

QUILLEN CONNECTION AND THE UNIFORMIZATION OF RIEMANN SURFACES

INDRANIL BISWAS, FILIPPO FRANCESCO FAVALE, GIAN PIETRO PIROLA,
AND SARA TORELLI

ABSTRACT. The Quillen connection on $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g$, where \mathcal{L}^* is the Hodge line bundle over the moduli stack of smooth complex projective curves \mathcal{M}_g , $g \geq 5$, is uniquely determined by the condition that its curvature is the Weil–Petersson form on \mathcal{M}_g . The bundle of holomorphic connections on \mathcal{L} has a unique holomorphic isomorphism with the bundle on \mathcal{M}_g given by the moduli stack of projective structures. This isomorphism takes the C^∞ section of the first bundle given by the Quillen connection on \mathcal{L} to the C^∞ section of the second bundle given by the uniformization theorem. Therefore, any one of these two sections determines the other uniquely.

1. INTRODUCTION

A holomorphic Ω_Z^1 -torsor over a complex manifold Z is a holomorphic fiber bundle \mathcal{E} over Z on which the holomorphic cotangent bundle Ω_Z^1 acts satisfying the condition that the map

$$\mathcal{E} \times_Z \Omega_Z^1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \times_Z \mathcal{E},$$

constructed using the action map $\mathcal{E} \times_Z \Omega_Z^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ and the identity map of \mathcal{E} , is a biholomorphism. This notion extends to smooth Deligne–Mumford stacks.

Here we investigate two natural holomorphic $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1$ -torsors on the moduli stack \mathcal{M}_g of smooth complex projective curves of genus g (throughout we assume that $g \geq 5$). The first $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1$ -torsor is given by the moduli stack \mathcal{P}_g of genus g surfaces equipped with a projective structure. We recall that the projective structures on a Riemann surface X is an affine space modeled on $H^0(X, K_X^{\otimes 2}) = (\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1)_X$. The second $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1$ -torsor is given by the sheaf of holomorphic connections $\text{Conn}(\mathcal{L})$ on the dual \mathcal{L} of the Hodge line bundle on \mathcal{M}_g . We recall that the space of holomorphic connections on $\mathcal{L}|_U$, where $U \subset \mathcal{M}_g$ is an affine open subset, is an affine space modeled on the vector space $H^0(U, \Omega_U^1)$.

The uniformization theorem gives a C^∞ section

$$\Psi : \mathcal{M}_g \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_g.$$

On the other hand, the holomorphic line bundle \mathcal{L} has a complex connection associated to the Quillen metric on it. This Quillen connection is uniquely determined, among all complex connections on \mathcal{L} , by the property that its curvature is

$$\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{6\pi} \omega_{WP},$$

where ω_{WP} is the Weil–Petersson form on \mathcal{M}_g (see Corollary 2.2).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30F10, 14H15, 53C07, 53B10.

Key words and phrases. Uniformization, projective structure, Quillen connection, torsor.

We construct from $\text{Conn}(\mathcal{L})$ a new holomorphic $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1$ -torsor simply by scaling the action of $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1$. More precisely, if

$$A : \text{Conn}(\mathcal{L}) \times_{\mathcal{M}_g} \Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1 \longrightarrow \text{Conn}(\mathcal{L})$$

is the action of $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1$ on $\text{Conn}(\mathcal{L})$, then define the following new holomorphic action of $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1$ on the same holomorphic fiber bundle $\text{Conn}(\mathcal{L})$:

$$A^t : \text{Conn}(\mathcal{L}) \times_{\mathcal{M}_g} \Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1 \longrightarrow \text{Conn}(\mathcal{L}), \quad (z, v) \longmapsto A \left(z, \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{6\pi} \cdot v \right).$$

The resulting holomorphic $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1$ -torsor $(\text{Conn}(\mathcal{L}), A^t)$ will be denoted by $\text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})$.

Let

$$\Phi : \mathcal{M}_g \longrightarrow \text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L}) = \text{Conn}(\mathcal{L})$$

be the C^∞ section given by the Quillen connection on \mathcal{L} .

We prove the following (see Theorem 3.1):

Theorem 1.1.

