

Observational constraints on Tsallis modified gravity

Mahnaz Asghari* and Ahmad Sheykhi†

*Physics Department, College of Sciences, Shiraz University, Shiraz 71454, Iran and
Biruni Observatory, College of Sciences, Shiraz University, Shiraz 71454, Iran*

The thermodynamics-gravity conjecture reveals that one can derive the gravitational field equations by using the first law of thermodynamics and vice versa. Considering the entropy associated with the horizon in the form of non-extensive Tsallis entropy, $S \sim A^\beta$ here we first derive the corresponding gravitational field equations by applying the Clausius relation $\delta Q = T\delta S$ to the horizon. We then construct the Friedmann equations of Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) universe based on Tsallis modified gravity (TMG). Moreover, in order to constrain the cosmological parameters of TMG model, we use observational data, including Planck cosmic microwave background (CMB), weak lensing, supernovae, baryon acoustic oscillations (BAO), and redshift-space distortions (RSD) data. Numerical results indicate that TMG model is more compatible with the low redshift observational data by predicting a lower value for the structure growth parameter σ_8 with respect to Λ CDM model. This implies that TMG model would slightly alleviate the σ_8 tension.

I. INTRODUCTION

Considering the discovery of black hole thermodynamics in 1970 decade [1–3], it has been known that there is a remarkable analogy between thermodynamics and gravity. Accordingly, there should be some deep relationship between thermodynamics and gravitational field equations, which first disclosed by Jacobson in 1995 [4]. He derived the covariant form of the Einstein field equations by using the Clausius relation $\delta Q = T\delta S$, together with proportionality of entropy to the horizon area. Jacobson’s investigation reveals that Einstein equations of general relativity is nothing but an equation of state for the spacetime. Also there are more studies in order to explore the profound connection between the theory of general relativity and the laws of thermodynamics [5–13]. Moreover, it is possible to rewrite the Friedmann equations in the form of the first law of thermodynamics at the apparent horizon of FLRW universe and vice versa [14–22]. The relationship between gravity and thermodynamics is also considered in the context of braneworld cosmology [23–26].

Although there are interesting proposals on disclosing the relationship between gravity and thermodynamics, the nature of this deep connection is not clearly explained. To this aim, Verlinde proposed gravity as an entropic force (rather than a fundamental one) caused by a change in the amount of information associated with the positions of material bodies [27]. Following this holographic scenario, he derived the Newton’s law of gravity and then extended results to relativistic case which yield the Einstein equations. There are more investigations on Verlinde’s entropic force in the literatures [28–43]. On the other hand, Padmanabhan considered spacetime as an emergent structure (rather than a pre-existing background manifold) in addition to treating field equations

as the equations of emergent phenomena [44]. He discussed the spatial expansion of the universe as emergence of space as cosmic time progresses. One can find related explorations on Padmanabhan’s approach in Ref. [45–51].

In the cosmological setup, it has been shown that one can derive the Friedmann equation of FRW universe from the first law of thermodynamics $dE = TdS + WdV$ at apparent horizon [19]. In this relation, S is the entropy associated with the apparent horizon, which has the same expression as the entropy of the black hole in the background gravity theory. The only change needed is replacing the black hole horizon radius r_+ with the apparent horizon radius \tilde{r}_A in the entropy associated with the apparent horizon. Accordingly, T is the associated temperature with the apparent horizon, E is the total energy content of the universe and V is the volume inside the apparent horizon, and W is the work density defined as $W = \frac{1}{2}(\rho - p)$, where ρ and p are the energy density and pressure of matter and energy content in the universe, respectively.

The most familiar relation for the black hole entropy is the Bekenstein-Hawking area law entropy [3, 52]

$$S_{BH} = \frac{A}{4G}, \quad (1)$$

where $A = 4\pi r_+^2$ is the black hole horizon area. It should be noted that area law of black hole entropy does not always hold. For example, two well-known quantum corrections to the area law are logarithmic (arises from the loop quantum gravity) and power-law (appears in dealing with the entanglement of quantum fields inside and outside the horizon) corrections which have been extensively investigated in the literatures [53–63]. On the other hand, it was argued that the Boltzmann-Gibbs (BG) theory is not convincing in divergent partition function systems including large scales gravitational systems [64]. As a result, the BG additive entropy should be generalized to non-additive (non-extensive) entropy for such systems [65–70]. In this regards, and using the statisti-

*Electronic address: mahnaz.asghari@shirazu.ac.ir

†Electronic address: asheykhi@shirazu.ac.ir

cal arguments, Tsallis and Cirto argued that the microscopic mathematical expression of the thermodynamical entropy of a black hole does not obey the area law and should be modified as [21, 71]

$$S = \gamma A^\beta, \quad (2)$$

where γ is a constant, β is called the non-extensive or Tsallis parameter, and A is the black hole horizon area. It should be noted that in the limit $\beta \rightarrow 1$ the area law entropy expression will be recovered. Throughout this paper we set $k_B = c = \hbar = 1$ for simplicity.

In order to derive the modified Friedmann equations from Tsallis entropy at apparent horizon of FLRW universe, we should consider A in relation (2) as the area of apparent horizon defined as

$$A = 4\pi\tilde{r}_A^2, \quad (3)$$

where \tilde{r}_A is the apparent horizon radius [72]

$$\tilde{r}_A = \left(H^2 + \frac{K}{a^2} \right)^{-1/2}, \quad (4)$$

in which H is the Hubble parameter and $K = -1, 0, 1$ is the curvature constant corresponding to open, flat and closed universe, respectively.

