

Semi-uniform Input-to-state Stability of Infinite-dimensional Systems

Masashi Wakaiki

the date of receipt and acceptance should be inserted later

Abstract We introduce notions of semi-uniform input-to-state stability and its subclass, polynomial input-to-state stability, for infinite-dimensional systems. We establish a characterization of semi-uniform input-to-state stability based on attractivity properties as in the uniform case. Sufficient conditions for linear systems to be polynomially input-to-state stable are provided, which restrict the range of an input operator depending of the polynomial decay rate of a C_0 -semigroup. We also show that bilinear systems are polynomially integral input-to-state stable under a certain smoothing assumption on nonlinear operators.

Keywords Infinite-dimensional systems · Input-to-state stability · Polynomial stability · C_0 -semigroups

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) 47D06 · 47N70 · 93C25 · 93D09

1 Introduction

Consider a semi-linear system with state space X and input space U (both Banach spaces):

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + F(x(t), u(t)); \quad x(0) = x_0 \in X, \quad (1.1)$$

where A with domain $D(A)$ generates a C_0 -semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on X and $F : X \times U \rightarrow X$ is a nonlinear operator. We are interested in the cases where

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP20K14362.

M. Wakaiki
Graduate School of System Informatics, Kobe University, Nada, Kobe, Hyogo 657-8501,
Japan
Tel.: +8178-803-6232
Fax: +8178-803-6392
E-mail: wakaiki@ruby.kobe-u.ac.jp

- the semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is *semi-uniformly stable*, that is, $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is uniformly bounded and $\|T(t)(I - A)^{-1}\| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$;
- the semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is *polynomially stable with parameter $\alpha > 0$* , that is, $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is semi-uniformly stable and $\|T(t)(I - A)^{-1}\| = O(t^{-1/\alpha})$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, i.e., there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that for all $t > 0$,

$$\|T(t)(I - A)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{M}{t^{1/\alpha}}.$$

In this paper, we introduce and study new notions of input-to-state stability (ISS), which are closely related to semi-uniformly stable semigroups and polynomially stable semigroups.

The concept of ISS has been introduced for ordinary differential equations in [29]. This concept combines asymptotic stability with respect to initial states and robustness against external inputs. Motivated by robust stability analysis of partial differential equations, ISS has been recently studied for infinite-dimensional systems, e.g., in [9, 12–14, 16, 17, 21, 22, 28]; see also the survey [20]. Exponential stability of semigroups plays an important role in the theory of uniform ISS. The concept of ISS related to strong stability of semigroups has been also introduced in [21]. This stability concept is called strong ISS.

Exponential stability of semigroups is a strong property in terms of quantitative asymptotic character and robustness against perturbations. However, we sometimes encounter systems that is strongly stable but not exponentially stable. Strong stability is distinctly qualitative in character unlike exponential stability and has a much weaker asymptotic property than exponential stability. Hence, it does not hold in general that the system (1.1) with generator A of a strongly stable semigroup is *uniformly globally stable*, that is, there exist functions $\sigma, \gamma \in \mathcal{K}_\infty$ such that

$$\|x(t)\| \leq \sigma(\|x_0\|) + \gamma \left(\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 \leq t < \infty} \|u(t)\|_U \right)$$

for all $x_0 \in X$, essentially bounded functions $u : [0, \infty) \rightarrow U$, and $t \geq 0$, even when $F(\xi, v) = Bv$ ($\xi \in X$, $v \in U$) for some bounded linear operator B from U to X , as shown in Theorem 3 of [22]. Here \mathcal{K}_∞ is the set of the classic comparison functions from nonlinear systems theory; see the notation paragraph at the end of this section. The same is true for the sets \mathcal{KL} and \mathcal{K} we will use below.

Semi-uniform stability and its subclass, polynomial stability, lie between the two notions of semigroup stability, exponential stability and strong stability, in the sense that semi-uniform stability leads to the quantified asymptotic behavior of trajectories whose initial states in the domain of the generator. Semi-uniformly stable semigroups have been extensively studied, and it has been shown that various partial differential equations such as weakly damped wave equations are semi-uniform stable. We refer, for example, to [2–4, 6, 7, 18, 24–27, 31] for the developments of semi-uniformly stable semigroups and polynomially stable semigroups. The main motivation of introduc-

ing semi-uniform and polynomial versions of ISS is to bridge the gap between uniform ISS and strong ISS, as in the semigroup case.

In a manner analogous to semi-uniform stability of semigroups, we define semi-uniform ISS of the system (1.1) as follows. The semi-linear system (1.1) is *semi-uniformly ISS* if the system is uniformly globally stable and there exist functions $\beta \in \mathcal{KL}$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{K}_\infty$ such that

$$\|x(t)\| \leq \beta(\|x_0\|_A, t) + \gamma \left(\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 \leq t < \infty} \|u(t)\|_U \right) \quad (1.2)$$

for all $x_0 \in D(A)$, essentially bounded functions $u : [0, \infty) \rightarrow U$, and $t > 0$, where $\|\cdot\|_A$ is the graph norm of A , i.e., $\|x_0\|_A := \|x_0\| + \|Ax_0\|$ for $x_0 \in D(A)$. We provide a characterization of semi-uniform ISS based on attractivity properties called the limit property and the asymptotic gain property. Such attractivity-based characterizations have been established for uniform ISS [21, Theorem 5], strong ISS [21, Theorem 12], and weak ISS [28, Theorem 3.1]. Using the attractivity properties, we show that semi-uniform ISS implies strong ISS for bilinear systems.

The semi-linear system (1.1) is called *polynomially ISS with parameter* $\alpha > 0$ if the system is semi-uniformly ISS and a \mathcal{KL} function β in (1.2) satisfies $\beta(r, t) = O(t^{-1/\alpha})$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for all $r > 0$. We study polynomial ISS of the linear system

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t); \quad x(0) = x_0 \in X, \quad (1.3)$$

where A generates a polynomially stable semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ and B is a bounded linear operator from U to X . We show that if the range of the input operator B is restricted depending on the parameter α of the polynomially stable semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$, then the linear system (1.3) is polynomial ISS. Similar conditions are placed for the range of a perturbation operator in the robustness analysis of polynomial stability [24–26]. To investigate how sharp the condition of the range of the input operator B is, we consider the case where the generator A is diagonalizable (see Definition 3.8). When the eigenvalues $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of A satisfy $|\operatorname{Im} \lambda_n - \operatorname{Im} \lambda_m| > d$ for some $d > 0$ and for all distinct $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with λ_n, λ_m near the imaginary axis, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the linear systems to be polynomially ISS. To this end, we utilize the relation between Laplace-Carleson embeddings and infinite-time admissibility established in Theorem 2.5 of [15]. We also prove that in order to achieve uniform global stability for all bounded input operators, a stronger stability property of semigroups than semi-uniform stability, e.g., exponential stability in the Hilbert case, is required.

Even in the linear case, uniform global stability and hence polynomial ISS impose a strict condition on boundedness of input operators when semigroups are polynomially stable but not exponentially stable. This observation motivates us to study a variant of ISS called integral ISS [30]. We introduce a notion of polynomial integral ISS with parameter $\alpha > 0$, which means that there exist functions $\beta \in \mathcal{KL}$, $\sigma, \theta \in \mathcal{K}_\infty$, and $\mu \in \mathcal{K}$ such that the following

three conditions hold: (i) $\|x(t)\| \leq \sigma(\|x_0\|)$ for all $t \geq 0$ in the zero-input case $u \equiv 0$; (ii) it holds that

$$\|x(t)\| \leq \beta(\|x_0\|_A, t) + \theta \left(\int_0^t \mu(\|u(s)\|_U) ds \right)$$

for all $x_0 \in D(A)$, essentially bounded functions $u : [0, \infty) \rightarrow U$, and $t > 0$; (iii) $\beta(r, t) = O(t^{-1/\alpha})$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for all $r > 0$. By definition, we immediately see that the linear system (1.3) is polynomially integral ISS for all generators of polynomially stable semigroups and all bounded input operators. Moreover, we prove that bilinear systems are also polynomially integral ISS provided that the product of the semigroup and the nonlinear operator has the same polynomial decay rate as $\|T(t)A^{-1}\|$. This result is a polynomial analogue of Theorem 4.2 in [19] on uniform integral ISS.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide a characterization of semi-uniform ISS and investigate the relation between semi-uniform ISS and strong ISS. Polynomial ISS of linear systems and polynomial integral ISS of bilinear systems are studied in Sections 3 and 4, respectively.

Notation: Let \mathbb{N}_0 and \mathbb{R}_+ denote the set of nonnegative integers and the set of nonnegative real numbers, respectively. Define $i\mathbb{R} := \{i\eta : \eta \in \mathbb{R}\}$. For real-valued functions f, g on \mathbb{R} , we write $f(t) = O(g(t))$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ if there exist $M > 0$ and $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(t) \leq Mg(t)$ for every $t \geq t_0$. Let X and Y be Banach spaces. The space of all bounded linear operators from X to Y is denoted by $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$. We set $\mathcal{L}(X) := \mathcal{L}(X, X)$. For a linear operator $A : X \rightarrow Y$, $D(A)$ and $\text{ran}(A)$ are the domain and the range of A , respectively. We denote by $\sigma(A)$ and $\varrho(A)$ the spectrum and the resolvent set of a linear operator $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$, respectively. For $\lambda \in \varrho(A)$, the resolvent operator is denoted by $R(\lambda, A) = (\lambda I - A)^{-1}$. The graph norm $\|\cdot\|_A$ of a linear operator $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ is defined by $\|x\|_A := \|x\| + \|Ax\|$ for $x \in D(A)$. We denote by $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ the space of all measurable functions $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow X$ such that $\|f\|_\infty := \text{ess sup}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \|f(t)\| < \infty$. Let Ω be a topological space. We denote by $C(\Omega, X)$ the space of all continuous functions from Ω to X .