- (1) *There is exactly one holomorphic isomorphism between the $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1$ -torsors $\text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})$ and \mathcal{P}_g .*
- (2) *The holomorphic isomorphism between the $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1$ -torsors $\text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})$ and \mathcal{P}_g takes the above section Φ of $\text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})$ to the section Ψ of \mathcal{P}_g given by the uniformization theorem.*

We note that from Theorem 1.1 it follows that each of the sections Φ and Ψ determines the other uniquely.

2. QUILLEN METRIC ON A LINE BUNDLE

For $g \geq 5$, let \mathcal{M}_g denote the moduli stack of smooth complex projective curves of genus g . It is an irreducible smooth complex quasiprojective orbifold of dimension $3(g-1)$ [DM]. Moreover, \mathcal{M}_g has a natural Kähler structure

$$\omega_{WP} \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M}_g, \Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^{1,1}) \tag{2.1}$$

which is known as the *Weil–Petersson form*.

A torsor over \mathcal{M}_g for the holomorphic cotangent bundle $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1$ is a fiber bundle

$$\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_g$$

together with a morphism

$$\varpi : \mathcal{E} \times_{\mathcal{M}_g} \Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}$$

such that

- ϖ_X is an action of the vector space $(\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1)_X$ on the fiber \mathcal{E}_X for every $X \in \mathcal{M}_g$, and
- the map of fiber products

$$\mathcal{E} \times_{\mathcal{M}_g} \Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \times_{\mathcal{M}_g} \mathcal{E}, \quad (e, v) \longmapsto (e, \varpi(e, v))$$

is an isomorphism.

Let

$$\varphi : \mathcal{C}_g \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_g \quad (2.2)$$

be the universal curve. The line bundle on \mathcal{M}_g

$$\mathcal{L} := \det R^1 \varphi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_g} = \bigwedge^g R^1 \varphi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_g}$$

is a generator of $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{M}_g) = \mathbb{Z}$ [AC, p. 154, Theorem 1].

Let $\text{Conn}(\mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_g$ be the holomorphic fiber bundle given by the sheaf of holomorphic connections on \mathcal{L} . We will briefly recall the construction of $\text{Conn}(\mathcal{L})$. Consider the Atiyah exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_g} = \text{Diff}^0(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow \text{At}(\mathcal{L}) := \text{Diff}^1(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{p_0} T\mathcal{M}_g \longrightarrow 0, \quad (2.3)$$

where $\text{Diff}^i(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L})$ is the holomorphic vector bundle over \mathcal{M}_g corresponding to the sheaf of holomorphic differential operators of order i from \mathcal{L} to itself, $T\mathcal{M}_g$ is the holomorphic tangent bundle of \mathcal{M}_g , and p_0 is the symbol map. For any open subset $U \subset \mathcal{M}_g$, giving a holomorphic connection on $\mathcal{L}|_U$ is equivalent to giving a holomorphic splitting of (2.3) over U [At]. Let

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1 \longrightarrow \text{At}(\mathcal{L})^* \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_g} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (2.4)$$

be the dual of the sequence in (2.3). Let $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}_g} : \mathcal{M}_g \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_g}$ denote the section given by the constant function 1 on \mathcal{M}_g . Then

$$\text{At}(\mathcal{L})^* \supset \beta^{-1}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}_g}(\mathcal{M}_g)) =: \text{Conn}(\mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{M}_g \quad (2.5)$$

where β is the projection in (2.4). From (2.4) it follows immediately that $\text{Conn}(\mathcal{L})$ is a holomorphic torsor over \mathcal{M}_g for the holomorphic cotangent bundle $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1$. In particular, for any $X \in \mathcal{M}_g$ the complex vector space $(\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1)_X$ acts freely transitively on the fiber of $\text{Conn}(\mathcal{L})$ over X . Let

$$A : \text{Conn}(\mathcal{L}) \times_{\mathcal{M}_g} \Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1 \longrightarrow \text{Conn}(\mathcal{L}) \quad (2.6)$$

be the holomorphic map giving the torsor structure. For any C^∞ $(1, 0)$ -form η on \mathcal{M}_g , let

$$A_\eta : \text{Conn}(\mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow \text{Conn}(\mathcal{L}), \quad z \longmapsto A(z, \eta(\phi(z))) \quad (2.7)$$

be the C^∞ automorphism of $\text{Conn}(\mathcal{L})$ over \mathcal{M}_g , where ϕ and A are the maps in (2.5) and (2.6) respectively.

