

# On the divisibility of $q$ -trinomial coefficients

Ji-Cai Liu

Department of Mathematics, Wenzhou University, Wenzhou 325035, PR China  
jcliu2016@gmail.com

**Abstract.** We establish a congruence on sums of central  $q$ -binomial coefficients. From this  $q$ -congruence, we derive the divisibility of the  $q$ -trinomial coefficients introduced by Andrews and Baxter.

*Keywords:*  $q$ -trinomial coefficients;  $q$ -congruences; cyclotomic polynomials

*MR Subject Classifications:* 05A10, 11A07, 11B65, 13A05

## 1 Introduction

We consider the coefficients of the expanded form of this expression:

$$(1 + x + x^2)^n = \sum_{j=-n}^n \left( \binom{n}{j} \right) x^{j+n}. \quad (1.1)$$

The coefficients in (1.1) are called trinomial coefficients. Two simple formulas for the trinomial coefficients (see [17, page 43]) are

$$\left( \binom{n}{j} \right) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \binom{n-k}{k+j},$$

and

$$\left( \binom{n}{j} \right) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \binom{2n-2k}{n-j-k}.$$

In 1987, Andrews and Baxter [3] introduced six apparently distinct  $q$ -analogues of the trinomial coefficients, such as

$$\left( \binom{n}{j} \right)_q = \sum_{k=0}^n q^{k(k+j)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ k+j \end{bmatrix}_q, \quad (1.2)$$

where the  $q$ -binomial coefficients are defined as

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{cases} \frac{(1-q^n)(1-q^{n-1}) \cdots (1-q^{n-k+1})}{(1-q)(1-q^2) \cdots (1-q^k)}, & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

These  $q$ -trinomial coefficients play an important role in the solution of a model in statistical mechanics (see [3]). In 1990, Andrews [1] investigated some interesting properties for the  $q$ -trinomial coefficients, which leads him to finite versions of dissections of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities into even and odd parts.

In 1999, Andrews [2] showed that Babbage's congruence [5]:

$$\binom{2p-1}{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2} \quad (1.3)$$

possesses the following nice  $q$ -analogue:

$$\left[ \begin{matrix} 2p-1 \\ p-1 \end{matrix} \right] \equiv q^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}} \pmod{[p]_q^2}, \quad (1.4)$$

for any odd prime  $p$ . Notice that Wolstenholme [25] proved that (1.3) holds modulo  $p^3$  for any prime  $p \geq 5$ , which is known as the famous Wolstenholme's theorem.

In order to understand (1.4), we recall some necessary notation. For polynomials  $A_1(q), A_2(q), P(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ , the  $q$ -congruence

$$A_1(q)/A_2(q) \equiv 0 \pmod{P(q)}$$

is understood as  $A_1(q)$  is divisible by  $P(q)$  and  $A_2(q)$  is coprime with  $P(q)$ . In general, for rational functions  $A(q), B(q) \in \mathbb{Z}(q)$ ,

$$A(q) \equiv B(q) \pmod{P(q)} \iff A(q) - B(q) \equiv 0 \pmod{P(q)}.$$

The  $q$ -integers are defined as  $[n]_q = (1 - q^n)/(1 - q)$  for  $n \geq 1$ , and the  $n$ th cyclotomic polynomial is given by

$$\Phi_n(q) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ (n,k)=1}} (q - \zeta^k),$$

where  $\zeta$  denotes an  $n$ th primitive root of unity.

Recently, Straub [18, Theorem 2.2] established a  $q$ -analogue of Wolstenholme–Ljunggren congruence:

$$\binom{ap}{bp} \equiv \binom{a}{b} \pmod{p^3},$$

for any prime  $p \geq 5$ , i.e.

$$\left[ \begin{matrix} an \\ bn \end{matrix} \right] \equiv \left[ \begin{matrix} a \\ b \end{matrix} \right]_{q^{n^2}} - (a-b)b \binom{a}{b} \frac{n^2-1}{24} (q^n-1)^2 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^3}. \quad (1.5)$$

The simple case  $a = 2$  and  $b = 1$  in (1.5) reads

$$\left[ \begin{matrix} 2n \\ n \end{matrix} \right] \equiv 1 - q^{2n^2} - \frac{n^2-1}{12} (q^n-1)^2 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^3}, \quad (1.6)$$

which is an extension of (1.4) (notice that  $\begin{bmatrix} 2n-1 \\ n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ n \end{bmatrix}/(1+q^n)$ ). We remark that Pan [16, Lemma 3.1] obtained another interesting  $q$ -analogue of Wolstenholme–Ljunggren congruence and Zudilin [26] extended both Straub and Pan’s  $q$ -congruences.