This paper is organized as follows. In section II, we derive the corresponding field equations as well as the modified Friedmann equations in the context of TMG model. Section III is dedicated to numerical solutions of TMG model. In section IV we constrain our model with observational data. We summarize our results in section V.

II. MODIFIED GRAVITATIONAL FIELD EQUATIONS FROM TSALLIS ENTROPY

In this approach, we consider a spatially flat homogeneous and isotropic FLRW universe, described by the following line element in the background level

$$ds^2 = a^2(\tau)(-d\tau^2 + d\vec{x}^2), \quad (5)$$

where τ is the conformal time. Moreover, we are interested in scalar perturbations to linear order, so following Ref. [73], the perturbed metric in conformal Newtonian gauge is

$$ds^2 = a^2(\tau)\left(- (1 + 2\Psi)d\tau^2 + (1 - 2\Phi)d\vec{x}^2\right), \quad (6)$$

where Ψ and Φ are gravitational potentials. Also in synchronous gauge we have

$$ds^2 = a^2(\tau)\left(- d\tau^2 + (\delta_{ij} + h_{ij})dx^i dx^j\right), \quad (7)$$

in which $h_{ij} = \text{diag}(-2\eta, -2\eta, h + 4\eta)$, with scalar perturbations h and η . We assume the matter and energy

content of the universe as a perfect fluid with the following energy-momentum tensor

$$T_{\mu\nu} = (\rho + p)u_\mu u_\nu + g_{\mu\nu}p, \quad (8)$$

where $\rho = \bar{\rho} + \delta\rho$ is the energy density, $p = \bar{p} + \delta p$ is the pressure, and u_μ is the four-velocity (and a bar indicates the background level).

In order to derive Einstein field equations from Tsallis entropy, we apply the Clausius relation

$$\delta Q = T\delta S. \quad (9)$$

It is assumed that the Clausius relation is satisfied on a local causal horizon \mathcal{H} (which here it is the apparent horizon).

Let us now consider equation (9) more specifically. The associated temperature with the apparent horizon is given by

$$T = \frac{\kappa}{2\pi}, \quad (10)$$

where κ is the surface gravity at apparent horizon. Also, according to equation (2), δS can be written as

$$\delta S = \gamma\beta A^{\beta-1}\delta A. \quad (11)$$

In order to define δQ , we follow the approach of Ref. [4, 7] which reads

$$\delta Q = -\kappa \int_{\mathcal{H}} \lambda T_{\mu\nu} k^\mu k^\nu d\lambda dA, \quad (12)$$

and also we have

$$\delta A = \int_{\mathcal{H}} \theta d\lambda dA, \quad (13)$$

$$\theta = -\lambda R_{\mu\nu} k^\mu k^\nu. \quad (14)$$

Substituting relations (10),(11) and (12) in equation (9) would result in

$$\begin{aligned} & \kappa \int_{\mathcal{H}} (-\lambda) T_{\mu\nu} k^\mu k^\nu d\lambda dA \\ &= \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \gamma\beta \int_{\mathcal{H}} (-\lambda) R_{\mu\nu} k^\mu k^\nu A^{\beta-1} d\lambda dA, \\ &\rightarrow \int_{\mathcal{H}} (-\lambda) \left(-2\pi T_{\mu\nu} + \gamma\beta R_{\mu\nu} A^{\beta-1} \right) k^\mu k^\nu d\lambda dA = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

So for all null k^μ we should have

$$-2\pi T_{\mu\nu} + \gamma\beta R_{\mu\nu} A^{\beta-1} = f g_{\mu\nu}, \quad (17)$$

in which f is a scalar. Then, the energy-momentum conservation ($\nabla^\mu T_{\mu\nu} = 0$) would impose

$$\nabla^\mu (\gamma\beta R_{\mu\nu} A^{\beta-1} - f g_{\mu\nu}) = 0, \quad (18)$$

which after doing some calculations results in

$$\frac{1}{2}\gamma\beta(\partial_\nu R)A^{\beta-1} + \gamma\beta R_{\mu\nu}\partial^\mu A^{\beta-1} = \partial_\nu f. \quad (19)$$

However, the LHS of equation (19) is not the gradient of a scalar. It reveals that the Clausius relation does not hold due to non-equilibrium thermodynamics. Hence, in order to resolve this contradiction, we use the entropy balance relation [7]

$$\delta S = \frac{\delta Q}{T} + d_i S, \quad (20)$$

where $d_i S$ is the entropy produced inside the system due to irreversible processes [74]. In order to restore the energy-momentum conservation, we choose $d_i S$ as

$$d_i S = \gamma\beta \int_{\mathcal{H}} (-\lambda) \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu A^{\beta-1} k^\mu k^\nu d\lambda dA. \quad (21)$$