A *complex connection* on \mathcal{L} is a C^∞ connection ∇ on \mathcal{L} such that the $(0, 1)$ -component $\nabla^{0,1}$ of ∇ coincides with the Dolbeault operator on \mathcal{L} that defines the holomorphic structure of \mathcal{L} . The space of complex connections on \mathcal{L} is in a natural bijection with the space of C^∞ sections $\mathcal{M}_g \longrightarrow \text{Conn}(\mathcal{L})$ of the projection ϕ in (2.5). There is a tautological holomorphic connection D^0 on the line bundle $\phi^* \mathcal{L}$, whose curvature $\Theta = \text{Curv}(D^0)$ is a holomorphic symplectic form on $\text{Conn}(\mathcal{L})$ (see [BHS, p. 372, Proposition 3.3]). Any complex connection ∇ on \mathcal{L} satisfies the equation

$$\nabla = f_\nabla^* D^0,$$

where $f_\nabla : \mathcal{M}_g \longrightarrow \text{Conn}(\mathcal{L})$ is the C^∞ section corresponding to ∇ . Consequently, the curvature $\text{Curv}(\nabla)$ of ∇ satisfies the equation

$$\text{Curv}(\nabla) = f_\nabla^* \Theta. \quad (2.8)$$

We also have

$$A_\eta^* \Theta = \Theta + d\eta, \quad (2.9)$$

where A_η is the map in (2.7).

Given a Hermitian structure h_1 on \mathcal{L} , there is a unique complex connection on \mathcal{L} that preserves h_1 [Ko, p. 11, Proposition 4.9]; it is known as the *Chern connection*.

Equip the family of Riemann surfaces \mathcal{C}_g in (2.2) with the relative Poincaré metric. Also, equip $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_g}$ with the trivial (constant) Hermitian structure; the pointwise norm of the constant section with value c is the constant $|c|$. These two together produce a Hermitian structure h_Q on \mathcal{L} , which is known as the Quillen metric [Qu], [BGS]. Let

$$\text{Curv}(\nabla^Q) \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M}_g, \Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^{1,1})$$

be the curvature of the Chern connection ∇^Q on \mathcal{L} for the Hermitian structure h_Q ; this ∇^Q is known as the *Quillen connection*. Then

$$\text{Curv}(\nabla^Q) = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{6\pi} \omega_{WP}, \quad (2.10)$$

where ω_{WP} is the Kähler form in (2.1) [ZT1, p. 184, Theorem 2]; a much more general result is proved in [BGS, p. 51, Theorem 0.1] from which (2.10) follows immediately. Let

$$\Phi : \mathcal{M}_g \longrightarrow \text{Conn}(\mathcal{L}) \quad (2.11)$$

be the C^∞ section of the projection ϕ in (2.5) given by the above Quillen connection ∇^Q .

Lemma 2.1. *There is exactly one complex connection ∇ on \mathcal{L} such that the curvature $\text{Curv}(\nabla)$ of ∇ satisfies the equation*

$$\text{Curv}(\nabla) = = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{6\pi} \omega_{WP}.$$

Proof. From (2.10) we know that the Quillen connection ∇^Q satisfies this equation. Let ∇ be another connection on \mathcal{L} satisfying this condition. Consider the C^∞ $(1, 0)$ -form $\eta_0 = \nabla^Q - \nabla$ on \mathcal{M}_g . From (2.9) and (2.8) it follows that $d\eta_0 = \text{Curv}(\nabla^Q) - \text{Curv}(\nabla) = 0$.

It is known that \mathcal{M}_g does not admit any nonzero closed $(1, 0)$ -form (see [Mu, p. 228, Theorem 2], [Ha, Lemma 1.1]). In fact, \mathcal{M}_g does not admit any nonzero holomorphic 1-form [FPT, Theorem 3.1]; recall that $g \geq 5$. So we have $\eta_0 = 0$, and hence $\nabla^Q = \nabla$. \square

Lemma 2.1 has the following immediate consequence:

Corollary 2.2. *The curvature equation (2.10) uniquely determines the Quillen connection ∇^Q among the space of all complex connections on \mathcal{L} .*

