

Cosmological Scattering Equations

Humberto Gomez^{a,b}, Arthur Lipstein^a, and Renann Lipinski Jusinkas^c
^a*Department of Mathematical Sciences, Durham University, Durham, DH1 3LE, UK*
^b*Facultad de Ciencias Basicas, Universidad Santiago de Cali,*
Calle 5 N° 62-00 Barrio Pampalinda, Cali, Valle, Colombia and
^c*Institute of Physics of the Czech Academy of Sciences & CEICO*
Na Slovance 2, 18221 Prague, Czech Republic

We propose a worldsheet formula for the computation of tree-level correlation functions of a scalar field with arbitrary mass and quartic self-interaction in de Sitter space, which is a simple model for inflationary cosmology. The correlation functions are located on the future boundary of the spacetime and are Fourier-transformed to momentum space. Our formula is supported on mass-deformed scattering equations involving conformal generators in momentum space and reduces to the CHY formula for ϕ^4 amplitudes in the flat space limit. Using the global residue theorem, we verify that it reproduces the Witten diagram expansion at four and six points, and sketch the extension to n -points.

I. INTRODUCTION

Cosmological observations suggest that the Universe underwent an early inflationary phase approximately described by four-dimensional de Sitter (dS) space [1–3]. In this scenario, the basic cosmological observables are correlation functions on the dS future boundary, which encode temperature fluctuations in the cosmological microwave background and the initial conditions for structure formation [4]. These correlators are constrained by conformal Ward identities associated with the spacetime isometries, and can therefore be treated like correlation functions of a conformal field theory living at the boundary [5–8].

Perturbatively, cosmological correlators can be computed using Witten diagrams ending on the future boundary [9–14]. In the flat space limit, they reduce to scattering amplitudes [13] for which a wealth of computational techniques has been developed such as recursion relations and unitarity methods [15–17]. These methods have led to remarkable new formulations such as the Cachazo-He-Yuan (CHY) formulae [18–20], which recast the scattering amplitudes of a vast range of quantum field theories in terms of a universal set of scattering equations. This formulation has in turn manifested many remarkable structures [21] such as the double copy relating gauge and gravitational amplitudes [22, 23].

By comparison, far less is known about cosmological correlators and the research programme for adapting flat space techniques to backgrounds with nonzero cosmological constant is still in its infancy [24–39]. Worldsheet formulas describing massless biadjoint scalars with cubic interactions in Anti de Sitter space (AdS) were recently proposed in [40, 41]. Their scattering equations are written in terms of conformal generators acting on contact Witten diagrams in position space. Via a Wick rotation, the Witten diagrams in AdS can be related to those in dS [42, 43].

In this letter, we propose a worldsheet formula describing correlation functions of scalar fields with arbitrary mass and quartic interaction in de Sitter space in any dimension, which is one of the simplest models for inflation [44]. For applications to cosmology, massless scalars in four-dimensional de Sitter space are the most relevant but it is also of interest to consider small masses to capture the breaking of de Sitter isometries due to fluctuations of the inflaton controlled by the slow-roll parameter. It is also of theoretical interest to consider the correlators of

conformally coupled scalars, since these form the building blocks for many other correlators using spin-raising and weight-shifting operators [45].

Our formula computes boundary correlators in momentum space, which is natural for cosmology applications, and directly reduces to the CHY formula for ϕ^4 amplitudes in the flat space limit [21]. One of the key features which makes this possible is that the scattering equations are written in terms of conformal generators in momentum space. Another nontrivial aspect of our construction is the presence of differential operators in the integrand in the form of a Pfaffian which could potentially lead to ordering ambiguities. However we find that such ambiguities vanish after performing the worldsheet integrals.

II. COSMOLOGICAL CORRELATORS

We work in the Poincaré patch of $(d + 1)$ -dimensional dS with unit radius:

$$ds^2 = \frac{-d\eta^2 + (dx^i)^2}{\eta^2}, \quad (1)$$

where $-\infty < \eta < 0$ is the conformal time, and $i = 1, \dots, d$ runs over Euclidean boundary directions. We will interchangeably use the notation \vec{x} for boundary directions.

We are interested in correlation functions in the future boundary, which are sometimes referred to as coefficients of the wavefunction of the Universe [46]. The n -point correlator, Ψ_n , can be expressed in momentum space as

$$\Psi_n = \delta^d(\vec{k}_1 + \dots + \vec{k}_n) \langle \mathcal{O}(\vec{k}_1) \dots \mathcal{O}(\vec{k}_n) \rangle, \quad (2)$$

where we have factored out a momentum-conserving delta function. The remaining part is constrained by conformal Ward identities and can be treated as a correlation function of operators \mathcal{O} in a conformal field theory dual to the bulk fields. For simplicity, we will restrict to scalar operators with scaling dimension Δ , which are dual to bulk scalar fields with mass

$$m^2 = \Delta(d - \Delta). \quad (3)$$

Note that $\Delta = d$ and $\Delta = (d + 1)/2$ correspond to bulk scalars which are minimally or conformally coupled, respectively.

The conformal Ward identities for the correlator Ψ_n

are given by

$$\sum_{a=1}^n P_a^i \Psi_n = \sum_{a=1}^n D_a \Psi_n = \sum_{a=1}^n K_a^i \Psi_n = 0, \quad (4)$$

where a, b, \dots denote single-particle labels and the conformal generators in momentum space are

$$\begin{aligned} P^i &= k^i, \\ D &= ik^i \partial_i + i(d - \Delta), \\ K_i &= k_i \partial^j \partial_j - 2k^j \partial_j \partial_i - 2(d - \Delta) \partial_i, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial k^i}$. The generator of rotations (J_{ij}) acts trivially on scalars in momentum space. The first Ward identity in (4) simply follows from the momentum conserving delta function in (2).