Thus, equation (20) reads

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma\beta \int_{\mathcal{H}} (-\lambda) R_{\mu\nu} k^\mu k^\nu A^{\beta-1} d\lambda dA \\ &= 2\pi \int_{\mathcal{H}} (-\lambda) T_{\mu\nu} k^\mu k^\nu d\lambda dA \\ &+ \gamma\beta \int_{\mathcal{H}} (-\lambda) \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu A^{\beta-1} k^\mu k^\nu d\lambda dA, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \rightarrow \int_{\mathcal{H}} (-\lambda) \left(\gamma\beta R_{\mu\nu} A^{\beta-1} - 2\pi T_{\mu\nu} - \gamma\beta \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu A^{\beta-1} \right) \\ & \times k^\mu k^\nu d\lambda dA = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Likewise, for all null k^μ we obtain

$$\gamma\beta R_{\mu\nu} A^{\beta-1} - 2\pi T_{\mu\nu} - \gamma\beta \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu A^{\beta-1} = f g_{\mu\nu}. \quad (24)$$

According to the energy-momentum conservation, we should have

$$\nabla^\mu (\gamma\beta R_{\mu\nu} A^{\beta-1} - \gamma\beta \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu A^{\beta-1} - f g_{\mu\nu}) = 0. \quad (25)$$

Then, considering $\nabla^\mu \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu A^{\beta-1} = \nabla_\nu \square A^{\beta-1} + R_{\zeta\nu} \partial^\zeta A^{\beta-1}$, we get

$$\gamma\beta \left(\frac{1}{2} (\partial_\nu R) A^{\beta-1} - \partial_\nu \square A^{\beta-1} \right) = \partial_\nu f. \quad (26)$$

It is possible to define the scalar \mathcal{L} as $\mathcal{L} = RA^{\beta-1}$, which results in

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial R} &= A^{\beta-1}, \\ \partial_\nu R &= \frac{\partial R}{\partial x^\nu} = \frac{\partial R}{\partial \mathcal{L}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x^\nu} = A^{1-\beta} \partial_\nu \mathcal{L}, \\ &\rightarrow (\partial_\nu R) A^{\beta-1} = \partial_\nu \mathcal{L}. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Thus equation (26) takes the form

$$\gamma\beta \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_\nu \mathcal{L} - \partial_\nu \square A^{\beta-1} \right) = \partial_\nu f, \quad (28)$$

which results in the following equation for the scalar f

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \gamma\beta \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L} - \square A^{\beta-1} \right) \\ &= \gamma\beta \left(\frac{1}{2} RA^{\beta-1} - \square A^{\beta-1} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Therefore, the gravitational field equations based on nonextensive Tsallis entropy (2) take the following form

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu} A^{\beta-1} - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu A^{\beta-1} - \frac{1}{2} RA^{\beta-1} g_{\mu\nu} + \square A^{\beta-1} g_{\mu\nu} \\ = \frac{2\pi}{\gamma\beta} T_{\mu\nu}. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Equation (30) is indeed the modified Einstein field equations, when the entropy associated with the horizon does not obey the area law and instead is given by Eq. (2). When $\beta = 1$, Eq. (30) restores the standard Einstein equations. Given the modified Einstein equations at hand, we are in the position to derive the corresponding modified Friedmann equations in the background of a flat FLRW universe. According to relations (3) and (4), for a spatially flat universe we have

$$A^{\beta-1} = (4\pi)^{\beta-1} \left(\frac{a'}{a^2} \right)^{2-2\beta} = (4\pi)^{\beta-1} H^{2-2\beta}, \quad (31)$$

where a prime indicates a deviation with respect to the conformal time, and $H = a'/a^2$ is the Hubble parameter. The (00) and (ii) components of the modified field equations (30) in a background level are given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{a'}{a^2} \right)^{2-2\beta} \left\{ \left(\frac{a'}{a} \right)^2 + 2(1-\beta) \left(\frac{a''}{a} - 2 \left(\frac{a'}{a} \right)^2 \right) \right\} \\ &= \frac{8\pi G}{3} a^2 \sum_i \bar{\rho}_i, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{a'}{a^2} \right)^{2-2\beta} \left\{ -2 \frac{a''}{a} + \left(\frac{a'}{a} \right)^2 + 2(1-\beta) \left[5 \frac{a''}{a} - 4 \left(\frac{a'}{a} \right)^2 \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - \frac{a'''}{a'} - (1-2\beta) \left(\frac{a''}{a'} - 2 \frac{a'}{a} \right)^2 \right] \right\} = 8\pi G a^2 \sum_i \bar{p}_i, \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where we have taken the energy momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ as defined in equation (8), and also we have defined $\gamma = (4\pi)^{1-\beta}/(4G\beta)$. Here i indicates the component i^{th} of the energy in the universe. In terms of the Hubble

parameter H , the above equations can be written as

$$H^{4-2\beta} + 2(1-\beta)H^{2-2\beta}H'\frac{1}{a} = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \sum_i \bar{\rho}_i, \quad (34)$$

$$H^{2-2\beta} \left\{ 2(\beta-2)H'\frac{1}{a} - 3H^2 - 2(1-\beta) \left((1-2\beta)\frac{H'^2}{H^2} + \frac{H''}{H} \right) \frac{1}{a^2} \right\} = 8\pi G \sum_i \bar{p}_i. \quad (35)$$

Combining Eqs. (34) and (35), one can rewrite the first modified Friedmann equation as

$$H^{4-2\beta} = \frac{1}{4\beta-3} \frac{8\pi G}{3} \sum_i \bar{\rho}_i. \quad (36)$$

Taking into account the definition of the total density parameter $\Omega_{\text{tot}} = \bar{\rho}/\rho_{\text{cr}}$, where $\rho_{\text{cr}} = 3H^2/(8\pi G)$ and

$\bar{\rho} = \sum_i \bar{\rho}_i$, we can further rewrite Eq. (36) in the form

$$\Omega_{\text{tot}} = (4\beta-3)H^{2-2\beta}, \quad (37)$$

which differs from the standard cosmology, unless for the case $\beta = 1$ where we have $\Omega_{\text{tot}} = 1$.

