

# A DYNAMICAL PROOF OF THE VAN DER CORPUT INEQUALITY

NIKOLAI EDEKO, HENRIK KREIDLER, AND RAINER NAGEL

**ABSTRACT.** We provide a dynamical proof of the van der Corput inequality for sequences in Hilbert spaces that is based on the Furstenberg correspondence principle. This is done by reducing the inequality to the mean ergodic theorem for contractions on Hilbert spaces. The key difficulty therein is that the Furstenberg correspondence principle is, a priori, limited to scalar-valued sequences. We therefore discuss how the interpretation of the Furstenberg correspondence principle in terms of the Gelfand-Naimark-Segal construction allows to study Hilbert space-valued sequences in terms of unitary operators on appropriate Hilbert spaces. This yields a proof of the van der Corput inequality in the spirit of the Furstenberg correspondence principle. The flexibility of this method is discussed via new proofs for different variants of the inequality.

The *van der Corput inequality* (also known as *van der Corput lemma* or *van der Corput trick*) is a well-known and versatile tool in ergodic theory commonly used for complexity reduction. It can be used to prove van der Corput's difference theorem on equidistribution of sequences (see, e.g. [KN74, Section 3] or [Tao08]), to show that every weakly mixing system is weakly mixing of all orders (see [EFHN15, Theorem 9.31]), or to obtain convergence to zero in the proofs of weighted, subsequential, polynomial and multiple ergodic theorems as well as Wiener–Wintner results (see, e.g., [Kra07], [EW11, Section 7.4], [EFHN15, Chapter 21] and [EF19]). Here is a common formulation of the inequality (see, e.g., [HK18, Lemma 21.5]).

**Theorem.** *For every bounded sequence  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in a Hilbert space  $H$  the inequality*

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N u_n \right\|^2 \leq \limsup_{J \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^J \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \operatorname{Re}(u_n | u_{n+j})$$

*holds.*

The standard proof of the inequality rests on an application of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality as well as some elementary computations (see, e.g., the proof of [HK18, Lemma 21.5]).

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 47A35; Secondary: 46L08, 46L55.

The authors thank Bálint Farkas, Ulrich Groh and Marco Peruzzetto for ideas and inspiring discussions. The second author was supported by a scholarship of the Friedrich-Ebert-Stiftung while working on this article. He also acknowledges the financial support from the DFG (project number 451698284).

However, the result has also been derived by Bergelson and Moreira using a more conceptual approach based on positive definite functions, dilation theory, and the mean ergodic theorem (see [BM16, Theorem 2.12] and the blog post [Mor15]).

This article provides a new perspective on the inequality: Given a bounded sequence of scalars, the Furstenberg correspondence principle provides a universal way to study the statistical properties of the sequence in terms of dynamical systems. It does so by constructing Hilbert spaces and unitary operators that encode the statistical behavior of the sequence in dynamical terms. Below we show that one can use the strategy layed out by the correspondence principle to readily derive the *scalar* van der Corput inequality. (Related but differing approaches are also present in the blog posts [Tao14] by Tao and [Mor18] by Moreira in the context of the van der Corput lemma.) Thereby, the scalar van der Corput inequality reduces to the mean ergodic theorem and a simple application of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

The interest of reproving such a well-known inequality with a much less elementary proof is twofold: On the one hand, such a conceptual proof provides a simple way to derive and understand not only the van der Corput inequality but also similar inequalities. More importantly, however, only the scalar-valued case can be treated in this way since, classically, the Furstenberg correspondence principle only concerns sequences of numbers. Given the importance of the correspondence principle as a bridge between number theory and ergodic theory, this begs the question: Does there exist some version of the correspondence principle for Hilbert space-valued sequences? We address this question in the first section and then show that this allows, in complete analogy to the scalar case, to reduce the general Hilbert space-valued van der Corput inequality to the mean ergodic theorem and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. We then go on and discuss how these techniques allow to derive several other van der Corput-type inequalities, see Corollary 4.2, Corollary 4.3 and Corollary 4.4.

## 1. MOTIVATION: THE FURSTENBERG CORRESPONDENCE PRINCIPLE

Given a bounded sequence  $(a_n)_n \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$  of scalars, the Furstenberg correspondence principle provides a way to encode the sequence in a dynamical system. Classically, this is done by considering  $A := \overline{\{a_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}}$ , forming the symbolic shift system  $(\mathbb{A}^{\mathbb{N}}, \tau)$  with  $\tau((x_n)_n) = (x_{n+1})_n$ , and passing to the subshift  $(K; \tau)$  generated by the sequence  $(a_n)_n$ , i.e.,  $K := \overline{\{\tau^k((a_n)_n) \mid k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}}$ . (Note that  $\mathbb{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and hence  $K$  is compact since  $A$  is a closed, bounded subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ .) For the study of statistical properties of the sequence  $(a_n)_n$ , i.e., the asymptotic behavior of averages, one can then pass from the topological system  $(K; \tau)$  to the measure-preserving system  $(K, \mathcal{B}, \mu; \tau)$  for some  $\tau$ -invariant probability measure  $\mu$  that represents density properties of the original sequence  $(a_n)_n$ . Thus, number-theoretic problems can be translated into the study of the system  $(K, \mathcal{B}, \mu; \tau)$  and the Koopman operator  $T_\tau: L^2(K, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \rightarrow L^2(K, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ . This approach has proven very successful as an interface between number theory and ergodic theory and among the more recent applications, one can

name the recent proof by Moreira, Richter and Robertson of the Erdős sumset conjecture (see [MRR19]).