III. WITTEN DIAGRAMS

The correlators above admit a perturbative expansion in terms of bulk Witten diagrams ending on the future boundary. Here we take the bulk theory to be

$$S[\phi] = \int \frac{d\eta d^d x}{\eta^{d+1}} \{ \eta^2 [(\partial_\eta \phi)^2 - (\vec{\partial} \phi)^2] + m^2 \phi^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4!} \phi^4 \}, \quad (6)$$

which can be thought of as a toy model for the inflaton. For simplicity, we will set $\lambda = 1$. The operators in the dual CFT have scaling dimension $\Delta = d/2 + \sqrt{d^2/4 - m^2}$.

The bulk-to-boundary propagator is

$$\mathcal{K}_\nu(k, \eta) = \mathcal{N} k^\nu \eta^{d/2} H_\nu(-k\eta), \quad (7)$$

where k is the magnitude of the boundary momentum \vec{k} , $\nu = \Delta - d/2$, H_ν is a Hankel function of the second kind, and \mathcal{N} is a normalisation that we will not explicitly need. The bulk-to-boundary propagators satisfy

$$(\mathcal{D}_k^2 + m^2) \mathcal{K}_\nu = 0, \quad (8)$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_k^2 = \eta^2 \partial_\eta^2 + (1 - d)\eta \partial_\eta + \eta^2 k^2. \quad (9)$$

They can be used to compute contact diagrams as follows:

$$\mathcal{C}_n^\Delta \equiv \int \frac{d\eta}{\eta^{d+1}} U_n(\eta), \quad (10)$$

with

$$U_{1,n}(\eta) \equiv \prod_{a=1}^n \mathcal{K}_\nu^a(k_a, \eta). \quad (11)$$

As we will see below, all tree-level Witten diagrams can be obtained from contact diagrams by acting with certain differential operators.

A central object in our analysis is the action of the operator

$$\mathcal{D}_a \cdot \mathcal{D}_b = \frac{1}{2} (P_a^i K_{bi} + K_{ai} P_b^i) - D_a D_b, \quad (12)$$

on the product $\mathcal{K}_\nu^a(k_a, \eta) \mathcal{K}_\nu^b(k_b, \eta)$. The boundary gener-

ators (5) have a very simple action on \mathcal{K}_ν , given by

$$\begin{aligned} D \mathcal{K}_\nu &= i\eta \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \mathcal{K}_\nu, \\ P^i \mathcal{K}_\nu &= k^i \mathcal{K}_\nu, \\ K_i \mathcal{K}_\nu &= \eta^2 k_i \mathcal{K}_\nu, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

which leads to

$$(\mathcal{D}_a \cdot \mathcal{D}_b) \mathcal{K}_\nu^a \mathcal{K}_\nu^b = \eta^2 [\partial_\eta \mathcal{K}_\nu^a \partial_\eta \mathcal{K}_\nu^b + (\vec{k}_a \cdot \vec{k}_b) \mathcal{K}_\nu^a \mathcal{K}_\nu^b]. \quad (14)$$

It is then straightforward to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{1\dots n}^2 U_{1,n} &= (\mathcal{D}_1 + \dots + \mathcal{D}_n)^2 U_{1,n}, \\ &= -nm^2 U_{1,n} + 2 \sum_{a < b} (\mathcal{D}_a \cdot \mathcal{D}_b) U_{1,n}, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where in the left hand side $\mathcal{D}_{1\dots n}^2$ is defined in (9) with $k = k_{1\dots n}$, and the right hand side is built using the boundary conformal generators in momentum space (5), with $\mathcal{D}_a \cdot \mathcal{D}_a = -m^2$.

Lastly, the bulk-to-bulk propagators satisfy

$$(\mathcal{D}_k^2 + m^2) G_\nu(k, \eta, \tilde{\eta}) = \eta^{d+1} \delta(\eta - \tilde{\eta}). \quad (16)$$

Therefore, acting with $[(\mathcal{D}_1 + \dots + \mathcal{D}_p)^2 + m^2]^{-1}$ on the contact diagrams \mathcal{C}_n^Δ , with $p < n$, leads to the insertion of a bulk-to-bulk propagator

$$\begin{aligned} &[(\mathcal{D}_1 + \dots + \mathcal{D}_p)^2 + m^2]^{-1} \mathcal{C}_n^\Delta = \\ &\int \frac{d\eta}{\eta^{d+1}} \frac{d\tilde{\eta}}{\tilde{\eta}^{d+1}} U_{p+1,n}(\eta) G_\nu(k_{1\dots p}, \eta, \tilde{\eta}) U_{1,p}(\tilde{\eta}), \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where we have used (15). We can obtain all tree-level diagrams from contact diagrams using this result.

IV. SCATTERING EQUATIONS

We have described how to compute cosmological correlators perturbatively using Witten diagrams. Next we present an alternative framework in which they are computed from a worldsheet integral inspired by the CHY formulae. In flat space, the main idea is to express the scattering amplitude as an integration over the Riemann sphere, mapping each external leg to a marked point. The integrals then localise onto solutions of the scattering equations:

$$\sum_{a \neq b} \frac{2k_a \cdot k_b}{\sigma_{ab}} = 0, \quad \sigma_{ab} \equiv \sigma_a - \sigma_b, \quad (18)$$

where σ_a is the holomorphic coordinate of the a 'th puncture. Remarkably, these equations are not sensitive to the details of the theory, which are instead encoded in the worldsheet integrand via a simple set of building blocks.