2.1. Projective structures and uniformization. Take any smooth complex projective curve X . A holomorphic coordinate chart on X is a pair of the form (U, f) , where $U \subset X$ is an analytic open subset and $f : U \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$ is a holomorphic embedding. A holomorphic coordinate atlas on X is a collection of coordinate charts $\{(U_i, f_i)\}_{i \in I}$ such that

$$X = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i.$$

A projective structure on X is given by a holomorphic coordinate atlas $\{(U_i, f_i)\}_{i \in I}$ satisfying the condition that for every $i, j \in I \times I$ with $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$, and every connected

component $V_c \subset U_i \cap U_j$, there is an element $A_{j,i}^c \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{CP}^1) = \text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ such that the map $(f_j \circ f_i^{-1})|_{f_i(V_c)}$ is the restriction of the automorphism $A_{j,i}^c$ of \mathbb{CP}^1 to the open subset $f_i(V_c)$. Two holomorphic coordinate atlases $\{(U_i, f_i)\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{(U_i, f_i)\}_{i \in I'}$ satisfying the above condition are called *equivalent* if their union $\{(U_i, f_i)\}_{i \in I \cup I'}$ also satisfies the above condition. A *projective structure* on X is an equivalence class of holomorphic coordinate atlases satisfying the above condition (see [Gu]).

Take the extension E

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow TX \longrightarrow 0$$

corresponding to $1 \in H^1(X, K_X) = \mathbb{C}$. Note that there is exactly one nontrivial extension of TX by \mathcal{O}_X up to the scalings of \mathcal{O}_X . Giving a projective structure on X is equivalent to giving a holomorphic connection on the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(E)$. More precisely, projective structures on X are identified with the quotient of the space of all holomorphic connections on $\mathbb{P}(E)$ by the group of all holomorphic automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}(E)$ [BR], [Gu]. From this it follows that the space of all projective structures on X is an affine space modeled on $H^0(X, K_X^{\otimes 2}) = (\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1)_X$; see [Gu], [BR]. Let \mathcal{P}_g denote the space of all pairs (X, ρ) , where $X \in \mathcal{M}_g$ and ρ is a projective structure on X . From the above description of projective structures on X in terms of the holomorphic connections on $\mathbb{P}(E)$ it follows that \mathcal{P}_g has a natural structure of a Deligne–Mumford stack. Let

$$\psi : \mathcal{P}_g \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_g \tag{2.12}$$

be the natural projection. We note that \mathcal{P}_g is a holomorphic torsor over \mathcal{M}_g for the cotangent bundle $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1$.

Every Riemann surface admits a projective structure. In fact, the uniformization theorem produces a projective structure, because the automorphism groups of \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{CP}^1 and the upper-half plane \mathbb{H} are all contained in $\text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. Consequently, the uniformization theorem produces a C^∞ section

$$\Psi : \mathcal{M}_g \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_g \tag{2.13}$$

of the projection ψ in (2.12). (See [BCFP] for another canonical section of \mathcal{P}_g .)

3. HOLOMORPHIC ISOMORPHISM OF TORSORS

We will construct a new holomorphic $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1$ -torsor from $\text{Conn}(\mathcal{L})$ in (2.5) by simply scaling the action of $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1$, while keeping the holomorphic fiber bundle unchanged. Define

$$A^t : \text{Conn}(\mathcal{L}) \times_{\mathcal{M}_g} \Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1 \longrightarrow \text{Conn}(\mathcal{L}), \quad (z, v) \longmapsto A \left(z, \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{6\pi} \cdot v \right), \tag{3.1}$$

where A is the map in (2.6); the map A^t is holomorphic because A is so. The resulting holomorphic $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1$ -torsor $(\text{Conn}(\mathcal{L}), A^t)$ will be denoted by $\text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})$. This $\text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})$ can be interpreted as the bundle of connections on the (nonexistent) line bundle $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{6\pi}}$.

The C^∞ section of $\text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})$ given by the section Φ (in (2.11)) of $\text{Conn}(\mathcal{L})$ will also be denoted by Φ . Since the two holomorphic fiber bundles $\text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})$ and $\text{Conn}(\mathcal{L})$ coincide, this should not cause any confusion. For the same reason the projection of $\text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})$ to \mathcal{M}_g will be denoted by ϕ (as in (2.5)).