Classes of comparison functions for ISS are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K} &:= \{\alpha \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+) : \alpha \text{ is strictly increasing and } \alpha(0) = 0\} \\ \mathcal{K}_\infty &:= \{\alpha \in \mathcal{K} : \alpha \text{ is unbounded}\} \\ \mathcal{L} &:= \left\{ \alpha \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+) : \alpha \text{ is strictly decreasing with } \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(t) = 0 \right\} \\ \mathcal{KL} &:= \{\beta \in C(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+) : \beta(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{K} \ \forall t > 0 \text{ and } \beta(r, \cdot) \in \mathcal{L} \ \forall r > 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

2 Characterization of semi-uniform input-to-state stability

Let X and U be Banach spaces with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_U$, respectively. Let \mathcal{U} be a normed vector space contained in the space $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+, U)$ of all locally integrable functions from \mathbb{R}_+ to U . We denote by $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{U}}$ the norm on \mathcal{U} . Assume

that $u(\cdot + \tau) \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\|u\|_{\mathcal{U}} \geq \|u(\cdot + \tau)\|_{\mathcal{U}}$ for all $u \in \mathcal{U}$ and all $\tau > 0$. We are interested in the case $\mathcal{U} = L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, U)$, but a general space \mathcal{U} is used for the definition of semi-uniform input-to-state stability and its characterization.

Consider a semi-linear system with state space X and input space U :

$$\Sigma(A, F) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + F(x(t), u(t)), & t \geq 0 \\ x(0) = x_0, \end{cases}$$

where A is the generator of a C_0 -semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on X , $F : X \times U \rightarrow X$ is a nonlinear operator, $x_0 \in X$ is an initial state, and $u \in \mathcal{U}$ is an input.

Definition 2.1 Suppose that for every $\tau > 0$, $f \in C([0, \tau], X)$, and $g \in \mathcal{U}$, the map $t \mapsto F(f(t), g(t))$ is integrable on $[0, \tau]$. For $\tau > 0$, a function $x \in C([0, \tau], X)$ is called a *mild solution of $\Sigma(A, F)$ on $[0, \tau]$* if the integral equation

$$x(t) = T(t)x_0 + \int_0^t T(t-s)F(x(s), u(s))ds \quad \forall t \in [0, \tau] \quad (2.1)$$

is satisfied. Moreover, we say that $x \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ is a *mild solution of $\Sigma(A, F)$ on \mathbb{R}_+* if it is a mild solution of $\Sigma(A, F)$ on $[0, \tau]$ for all $\tau > 0$.

By Proposition 1.3.4 of [1], the integrability of the map $t \mapsto F(f(t), g(t))$ guarantees that the integral in (2.1) exists as a Bochner integral and is continuous with respect to t . If the nonlinear operator F satisfies certain Lipschitz conditions, then $\Sigma(A, F)$ has a unique mild solution on $[0, \tau]$ for some $\tau > 0$; see Assumption 4.2 below for details. Throughout this section, we consider only forward complete systems defined as follows.

Definition 2.2 The semi-linear system $\Sigma(A, F)$ is *forward complete* if there exists a unique mild solution of $\Sigma(A, F)$ on \mathbb{R}_+ for all $x_0 \in X$ and all $u \in \mathcal{U}$.

We denote by $\phi(t, x_0, u)$ the unique mild solution of the forward complete semi-linear system $\Sigma(A, F)$ with initial state $x_0 \in X$ and input $u \in \mathcal{U}$, i.e.,

$$\phi(t, x_0, u) := T(t)x_0 + \int_0^t T(t-s)F(\phi(s, x_0, u), u(s))ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

The mild solution satisfies the cocycle property

$$\phi(t + \tau, x_0, u) = \phi(t, \phi(\tau, x_0, u), u(\cdot + \tau))$$

for all $x_0 \in X$, $u \in \mathcal{U}$, and $t, \tau \geq 0$.

We place the following assumption on F , under which $\phi(t, x_0, 0) = T(t)x_0$ for all $x_0 \in X$ and all $t \geq 0$.

Assumption 2.3 The nonlinear operator $F : X \times U \rightarrow X$ satisfies $F(\xi, 0) = 0$ for all $\xi \in X$.

2.1 Definition of semi-uniform input-to-state stability

For the forward complete semi-linear system $\Sigma(A, F)$, we introduce the notion of semi-uniform input-to-state stability. Before doing so, we recall the definition of uniform global stability.

Definition 2.4 The semi-linear system $\Sigma(A, F)$ is called *uniformly globally stable (UGS)* if the following two conditions hold:

1. $\Sigma(A, F)$ is forward complete;
2. there exist $\sigma, \gamma \in \mathcal{K}_\infty$ such that

$$\|\phi(t, x_0, u)\| \leq \sigma(\|x_0\|) + \gamma(\|u\|_{\mathcal{U}}) \quad (2.2)$$

for all $x_0 \in X$, $u \in \mathcal{U}$, and $t \geq 0$.

Definition 2.5 The semi-linear system $\Sigma(A, F)$ is called *semi-uniformly input-to-state stable (semi-uniformly ISS)* if the following two conditions hold:

1. $\Sigma(A, F)$ is uniformly globally stable;
2. there exist $\beta \in \mathcal{KL}$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{K}_\infty$ such that

$$\|\phi(t, x_0, u)\| \leq \beta(\|x_0\|_A, t) + \gamma(\|u\|_{\mathcal{U}}) \quad (2.3)$$

for all $x_0 \in D(A)$, $u \in \mathcal{U}$, and $t \geq 0$.

In particular, if there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that for all $r > 0$, $\beta(r, t) = O(t^{-1/\alpha})$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, then $\Sigma(A, F)$ is called *polynomially input-to-state stable (polynomially ISS) with parameter $\alpha > 0$* .

A uniformly bounded semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ with generator A is called *semi-uniformly stable* if $\|T(t)R(1, A)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Suppose that the semi-linear system $\Sigma(A, F)$ is semi-uniformly ISS and that Assumption 2.3 holds. One can easily see that A generates a semi-uniformly stable semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ and satisfies $\|T(t)A^{-1}\| \leq \beta(1 + \|A^{-1}\|, t)$ for all $t \geq 0$, where β is the \mathcal{KL} function given in the definition of semi-uniform ISS. In fact, $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is uniformly bounded by UGS with $u \equiv 0$. Take $\xi \in X$ with $\|\xi\| = 1$. Then

$$\|AR(1, A)\xi\| \leq 1 + \|R(1, A)\|.$$

Since the inequality (2.3) with $u \equiv 0$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(t)R(1, A)\xi\| &\leq \beta(\|R(1, A)\xi\| + \|AR(1, A)\xi\|, t) \\ &\leq \beta(1 + 2\|R(1, A)\|, t) \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \geq 0$, it follows that

$$\|T(t)R(1, A)\| \leq \beta(1 + 2\|R(1, A)\|, t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is semi-uniformly stable. Note that semi-uniform stability of $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ generated by A is equivalent to $i\mathbb{R} \subset \rho(A)$ by Theorem 1.1 of [3]. A similar calculation shows that $\|T(t)A^{-1}\| \leq \beta(1 + \|A^{-1}\|, t)$ for all $t \geq 0$.

2.2 Characterization of semi-uniform input-to-state stability

We establish a characterization of semi-uniform ISS. The following two semi-uniform attractivity properties are used for the characterization.

Definition 2.6 The forward complete semi-linear system $\Sigma(A, F)$ has the *semi-uniform limit property* if there exists $\gamma \in \mathcal{K}_\infty$ such that the following statement holds: For all $\varepsilon, r > 0$, there is $\tau = \tau(\varepsilon, r) < \infty$ such that for all $x_0 \in D(A)$,

$$\|x_0\|_A \leq r \wedge u \in \mathcal{U} \Rightarrow \exists t \leq \tau : \|\phi(t, x_0, u)\| \leq \varepsilon + \gamma(\|u\|_{\mathcal{U}}).$$

Definition 2.7 The forward complete semi-linear system $\Sigma(A, F)$ has the *semi-uniform asymptotic gain property* if there exists $\gamma \in \mathcal{K}_\infty$ such that the following statement holds: For all $\varepsilon, r > 0$, there is $\tau = \tau(\varepsilon, r) < \infty$ such that for all $x_0 \in X$ with $\|x_0\|_A \leq r$ and all $u \in \mathcal{U}$,

$$t \geq \tau \Rightarrow \|\phi(t, x_0, u)\| \leq \varepsilon + \gamma(\|u\|_{\mathcal{U}}).$$

By definition, the asymptotic gain property is stronger than the limit property. We will see that both the properties are equivalent if the system is UGS. Moreover, based on these attractivity properties, a characterization of semi-uniform ISS is established. The proof is obtained by a slight modification of that of Theorem 5 in [21] for the uniform case. We sketch it for the sake of completeness.

Theorem 2.8 *Suppose that Assumption 2.3 holds. The following statements on the semi-linear system $\Sigma(A, F)$ are equivalent:*

1. $\Sigma(A, F)$ is semi-uniformly ISS.
2. $\Sigma(A, F)$ is UGS and has the semi-uniform limit property.
3. $\Sigma(A, F)$ is UGS and has the semi-uniform asymptotic gain property.

Proof [1. \Rightarrow 2.] Suppose that the $\Sigma(A, F)$ is semi-uniformly ISS. By definition, $\Sigma(A, F)$ is UGS. Take $\varepsilon, r > 0$. Let $\beta \in \mathcal{KL}$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{K}_\infty$ satisfy

$$\|\phi(t, x_0, t)\| \leq \beta(\|x_0\|_A, t) + \gamma(\|u\|_{\mathcal{U}})$$

for all $x_0 \in D(A)$, $u \in \mathcal{U}$, and $t \geq 0$. There exists $\tau = \tau(\varepsilon, r) < \infty$ such that $\beta(r, t) \leq \varepsilon$ for all $t \geq \tau$. Therefore, if $x_0 \in D(A)$ satisfies $\|x_0\|_A \leq r$, then

$$\|\phi(\tau, x_0, t)\| \leq \varepsilon + \gamma(\|u\|_{\mathcal{U}}) \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Thus, $\Sigma(A, F)$ has the semi-uniform limit property.