It should be noted that in this model ρ_{tot} includes radiation (R), matter (M) (dark matter (DM) and baryons (B)) and the fluid "f" with a constant equation of state $w_f = \bar{p}_f/\bar{\rho}_f$. Considering the total equation of state $w_{\text{tot}} = \bar{p}_{\text{tot}}/\bar{\rho}_{\text{tot}}$, under the condition $w_{\text{tot}} < (1-2\beta)/3$, the universe would experience an accelerated expansion. Choosing $\beta = 1$, results in $w_{\text{tot}} < -1/3$ which is the condition for an accelerated universe in standard cosmology. However, different values of Tsallis parameter β , can result in different conditions from general relativity, so the universe may undergo an accelerated expansion phase without needing a dark energy component.

Moreover, modified field equations to linear order of perturbations in conformal Newtonian gauge (con) take the form

$$\left(\frac{a'}{a^2}\right)^{2-2\beta} \left\{ 3\frac{a'}{a}\Phi' + k^2\Phi + 3\left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)^2\Psi + 3(1-\beta)\left(\frac{a''}{a'} - 2\frac{a'}{a}\right)\left(\Phi' + 2\Psi\frac{a'}{a}\right) \right\} = -4\pi G a^2 \sum_i \delta\rho_{i(\text{con})}, \quad (38)$$

$$\left(\frac{a'}{a^2}\right)^{2-2\beta} \left\{ k^2\Phi' + \frac{a'}{a}k^2\Psi + (1-\beta)\left(\frac{a''}{a'} - 2\frac{a'}{a}\right)k^2\Psi \right\} = 4\pi G a^2 \sum_i (\bar{\rho}_i + \bar{p}_i)\theta_{i(\text{con})}, \quad (39)$$

$$\Phi = \Psi, \quad (40)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{a'}{a^2}\right)^{2-2\beta} \left\{ 2\frac{a''}{a}\Psi - \left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)^2\Psi + \frac{a'}{a}\Psi' + 2\frac{a'}{a}\Phi' + \Phi'' + \frac{k^2}{3}(\Phi - \Psi) + (1-\beta) \left\{ 2\left(\frac{a''}{a'} - 2\frac{a'}{a}\right)\left(2\frac{a'}{a}\Psi + \Phi'\right) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + 2\Psi \left[(1-2\beta)\left(\frac{a''}{a'} - 2\frac{a'}{a}\right)^2 + \frac{a'''}{a'} - 7\frac{a''}{a} + 8\left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)^2 \right] + \Psi' \left(\frac{a''}{a'} - 2\frac{a'}{a}\right) \right\} \right\} = 4\pi G a^2 \sum_i \delta p_{i(\text{con})}. \quad (41) \end{aligned}$$

Also in synchronous gauge (syn) we have

$$\left(\frac{a'}{a^2}\right)^{2-2\beta} \left\{ \frac{a'}{a}h' - 2k^2\eta + (1-\beta)h'\left(\frac{a''}{a'} - 2\frac{a'}{a}\right) \right\} = 8\pi G a^2 \sum_i \delta\rho_{i(\text{syn})}, \quad (42)$$

$$\left(\frac{a'}{a^2}\right)^{2-2\beta} k^2\eta' = 4\pi G a^2 \sum_i (\bar{\rho}_i + \bar{p}_i)\theta_{i(\text{syn})}, \quad (43)$$

$$\left(\frac{a'}{a^2}\right)^{2-2\beta} \left\{ \frac{1}{2}h'' + 3\eta'' + \frac{a'}{a}h' + 6\frac{a'}{a}\eta' - k^2\eta + 2(1-\beta)\left(\frac{a''}{a'} - 2\frac{a'}{a}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}h' + 3\eta'\right) \right\} = 0, \quad (44)$$

$$\left(\frac{a'}{a^2}\right)^{2-2\beta} \left\{ -2\frac{a'}{a}h' - h'' + 2k^2\eta - 2(1-\beta)\left(\frac{a''}{a'} - 2\frac{a'}{a}\right)h' \right\} = 24\pi G a^2 \sum_i \delta p_{i(\text{syn})}. \quad (45)$$

It can be easily seen that for $\beta = 1$, the standard field equations in Einstein gravity will be restored. In the following we study TMG model in synchronous gauge.

