

# BONGARTZ COMPLETION VIA $c$ -VECTORS

PEIGEN CAO, YASUAKI GYODA, AND TOSHIYA YURIKUSA

ABSTRACT. In the present paper, we first give a characterization for Bongartz completion in  $\tau$ -tilting theory via  $c$ -vectors. Motivated by this characterization, we give the definition of Bongartz completion in cluster algebras using  $c$ -vectors. Then we prove the existence and uniqueness of Bongartz completion in cluster algebras. We also prove that Bongartz completion admits certain commutativity. We give two applications for Bongartz completion in cluster algebras. As the first application, we prove the full subquiver of the exchange quiver (or known as oriented exchange graph) of a cluster algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  whose vertices consist of seeds of  $\mathcal{A}$  containing particular cluster variables is isomorphic to the exchange quiver of another cluster algebra. As the second application, we prove that in a cluster Poisson algebra  $\mathcal{X}_\bullet$ , each cluster Poisson seed (up to seed equivalence) of  $\mathcal{X}_\bullet$  is uniquely determined by its negative cluster Poisson variables.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. $\tau$ -tilting theory	2
2.1. $\tau$ -tilting pair	2
2.2. Functorially finite torsion classes	3
2.3. $g$ -vectors and $c$ -vectors in $\tau$ -tilting theory	3
3. Cluster algebras and cluster Poisson algebras	4
3.1. Cluster algebras	4
3.2. $c$ -vectors and $g$ -vectors in cluster algebras	6
3.3. $g$ -pairs of clusters	7
3.4. Cluster Poisson algebras	8
4. Bongartz completion via $c$ -vectors	8
4.1. Bongartz completion in $\tau$ -tilting theory via $c$ -vectors	8
4.2. Bongartz completion in cluster algebras via $c$ -vectors	9
4.3. Commutativity of Bongartz completions	11
5. Applications	13
5.1. Application to exchange quivers	13
5.2. Application to cluster Poisson algebras	15
Acknowledgement	16
References	16

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Cluster algebras, introduced by Fomin and Zelevinsky [FZ02] around the year 2000, are commutative algebras whose generators and relations are constructed in a recursive manner. Among these algebras, there are the algebras of homogeneous coordinates on the Grassmannians, on the flag varieties and on many other varieties which play an important role in geometry and representation theory.

Two kinds of integer matrices called  $C$ -matrices and  $G$ -matrices whose columns are known as  $c$ -vectors and  $g$ -vectors, respectively, are introduced in [FZ07] and have played important roles in the theory of cluster algebras. They have the so-called sign-coherence property (see Theorem 3.16), which was conjectured in [FZ07] and was proved in [GHKK18] in the general case.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13F60, 16G20.

*Key words and phrases.* cluster algebra,  $\tau$ -tilting theory, Bongartz completion,  $c$ -vectors, exchange quiver.

Adachi, Iyama and Reiten [AIR14] introduced  $\tau$ -tilting theory to complete classical tilting theory from the viewpoint of mutation. In the context of  $\tau$ -tilting theory, there also exists the notion of  $g$ -vectors [AIR14] and  $c$ -vectors [Fu17], which are parallel to the corresponding concepts in cluster algebras.

In classic tilting theory, Bongartz completion [Bon80] is an operation to complete a partial tilting module to a tilting module. Bongartz completion is naturally extended to  $\tau$ -tilting theory, which completes a  $\tau$ -rigid pair to a  $\tau$ -tilting pair [AIR14]. In cluster algebras, the first-named author and Li [CL20] proved that for any cluster  $\mathbf{x}_t$  and any subset  $U$  of some cluster  $\mathbf{x}_{t_0}$ , there is a canonical method using  $g$ -vectors to complete  $U$  to a cluster  $\mathbf{x}_{t'}$ . This is known as the enough  $g$ -pairs property. In [Cao21], it was found that the completion in cluster algebras using  $g$ -vectors is actually Bongartz co-completion, that is, the dual of Bongartz completion, if one looks at it in a Hom-finite 2-Calabi-Yau triangulated category with cluster tilting object [BMR<sup>+</sup>06].

In the present paper, we first give a characterization for Bongartz completion in  $\tau$ -tilting theory via  $c$ -vectors (see Theorem 4.5). Motivated by this characterization, we give the definition of Bongartz completion in cluster algebras using  $c$ -vectors. Then we prove the existence and uniqueness of Bongartz completion in cluster algebras using the enough  $g$ -pairs property and the tropical dualities between  $C$ -matrices and  $G$ -matrices [NZ12] (see Theorem 4.14). We also prove that Bongartz completion admits certain commutativity (see Theorem 4.17).

Finally, we give two applications of Bongartz completion in cluster algebras. As the first application, we prove the full subquiver of the exchange quiver (or known as oriented exchange graph) of a cluster algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  whose vertices consist of seeds of  $\mathcal{A}$  containing particular cluster variables is isomorphic to the exchange quiver of another cluster algebra (see Theorem 5.4). As the second application, we prove that in a cluster Poisson algebra  $\mathcal{X}_\bullet$ , each cluster Poisson seed (up to seed equivalence) of  $\mathcal{X}_\bullet$  is uniquely determined by its negative cluster Poisson variables (see Theorem 5.10).

## 2. $\tau$ -TILTING THEORY

In this section, we recall  $\tau$ -tilting theory [AIR14]. We fix a finite dimensional basic algebra  $A$  over an algebraically closed field  $K$ . We denote by  $\text{mod } A$  the category of finitely generated left  $A$ -modules and by  $\tau$  the Auslander-Reiten translation in  $\text{mod } A$ .

For a full subcategory  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\text{mod } A$ , we define full subcategories of  $\text{mod } A$  as follows:

- $\text{add } \mathcal{C}$  is the additive closure of  $\mathcal{C}$ ;
- $\text{Fac } \mathcal{C}$  consists of the factor modules of objects in  $\text{add } \mathcal{C}$ ;
- $\mathcal{C}^\perp$  and  ${}^\perp \mathcal{C}$  are the full subcategories given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}^\perp &:= \{X \in \text{mod } A \mid \text{Hom}_A(\mathcal{C}, X) = 0\}, \\ {}^\perp \mathcal{C} &:= \{X \in \text{mod } A \mid \text{Hom}_A(X, \mathcal{C}) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

**2.1.  $\tau$ -tilting pair.** Given a module  $M \in \text{mod } A$ ,

- we say that  $M$  is a *brick* if  $\text{Hom}_A(M, M)$  is a division ring;
- we say that  $M$  is  $\tau$ -*rigid* if  $\text{Hom}_A(M, \tau M) = 0$ ;
- we denote by  $|M|$  the number of non-isomorphic indecomposable direct summands of  $M$ .

**Definition 2.1** ( $\tau$ -rigid and  $\tau$ -tilting pair). Let  $M$  be a module in  $\text{mod } A$  and  $P$  a projective module in  $\text{mod } A$ . The pair  $(M, P)$  is called  $\tau$ -*rigid* if  $M$  is  $\tau$ -rigid and  $\text{Hom}_A(P, M) = 0$ . It is called  $\tau$ -*tilting* (resp., *almost  $\tau$ -tilting*) if, moreover, it satisfies  $|M| + |P| = n = |A|$  (resp.,  $|M| + |P| = n - 1 = |A| - 1$ ).

Note that a module  $M \in \text{mod } A$  is called a *support  $\tau$ -tilting module* if there exists a projective module  $P$  such that  $(M, P)$  is a  $\tau$ -tilting pair. In fact, such a projective module  $P$  is unique up to isomorphisms [AIR14, Proposition 2.3]. A  $\tau$ -rigid pair  $(M, P)$  is *indecomposable* if  $M \oplus P$  is indecomposable in  $\text{mod } A$  and it is *basic* if both  $M$  and  $P$  are basic in  $\text{mod } A$ .

**Theorem 2.2** ([AIR14, Theorem 2.18]). *Any basic almost  $\tau$ -tilting pair  $(U, Q)$  in  $\text{mod } A$  is a direct summand of exactly two basic  $\tau$ -tilting pairs  $(M, P)$  and  $(M', P')$  in  $\text{mod } A$ . Moreover, we have*

$$\{\text{Fac } M, \text{Fac } M'\} = \{\text{Fac } U, {}^\perp(\tau U) \cap Q^\perp\}.$$

*In particular, either  $\text{Fac } M \subsetneq \text{Fac } M'$  or  $\text{Fac } M' \subsetneq \text{Fac } M$  holds.*

**Definition 2.3** (Left and right mutation). Keep the notations in Theorem 2.2. We call the operation  $(M, P) \mapsto (M', P')$  a *mutation* of  $(M, P)$ . If  $\text{Fac } M \subsetneq \text{Fac } M'$  holds, we call  $(M', P')$  a *right mutation* of  $(M, P)$ . If  $\text{Fac } M' \subsetneq \text{Fac } M$  holds, we call  $(M', P')$  a *left mutation* of  $(M, P)$ .

**2.2. Functorially finite torsion classes.** A *torsion pair*  $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$  in  $\text{mod } A$  is a pair of subcategories of  $\text{mod } A$  satisfying that

- (i)  $\text{Hom}_A(T, F) = 0$  for any  $T \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ ;
- (ii) for any module  $X \in \text{mod } A$ , there exists a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X^{\mathcal{T}} \rightarrow X \rightarrow X^{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow 0$$

with  $X^{\mathcal{T}} \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $X^{\mathcal{F}} \in \mathcal{F}$ . This short exact sequence is called the *canonical sequence* of  $X$  with respect to  $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ .

The subcategory  $\mathcal{T}$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{F}$ ) in a torsion pair  $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$  is called a *torsion class* (resp., *torsionfree class*) of  $\text{mod } A$ . A torsion class  $\mathcal{T}$  is said *functorially finite* if it is functorially finite as a subcategory of  $\text{mod } A$ , that is,  $\mathcal{T}$  is both contravariantly finite and covariantly finite in  $\text{mod } A$ .

**Theorem 2.4** ([AIR14]). *There is a well-defined map  $\Psi$  from  $\tau$ -rigid pairs to functorially finite torsion classes in  $\text{mod } A$  given by  $(M, P) \mapsto \text{Fac } M$ . Moreover,  $\Psi$  is a bijection if we restrict it to basic  $\tau$ -tilting pairs.*

**Proposition 2.5** ([AIR14]). *Let  $(U, Q)$  be a basic  $\tau$ -rigid pair and  $\mathcal{T}$  a functorially finite torsion class in  $\text{mod } A$ . Then*

- (i)  $\text{Fac } U$  and  ${}^{\perp}(\tau U) \cap Q^{\perp}$  are functorially finite torsion classes in  $\text{mod } A$ ;
- (ii)  $\text{Fac } U \subseteq \mathcal{T} \subseteq {}^{\perp}(\tau U) \cap Q^{\perp}$  if and only if  $(U, Q)$  is a direct summand of the basic  $\tau$ -tilting pair  $\Psi^{-1}(\mathcal{T})$ , where  $\Psi$  is the bijection given in Theorem 2.4.