A C^∞ isomorphism between the $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1$ -torsors $\text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})$ and \mathcal{P}_g (constructed in (2.12)) is a diffeomorphism

$$F : \text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_g$$

such that

- (1) $\psi \circ F = \phi$, where ψ is the projection in (2.12), and
- (2) $F(c + w) = F(c) + w$, for all $c \in \text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})_X$, $w \in (\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1)_X$ and $X \in \mathcal{M}_g$.

A holomorphic isomorphism between the $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1$ -torsors $\text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})$ and \mathcal{P}_g is a C^∞ isomorphism F as above satisfying the condition that F is a biholomorphism.

Theorem 3.1.

- (1) *There is exactly one holomorphic isomorphism between the $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1$ -torsors $\text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})$ and \mathcal{P}_g .*
- (2) *The holomorphic isomorphism between the $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1$ -torsors $\text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})$ and \mathcal{P}_g takes the section Φ in (2.11) to the section Ψ in (2.13).*

Proof. We will first prove that there is at most one holomorphic isomorphism between the two $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1$ -torsors $\text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})$ and \mathcal{P}_g . To prove this, for $i = 1, 2$, let

$$F_i : \text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_g$$

be a holomorphic isomorphism. Consider the difference

$$F_1 - F_2 : \text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1, \quad c \longmapsto F_1(c) - F_2(c)$$

defined using the $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1$ -torsor structure on \mathcal{P}_g . Since $F_i(c + w) = F_i(c) + w$ for all $c \in \text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})_X$, $w \in (\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1)_X$ and $X \in \mathcal{M}_g$, we conclude that

$$(F_1 - F_2)(c + w) = (F_1 - F_2)(c).$$

Consequently, $F_1 - F_2$ descends to a holomorphic 1-form on \mathcal{M}_g . But there is no nonzero holomorphic 1-form on \mathcal{M}_g [FPT, Theorem 3.1]; recall that $g \geq 5$. This implies that $F_1 = F_2$. In other words, there is at most one holomorphic isomorphism between the two $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1$ -torsors $\text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})$ and \mathcal{P}_g .

We will now construct a C^∞ isomorphism \mathbb{F} between the two $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1$ -torsors $\text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})$ and \mathcal{P}_g . Take any $X \in \mathcal{M}_g$ and any $c \in \phi^{-1}(X) \subset \text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L}) = \text{Conn}(\mathcal{L})$, where ϕ as before is the projection of $\text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})$ to \mathcal{M}_g . So $c = \Phi(X) + w$, where Φ is the section in (2.11) and $w \in (\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1)_X$. Now define

$$\mathbb{F}(c) = \Psi(X) + w,$$

where Ψ is the section in (2.13). This produces a map

$$\mathbb{F} : \text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_g. \tag{3.2}$$

It is straightforward to check that this map \mathbb{F} is a C^∞ isomorphism between the two $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1$ -torsors $\text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})$ and \mathcal{P}_g .

It is evident that $\mathbb{F}(\Phi(\mathcal{M}_g)) = \Psi(\mathcal{M}_g)$.

To complete the proof we need to show that \mathbb{F} is a biholomorphism.

The real tangent bundles of $\text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})$ and \mathcal{P}_g will be denoted by $T^{\mathbb{R}}\text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})$ and $T^{\mathbb{R}}\mathcal{P}_g$ respectively. Let

$$d\mathbb{F} : T^{\mathbb{R}}\text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}^*T^{\mathbb{R}}\mathcal{P}_g$$

be the differential of the map \mathbb{F} in (3.2). Let J_C (respectively, J_P) be the almost complex structure on $\text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})$ (respectively, \mathcal{P}_g). Since \mathbb{F} is a diffeomorphism, to prove that \mathbb{F} is a biholomorphism it is enough to show that

$$d\mathbb{F} \circ J_C = (\mathbb{F}^*J_P) \circ (d\mathbb{F}) \quad (3.3)$$

as maps from $T^{\mathbb{R}}\text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})$ to $\mathbb{F}^*T^{\mathbb{R}}\mathcal{P}_g$; the automorphism of $\mathbb{F}^*T^{\mathbb{R}}\mathcal{P}_g$ given by the automorphism J_P of $T^{\mathbb{R}}\mathcal{P}_g$ is denoted by \mathbb{F}^*J_P .

