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Abstract

In this note a far extension of the Banach fixed point theorem is proved.

Definition 1 ([1, Definition 6.1]) A mapping \( p: X \times X \to [0, \infty) \) is a felt metric for \( X \) if the following system of conditions is satisfied:

\[
\begin{align*}
  p(x, y) &= 0 \quad \text{yields} \quad x = y, \quad x, y \in X, \quad (1a) \\
  p(x, y) &= p(y, x), \quad x, y \in X, \quad (1b) \\
  \text{for each} \quad \epsilon > 0 \quad \text{there exists a} \quad \delta > 0 \quad \text{such that} \\
  p(z, y) < \delta \quad \text{yields} \quad |p(z, x) - p(y, x)| < \epsilon, \quad x, y, z, \in X. \quad (1c)
\end{align*}
\]

A felt metric space \((X, p)\) (its topology is generated by balls) is \(0\)-complete, if for each sequence \((x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) in \(X\), such that \(\lim_{m,n \to \infty} p(x_n, x_m) = 0\), there exists an \(x \in X\) for which \(\lim_{n \to \infty} p(x_n, x) = 0\); a selfmapping \(f\) on \(X\) is \(0\)-continuous at an \(x \in X\), if \(\lim_{n \to \infty} p(x_n, x) = 0\) yields \(\lim_{n \to \infty} p(fx_n, fx) = 0\), for each sequence \((x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) in \(X\); \(f\) is \(0\)-continuous if it is \(0\)-continuous at each \(x \in X\).
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In [1, Theorem 6.6] the following condition was used:

\[
\text{for each } \alpha > 0 \text{ there exists an } \epsilon > 0 \text{ such that } \alpha - \epsilon < p(y, x) < \alpha + \epsilon \text{ yields } p(fy, fx) \leq \alpha, \quad x, y \in X. \tag{2}
\]

Now, let us prove a result stronger than [1, Theorem 6.6].

**Theorem 2** Assume that \( p \) is a felt metric for \( X \), \( f \) is a selfmapping on \( X \), \( x_n = f^n x_0, \ n \in \mathbb{N}, \lim_{n \to \infty} p(x_{n+1}, x_n) = 0 \), and let (2) or

\[
\text{for each } \alpha > 0 \text{ there exists an } \epsilon > 0 \text{ such that } \alpha \leq p(y, x) < \alpha + \epsilon \text{ yields } p(fy, fx) \leq \alpha, \quad x, y \in X \tag{3}
\]

be satisfied (equivalent conditions). If \((X, p)\) is 0-complete, then there exists an \( x \in X \) such that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} p(x_n, x) = p(x, x) = 0 \) and \( fx = x \).

Proof. In particular, for \( \alpha = p(y, x) > 0 \), condition (2) as well as (3) yields \( p(fy, fx) \leq p(y, x) \), i.e. \( f \) is nonexpansive (for \( p(y, x) > 0 \)), and conditions (2), (3) are equivalent (one may assume \( \alpha - \epsilon > 0 \) in (2)). Now, in view of [1, Theorem 6.6], if \((X, p)\) is 0-complete, then for some \( x \in X \) we have \( \lim_{n \to \infty} p(x_n, x) = p(x, x) = 0 \). From (1a) it follows that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} |p(x_{n+1}, fx) - p(x, fx)| = \lim_{n \to \infty} p(x_{n+1}, x) = 0. \tag{4}
\]

If \( p(x_n, x) = 0 \) holds for all \( n > n_0 \), then \( x = x_n = x_{n+1} \) and we get \( fx = fx_n = x_{n+1} = x \). Therefore, one may assume \( p(x_n, x) > 0 \) for infinitely many \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Suppose \( p(x, fx) = 2\beta > 0 \). Then (see (1)) we obtain \( p(x_{n+1}, fx) > \beta \) for large \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Let us consider an \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( 0 < p(x_n, x) = \alpha < \beta \). Now, (3) yields \( \beta < p(x_{n+1}, fx) \leq \alpha \), a contradiction. Consequently, \( p(x, fx) = 0 \), and \( fx = x \) (see (1a)). \( \square \)

Theorem 2 is a far extension of the Banach theorem, as for \( 0 \leq c < 1 \) one can calculate the respective \( \epsilon > 0 \) (see (3)) from the subsequent formula

\[
p(fy, fx) \leq cp(y, x) \leq c(\alpha + \epsilon) \leq \alpha.
\]
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