**Definition 2.6** (Bongartz completion and Bongartz co-completion). Let  $(U, Q)$  be a basic  $\tau$ -rigid pair in  $\text{mod } A$  and  $\Psi$  the bijection in Theorem 2.4.

- (i) The basic  $\tau$ -tilting pair  $\Psi^{-1}(\text{Fac } U)$  is called the *Bongartz co-completion* of  $(U, Q)$ .
- (ii) The basic  $\tau$ -tilting pair  $\Psi^{-1}({}^{\perp}(\tau U) \cap Q^{\perp})$  is called the *Bongartz completion* of  $(U, Q)$ .

**2.3.  $g$ -vectors and  $c$ -vectors in  $\tau$ -tilting theory.** Let  $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n P_i$  be a decomposition of  $A$ , where  $P_i$  is an indecomposable projective  $A$ -module. For  $M \in \text{mod } A$ , we take a minimal projective presentation of  $M$

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^n P_i^{b_i} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n P_i^{a_i} \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0.$$

The vector  $\mathbf{g}(M) := (a_1 - b_1, \dots, a_n - b_n)^{\text{T}} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  is called the  *$g$ -vector* of  $M$ . For a  $\tau$ -rigid pair  $(M, P)$ , the  *$g$ -vector* of  $(M, P)$  is

$$\mathbf{g}(M, P) := \mathbf{g}(M) - \mathbf{g}(P) \in \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

When we write a basic  $\tau$ -tilting pair  $(M, P)$  as the form  $(M, P) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (M_i, Q_i)$ , we always mean that each  $(M_i, Q_i)$  is an indecomposable  $\tau$ -rigid pair, i.e.,  $M_i \oplus Q_i$  is indecomposable in  $\text{mod } A$ .

For a basic  $\tau$ -tilting pair  $(M, P) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (M_i, Q_i)$ , the  *$G$ -matrix* of  $(M, P)$  is the integer matrix  $G_{(M,P)} = (\mathbf{g}(M_1, Q_1), \dots, \mathbf{g}(M_n, Q_n))$ . Thanks to the theorem below, there exists another integer matrix  $C_{(M,P)}$  associated to  $(M, P)$ , which is given by  $C_{(M,P)} = (G_{(M,P)}^{\text{T}})^{-1}$ . The matrix  $C_{(M,P)}$  is called a  *$C$ -matrix* of  $A$  and its column vectors are called  *$c$ -vectors* of  $A$ .

**Theorem 2.7** ([AIR14, Theorem 5.1]). *Let  $(M, P) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (M_i, Q_i)$  be a basic  $\tau$ -tilting pair in  $\text{mod } A$ . Then  $\mathbf{g}(M_1, Q_1), \dots, \mathbf{g}(M_n, Q_n)$  form a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ .*

## 3. CLUSTER ALGEBRAS AND CLUSTER POISSON ALGEBRAS

**3.1. Cluster algebras.** We recall that a *semifield*  $\mathbb{P}$  is an abelian multiplicative group equipped with an addition  $\oplus$  which is distributive over the multiplication. Now we give two important examples of semifield: tropical semifield and universal semifield.

Let  $\text{Trop}(u_1, \dots, u_\ell)$  be the free (multiplicative) abelian group generated by  $u_1, \dots, u_\ell$ . Then  $\text{Trop}(u_1, \dots, u_\ell)$  is a semifield with the addition defined by

$$\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} u_j^{a_j} \oplus \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} u_j^{b_j} = \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} u_j^{\min(a_j, b_j)}.$$

We call it the *tropical semifield* of  $u_1, \dots, u_\ell$ .

Let  $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{sf}}(u_1, \dots, u_\ell)$  be the set of non-zero rational functions in  $u_1, \dots, u_\ell$  which have subtraction-free expressions. Then  $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{sf}}(u_1, \dots, u_\ell)$  is a semifield with the usual multiplication and addition. We call it the *universal semifield* of  $u_1, \dots, u_\ell$ .

Clearly, for any semifield  $\mathbb{P}$  and  $p_1, \dots, p_\ell \in \mathbb{P}$ , there exists a unique semifield homomorphism  $\pi : \mathbb{Q}_{\text{sf}}(u_1, \dots, u_\ell) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$  induced by  $\pi : u_i \mapsto p_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ . The image  $\pi(F(u_1, \dots, u_\ell))$  of  $F(u_1, \dots, u_\ell) \in \mathbb{Q}_{\text{sf}}(u_1, \dots, u_\ell)$  is called the *evaluation* of  $F(y_1, \dots, y_\ell)$  at  $(p_1, \dots, p_\ell)$  and we denote

$$F|_{\mathbb{P}}(p_1, \dots, p_\ell) := \pi(F(u_1, \dots, u_\ell)).$$

We fix a positive integer  $n$  and a semifield  $\mathbb{P}$ . Let  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{P}$  be the group ring of  $\mathbb{P}$  as a multiplicative group. Since  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{P}$  is a domain ([FZ02, Section 5]), its total quotient ring is a field  $\mathbb{Q}\mathbb{P}$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the field of the rational functions in  $n$  indeterminates with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}\mathbb{P}$ .

A (*labeled*) *seed* over  $\mathbb{P}$  is a triplet  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, B)$ , where

- $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is an  $n$ -tuple of elements of  $\mathcal{F}$  such that they form a free generating set of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Elements in  $\mathbf{x}$  are called *cluster variables*.
- $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$  is an  $n$ -tuple of elements of  $\mathbb{P}$ . Elements in  $\mathbf{y}$  are called *coefficients*.
- $B = (b_{ij})$  is an  $n \times n$  skew-symmetrizable matrix over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , that is, there exists a positive integer diagonal matrix  $S = \text{diag}(s_1, \dots, s_n)$  such that  $SB$  is skew-symmetric. Such an  $S$  is called a *skew-symmetrizer* of  $B$ .

In a seed  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, B)$ , we call  $\mathbf{x}$  a *cluster* and  $B$  an *exchange matrix*. For an integer  $b$ , we denote  $[b]_+ = \max(b, 0)$ . Clearly, we have  $b = [b]_+ - [-b]_+$ .

**Definition 3.1** (Matrix mutation). Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be an  $m \times n$  integer matrix with  $m \geq n > 0$  and  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . The *mutation*  $\mu_k(A)$  of  $A$  in direction  $k$  is the new matrix  $A' = (a'_{ij})$  given by

$$(3.1) \quad a'_{ij} = \begin{cases} -a_{ij} & \text{if } i = k \text{ or } j = k; \\ a_{ij} + [a_{ik}]_+ a_{kj} + a_{ik} [-a_{kj}]_+ & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It can be checked that if the upper  $n \times n$  submatrix of  $A_{m \times n}$  is skew-symmetrizable, then

$$\mu_k(\mu_k(A)) = A.$$

Let  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, B)$  be a seed over  $\mathbb{P}$  and  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . The *seed mutation*  $\mu_k$  in direction  $k$  transforms  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, B)$  to a new seed  $\mu_k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, B) = (\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y}', B')$  defined as follows:

- $B'$  is the mutation of  $B$  in direction  $k$ , i.e.,  $B' = \mu_k(B)$ ;
- The coefficients in  $\mathbf{y}' = (y'_1, \dots, y'_n)$  are given by

$$(3.2) \quad y'_j = \begin{cases} y_k^{-1} & \text{if } j = k; \\ y_j y_k^{[b_{kj}]_+} (y_k \oplus 1)^{-b_{kj}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- The cluster variables in  $\mathbf{x}' = (x'_1, \dots, x'_n)$  are given by

$$(3.3) \quad x'_j = \begin{cases} \frac{y_k \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{[b_{ik}]_+} + \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{[-b_{ik}]_+}}{(y_k \oplus 1)x_k} & \text{if } j = k; \\ x_j & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It can be checked that the seed mutation  $\mu_k$  is an involution, that is,  $\mu_k^2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, B) = (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, B)$ .

Let  $\mathbb{T}_n$  be the  $n$ -regular tree whose edges are labeled by the numbers  $1, \dots, n$  such that the  $n$  edges emanating from each vertex have different labels. We write  $t \xrightarrow{k} t'$  to indicate that the two vertices  $t, t' \in \mathbb{T}_n$  are joined by an edge labeled by  $k$ .

A *cluster pattern*  $\Sigma = \{t \mapsto \Sigma_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{T}_n}$  over  $\mathbb{P}$  is an assignment of a seed  $\Sigma_t = (\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{y}_t, B_t)$  over  $\mathbb{P}$  to every vertex  $t \in \mathbb{T}_n$  such that for any edge  $t \xrightarrow{k} t'$  of  $\mathbb{T}_n$ , we have  $\Sigma_{t'} = \mu_k(\Sigma_t)$ . For simplicity, we also use  $t$  to denote the seed at the vertex  $t$ . For a seed  $t = (\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{y}_t, B_t)$ , we always denote

$$\mathbf{x}_t = (x_{1;t}, \dots, x_{n;t}), \quad \mathbf{y}_t = (y_{1;t}, \dots, y_{n;t}), \quad B_t = (b_{ij;t}).$$

Sometimes we would like to choose a vertex  $t_0$  as the *rooted vertex* of  $\mathbb{T}_n$ . The seed at the rooted vertex  $t_0$  would be called *initial seed*. In this case, we will also use  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, B)$  to denote the initial seed  $t_0$ , where

$$(3.4) \quad \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_{t_0} = (x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}_{t_0} = (y_1, \dots, y_n), \quad B = B_{t_0} = (b_{ij}).$$

**Definition 3.2** (Cluster algebra). Let  $\Sigma = \{t \mapsto \Sigma_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{T}_n}$  be a cluster pattern over  $\mathbb{P}$ . The *cluster algebra*  $\mathcal{A}$  associated with  $\Sigma$  is the  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{P}$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{F}$  given by

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{P}[x_{1;t}, \dots, x_{n;t} \mid t \in \mathbb{T}_n].$$

We call  $n$  the *rank* of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Sometimes we use  $\mathcal{A}(B, t_0)$  to denote a cluster algebra with initial exchange matrix  $B$  at  $t_0$ .

**Example 3.3** (Cluster algebra of Type  $A_2$ ). Take  $n = 2$ , then the 2-regular tree  $\mathbb{T}_2$  is given as follows:

$$(3.5) \quad \cdots \xrightarrow{1} t_0 \xrightarrow{2} t_1 \xrightarrow{1} t_2 \xrightarrow{2} t_3 \xrightarrow{1} t_4 \xrightarrow{2} t_5 \xrightarrow{1} \cdots$$

We set the initial exchange matrix  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  at the vertex  $t_0$ . In this case, we only have finite coefficients and cluster variables, which are given in Table 1 [FZ07, Example 2.10].