Inspired by the scattering equations for massive particles in flat space obtained by Dolan and Goddard [47] and the ambitwistor strings in AdS position space proposed in [40, 41], we define the scattering equations in dS momentum space in terms of the following differential operators:

$$S_a = \sum_{\substack{b=1 \\ b \neq a}}^n \frac{2(\mathcal{D}_a \cdot \mathcal{D}_b) + \mu_{ab}}{\sigma_{ab}} \equiv \sum_{\substack{b=1 \\ b \neq a}}^n \frac{\alpha_{ab}}{\sigma_{ab}}, \quad (19)$$

where $\mu_{a a \pm 1} = -m^2$ modulo n and zero otherwise. The \mathcal{D} 's and their inner product are defined in (5) and (12). As in [47], this mass deformation of the scattering equations assumes the canonical ordering of the external legs $\mathbb{1}_n = (1, 2, \dots, n)$. Different orderings are obtained by permutations.

Using the conformal Ward identities in (4), it is not difficult to show that

$$\sum_{a \neq b} \alpha_{ab} = 0, \quad (20)$$

which implies that the scattering equations are invariant under the transformations $\sigma_a \rightarrow \sigma_a + 1$ and $\sigma_a \rightarrow -1/\sigma_a$. This is connected to an underlying $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ symmetry that can be used to fix the location of three punctures using a standard procedure familiar from string theory.

A generic worldsheet integral will then take the form

$$\int_{\gamma} \prod_{\substack{a=1 \\ a \neq b, c, d}}^n d\sigma_a (S_a)^{-1} (\sigma_{bc} \sigma_{cd} \sigma_{db})^2 \mathcal{I}_n, \quad (21)$$

where the integration contour is defined by the intersection $\gamma = \bigcap_{a \neq b, c, d} \gamma_{S_a}$, where γ_{S_a} encircles the pole where S_a vanishes when acting on the theory-dependent integrand \mathcal{I}_n . Following similar steps to [40, 41], it is possible to show that the differential operators in (19) commute, so the measure in (21) is well-defined. In contrast to flat space [48], the cosmological scattering equations involve differential operators and it is currently not known how to explicitly solve them, either analytically or numerically. Instead we will evaluate the contour integrals using the global residue theorem and obtain the expected expansion in terms of Witten diagrams.

V. WORLDSHEET FORMULA

Using the cosmological scattering equations defined in the previous section, we now propose a worldsheet formula for n -point correlators of massive ϕ^4 theory in dS momentum space. This will take the form of differential operators defined in the future boundary which act on an n -point contact diagram:

$$\Psi_n = \frac{1}{(3!)^{p-1}} \sum_{\rho \in S_{n-1}} \text{sgn}_{\rho} \mathcal{A}(\rho(1, 2, \dots, n-1), n) \mathcal{C}_n^{\Delta}, \quad (22)$$

where $n = 2p \in \text{even}$, S_{n-1} is the permutation group and

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{1}_n) = \int_{\gamma} \prod_{a \neq b, c, d}^n d\sigma_a S_a^{-1} (\sigma_{bc} \sigma_{cd} \sigma_{db})^2 \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{1}_n), \quad (23)$$

with

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{1}_n) = \text{PT}(\mathbb{1}_n) \text{Pf}' A \times \sum_{\{a, b\} \in cp(\mathbb{1}_n)} \frac{\text{sgn}(\{a, b\})}{\sigma_{a_1 b_1} \cdots \sigma_{a_p b_p}}. \quad (24)$$

Here $\text{PT}(\mathbb{1}_n) = (\sigma_{12} \sigma_{23} \cdots \sigma_{n1})^{-1}$, $cp(\mathbb{1}_n)$ denotes all perfect matchings that lead to connected graphs related to the ordering $(1, 2, \dots, n)$ [21], and the reduced Pfaffian $\text{Pf}' A$ is given by

$$\text{Pf}' A = \frac{(-1)^{c+d}}{\sigma_{cd}} \text{Pf} A_{cd}^{cd}, \quad (25)$$

where

$$\text{Pf} A_{cd}^{cd} = \frac{\epsilon^{r_1 s_1 \cdots r_{p-1} s_{p-1}} (A_{cd}^{cd})_{r_1 s_1} \cdots (A_{cd}^{cd})_{r_{p-1} s_{p-1}}}{2^{p-1} (p-1)!}. \quad (26)$$

The matrix A_{cd}^{cd} is obtained from the $n \times n$ A -matrix

$$A_{rs} = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha_{rs}}{\sigma_{rs}}, & r \neq s, \\ 0, & r = s, \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

by removing any pair of rows and columns $\{c, d\}$.

Since $[\alpha_{rs}, \alpha_{pq}] = 0$ for $r \neq s \neq p \neq q$, and $\sum_a [P_a^i, \alpha_{rs}] = \sum_a [D_a, \alpha_{rs}] = \sum_a [K_a^i, \alpha_{rs}] = 0$, $\text{Pf}' A$ is well defined and Ψ_n satisfies the conformal Ward identities. Additionally, following a similar argument to the one given in [18], where instead of using momentum conservation one uses the conformal Ward identities, it can be checked that the reduced Pfaffian, $\text{Pf}' A$, is independent of the choice $\{c, d\}$. As we will see in concrete examples, we are also free to shuffle terms in the Pfaffian with those in the scattering equations. A more systematic demonstration of this fact will appear in [49].

VI. FLAT SPACE LIMIT

Let us define

$$E = \sum_{a=1}^n k_a, \quad (28)$$

with $k_a = |\vec{k}_a|$. In the limit $E \rightarrow 0$, the correlator develops singularities in E and the coefficient of the most singular term is the flat space amplitude in $d+1$ dimensions. This is referred to as the flat space limit.