Furthermore, the conservation equations in TMG

model are the same as those in general relativity, so for the matter and the fluid components we have the following conservation equations in the synchronous gauge

$$\delta'_{\text{M}(\text{syn})} = -\theta_{\text{M}(\text{syn})} - \frac{1}{2}h', \quad (46)$$

$$\theta'_{\text{M}(\text{syn})} = -\frac{a'}{a}\theta_{\text{M}(\text{syn})}, \quad (47)$$

$$\delta'_{\text{f}(\text{syn})} = -3\frac{a'}{a}(c_{\text{s,f}}^2 - w_{\text{f}})\delta_{\text{f}(\text{syn})} - \frac{1}{2}h'(1 + w_{\text{f}}) - (1 + w_{\text{f}})\left(1 + 9\left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)^2(c_{\text{s,f}}^2 - c_{\text{a,f}}^2)\frac{1}{k^2}\right)\theta_{\text{f}(\text{syn})}, \quad (48)$$

$$\theta'_{\text{f}(\text{syn})} = \frac{a'}{a}(3c_{\text{s,f}}^2 - 1)\theta_{\text{f}(\text{syn})} + \frac{k^2 c_{\text{s,f}}^2}{1 + w_{\text{f}}}\delta_{\text{f}(\text{syn})}. \quad (49)$$

In order to understand the properties of TMG model more precisely and also constrain the Tsallis parameter β with observational data, we modify the Boltzmann code Cosmic Linear Anisotropy Solving System (CLASS) [75] according to this model. Furthermore, running a Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) analysis through the MONTE PYTHON code [76, 77], provides us with constraints on the cosmological parameters.

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this part, we study TMG model by implementing modified field equations in the CLASS code. Accordingly, we use Planck 2018 data [78] for the cosmological parameters given by $\Omega_{\text{B},0}h^2 = 0.02242$, $\Omega_{\text{DM},0}h^2 = 0.11933$, $H_0 = 67.66 \frac{\text{km}}{\text{sMpc}}$, $A_s = 2.105 \times 10^{-9}$, and $\tau_{\text{reio}} = 0.0561$. Since the nature of fluid is unknown to us, without loss of generality we can consider $w_{\text{f}} = -0.98$ and $c_{\text{s,f}}^2 = 1$.

The cosmic microwave background (CMB) temperature anisotropy and matter power spectra diagrams are shown in figure (1). According to matter power spectra diagrams, there is a decrease in structure growth for TMG model with $\beta < 1$. It is known that there is a discrepancy between local measurements of the structure growth parameter σ_8 [79] and the Planck CMB data [78], which could indicate new physics beyond the standard cosmological model. Thus TMG model with $\beta < 1$ is

consistent with low redshift observational data. This feature of TMG model can be also understood from matter density contrast diagrams in figure (2).

IV. OBSERVATIONAL CONSTRAINTS

In order to explore constraints on cosmological parameters of TMG model, we run an MCMC analysis using the MONTE PYTHON code [76, 77]. The following set of parameters is considered in the MCMC analysis: $\{100\Omega_{\text{B},0}h^2, \Omega_{\text{DM},0}h^2, 100\theta_s, \ln(10^{10}A_s), n_s, \tau_{\text{reio}}, w_{\text{f}}, \beta\}$,

where $\Omega_{\text{B},0}h^2$ and $\Omega_{\text{DM},0}h^2$ are the baryon and cold dark matter densities relative to the critical density respectively, θ_s is the ratio of the sound horizon to the angular diameter distance at decoupling, A_s is the amplitude of the primordial scalar perturbation spectrum, n_s is the scalar spectral index, τ_{reio} is the optical depth to reionization, w_{f} is the fluid equation of state parameter, and β is the Tsallis parameter. Furthermore, we have four derived parameters which are the reionization redshift (z_{reio}), the matter density parameter ($\Omega_{\text{M},0}$), the Hubble constant (H_0), and the root-mean-square mass fluctuations on scales of $8 h^{-1}$ Mpc (σ_8). According to preliminary numerical works, we consider the prior range [0.98, 1.008] for the Tsallis parameter.

In this analysis we use six likelihoods: The Planck likelihood with Planck 2018 data (containing high- l




FIG. 1: The CMB power spectra (upper left) and their relative ratio with respect to the standard model (upper right) for different values of β . Lower panels show the analogous diagrams for the matter power spectrum.




FIG. 2: Matter density contrast diagrams in term of conformal time for different values of β .

TT,TE,EE, low- l EE, low- l TT, and lensing) [78], the Planck-SZ likelihood for the Sunyaev-Zeldovich effect measured by Planck [80, 81], the CFHTLenS likelihood with the weak lensing data [82, 83], the JLA likelihood with the supernovae data [84], the BAO likelihood with the baryon acoustic oscillations (BAO) data [85, 86], and the BAORSRD likelihood for BAO and redshift-space distortions (RSD) measurements [87, 88].

Constraints on the cosmological parameters, considering the combined "Planck + Planck-SZ + CFHTLenS + JLA + BAO + BAORSRD" data set, are displayed in table (I). The triangle plot for selected cosmological parameters of TMG model is illustrated in figure (3). According to our results, TMG model predicts a lower value for the structure growth parameter σ_8 with respect to Λ CDM




FIG. 3: The one-dimensional posterior distribution and two-dimensional posterior contours with 68% and 95% confidence limits from the "Planck + Planck-SZ + CFHTLenS + JLA + BAO + BAORSRD" data set, for the selected cosmological parameters of TMG model.

model. So it seems that TMG model is more compatible with local observational data, with a slight alleviation of

TABLE I: Best fit values and 68% and 95% confidence limits for cosmological parameters from "Planck + Planck-SZ + CFHTLenS + JLA + BAO + BAORS" data set for Λ CDM and TMG model.