Take any point $X \in \mathcal{M}_g$. The restriction of \mathbb{F} to $\phi^{-1}(X) \subset \text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})$ is a biholomorphism with $\psi^{-1}(X)$, where ψ is the map in (2.12). More precisely, this restriction is an isomorphism of affine spaces modeled on the vector space $(\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1)_X$. Therefore, the equation in (3.3) holds for the subbundle of $T^{\mathbb{R}}\text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})$ given by the relative tangent bundle for the projection ϕ to \mathcal{M}_g .

For convenience, the image in $\text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})$ of the map Φ (see (2.11)) will be denoted by Y . Let

$$\iota : Y \hookrightarrow \text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L}) \quad (3.4)$$

be the inclusion map. Since Φ is just a C^∞ section, this Y does not inherit any complex structure from $\text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})$. Note that Y can be given a complex structure, because the restriction of the projection ϕ (see (2.5)) to Y is a diffeomorphism of Y with \mathcal{M}_g , so the complex structure on \mathcal{M}_g produces a complex structure on Y . It should be clarified that for this complex structure on Y the inclusion map ι in (3.4) is not holomorphic, because the section Φ is not holomorphic.

Using the differential $d\iota : T^{\mathbb{R}}Y \longrightarrow \iota^*T^{\mathbb{R}}\text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})$ of the embedding ι in (3.4) the tangent bundle $T^{\mathbb{R}}Y$ is realized as a C^∞ subbundle of $\iota^*T^{\mathbb{R}}\text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})$. So we have

$$T^{\mathbb{R}}Y \subset \iota^*T^{\mathbb{R}}\text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L}) \subset T^{\mathbb{R}}\text{Conn}(\mathcal{L}). \quad (3.5)$$

To prove (3.3), take any point $\gamma \in \text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})$, and any tangent vector

$$v \in T_\gamma^{\mathbb{R}}\text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L}) \quad (3.6)$$

at γ . We noted earlier that (3.3) holds for the relative tangent bundle for the projection ϕ to \mathcal{M}_g . So we assume that v is not vertical for the projection ϕ .

Denote $\phi(\gamma) \in \mathcal{M}_g$ by z , and also denote $\Phi(z) \in \text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})$ by δ . So we have

$$w_0 := \gamma - \delta \in (\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1)_z \quad (3.7)$$

using the $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1$ -torsor structure of $\text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})$ (see (3.1)). Let $\tilde{v} \in T_z^{\mathbb{R}}\mathcal{M}_g$ be the image of v in (3.6) by the differential $d\phi$ of ϕ . Let

$$u \in T_\delta^{\mathbb{R}}Y \quad (3.8)$$

be the image of \tilde{v} by the differential $d\Phi$ of Φ .

Take a holomorphic 1-form w defined on some analytic neighborhood U of $z \in \mathcal{M}_g$. Then w defines a biholomorphism

$$\mathcal{T}_w : \phi^{-1}(U) \longrightarrow \phi^{-1}(U), \quad \alpha \longmapsto \alpha + w(\phi(\alpha)); \quad (3.9)$$

here the $\Omega^1_{\mathcal{M}_g}$ -torsor structure of $\text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})$ is used. Now choose the 1-form w such that

- $w(z) = w_0$; see (3.7) (this condition is clearly equivalent to the condition that $\mathcal{T}_w(\delta) = \gamma$), and
- the differential $d\mathcal{T}_w$ of \mathcal{T}_w takes u in (3.8) to v (see (3.6)).

Note that since v is not vertical for the projection ϕ , such a 1-form w exists. We have the following biholomorphism of $\psi^{-1}(U) \subset \mathcal{P}_g$, where ψ is the projection in (2.12):

$$\mathcal{T}_w^1 : \psi^{-1}(U) \longrightarrow \psi^{-1}(U), \quad \alpha \longmapsto \alpha + w(\psi(\alpha)).$$

From the construction of \mathbb{F} in (3.2) it follows immediately that

$$\mathbb{F} \circ \mathcal{T}_w = \mathcal{T}_w^1 \circ \mathbb{F} \tag{3.10}$$

as maps from $\phi^{-1}(U) \subset \text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})$ to $\psi^{-1}(U) \subset \mathcal{P}_g$.

