k)$ -dimensional vector which coincides with  $\xi$  (and hence  $\xi'$ ) on  $I \cap [t - k]$  and has remaining coordinates 0.

We now consider two cases. Note that  $\mathbb{P}[\xi_J = \xi'_J] = 2^{-|J|}$ , which is of size  $\exp(-\Omega(t/\log p))$ . Otherwise,  $\mathbf{w} = B^{-1}(\xi_J - \xi'_J)$  is a linear combination of at most  $t/(64 \log p)$  different columns of  $B^{-1}$  and hence is orthogonal to at least  $(t - k) - t/(64 \log p) \geq t/(32 \log p)$  different rows of  $B$ . Hence, if we extend  $\mathbf{w}$  to a  $t$ -dimensional vector by padding it with 0s, then the resulting vector is a non-zero vector which is orthogonal to at least  $t - t/32(\log p)$  rows of  $A_t$ . Since  $A_t$  is assumed to satisfy  $\mathcal{E}_{U,t}$ , it follows that this vector has at least  $t/(2m)$  disjoint sets  $S_1, \dots, S_{t/2m}$  of size  $m = C_{2.5} \log p$  such that  $\text{disc}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbf{v}|_{S_i}) \leq C_{2.5} p^{-2}$  for all  $i \in [2m]$ . Furthermore  $\mathcal{E}_{U,t}$  guarantees that we can take these sets disjoint from the set  $J \cup \{t - k + 1, \dots, t\}$  which has size at most  $t/(32 \log p)$ . In other words, the sets  $S_1, \dots, S_{t/2m}$  are contained in  $I \cap [t - k]$ , which is the support of the random entries of  $\phi''$ . Thus, similar to Step 1, we deduce for realizations of  $\xi_J, \xi'_J$  such that  $\xi_J - \xi'_J \neq 0$ ,

$$\left| \mathbb{E}_{\xi_I} \left[ \exp \left( \frac{2\pi i}{p} (2a_{k+1} (\xi_J - \xi'_J)^T B^{-1} \phi'') \right) \right] \right|_{\xi_J, \xi'_J} \leq (C_{2.5} p^{-1})^{t/(2m)} = \exp(-\Omega(t))$$

for  $p > C_{2.5}$ . For  $p \leq C_{2.5}$ , we use  $\mathcal{E}_{S,t}$  to deduce that  $\mathbf{w}$  has support size at least  $t/(16 \log p)$ . Therefore,  $\mathbf{w}$  has at least  $t/(32 \log p)$  on the support of the random entries of  $\phi''$ , so that the result again follows as in Step 1.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Lior Bary-Soroker, Dimitris Koukoulopoulos, and Gady Kozma, *Irreducibility of random polynomials: general measures*, arXiv:2007.14567. [1](#)
- [2] Lior Bary-Soroker and Gady Kozma, *Irreducible polynomials of bounded height*, *Duke Math. J.* **169** (2020), 579–598. [1](#)
- [3] Emmanuel Breuillard and Péter P. Varjú, *Irreducibility of random polynomials of large degree*, *Acta Math.* **223** (2019), 195–249. [1](#), [2](#), [9](#), [10](#)
- [4] Marcelo Campos, Matthew Jenssen, Marcus Michelen, and Julian Sahasrabudhe, *Singularity of random symmetric matrices revisited*, arXiv:2011.03013. [2](#)
- [5] Marcelo Campos, Matthew Jenssen, Marcus Michelen, and Julian Sahasrabudhe, *The singularity probability of a random symmetric matrix is exponentially small*, arXiv:2105.11384. [2](#)
- [6] Marcelo Campos, Leticia Mattos, Robert Morris, and Natasha Morrison, *On the singularity of random symmetric matrices*, *Duke Mathematical Journal* (2020), to appear. [2](#)
- [7] Kevin P. Costello, Terence Tao, and Van Vu, *Random symmetric matrices are almost surely nonsingular*, *Duke Math. J.* **135** (2006), 395–413. [2](#), [6](#), [8](#)
- [8] Sean Eberhard, *The characteristic polynomial of a random matrix*, arXiv:2008.01223. [1](#), [2](#), [9](#), [10](#)
- [9] Asaf Ferber, *Singularity of random symmetric matrices—simple proof*, arXiv:2006.07439. [2](#)
- [10] Asaf Ferber and Vishesh Jain, *Singularity of random symmetric matrices—a combinatorial approach to improved bounds*, *Forum of Mathematics, Sigma*, vol. 7, Cambridge University Press, 2019. [2](#), [3](#), [11](#)
- [11] Asaf Ferber, Vishesh Jain, Kyle Luh, and Wojciech Samotij, *On the counting problem in inverse Littlewood–Offord theory*, arXiv:1904.10425. [2](#), [11](#)
- [12] Jason Fulman and Larry Goldstein, *Stein’s method and the rank distribution of random matrices over finite fields*, *Ann. Probab.* **43** (2015), 1274–1314. [2](#), [6](#)
- [13] Vishesh Jain, *Approximate Spielman–Teng theorems for the least singular value of random combinatorial matrices*, arXiv:1904.10592. [11](#)
- [14] Vishesh Jain, Ashwin Sah, and Mehtaab Sawhney, *On the smallest singular value of symmetric random matrices*, arXiv:2011.02344. [2](#), [10](#)
- [15] Jake Koenig and Hoi Nguyen, *Rank of near uniform matrices*, arXiv:2101.00107. [2](#), [5](#)
- [16] Kyle Luh, Sean Meehan, and Hoi Nguyen, *Random matrices over finite fields: methods and results*, arXiv:1907.02575. [2](#)
- [17] Kenneth Maples, *Singularity of random matrices over finite fields*, arXiv:1012.2372. [2](#)
- [18] Kenneth Maples, *Symmetric random matrices over finite fields, announcement*, <http://user.math.uzh.ch/maples/maples.symma.pdf>. [2](#), [5](#)
- [19] Hoi Nguyen, Terence Tao, and Van Vu, *Random matrices: tail bounds for gaps between eigenvalues*, *Probability Theory and Related Fields* **167** (2017), 777–816. [10](#)
- [20] Hoi H. Nguyen and Van H. Vu, *Optimal inverse Littlewood–Offord theorems*, *Advances in Mathematics* **226** (2011), 5298–5319. [2](#)
- [21] A. M. Odlyzko and B. Poonen, *Zeros of polynomials with 0, 1 coefficients*, *Enseign. Math. (2)* **39** (1993), 317–348. [1](#)
- [22] Mark Rudelson and Roman Vershynin, *The Littlewood–Offord problem and invertibility of random matrices*, *Advances in Mathematics* **218** (2008), 600–633. [2](#)
- [23] Terence Tao and Van Vu, *On random  $\pm 1$  matrices: singularity and determinant*, *Random Structures & Algorithms* **28** (2006), 1–23. [2](#)
- [24] Roman Vershynin, *Invertibility of symmetric random matrices*, *Random Structures Algorithms* **44** (2014), 135–182. [2](#), [10](#)