parameter	Λ CDM		TMG model	
	best fit	68% & 95% limits	best fit	68% & 95% limits
$100\Omega_{B,0}h^2$	2.252	$2.264^{+0.012+0.025}_{-0.015-0.025}$	2.263	$2.267^{+0.014+0.027}_{-0.012-0.028}$
$\Omega_{DM,0}h^2$	0.1167	$0.1164^{+0.00073+0.0015}_{-0.00082-0.0014}$	0.1159	$0.1160^{+0.00092+0.0018}_{-0.00091-0.0019}$
$100\theta_s$	1.042	$1.042^{+0.00029+0.00056}_{-0.00025-0.00057}$	1.042	$1.042^{+0.00030+0.00057}_{-0.00028-0.00057}$
$\ln(10^{10}A_s)$	3.022	$3.025^{+0.0097+0.023}_{-0.012-0.021}$	3.015	$3.028^{+0.013+0.027}_{-0.014-0.024}$
n_s	0.9721	$0.9714^{+0.0036+0.0069}_{-0.0036-0.0074}$	0.9735	$0.9720^{+0.0043+0.0076}_{-0.0039-0.0082}$
τ_{reio}	0.05107	$0.04981^{+0.0048+0.010}_{-0.0062-0.0098}$	0.04745	$0.05172^{+0.0061+0.011}_{-0.0075-0.012}$
w_f	—	—	-0.9739	$-0.9927^{+0.060+0.11}_{-0.053-0.11}$
β	—	—	0.9999	$0.9997^{+0.00046+0.0010}_{-0.00052-0.00097}$
z_{reio}	7.271	$7.101^{+0.49+1.0}_{-0.63-1.0}$	6.870	$7.290^{+0.68+1.1}_{-0.67-1.3}$
$\Omega_{M,0}$	0.2897	$0.2876^{+0.0040+0.0088}_{-0.0048-0.0084}$	0.2952	$0.2939^{+0.0094+0.021}_{-0.0098-0.020}$
$H_0 [\frac{\text{km}}{\text{s Mpc}}]$	69.33	$69.54^{+0.39+0.71}_{-0.35-0.74}$	68.52	$68.71^{+1.1+2.2}_{-1.1-2.4}$
σ_8	0.8053	$0.8046^{+0.0042+0.0081}_{-0.0047-0.0083}$	0.7893	$0.7963^{+0.012+0.023}_{-0.011-0.023}$

σ_8 tension. Also the derived best fit value of w_f indicates that the fluid "f" has a negative pressure, and so we can conclude that it is a dark energy fluid.

Furthermore, in order to understand which model provides a better fit to observational data, one can use the Akaike information criterion (AIC) defined as [89, 90]

$$\text{AIC} = -2 \ln \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}} + 2K, \quad (50)$$

in which \mathcal{L}_{max} is the maximum likelihood function, and K is the number of free parameters. According to numerical analysis we have $\text{AIC}_{(\Lambda\text{CDM})} = 3504.2$ and $\text{AIC}_{(\text{TMG})} = 3507.16$, which results in $\Delta\text{AIC} = 2.96$. So it can be concluded that the Λ CDM model is more supported by observational data, while the TMG model can not be ruled out.

V. CONCLUSIONS

According to the analogy between thermodynamics and gravity, one can rewrite the Friedmann equation of FLRW universe in the form of the first law of thermodynamics at apparent horizon and vice versa. On the other hand, the Boltzmann-Gibbs (BG) theory is not convincing in gravitational systems which leads us to choose non-additive entropy to study such systems. In the present paper, we have considered the non-additive Tsallis entropy expression at apparent horizon of FLRW universe, to disclose its novel features on cosmological parameters. Accordingly we have derived the gravitational field equations when the entropy associated with the horizon is in the form of Tsallis entropy given in Eq. (2). In the cosmological background the obtained TMG leads to modified Friedmann equations describing the evolution of the universe. Employing numerical analysis, we constrain the cosmological parameters of this model with observational data, based on Planck CMB, weak lensing, supernovae, BAO, and RSD data. Considering our results, the preferred value of σ_8 in TMG model is lower than the one in Λ CDM model, and so TMG model can slightly reduce the well known tension between the Planck CMB and local observations of σ_8 .

-
- [1] J. M. Bardeen, B. Carter, and S. W. Hawking, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **31**, 161 (1973), URL <https://projecteuclid.org:443/euclid.cmp/1103858973>.
- [2] J. D. Bekenstein, *Phys. Rev. D* **7**, 2333 (1973), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.7.2333>.
- [3] S. W. Hawking, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **43**, 199 (1975), URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02345020>.
- [4] T. Jacobson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 1260 (1995), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.1260>.
- [5] T. Padmanabhan, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **19**, 5387 (2002), URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/19/21/306>.
- [6] T. Padmanabhan, *Physics Reports* **406**, 49 (2005), ISSN 0370-1573, URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0370157304004582>.
- [7] C. Eling, R. Guedens, and T. Jacobson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 121301 (2006), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.121301>.
- [8] M. Akbar and R.-G. Cai, *Physics Letters B* **635**, 7 (2006), ISSN 0370-2693, URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0370269306002255>.
- [9] A. Paranjape, S. Sarkar, and T. Padmanabhan, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 104015 (2006), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.104015>.
- [10] T. Padmanabhan and A. Paranjape, *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 064004 (2007), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.064004>.