Unfortunately, this approach via symbolic dynamics must inevitably fail for problems involving sequences  $(u_n)_n \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}; H)$  in infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces  $H$  for lack of the compactness of the unit ball. To overcome this obstacle, we recall a different approach to the correspondence principle commonly used in Ramsey theory: Using the Stone–Čech compactification  $\beta\mathbb{N}$  of the natural numbers and the identification

$$\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}) \cong C(\beta\mathbb{N}),$$

every sequence  $(a_n)_n$  corresponds uniquely to its continuous extension  $a \in C(\beta\mathbb{N})$ . Since the map  $\tau: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}, x \mapsto x + 1$  extends continuously to  $\beta\mathbb{N}$ , the sequence  $(a_n)_n$  is encoded in the topological dynamical system  $(\beta\mathbb{N}, \tau)$ . The invariant measures  $\mu$  of this system can be identified with the Banach limits on  $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}) \cong C(\beta\mathbb{N})$ , i.e., the shift-invariant positive linear forms and one can again study the sequence  $(a_n)_n$  in terms of  $(\beta\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{B}, \mu; \tau)$  and the Koopman operator  $T_\tau: L^2(\beta\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \rightarrow L^2(\beta\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ .

This approach too does not immediately extend to vector-valued sequences  $(u_n)_n$  in some Hilbert space  $H$  since the isomorphism  $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}; H) \cong C(\beta\mathbb{N}; H)$  holds if and only if  $H$  is a finite-dimensional Hilbert space. However, a conceptual view of  $L^2(\beta\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  is to regard it as the Hilbert space  $C(\beta\mathbb{N})^{(\cdot)\mu}$  obtained from the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C(\beta\mathbb{N})$  by means of the Gelfand-Naimark-Segal construction for the state  $\mu \in C(\beta\mathbb{N})'$ . Thus, a generalization of the Hilbert spaces  $L^2(\beta\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \cong \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})^{(\cdot)\mu}$  to the Hilbert space-valued case may be achieved by constructing a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_\mu$  out of  $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}; H)$ . As we show below, this can be done in terms of a more general GNS construction for *Hilbert modules* instead of  $C^*$ -algebras. We carry these arguments out in fairly high generality to underline that the GNS construction is the key tool needed.

To explain our approach, we start with the scalar case of the original result.

**Theorem 1.1.** *For every bounded sequence  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of complex numbers the inequality*

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N u_n \right|^2 \leq \limsup_{J \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^J \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \operatorname{Re}(u_n \overline{u_{n+j}})$$

*holds.*

In order to reformulate this in the language of  $C^*$ -algebras, we consider the Banach space  $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$  of all bounded complex sequences and the shift operator  $S(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} := (v_{n+1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  for  $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$ . With the point evaluation  $\mu := \delta_1: \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, (v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto v_1$  and

$x := (u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$  we can rewrite the left-hand side of the desired inequality as

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N u_n \right|^2 = \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left\langle \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} S^n x, \mu \right\rangle \right|^2.$$

Likewise, we obtain

$$\limsup_{J \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^J \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \operatorname{Re} u_n \overline{u_{n+j}} = \limsup_{J \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^J \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \operatorname{Re} \langle S^n((S^j x)^* x), \mu \rangle$$

for the right-hand side.

Now recall that  $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$  is a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra, with multiplication and involution defined componentwise, and the constant one-function  $\mathbb{1} \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$  as a unit. Moreover, the shift operator  $S \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}))$  is a *Markov operator* meaning that it is

- (i) *unital*, i.e.,  $S\mathbb{1} = \mathbb{1}$ , and
- (ii) *positive*,  $Sx \geq 0$  for every  $x \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$  with  $x \geq 0$ .

Finally, the functional  $\delta_1 \in (\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}))'$  is also unital and positive. In other words,  $\delta_1$  is a *state* on the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$ .

With these considerations, we can therefore show Theorem 1.1 by proving the operator theoretic inequality

$$(1) \quad \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left\langle \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} S^n x, \mu \right\rangle \right|^2 \leq \limsup_{J \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^J \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \operatorname{Re} \langle S^n((S^j x)^* x), \mu \rangle$$

for every Markov operator  $S \in \mathcal{L}(A)$  on a unital commutative  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  and every state  $\mu \in A'$  on  $A$ . However, our methods even allow to strengthen inequality (1) and extend it to non-commutative  $C^*$ -algebras.