$t$	$\mathbf{y}_t$		$\mathbf{x}_t$	
0	$y_1$	$y_2$	$x_1$	$x_2$
1	$y_1(y_2 \oplus 1)$	$\frac{1}{y_2}$	$x_1$	$\frac{x_1 y_2 + 1}{(y_2 \oplus 1)x_2}$
2	$\frac{1}{y_1(y_2 \oplus 1)}$	$\frac{y_1 y_2 \oplus y_1 \oplus 1}{y_2}$	$\frac{x_1 y_1 y_2 + y_1 + x_2}{(y_1 y_2 \oplus y_1 \oplus 1)x_1 x_2}$	$\frac{x_1 y_2 + 1}{(y_2 \oplus 1)x_2}$
3	$\frac{y_1 \oplus 1}{y_1 y_2}$	$\frac{y_2}{y_1 y_2 \oplus y_1 \oplus 1}$	$\frac{x_1 y_1 y_2 + y_1 + x_2}{(y_1 y_2 \oplus y_1 \oplus 1)x_1 x_2}$	$\frac{y_1 + x_2}{x_1(y_1 \oplus 1)}$
4	$\frac{y_1 y_2}{y_1 \oplus 1}$	$\frac{1}{y_1}$	$x_2$	$\frac{y_1 + x_2}{x_1(y_1 \oplus 1)}$
5	$y_2$	$y_1$	$x_2$	$x_1$

TABLE 1. Coefficients and cluster variables in type  $A_2$ : general coefficients

Therefore, we have

$$\mathcal{A}(B, t_0) = \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{P} \left[ x_1, x_2, \frac{x_1 y_2 + 1}{(y_2 \oplus 1)x_2}, \frac{x_1 y_1 y_2 + y_1 + x_2}{(y_1 y_2 \oplus y_1 \oplus 1)x_1 x_2}, \frac{y_1 + x_2}{x_1(y_1 \oplus 1)} \right].$$

Let  $t = (\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{y}_t, B_t)$  be a seed and  $\sigma$  a permutation on  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . We use  $\sigma(t)$  to denote a new seed given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(t) &= (\sigma\mathbf{x}_t, \sigma\mathbf{y}_t, \sigma B_t), \\ \sigma\mathbf{x}_t &= (x_{\sigma(1);t}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n);t}), \\ \sigma\mathbf{y}_t &= (y_{\sigma(1);t}, \dots, y_{\sigma(n);t}), \\ \sigma B_t &= (b'_{ij}), \quad b'_{ij} = b_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j);t}.\end{aligned}$$

Two seeds  $t$  and  $t'$  are called *equivalent* if there exists a permutation  $\sigma$  such that  $t' = \sigma(t)$ .

*Remark 3.4.* Notice that the action of a permutation on a seed is compatible with mutations, that is, we have

$$\mu_k(\sigma(t)) = \sigma\mu_{\sigma(k)}(t).$$

In particular, the two cluster algebras given by two equivalent seeds have the same set of cluster variables.

**Theorem 3.5** ([CL20, Proposition 3 (ii)]). *Let  $t$  and  $t'$  be two seeds of a cluster algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . If there exists a permutation  $\sigma$  such that  $\mathbf{x}_{t'} = \sigma\mathbf{x}_t$ , then  $t' = \sigma(t)$ .*

**Proposition 3.6** ([CL20, Proposition 3 (i)]). *Let  $\mathcal{A}_1$  be a cluster algebra with coefficient semifield  $\mathbb{P}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2$  a cluster algebra with coefficient semifield  $\mathbb{P}_2$ . Denote by  $(\mathbf{x}_t(k), \mathbf{y}_t(k), B_t(k))$  the seed of  $\mathcal{A}_k$  at  $t \in \mathbb{T}_n$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ . If  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2$  have the same initial exchange matrix at the rooted vertex  $t_0$ , then  $x_{i;t}(1) = x_{j;t'}(1)$  if and only if  $x_{i;t}(2) = x_{j;t'}(2)$ , where  $t, t' \in \mathbb{T}_n$  and  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .*

**Definition 3.7** (Exchange graph). The *exchange graph*  $\Gamma$  of a cluster algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is the graph whose vertices correspond to seeds of  $\mathcal{A}$  up to seed equivalence and whose edges correspond to seed mutations.

By Proposition 3.6, we know that the exchange graph  $\Gamma$  of a cluster algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is independent of the choice of the coefficient semifield. It only depends on the initial exchange matrix.

**Theorem 3.8** ([CL20, Theorem 10]). *The seeds of a cluster algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  whose clusters contain particular cluster variables form a connected subgraph of the exchange graph of  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

**3.2.  $c$ -vectors and  $g$ -vectors in cluster algebras.** If the coefficient semifield of a cluster algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is a tropical semifield, then we say that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a *geometric cluster algebra*.

**Definition 3.9** (Coefficient matrix and extended exchange matrix). Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a geometric cluster algebra whose coefficient semifield is  $\text{Trop}(u_1, \dots, u_m)$ . We know that each coefficient variable  $y_{k;t}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  can be written as a Laurent monomial in  $u_1, \dots, u_m$ , say  $y_{k;t} = u_1^{c_{1k}^t} \cdots u_m^{c_{mk}^t}$ . We call  $C_t := (c_{ij}^t)_{m \times n}$  the *coefficient matrix* at  $t$  and  $\tilde{B}_t = \begin{pmatrix} B_t \\ C_t \end{pmatrix}$  the *extended exchange matrix* at  $t$ .

**Proposition 3.10.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a geometric cluster algebra and  $t_1, t_2$  two seeds of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $C_{t_1} = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$  and  $C_{t_2}$  be the coefficient matrices of  $\mathcal{A}$  at  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ , respectively. If there exists a permutation  $\sigma$  such that  $t_2 = \sigma(t_1)$ , then  $C_{t_2} = (c_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, c_{\sigma(n)})$ .*

*Proof.* By  $t_2 = \sigma(t_1)$ , we have  $\mathbf{y}_{t_2} = \sigma\mathbf{y}_{t_1}$ . Then by the definition of coefficient matrix, we have  $C_{t_2} = (c_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, c_{\sigma(n)})$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.11** (Extended cluster and frozen variables). Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a geometric cluster algebra whose coefficient semifield is  $\text{Trop}(u_1, \dots, u_m)$ . For a seed  $t = (\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{y}_t, B_t)$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ , we usually use  $(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_t, \tilde{B}_t)$  to denote the seed  $t$ , where  $\tilde{B}_t$  is the extended exchange matrix at  $t$  and

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_t = (x_{1;t}, \dots, x_{n;t}; x_{n+1;t}, \dots, x_{n+m;t})$$

with  $x_{n+i;t} = u_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . We call  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_t$  the *extended cluster* at  $t$  and  $x_{n+1;t}, \dots, x_{n+m;t}$  *frozen variables*.

**Proposition 3.12** ([FZ02, Proposition 5.8]). *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a geometric cluster algebra. Then for any edge  $t \xrightarrow{k} t'$  in  $\mathbb{T}_n$ , we have  $\tilde{B}_{t'} = \mu_k(\tilde{B}_t)$ , where  $\tilde{B}_t$  and  $\tilde{B}_{t'}$  are the extended exchange matrices at  $t$  and  $t'$ , respectively.*

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a geometric cluster algebra whose coefficient semifield is  $\text{Trop}(u_1, \dots, u_m)$ . We say that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a cluster algebra with *principal coefficients* at seed  $t_0 = (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, B)$ , if the coefficient matrix  $C_{t_0}$  at  $t_0$  is the identity matrix, that is,  $m = n$  and  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n) = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$  hold.

**Definition 3.13** ( $C$ -matrix and  $c$ -vectors). Let  $\mathcal{A}(B, t_0)$  be a cluster algebra with principal coefficients at  $t_0$ . Then the coefficient matrix  $C_t = C_t^{B;t_0}$  at  $t$  is called a  $C$ -matrix of  $B$  and its columns are called  $c$ -vectors of  $B$ .

**Theorem 3.14** ([FZ07, Proposition 6.1]). *Let  $\mathcal{A}(B, t_0)$  be a cluster algebra with principal coefficients at  $t_0$ . Then each cluster variable  $x_{k;t}$  is a homogeneous Laurent polynomial in  $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n$  with following  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -grading:*

$$(3.6) \quad \deg x_i = \mathbf{e}_i, \quad \deg y_i = -\mathbf{b}_i,$$

where  $\mathbf{e}_i$  is the  $i$ -th canonical basis of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  and  $\mathbf{b}_i$  is the  $i$ -th column vector of  $B$ .

**Definition 3.15** ( $G$ -matrix and  $g$ -vectors). Keep the notations in Theorem 3.14. The degree  $\deg x_{k;t}$  of  $x_{k;t}$  under the  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -grading (3.6) is called the  $g$ -vector of  $x_{k;t}$  and we denote it by

$$\mathbf{g}_{k;t}^{B;t_0} = \mathbf{g}_{k;t} = \deg x_{k;t}.$$

The matrix  $G_t^{B;t_0} = (\mathbf{g}_{1;t}, \dots, \mathbf{g}_{n;t})$  is called a  $G$ -matrix of  $B$ .

Notice that both the  $C$ -matrix  $C_t^{B;t_0}$  and the  $G$ -matrix  $G_t^{B;t_0}$  are uniquely determined by the triple  $(B, t_0, t)$ .

**Theorem 3.16** (Sign-coherence, [GHKK18]). *The following two statements hold.*

- (i) *Each column vector of a  $C$ -matrix is either a non-negative vector or a non-positive vector.*
- (ii) *Each row vector of a  $G$ -matrix is either a non-negative vector or a non-positive vector.*

**Theorem 3.17** ([NZ12, CL18]). *Let  $B$  be an  $n \times n$  skew-symmetrizable matrix and  $S$  a skew-symmetrizer of  $B$ . Then for any vertices  $t_0, t \in \mathbb{T}_n$ , we have  $SC_t^{B;t_0}S^{-1}(G_t^{B;t_0})^T = I_n$ . In particular,  $\det C_t^{B;t_0} = \det G_t^{B;t_0} = \pm 1$ .*

**3.3.  $g$ -pairs of clusters.** In this subsection, we briefly recall  $g$ -pairs of clusters introduced in [CL20] with the aim to study  $d$ -vectors of cluster algebras. We will use its properties later.

We denote by  $[\mathbf{x}_t]$  the cluster  $\mathbf{x}_t = (x_{1;t}, \dots, x_{n;t})$  up to permutations, that is,  $[\mathbf{x}_t] = \{x_{1;t}, \dots, x_{n;t}\}$ .

**Definition 3.18.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a cluster algebra with initial seed  $t_0$  and  $U$  a subset of  $[\mathbf{x}_{t_0}]$ . A pair of clusters  $([\mathbf{x}_t], [\mathbf{x}_{t'}])$  is called a  $g$ -pair associated with  $U$ , if it satisfies the following two conditions.

- (a)  $U$  is a subset of  $[\mathbf{x}_{t'}]$ ;
- (b) The  $i$ -th row of  $(G_{t'}^{B_{t_0};t_0})^{-1}G_t^{B_{t_0};t_0}$  is a non-negative vector for any  $i$  such that  $x_{i;t'} \notin U$ .