[2. \Rightarrow 3.] Suppose that $\Sigma(A, F)$ is UGS and has the semi-uniform limit property. By assumption, there exist $\sigma, \gamma \in \mathcal{K}_\infty$ such that the following statement holds: For every $\varepsilon, r > 0$, there is $\tau = \tau(\varepsilon, r) < \infty$ such that for all $x_0 \in D(A)$,

$$\|x_0\|_A \leq r \wedge u \in \mathcal{U} \Rightarrow \exists t_1 \leq \tau : \|\phi(t_1, x_0, u)\| \leq \varepsilon + \gamma(\|u\|_{\mathcal{U}})$$

and for all $s \geq 0$,

$$\|\phi(s, \phi(t_1, x_0, u), u(\cdot + t_1))\| \leq \sigma(\|\phi(t_1, x_0, u)\|) + \gamma(\|u(\cdot + t_1)\|_{\mathcal{U}}).$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi(t_1 + s, x_0, u)\| &= \|\phi(s, \phi(t_1, x_0, u), u(\cdot + t_1))\| \\ &\leq \sigma(\varepsilon + \gamma(\|u\|_{\mathcal{U}})) + \gamma(\|u(\cdot + t_1)\|_{\mathcal{U}}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sigma(a + b) \leq \sigma(2a) + \sigma(2b)$ for all $a, b \geq 0$, it follows that for all $s \geq 0$,

$$\|\phi(t_1 + s, x_0, u)\| \leq \sigma(2\varepsilon) + \sigma(2\gamma(\|u\|_{\mathcal{U}})) + \gamma(\|u(\cdot + t_1)\|_{\mathcal{U}}). \quad (2.4)$$

Define $\tilde{\gamma} := \sigma \circ (2\gamma) + \gamma \in \mathcal{K}_{\infty}$, and choose $\tilde{\varepsilon}, \tilde{r} > 0$ arbitrarily. Substituting

$$\varepsilon := \frac{\sigma^{-1}(\tilde{\varepsilon})}{2}, \quad r := \tilde{r}$$

into (2.4), we obtain

$$\|\phi(t, x_0, u)\| \leq \tilde{\varepsilon} + \tilde{\gamma}(\|u\|_{\mathcal{U}})$$

for all $t \geq t_1$. Since

$$t_1 \leq \tau(\varepsilon, r) = \tau\left(\frac{\sigma^{-1}(\tilde{\varepsilon})}{2}, \tilde{r}\right),$$

it follows that $\Sigma(A, F)$ has the semi-uniform asymptotic gain property.

[3. \Rightarrow 1.] Suppose that $\Sigma(A, F)$ is UGS and has the semi-uniform asymptotic gain property. There exist $\sigma, \gamma \in \mathcal{K}_{\infty}$ such that the following two properties hold:

(a) for all $x_0 \in X$, $u \in \mathcal{U}$, and $t \geq 0$,

$$\|\phi(t, x_0, u)\| \leq \sigma(\|x_0\|) + \gamma(\|u\|_{\mathcal{U}}); \quad (2.5)$$

(b) for all $\varepsilon, r > 0$, there is $\tau = \tau(\varepsilon, r) < \infty$ such that for all $x_0 \in D(A)$ with $\|x_0\|_A \leq r$ and all $u \in \mathcal{U}$,

$$t \geq \tau \quad \Rightarrow \quad \|\phi(t, x_0, u)\| \leq \varepsilon + \gamma(\|u\|_{\mathcal{U}}). \quad (2.6)$$

Let $\delta > 0$ and $r > 0$. Set $\varepsilon_n := 2^{-n}\sigma(r)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. By the property (2.6), there exist $\tau_n = \tau_n(\varepsilon_n, r)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\tau_n - \tau_{n-1} \geq \delta$$

and for all $x_0 \in D(A)$ with $\|x_0\|_A \leq r$ and all $u \in \mathcal{U}$,

$$\|\phi(t, x_0, u)\| \leq \varepsilon_n + \gamma(\|u\|_{\mathcal{U}}) \quad \forall t \geq \tau_n. \quad (2.7)$$

If we set $\tau_0 := 0$, then (2.7) holds for $n = 0$ by the property (2.5) and the inequality

$$\sigma(\|x_0\|) \leq \sigma(\|x_0\|_A) \leq \sigma(r) = \varepsilon_0.$$

For these sequences $(\varepsilon_n)_{\mathbb{N}_0}$ and $(\tau_n)_{\mathbb{N}_0}$, one can construct a function $\beta \in \mathcal{KL}$ satisfying

$$\varepsilon_n \leq \beta(r, t) \quad \forall t \in [\tau_n, \tau_{n+1}), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0; \quad (2.8)$$

see the proof of Lemma 7 of [21] for the detailed construction. From (2.7) and (2.8), we have that for all $x_0 \in D(A)$ with $\|x_0\|_A \leq r$ and all $u \in \mathcal{U}$,

$$\|\phi(t, x_0, u)\| \leq \beta(r, t) + \gamma(\|u\|_{\mathcal{U}}) \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (2.9)$$

Take $x_0 \in D(A)$ and $u \in \mathcal{U}$ arbitrarily. If $\|x_0\|_A = 0$, then the property (2.5) yields

$$\|\phi(t, x_0, u)\| \leq \gamma(\|u\|_{\mathcal{U}}) = \beta(\|x_0\|_A, t) + \gamma(\|u\|_{\mathcal{U}}) \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

If $\|x_0\|_A > 0$, then it follows from (2.9) with $r := \|x_0\|_A$ that

$$\|\phi(t, x_0, u)\| \leq \beta(\|x_0\|_A, t) + \gamma(\|u\|_{\mathcal{U}}) \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Thus, $\Sigma(A, F)$ is semi-uniformly ISS. \square

The attractivity-based characterization of ISS is useful when the construction of a \mathcal{KL} function β is involved. After recalling the notion of strong input-to-state stability introduced in [21, Definition 13], we study its relationship to semi-uniform ISS with the help of the characterization result.

Definition 2.9 The semi-linear system $\Sigma(A, F)$ is *strongly input-to-state stable* (strongly ISS) if $\Sigma(A, F)$ is forward complete and if there exist $\sigma \in \mathcal{K}_\infty$, $\gamma \in \mathcal{K}_\infty$, and $\beta : X \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

1. $\beta(x_0, \cdot) \in \mathcal{L}$ for all $x_0 \in X$ with $x_0 \neq 0$;
2. $\beta(x_0, t) \leq \sigma(\|x_0\|)$ for all $x_0 \in X$ and all $t \geq 0$;
3. $\|\phi(t, x_0, u)\| \leq \beta(x_0, t) + \gamma(\|u\|_{\mathcal{U}})$ for all $x_0 \in X$, $u \in \mathcal{U}$, and $t \geq 0$.

For some special classes of semi-linear systems, semi-uniform ISS implies strong ISS.

Theorem 2.10 Assume that the nonlinear operator F of the semi-linear system $\Sigma(A, F)$ satisfies one of the following conditions:

1. There exists $B \in \mathcal{L}(U, X)$ such that $F(\xi, v) = Bv$ for all $\xi \in X$ and $v \in U$;
or
2. Assumption 2.3 holds and $F(\xi - \zeta, v) = F(\xi, v) - F(\zeta, v)$ for all $\xi, \zeta \in X$ and $v \in U$.

If $\Sigma(A, F)$ is semi-uniformly ISS, then $\Sigma(A, F)$ is strongly ISS.

Proof In the first case, we directly construct a \mathcal{KL} function β in the definition of strong ISS, whereas in the second case, we employ the attractivity-based characterizations of semi-uniform ISS and strong ISS.

1. Suppose that there exists $B \in \mathcal{L}(U, X)$ such that $F(\xi, v) = Bv$ for all $\xi \in X$ and $v \in U$. By linearity, $\phi(t, x_0, u) = \phi(t, x_0, 0) + \phi(t, 0, u)$ for all

$x_0 \in X$, $u \in \mathcal{U}$, and $t \geq 0$. Since $\Sigma(A, F)$ is UGS, there exists $\gamma \in \mathcal{K}_\infty$ such that

$$\|\phi(t, 0, u)\| \leq \gamma(\|u\|_{\mathcal{U}}) \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{U}, \forall t \geq 0.$$

Since $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is uniformly bounded and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} T(t)x_0 = 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x_0 \in D(A)$, strong stability of $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ follows by the density of $D(A)$; see also Proposition A.3 of [10]. Define

$$\beta(x_0, t) := \inf_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|T(s)x_0\| + e^{-t}\|x_0\|, \quad x_0 \in X, t \geq 0.$$

Since $\inf_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|T(s)x_0\|$ is continuous and monotonically decreases to zero, it follows that $\beta(x_0, \cdot) \in \mathcal{L}$ for all $x_0 \in X$ with $x_0 \neq 0$. Here we have added the term $e^{-t}\|x_0\|$ in order to make $\beta(x_0, \cdot)$ strictly decreasing. Moreover,

$$\beta(x_0, t) \leq \left(\sup_{s \geq 0} \|T(s)\| + 1 \right) \|x_0\| \quad \forall x_0 \in X, \forall t \geq 0.$$

Thus, $\Sigma(A, F)$ is strongly ISS.

2. Suppose that Assumption 2.3 holds and that $F(\xi - \zeta, v) = F(\xi, v) - F(\zeta, v)$ for all $\xi, \zeta \in X$ and $v \in U$. By Theorem 12 of [21], the semi-linear system $\Sigma(A, F)$ is strongly ISS if and only if $\Sigma(A, F)$ is UGS and has the strong limit property, which means that there exists $\gamma \in \mathcal{K}_\infty$ such that the following statement holds: for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x_0 \in X$, there exists $\tau = \tau(\varepsilon, x_0) < \infty$ such that

$$u \in \mathcal{U} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \exists t \leq \tau : \|\phi(t, x_0, u)\| \leq \varepsilon + \gamma(\|u\|_{\mathcal{U}}). \quad (2.10)$$

Therefore, it suffices to show that semi-uniform ISS implies the strong limit property.