## 2. VAN DER CORPUT FOR MARKOV-SCHWARZ OPERATORS

The following is the analogue of a Markov operator in the non-commutative situation. Their essential property for the following is that they are contractions on all GNS Hilbert spaces.

**Definition 2.1.** A bounded operator  $S \in \mathcal{L}(A)$  on a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is a *Markov-Schwarz operator* if

- (i) it is *unital*, i.e.,  $S\mathbb{1} = \mathbb{1}$ , and
- (ii) it satisfies the *Schwarz inequality*, i.e.,  $(Sx)^* Sx \leq S(x^* x)$  for all  $x \in A$ .

Every unital 2-positive operator is Markov-Schwarz. In particular, every unital  $*$ -homomorphism is a Markov-Schwarz operator. If  $A$  is commutative, then the concepts of Markov and Markov-Schwarz operators coincide. We refer to [Stø13, Chapters 1 and 2] for an introduction to positive and Schwarz operators on  $C^*$ -algebras.

We show the following strengthened version of inequality (1) for Markov-Schwarz operators by employing the GNS-construction for  $C^*$ -algebras and the mean ergodic theorem for contractions on Hilbert spaces. Here and in the following, we denote by  $S(A) \subseteq A'$  the set of states on a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . This is a convex subset of  $A'$  and, equipped with the weak\* topology, a compact space (see, e.g., [Ped18, Subsection 3.2.1]).

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $S \in \mathcal{L}(A)$  be a Markov-Schwarz operator on a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . Moreover, let  $x \in A$ ,  $(N_i)_{i \in I}$  a subnet of  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $\mu_i \in S(A)$  a state on  $A$  for every  $i \in I$ . Then*

$$\limsup_i \left| \left\langle \frac{1}{N_i} \sum_{n=0}^{N_i-1} S^n x, \mu_i \right\rangle \right|^2 \leq \liminf_{J \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^J \limsup_i \frac{1}{N_i} \sum_{n=0}^{N_i-1} \operatorname{Re} \langle S^n ((S^j x)^* x), \mu_i \rangle.$$

*Proof.* For the sake of convenience we write  $C_N := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} S^n \in \mathcal{L}(A)$  for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Passing to a subnet of  $(C'_{N_i} \mu_{N_i})_{i \in I}$  we may assume that

$$\limsup_{i \in I} |\langle C'_{N_i} x, \mu_{N_i} \rangle|^2 = \lim_{i \in I} |\langle C'_{N_i} x, \mu_{N_i} \rangle|^2 = \limsup_{i \in I} |\langle x, C'_{N_i} \mu_{N_i} \rangle|^2$$

Using compactness and convexity of the state space  $S(A)$ , we may also assume that the weak\* limit  $\mu := \lim_{i \in I} C'_{N_i} \mu_{N_i} \in S(A)$  exists. Thus, we obtain

$$\limsup_i \left| \left\langle \frac{1}{N_i} \sum_{n=0}^{N_i-1} S^n x, \mu_i \right\rangle \right|^2 = |\langle x, \mu \rangle|^2.$$

It is clear that the state  $\mu$  is invariant, i.e.,  $S'\mu = \mu$ . As in the GNS-construction (see, e.g., [Ped18, Section 3.2]) the map

$$(\cdot)_\mu: A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (y, z) \mapsto \langle z^* y, \mu \rangle$$

is a positive sesquilinear form yielding a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_\mu$ : Take the subspace  $A_\mu := \{y \in A \mid (y|y)_\mu = 0\}$  and the completion  $\mathcal{H}_\mu$  of the quotient  $A/A_\mu$  with respect to the induced norm  $\|\cdot\|_\mu$  given by  $\|[y]\|_\mu = (y|y)_\mu$  for  $y \in A$ . Since  $\mu$  is invariant and  $S$  satisfies the Schwarz inequality,  $S$  induces a contraction  $S_\mu \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\mu)$  with  $S_\mu[y] = [Sy]$  for every  $[y] \in A/A_\mu$ . By the mean ergodic theorem the sequence  $(\frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^J (S_\mu)^j)_{J \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges strongly to the orthogonal projection  $P_\mu \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\mu)$  onto the fixed space  $\operatorname{fix}(S_\mu)$  of the operator  $S_\mu$  (see

[EFHN15, Theorem 8.6]). This yields

$$|\langle x, \mu \rangle| = \lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left\langle \frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^J S^j x, \mu \right\rangle \right| = \lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left( \left\| \frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^J S^j x \right\| \right)_{\mu} \right| = |(P_{\mu}[x] | [\mathbb{1}])_{\mu}|.$$

Thus, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the fact that  $\mu$  is unital, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle x, \mu \rangle|^2 &\leq \|P_{\mu}[x]\|_{\mu}^2 \cdot \|[\mathbb{1}]\|_{\mu}^2 = \|P_{\mu}[x]\|_{\mu}^2 = ([x] | P_{\mu}[x])_{\mu} = \lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^J (x | S^j x)_{\mu} \\ &= \lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^J \lim_{i \in I} (C'_{N_i} \mu_i) ((S^j x)^* x) = \lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^J \lim_{i \in I} \frac{1}{N_i} \sum_{n=0}^{N_i-1} \langle S^n ((S^j x)^* x), \mu_i \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$|\langle x, \mu \rangle|^2 \leq \liminf_{J \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^J \limsup_i \frac{1}{N_i} \sum_{n=1}^{N_i-1} \operatorname{Re} \langle S^n ((S^j x)^* x), \mu_i \rangle.$$

□

Using our reformulation at the end of Section 1 we now immediately obtain the following improved version of Theorem 1.1.