**Theorem 3.19** ([CL20, Theorem 8,9]). *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a cluster algebra with initial seed  $t_0$ . Then for any subset  $U \subset [\mathbf{x}_{t_0}]$  and any cluster  $[\mathbf{x}_t]$ , there exists a unique cluster  $[\mathbf{x}_{t'}]$  such that  $([\mathbf{x}_t], [\mathbf{x}_{t'}])$  is a  $g$ -pair associated with  $U$ .*

We refer to Theorem 3.19 the *enough  $g$ -pairs property* of cluster algebras.

*Remark 3.20.* The authors in [CL20] proved the above theorem for principal coefficients algebras. However, since the notation of  $g$ -pairs only depends on  $G$ -matrices, it can be naturally extended to cluster algebras with coefficients in a general semifield.

The following property of  $g$ -pairs was given in [Cao21].

**Theorem 3.21** ([Cao21, Corollary 7.18]). *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a cluster algebra with initial seed  $t_0$  and  $U$  a subset of  $[\mathbf{x}_{t_0}]$ . Then  $([\mathbf{x}_t], [\mathbf{x}_{t'}])$  is a  $g$ -pair associated with  $U$  if and only if the following two conditions hold.*

- (a)  $U$  is a subset of  $[\mathbf{x}_{t'}]$ ;
- (b) The  $i$ -th row of the  $G$ -matrix  $G_t^{B_{t'};t'}$  is a non-negative vector for any  $i$  such that  $x_{i;t'} \notin U$ .

**3.4. Cluster Poisson algebras.** In this subsection, we always fix  $\mathcal{A}_\bullet$  a cluster algebra with universal coefficients, whose definition is given below.

**Definition 3.22.** Let  $\mathcal{A}_\bullet$  be a cluster algebra with coefficient semifield  $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{sf}}(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ . We say that  $\mathcal{A}_\bullet$  is a *cluster algebra with universal coefficients* if

$$\mathbb{Q}_{\text{sf}}(y_{1;t_0}, \dots, y_{n;t_0}) = \mathbb{Q}_{\text{sf}}(u_1, \dots, u_n)$$

holds for the initial seed  $t_0$  of  $\mathcal{A}_\bullet$ .

For each seed  $t = (\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{y}_t, B_t)$  of  $\mathcal{A}_\bullet$ , the pair  $(\mathbf{y}_t, B_t)$  is called a *cluster Poisson seed*. In this case,  $\mathbf{y}_t$  is called a *Poisson cluster* and variables  $y_{1;t}, \dots, y_{n;t}$  are called *cluster Poisson variables*.

Let  $t' = \mu_k(t)$  be a seed mutation in  $\mathcal{A}_\bullet$ . We denote  $\mathbf{y}_t = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ ,  $\mathbf{y}_{t'} = (y'_1, \dots, y'_n)$  and  $B_t = (b_{ij})$ . Then one can see that the corresponding cluster Poisson seed  $(\mathbf{y}_{t'}, B_{t'})$  is mutated as follows:  $B_{t'} = \mu_k(B_t)$  and

$$y'_i = \begin{cases} y_k^{-1} & \text{if } i = k; \\ y_i y_k^{[b_{ki}]_+} (1 + y_k)^{-b_{ki}} & \text{if } i \neq k. \end{cases}$$

**Definition 3.23** (Cluster Poisson algebra). Let  $\mathcal{A}_\bullet$  be a cluster algebra with universal coefficients. The *cluster Poisson algebra*  $\mathcal{X}_\bullet$  associated with  $\mathcal{A}_\bullet$  is the intersection

$$\mathcal{X}_\bullet = \bigcap_{t \in \mathbb{T}_n} \mathcal{L}(t),$$

where  $\mathcal{L}(t) = \mathbb{Z}[y_{1;t}^{\pm 1}, \dots, y_{n;t}^{\pm 1}]$ .

#### 4. BONGARTZ COMPLETION VIA $c$ -VECTORS

**4.1. Bongartz completion in  $\tau$ -tilting theory via  $c$ -vectors.** In this subsection, we give a characterization for Bongartz completion in  $\tau$ -tilting theory via  $c$ -vectors. Firstly, we summarize some known results from [Asa18, Tre19], mainly from [Asa18, Proposition 2.17 and Subsection 3.4] and [Tre19, Lemma 3.10 and Theorem 3.13].

**Theorem 4.1** ([Asa18, Tre19]). *Let  $(M, P) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (M_i, Q_i)$  be a basic  $\tau$ -tilting pair in  $\text{mod } A$ . Suppose that the mutation  $(M', P')$  of  $(M, P)$  in direction  $r$  is a right mutation and put  $(U, Q) = \bigoplus_{i \neq r} (M_i, Q_i)$ .*

*Then the following statements hold.*

- (i) *There exists a unique brick  $B_r$  up to isomorphisms such that  $B_r$  belongs to  $U^\perp \cap {}^\perp(\tau U) \cap Q^\perp$ .*
- (ii) *The  $r$ -th column vector of the  $C$ -matrix  $C_{(M,P)}$  (resp.,  $C_{(M',P')}$ ) is given by  $[B_r]$  (resp.,  $-[B_r]$ ), where  $[B_r]$  denotes the dimensional vector of the brick  $B_r$ .*

*Remark 4.2.* It is known from [BST19, Proposition 3.13] or [Yur18, Theorem 1.4] that the subcategory  $U^\perp \cap {}^\perp(\tau U) \cap Q^\perp$  in Theorem 4.1 is a  $\mathbf{g}(U, Q)$ -semistable subcategory in  $\text{mod } A$ . In particular, the brick  $B_r$  is the unique  $\mathbf{g}(U, Q)$ -stable module in  $\text{mod } A$  up to isomorphisms.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $(M, P) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (M_i, Q_i)$  be a basic  $\tau$ -tilting pair in  $\text{mod } A$  and  $(M', P')$  the mutation of  $(M, P)$  in the direction  $r$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  *$(M', P')$  is a right mutation of  $(M, P)$ ;*
- (ii)  *$\text{Fac } M \subsetneq \text{Fac } M'$ ;*
- (iii) *The  $r$ -th column vector of the  $C$ -matrix  $C_{(M,P)}$  is a non-positive vector.*

*Proof.* The assertion follows from the definition of right mutation and Theorem 4.1.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4** ([AIR14, Theorem 2.35]). *Let  $(M, P)$  and  $(N, R)$  be two basic  $\tau$ -tilting pairs with  $\text{Fac } M \subsetneq \text{Fac } N$ . Then there exists a right mutation  $(M', P')$  of  $(M, P)$  such that  $\text{Fac } M' \subseteq \text{Fac } N$ .*

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $(U, Q)$  be a basic  $\tau$ -rigid pair and  $(M, P) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (M_i, Q_i)$  a basic  $\tau$ -tilting pair in  $\text{mod } A$ . Then  $(M, P)$  is the Bongartz completion of  $(U, Q)$  if and only if the following two conditions hold.*



- (a)  $(U, Q)$  is a direct summand of  $(M, P)$ , say  $(U, Q) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s (M_i, Q_i)$ , where  $s \leq n$ ;  
 (b) The  $c$ -vectors in the  $C$ -matrix  $C_{(M,P)}$  indexed by  $s+1, \dots, n$  are non-negative vectors.

*Proof.*  $\implies$ : Suppose that  $(M, P)$  is the Bongartz completion of  $(U, Q)$ , then we have  $\text{Fac } M = {}^\perp(\tau U) \cap Q^\perp$ . Then by Proposition 2.5, we know that  $(U, Q)$  is a direct summand of  $(M, P)$ , say  $(U, Q) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s (M_i, Q_i)$ , where  $s \leq n$ . Thus the statement (a) holds.

Now we prove the statement (b). By Theorem 4.1, we know that for each  $1 \leq r \leq n$ , there exists a brick  $B_r \in \text{mod } A$  such that the  $r$ -th column vector  $\mathbf{c}_r$  of  $C_{(M,P)}$  is given by  $[B_r]$  or  $-[B_r]$ , where  $[B_r]$  denotes the dimensional vector of  $B_r$ . Assume by contradiction that there exists some  $s+1 \leq k \leq n$  such that  $\mathbf{c}_k \notin \mathbb{N}^n$ , then we must have  $\mathbf{c}_k = -[B_k]$ . Let  $(M', P')$  be the mutation of  $(M, P)$  in direction  $k$ . Since  $\mathbf{c}_k = -[B_k]$  is a non-positive vector and by Lemma 4.3, we know that  ${}^\perp(\tau U) \cap Q^\perp = \text{Fac } M \subsetneq \text{Fac } M'$ . On the other hand, by  $(U, Q) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s (M_i, Q_i)$  and  $k \geq s+1$ , we know that  $(U, Q)$  is also a direct summand of  $(M', P')$ . Then by Proposition 2.5, we get  $\text{Fac } U \subseteq \text{Fac } M' \subseteq {}^\perp(\tau U) \cap Q^\perp$ . This contradicts  ${}^\perp(\tau U) \cap Q^\perp = \text{Fac } M \subsetneq \text{Fac } M'$ . Thus the  $c$ -vectors in the  $C$ -matrix  $C_{(M,P)}$  indexed by  $s+1, \dots, n$  are non-negative vectors, i.e., the statement (b) holds.

$\impliedby$ : By (a) and Proposition 2.5, we get  $\text{Fac } U \subseteq \text{Fac } M \subseteq {}^\perp(\tau U) \cap Q^\perp$ .

Now assume by contradiction that  $(M, P)$  is not the Bongartz completion of  $U$ , i.e.,  $\text{Fac } M \neq {}^\perp(\tau U) \cap Q^\perp$ . Then we have  $\text{Fac } M \subsetneq {}^\perp(\tau U) \cap Q^\perp$ . By Lemma 4.4, there exists a right mutation  $(M', P')$  such that

$$\text{Fac } U \subseteq \text{Fac } M \subsetneq \text{Fac } M' \subseteq {}^\perp(\tau U) \cap Q^\perp.$$

By Proposition 2.5, we know that  $(U, Q) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s (M_i, Q_i)$  is a direct summand of  $(M', P')$ .

Suppose the mutation from  $(M, P)$  to  $(M', P')$  is in direction  $p$ . Because  $(U, Q) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s (M_i, Q_i)$  is a common direct summand of  $(M, P)$  and  $(M', P')$ , we know that  $p \notin \{1, \dots, s\}$ . Thus  $p \geq s+1$ . Since  $(M', P')$  is a right mutation of  $(M, P)$  in direction  $p$  and by Lemma 4.3, we know that the  $p$ -th column vector of  $C_{(M,P)}$  is a non-positive vector. Thus we find a  $p \geq s+1$  such that the  $p$ -th column vector of  $C_{(M,P)}$  is a non-positive vector, which contradicts our assumption in (b). Therefore,  $\text{Fac } M = {}^\perp(\tau U) \cap Q^\perp$  and  $(M, P)$  is the Bongartz completion of  $(U, Q)$ .  $\square$

By the dual arguments, we can show the following result.

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $(U, Q)$  be a basic  $\tau$ -rigid pair and  $(M, P) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (M_i, Q_i)$  a basic  $\tau$ -tilting pair in  $\text{mod } A$ . Then  $(M, P)$  is the Bongartz co-completion of  $(U, Q)$  if and only if the following two conditions hold.*

- (a)  $(U, Q)$  is a direct summand of  $(M, P)$ , say  $(U, Q) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s (M_i, Q_i)$ , where  $s \leq n$ ;  
 (b) The  $c$ -vectors in the  $C$ -matrix  $C_{(M,P)}$  indexed by  $s+1, \dots, n$  are non-positive vectors.