Since $\Sigma(A, F)$ is UGS and has the semi-uniform limit property by Theorem 2.8, there exist $\sigma, \gamma \in \mathcal{K}_\infty$ such that the following two properties hold:

(a) for all $x_0 \in X$, $u \in \mathcal{U}$, and $t \geq 0$,

$$\|\phi(t, x_0, u)\| \leq \sigma(\|x_0\|) + \gamma(\|u\|_{\mathcal{U}}); \quad (2.11)$$

(b) for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and all $r > 0$, there exists $\tau = \tau(\varepsilon, r) < \infty$ such that for all $x_0 \in D(A)$,

$$\|x_0\|_A \leq r \quad \wedge \quad u \in \mathcal{U} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \exists t \leq \tau : \|\phi(t, x_0, u)\| \leq \varepsilon + \gamma(\|u\|_{\mathcal{U}}). \quad (2.12)$$

Take $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x_0 \in X$. There exists $y_0 \in D(A)$ such that $\|x_0 - y_0\| \leq \sigma^{-1}(\varepsilon/2)$. By assumption, for all $u \in \mathcal{U}$, $\phi(t, x_0, u) - \phi(t, y_0, u)$ is the mild solution of $\Sigma(A, F)$ with initial state $x_0 - y_0$ and input u . Therefore, the property (2.11) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi(t, x_0, u) - \phi(t, y_0, u)\| &= \|\phi(t, x_0 - y_0, u)\| \\ &\leq \sigma(\|x_0 - y_0\|) + \gamma(\|u\|_{\mathcal{U}}) \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \gamma(\|u\|_{\mathcal{U}}) \end{aligned}$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{U}$ and all $t \geq 0$.

Since $y_0 \in D(A)$, it follows from the property (2.12) that there exists $\tau = \tau(\varepsilon, \|y_0\|_A) < \infty$ such that

$$u \in \mathcal{U} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \exists t \leq \tau : \|\phi(t, y_0, u)\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \gamma(\|u\|_{\mathcal{U}}).$$

Hence,

$$u \in \mathcal{U} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \exists t \leq \tau : \|\phi(t, x_0, u)\| \leq \varepsilon + \tilde{\gamma}(\|u\|_{\mathcal{U}}),$$

where $\tilde{\gamma} := 2\gamma \in \mathcal{K}_\infty$. Since y_0 depends only on ε and x_0 , it follows that ε and x_0 determine $\tau = \tau(\varepsilon, \|y_0\|_A)$. Thus, the semi-linear system $\Sigma(A, F)$ has the strong limit property. \square

For strong ISS systems, if the input $u \in \mathcal{U}$ satisfies $\|u(\cdot + \tau)\|_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow 0$ as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$, then $\|\phi(t, x_0, u)\| \rightarrow 0$ for all $x_0 \in X$; see Lemma 2.5 of [28], where this convergence result is given under a weaker assumption. We obtain a convergence property of semi-uniform ISS as a corollary of Theorem 2.10.

Corollary 2.11 *Under the same assumption on the nonlinear operator F as in Theorem 2.10, if $\Sigma(A, F)$ is semi-uniformly ISS, then $\|\phi(t, x_0, u)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x_0 \in X$ and all $u \in \mathcal{U}$ satisfying $\|u(\cdot + \tau)\|_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow 0$ as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$.*

3 Polynomial input-to-state stability of linear systems

In this section, we focus on polynomial ISS of linear systems for the case $\mathcal{U} = L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, U)$. First, we review the definition and some properties of polynomially stable semigroups. Next, we give sufficient conditions for linear systems to be polynomially ISS. To guarantee polynomial ISS, we place an assumption on the range of an input operator. Finally, we see that a stronger stability property of semigroups than semi-uniform stability, e.g., exponential stability in the Hilbert case, is required in order to achieve UGS for all bounded input operators.

3.1 Background on polynomially stable semigroups

We start by recalling the notion of polynomial stability of C_0 -semigroups.

Definition 3.1 A C_0 -semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on a Banach space X with generator A is *polynomially stable with parameter $\alpha > 0$* if $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is uniformly bounded, $i\mathbb{R} \subset \rho(A)$, and

$$\|T(t)A^{-1}\| = O\left(\frac{1}{t^{1/\alpha}}\right) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.1)$$

For the generator A of a uniformly bounded semigroup, $-A$ is sectorial in the sense of [11, Chapter 2]. Therefore, if A is injective, then the fractional power $(-A)^{-\beta}$ is well defined for $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. The following result gives the decay rate of $\|T(t)(-A)^{-\beta}\|$ for $\beta > 0$; see Proposition 3.1 of [2] for the proof.

Proposition 3.2 *Let $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ be a uniformly bounded semigroup on a Banach space X with generator A such that $0 \in \varrho(A)$. For fixed $\alpha, \beta > 0$,*

$$\|T(t)A^{-1}\| = O\left(\frac{1}{t^{1/\alpha}}\right) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty$$

if and only if

$$\|T(t)(-A)^{-\beta}\| = O\left(\frac{1}{t^{\beta/\alpha}}\right) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

For the case where the generator A on a Hilbert space is normal, a spectral condition equivalent to polynomial decay is known. The proof can be found in Proposition 4.1 of [2].

Proposition 3.3 *Let $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ be the C_0 -semigroup on a Hilbert space X generated by a normal operator A whose spectral $\sigma(A)$ satisfies $\sigma(A) \subset \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} \lambda < 0\}$. For a fixed $\alpha > 0$,*

$$\|T(t)A^{-1}\| = O\left(\frac{1}{t^{1/\alpha}}\right) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty$$

if and only if there exist $C, \delta > 0$ such that

$$|\operatorname{Im} \lambda| \geq \frac{C}{|\operatorname{Re} \lambda|^{1/\alpha}}$$

for all $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ with $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > -\delta$.

3.2 Polynomial input-to-state stability for general linear systems

Let X and U be Banach spaces. Consider a linear system with state space X and input space U :

$$\Sigma_{\text{lin}}(A, B) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t), & t \geq 0 \\ x(0) = x_0, \end{cases}$$

where A is the generator of a C_0 -semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on X , $B \in \mathcal{L}(U, X)$ is an input operator, $x_0 \in X$ is an initial state, and $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, U)$ is an input.

Definition 3.4 We call the operator $B \in \mathcal{L}(U, X)$ *infinite-time L^∞ -admissible* for a C_0 -semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ if there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \int_0^t T(s)Bu(s)ds \right\| \leq c\|u\|_\infty$$

for all $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, U)$ and all $t \geq 0$.

Let $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ and $B \in \mathcal{L}(U, X)$ be given. If there exists $\gamma \in \mathcal{K}_\infty$ such that

$$\left\| \int_0^t T(t-s)Bu(s)ds \right\| \leq \gamma(\|u\|_\infty) \quad \forall u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, U), \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

then

$$\left\| \int_0^t T(s)Bu(s)ds \right\| = \left\| \int_0^t T(s)B \frac{u(s)}{\|u\|_\infty} ds \right\| \|u\|_\infty \leq \gamma(1)\|u\|_\infty$$

for all $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, U) \setminus \{0\}$ and all $t \geq 0$. Hence B is infinite-time L^∞ -admissible for $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$.

As in the case of strong ISS [22, Proposition 1], polynomial ISS is equivalent to the combination of polynomial stability of semigroups and infinite-time L^∞ -admissibility of input operators.

Lemma 3.5 *The linear system $\Sigma_{\text{lin}}(A, B)$ is polynomially ISS with parameter $\alpha > 0$ if and only if $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is polynomially stable with parameter α and B is infinite-time L^∞ -admissible for $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$.*

Proof By the remarks following Definitions 2.5 and 3.4, polynomial ISS of $\Sigma_{\text{lin}}(A, B)$ implies polynomial stability of the C_0 -semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ and infinite-time L^∞ -admissibility of B . The converse implication immediately follows, since there exist constants $M, c > 0$ such that

$$\|\phi(t, x_0, u)\| \leq \frac{M\|x_0\|_A}{(t+1)^{1/\alpha}} + c\|u\|_\infty$$

for all $x_0 \in D(A)$, $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, U)$, and $t \geq 0$. \square

We provide a simple sufficient condition for $\Sigma_{\text{lin}}(A, B)$ to be polynomially ISS, by restricting the range of the input operator B .

Proposition 3.6 *Let X and U be Banach spaces. Suppose that A generates a polynomially stable semigroup with parameter $\alpha > 0$ on X . If $B \in \mathcal{L}(U, X)$ satisfies $\text{ran}(B) \subset D((-A)^\beta)$ for some $\beta > \alpha$, then $\Sigma_{\text{lin}}(A, B)$ is polynomially ISS with parameter α .*

Proof Let $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ be the polynomially stable semigroup on X generated by A . By Proposition 3.2, there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$\|T(t)(-A)^{-\beta}\| \leq \frac{M}{(t+1)^{\beta/\alpha}} \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Since $(-A)^\beta$ is closed, we have that $(-A)^\beta B \in \mathcal{L}(U, X)$ by assumption. For all $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, U)$ and $t \geq 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_0^t T(s)Bu(s)ds \right\| &\leq \int_0^t \frac{M}{(s+1)^{\beta/\alpha}} \|(-A)^\beta B\| \|u(s)\|_U ds \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha M \|(-A)^\beta B\|}{\beta - \alpha} \|u\|_\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Hence B is infinite-time L^∞ -admissible for $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$. Thus, $\Sigma_{\text{lin}}(A, B)$ is polynomially ISS by Lemma 3.5. \square

From an argument similar to that in Example 18 of [27], we see that if $\beta < \alpha$, then the condition $\text{ran}(B) \subset D((-A)^\beta)$ may not lead to UGS.

Example 3.7 Let A be the generator of a polynomially stable semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on a Banach space X with parameter $\alpha > 0$. Set $U := X$ and $B := (-A)^{-\beta}$ with $0 < \beta < \alpha$. Taking the input $u(t) := T(t)y_0$ with $y_0 \in X$, we obtain

$$\left\| \int_0^t T(t-s)Bu(s)ds \right\| = \|tT(t)(-A)^{-\beta}y_0\|$$

for all $t \geq 0$. If the linear system $\Sigma_{\text{lin}}(A, B)$ is UGS, then the uniform boundedness principle implies that

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \|tT(t)(-A)^{-\beta}\| < \infty. \quad (3.3)$$

However, one can easily find polynomially stable semigroups with parameter α for which the condition (3.3) does not hold. In that case, the condition $\text{ran}(B) \subset D((-A)^\beta)$ with $\beta < \alpha$ does not imply UGS. The case $\alpha = \beta$ remains open except in the diagonalizable case studied in the next subsection.