**Corollary 2.3** (van der Corput for complex numbers). *For every bounded sequence  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of complex numbers the inequality*

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N u_n \right|^2 \leq \liminf_{J \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^J \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \operatorname{Re}(u_n \overline{u_{n+j}})$$

holds.

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 2.2 with  $A = \ell^{\infty}$ ,  $S \in \mathcal{L}(A)$  the shift given by  $S(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} := (v_{n+1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  for  $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^{\infty}$ ,  $(N_i)_{i \in I} = (N)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\mu_i = \delta_1: \ell^{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto v_1$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x = (u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^{\infty}$ . □

However, Theorem 2.2 can also be applied to study the long-term behavior of operators on non-commutative  $C^*$ -algebras. The following is a simple version.

**Corollary 2.4** (van der Corput for operators). *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space,  $S \in \mathcal{L}(H)$  an isometry and  $\xi \in H$  with  $\|\xi\| = 1$ . Then*

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (TS^n \xi | S^n \xi) \right|^2 \leq \liminf_{J \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^J \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \operatorname{Re}(S^j T S^n \xi | T S^{n+j} \xi).$$

for every  $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ .

*Proof.* We consider the implemented operator  $S \in \mathcal{L}(L(H))$  given by  $ST := S^*TS$  for  $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ . Since  $S$  is an isometry, this is a Markov-Schwarz operator. Now take the vector state  $\mu \in S(\mathcal{L}(H))$  given by  $\mu(T) := (T\xi|\xi)$  for all  $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$  and set  $\mu_i := \mu$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Theorem 2.2 applied to  $S$  yields the desired inequality.  $\square$

### 3. VAN DER CORPUT FOR DOMINATED OPERATORS

Up to now our results only cover the scalar case of the original inequality. In contrast to  $\ell^\infty$ , the space of bounded vector-valued sequences  $\ell^\infty(H)$  into a Hilbert space  $H$  is generally no longer a  $C^*$ -algebra. However, there is still a canonical functional analytic structure on this space which can be used for our considerations.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. A *pre-Hilbert module*  $E$  over  $A$  is a unitary left module  $E$  over the algebra  $A$  together with a map

$$(\cdot|\cdot)_A \rightarrow A, \quad (x, y) \mapsto (x|y)$$

such that

- (i)  $(x|x)_A \geq 0$  and  $(x|x)_A = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$  for  $x \in E$ .
- (ii)  $(x|y)_A^* = (y|x)_A$  for all  $x, y \in E$ .
- (iii)  $(\cdot|y)_A : E \rightarrow A$  is an  $A$ -linear map for every  $y \in E$ .

An introduction to this concept can be found in [Lan95].

**Remark 3.2.** If the algebra  $A$  is commutative<sup>1</sup> (which we henceforth assume), the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$|(x|y)_A| \leq (x|x)_A^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot (y|y)_A^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

holds for all  $x, y \in E$  by the usual proof (where modulus and square root are defined via continuous functional calculus). Setting

$$|x|_A := (x|x)_A^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ for } x \in E,$$

we then obtain a “vector-valued norm”  $|\cdot| : E \rightarrow A_+$ . We also have a real-valued norm  $\|\cdot\|$  via  $\|x\| := \||x|_A\|$  for  $x \in E$ . If  $E$  is complete with respect to this norm, then  $E$  is called a *Hilbert module* (or a *Hilbert  $C^*$ -module*) over  $A$ . However, completeness is not needed in the following.

---

<sup>1</sup>By the Gelfand-Naimark theorem one can (and should) think of  $A = C(K)$  for a compact space  $K$ .

**Example 3.3.** Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space. By defining multiplication componentwise we turn  $\ell^\infty(H)$  into a unitary module over  $\ell^\infty$ . Setting  $(u|v)_{\ell^\infty} := ((u_n|v_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  for  $u = (u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, v = (v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^\infty(H)$ , we arrive at a Hilbert module over  $\ell^\infty$ .

For stating a van der Corput inequality on such (pre-)Hilbert modules we now need two operators: A Markov operator  $S$  on the  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , and an operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(E)$  on the pre-Hilbert module  $E$  which is dominated by  $S$  in the following sense.

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $E$  be a pre-Hilbert module over a commutative unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  and  $S \in \mathcal{L}(A)$  a Markov operator. A bounded operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(E)$  is  $S$ -dominated if  $|Tx|_A^2 \leq S|x|_A^2$  for all  $x \in E$ .