This limit can be accessed by taking $\eta \rightarrow -\infty$ in the integrand of the correlator [13, 28]. Using the asymptotic form of the bulk-to-boundary propagators,

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow -\infty} K_{\nu}(k, \eta) \propto k_i^{\nu-1/2} \eta^{(d-1)/2} e^{ik\eta}, \quad (29)$$

equation (14) leads to

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow -\infty} \mathcal{D}_a \cdot \mathcal{D}_b (\mathcal{K}_a \mathcal{K}_b) = -\eta^2 (k_a \cdot k_b) \mathcal{K}_a \mathcal{K}_b, \quad (30)$$

with $(k_a \cdot k_b) = -k_a k_b + \vec{k}_a \cdot \vec{k}_b$. In this limit we can therefore replace $\mathcal{D}_a \cdot \mathcal{D}_b$ with $k_a \cdot k_b$ and set $m = 0$ (recall that the mass is defined in units of the inverse dS radius so in the flat space limit it will vanish). Performing the resulting integral over conformal time then gives

$$\lim_{E \rightarrow 0} \Psi_n \propto E^{-(4-d+\frac{1}{2}(d-3)n)} \prod_{i=1}^n k_i^{\nu-1/2} \mathcal{A}_n \delta^d(\vec{k}_T), \quad (31)$$

where \mathcal{A}_n is the CHY formula for massless ϕ^4 amplitudes in flat space and $\vec{k}_T = \vec{k}_1 + \dots + \vec{k}_n$. In deriving this result, we only keep contributions which arise from acting with differential operators directly on bulk-to-boundary propagators, since other contributions are subleading. This provides the first non-trivial check of our formula, and illustrates the power of the momentum space formalism.




Figure 1: Factorization of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{1}_4)$ with (a) $\text{Pf}A_{14}^{14}$, (b) $\text{Pf}A_{12}^{12}$.

VII. FOUR POINTS

To further check the validity of our formula, we will show that it produces the correct results at four and six points.

Let us first consider the ordered correlator

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{1}_4)C_4^\Delta = \int_\gamma d\sigma_3 (\sigma_{41}\sigma_{12}\sigma_{24})^2 S_3^{-1} \frac{\text{PT}(\mathbb{1}_4)(-1)\text{Pf}A_{14}^{14}}{\sigma_{14}(\sigma_{13}\sigma_{24})} C_4^\Delta, \quad (32)$$

Notice that $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{1}_4)$ has a natural graph representation, given in Fig. 1. The circle is the Parke-Taylor factor, $\text{PT}(\mathbb{1}_4)$, the black inner lines depict the perfect matching and the red line indicate the rows/columns removed from the A -matrix. The underlined labels $\{4, 1, 2\}$ are the coordinates fixed by the $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ symmetry. Writing $\tilde{S}_a = S_a \times \prod_{b \neq a}^4 (\sigma_{ab})$ we obtain

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{1}_4)C_4^\Delta = - \int_{\tilde{\gamma}} d\sigma_3 \tilde{S}_3^{-1} \frac{\sigma_{12}\sigma_{24}}{\sigma_{32}} \alpha_{23} C_4^\Delta, \quad (33)$$

where $\tilde{\gamma}$ contour is defined by \tilde{S}_3 . Using the global residue theorem (GRT) [50], $\tilde{\gamma}$ can be deformed to γ_{32} , with $\gamma_{ab} = \{|\sigma_a - \sigma_b| = \epsilon\}$. Noting that $\tilde{S}_3|_{\sigma_{32}=0} = \alpha_{23}$ and integrating around γ_{32} then gives

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{1}_4)C_4^\Delta = (\alpha_{23})^{-1}(\alpha_{23}) C_4^\Delta = C_4^\Delta, \quad (34)$$

which is the desired result. It is straightforward to check that the final answer is the same when switching the order of the Pfaffian and the scattering equation in (32).

It is enlightening to understand the meaning of the integration around γ_{32} . Integrating around this contour implies the Riemann surface is factorized (split into two spheres) when $\sigma_3 \rightarrow \sigma_2$, and is visualised by cutting the planar graph with a dotted line as shown in Fig. 1(a). On the other hand the factorizations $\sigma_3 \rightarrow \sigma_1$ and $\sigma_3 \rightarrow \sigma_4$ do not contribute. These observations can be understood through the following simple rules which will be proven in [49]:

1) If all fixed points (underlined labels) are on the same side of a cut then this contribution vanishes. The key point is that after factorization the two new spheres must each have three fixed punctures as shown in Fig 3.

2) If a factorization cuts more than four lines in the corresponding planar graph then this contribution vanishes. This is the reason why the only non-vanishing contribution in Fig 1(a) comes from the factorization $\sigma_3 \rightarrow \sigma_2$. For example, in Fig. 1(b) the only contribution is given by the factorization $\sigma_3 \rightarrow \sigma_4$.

VIII. SIX POINTS

From (23), we see that $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{1}_6)$ is encoded by the four diagrams given in Fig. 2. In the first and second diagrams,




Figure 2: Diagrammatic representation of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{1}_6)$.

we have fixed legs $\{5, 6, 1\}$ and removed the rows/columns $\{1, 5\}$ from the A -matrix in the reduced Pfaffian. This is the simplest option. Other choices of Pfaffian will lead to additional contributions from the contour integrals which cancel out.

Rules 1 and 2 tell us the first diagram has only one factorization $\sigma_3 \rightarrow \sigma_6$. Using the GRT, γ can be deformed into $\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma_{36} \cap \gamma_{\hat{S}_2} \cap \gamma_{\hat{S}_3}$, where $\hat{S}_2 = S_2|_{\sigma_3=\sigma_6} + \mathcal{O}(\sigma_{36})$ and $\hat{S}_3 = \alpha_{36} + \mathcal{O}(\sigma_{36})$. After integrating around γ_{36} , it is straightforward to see that $[\gamma_{\hat{S}_2} \cap \gamma_{\hat{S}_3}]|_{\sigma_{36}=0} = \emptyset$, so this diagram vanishes.