3.3 Polynomial input-to-state stability for diagonalizable generators and finite-rank input operators

In this subsection, we consider linear systems with diagonalizable generators and finite-rank input operators. We aim to refine the condition on the range of the input operator obtained in Proposition 3.6. To this end, we first review the definition and basic properties of diagonalizable operators; see Section 2.6 of [32] for details.

Definition 3.8 Let X be a Hilbert space. The linear operator $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ is *diagonalizable* if $\rho(A) \neq \emptyset$ and there exists a Riesz basis $(\phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X consisting of eigenvectors of A .

Throughout this subsection, we place the following assumption.

Assumption 3.9 Let X be a Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. The operator $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ is diagonalizable, and $(\phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Riesz basis in X consisting of eigenvectors of A . The biorthogonal sequence for $(\phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and the eigenvalue corresponding to the eigenvector ϕ_n are given by $(\psi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and λ_n , respectively.

Proposition 3.10 *Suppose that Assumption 3.9 is satisfied. Then the following statements hold:*

1. *The operator A may be written as*

$$Ax = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \langle x, \psi_n \rangle \phi_n \quad \forall x \in D(A)$$

and

$$D(A) = \left\{ x \in X : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n|^2 |\langle x, \psi_n \rangle|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

2. The operator A is the generator of a C_0 -semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on X if and only if

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \operatorname{Re} \lambda_n < \infty.$$

In this case, the growth bound of $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is given by $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \operatorname{Re} \lambda_n$, and for all $x \in X$ and all $t \geq 0$,

$$T(t)x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{\lambda_n t} \langle x, \psi_n \rangle \phi_n.$$

Suppose that the eigenvalues $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of a diagonalizable operator A satisfy $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_n \leq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then A generates a uniformly bounded semigroup. Moreover, A is sectorial, and hence the fractional power $(-A)^\alpha$ is well defined for every $\alpha > 0$. The domain of the fractional power $(-A)^\alpha$ is given by

$$D((-A)^\alpha) = \left\{ x \in X : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n|^{2\alpha} |\langle x, \psi_n \rangle|^2 < \infty \right\}$$

for all $\alpha > 0$, where $(\psi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is as in Assumption 3.9.

A diagonalizable operator is similar to a normal operator. Hence, by Proposition 3.3, a diagonalizable operator with eigenvalues $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ generates a polynomially stable semigroup with parameter $\alpha > 0$ if and only if $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_n < 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and there exist $C, \delta > 0$ such that

$$|\operatorname{Im} \lambda_n| \geq C |\operatorname{Re} \lambda_n|^{-1/\alpha} \quad \text{if } \operatorname{Re} \lambda_n > -\delta. \quad (3.4)$$

We obtain a refined sufficient condition for systems with diagonalizable generators and finite-rank input operators to be polynomially ISS.

Theorem 3.11 *Let Assumption 3.9 is satisfied and let U be a Banach space. Suppose that the diagonalizable operator A generates a polynomially stable semigroup with parameter $\alpha > 0$. If $B \in \mathcal{L}(U, X)$ is a finite-rank operator and satisfies $\operatorname{ran}(B) \subset D((-A)^\alpha)$, then the linear system $\Sigma_{\text{in}}(A, B)$ is polynomially ISS with parameter α for $\mathcal{U} = L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, U)$.*

Proof By Lemma 3.5, it suffices to show that B is infinite-time L^∞ -admissible for $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$.

By a property of a Riesz basis (see, e.g., Proposition 2.5.2 of [32]), there exists a constant $M_1 > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \int_0^t T(s)Bu(s)ds \right\|^2 \leq M_1 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \left\langle \int_0^t T(s)Bu(s)ds, \psi_n \right\rangle \right|^2 \quad (3.5)$$

for all $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, U)$ and all $t \geq 0$. Since B is a finite-rank operator, there exists an orthonormal basis $(b_k)_{k=1}^m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, for the finite-dimensional space $\text{ran}(B)$ such that

$$Bv = \sum_{k=1}^m \langle v, f_k \rangle_{U, U^*} b_k \quad \forall v \in U,$$

where we define $f_k := B^* b_k$, regarding b_k as a linear functional $X \ni x \mapsto \langle x, b_k \rangle$. Since for all $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, U)$ and all $t \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \int_0^t T(s) B u(s) ds, \psi_n \right\rangle &= \left\langle \int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^m \langle u(s), f_k \rangle_{U, U^*} T(s) b_k ds, \psi_n \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m \int_0^t e^{s \lambda_n} \langle u(s), f_k \rangle_{U, U^*} ds \langle b_k, \psi_n \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left\langle \int_0^t T(s) B u(s) ds, \psi_n \right\rangle \right| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^m \int_0^t e^{s \operatorname{Re} \lambda_n} |\langle u(s), f_k \rangle_{U, U^*}| ds |\langle b_k, \psi_n \rangle| \\ &\leq \frac{f_{\max} \|u\|_\infty}{|\operatorname{Re} \lambda_n|} \sum_{k=1}^m |\langle b_k, \psi_n \rangle| \end{aligned}$$

where $f_{\max} := \max_{1 \leq k \leq m} \|f_k\|_{U^*}$.

Combining $b_k \in D((-A)^\alpha)$ with the geometric condition (3.4) on $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\langle b_k, \psi_n \rangle|^2}{|\operatorname{Re} \lambda_n|^2} \leq \frac{1}{\delta^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle b_k, \psi_n \rangle|^2 + \frac{1}{C^{2\alpha}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n|^{2\alpha} |\langle b_k, \psi_n \rangle|^2 =: c_k < \infty$$

for every $k = 1, \dots, m$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \left\langle \int_0^t T(s) B u(s) ds, \psi_n \right\rangle \right|^2 &\leq m (f_{\max} \|u\|_\infty)^2 \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\langle b_k, \psi_n \rangle|^2}{|\operatorname{Re} \lambda_n|^2} \\ &\leq m (f_{\max} \|u\|_\infty)^2 \sum_{k=1}^m c_k \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

for all $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, U)$ and all $t \geq 0$. From the estimates (3.5) and (3.6), we obtain

$$\left\| \int_0^t T(s) B u(s) ds \right\| \leq \left(f_{\max} \sqrt{m M_1 \sum_{k=1}^m c_k} \right) \|u\|_\infty$$

for all $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, U)$ and all $t \geq 0$. Thus, B is infinite-time L^∞ -admissible for $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$. \square

We apply Theorem 3.11 to an Euler-Bernoulli beam with weak damping.

Example 3.12 Consider a simply supported Euler-Bernoulli beam with weak damping, which is described by the following partial differential equation on $(0, 1)$:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial t^2}(\zeta, t) + \frac{\partial^4 z}{\partial \zeta^4}(\zeta, t) + h(\zeta) \int_0^1 h(r) \frac{\partial z}{\partial t}(r, t) dr + bu(t) = 0 \\ \zeta \in (0, 1), t > 0. \\ z(0, t) = 0 = z(1, t), \quad \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial \zeta^2}(0, t) = 0 = \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial \zeta^2}(1, t), \quad t > 0 \\ z(\zeta, 0) = z_0(\zeta), \quad \frac{\partial z}{\partial t}(\zeta, 0) = z_1(\zeta), \quad \zeta \in (0, 1), \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

where $b \in L^2(0, 1)$ is a “shaping function” for the external input u and h is the damping coefficient. Here we set $h(\zeta) := 1 - \zeta$ for $\zeta \in (0, 1)$.

It is well known that the partial differential equation (3.7) can be written as a first-order linear system in the following way; see, e.g., Exercise 3.18 of [8]. Define $X_0 := L^2(0, 1)$ and

$$A_0 f := \frac{d^4 f}{d\zeta^4}$$

with domain

$$D(A_0) := \{f \in W^{4,2}(0, 1) : f(0) = 0 = f(1) \text{ and } f''(0) = 0 = f''(1)\}.$$

The operator A_0 has a positive self-adjoint square root $A_0^{1/2} = -\frac{d^2}{d\zeta^2}$ with domain

$$D(A_0^{1/2}) = \{f \in W^{2,2}(0, 1) : f(0) = 0 = f(1)\}.$$

The space $X := D(A_0^{1/2}) \times L^2(0, 1)$ equipped with an inner product

$$\left\langle \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle := \langle A_0^{1/2} x_1, A_0^{1/2} y_1 \rangle_{L^2} + \langle x_2, y_2 \rangle_{L^2}$$

is a Hilbert space. Define the operators $A_1 : D(A_1) \subset X \rightarrow X$ and $B, H \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}, X)$ by

$$A_1 := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -A_0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

with domain $D(A_1) = D(A_0) \times D(A_0^{1/2})$ and

$$Bv := \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ bv \end{bmatrix}, \quad Hv := \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ hv \end{bmatrix} \quad v \in \mathbb{C}.$$

For

$$x := \begin{bmatrix} z \\ \frac{\partial z}{\partial t} \end{bmatrix}, \quad x_0 := \begin{bmatrix} z_0 \\ z_1 \end{bmatrix},$$

the partial differential equation (3.7) can be written as

$$\dot{x}(t) = (A_1 - HH^*)x(t) + Bu(t), \quad x(0) = x_0.$$

The operator A_1 is diagonalizable with simple eigenvalues

$$\lambda_n := in^2\pi^2, \quad \lambda_{-n} := -in^2\pi^2, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since A_1 has compact resolvents by Lemma 3.2.12 of [8], it follows from Theorem 1 of [34] that $A := A_1 - HH^*$ is also diagonalizable. Moreover, A is polynomially stable with parameter $\alpha = 1$ by Corollary 6.6 of [6]. Thus, Theorem 3.11 shows that $\Sigma_{\text{lin}}(A, B)$ is polynomially ISS with parameter $\alpha = 1$ if $\text{ran}(B) \subset D(A) = D(A_1)$, i.e., $b \in D(A_0^{1/2})$.

We next investigate how sharp the condition $\text{ran}(B) \in D((-A)^\alpha)$ is. To this end, we employ the relation between Laplace-Carleson embeddings and infinite-time L^∞ -admissibility established in [15].