**Example 3.5.** Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and consider the Hilbert module  $\ell^\infty(H)$  over  $\ell^\infty$ . Then the shift  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^\infty(H))$  defined by  $T(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} := (u_{n+1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  for  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^\infty(H)$  is dominated by the shift  $S \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^\infty)$ .

Using similar methods as in the previous section, we obtain the following version of the van der Corput inequality.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $S \in \mathcal{L}(A)$  be a Markov-Schwarz operator on a commutative unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  and let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(E)$  be an  $S$ -dominated operator on a pre-Hilbert module  $E$  over  $A$ . Moreover, let  $x \in E$ ,  $(N_i)_{i \in I}$  a subnet of  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $\mu_i \in \mathcal{S}(A)$  a state on  $A$  for every  $i \in I$ . Then

$$\limsup_i \left\langle \left\| \frac{1}{N_i} \sum_{n=0}^{N_i-1} T^n x \right\|_A^2, \mu_i \right\rangle \leq \liminf_{J \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^J \limsup_i \frac{1}{N_i} \sum_{n=0}^{N_i-1} \operatorname{Re} \langle S^n(x|T^j x)_A, \mu_i \rangle.$$

*Proof.* We take the Cesàro means  $C_N := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} T^n$  for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . For each  $i \in I$  we consider the positive sesquilinear form

$$\varphi_i := \mu_i \circ (\cdot|\cdot)_A \circ C_{N_i} \times C_{N_i} : E \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

As above, we may then assume that

$$\limsup_i \left\langle \left\| \frac{1}{N_i} \sum_{n=0}^{N_i-1} T^n x \right\|_A^2, \mu_i \right\rangle = \limsup_i \varphi_i(x, x) = \lim_i \varphi_i(x, x).$$

We may also assume that  $\lim_i \varphi_i(y, z) = \varphi(y, z)$  for all  $y, z \in E$  where  $\varphi : E \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is again a positive sesquilinear form (one can show this directly using Tychonoff's theorem, but it is actually an easy consequence of the Alaoglu-Bourbaki theorem when identifying sesquilinear maps with linear maps on a projective tensor product). Thus the left hand side of the desired inequality is given by  $\varphi(x, x)$ . Finally, again passing to a subnet, we may also assume that



(as in the proof of Theorem 2.2) the weak\*-limit  $\mu := \lim_i (\frac{1}{N_i} \sum_{n=0}^{N_i-1} (S^n)' \mu_i)$  exists in  $S(A)$ . Using that  $T$  is  $S$ -dominated and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain that

$$|\varphi_i(y, y)| \leq \left\langle \left( \frac{1}{N_i} \sum_{n=0}^{N_i-1} |T^n y|_A \right)^2, \mu_i \right\rangle \leq \left\langle \frac{1}{N_i} \sum_{n=0}^{N_i-1} |T^n y|_A^2, \mu_i \right\rangle \leq \left\langle |y|_A^2, \frac{1}{N_i} \sum_{n=0}^{N_i-1} (S^n)' \mu_i \right\rangle$$

for every  $i \in I$  and  $y \in E$ , and thus

$$(i) \quad |\varphi(y, z)| \leq |\varphi(y, y)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot |\varphi(z, z)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq (\langle |y|_A^2, \mu \rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot (\langle |z|_A^2, \mu \rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ for all } y, z \in E.$$

We also note that  $\varphi$  is invariant, i.e.,

$$(ii) \quad \varphi \circ (T^n \times T^m) = \varphi \text{ for all } n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 2.2 we now construct a contraction on a Hilbert space. To do so consider the positive sesquilinear form

$$(\cdot | \cdot)_\mu : E \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (y, z) \mapsto \langle (y|z)_A, \mu \rangle$$

and the subspace  $E_\mu := \{x \in E \mid (x|x)_\mu = 0\}$ . We again write  $\mathcal{H}_\mu$  for the Hilbert space constructed as the completion of the quotient  $E/E_\mu$  with respect to the induced norm  $\|\cdot\|_\mu$ . Since  $T$  is  $S$ -dominated, it induces a contraction  $T_\mu \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\mu)$  with  $T_\mu[y] = [Ty]$  for every  $y \in E$ . Denote the corresponding mean ergodic projection by  $P_\mu \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\mu)$ . Moreover, by (i)  $\varphi$  extends to a bounded positive sesquilinear form  $\varphi_\mu$  on  $\mathcal{H}_\mu$ . Using (i) and (ii) we now obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x, x) &= \varphi_\mu(P_\mu[x], P_\mu[x]) \leq \|P_\mu[x]\|_\mu^2 = ([x], P_\mu[x])_\mu = \lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^{J-1} (x|T^j x)_\mu \\ &= \lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^{J-1} \lim_i \frac{1}{N_i} \sum_{n=0}^{N_i-1} \langle S^n(x|T^j x)_A, \mu_i \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This implies the claim. □