- [11] M. Akbar and R.-G. Cai, *Physics Letters B* **648**, 243 (2007), ISSN 0370-2693, URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0370269307003036>.
- [12] D. Kothawala, S. Sarkar, and T. Padmanabhan, *Physics Letters B* **652**, 338 (2007), ISSN 0370-2693, URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S037026930700857X>.
- [13] T. Padmanabhan, *Reports on Progress in Physics* **73**, 046901 (2010), URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/73/4/046901>.
- [14] E. Verlinde (2000), arXiv:hep-th/0008140.
- [15] B. Wang, E. Abdalla, and R.-K. Su, *Physics Letters B* **503**, 394 (2001), ISSN 0370-2693, URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0370269301002374>.
- [16] A. V. Frolov and L. Kofman, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **2003**, 009 (2003), URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2003/05/009>.
- [17] U. H. Danielsson, *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 023516 (2005), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.71.023516>.
- [18] R. Bousso, *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 064024 (2005), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.71.064024>.
- [19] M. Akbar and R.-G. Cai, *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 084003 (2007), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.084003>.
- [20] A. Sheykhi, *The European Physical Journal C* **69**, 265 (2010), URL <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-010-1372-9>.
- [21] A. Sheykhi, *Physics Letters B* **785**, 118 (2018), ISSN 0370-2693, URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S037026931830649X>.
- [22] A. Ghoshal and G. Lambiase (2021), arXiv:2104.11296.
- [23] G. Calcagni, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2005**, 060 (2005), URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2005/09/060>.
- [24] A. Sheykhi, B. Wang, and R.-G. Cai, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 023515 (2007), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.023515>.
- [25] A. Sheykhi, B. Wang, and R.-G. Cai, *Nuclear Physics B* **779**, 1 (2007), ISSN 0550-3213, URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S055032130700332X>.
- [26] A. Sheykhi and B. Wang, *Physics Letters B* **678**, 434 (2009), ISSN 0370-2693, URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0370269309007898>.
- [27] E. Verlinde, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2011**, 29 (2011), URL [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04\(2011\)029](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2011)029).
- [28] R.-G. Cai, L.-M. Cao, and N. Ohta, *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 061501 (2010), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.061501>.
- [29] R.-G. Cai, L.-M. Cao, and N. Ohta, *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 084012 (2010), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.084012>.
- [30] Y. S. Myung and Y.-W. Kim, *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 105012 (2010), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.105012>.
- [31] A. Sheykhi, *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 104011 (2010), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.104011>.
- [32] R. Banerjee and B. R. Majhi, *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 124006 (2010), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.124006>.
- [33] Y.-F. Cai, J. Liu, and H. Li, *Physics Letters B* **690**, 213 (2010), ISSN 0370-2693, URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0370269310006258>.
- [34] H. Wei, *Physics Letters B* **692**, 167 (2010), ISSN 0370-2693, URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0370269310008750>.
- [35] C. M. Ho, D. Minic, and Y. J. Ng, *Physics Letters B* **693**, 567 (2010), ISSN 0370-2693, URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0370269310010592>.
- [36] R. A. Konoplya, *The European Physical Journal C* **69**, 555 (2010), URL <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-010-1424-1>.
- [37] V. V. Kiselev and S. A. Timofeev, *Modern Physics Letters A* **26**, 109–118 (2011), ISSN 1793-6632, URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217732311034554>.
- [38] S. H. Hendi and A. Sheykhi, *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 084012 (2011), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.084012>.
- [39] S. H. Hendi and A. Sheykhi, *International Journal of Theoretical Physics* **51**, 1125–1136 (2011), ISSN 1572-9575, URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10773-011-0989-2>.
- [40] S.-W. Wei, Y.-X. Liu, and Y.-Q. Wang, *Communications in Theoretical Physics* **56**, 455 (2011), URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/0253-6102/56/3/11>.
- [41] A. Sheykhi, *International Journal of Theoretical Physics* **51**, 185 (2012), URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10773-011-0892-x>.
- [42] A. Sheykhi and Z. Teimoori, *General Relativity and Gravitation* **44**, 1129–1141 (2012), ISSN 1572-9532, URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10714-012-1330-y>.
- [43] A. Sheykhi and K. R. Sarab, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **2012**, 012 (2012), URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2012/10/012>.
- [44] T. Padmanabhan (2012), arXiv:1206.4916.
- [45] A. Sheykhi, M. Dehghani, and S. Hosseini, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **2013**, 038 (2013), URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2013/04/038>.
- [46] F.-Q. Tu and Y.-X. Chen, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **2013**, 024 (2013), URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2013/05/024>.
- [47] Y. Ling and W.-J. Pan, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 043518 (2013), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.043518>.
- [48] M. Eune and W. Kim, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 067303 (2013), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.067303>.
- [49] A. Sheykhi, M. Dehghani, and S. Hosseini, *Physics Letters B* **726**, 23 (2013), ISSN 0370-2693, URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0370269313006746>.
- [50] W.-Y. Ai, X.-R. Hu, H. Chen, and J.-B. Deng, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 084019 (2013), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.084019>.
- [51] F.-Q. Tu and Y.-X. Chen, *General Relativity and Gravitation* **47**, 87 (2015), URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10714-015-1927-z>.