In addition, the last three diagrams are identical up to cyclic permutations. We focus on the second one, *i.e.* $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{1}_6 : 14, 26, 35)$, where the second argument in \mathcal{A} means the perfect matching. Rules 1 and 2 imply two factorizations: $\sigma_2 \rightarrow \sigma_6$ and $\sigma_3 \rightarrow \sigma_4 \rightarrow \sigma_5$. Moreover, using a similar analysis to the previous paragraph we find that the factorization $\sigma_2 \rightarrow \sigma_6$ vanishes, so the only contribution comes from the second one (Fig. 3). To compute




Figure 3: Factorization contribution.

it, we consider the parametrization $\sigma_a = \epsilon x_a + \sigma_5$, with $a = 3, 4, 5$, $x_4 = \text{constant}$, $x_5 = 0$, $\sigma_5 \equiv \sigma_L$, and expand around $\epsilon = 0$. The scattering equations reduce to

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &= [\hat{S}_2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)], \quad \hat{S}_2 = \frac{\alpha_{21}}{\sigma_{21}} + \frac{\alpha_{26}}{\sigma_{26}} + \frac{\alpha_{2L}}{\sigma_{2L}}, \\ S_3 &= \frac{1}{\epsilon}[\hat{S}_3 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)], \quad \hat{S}_3 = \frac{\alpha_{34}}{x_{34}} + \frac{\alpha_{35}}{x_{35}} + \frac{\alpha_{3R}}{x_{3R}}, \\ S_4 &= \frac{1}{\epsilon}[\hat{S}_4 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)], \quad \hat{S}_4 = \frac{\alpha_{43}}{x_{43}} + \frac{\alpha_{45}}{x_{45}} + \frac{\alpha_{4R}}{x_{4R}}, \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

where $x_R = \infty$, $\alpha_{2L} = \alpha_{23} + \alpha_{24} + \alpha_{25}$ and $\alpha_{aR} = \alpha_{a6} + \alpha_{a1} + \alpha_{a2}$, $a = 3, 4$. Using the GRT, the contour can then be deformed to $\hat{\gamma} = \gamma_\epsilon \cap \gamma_{\hat{S}_2} \cap \gamma_{\hat{S}_3}$, with $\gamma_\epsilon = \{|\epsilon| = \delta\}$. After performing the integral over ϵ and noting that $\hat{S}_4|_{\gamma_{\hat{S}_3}} = \frac{x_{R5}}{x_{54}x_{4R}}[(\mathcal{D}_6 + \mathcal{D}_1 + \mathcal{D}_2)^2 + m^2]$, we find that the remaining contour integral factorizes according to Fig. 3:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{1}_6 : 14, 26, 35) C_6^\Delta &= \mathcal{A}(6, 1, 2, L : 1L, 26) \\ &[(\mathcal{D}_3 + \mathcal{D}_4 + \mathcal{D}_5)^2 + m^2]^{-1} \mathcal{A}(R, 3, 4, 5 : R4, 35) C_6^\Delta, \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(6, 1, 2, L : 1L, 26) &= \\ &\int_{\gamma_{\hat{S}_2}} d\sigma_2 (\sigma_{1L}\sigma_{L6}\sigma_{61})^2 \hat{S}_2^{-1} \text{PT}(6, 1, 2, L) \frac{\text{Pf}A_{1L}^{1L}}{(\sigma_{1L}\sigma_{26})\sigma_{1L}}, \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$




Figure 4: Factorization of (a) a ladder diagram, (b) a non-ladder diagram.

$$\mathcal{A}(R, 3, 4, 5 : R4, 35) = \int_{\gamma_{\mathcal{S}_3}} dx_3 (x_{45} x_{5R} x_{R4})^2 \hat{\mathcal{S}}_3^{-1} \text{PT}(R, 3, 4, 5) \frac{(-1) \text{Pf} A_{R5}^{R5}}{(x_{R4} x_{35}) x_{R5}}. \quad (38)$$

Finally, using the result of the previous section we obtain

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{1}_6 : 14, 26, 35) \mathcal{C}_6^\Delta = [(\mathcal{D}_3 + \mathcal{D}_4 + \mathcal{D}_5)^2 + m^2]^{-1} \mathcal{C}_6^\Delta, \quad (39)$$

which is the Witten diagram for two 4-point vertices connected by a bulk-to-bulk propagator. Since all terms in (36) commute, and the scattering equations commute with Pfaffians in each four-point integrand, this implies that shuffling terms in the Pfaffian with the scattering equations leaves the final result unchanged.

IX. N POINTS

Let us briefly comment on the evaluation of our worldsheet formula at n -points. A more systematic analysis will appear in [49]. First we point out that the six-point results can be straightforwardly extended to ladder diagrams with any number of points. In particular, let us consider the ladder diagram in Fig. 4(a), where we fix the positions of legs $\{n-1, n, 1\}$ and remove rows/columns $\{1, n-1\}$ from the A -matrix in the reduced Pfaffian. Analogously to the six-point case, one finds that only one factorization contributes, notably $\sigma_3 \rightarrow \sigma_4 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \sigma_{n-1}$ as shown in Fig 4(a). Using the parametrization, $\sigma_a = \epsilon x_a + \sigma_{n-1}$, with $a = 3, 4, \dots, n-1$, $x_{n-2} = \text{constant}$, $x_{n-1} = 0$, $\sigma_{n-1} \equiv \sigma_L$, and expanding around $\epsilon = 0$, one lands on a generalization of (36),