**Remark 3.7.** Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 3.6 are related as follows: If  $S \in \mathcal{L}(A)$  is a Markov-Schwarz operator on a commutative unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , then, with  $T = S$  and  $(x|y)_A := y^*x$  for  $x, y \in A$  in Theorem 3.6, the right-hand sides of the inequalities coincide. While Theorem 2.2 gives an estimate of

$$\limsup_i \left\| \left\langle \frac{1}{N_i} \sum_{n=0}^{N_i-1} S^n x, \mu_i \right\rangle \right\|^2,$$

Theorem 3.6 only provides an upper bound for

$$\limsup_i \left\langle \left( \frac{1}{N_i} \sum_{n=0}^{N_i-1} S^n x \right)^* \left( \frac{1}{N_i} \sum_{n=0}^{N_i-1} S^n x \right), \mu_i \right\rangle.$$

Therefore, Theorem 3.6 is slightly weaker than Theorem 2.2 in the  $C^*$ -algebra setting (however, if  $\mu_i$  is multiplicative for every  $i \in I$ , then both estimates are the same).

#### 4. FROM OPERATORS TO SEQUENCES

We now apply our abstract operator theoretic inequality to derive more concrete versions of the van der Corput lemma. We start with the Hilbert space version of Corollary 2.3 which is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.6.

**Corollary 4.1** (van der Corput for Hilbert spaces). *For every bounded sequence  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in a Hilbert space  $H$  the inequality*

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N u_n \right\|^2 \leq \liminf_{J \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^J \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \operatorname{Re}(u_n | u_{n+j})$$

holds.

*Proof.* As in the proof of Corollary 2.3 we take  $A = \ell^\infty$ ,  $S \in \mathcal{L}(A)$ ,  $(N_i)_{i \in I} = (N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(\mu_i)_{i \in I} = (\delta_1)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Moreover, we consider the Hilbert module  $E = \ell^\infty(H)$  over  $\ell^\infty$  (see Example 3.3) and let  $T$  be the shift on  $E$  which is  $S$ -dominated (see Example 3.5). Applying Theorem 3.6 yields the claim.  $\square$

By considering non-constant nets  $(\mu_i)_{i \in I}$  of states in Theorem 3.6 we also obtain the following uniform versions of the van der Corput inequality (compare with [KL16, Lemma 3.18], [EFHN15, Exercise 20.8] and [Mor18, Proposition 6], respectively).

**Corollary 4.2** (uniform van der Corput I). *For every bounded sequence  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in a Hilbert space  $H$  the inequality*

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{M \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} u_n \right\|^2 \leq \liminf_{J \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^J \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{M \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=M+1}^{N+M} \operatorname{Re}(u_n | u_{n+j})$$

holds.

*Proof.* We find a sequence  $(M_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$  with

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{M \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} u_n \right\|^2 = \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=M_N+1}^{M_N+N} u_n \right\|^2.$$

Proceeding as in the proof of Corollary 4.1 but considering the states  $\mu_i := \delta_{M_i} : \ell^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto v_{M_i}$  we obtain the desired inequality.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.3** (uniform van der Corput II). *For every bounded sequence  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in a Hilbert space  $H$  the inequality*

$$\limsup_{N, M \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} u_n \right\|^2 \leq \liminf_{J \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^J \limsup_{N, M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=M+1}^{N+M} \operatorname{Re}(u_n | u_{n+j})$$

holds.

*Proof.* We find subsequences  $(N_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(M_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\limsup_{N, M \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} u_n \right\|^2 = \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{N_i} \sum_{n=M_i+1}^{M_i+N_i} u_n \right\|^2.$$

We then again proceed as in the proof of Corollary 4.1 and apply Theorem 3.6 with  $(N_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(\mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  given by  $\mu_i := \delta_{M_i} : \ell^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto v_{M_i}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.4** (uniform van der Corput III). *For every bounded sequence  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in a Hilbert space  $H$  the inequality*

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|\lambda|=1} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \lambda^n u_n \right\|^2 \leq \liminf_{J \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^J \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (u_n | u_{n+j}) \right|$$

holds.

*Proof.* We write  $\mathbb{T} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$ , consider the  $C^*$ -algebra  $A := C_b(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{N})$  of bounded continuous functions on  $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{N}$  and the shift operator  $S \in \mathcal{L}(A)$  given by  $Sf(\lambda, n) \mapsto f(\lambda, n+1)$  for  $(\lambda, n) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{N}$  and  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{N})$ . Similar to the proof of Corollary 4.1 the space of bounded vector-valued continuous functions  $E := C_b(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{N}, H)$  is canonically a Hilbert module over  $A$ . The shift  $T \in \mathcal{L}(E)$  given by  $Ty(\lambda, n) := y(\lambda, n+1)$  for  $(\lambda, n) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{N}$  and  $y \in C_b(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{N})$  is  $S$ -dominated. We set  $x(\lambda, n) := \lambda^n u_n$  for  $(\lambda, n) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{N}$ . Finally, we pick a sequence  $(\lambda_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathbb{T}$  with