## APPENDIX A. PROOF OF [THEOREM 1.1](#)

In this section, we show how the local singularity statement [Theorem 1.2](#) implies the global irreducibility statement [Theorem 1.1](#). We use an approach pioneered by Breuillard and Varjú [\[3\]](#) for random polynomials and used subsequently by Eberhard [\[8\]](#) for characteristic polynomials of i.i.d. matrices. The proof is nearly identical to that given in [\[8, Section 3\]](#) modulo the input of [Theorem 1.2](#).

We first define some notation. Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be the set of roots of  $\varphi$  and  $G$  be its Galois group. Let  $\Lambda_\varphi(p)$  be the number of roots of  $\varphi$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , without multiplicity. A number field  $K$  has discriminant

$\Delta_K$ , while a polynomial  $\varphi$  has discriminant  $\Delta_\varphi$ . Given  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $P_K(q)$  is the number of prime ideals of  $K$  of norm  $q$ .

**Proposition A.1** ([3, Proposition 16]). *If  $\varphi \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is its squarefree part, and if  $p \nmid \Delta_{\tilde{\varphi}}$  then*

$$R_\varphi(p) = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega/G} P_{\mathbb{Q}(\omega)}(p).$$

Now let

$$w_X(t) = 2 \exp(-X) \mathbb{1}_{(X-\log 2, X]}(t) \cdot t$$

be a weighting function.

**Proposition A.2** ([3, Proposition 9]). *If the Riemann hypothesis holds for  $K$  then*

$$\sum_p P_K(p) w_X(\log p) = 1 + O(X^2 \exp(-X/2) \log \Delta_K).$$

**Proposition A.3** ([8, Proposition 3.5]). *Let  $\varphi$  be the characteristic polynomial of a matrix  $M$  with integer entries bounded in magnitude by  $H$ . If the Riemann hypothesis holds for  $\mathbb{Q}(\omega)$  for all roots  $\omega$  of  $\varphi$ , then*

$$\sum_p R_\varphi(p) w_X(p) = |\Omega/G| + O(n^3 X^2 \exp(-X/2) \log(Hn)).$$

Finally, we state the following bound on the probability for a symmetric Rademacher matrix to have simple spectrum.