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{1}_n : 1(p+1), 2n, \dots, p(p+2)) \mathcal{C}_n^\Delta = \\ & \mathcal{A}(n, 1, 2, L : 1L, 2n) [(\mathcal{D}_n + \mathcal{D}_1 + \mathcal{D}_2)^2 + m^2]^{-1} \\ & \mathcal{A}(R, 3, \dots, n-1 : R(p+1), \dots, p(p+2)) \mathcal{C}_n^\Delta, \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

where $\mathcal{A}(n, 1, 2, L : 1L, 2n)$ is similar to (37) and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(R, 3, \dots, n-1 : R(p+1), \dots, p(p+2)) &= \int_{\hat{\gamma}} \prod_{a=3}^{n-3} dx_a \hat{\mathcal{S}}_a^{-1} \\ & \frac{[x_{(n-2)(n-1)} x_{(n-1)R} x_{R(n-2)}]^2 \text{PT}(R, \dots, n-1) \text{Pf} A_{R(n-2)}^{R(n-2)}}{(x_{R(p+1)} x_{3(n-1)} x_{4(n-2)} \dots x_{p(p+2)}) x_{R(n-2)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

with $x_R = \infty$. Here we have used the identity

$$\frac{(-1) \text{Pf} A_{R(n-1)}^{R(n-1)}}{x_{R(n-1)}} = \frac{\text{Pf} A_{R(n-2)}^{R(n-2)}}{x_{R(n-2)}}. \quad (42)$$

The integrand in (41) reproduces exactly the same ladder diagram as in Fig. 4(a) with $(n-2)$ points so (40) provides a recursion relation. Since all terms in (40) commute, this provides an inductive proof that we are free to shuffle terms in the Pfaffian with scattering equations so there are no ambiguities in the definition of the integrand.

Above six points, there will also be planar graphs with other topologies as depicted in 4(b). A similar procedure can be used to build up such graphs by attaching 4-point vertices to diagrams with general topology, but there will be additional complications because the Pfaffian identity in (42) will need to be generalised [49].

X. DISCUSSION

We have proposed a worldsheet description for correlators of massive ϕ^4 theory in de Sitter momentum space. The scattering equations are written in terms of conformal generators which take a very simple form in momentum space and make the flat space limit completely transparent. Another key ingredient of our formula is a Pfaffian defined in terms of the conformal generators. Although their presence in the integrand can potentially lead to ordering ambiguities, we found that such ambiguities vanish after performing the worldsheet integrals, which is a very nontrivial feature.

There are a number of future directions to be explored. Perhaps the most immediate task would be to incorporate the coupling to gravitons by modifying the integrand. In flat space, the CHY formulae provide a powerful tool for analysing soft [51, 52] and collinear limits [53] and their relation to asymptotic symmetries [54]. It would therefore be interesting to use the results presented here and possible higher-spin generalisations to explore similar limits of cosmological correlators. Another natural direction would be to extend our construction to loop-level by including additional punctures with possibly deformed scattering equations, similar to the flat space constructions in [55–57]. This should provide a complimentary approach to the unitarity methods recently introduced in [30, 35].

More ambitiously, we would like to investigate how to lift of our formula to that of a UV complete theory. As a first step, we can replace the scattering equations with Koba-Nielsen factors analogous to those appearing flat space string theory amplitudes, replacing Mandelstam variables with differential operators in momentum space. This may lead to ordering ambiguities similar to those encountered when lifting the Virasoro-Shapiro amplitude to $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$, whose resolution required using holographic information from $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM [58, 59]. Ultimately, we hope that our worldsheet formula will provide a useful toy model for understanding the physics of the early Universe.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Paul McFadden for comments on the manuscript. HG and AL are supported by the Royal Society via a PDRA grant and a University Research Fellowship, respectively. RLJ acknowledges the Czech Science Foundation - GAČR for financial support under the grant 19-06342Y.