*Remark 4.7.* Notice that [AIR14, Theorem 2.14, Proposition 2.27] tell us there exists a bijection  $(-)^\dagger$  from the basic  $\tau$ -tilting pairs in  $\text{mod } A$  to those in  $\text{mod } A^{\text{op}}$ , which reverses the partial order given by

$$(M, P) \leq (M', P') \iff \text{Fac } M \subseteq \text{Fac } M'.$$

Thus the Bongartz co-completion in  $\text{mod } A$  can be studied via the Bongartz completion in  $\text{mod } A^{\text{op}}$ .

**4.2. Bongartz completion in cluster algebras via  $c$ -vectors.** Inspired by Theorems 4.5 and 4.6, we give the definition of Bongartz completion and Bongartz co-completion in cluster algebras using  $c$ -vectors.

**Definition 4.8** (Bongartz completion and Bongartz co-completion). Let  $\mathcal{A}(B, t_0)$  be a cluster algebra with initial exchange matrix  $B$  at  $t_0$  and  $U$  a subset of some cluster of  $\mathcal{A}(B, t_0)$ .

- (i) A cluster  $[\mathbf{x}_s]$  is called the *Bongartz completion* of  $U$  with respect to  $t_0$  if the following two conditions hold.

- (a)  $U$  is a subset of  $[\mathbf{x}_s]$ ;
- (b) The  $i$ -th column of the  $C$ -matrix  $C_s^{B;t_0}$  is a non-negative vector for any  $i$  such that  $x_{i;s} \notin U$ .
- (ii) A cluster  $[\mathbf{x}_s]$  is called the *Bongartz co-completion* of  $U$  with respect to  $t_0$  if the following two conditions hold.
  - (a')  $U$  is a subset of  $[\mathbf{x}_s]$ ;
  - (b') The  $i$ -th column of the  $C$ -matrix  $C_s^{B;t_0}$  is a non-positive vector for any  $i$  such that  $x_{i;s} \notin U$ .

*Remark 4.9.* The Bongartz co-completion in this paper and that in [Cao21] are essentially different.

Notice that both the existence and uniqueness of Bongartz completion and Bongartz co-completion in cluster algebras are not clear from their definitions. Before the discussion on their existence and uniqueness, we first discuss the relationship between Bongartz completion and Bongartz co-completion.

Let  $\Sigma_{t_0} = (\mathbf{x}_{t_0}, \mathbf{y}_{t_0}, B_{t_0})$  be a seed over  $\mathbb{P}$ . Put

$$\mathbf{y}_{t_0}^{-1} := (y_{1;t_0}^{-1}, \dots, y_{n;t_0}^{-1}).$$

We call the new seed  $\Sigma_{t_0}^{\text{op}} := (\mathbf{x}_{t_0}, \mathbf{y}_{t_0}^{-1}, -B_{t_0})$  the *opposite seed* of  $\Sigma_{t_0}$ . It can be checked that  $(\mu_k(\Sigma_{t_0}))^{\text{op}} = \mu_k(\Sigma_{t_0}^{\text{op}})$ . Thus the cluster algebra  $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma_{t_0})$  given by the seed  $\Sigma_{t_0}$  and the cluster algebra  $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma_{t_0}^{\text{op}})$  given by the opposite seed  $\Sigma_{t_0}^{\text{op}}$  have the same set of cluster variables and the same set of clusters.

**Proposition 4.10.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma_{t_0})$  be a cluster algebra with initial seed  $\Sigma_{t_0}$  and  $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma_{t_0}^{\text{op}})$  the cluster algebra with initial seed  $\Sigma_{t_0}^{\text{op}}$ . Let  $U$  be a subset of some cluster of  $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma_{t_0})$  and suppose there exists a seed  $\Sigma_{t_1} = \overleftarrow{\mu}(\Sigma_{t_0})$  of  $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma_{t_0})$  such that*

$$\begin{bmatrix} B_{t_1} \\ -I_n \end{bmatrix} = \overleftarrow{\mu} \begin{bmatrix} B_{t_0} \\ I_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

*Then a cluster  $[\mathbf{x}_s]$  is the Bongartz completion of  $U$  with respect to  $\Sigma_{t_0}$  in  $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma_{t_0})$  if and only if it is the Bongartz co-completion of  $U$  with respect to  $\Sigma_{t_1}^{\text{op}}$  in  $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma_{t_0}^{\text{op}})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\overleftarrow{\nu}$  be a sequence of mutations such that  $\Sigma_s = \overleftarrow{\nu}(\Sigma_{t_0})$ . Then we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} B_s \\ C_s^{B_{t_0};t_0} \end{bmatrix} = \overleftarrow{\nu} \begin{bmatrix} B_{t_0} \\ I_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

By  $\begin{bmatrix} B_{t_1} \\ -I_n \end{bmatrix} = \overleftarrow{\mu} \begin{bmatrix} B_{t_0} \\ I_n \end{bmatrix}$ , we get  $\begin{bmatrix} B_s \\ C_s^{B_{t_0};t_0} \end{bmatrix} = \overleftarrow{\nu} \begin{bmatrix} B_{t_0} \\ I_n \end{bmatrix} = \overleftarrow{\nu}(\overleftarrow{\mu})^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} B_{t_1} \\ -I_n \end{bmatrix}$ . Then by the definition of mutations, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} -B_s \\ -C_s^{B_{t_0};t_0} \end{bmatrix} = -\overleftarrow{\nu}(\overleftarrow{\mu})^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} B_{t_1} \\ -I_n \end{bmatrix} = \overleftarrow{\nu}(\overleftarrow{\mu})^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} -B_{t_1} \\ I_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence,  $C_s^{-B_{t_1};t_1} = -C_s^{B_{t_0};t_0}$ , where  $C_s^{-B_{t_1};t_1}$  is the  $C$ -matrix of the seed  $\Sigma_s^{\text{op}}$  with respect to  $\Sigma_{t_1}^{\text{op}}$ . Then the result follows from the definition of Bongartz completion and Bongartz co-completion.  $\square$

*Remark 4.11.* The seed  $\Sigma_{t_1}$  in Proposition 4.10 is analogous to the  $\tau$ -tilting pair  $(0, A)$  for a finite dimensional basic algebra  $A$ . Then one can see that Proposition 4.10 is analogous to Remark 4.7.

In the sequel, we will prove that Bongartz completion in cluster algebras always exists and it is unique. However, this is not the case for Bongartz co-completion. The existence and uniqueness of Bongartz co-completion in cluster algebras will be discussed in Corollary 5.5.

**Lemma 4.12.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}(B, t_0)$  be a cluster algebra with initial exchange matrix  $B$  at  $t_0$ , and  $\mathcal{A}(B^\top, t_0)$  be a cluster algebra with initial exchange matrix  $B^\top$  at  $t_0$ . We denote by  $\Sigma_t = (\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{y}_t, B_t)$  the seed of  $\mathcal{A}(B, t_0)$  at  $t$  and by  $\Sigma_t^\top = (\mathbf{x}_t^\top, \mathbf{y}_t^\top, B_t^\top)$  the seed of  $\mathcal{A}(B^\top, t_0)$  at  $t$ . Then  $x_{i;t} = x_{j;t'}$  if and only if  $x_{i;t}^\top = x_{j';t'}^\top$ , where  $t, t' \in \mathbb{T}_n$  and  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . In particular,  $(-)^{\top}$  induces a bijection from the cluster variables of  $\mathcal{A}(B, t_0)$  to those of  $\mathcal{A}(B^\top, t_0)$ .*

*Proof.*  $\implies$ : Suppose  $x_{i;t} = x_{j;t'}$ , then by Theorem 3.8, there exists a sequence  $\mu_{k_\ell} \cdots \mu_{k_1}$  of mutations with  $i \neq k_1, \dots, k_\ell$  such that the two seeds  $\Sigma_{t'}$  and

$$\Sigma_s := \mu_{k_\ell} \cdots \mu_{k_1}(\Sigma_t)$$

are equivalent via a permutation  $\sigma$ . By [Nak20, Theorem 5.20], the two seeds  $\Sigma_{t'}^T$  and

$$\Sigma_s^T := \mu_{k_\ell} \cdots \mu_{k_1}(\Sigma_t^T)$$

are also equivalent via the same permutation  $\sigma$ . Thus we have  $x_{j;t'} = x_{\sigma(j);s}$  and  $x_{j;t'}^T = x_{\sigma(j);s}^T$ .

By  $i \neq k_1, \dots, k_\ell$ , we have  $x_{i;t} = x_{i;s}$  and  $x_{i;t}^T = x_{i;s}^T$ . Since the equality  $x_{i;s} = x_{i;t} = x_{j;t'} = x_{\sigma(j);s}$  holds, we have  $i = \sigma(j)$ . Thus  $x_{i;t}^T = x_{i;s}^T = x_{\sigma(j);s}^T = x_{j;t'}^T$ .

$\impliedby$ : Similarly, we can show that if  $x_{i;t}^T = x_{j;t'}^T$ , then  $x_{i;t} = x_{j;t'}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.13** ([NZ12, (1.13)]). *In a cluster algebra, for any  $t_0, t \in \mathbb{T}_n$ , we have*

$$(C_t^{B_{t_0}; t_0})^T = G_{t_0}^{B_t^T; t}.$$

**Theorem 4.14.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}(B, t_0)$  be a cluster algebra with initial exchange matrix  $B$  at  $t_0$ . Then for any subset  $U$  of a cluster  $[\mathbf{x}_t]$ , there exists a unique cluster  $[\mathbf{x}_s]$  such that  $[\mathbf{x}_s]$  is the Bongartz completion of  $U$  with respect to  $t_0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{A}(B^T, t_0)$  be a cluster algebra with initial exchange matrix  $B^T$  at  $t_0$ . Let  $U^T$  be the image of  $U$  under the bijection in Lemma 4.12, which is a subset of  $[\mathbf{x}_t^T]$ , by  $U \subseteq [\mathbf{x}_t]$ .

Claim:  $[\mathbf{x}_s]$  is the Bongartz completion of  $U$  with respect to  $t_0$  in  $\mathcal{A}(B, t_0)$  if and only if  $([\mathbf{x}_{t_0}^T], [\mathbf{x}_s^T])$  is a  $g$ -pair associated with  $U^T$  in  $\mathcal{A}(B^T, t_0)$ .

This claim follows from Lemmas 4.12 and 4.13, which transfer the two conditions (a) and (b) in Definition 4.8 for  $\mathcal{A}(B, t_0)$  to the two conditions (a) and (b) in Theorem 3.21 for  $\mathcal{A}(B^T, t_0)$ .