- [52] S. W. Hawking, *Nature* **248**, 30 (1974), URL <https://doi.org/10.1038/248030a0>.
- [53] C. Rovelli, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 3288 (1996), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3288>.
- [54] R. B. Mann and S. N. Solodukhin, *Phys. Rev. D* **55**, 3622 (1997), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.55.3622>.
- [55] A. Ashtekar, J. Baez, A. Corichi, and K. Krasnov, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 904 (1998), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.80.904>.
- [56] R. K. Kaul and P. Majumdar, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 5255 (2000), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.5255>.
- [57] S. Das, P. Majumdar, and R. K. Bhaduri, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **19**, 2355 (2002), URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/19/9/302>.
- [58] S. Das, S. Shankaranarayanan, and S. Sur, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 064013 (2008), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.064013>.
- [59] R. Banerjee and B. R. Majhi, *Physics Letters B* **662**, 62 (2008), ISSN 0370-2693, URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0370269308002566>.
- [60] R. Banerjee and B. R. Majhi, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2008**, 095 (2008), URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/06/095>.
- [61] S. Das, S. Shankaranarayanan, and S. Sur (2008), arXiv:0806.0402.
- [62] J. Zhang, *Physics Letters B* **668**, 353 (2008), ISSN 0370-2693, URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0370269308011052>.
- [63] N. Radicella and D. Pavón, *Physics Letters B* **691**, 121 (2010), ISSN 0370-2693, URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0370269310007501>.
- [64] J. W. Gibbs, *Elementary Principles in Statistical Mechanics: Developed with Especial Reference to the Rational Foundation of Thermodynamics*, Cambridge Library Collection - Mathematics (Cambridge University Press, 2010).
- [65] C. Tsallis, *Journal of Statistical Physics* **52**, 479 (1988), URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01016429>.
- [66] M. L. Lyra and C. Tsallis, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 53 (1998), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.80.53>.
- [67] C. Tsallis, R. Mendes, and A. Plastino, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **261**, 534 (1998), ISSN 0378-4371, URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378437198004373>.
- [68] C. Tsallis, *Entropy* **13**, 1765–1804 (2011), ISSN 1099-4300, URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/e13101765>.
- [69] C. Tsallis (2012), arXiv:1202.3178.
- [70] R. da C. Nunes, E. M. B. J. au2, E. M. C. Abreu, and J. A. Neto (2014), arXiv:1403.5706.
- [71] C. Tsallis and L. J. L. Cirto, *The European Physical Journal C* **73**, 2487 (2013), ISSN 1434-6052, URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2487-6>.
- [72] D. Bak and S.-J. Rey, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **17**, L83 (2000), URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/17/15/101>.
- [73] C.-P. Ma and E. Bertschinger, *The Astrophysical Journal* **455**, 7 (1995), ISSN 1538-4357, URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/176550>.
- [74] S. De Groot and P. Mazur, *Non-equilibrium Thermodynamics*, Dover books on physics and chemistry (North-Holland Publishing Company, 1962), ISBN 9780444101730, URL <https://books.google.ae/books?id=3b-wAAAAIAAJ>.
- [75] D. Blas, J. Lesgourgues, and T. Tram, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **2011**, 034 (2011), URL <http://stacks.iop.org/1475-7516/2011/i=07/a=034>.
- [76] B. Audren, J. Lesgourgues, K. Benabed, and S. Prunet, *JCAP* **1302**, 001 (2013), 1210.7183.
- [77] T. Brinckmann and J. Lesgourgues (2018), arXiv:1804.07261.
- [78] N. A. et al., *Astronomy & Astrophysics* **641**, A6 (2020), ISSN 1432-0746, URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833910>.
- [79] S. W. Allen, R. W. Schmidt, A. C. Fabian, and H. Ebeling, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **342**, 287 (2003), URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2003.06550.x>.
- [80] P. A. R. A. et al. (Planck Collaboration), *Astron. Astrophys.* **594**, A24 (2016), 1502.01597.
- [81] P. A. R. A. et al. (Planck Collaboration), *Astron. Astrophys.* **571**, A20 (2014), 1303.5080.
- [82] M. K. et al., *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **430**, 2200 (2013), URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stt041>.
- [83] C. H. et al., *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **432**, 2433 (2013), URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stt601>.
- [84] M. B. et al., *Astron. Astrophys.* **568**, A22 (2014), 1401.4064.
- [85] F. B. et al., *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **416**, 3017 (2011), URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.19250.x>.
- [86] L. A. et al., *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **441**, 24 (2014), URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stu523>.
- [87] S. A. et al., *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **470**, 2617 (2017), URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx721>.
- [88] M. A. Buen-Abad, M. Schmaltz, J. Lesgourgues, and T. Brinckmann, *JCAP* **1801**, 008 (2018), 1708.09406.
- [89] H. Akaike, *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control* **19**, 716 (1974).
- [90] K. Burnham and D. Anderson, *Model Selection and Multimodel Inference: A Practical Information-Theoretic Approach* (Springer Verlag, 2002).