Since \mathcal{T}_w (respectively, \mathcal{T}_w^1) is a biholomorphism, its differential $d\mathcal{T}_w$ (respectively, $d\mathcal{T}_w^1$) preserves the almost complex structure J_C (respectively, J_P) on $\phi^{-1}(U)$ (respectively, $\psi^{-1}(U)$). Therefore, from (3.10) we conclude the following:

Take any point $\mu \in \phi^{-1}(U) \subset \text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})$. Then (3.3) holds for all vectors in the tangent space $T_\mu^{\mathbb{R}}\text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})$ if and only if (3.3) holds for all vectors in the tangent space $T_{\mu+w(\phi(\mu))}^{\mathbb{R}}\text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})$, where w is the above holomorphic 1-form. More precisely, (3.3) holds for a tangent vector $v_0 \in T_\mu^{\mathbb{R}}\text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})$ if and only if (3.3) holds for

$$(d\mathcal{T}_w)(v_0) \in T_{\mu+w(\phi(\mu))}^{\mathbb{R}}\text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L}),$$

where $d\mathcal{T}_w : T^{\mathbb{R}}\phi^{-1}(U) \longrightarrow T^{\mathbb{R}}\phi^{-1}(U)$ is the differential of the map \mathcal{T}_w in (3.9).

Setting $\mu = \delta$ and $v_0 = u$ (see (3.7) and (3.8)) in the above statement we obtain that (3.3) holds for $u \in T_\delta^{\mathbb{R}}Y \subset T_\delta\text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})$ if and only if (3.3) holds for $v \in T_\gamma\text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})$ in (3.6).

Consequently, to prove (3.3) it suffices to establish it for all tangent vectors in the subspace $T^{\mathbb{R}}Y$ in (3.5).

Let $q : \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_g$ be a holomorphic $\Omega^1_{\mathcal{M}_g}$ -torsor on \mathcal{M}_g , and let $S : \mathcal{M}_g \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}$ be a C^∞ section of \mathcal{V} . From these we will construct a C^∞ (1, 1)-form on \mathcal{M}_g . The almost complex structures on \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{M}_g will be denoted by J_V and J_M respectively. Let

$$dS : T^{\mathbb{R}}\mathcal{M}_g \longrightarrow T^{\mathbb{R}}\mathcal{V}$$

be the differential of the map S . Take any $X \in \mathcal{M}_g$ and any $v \in T_X^{\mathbb{R}}\mathcal{M}_g$. Define

$$\tilde{S}(v) := dS(J_M(v)) - (J_V \circ dS)(v) \in T_{S(X)}^{\mathbb{R}}\mathcal{V}.$$

Since q is holomorphic, and $q \circ S = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{M}_g}$, it can be shown that the tangent vector $\tilde{S}(v)$ is vertical for the projection q . Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} dq(dS(J_M(v)) - (J_V \circ dS)(v)) &= dq(dS(J_M(v))) - dq((J_V \circ dS)(v)) \\ &= d\text{Id}_{\mathcal{M}_g}(J_M(v)) - J_M(dq((dS)(v))) = J_M(v) - J_M(v) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So $\tilde{S}(v)$ is vertical for the projection q . On the other hand, using the $\Omega^1_{\mathcal{M}_g}$ -torsor structure of \mathcal{V} , the vertical tangent space at $S(X) \in \mathcal{V}$ is identified with $(\Omega^1_{\mathcal{M}_g})_X$. Also, $T_X^{\mathbb{R}}\mathcal{M}_g$ is

identified with the real vector space underlying $(\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^{0,1})_X = (\overline{\Omega}_{\mathcal{M}_g}^1)_X$. Using these we have

$$\tilde{S} \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M}_g, \Omega_{\mathcal{M}_g}^{1,1})$$

[Iv]. Note that S is a holomorphic section if and only if $\tilde{S} = 0$. This form \tilde{S} is called the *obstruction* for S to be holomorphic (see [Iv]).