By applying Theorem 3.19 to cluster algebra  $\mathcal{A}(B^T, t_0)$ , we get the existence and uniqueness of the Bongartz completions in  $\mathcal{A}(B, t_0)$ .  $\square$

We denote by  $B_U(t_0)$  the Bongartz completion of  $U$  with respect to  $t_0$ .

**Proposition 4.15.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a cluster algebra and  $U$  a subset of some cluster of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Suppose that  $t$  and  $t'$  are two equivalent seeds of  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $B_U(t) = B_U(t')$ . In particular, the Bongartz completion of  $U$  with respect to  $t$  only depends on  $U$  and the equivalent class of the seed  $t$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from the definition of Bongartz completion.  $\square$

Thanks to Theorem 3.5 and Proposition 4.15, we know that in a cluster algebra the Bongartz completion  $B_U(t)$  of  $U$  with respect to  $t$  only depends on  $U$  and  $[\mathbf{x}_t]$ . So we just denote  $B_U[\mathbf{x}_t] = B_U(t)$ .

**4.3. Commutativity of Bongartz completions.** In this subsection we prove that Bongartz completion admits certain commutativity.

**Lemma 4.16.** *Let  $B$  be an  $n \times n$  skew-symmetrizable matrix and  $P$  an  $m \times n$  non-negative matrix. Then for any sequence of mutations  $\overleftarrow{\mu}$ , we have*

$$\overleftarrow{\mu} \left( \begin{bmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & P \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B \\ I_n \end{bmatrix} \right) = \overleftarrow{\mu} \left( \begin{bmatrix} B \\ P \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & P \end{bmatrix} \overleftarrow{\mu} \left( \begin{bmatrix} B \\ I_n \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

*Proof.* This follows from [CL19, Lemma 3.1] and the sign-coherence of  $C$ -matrices.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.17.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a cluster algebra with initial seed  $t_0$  and  $U = W \sqcup V$  a subset of some cluster of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then we have  $B_U[\mathbf{x}_{t_0}] = B_U B_W[\mathbf{x}_{t_0}] = B_V B_W[\mathbf{x}_{t_0}]$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  be seeds of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $[\mathbf{x}_{s_1}] = B_W[\mathbf{x}_{t_0}]$  and  $[\mathbf{x}_{s_2}] = B_U[\mathbf{x}_{s_1}]$ . Then we have

$$B_U B_W[\mathbf{x}_{t_0}] = B_U[\mathbf{x}_{s_1}] = [\mathbf{x}_{s_2}] \quad \text{and} \quad B_V B_W[\mathbf{x}_{t_0}] = B_V[\mathbf{x}_{s_1}].$$

Thus it suffices to prove (i)  $[\mathbf{x}_{s_2}] = B_V[\mathbf{x}_{s_1}]$  and (ii)  $[\mathbf{x}_{s_2}] = B_U[\mathbf{x}_{t_0}]$ .

Before proving (i) and (ii), we first introduce a seed  $s_3$ , which will be used in the proofs of (i) and (ii). By  $[\mathbf{x}_{s_1}] = B_W[\mathbf{x}_{t_0}]$ , we have  $W \subseteq [\mathbf{x}_{s_1}]$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume  $W = \{x_{p+1; s_1}, \dots, x_{n; s_1}\}$ . By  $[\mathbf{x}_{s_2}] = B_U[\mathbf{x}_{s_1}]$ , we know that  $U = W \sqcup V \subseteq [\mathbf{x}_{s_2}]$ . Thus  $W$  is a common

subset of  $[\mathbf{x}_{s_1}]$  and  $[\mathbf{x}_{s_2}]$ . Then by Theorem 3.8, there exists a sequence of mutations  $\overleftarrow{\mu}$  such that the seed  $s_3 := \overleftarrow{\mu}(s_1)$  is equivalent to the seed  $s_2$  and the common subset  $W = \{x_{p+1;s_1}, \dots, x_{n;s_1}\}$  keeps unchanged during this process. This means that all the mutations in  $\overleftarrow{\mu}$  are in directions  $1, \dots, p$ . Thus the lower part  $C_{s_3}^{s_1}$  of  $\begin{bmatrix} B_{s_3} \\ C_{s_3}^{s_1} \end{bmatrix} = \overleftarrow{\mu} \begin{bmatrix} B_{s_1} \\ I_n \end{bmatrix}$  has the following form

$$C_{s_3}^{s_1} = \begin{bmatrix} M & N \\ 0 & I_{n-p} \end{bmatrix}.$$

By the sign-coherence of  $C$ -matrices, we know that  $N$  is a non-negative matrix.

Now we begin the proofs of (i) and (ii).

(i) It is clear that  $V$  is a subset of  $[\mathbf{x}_{s_3}] = [\mathbf{x}_{s_2}] = B_U[\mathbf{x}_{s_1}]$ . We only need to show that the columns of the  $C$ -matrix  $C_{s_3}^{s_1}$  not indexed by  $V$  are non-negative vectors. By  $[\mathbf{x}_{s_3}] = [\mathbf{x}_{s_2}] = B_U[\mathbf{x}_{s_1}]$ , we know that the columns of  $C_{s_3}^{s_1}$  not indexed by  $U = W \sqcup V$  are non-negative vectors. The columns of  $C_{s_3}^{s_1}$  indexed by  $W = \{x_{p+1;s_1}, \dots, x_{n;s_1}\}$  are non-negative vectors since  $\begin{bmatrix} N \\ I_{n-p} \end{bmatrix}$  is a non-negative matrix. Thus the columns of the  $C$ -matrix  $C_{s_3}^{s_1}$  not indexed by  $V$  are non-negative vectors. Hence,  $B_V[\mathbf{x}_{s_1}] = [\mathbf{x}_{s_3}] = [\mathbf{x}_{s_2}]$ .

(ii) By  $[\mathbf{x}_{s_3}] = [\mathbf{x}_{s_2}] = B_U[\mathbf{x}_{s_1}]$ , we have  $U \subseteq [\mathbf{x}_{s_3}]$ . We only need to show that the columns of the  $C$ -matrix  $C_{s_3}^{t_0}$  not indexed by  $U = W \sqcup V$  are non-negative vectors.

Let  $\overleftarrow{\nu}$  be a sequences of mutations such that  $s_1 = \overleftarrow{\nu}(t_0)$ . We rewrite  $\begin{bmatrix} B_{s_1} \\ C_{s_1}^{t_0} \end{bmatrix} = \overleftarrow{\nu} \begin{bmatrix} B_{t_0} \\ I_n \end{bmatrix}$  as the following block matrix:

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{bmatrix} B_{s_1} \\ C_{s_1}^{t_0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (B_1)_{p \times p} & B_2 \\ B_3 & (B_4)_{(n-p) \times (n-p)} \\ P_{n \times p} & Q_{n \times (n-p)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

By  $[\mathbf{x}_{s_1}] = B_W[\mathbf{x}_{t_0}]$  and  $W = \{x_{p+1;s_1}, \dots, x_{n;s_1}\}$ , we know that  $P$  is a non-negative matrix. We rewrite  $\begin{bmatrix} B_{s_3} \\ C_{s_3}^{s_1} \end{bmatrix} = \overleftarrow{\mu} \begin{bmatrix} B_{s_1} \\ I_n \end{bmatrix}$  as the following block matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} B_{s_3} \\ C_{s_3}^{s_1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B'_1 & B'_2 \\ B'_3 & B'_4 \\ C'_1 & C'_2 \\ C'_3 & C'_4 \end{bmatrix} = \overleftarrow{\mu} \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \\ B_3 & B_4 \\ I_p & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-p} \end{bmatrix} = \overleftarrow{\mu} \begin{bmatrix} B_{s_1} \\ I_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

By  $[\mathbf{x}_{s_3}] = B_V[\mathbf{x}_{s_1}]$ , we know that the columns of  $C_{s_3}^{s_1}$  not indexed by  $V$  are non-negative vectors. In particular, the columns of  $C'_1$  not indexed by  $V$  are non-negative vectors.

By  $s_3 = \overleftarrow{\mu}(s_1)$ , we know  $\begin{bmatrix} B_{s_3} \\ C_{s_3}^{t_0} \end{bmatrix} = \overleftarrow{\mu} \begin{bmatrix} B_{s_1} \\ C_{s_1}^{t_0} \end{bmatrix}$ . We rewrite it as the following block matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} B_{s_3} \\ C_{s_3}^{t_0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B'_1 & B'_2 \\ B'_3 & B'_4 \\ P' & Q' \end{bmatrix} = \overleftarrow{\mu} \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \\ B_3 & B_4 \\ P & Q \end{bmatrix} = \overleftarrow{\mu} \begin{bmatrix} B_{s_1} \\ C_{s_1}^{t_0} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Recall that we want to show that the columns of  $C_{s_3}^{t_0}$  not indexed by  $U = W \sqcup V$  are non-negative vectors. Notice that the columns of  $Q'$  are exactly the columns of  $C_{s_3}^{t_0}$  indexed by  $W$ . In the sequel, we just focus on the columns of  $P'$  not indexed by  $V$ .

Since the mutations in  $\overleftarrow{\mu}$  are in directions  $1, \dots, p$ , we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} B'_1 \\ C'_1 \end{bmatrix} = \overleftarrow{\mu} \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ I_p \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} B'_1 \\ P' \end{bmatrix} = \overleftarrow{\mu} \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ P \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since  $P$  is a non-negative matrix and by applying Lemma 4.16 to  $\begin{bmatrix} B'_1 \\ C'_1 \end{bmatrix} = \overleftarrow{\mu} \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ I_p \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} B'_1 \\ P' \end{bmatrix} = \overleftarrow{\mu} \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ P \end{bmatrix}$ , we get  $P' = PC'_1$ . Because  $P$  is a non-negative matrix and the columns of  $C'_1$  not indexed by  $V$  are non-negative vectors, we get that the columns of  $P'$  not indexed by  $V$  are non-negative vectors. Therefore, the columns of  $C_{s_3}^{s_0}$  not indexed by  $U = W \sqcup V$  are non-negative vectors. Hence, we get  $B_U[\mathbf{x}_{t_0}] = [\mathbf{x}_{s_3}] = [\mathbf{x}_{s_2}]$ .

Finally, by the results in (i) and (ii), we get  $B_U[\mathbf{x}_{t_0}] = B_U B_W[\mathbf{x}_{t_0}] = B_V B_W[\mathbf{x}_{t_0}]$ .  $\square$

It is clear that Theorem 4.17 induces commutativity of Bongartz completion:

$$B_V B_W[\mathbf{x}_{t_0}] = B_W B_V[\mathbf{x}_{t_0}],$$

where  $V, W, t_0$  are as in Theorem 4.17.

*Remark 4.18.* Based on the commutativity of Bongartz completion, in a follow up work, we will give the explicit construction of Bongartz completion on orbifolds corresponding to cluster algebras.

## 5. APPLICATIONS

In this section, we give two applications of Bongartz completion in cluster algebras.

### 5.1. Application to exchange quivers.

**Definition 5.1** (Green mutation and green-to-red sequence). Let  $\mathcal{A}(B, t_0)$  be a cluster algebra with initial exchange matrix  $B$  at  $t_0$ .