Let $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ be the strongly stable semigroup generated by a diagonalizable operator A . Let $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the eigenvalues of A and let $B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}, X)$ be represented as $Bv = bv$ for some $b \in X$ and all $v \in \mathbb{C}$. Define the Borel measure μ on $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re } \lambda > 0\}$ by

$$\mu := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\langle b, \psi_n \rangle|^2 \delta_{-\lambda_n},$$

where $\delta_{-\lambda_n}$ is the Dirac measure at the point $-\lambda_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let the Carleson square Q_I associated to an interval $I \subset i\mathbb{R}$ and the dyadic stripe S_k for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ be given by

$$Q_I := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : i \text{Im } \lambda \in I, 0 < \text{Re } \lambda < |I|\} \quad (3.8)$$

$$S_k := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : 2^k \leq \text{Re } \lambda < 2^{k+1}\}, \quad (3.9)$$

respectively. Then Theorem 2.5 of [15] shows that B is infinite-time L^∞ -admissible for $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{\substack{I \subset i\mathbb{R} \\ \text{interval}}} \frac{\mu(Q_I \cap S_k)}{|I|^2} < \infty. \quad (3.10)$$

Using this equivalence of admissibility, we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for polynomial ISS.

Theorem 3.13 *Let Assumption 3.9 hold and let $B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}, X)$ be represented as $Bv = bv$ for some $b \in X$ and all $v \in \mathbb{C}$. Assume that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$\inf\{|\text{Im } \lambda_n - \text{Im } \lambda_m| : n, m \in \mathbb{N}, n \neq m, |\text{Re } \lambda_n| < \delta, |\text{Re } \lambda_m| < \delta\} > 0. \quad (3.11)$$

Then $\Sigma_{\text{lin}}(A, B)$ is polynomially ISS with parameter $\alpha > 0$ for $\mathcal{U} = L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, U)$ if and only if the diagonalizable operator A generates a polynomially stable semigroup with parameter α and

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{-\lambda_n \in S_k} \frac{|\langle b, \psi_n \rangle|^2}{|\text{Re } \lambda_n|^2} < \infty, \quad (3.12)$$

where S_k is the dyadic stripe as in (3.9) for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof By Lemma 3.5, it suffices to show that the conditions (3.10) and (3.12) are equivalent under the assumption (3.11). Define $b_n := \langle b, \psi_n \rangle$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $\delta > 0$ satisfy (3.11). There exists $d > 0$ such that $|\operatorname{Im} \lambda_n - \operatorname{Im} \lambda_m| \geq d$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $n \neq m$, $|\operatorname{Re} \lambda_n| < \delta$, and $|\operatorname{Re} \lambda_m| < \delta$. If an interval $I \subset i\mathbb{R}$ satisfies $|I| \geq d$, then for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\frac{\mu(Q_I \cap S_k)}{|I|^2} \leq \frac{\mu(Q_I \cap S_k)}{d^2} \leq \frac{\mu(S_k)}{d^2}.$$

Suppose next that an interval $I \subset i\mathbb{R}$ satisfies $|I| < d$. If $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies $\delta \leq 2^{k+1}$, then $\mu(Q_I \cap S_k) > 0$ implies $|I| \geq \delta/2$, and therefore

$$\frac{\mu(Q_I \cap S_k)}{|I|^2} \leq \frac{4\mu(Q_I \cap S_k)}{\delta^2} \leq \frac{4\mu(S_k)}{\delta^2}.$$

Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy $\delta > 2^{k+1}$. For $\lambda_n, \lambda_m \in S_k$, we obtain $|\operatorname{Im} \lambda_n - \operatorname{Im} \lambda_m| \geq d$ by assumption. Recalling that the interval I is chosen so that $|I| < d$, we have that $Q_I \cap S_k$ contains at most one element of $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Since $\mu(Q_I \cap S_k) = |b_n|^2$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $-\lambda_n \in S_k$ or $\mu(Q_I \cap S_k) = 0$, it follows that

$$\frac{\mu(Q_I \cap S_k)}{|I|^2} \leq \max \left\{ \sup_{-\lambda_n \in S_k} \frac{|b_n|^2}{|\operatorname{Re} \lambda_n|^2}, 0 \right\}.$$

We have shown that for every interval $I \subset i\mathbb{R}$, it holds that

$$\frac{\mu(Q_I \cap S_k)}{|I|^2} \leq \max \left\{ \frac{\mu(S_k)}{d^2}, \frac{4\mu(S_k)}{\delta^2}, \sup_{-\lambda_k \in S_k} \frac{|b_n|^2}{|\operatorname{Re} \lambda_n|^2} \right\}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{\substack{I \subset i\mathbb{R} \\ I \text{ interval}}} \frac{\mu(Q_I \cap S_k)}{|I|^2} &\leq \left(\frac{1}{d^2} + \frac{4}{\delta^2} \right) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu(S_k) + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{-\lambda_n \in S_k} \frac{|b_n|^2}{|\operatorname{Re} \lambda_n|^2} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{d^2} + \frac{4}{\delta^2} \right) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |b_n|^2 + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{-\lambda_n \in S_k} \frac{|b_n|^2}{|\operatorname{Re} \lambda_n|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $b \in X$, it follows that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |b_n|^2 < \infty$. Therefore, (3.12) implies (3.10).

Conversely, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, if $-\lambda_n \in S_k$, then

$$\frac{|b_n|^2}{|\operatorname{Re} \lambda_n|^2} \leq \sup_{\substack{I \subset i\mathbb{R} \\ I \text{ interval}}} \frac{\mu(Q_I \cap S_k)}{|I|^2},$$

and hence

$$\sup_{-\lambda_n \in S_k} \frac{|b_n|^2}{|\operatorname{Re} \lambda_n|^2} \leq \sup_{\substack{I \subset i\mathbb{R} \\ I \text{ interval}}} \frac{\mu(Q_I \cap S_k)}{|I|^2}.$$

This yields

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{-\lambda_n \in S_k} \frac{|b_n|^2}{|\operatorname{Re} \lambda_n|^2} \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{\substack{I \subset i\mathbb{R} \\ I \text{ interval}}} \frac{\mu(Q_I \cap S_k)}{|I|^2}.$$

Thus, (3.10) implies (3.12). \square

A routine calculation shows that if there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$|\operatorname{Im} \lambda_n| - \frac{C}{|\operatorname{Re} \lambda_n|^{1/\alpha}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \operatorname{Re} \lambda_n \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then the condition (3.12) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{-\lambda_n \in S_k} |\lambda_n|^{2\alpha} |\langle b, \psi_n \rangle|^2 < \infty.$$

From this, we observe that the condition (3.12) is milder than $b \in D((-A)^\alpha)$. However, the following example shows that if the assumption (3.11) is not satisfied, then $b \in D((-A)^\alpha)$ may be necessary and sufficient for infinite-time L^∞ -admissibility.

Example 3.14 Consider a diagonalizable operator A with eigenvalues $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ given by

$$\lambda_n := -\frac{1}{2^k} + i2^k, \quad n \in [2^k, 2^{k+1}), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Since $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies (3.4) with $\alpha = 1$, it follows that A generates a polynomially stable semigroups with parameter $\alpha = 1$. For all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, taking intervals with center $i2^k$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\substack{I \subset i\mathbb{R} \\ I \text{ interval}}} \frac{\mu(Q_I \cap S_{-k})}{|I|^2} &\geq \frac{\sum_{n=2^k}^{2^{k+1}-1} |\langle b, \psi_n \rangle|^2}{1/2^{2k}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=2^k}^{2^{k+1}-1} |\lambda_n|^2 |\langle b, \psi_n \rangle|^2. \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n|^2 |\langle b, \psi_n \rangle|^2 &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \sum_{n=2^k}^{2^{k+1}-1} |\lambda_n|^2 |\langle b, \psi_n \rangle|^2 \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{\substack{I \subset i\mathbb{R} \\ I \text{ interval}}} \frac{\mu(Q_I \cap S_k)}{|I|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, infinite-time L^∞ -admissibility implies $b \in D(A)$.

3.4 Necessary conditions for infinite-time L^∞ -admissibility

If the C_0 -semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is *exponentially stable*, i.e., there exist $M \geq 1$ and $\omega > 0$ such that $\|T(t)\| \leq Me^{-\omega t}$, then every $B \in \mathcal{L}(X, U)$ is infinite-time L^∞ -admissible for $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$. In this subsection, we see that exponential stability is necessary for infinite-time L^∞ -admissibility in some cases.

Proposition 3.15 *Let X and U be Banach spaces and let $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ be a uniformly bounded semigroup on X with generator A . Suppose that every $B \in \mathcal{L}(U, X)$ is infinite-time L^∞ -admissible for $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$. Then the spectral bound $s(A)$ of A satisfies $s(A) < 0$. Moreover, if X is a Hilbert space, then $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is exponentially stable.*

Proof Fix $v_0 \in U$ with $\|v_0\|_U = 1$. By the Hahn-Banach theorem, there exists a bounded linear functional $f_0 \in U^*$ such that $\langle v_0, f_0 \rangle_{U, U^*} = 1$ and $\|f_0\|_{U^*} = 1$. Take $\xi \in X$ and $\eta \in X^*$ arbitrarily. Define the input operator $B \in \mathcal{L}(U, X)$ by

$$Bv := \xi \langle v, f_0 \rangle_{U, U^*}, \quad v \in U,$$

and set

$$u_0(t) := v_0 \overline{\langle T(t)\xi, \eta \rangle_{X, X^*}}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Since there exists $M \geq 1$ such that $\|T(t)\| \leq M$ for all $t \geq 0$, we have that $u_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, U)$ and

$$\|u_0\|_\infty \leq M \|\xi\| \|\eta\|_{X^*}.$$

By definition,

$$Bu_0(t) = \xi \overline{\langle T(t)\xi, \eta \rangle_{X, X^*}}$$

for all $t \geq 0$. By infinite-time L^∞ -admissibility, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for all $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, U)$ and all $t \geq 0$,

$$\left\| \int_0^t T(s)Bu(s)ds \right\| \leq c \|u\|_\infty.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t |\langle T(s)\xi, \eta \rangle_{X, X^*}|^2 ds &= \left\langle \int_0^t T(s)\xi \overline{\langle T(s)\xi, \eta \rangle_{X, X^*}} ds, \eta \right\rangle_{X, X^*} \\ &\leq \left\| \int_0^t T(s)Bu_0(s)ds \right\| \|\eta\|_{X^*} \\ &\leq c \|u_0\|_\infty \|\eta\|_{X^*} \\ &\leq cM \|\xi\| \|\eta\|_{X^*}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

for all $t \geq 0$. Since $\xi \in X$ and $\eta \in X^*$ were arbitrary, the spectral bound of A is negative by Theorem 3.4 (and Section 6) of [33]. In the case where X is a Hilbert space, $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is exponentially stable by Theorem 4.2 of [33]. \square

From Proposition 3.15, we see that for every generator A of a strongly stable semigroup with $s(A) = 0$, there exists an input operator $B \in \mathcal{L}(U, X)$ such that $\Sigma_{\text{lin}}(A, B)$ is not UGS. Notice that $s(A) < 0$ is a stronger condition than semi-uniform stability of the semigroup generated by A , since semi-uniform stability is equivalent to $i\mathbb{R} \subset \varrho(A)$ by Theorem 1.1 of [3].