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|\lambda|=1} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \lambda^n u_n \right\|^2 = \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \lambda_N^n u_n \right\|^2$$

and set  $\mu_i(f) := f(\lambda_i, 1)$  for  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{N})$  and  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then by Theorem 2.2

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|\lambda|=1} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \lambda^n u_n \right\|^2 &= \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} T^n x \right\|_A^2, \mu_N \right\rangle \\ &\leq \liminf_{J \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^J \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \operatorname{Re} \langle S^n(x|T^j x)_A, \mu_N \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

However, for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \langle S^n(x|T^j x)_A, \mu_N \rangle \leq \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (\lambda_N^n u_n | \lambda_N^{n+j} u_{n+j}) \right| = \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (u_n | u_{n+j}) \right|$$

which yields the claim.  $\square$

## 5. GENERALIZATIONS TO FØLNER NETS

Our results can easily be generalized from Cesàro means to other ergodic nets. Recall the following definition.

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a semigroup (with composition now denoted multiplicatively). A net  $(F_i)_{i \in I}$  of non-empty finite subsets of  $\mathcal{S}$  is a *right Følner net* if

$$\lim_i \frac{|F_i s \Delta F_i|}{|F_i|} = 0.$$

for every  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ .

**Remark 5.2.** Note that every abelian semigroup has a (right) Følner net (see [AW67, Theorem 4]) and every semigroup having a right Følner net is necessarily *right amenable*, i.e., has a right invariant mean (however, the converse does not hold, see [Pat88, Section 4.22]).

If the semigroup  $\mathcal{S}$  is *right cancellative* (see, e.g., [BJM89, Definition 1.16]), i.e.,  $rt = st$  implies  $r = s$  for  $r, s, t \in \mathcal{S}$ , then we readily obtain that right Følner nets induce “right ergodic operators nets” in the following way (cf. [Sch13, Definition 1.1]).

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $(F_i)_{i \in I}$  be a right Følner net in a right cancellative semigroup  $\mathcal{S}$ . If  $S: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E)$  is a bounded semigroup representation on a Banach space  $E$ , then the operators  $C_i := \frac{1}{|F_i|} \sum_{t \in F_i} S_t \in \mathcal{L}(E)$  for  $i \in I$  satisfy*

$$\lim_i \|C_i S_t - C_i\| = 0$$

for every  $t \in \mathcal{S}$ .

Using the same arguments—mutatis mutandis—as in Sections 2 and 3 with the more general version of the mean ergodic theorem for right ergodic operator nets, see [EFHN15, Theorem 8.32] and [Sch13, Theorem 1.7], we obtain the following analogues of Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 3.6.

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a right cancellative semigroup. Moreover, let*

- (i)  $(F_i)_{i \in I}$  and  $(G_j)_{j \in J}$  be right Følner nets for  $\mathcal{S}$ ,
- (ii)  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra,
- (iii)  $S: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(A)$  a representation as Markov-Schwarz operators, and
- (iv)  $\mu_i \in \mathcal{S}(A)$  be a state for every  $i \in I$ .

Then

$$\limsup_i \left\| \left\langle \frac{1}{|F_i|} \sum_{t \in F_i} S_t x, \mu_i \right\rangle \right\|^2 \leq \liminf_j \frac{1}{|G_j|} \sum_{s \in G_j} \limsup_i \frac{1}{|F_i|} \sum_{t \in F_i} \operatorname{Re} \langle S_s((S_t x)^* x), \mu_i \rangle$$

for every  $x \in A$ .

**Theorem 5.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a right cancellative semigroup. Moreover, let*

- (i)  $(F_i)_{i \in I}$  and  $(G_j)_{j \in J}$  be right Følner nets for  $\mathcal{S}$ ,
- (ii)  $E$  be a pre-Hilbert module over a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ ,
- (iii)  $S: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(A)$  a representation as Markov-Schwarz operators,
- (iv)  $T: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(A)$  a representation such that  $T_t$  is  $S_t$ -dominated for every  $t \in \mathcal{S}$ , and
- (v)  $\mu_i \in \mathcal{S}(A)$  a state for every  $i \in I$ .

Then

$$\limsup_i \left\| \left\langle \frac{1}{|F_i|} \sum_{t \in F_i} T_t x \Big|_A, \mu_i \right\rangle \right\|^2 \leq \liminf_j \frac{1}{|G_j|} \sum_{s \in G_j} \limsup_i \frac{1}{|F_i|} \sum_{t \in F_i} \operatorname{Re} \langle S_s(x|T_t x)_A, \mu_i \rangle$$

for every  $x \in E$ .

One can now apply these results as in Section 4. In particular, we obtain:

**Corollary 5.6** (van der Corput for semigroups). *Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a right cancellative semigroup with right Følner nets  $(F_i)_{i \in I}$  and  $(G_j)_{j \in J}$ . For every bounded map  $u: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow H$  into a Hilbert*

space  $H$  the inequality

$$\limsup_i \left\| \frac{1}{|F_i|} \sum_{t \in F_i} u_{rt} \right\|^2 \leq \liminf_j \frac{1}{|G_j|} \sum_{s \in G_j} \limsup_i \frac{1}{|F_i|} \sum_{t \in F_i} \operatorname{Re}(u_{rt} | u_{rst})$$

holds.