The obstruction for the section Ψ in (2.13) to be holomorphic is the Weil–Petersson form ω_{WP} in (2.1) [Iv, p. 214, Theorem 1.7], [ZT2]. On the other hand, the obstruction for the section Φ of $\text{Conn}(\mathcal{L})$ in (2.11) to be holomorphic is the $(1, 1)$ -component of the curvature of the connection on \mathcal{L} corresponding to Φ . From (2.10) we know that this curvature itself is of type $(1, 1)$ and it is $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{6\pi}\omega_{WP}$. Now from (3.1) we conclude that the the obstruction for the section Φ of $\text{Conn}^t(\mathcal{L})$ to be holomorphic is the Weil–Petersson form ω_{WP} . Comparing the obstructions for the sections Φ and Ψ we conclude that (3.3) holds for all tangent vectors in the subspace $T^{\mathbb{R}}Y$ in (3.5), because the two obstructions coincide. This completes the proof. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Alessandro Ghigi for helpful remarks and discussions on the topic of the article. The second and third named authors are partially supported by INdAM - GNSAGA. The second named author is partially supported by “2017-ATE-0253” (Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni - Università degli Studi di Milano-Bicocca). The third named author is partially supported by PRIN 2017 “*Moduli spaces and Lie theory*” and by (MIUR): Dipartimenti di Eccellenza Program (2018-2022) - Dept. of Math. Univ. of Pavia. The first named author is partially supported by a J. C. Bose Fellowship.

REFERENCES

- [AC] E. Arbarello and M. Cornalba, The Picard groups of the moduli spaces of curves, *Topology* **26** (1987), 153–171.
- [At] M. F. Atiyah, Complex analytic connections in fibre bundles, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **85** (1957), 181–207.
- [BGS] J.-M. Bismut, H. Gillet and C. Soulé, Analytic torsion and holomorphic determinant bundles. I. Bott-Chern forms and analytic torsion, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **115** (1988), 49–78.
- [BHS] I. Biswas, J. Hurtubise and J. Stasheff, A construction of a universal connection, *Forum Math.* **24** (2012), 365–378.
- [BR] I. Biswas and A. K. Raina, Projective structures on a Riemann surface, II, *Inter. Math. Res. Not.* (1999), no. 13, 685–716.
- [BCFP] I. Biswas, E. Colombo, P. Frediani and G. P. Pirola, A Hodge theoretic projective structure on compact Riemann surfaces, *Jour. Math. Pures Appl.* **149** (2021), 1–27.
- [DM] P. Deligne and D. Mumford, The irreducibility of the space of curves of given genus, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **36** (1969), 75–109.
- [FPT] F. F. Favale, G. P. Pirola and S. Torelli, Holomorphic 1-forms on the moduli space of curves, arXiv:2009.10490.
- [Gu] R. C. Gunning, *On uniformization of complex manifolds: the role of connections*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1978.
- [Ha] J. Harer, The second homology group of the mapping class group of an orientable surface, *Invent. Math.* **72** (1983), 221–239.

- [Iv] N. V. Ivanov, Projective structures, flat bundles and Kähler metrics on moduli spaces, *Math. USSR-Sb.* **61** (1988), 211–224.
- [Ko] S. Kobayashi, *Differential geometry of complex vector bundles*, Publications of the Mathematical Society of Japan, 15. Kanô Memorial Lectures, 5. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ; Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1987.
- [Mu] D. Mumford, Abelian quotients of the Teichmüller modular group, *Jour. Analyse Math.* **18** (1967), 227–244.
- [Qu] D. G. Quillen, Determinants of Cauchy-Riemann operators on Riemann surfaces. *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **19** (1985), 31–34.
- [ZT1] P. G. Zograf and L. A. Takhtadzhyan, A local index theorem for families of $\bar{\partial}$ -operators on Riemann surfaces, *Russian Math. Surveys* **42-6** (1987), 169–190.
- [ZT2] P. G. Zograf and L. A. Takhtadzhyan, On the uniformization of Riemann surfaces and on the Weil-Petersson metric on the Teichmüller and Schottky spaces, *Math. USSR-Sb.* **60** (1988), 297–313.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, HOMI BHABHA ROAD, MUMBAI 400005, INDIA

Email address: `indranil@math.tifr.res.in`

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E APPLICAZIONI, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO-BICOCCA, VIA ROBERTO COZZI, 55, I-20125 MILANO, ITALY

Email address: `filippo.favale@unimib.it`

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI PAVIA, VIA FERRATA 5, I-27100 PAVIA, ITALY

Email address: `gianpietro.pirola@unipv.it`

INSTITUT FÜR ALGEBRAISCHE GEOMETRIE, LEIBNIZ UNIVERSITÄT HANNOVER, WELFENGARTEN 1, 30167 HANNOVER, GERMANY

Email address: `torelli@math.uni-hannover.de`