In the past few years, congruences for  $q$ -binomial coefficients as well as basic hypergeometric series attracted many experts’ attention (see, for example, [6–11, 13, 14, 21, 23, 24]). Nowadays, there is also an extensive literature on congruences for trinomial coefficients (see, for instance, [4, 15, 20, 22]). It is worth mentioning that Gorodetsky [7] investigated congruences for the  $q$ -trinomial coefficients  $\begin{pmatrix} (n) \\ (0) \end{pmatrix}_q$ . However, the literature is still sparse on congruences for  $q$ -trinomial coefficients.

The first aim of the paper is to prove the following congruence for the  $q$ -trinomial coefficients  $\begin{pmatrix} (n) \\ (0) \end{pmatrix}_q$ .

**Theorem 1.1** *For any positive integer  $n$ , the following congruence holds modulo  $\Phi_n(q)^2$ :*

$$\begin{pmatrix} (n) \\ (0) \end{pmatrix}_q \equiv \begin{cases} (-1)^m(1+q^m)q^{m(3m-1)/2}, & \text{if } n = 3m, \\ (-1)^mq^{m(3m+1)/2}, & \text{if } n = 3m + 1, \\ (-1)^mq^{m(3m-1)/2}, & \text{if } n = 3m - 1. \end{cases}$$

The elegant  $q$ -congruence (1.6) motivates us to establish the following congruence for the  $q$ -trinomial coefficients  $\begin{pmatrix} (2n) \\ (n) \end{pmatrix}_q$ , which generalizes a conjectural  $q$ -congruence due to Apagodu and the author [4, Conjecture 1].

**Theorem 1.2** *For any positive integer  $n$ , the following congruence holds modulo  $\Phi_n(q)^2$ :*

$$\begin{pmatrix} (2n) \\ (n) \end{pmatrix}_q \equiv \begin{cases} 2(-1)^m(1+q^m)q^{m(3m-1)/2} - 3m(1-q^{3m}), & \text{if } n = 3m, \\ 2(-1)^mq^{m(3m+1)/2} - (3m+1)(1-q^{3m+1}), & \text{if } n = 3m + 1, \\ 2(-1)^mq^{m(3m-1)/2} - (3m-1)(1-q^{3m-1}), & \text{if } n = 3m - 1. \end{cases}$$

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we shall mainly establish an auxiliary congruence on sums of central  $q$ -binomial coefficients, which is interesting by itself. The proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 will be presented in Sections 3 and 4, respectively.

## 2 An auxiliary result

In order to prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we first recall the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1** (See [12, Lemma 2.3].) For any non-negative integer  $n$ , we have

$$(1 - q^n) \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^k q^{k(k-1)/2}}{1 - q^{n-k}} \begin{bmatrix} n - k \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} (-1)^m (1 + q^m) q^{m(3m-1)/2}, & \text{if } n = 3m, \\ (-1)^m q^{m(3m+1)/2}, & \text{if } n = 3m + 1, \\ (-1)^m q^{m(3m-1)/2}, & \text{if } n = 3m - 1, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  denotes the integral part of real  $x$ .

**Lemma 2.2** (See [21, Lemma 3.3].) For  $k = 1, \dots, n - 1$ , we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2k - 1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} \equiv (-1)^k q^{k(3k-1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} n - k \\ k \end{bmatrix} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}. \quad (2.2)$$

We also require the following congruence on sums of the central  $q$ -binomial coefficients  $\begin{bmatrix} 2k \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ , which is interesting by itself.

**Proposition 2.3** For any positive integer  $n$ , we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{q^{-k(k-1)}}{\begin{bmatrix} 2k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q} \begin{bmatrix} 2k \\ k \end{bmatrix} \equiv \frac{(1 - q)(1 - \mathcal{R}_n(q))}{1 - q^n} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $\mathcal{R}_n(q)$  denotes the right-hand side of (2.1).

**Remark.** Sun [19, Theorem 1.1] proved that for any prime  $p \geq 5$ ,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{(p-1)/2} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{k} \equiv (-1)^{(p+1)/2} \frac{8p}{3} E_{p-3} \pmod{p^2}, \quad (2.4)$$

where  $E_0, E_1, E_2, \dots$  are Euler numbers. Notice that (2.3) is a  $q$ -analogue of (2.4) (the modulo  $p$  version).