If the input operator $B \in \mathcal{L}(U, X)$ has a *bounded left inverse*, i.e., $B\tilde{B} = I$ for some $\tilde{B} \in \mathcal{L}(X, U)$, then infinite-time L^∞ -admissibility of B implies exponential stability of the semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$.

Proposition 3.16 *Let X and U be Banach spaces and let $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ be a C_0 -semigroup on X . If $B \in \mathcal{L}(U, X)$ is infinite-time L^∞ -admissible for $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ and has a bounded left inverse, then $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is exponentially stable.*

Proof By Datko's theorem (see, e.g., Theorem 5.1.2 of [1]), $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is exponentially stable if and only if there exists a constant $c_1 > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \int_0^t T(s)f(s)ds \right\| \leq c_1 \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|f(s)\|$$

for all $t \geq 0$ and all $f \in C([0, t], X)$. By infinite-time L^∞ -admissibility of B , there is a constant $c > 0$ such that for all $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, U)$ and all $t \geq 0$,

$$\left\| \int_0^t T(s)Bu(s)ds \right\| \leq c\|u\|_\infty.$$

Take $t \geq 0$ and $f \in C([0, t], X)$. Set

$$u(s) := \begin{cases} \tilde{B}f(s) & \text{if } 0 \leq s \leq t \\ 0 & \text{if } s > t, \end{cases}$$

where \tilde{B} is a bounded right inverse of B . Then $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, U)$ and

$$\left\| \int_0^t T(s)f(s)ds \right\| = \left\| \int_0^t T(s)Bu(s)ds \right\| \leq c\|\tilde{B}\| \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|f(s)\|.$$

Thus, $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is exponentially stable. \square

Remark 3.17 Let X be a Banach space but let U be a Hilbert space in Proposition 3.16. In this case, it is well known that every $B \in \mathcal{L}(U, X)$ satisfying $\text{ran}(B) = X$ has a bounded right inverse. In fact, by the bounded inverse theorem (see, e.g., Corollary A.3.53 of [8]), a bijective operator $B|_V : V \rightarrow X$ has the bounded inverse, where V is the orthogonal complement of the kernel of B . Let j be the injection from V to U . Then $\tilde{B} := j(B|_V)^{-1}$ is a bounded right inverse of B .

In Theorem 3 of [22], it has been shown that for the left-translation semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on $L^1(0, \infty)$, $B = I \in \mathcal{L}(L^1(0, \infty))$ is not infinite-time L^∞ -admissible. This can be seen also from Proposition 3.16.

4 Polynomial integral input-to-state stability of bilinear systems

In the previous section, we saw that polynomial ISS is restrictive even for linear systems with bounded input operators. This is because infinite-time L^∞ -admissibility cannot be achieved for all bounded input operators due to the weak asymptotic property of polynomially stable semigroups. This motivates us to study the semi-uniform version of integral input-to-state stability, which provides upper bounds on trajectories with respect to a kind of energy fed into systems. We define the concept of semi-uniform integral input-to-state stability as follows.

Definition 4.1 The semi-linear system $\Sigma(A, F)$ is called *semi-uniformly integral input-to-state stable (semi-uniformly iISS)* if the following three conditions hold:

1. $\Sigma(A, F)$ is forward complete;
2. $\Sigma(A, F)$ is *uniformly globally stable at zero*, i.e., there exists $\sigma \in \mathcal{K}_\infty$ such that $\|\phi(t, x_0, 0)\| \leq \sigma(\|x_0\|)$ for all $x_0 \in X$ and $t \geq 0$;
3. there exist $\beta \in \mathcal{KL}$, $\theta \in \mathcal{K}_\infty$, and $\mu \in \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$\|\phi(t, x_0, u)\| \leq \beta(\|x_0\|_A, t) + \theta\left(\int_0^t \mu(\|u(s)\|_U) ds\right) \quad (4.1)$$

for all $x_0 \in D(A)$, $u \in \mathcal{U}$, and $t \geq 0$.

In particular, if there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that for all $r > 0$, $\beta(r, t) = O(t^{-1/\alpha})$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, then $\Sigma(A, F)$ is called *polynomially integral input-to-state stable (polynomially iISS)* with parameter $\alpha > 0$.

Note that the integral $\int_0^t \mu(\|u(s)\|_U) ds$ in the right-hand side of the inequality (4.1) may be infinite. In that case, the inequality (4.1) trivially hold.

For every generator A of a semi-uniformly stable semigroup and every $B \in \mathcal{L}(U, X)$, the linear system $\Sigma_{\text{lin}}(A, B)$ is semi-uniform iISS. As in the case of semi-uniform ISS developed in Theorem 2.10, one can see that if the linear system $\Sigma_{\text{lin}}(A, B)$ is semi-uniform iISS, then $\Sigma_{\text{lin}}(A, B)$ is strong iISS in the sense of Definition 4 in [22].

The objective of this section is to present a sufficient condition for bilinear systems to be polynomially iISS in the case $\mathcal{U} = L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, U)$. To guarantee the existence and uniqueness of the mild solution of $\Sigma(A, F)$, we place the following assumption on the nonlinear operator F .

Assumption 4.2 The nonlinear operator $F : X \times U \rightarrow X$ can be decomposed into $F(\xi, v) = B\xi + G(\xi, v)$ for all $\xi \in X$ and $v \in U$, where $B \in \mathcal{L}(X, U)$ and $G : X \times U \rightarrow X$ is a nonlinear operator satisfying the following conditions:

1. for all $r > 0$, there exist $K_1 > 0$ and $\sigma_1, \kappa_1 \in \mathcal{K}$ such that for all $\xi \in X$ with $\|\xi\| \leq r$ and $v \in U$,

$$\|G(\xi, v)\| \leq K_1 \sigma_1(\|\xi\|) \kappa_1(\|v\|_U);$$

2. for all $r > 0$, there exist $K_2 > 0$ and $\kappa_2 \in \mathcal{K}$ such that for all $\xi, \zeta \in X$ with $\|\xi\|, \|\zeta\| \leq r$ and all $v \in U$,

$$\|G(\xi, v) - G(\zeta, v)\| \leq K_2 \|\xi - \zeta\| \kappa_2(\|v\|_U);$$

3. for all $\tau > 0$ and all functions $f \in C([0, \tau], X)$, $g \in L^\infty([0, \tau], U)$, the map $t \mapsto G(f(t), g(t))$ is measurable on $[0, \tau]$.

A standard argument using Gronwall's inequality and Banach's fixed point theorem shows that there exists a unique mild solution of $\Sigma(A, F)$ for $\mathcal{U} = L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, U)$ under Assumption 4.2; see, e.g., Section 4.3.1 of [5] or Lemma 2.8 of [12]. More precisely, one of the following statements holds for all initial states $x_0 \in X$ and all inputs $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, U)$:

- (a) there exists a unique mild solution of $\Sigma(A, F)$ on \mathbb{R}_+ ; or
 (b) there exist $t_{\max} \in (0, \infty)$ and $x \in C([0, t_{\max}), X)$ such that x is a unique mild solution of $\sigma(A, F)$ on $[0, \tau]$ for all $\tau \in (0, t_{\max})$ and satisfies $\|x(t)\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow t_{\max}$.

We prove that if the nonlinear operator G additionally satisfies a stronger assumption than the item 1 of Assumption 4.2, then the bilinear system is polynomially iISS. To this end, we use a non-Lyapunov method devised in Theorem 4.2 of [19] for uniform iISS.

Theorem 4.3 *Let A generate a polynomially stable semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ with parameter $\alpha > 0$. Suppose that Assumption 4.2 holds and that there exist $K > 0$ and $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}$ such that for all $\xi \in X$, $v \in U$, and $t \geq 0$,*

$$\|T(t)G(\xi, v)\| \leq \frac{K\|\xi\|\kappa(\|v\|_U)}{(t+1)^{1/\alpha}}.$$

Then the bilinear system $\Sigma(A, F)$ is polynomially iISS with parameter α for $\mathcal{U} = L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, U)$.

Proof Since $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a polynomially stable semigroup with parameter $\alpha > 0$, there exists $M \geq 1$ such that

$$\|T(t)\| \leq M, \quad \|T(t)R(1, A)\| \leq \frac{M}{(t+1)^{1/\alpha}} \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

By Gronwall's inequality (see Appendix A of [23] for a simple proof), we have that for all $x_0 \in X$ and all $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, U)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x(t)\| &\leq M \left(\|x_0\| + \|B\| \int_0^t \|u(s)\|_U ds \right) + K \int_0^t \|x(s)\| \kappa(\|u(s)\|_U) ds \\ &\leq M(\|x_0\| + t\|B\| \|u\|_\infty) e^{tK\kappa(\|u\|_\infty)} \end{aligned}$$

as long as x is defined in $C([0, t], X)$. Hence $\Sigma(A, F)$ is forward complete. Moreover, if $u \equiv 0$, then

$$\|x(t)\| \leq \|T(t)x_0\| \leq M\|x_0\|$$

for all $t \geq 0$, which implies that $\Sigma(A, F)$ is uniformly globally stable at zero.