-
- [1] A. H. Guth, “The Inflationary Universe: A Possible Solution to the Horizon and Flatness Problems,” *Phys. Rev. D* **23** (1981), 347-356 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.23.347
- [2] A. D. Linde, “A New Inflationary Universe Scenario: A Possible Solution of the Horizon, Flatness, Homogeneity, Isotropy and Primordial Monopole Problems,” *Phys. Lett. B* **108** (1982), 389-393 doi:10.1016/0370-2693(82)91219-9
- [3] A. Albrecht and P. J. Steinhardt, “Cosmology for Grand Unified Theories with Radiatively Induced Symmetry Breaking,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48** (1982), 1220-1223 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.48.1220
- [4] V. F. Mukhanov and G. V. Chibisov, “Quantum Fluctuations and a Nonsingular Universe,” *JETP Lett.* **33** (1981), 532-535
- [5] A. Strominger, “Inflation and the dS / CFT correspondence,” *JHEP* **11** (2001), 049 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2001/11/049 [arXiv:hep-th/0110087 [hep-th]].
- [6] P. McFadden and K. Skenderis, “Holography for Cosmology,” *Phys. Rev. D* **81** (2010), 021301 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.81.021301 [arXiv:0907.5542 [hep-th]].
- [7] A. Bzowski, P. McFadden and K. Skenderis, “Implications of conformal invariance in momentum space,” *JHEP* **03** (2014), 111 doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2014)111 [arXiv:1304.7760 [hep-th]].
- [8] A. Bzowski, P. McFadden and K. Skenderis, “Scalar 3-point functions in CFT: renormalisation, beta functions and anomalies,” *JHEP* **03** (2016), 066 doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2016)066 [arXiv:1510.08442 [hep-th]].
- [9] A. Ghosh, N. Kundu, S. Raju and S. P. Trivedi, “Conformal Invariance and the Four Point Scalar Correlator in Slow-Roll Inflation,” *JHEP* **07** (2014), 011 doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2014)011 [arXiv:1401.1426 [hep-th]].
- [10] H. Liu and A. A. Tseytlin, “On four point functions in the CFT / AdS correspondence,” *Phys. Rev. D* **59** (1999), 086002 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.59.086002 [arXiv:hep-th/9807097 [hep-th]].
- [11] J. M. Maldacena and G. L. Pimentel, “On graviton non-Gaussianities during inflation,” *JHEP* **09** (2011), 045 doi:10.1007/JHEP09(2011)045 [arXiv:1104.2846 [hep-th]].
- [12] S. Raju, “Recursion Relations for AdS/CFT Correlators,” *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011), 126002 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.83.126002 [arXiv:1102.4724 [hep-th]].
- [13] S. Raju, “New Recursion Relations and a Flat Space Limit for AdS/CFT Correlators,” *Phys. Rev. D* **85** (2012), 126009 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.85.126009 [arXiv:1201.6449 [hep-th]].
- [14] N. Arkani-Hamed and J. Maldacena, “Cosmological Collider Physics,” [arXiv:1503.08043 [hep-th]].
- [15] R. Britto, F. Cachazo, B. Feng and E. Witten, “Direct proof of tree-level recursion relation in Yang-Mills theory,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94** (2005), 181602 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.181602 [arXiv:hep-th/0501052 [hep-th]].
- [16] Z. Bern, L. J. Dixon, D. C. Dunbar and D. A. Kosower, “Fusing gauge theory tree amplitudes into loop amplitudes,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **435** (1995), 59-101 doi:10.1016/0550-3213(94)00488-Z [arXiv:hep-ph/9409265 [hep-ph]].
- [17] N. Arkani-Hamed, J. L. Bourjaily, F. Cachazo, A. B. Goncharov, A. Postnikov and J. Trnka, “Grassmannian Geometry of Scattering Amplitudes,” doi:10.1017/CBO9781316091548 [arXiv:1212.5605 [hep-th]].
- [18] F. Cachazo, S. He and E. Y. Yuan, “Scattering of Massless Particles in Arbitrary Dimensions,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113** (2014) no.17, 171601 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.171601 [arXiv:1307.2199 [hep-th]].
- [19] F. Cachazo, S. He and E. Y. Yuan, “Scattering of Massless Particles: Scalars, Gluons and Gravitons,” *JHEP* **1407** (2014) 033 doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2014)033 [arXiv:1309.0885 [hep-th]].
- [20] L. Mason and D. Skinner, “Ambitwistor strings and the scattering equations,” *JHEP* **07** (2014), 048 doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2014)048 [arXiv:1311.2564 [hep-th]].
- [21] F. Cachazo, S. He and E. Y. Yuan, “Scattering Equations and Matrices: From Einstein To Yang-Mills, DBI and NLSM,” *JHEP* **07** (2015), 149 doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2015)149 [arXiv:1412.3479 [hep-th]].
- [22] Z. Bern, J. J. M. Carrasco and H. Johansson, “New Relations for Gauge-Theory Amplitudes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008), 085011 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.78.085011 [arXiv:0805.3993 [hep-ph]].
- [23] Z. Bern, J. J. M. Carrasco and H. Johansson, “Perturbative Quantum Gravity as a Double Copy of Gauge Theory,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105** (2010), 061602 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.061602 [arXiv:1004.0476 [hep-th]].
- [24] N. Arkani-Hamed, P. Benincasa and A. Postnikov, “Cosmological Polytopes and the Wavefunction of the Universe,” [arXiv:1709.02813 [hep-th]].
- [25] L. Rastelli and X. Zhou, “How to Succeed at Holographic Correlators Without Really Trying,” *JHEP* **04** (2018), 014 doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2018)014 [arXiv:1710.05923 [hep-th]].
- [26] J. A. Farrow, A. E. Lipstein and P. McFadden, “Double copy structure of CFT correlators,” *JHEP* **02** (2019), 130 doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2019)130 [arXiv:1812.11129 [hep-th]].
- [27] N. Arkani-Hamed, D. Baumann, H. Lee and G. L. Pimentel, “The Cosmological Bootstrap: Inflationary Correlators from Symmetries and Singularities,” *JHEP* **04** (2020), 105 doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2020)105 [arXiv:1811.00024 [hep-th]].
- [28] A. E. Lipstein and P. McFadden, “Double copy structure and the flat space limit of conformal correlators in even dimensions,” *Phys. Rev. D* **101** (2020) no.12, 125006 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.101.125006 [arXiv:1912.10046 [hep-th]].
- [29] C. Sleight and M. Taronna, “Bootstrapping Inflationary Correlators in Mellin Space,” *JHEP* **02** (2020), 098 doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2020)098 [arXiv:1907.01143 [hep-th]].
- [30] D. Meltzer and A. Sivaramakrishnan, “CFT unitarity and the AdS Cutkosky rules,” *JHEP* **11** (2020), 073 doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2020)073 [arXiv:2008.11730 [hep-th]].
- [31] H. Goodhew, S. Jazayeri and E. Pajer, “The Cosmological Optical Theorem,” *JCAP* **04** (2021), 021 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2021/04/021 [arXiv:2009.02898 [hep-th]].
- [32] C. Armstrong, A. E. Lipstein and J. Mei, “Color/kinematics duality in AdS₄,” *JHEP* **02** (2021), 194 doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2021)194 [arXiv:2012.02059 [hep-th]].
- [33] D. Baumann, C. Duaso Pueyo, A. Joyce, H. Lee and G. L. Pimentel, “The Cosmological Bootstrap: Spinning Correlators from Symmetries and Factorization,” [arXiv:2005.04234 [hep-th]].
- [34] A. Bzowski, P. McFadden and K. Skenderis, “Confor-