**Proposition A.4.** *The  $n \times n$  random symmetric Rademacher matrix  $M_n$  has simple spectrum with probability  $1 - \exp(-\Omega(n^{1/2}(\log n)^{1/4}))$ .*

*Remark.* A bound of the form  $1 - \exp(-\Omega(n^c))$  for some small constant  $c > 0$  is the content of [19, Corollary 2.3]. The improved bound stated here follows by replacing the application of the results of [24] in [19] by the substantially sharper arithmetic structure estimates [14, Theorem 4.8; Lemma 4.5] appearing in work of the last three authors [14]. We omit the standard details.

We are ready to prove the result.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1 given Theorem 1.2.* Given a prime  $p > 2$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p$ , let  $\mathcal{E}_{p,\lambda}$  be the event that the characteristic polynomial  $\varphi$  of our random symmetric matrix  $M_n$  has  $\lambda$  as a root over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . By Theorem 1.2 applied to  $M_n - \lambda I_n$ , we see that if  $2 < p \leq \exp(c_{1.2} n^{1/4})$ , then

$$\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{E}_{p,\lambda}] = \frac{1 + O(1/p)}{p}.$$

Summing over  $\lambda$  yields

$$\mathbb{E}[R_\varphi(p)] = 1 + O(1/p).$$

Thus for  $2 \leq X \leq c_{1.2} n^{1/4}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sum_p R_\varphi(p) w_X(\log p) &= \sum_p (1 + O(1/p)) w_X(\log p) \\ &= (1 + O(\exp(-X/2))) \sum_p w_X(\log p) \\ &= 1 + O(\exp(-X/2)) + O(X^2 \exp(-X/2)). \end{aligned}$$

The second line is by Proposition A.1 applied to  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ , or just the prime number theorem with Riemann error term. Applying Proposition A.2 under ERH we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}|\Omega/G| + O(n^3 X^2 \exp(-X/2) \log n) = 1 + O(X^2 \exp(-X/2))$$

hence

$$\mathbb{E}|\Omega/G| = 1 + O(n^3 X^2 \exp(-X/2) \log n).$$

Choosing  $X = c_{1.2} n^{1/4}$  at the top of its range, we deduce

$$\mathbb{E}|\Omega/G| = 1 + O(\exp(cn^{-1/4})).$$

Thus

$$\mathbb{P}[|\Omega/G| > 1] = O(\exp(cn^{-1/4})).$$

Furthermore,  $|\Omega/G| = 1$  means that  $\varphi$  is a perfect power of an irreducible polynomial.

Now to rule out the case of perfect powers and complete the proof, it suffices to show that the random symmetric matrix  $M_n$  has simple spectrum with very high probability, say at least  $1 - \exp(-\Omega(\sqrt{n}))$ . This is the content of [Proposition A.4](#).  $\square$

## APPENDIX B. PROOF OF [PROPOSITION 2.4](#)

We require a version of Halasz's inequality as well as a 'counting inverse Littlewood-Offord theorem' tailored to it. This was developed in work of the first two authors along with Luh and Samotij [\[11\]](#). For the sake of simplicity, we will use the statements [\[13, Theorem 3.8, 3.9\]](#).

**Definition B.1.** Let  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We define  $R_k^*(\mathbf{a})$  to be the number of solutions to

$$\pm a_{i_1} \pm a_2 \pm \dots \pm a_{i_{2k}} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

with  $|\{i_1, \dots, i_{2k}\}| > 1.01k$ .

**Theorem B.2** ([\[13, Theorem 3.8\]](#), c.f. [\[11, Theorem 1.4\]](#)). *Given an odd prime  $p$ , integer  $n$ , and vector  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{F}_p^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ , suppose that an integer  $0 \leq k \leq n/2$  and positive real  $L$  satisfy  $30L \leq |\text{supp}(\mathbf{a})|$  and  $80kL \leq n$ . Then*

$$\rho_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbf{a}) \leq \frac{1}{p} + C_{B.2} \frac{R_k^*(\mathbf{a}) + (40k^{0.99} n^{1.01})^k}{2^{2k} n^{2k} L^{1/2}} + e^{-L}.$$

**Theorem B.3** ([\[13, Theorem 3.9\]](#)). *Let  $p$  be a prime and let  $k, s_1, s_2, d \in [n], t \in [1, p]$  be such that  $s_1 \leq s_2$ . Let*

$$\text{Bad}_{k, s_1, s_2, \geq t}^d(n) = \left\{ \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{F}_p^n : |\text{supp}(\mathbf{a})| = d \text{ and } \forall \mathbf{b} \subset \mathbf{a}|_{\text{supp}(\mathbf{a})} \text{ s.t. } s_2 \geq |\mathbf{b}| \geq s_1 : R_k^*(\mathbf{b}) \geq t \cdot \frac{2^{2k} \cdot |\mathbf{b}|^{2k}}{p} \right\}.$$

Then,

$$|\text{Bad}_{k, s_1, s_2, \geq t}^d(n)| \leq \binom{n}{d} p^{d+s_2} (0.01t)^{-d + \frac{s_1}{s_2} d}.$$

We now are in position to prove [Proposition 2.4](#). The proof given is essentially identical to that in [\[10\]](#). However, we need to be more careful regarding the level of unstructuredness obtained in the argument.