Take $x_0 \in D(A)$ and $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, U)$. The mild solution x of $\Sigma(A, F)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \|x(t)\| &\leq \frac{M}{(t+1)^{1/\alpha}} \|x_0\|_A + \int_0^t \left(M\|B\| \|u(s)\|_U \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{K}{(t-s+1)^{1/\alpha}} \|x(s)\| \kappa(\|u(s)\|_U) ds \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \geq 0$. Define $z(t) := (t+1)^{1/\alpha} \|x(t)\|$ for $t \geq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} z(t) &\leq M \left(\|x_0\|_A + \|B\| (t+1)^{1/\alpha} \int_0^t \|u(s)\|_U ds \right) \\ &\quad + K \int_0^t \left(\frac{t+1}{(t-s+1)(s+1)} \right)^{1/\alpha} z(s) \kappa(\|u(s)\|_U) ds \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \geq 0$. Since

$$\max_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left(\frac{t+1}{(t-s+1)(s+1)} \right)^{1/\alpha} = 1,$$

Gronwall's inequality implies that for all $t \geq 0$,

$$z(t) \leq M \left(\|x_0\|_A + \|B\| (t+1)^{1/\alpha} \int_0^t \|u(s)\|_U ds \right) e^{K \int_0^t \kappa(\|u(s)\|_U) ds},$$

which is equivalent to

$$\|x(t)\| \leq M \left(\frac{\|x_0\|_A}{(t+1)^{1/\alpha}} + \|B\| \int_0^t \|u(s)\|_U ds \right) e^{K \int_0^t \kappa(\|u(s)\|_U) ds}.$$

Using the inequality $\ln(1+ae^b) \leq \ln(1+a) + b$ for $a, b \geq 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\ln(1 + \|x(t)\|) \\ &\leq \ln \left(1 + M \left(\frac{\|x_0\|_A}{(t+1)^{1/\alpha}} + \|B\| \int_0^t \|u(s)\|_U ds \right) \right) + K \int_0^t \kappa(\|u(s)\|_U) ds \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \geq 0$. Since $\ln(1+a+b) \leq \ln(1+a) + \ln(1+b)$ for $a, b \geq 0$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(1 + \|x(t)\|) &\leq \ln \left(1 + \frac{M\|x_0\|_A}{(t+1)^{1/\alpha}} \right) + \ln \left(1 + M\|B\| \int_0^t \|u(s)\|_U ds \right) \\ &\quad + K \int_0^t \kappa(\|u(s)\|_U) ds \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \geq 0$. The inverse function of $f(r) := \ln(1+r)$, $r \geq 0$, is given by $f^{-1}(r) = e^r - 1$, and it holds that $e^{a+b} - 1 \leq (e^{2a} - 1) + (e^{2b} - 1)$ for $a, b \geq 0$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x(t)\| &\leq \left(1 + \frac{M\|x_0\|_A}{(t+1)^{1/\alpha}} \right)^2 - 1 + \left(1 + M\|B\| \int_0^t \|u(s)\|_U ds \right)^4 - 1 \\ &\quad + e^{4K \int_0^t \kappa(\|u(s)\|_U) ds} - 1 \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \geq 0$. Thus, the bilinear system $\Sigma(A, F)$ is polynomially iISS with parameter α , where $\beta \in \mathcal{KL}$, $\theta \in \mathcal{K}_\infty$, and $\mu \in \mathcal{K}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(r, t) &:= \left(\frac{Mr}{(t+1)^{1/\alpha}} \right)^2 + \frac{2Mr}{(t+1)^{1/\alpha}} \\ \theta(r) &:= r^4 + 4r^3 + 6r^2 + 4r + e^{4Kr} - 1 \\ \mu(r) &:= \max\{r, \kappa(r)\} \end{aligned}$$

for the estimate (4.1). \square

5 Conclusion

We have introduced the notion of semi-uniform ISS and have established its characterization based on attractivity properties. We have given sufficient conditions for linear systems to be polynomially ISS. In the sufficient conditions, the range of the input operator is restricted, depending on the polynomial decay rate of the semigroup. We have also shown that bilinear systems are polynomially iISS if the nonlinear operator satisfies a smoothing assumption like the range condition of input operators for polynomial ISS of linear systems. Important directions for future research are to construct Lyapunov functions for polynomial ISS and to explore the relation between semi-uniform ISS and semi-uniform iISS.

Acknowledgements The author would like to thank the editor and anonymous reviewers for their careful reading of the manuscript and many insightful comments, which, in particular, make the argument in Example 3.7 simpler and improve Proposition 3.15 by bringing Weiss's paper [33] and the estimate (3.13).

References

1. Arendt, W., Batty, C.J.K., Hieber, M., Neubrander, F.: Vector-valued Laplace Transforms and Cauchy Problems. Basel: Birkhäuser (2001)
2. Bátkai, A., Engel, K.J., Prüss, J., Schnaubelt, R.: Polynomial stability of operator semigroups. *Math. Nachr.* **279**, 1425–1440 (2006)
3. Batty, C.J.K., Duyckaerts, T.: Non-uniform stability for bounded semi-groups on Banach spaces. *J. Evol. Equ.* **8**, 765–780 (2008)
4. Borichev, A., Tomilov, Y.: Optimal polynomial decay of functions and operator semigroups. *Math. Ann.* **347**, 455–478 (2010)
5. Cazenave, T., Haraux, A.: An Introduction to Semilinear Evolution Equations. New York: Oxford Univ. Press (1998)
6. Chill, R., Paunonen, L., Seifert, D., Stahn, R., Tomilov, Y.: Non-uniform stability of damped contraction semigroups (2019). URL <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1911.04804.pdf>
7. Chill, R., Seifert, D., Tomilov, Y.: Semi-uniform stability of operator semigroups and energy decay of damped waves. *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. A* **378**, 20190614 (2020)
8. Curtain, R.F., Zwart, H.J.: An Introduction to Infinite-Dimensional Systems, A State Space Approach. New York: Springer (2020)
9. Dashkovskiy, S., Mironchenko, A.: Input-to-state stability of infinite-dimensional control systems. *Math. Control Signals Systems* **25**, 1–35 (2013)
10. Engel, K.J., Nagel, R.: One-Parameter Semigroups for Linear Evolution Equations. New York: Springer (2000)
11. Haase, M.: The Functional Calculus for Sectorial Operators. Basel: Birkhäuser (2006)
12. Hoffeld, R., Jacob, B., Schwenninger, F.: Integral input-to-state stability of unbounded bilinear control systems (2018). URL <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1811.08470.pdf>
13. Jacob, B., Mironchenko, A., Partington, J.R., Wirth, F.: Noncoercive Lyapunov functions for input-to-state stability of infinite-dimensional systems. *SIAM J. Control Optim.* **58**, 2952–2978 (2020)
14. Jacob, B., Nabiullin, R., Partington, J.R., Schwenninger, F.L.: Infinite-dimensional input-to-state stability and Orlicz spaces. *SIAM J. Control Optim.* **56**, 868–889 (2018)
15. Jacob, B., Partington, J.R., Pott, S., Rydhe, E., Schwenninger, F.L.: Laplace-Carleson embeddings and infinity-norm admissibility (2021). URL <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2109.11465.pdf>
16. Jayawardhana, B., Logemann, H., Ryan, E.P.: Infinite-dimensional feedback systems: the circle criterion and input-to-state stability. *Commun. Inf. Systems* **8**, 413–444 (2008)

17. Karafyllis, I., Krstic, M.: ISS with respect to boundary disturbances for 1-D parabolic PDEs. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control* **61**, 3712–3724 (2016)
18. Liu, Z., Rao, B.: Characterization of polynomial decay rate for the solution of linear evolution equation. *Angew. Math. Phys.* **56**, 630–644 (2005)
19. Mironchenko, A., Ito, H.: Characterizations of integral input-to-state stability for bilinear systems in infinite dimensions. *Math. Control Related Fields* **6**, 447–466 (2016)
20. Mironchenko, A., Prieur, C.: Input-to-state stability of infinite-dimensional systems: recent results and open questions. *SIAM Review* **62**, 529–614 (2020)
21. Mironchenko, A., Wirth, F.: Characterizations of input-to-state stability for infinite-dimensional systems. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control* **63**, 1692–1707 (2018)
22. Nabiullin, R., Schwenninger, F.L.: Strong input-to-state stability for infinite-dimensional linear systems. *Math. Control Signals Systems* **30**, 4 (2018)
23. Pata, V.: Uniform estimates of Gronwall type. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **373**, 264–270 (2011)
24. Paunonen, L.: Perturbation of strongly and polynomially stable Riesz-spectral operators. *Systems Control Lett.* **60**, 234–248 (2011)
25. Paunonen, L.: Robustness of strongly and polynomially stable semigroups. *J. Funct. Anal.* **263**, 2555–2583 (2012)
26. Paunonen, L.: Robustness of polynomial stability with respect to unbounded perturbations. *Systems Control Lett.* **62**, 331–337 (2013)
27. Paunonen, L.: Polynomial stability of semigroups generated by operator matrices. *J. Evol. Equ.* **14**, 885–911 (2014)
28. Schmid, J.: Weak input-to-state stability: characterizations and counterexamples. *Math. Control Signals Systems* **31**, 433–454 (2019)
29. Sontag, E.: Smooth stabilization implies coprime factorization. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control* **34**, 435–443 (1989)
30. Sontag, E.D.: Comments on integral variants of ISS. *Systems Control Lett.* **34**, 93–100 (1998)
31. Su, P., Tucsnak, M., Weiss, G.: Stabilizability properties of a linearized water waves system. *Systems Control Lett.* **138**, 104672 (2020)
32. Tucsnak, M., Weiss, G.: *Observation and Control of Operator Semigroups*. Basel: Birkhäuser (2009)
33. Weiss, G.: Weak L^p -stability of a linear semigroup on a Hilbert space implies exponential stability. *J. Differential Equ.* **76**, 269–285 (1988)
34. Xu, C.Z., Sallet, G.: On spectrum and Riesz basis assignment of infinite-dimensional linear systems by bounded linear feedbacks. *SIAM J. Control Optim.* **34**, 521–541 (1996)