- (i) A mutation  $\mu_k(t)$  in  $\mathcal{A}(B, t_0)$  is called a *green mutation*, if the  $k$ -th column of the  $C$ -matrix  $C_t^{B;t_0}$  is a non-negative vector. Otherwise, it is called a *red mutation*.
- (ii) Let  $\overleftarrow{\mu}$  be a sequence of mutations of  $B$  and denote  $t = \overleftarrow{\mu}(t_0)$ . The sequence  $\overleftarrow{\mu}$  is called a *green-to-red sequence* of  $B$ , if the  $C$ -matrix  $C_t^{B;t_0}$  is a non-positive matrix.

Thanks to Proposition 3.10, we know that green mutation is well-defined up to seed equivalence.

**Definition 5.2** (Exchange quiver). Let  $\mathcal{A}(B, t_0)$  be a cluster algebra with initial exchange matrix  $B$  at  $t_0$ . The *exchange quiver* (or *oriented exchange graph*) of  $\mathcal{A}(B, t_0)$  is the quiver  $\overrightarrow{\Gamma}(B, t_0)$  whose vertices correspond to seeds of  $\mathcal{A}(B, t_0)$  up to seed equivalence and whose arrows correspond to green mutations.

*Remark 5.3.* (i) By Lemma 4.3, we know that red mutations in cluster algebras are parallel to right mutations in  $\tau$ -tilting theory.

(ii) The exchange quiver of a cluster algebra  $\mathcal{A}(B, t_0)$  is parallel to the  $\tau$ -tilting quiver [AIR14] in  $\tau$ -tilting theory for a finite dimensional basic algebra  $A$ , which coincides with the Hasse quiver of the basic  $\tau$ -tilting pairs in  $\text{mod } A$  (see [AIR14, Corollary 2.34]).

(iii)  $B$  admits a green-to-red sequence if and only if the exchange quiver of  $\mathcal{A}(B; t_0)$  has a sink.

(iv) The  $\tau$ -tilting quiver of  $\text{mod } A$  has a unique source corresponding to the basic  $\tau$ -tilting pair  $(A, 0)$  and a unique sink corresponding to the basic  $\tau$ -tilting pair  $(0, A)$ . The exchange quiver of a cluster algebra  $\mathcal{A}(B, t_0)$  has a unique source corresponding to its initial seed, however, it may have no sinks, for example, for a cluster algebra whose initial exchange matrix is given by the following Markov matrix:

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 & -2 \\ -2 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For a subset  $U$  of some cluster of  $\mathcal{A}(B, t_0)$ , we denote by  $\overrightarrow{\Gamma}_U(B, t_0)$  the full subquiver of  $\overrightarrow{\Gamma}(B, t_0)$  whose vertices correspond to the seeds  $t$  of  $\mathcal{A}(B, t_0)$  satisfying  $U \subseteq [\mathbf{x}_t]$ . In this subsection, we are devoted to study the quiver  $\overrightarrow{\Gamma}_U(B, t_0)$ .

**Theorem 5.4.** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(B, t_0)$  be a cluster algebra with initial exchange matrix  $B$  at  $t_0$  and  $U$  a subset of some cluster of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $s$  be a seed of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $[\mathbf{x}_s] = B_U[\mathbf{x}_{t_0}]$  and denote  $V := [\mathbf{x}_s] \setminus U$ . Then  $\overrightarrow{\Gamma}_U(B, t_0)$  is isomorphic to the exchange quiver  $\overrightarrow{\Gamma}(B_s^\dagger, s)$ , where  $B_s^\dagger$  is the  $V \times V$ -indexed submatrix of  $B_s$ .

*Proof.* Since the claim does not depend on the choice of coefficients of cluster algebras, we can just assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a geometric cluster algebra with initial seed  $t_0 = (\mathbf{x}, B)$ .

Since  $[\mathbf{x}_s] = B_U[\mathbf{x}_{t_0}]$ , we know that  $U \subseteq [\mathbf{x}_s]$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume

$$U = \{x_{p+1;s}, \dots, x_{n;s}\}.$$

We write  $B_s$  as a block matrix  $B_s = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \\ B_3 & B_4 \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $B_1$  is a  $p \times p$  submatrix of  $B_s$ . By the definition of  $B_s^\dagger$ , we have  $B_1 = B_s^\dagger$ .

We denote by  $s^U := (\mathbf{x}_s, \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ B_3 \end{bmatrix}) = (\mathbf{x}_s, \begin{bmatrix} B_s^\dagger \\ B_3 \end{bmatrix})$  the seed obtained from  $s = (\mathbf{x}_s, B_s)$  by freezing the cluster variable in  $U$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_U$  be the geometric cluster algebra with initial seed  $s^U$  at the vertex  $s$ . Then  $\vec{\Gamma}(B_s^\dagger, s)$  can be viewed as the exchange quiver of  $\mathcal{A}_U$ .

Clearly, there exists a map  $(-)^U$  from the vertices of  $\vec{\Gamma}_U(B, t_0)$  to the vertices of  $\vec{\Gamma}(B_s^\dagger, s)$  given by  $t \mapsto t^U$ , where  $t^U$  is the seed obtained from  $t$  by freezing the cluster variables in  $U$ . By Theorem 3.8, we know that the map  $(-)^U$  induces an isomorphism from  $\vec{\Gamma}_U(B, t_0)$  to  $\vec{\Gamma}(B_s^\dagger, s)$  as unoriented graphs.

It remains to show the map  $(-)^U$  preserves the orientation, that is, we need to show that  $r = \mu_k(t)$  is a green mutation in  $\vec{\Gamma}_U(B, t_0)$  if and only if  $r^U = \mu_k(t^U)$  is a green mutation in  $\vec{\Gamma}(B_s^\dagger, s)$ . Notice that the seeds we consider here are considered up to seed equivalence.

By  $[\mathbf{x}_s] = B_U[\mathbf{x}_{t_0}]$  and  $U = \{x_{p+1;s}, \dots, x_{n;s}\}$ , we know that the  $n \times p$  matrix  $P$  appearing in the following block matrix is a non-negative matrix.

$$\begin{bmatrix} B_s \\ C_s^{B;t_0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \\ B_3 & B_4 \\ P & Q \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let  $\overleftarrow{\mu}$  be a sequence of mutations such that  $t = \overleftarrow{\mu}(s)$ . By Theorem 3.8 and

$$U = \{x_{p+1;s}, \dots, x_{n;s}\} \subseteq [\mathbf{x}_t] \cap [\mathbf{x}_s],$$

we can assume that all the mutations in  $\overleftarrow{\mu}$  are in directions  $1, \dots, p$ .

By  $t = \overleftarrow{\mu}(s)$ , we have  $\begin{bmatrix} B_t \\ C_t^{B;t_0} \end{bmatrix} = \overleftarrow{\mu} \begin{bmatrix} B_s \\ C_s^{B;t_0} \end{bmatrix}$ . We rewrite it as the following block matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} B_t \\ C_t^{B;t_0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B'_1 & B'_2 \\ B'_3 & B'_4 \\ P' & Q' \end{bmatrix} = \overleftarrow{\mu} \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \\ B_3 & B_4 \\ P & Q \end{bmatrix} = \overleftarrow{\mu} \begin{bmatrix} B_s \\ C_s^{B;t_0} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since the mutations in  $\overleftarrow{\mu}$  are in directions  $1, \dots, p$ , we have  $\begin{bmatrix} B'_1 \\ P' \end{bmatrix} = \overleftarrow{\mu} \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ P \end{bmatrix}$ . By  $t^U = \overleftarrow{\mu}(s^U)$ , we

have  $\begin{bmatrix} B'_1 \\ C_t^{B_1;s} \end{bmatrix} = \overleftarrow{\mu} \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ I_p \end{bmatrix}$ .

Since  $P$  is a non-negative matrix and by applying Lemma 4.16 to  $\begin{bmatrix} B'_1 \\ P' \end{bmatrix} = \overleftarrow{\mu} \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ P \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} B'_1 \\ C_t^{B_1;s} \end{bmatrix} = \overleftarrow{\mu} \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ I_p \end{bmatrix}$ , we get  $P' = PC_t^{B_1;s}$ . Then by the sign-coherence of  $C$ -matrices, the  $k$ -th column of  $P'$  is a non-negative vector if and only if the  $k$ -th column of  $C_t^{B_1;s}$  is a non-negative vector. This means that  $r = \mu_k(t)$  is a green mutation in  $\vec{\Gamma}_U(B, t_0)$  if and only if  $r^U = \mu_k(t^U)$  is a green mutation in  $\vec{\Gamma}(B_1, s) = \vec{\Gamma}(B_s^\dagger, s)$ . So the map  $(-)^U$  from  $\vec{\Gamma}_U(B, t_0)$  to  $\vec{\Gamma}(B_s^\dagger, s)$  preserves the orientation. Hence, the subquiver  $\vec{\Gamma}_U(B, t_0)$  of  $\vec{\Gamma}(B, t_0)$  is isomorphic to the exchange quiver  $\vec{\Gamma}(B_s^\dagger, s)$  of the cluster algebra  $\mathcal{A}_U$  with initial seed  $s^U = (\mathbf{x}_s, \begin{bmatrix} B_s^\dagger \\ B_3 \end{bmatrix})$  at the vertex  $s$ .  $\square$

We remark that Theorem 5.4 is parallel to Jasso's  $\tau$ -tilting reduction [Jas15, Theorem 1.1] in  $\tau$ -tilting theory.

**Corollary 5.5.** Keep the notations in Theorem 5.4. The following statements hold.

- (i) A vertex  $t$  in  $\vec{\Gamma}_U(B, t_0) \cong \vec{\Gamma}(B_s^\dagger, s)$  corresponds to the Bongartz completion of  $U$  with respect to  $t_0$  if and only if  $t$  is a source in  $\vec{\Gamma}_U(B, t_0) \cong \vec{\Gamma}(B_s^\dagger, s)$ .
- (ii) A vertex  $t$  in  $\vec{\Gamma}_U(B, t_0) \cong \vec{\Gamma}(B_s^\dagger, s)$  corresponds to the Bongartz co-completion of  $U$  with respect to  $t_0$  if and only if  $t$  is a sink in  $\vec{\Gamma}_U(B, t_0) \cong \vec{\Gamma}(B_s^\dagger, s)$ .

(iii) If the Bongartz co-completion of  $U$  with respect to  $t_0$  exists, then it is unique.

*Proof.* (i) and (ii) follow from the definition of exchange quiver and the definition of Bongartz completion and Bongartz co-completion.

(iii) By (ii) and the assumption that the Bongartz co-completion of  $U$  with respect to  $t_0$  exists, we know that the exchange quiver  $\vec{\Gamma}(B_s^\dagger, s)$  has a sink. Namely, there exists a seed  $t$  such that the  $C$ -matrix  $C_t^{B_s^\dagger; s}$  is a non-positive matrix. Such a seed  $t$  is unique up to seed equivalence, by [Qin19, Section 2.3].  $\square$

In next corollary, we give many skew-symmetrizable matrices admitting green-to-red sequences. Before that, we need a result by Muller, which is proved in skew-symmetric case, but can be naturally extended to skew-symmetrizable case.