**Remark 5.7.** One can also apply our approach to closed subsemigroups  $\mathcal{S}$  of a locally compact group  $\mathcal{G}$  with Haar measure  $m$  having a *topological right Følner net*  $(F_i)_{i \in I}$  (e.g.,  $\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  and  $\mathcal{G} = \mathbb{R}$ ), i.e.,  $F_i \subseteq \mathcal{S}$  is compact with positive measure for every  $i \in I$  and

$$\lim_i \frac{m(F_i \Delta F_i s)}{m(F_i)} = 0.$$

for every  $s \in \mathcal{S}$  (cf. [Sch13, Examples 1.2 (e)]). This allows to prove results in the spirit of [BDS05] and [BM16].

#### REFERENCES

- [AW67] L. N. Argabright and C. O. Wilde, Semigroups satisfying a strong Følner condition, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 18 (1967), pp. 587–591.
- [BDS05] C. Beyers, R. Duvenhage, and A. Ströhe, *A van der Corput lemma and weak mixing over groups*. 2005. arXiv: 0512059 [math.DS].
- [BJM89] J. F. Berglund, H. Junghenn, and P. Milnes, *Analysis on Semigroups. Function Spaces, Compactifications, Representations*, Wiley, 1989.
- [BM16] V. Bergelson and J. Moreira, Van der Corput’s difference theorem: some modern developments, *Indag. Math. (N.S.)* 27 (2016), pp. 437–479.
- [EF19] T. Eisner and B. Farkas, *Ergodic Theorems*. book manuscript, Accessed online: <https://ergodic.mathematik.uni-leipzig.de>, 23/04/2020. 2019.
- [EFHN15] T. Eisner, B. Farkas, M. Haase, and R. Nagel, *Operator Theoretic Aspects of Ergodic Theory*, vol. 272 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer International Publishing, 2015.
- [EW11] M. Einsiedler and T. Ward, *Ergodic Theory with a view towards Number Theory*, Springer, 2011.
- [HK18] B. Host and B. Kra, *Nilpotent Structures in Ergodic Theory*, American Mathematical Society, 2018.
- [KL16] D. Kerr and H. Li, *Ergodic Theory*, Springer, 2016.
- [KN74] L. Kuipers and H. Niederreiter, *Uniform distribution of sequences*, Wiley, 1974.

- [Kra07] B. Kra, “Ergodic methods in additive combinatorics”. Vol. 43. AMS, 2007, pp. 103–143.
- [Lan95] C. E. Lance, *Hilbert  $C^*$ -Modules*, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [Mor15] J. Moreira, *Alternative proofs of two classical lemmas*. 2015. URL: <https://joelmoreira.wordpress.com/2015/06/14/alternative-proofs-of-two-classical-lemmas/>
- [Mor18] J. Moreira, *Alternative proofs of two classical lemmas*. 2018. URL: <https://joelmoreira.wordpress.com/2018/06/14/alternative-proofs-of-two-classical-lemmas/>
- [MRR19] J. Moreira, F. Richter, and D. Robertson, A proof of a sumset conjecture of Erdős, *Ann. of Math. (2)* 189 (2019), pp. 605–652.
- [Pat88] A. L. T. Paterson, *Amenability*, AMS, 1988.
- [Ped18] G. K. Pedersen,  *$C^*$ -Algebras and Their Automorphism Groups*, 2nd edition. Academic Press, 2018.
- [Sch13] M. Schreiber, Uniform families of ergodic operator nets, *Semigroup Forum* 86 (2013), pp. 321–336.
- [Stø13] E. Størmer, *Positive Linear Maps of Operators Algebras*, Springer, 2013.
- [Tao08] T. Tao, *The van der Corput trick, and equidistribution on nilmanifolds*. 2008. URL: <https://terrytao.wordpress.com/2008/06/14/the-van-der-corputs-trick-and-equidistribution-on-nilmanifolds/>
- [Tao09] T. Tao, *Poincaré’s Legacies, Part I*, American Mathematical Society, 2009.
- [Tao14] T. Tao, *When is correlation transitive?* 2014. URL: <https://terrytao.wordpress.com/2014/06/14/when-is-correlation-transitive/>

NIKOLAI EDEKO, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT ZÜRICH, WINTERTHURERSTRASSE 190, CH-8057 ZÜRICH, SWITZERLAND

*E-mail address:* nikolai.edeko@math.uzh.ch

HENRIK KREIDLER, MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, BERGISCHE UNIVERSITÄT WUPPERTAL, GAUSSSTRASSE 20, D-42119 WUPPERTAL, GERMANY

*E-mail address:* kreidler@uni-wuppertal.de

RAINER NAGEL, MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, UNIVERSITÄT TÜBINGEN, AUF DER MORGENSTELLE 10, D-72076 TÜBINGEN, GERMANY

*E-mail address:* rana@fa.uni-tuebingen.de