- mal correlators as simplex integrals in momentum space,” JHEP **01** (2021), 192 doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2021)192 [arXiv:2008.07543 [hep-th]].
- [35] S. Melville and E. Pajer, “Cosmological Cutting Rules,” JHEP **05** (2021), 249 doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2021)249 [arXiv:2103.09832 [hep-th]].
- [36] L. F. Alday, C. Behan, P. Ferrero and X. Zhou, “Gluon Scattering in AdS from CFT,” JHEP **06** (2021), 020 doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2021)020 [arXiv:2103.15830 [hep-th]].
- [37] D. Baumann, W. M. Chen, C. Duaso Pueyo, A. Joyce, H. Lee and G. L. Pimentel, “Linking the Singularities of Cosmological Correlators,” [arXiv:2106.05294 [hep-th]].
- [38] X. Zhou, “Double Copy Relation for AdS,” [arXiv:2106.07651 [hep-th]].
- [39] P. Diwakar, A. Herderschee, R. Roiban and F. Teng, “BCJ Amplitude Relations for Anti-de Sitter Boundary Correlators in Embedding Space,” [arXiv:2106.10822 [hep-th]].
- [40] L. Eberhardt, S. Komatsu and S. Mizera, “Scattering equations in AdS: scalar correlators in arbitrary dimensions,” JHEP **11** (2020), 158 doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2020)158 [arXiv:2007.06574 [hep-th]].
- [41] K. Roehrig and D. Skinner, “Ambitwistor Strings and the Scattering Equations on $AdS_3 \times S^3$,” [arXiv:2007.07234 [hep-th]].
- [42] J. M. Maldacena, “Non-Gaussian features of primordial fluctuations in single field inflationary models,” JHEP **05** (2003), 013 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2003/05/013 [arXiv:astro-ph/0210603 [astro-ph]].
- [43] C. Sleight and M. Taronna, “From AdS to dS Exchanges: Spectral Representation, Mellin Amplitudes and Crossing,” [arXiv:2007.09993 [hep-th]].
- [44] J. Martin, C. Ringeval and V. Vennin, “Encyclopædia Inflationaris,” Phys. Dark Univ. **5-6** (2014), 75-235 doi:10.1016/j.dark.2014.01.003 [arXiv:1303.3787 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [45] D. Baumann, C. Duaso Pueyo, A. Joyce, H. Lee and G. L. Pimentel, “The cosmological bootstrap: weight-shifting operators and scalar seeds,” JHEP **12** (2020), 204 doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2020)204 [arXiv:1910.14051 [hep-th]].
- [46] J. B. Hartle and S. W. Hawking, “Wave Function of the Universe,” Phys. Rev. D **28** (1983), 2960-2975 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.28.2960
- [47] L. Dolan and P. Goddard, “Proof of the Formula of Cachazo, He and Yuan for Yang-Mills Tree Amplitudes in Arbitrary Dimension,” JHEP **1405** (2014) 010 doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2014)010 [arXiv:1311.5200 [hep-th]].
- [48] F. Cachazo, S. He and E. Y. Yuan, “Scattering equations and Kawai-Lewellen-Tye orthogonality,” Phys. Rev. D **90** (2014) no.6, 065001 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.90.065001 [arXiv:1306.6575 [hep-th]].
- [49] H. Gomez, A. Lipstein, R. L. Jusinskas, *work in progress*.
- [50] “Principles of algebraic geometry,” John Wiley & Sons, New York U.S.A P. Griffiths, J. Harris.
- [51] B. U. W. Schwab and A. Volovich, “Subleading Soft Theorem in Arbitrary Dimensions from Scattering Equations,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **113** (2014) no.10, 101601 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.101601 [arXiv:1404.7749 [hep-th]].
- [52] Y. Geyer, A. E. Lipstein and L. Mason, “Ambitwistor strings at null infinity and (subleading) soft limits,” Class. Quant. Grav. **32** (2015) no.5, 055003 doi:10.1088/0264-9381/32/5/055003 [arXiv:1406.1462 [hep-th]].
- [53] D. Nandan, J. Plefka and W. Wormsbecher, “Collinear limits beyond the leading order from the scattering equations,” JHEP **02** (2017), 038 doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2017)038 [arXiv:1608.04730 [hep-th]].
- [54] A. Strominger, “On BMS Invariance of Gravitational Scattering,” JHEP **07** (2014), 152 doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2014)152 [arXiv:1312.2229 [hep-th]].
- [55] Y. Geyer, L. Mason, R. Monteiro and P. Tourkine, “Loop Integrands for Scattering Amplitudes from the Riemann Sphere,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **115** (2015) no.12, 121603 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.121603 [arXiv:1507.00321 [hep-th]].
- [56] H. Gomez, “Quadratic Feynman Loop Integrands From Massless Scattering Equations,” Phys. Rev. D **95** (2017) no.10, 106006 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.95.106006 [arXiv:1703.04714 [hep-th]].
- [57] J. A. Farrow, Y. Geyer, A. E. Lipstein, R. Monteiro and R. Stark-Muchão, “Propagators, BCFW recursion and new scattering equations at one loop,” JHEP **10** (2020), 074 doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2020)074 [arXiv:2007.00623 [hep-th]].
- [58] T. Abl, P. Heslop and A. E. Lipstein, “Towards the Virasoro-Shapiro amplitude in $AdS_5 \times S^5$,” JHEP **04** (2021), 237 doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2021)237 [arXiv:2012.12091 [hep-th]].
- [59] F. Aprile, J. M. Drummond, H. Paul and M. Santagata, “The Virasoro-Shapiro amplitude in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ and level splitting of 10d conformal symmetry,” [arXiv:2012.12092 [hep-th]].