**Proposition 5.6.** [Mul16] *Let  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(B, t_0)$  be a cluster algebra with initial exchange matrix  $B$  at  $t_0$ . If  $B$  admits a green-to-red sequence, then so does any exchange matrix of  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

**Corollary 5.7.** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(B, t_0)$  be a cluster algebra with initial exchange matrix  $B$  at  $t_0$  and  $t$  a seed of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $W$  be the subset of  $[\mathbf{x}_t]$  such that  $x_{i;t} \in W$  if and only if the  $i$ -th column of  $C_t^{B; t_0}$  is a non-positive vector. Then the  $W \times W$ -indexed submatrix  $B_t^\dagger$  of  $B_t$  admits a green-to-red sequence.

*Proof.* Put  $U := [\mathbf{x}_t] \setminus W$  and let  $s$  be a seed of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $[\mathbf{x}_s] = B_U[\mathbf{x}_{t_0}]$ . Take  $V := [\mathbf{x}_s] \setminus U$  and let  $B_s^\dagger$  be the  $V \times V$ -indexed submatrix of  $B_s$  and  $\mathcal{A}(B_s^\dagger, s)$  a cluster algebra with initial exchange matrix  $B_s^\dagger$  at  $s$ .

By Theorem 5.4,  $\vec{\Gamma}_U(B, t_0)$  is isomorphic to the exchange quiver  $\vec{\Gamma}(B_s^\dagger, s)$ . It follows from the definition of  $W$  that the seed  $t$  corresponds to a sink in  $\vec{\Gamma}_U(B, t_0) \cong \vec{\Gamma}(B_s^\dagger, s)$ . Then by Remark 5.3(iii), the initial exchange matrix  $B_s^\dagger$  of  $\mathcal{A}(B_s^\dagger, s)$  admits a green-to-red sequence.

Since both the seeds  $s$  and  $t$  correspond to vertices of  $\vec{\Gamma}_U(B, t_0) \cong \vec{\Gamma}(B_s^\dagger, s)$ , we know that  $B_t^\dagger$  (up to a permutation) is an exchange matrix of  $\mathcal{A}(B_s^\dagger, s)$ . Thus by Proposition 5.6, it admits a green-to-red sequence.  $\square$

**5.2. Application to cluster Poisson algebras.** Let  $\mathcal{X}_\bullet$  be a cluster Poisson algebra with initial cluster Poisson seed at  $t_0$ . Then we know that each cluster Poisson variable  $y_{k;t}$  belongs to  $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{sf}}(y_{1;t_0}, \dots, y_{n;t_0})$ . It is known that the tropical evaluation of  $y_{k;t}$  in  $\mathbb{P} = \text{Trop}(y_{1;t_0}, \dots, y_{n;t_0})$  has the form

$$y_{k;t} |_{\mathbb{P}} = y_{1;t_0}^{c_{1k}} \cdots y_{n;t_0}^{c_{nk}},$$

where  $\mathbf{c}_{k;t}^{B_{t_0}; t_0} = (c_{1k}, \dots, c_{nk})^\top$  is the  $k$ -th column vector of the  $C$ -matrix  $C_t^{B_{t_0}; t_0}$  [FZ07]. Thanks to sign-coherence, we have that either  $\mathbf{c}_{k;t}^{B_{t_0}; t_0} \in \mathbb{N}^n$  or  $\mathbf{c}_{k;t}^{B_{t_0}; t_0} \in (-\mathbb{N})^n$ .

**Definition 5.8** (Positive and negative cluster Poisson variables). Keep the above notations. The cluster Poisson variable  $y_{k;t}$  is called *positive* if  $\mathbf{c}_{k;t}^{B_{t_0}; t_0} \in \mathbb{N}^n$ . Otherwise, it is called *negative*.

**Lemma 5.9** ([CKQ]). *Let  $\mathcal{A}_\bullet$  be a cluster algebra with universal coefficients. Then  $\alpha : y_{k;t} \mapsto x_{k;t}$  induces a well-defined map from the coefficient variables of  $\mathcal{A}_\bullet$  to the cluster variables of  $\mathcal{A}_\bullet$ .*

**Theorem 5.10.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}_\bullet$  be a cluster Poisson algebra with initial cluster Poisson seed at  $t_0$ . Then each cluster Poisson seed  $(\mathbf{y}_t, B_t)$  up to permutations is uniquely determined by the set of negative cluster Poisson variables in  $\mathbf{y}_t$ .*

*Proof.* By the definition of cluster Poisson algebra, we know that there exists a cluster algebra  $\mathcal{A}_\bullet$  with universal coefficients such that the seed of  $\mathcal{A}_\bullet$  at  $t$  has the form  $(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{y}_t, B_t)$ , where  $(\mathbf{y}_t, B_t)$  is the cluster Poisson seed of  $\mathcal{X}_\bullet$  at  $t$ .

Let  $V = \{y_{k_1;t}, \dots, y_{k_\ell;t}\}$  be the set of negative cluster Poisson variables in  $\mathbf{y}_t$ . Then the  $i$ -column vector of the  $C$ -matrix  $C_t^{B_{t_0}; t_0}$  belongs to  $\mathbb{N}^n$  for any  $i \neq k_1, \dots, k_\ell$ .

Let  $\alpha : y_{k;t} \mapsto x_{k;t}$  be the well-defined map in Lemma 5.9. We set

$$U = \alpha(V) = \{\alpha(y_{k_1;t}), \dots, \alpha(y_{k_\ell;t})\} = \{x_{k_1;t}, \dots, x_{k_\ell;t}\},$$

which is a subset of  $[\mathbf{x}_t]$ . Since the  $i$ -th column vector of  $C_t^{B_{t_0}; t_0}$  is non-negative for any  $i \neq k_1, \dots, k_\ell$ ,  $[\mathbf{x}_t]$  is the Bongartz completion of  $U$  with respect to  $t_0$ , that is,  $[\mathbf{x}_t] = B_U[\mathbf{x}_{t_0}] = B_{\alpha(V)}[\mathbf{x}_{t_0}]$ . Hence,  $[\mathbf{x}_t]$  is uniquely determined by  $V$ . Then by Theorem 3.5, we get that  $V$  determines  $(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{y}_t, B_t)$  uniquely up to seed equivalence, in particular, the cluster Poisson seed  $(\mathbf{y}_t, B_t)$  up to permutations.  $\square$

Notice that the existence of Bongartz completion in above proof follows from the definition of Bongartz completion. Actually, the above proof mainly depends on the uniqueness of Bongartz completion. Similarly, if one uses the definition of Bongartz co-completion and the uniqueness of Bongartz co-completion in Corollary 5.5(iii), one can show the following dual result.

**Theorem 5.11.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}_\bullet$  be a cluster Poisson algebra with initial cluster Poisson seed at  $t_0$ . Then each cluster Poisson seed  $(\mathbf{y}_t, B_t)$  up to permutations is uniquely determined by the set of positive cluster Poisson variables in  $\mathbf{y}_t$ .*

We remark that the results in this subsection is actually inspired by Changjian Fu's online talk [Fu] on January 15, 2021.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to Bernhard Keller for his helpful comments. The first-named author would like to thank Hualin Huang, Zengqiang Lin and Zhankui Xiao for their hospitality during his visiting Huaqiao University (March 16–April 9, 2021), where some of the results of this article were carried out. The second-named author's work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP20J12675. The third-named author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP20J00410, JP21K13761.

#### REFERENCES

- [AIR14] T. Adachi, O. Iyama, and I. Reiten,  $\tau$ -tilting theory, *Compos. Math.* **150** (2014), 415–452.
- [Asa18] S. Asai, *Semibricks*, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2020** (2018), no. 16, 4993–5054.
- [BMR<sup>+</sup>06] A. B. Buan, R. Marsh, M. Reineke, I. Reiten, and G. Todorov, *Tilting theory and cluster combinatorics*, *Adv. Math.* **204** (2006), 572–618.
- [Bon80] K. Bongartz, *Tilted algebras*, *Proc. ICRA III Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 903, Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [BST19] T. Brüstle, D. Smith, and H. Treffinger, *Wall and chamber structure for finite-dimensional algebras*, *Adv. Math.* **354** (2019), 106746.
- [Cao21] P. Cao,  $\mathcal{G}$ -systems, *Adv. Math.* **377** (2021), 107500.
- [CKQ] P. Cao, B. Keller, and F. Qin, *Valuation pairing on an upper cluster algebra*, in preparation.
- [CL18] P. Cao and F. Li, *Some conjectures on generalized cluster algebras via the cluster formula and d-matrix pattern*, *Journal of Algebra* **493** (2018), 57–78.
- [CL19] ———, *Uniform column sign-coherence and the existence of maximal green sequences*, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **50** (2019), 403–417.
- [CL20] ———, *The enough g-pairs property and denominator vectors of cluster algebras*, *Math. Ann.* **377** (2020), 1547–1572.
- [Fu17] C. Fu, *c-vectors via  $\tau$ -tilting theory*, *Journal of Algebra* **473** (2017), 194–220.
- [Fu] ———, *A conjectural remark on c-vectors*. Algebraic Representation Theory Workshop (Online), Tsinghua University, January, 2021.
- [FZ02] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, *Cluster Algebra I: Foundations*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **15** (2002), 497–529.
- [FZ07] ———, *Cluster Algebra IV: Coefficients*, *Comp. Math.* **143** (2007), 112–164.
- [GHKK18] M. Gross, P. Hacking, S. Keel, and M. Kontsevich, *Canonical bases for cluster algebras*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **31** (2018), 497–608.
- [Jas15] G. Jasso, *Reduction of  $\tau$ -tilting modules and torsion pairs*, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **16** (2015), 7190–7237.
- [Mul16] G. Muller, *The existence of a maximal green sequence is not invariant under quiver mutation*, *Electron. J. Comb.* **23** (2016), no. 2, P2.47, 23pages.
- [Nak20] T. Nakanishi, *Synchronicity phenomenon in cluster patterns*, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2020), 1120–1152.
- [NZ12] T. Nakanishi and A. Zelevinsky, *On tropical dualities in cluster algebras*, *Contemp. Math.* **565** (2012), 217–226.
- [Qin19] F. Qin, *Bases for upper cluster algebras and tropical points*, 2019. preprint, arXiv:1902.09507 [math. RA].
- [Tre19] H. Treffinger, *On sign-coherence of c-vectors*, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* **223** (2019), 2382–2400.
- [Yur18] T. Yurikusa, *Wide subcategories are semistable*, *Documenta Mathematica* **23** (2018), 35–47.



EINSTEIN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, EDMOND J. SAFRA CAMPUS, THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM,  
JERUSALEM 91904, ISRAEL

*Email address:* `peigencao@126.com`

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NAGOYA UNIVERSITY, CHIKUSA-KU, NAGOYA, 464-8602, JAPAN

*Email address:* `m17009g@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp`

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, TOHOKU UNIVERSITY, AOBA-KU, SENDAI, 980-8578, JAPAN

*Email address:* `toshiya.yurikusa.d8@tohoku.ac.jp`