*Proof of (2.3).* By (2.2) and  $q^n \equiv 1 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{q^{-k(k-1)}}{[2k]_q} \begin{bmatrix} 2k \\ k \end{bmatrix} &= (1-q) \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{q^{-k(k-1)}}{1-q^{2k}} \begin{bmatrix} 2k \\ k \end{bmatrix} \\
&= (1-q) \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{q^{-k(k-1)}}{1-q^k} \begin{bmatrix} 2k-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} \\
&\equiv (1-q) \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^k q^{k(k+1)/2}}{1-q^k} \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ k \end{bmatrix} \\
&\equiv (q-1) \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^k q^{k(k-1)/2}}{1-q^{n-k}} \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ k \end{bmatrix} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}. \tag{2.5}
\end{aligned}$$

We can rewrite (2.1) as

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^k q^{k(k-1)/2}}{1-q^{n-k}} \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\mathcal{R}_n(q) - 1}{1-q^n}. \tag{2.6}$$

Substituting (2.6) into the right-hand side of (2.5) gives

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{q^{-k(k-1)}}{[2k]_q} \begin{bmatrix} 2k \\ k \end{bmatrix} \equiv \frac{(1-q)(1-\mathcal{R}_n(q))}{1-q^n} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}, \tag{2.7}$$

as desired.  $\square$

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

For  $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} &= \frac{(1-q^n)(1-q^{n-1}) \cdots (1-q^{n-k+1})}{(1-q) \cdots (1-q^k)} \\
&\equiv \frac{(1-q^n)(1-q^{-1}) \cdots (1-q^{-k+1})}{(1-q) \cdots (1-q^k)} \\
&= \frac{(-1)^{k-1} q^{-k(k-1)/2} (1-q^n)}{1-q^k} \\
&\equiv \frac{(-1)^k q^{-k(k+1)/2} (1-q^n)}{1-q^{n-k}} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that  $1 - q^n \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}$ . It follows from (1.2), (2.6) and the above that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\binom{n}{0}\right)_q &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} q^{k^2} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ k \end{bmatrix} \\
&= 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} q^{k^2} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ k \end{bmatrix} \\
&\equiv 1 + (1 - q^n) \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^k q^{k(k-1)/2}}{1 - q^{n-k}} \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ k \end{bmatrix} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2} \\
&= \mathcal{R}_n(q).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

## 4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Note that for  $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ k \end{bmatrix} &= \frac{(1 - q^{2n})(1 - q^{2n-1}) \cdots (1 - q^{2n+1-k})}{(1 - q)(1 - q^2) \cdots (1 - q^k)} \\
&\equiv \frac{2(1 - q^n)(1 - q^{-1}) \cdots (1 - q^{-k+1})}{(1 - q)(1 - q^2) \cdots (1 - q^k)} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2} \\
&= \frac{2(-1)^{k-1}(1 - q^n)q^{-k(k-1)/2}}{1 - q^k}, \tag{4.1}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{bmatrix} 2n-k \\ n+k \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ n \end{bmatrix} \frac{(1 - q^{n-2k+1}) \cdots (1 - q^n)}{(1 - q^{n+1}) \cdots (1 - q^{n+k})(1 - q^{2n-k+1}) \cdots (1 - q^{2n})} \\
&\equiv \frac{(-1)^k q^{-k(3k-1)/2}}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2k-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}, \tag{4.2}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have utilized the fact  $q^n \equiv 1 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}$ .

It follows from (1.2), (4.1) and (4.2) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\binom{2n}{n}\right)_q &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} q^{k(k+n)} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2n-k \\ n+k \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ n \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} q^{k(k+n)} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2n-k \\ n+k \end{bmatrix} \\
&\equiv \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ n \end{bmatrix} - (1-q^n) \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ n \end{bmatrix} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{q^{-k(k-1)}}{1-q^k} \begin{bmatrix} 2k-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ n \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1-q^n}{1-q} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ n \end{bmatrix} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{q^{-k(k-1)}}{[2k]_q} \begin{bmatrix} 2k \\ k \end{bmatrix}. \tag{4.3}
\end{aligned}$$

From (1.6), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ n \end{bmatrix} &\equiv 1 + q^{n^2} \\
&= 2 - (1-q^n)(1+q^n+q^{2n}+\dots+q^{(n-1)n}) \\
&\equiv 2 - n(1-q^n) \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2}. \tag{4.4}
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, substituting (2.3) and (4.4) into the right-hand side of (4.3) gives

$$\left(\binom{2n}{n}\right)_q \equiv 2\mathcal{R}_n(q) - n(1-q^n) \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2},$$

as desired.