*Proof of [Proposition 2.4](#).* Let  $r = n/\log p$ . Let  $k = n^{1/4}$ ,  $s_1 = \sqrt{n \log n}$ ,  $s_2 = n^{3/4}$ , and choose some  $n/(16 \log p) \leq d \leq n$  (so that  $s_2 \leq d$  in particular). First use [Lemma 2.2](#) to rule out  $d$ -sparse vectors  $\mathbf{v}$ . Next, let  $L = n^{1/4}$ . Consider some  $\sqrt{L} \leq t \leq p$ , if it exists.

Consider a fixed  $\mathbf{v} \in \text{Bad}_{k, s_1, s_2, \geq t}^d \setminus \text{Bad}_{k, s_1, s_2, \geq 2t}^d$  and a fixed choice of  $n - r$  rows of  $M_n - \lambda I_n$ . We wish to bound the probability that  $\mathbf{v}$  is orthogonal to all those rows. By definition, there is a set  $S \subseteq \text{supp}(\mathbf{v})$  of size in  $[s_1, s_2]$  such that

$$R_k^*(\mathbf{v}|_S) < 2t \cdot \frac{2^{2k} |S|^{2k}}{p}.$$

Since  $\mathbf{v}$  is orthogonal to all of the given  $n - r$  rows, we expose them one-by-one (with any rows in  $S$  coming last). The first at least  $n - r - s_2$  rows are such that, conditioned on the prior revelations, the

random dot product with  $\mathbf{v}$  is zero with probability at most  $\rho_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbf{v}|_S)$ . Furthermore, by [Theorem B.2](#) and the given conditions, which guarantee  $30L \leq s_1 \leq |\text{supp}(\mathbf{v}|_S)|$  and  $80kL \leq s_1 \leq |S|$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbf{v}|_S) &\leq \frac{1}{p} + C_{B.2} \frac{R_k^*(\mathbf{v}|_S) + (40k^{0.99}|S|^{1.01})^k}{2^{2k}|S|^{2k}L^{1/2}} + e^{-L} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p} + \frac{2C_{B.2}t}{p\sqrt{L}} + \frac{10^k C_{B.2}}{L^{1/2}} \left(\frac{k}{|S|}\right)^{0.99k} + e^{-L} \\ &\leq \frac{Ct}{p\sqrt{L}} \end{aligned}$$

for all sufficiently large  $n$ . Multiplying over all the rows, and taking a union bound over the possible choices of  $\mathbf{v}$  ([Theorem B.3](#)) and collection of  $n - r$  rows, we have a bound of

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{n}{d} p^{d+s_2} (0.01t)^{-d+\frac{s_1}{s_2}d} \cdot \binom{n}{r} \cdot \left(\frac{Ct}{p\sqrt{L}}\right)^{n-r-s_2} \\ \leq (C')^n p^{dt} t^{-d+\frac{s_1}{s_2}d} \left(\frac{t}{p\sqrt{L}}\right)^n \\ \leq \exp(C''n\sqrt{\log n})(t/p)^{n-d} L^{-n/2} \leq \exp(-n(\log n)/9) \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large  $n$ . Union bounding over all possible choices of  $d$  and a dyadic partition of  $t$  shows that there is an appropriately small chance of having such a vector orthogonal to  $n - r$  rows for any  $t \geq \sqrt{M}$ .

The remaining vectors  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$  with  $|\text{supp}(\mathbf{v})| \geq n/(16 \log p)$  all have some subset  $S \subseteq \text{supp}(\mathbf{v})$  such that

$$R_k^*(\mathbf{v}|_S) \leq \sqrt{L} \cdot \frac{2^{2k}|S|^{2k}}{p},$$

and applying [Theorem B.2](#) once again finishes. □

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE.  
*Email address:* `asaff@uci.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS, STANFORD UNIVERSITY, STANFORD, CA 94305, USA  
*Email address:* `visheshj@stanford.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139, USA  
*Email address:* `{asah,msawhney}@mit.edu`