**Acknowledgments.** The author would like to thank Ofir Gorodetsky for discussions on  $q$ -trinomial coefficients and useful suggestions regarding the paper. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant 12171370).

## References

- [1] G.E. Andrews, Euler’s “exemplum memorabile inductionis fallacis” and  $q$ -trinomial coefficients, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 3 (1990), 653–669.
- [2] G.E. Andrews,  $q$ -Analogues of the binomial coefficient congruences of Babbage, Wolstenholme and Glaisher, *Discrete Math.* 204 (1999), 15–25.
- [3] G.E. Andrews and R.J. Baxter, Lattice gas generalization of the hard hexagon model III:  $q$ -trinomial coefficients, *J. Stat. Phys.* 47 (1987), 297–330.

- [4] M. Apagodu and J.-C. Liu, Congruence properties for the trinomial coefficients, *Integers* 20 (2020), Art. 38.
- [5] C. Babbage, Demonstration of a theorem relating to prime numbers, *Edinburgh Philosophical J.* 1 (1819), 46–49.
- [6] M. El Bachraoui, On supercongruences for truncated sums of squares of basic hypergeometric series, *Ramanujan J.* 54 (2021), 415–426.
- [7] O. Gorodetsky,  $q$ -Congruences, with applications to supercongruences and the cyclic sieving phenomenon, *Int. J. Number Theory* 15 (2019), 1919–1968.
- [8] V.J.W. Guo,  $q$ -Supercongruences modulo the fourth power of a cyclotomic polynomial via creative microscoping, *Adv. Appl. Math.* 120 (2020), Art. 102078.
- [9] V.J.W. Guo and M.J. Schlosser, A new family of  $q$ -supercongruences modulo the fourth power of a cyclotomic polynomial, *Results Math.* 75 (2020), Art. 155.
- [10] V.J.W. Guo and W. Zudilin, A  $q$ -microscope for supercongruences, *Adv. Math.* 346 (2019), 329–358.
- [11] L. Li and S.-D. Wang, Proof of a  $q$ -supercongruence conjectured by Guo and Schlosser, *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat., Ser. A Mat.* 114 (2020), Art. 190.
- [12] J.-C. Liu, Some finite generalizations of Euler’s pentagonal number theorem, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 142 (2017), 525–531.
- [13] J.-C. Liu, On a congruence involving  $q$ -Catalan numbers, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* 358 (2020), 211–215.
- [14] J.-C. Liu and F. Petrov, Congruences on sums of  $q$ -binomial coefficients, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* 116 (2020), Art. 102003.
- [15] H.-X. Ni and H. Pan, On the lacunary sum of trinomial coefficients, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 339 (2018), 286–293.
- [16] H. Pan, Factors of some lacunary  $q$ -binomial sums, *Monatsh. Math.* 172 (2013), 387–398.
- [17] A.V. Sills, *An invitation to the Rogers-Ramanujan identities*, CRC Press, 2018.
- [18] A. Straub, Supercongruences for polynomial analogs of the Apéry numbers, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 147 (2019), 1023–1036.
- [19] Z.-W. Sun, Super congruences and Euler numbers, *Sci. China Math.* 54 (2011), 2509–2535.
- [20] Z.-W. Sun, Congruences involving generalized central trinomial coefficients, *Sci. China Math.* 57 (2014), 1375–1400.
- [21] R. Tauraso,  $q$ -Analogues of some congruences involving Catalan numbers, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* 48 (2012), 603–614.
- [22] C. Wang and Z.-W. Sun, Congruences involving central trinomial coefficients, preprint (2019), arXiv:1910.06850.
- [23] X. Wang and M. Yue, A  $q$ -analogue of a Dwork-type supercongruence, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 103 (2021), 303–310.
- [24] C. Wei, Some  $q$ -supercongruences modulo the fourth power of a cyclotomic polynomial, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 182 (2021), Art. 105469.
- [25] J. Wolstenholme, On certain properties of prime numbers, *Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math.* 5 (1862), 35–39.
- [26] W. Zudilin, Congruences for  $q$ -binomial coefficients, *Ann. Comb.* 23 (2019), 1123–1135.