

Ethics Sheets for AI Tasks

Saif M. Mohammad

National Research Council Canada
saif.mohammad@nrc-cnrc.gc.ca

Abstract

Recent innovations such as Datasheets for Datasets and Model Cards for Model Reporting have made useful contributions to furthering ethical research. Yet, several high-profile events, such as the mass testing of emotion recognition systems on vulnerable sub-populations, have highlighted how technology will often lead to more adverse outcomes for those that are already marginalized. In this paper, I will make a case for thinking about ethical considerations not just at the level of individual models and datasets, but also at the level of AI tasks. I will present a new form of such an effort, *Ethics Sheets for AI Tasks*, dedicated to fleshing out the assumptions and ethical considerations hidden in how a task is commonly framed and in the choices we make regarding the data, method, and evaluation. Finally, I will provide an example ethics sheet for automatic emotion recognition. Ethics sheets are a mechanism to document ethical considerations *before* building datasets and systems. Such pre-production activities (e.g., ethics analyses) and associated artifacts (e.g., accessible documentation) are crucial for responsible AI: for communicating risks to all stakeholders, to help decision and policy making, and for developing more effective post-production documents such as Data Sheets and Model Cards.

The Case: Importance of Ethics Considerations at the Level of AI Tasks

Good design helps everyone. It is well established, for example, that designing for accessibility helps society at large.¹ As Artificial Intelligence (AI), Machine Learning (ML), and Natural language Processing (NLP) systems become more ubiquitous, their broad societal impacts are receiving more scrutiny than ever before. However, several high-profile instances such as the use of recidivism prediction biased against people from black neighborhoods², face-recognition systems that perform poorly for people with dark skin tones (Buolamwini and Gebru 2018), machine translation systems that are biased against some genders (Prates, Avelar, and Lamb 2019), and mass testing of emotion recognition systems on certain sub-populations (ARTICLE19 2021; Wakefield 2021), have highlighted how technology is often at

¹<https://blog.ai-media.tv/blog/why-designing-for-accessibility-helps-everyone>

²<https://www.propublica.org/article/machine-bias-risk-assessments-in-criminal-sentencing>

odds with the very people it is meant to help, and how it will often lead to more adverse outcomes for those that are already marginalized. This raises uncomfortable questions for us AI researchers and developers:

*What role do we (researchers/engineers) play in the eventual harms perpetrated by technology?
What are the hidden assumptions in our research?
What are the unsaid implications of our choices?
Are we striking at the barriers to opportunity or are we amplifying societal inequities?*

The answers are often complex and multifaceted. While many AI systems have clear benefits, we are increasingly seeing examples such as those discussed above where real-world AI systems are causing harm. Academic research (which often feeds into real-world systems), is also seeing growing amounts of criticisms: criticisms of physiognomy, racism, bias, discrimination, perpetuating stereotypes, ignoring indigenous world views, and more. See Arcas, Mitchell, and Todorov (2017) and Ongweso (2020) for recent examples. There have also been criticisms of thoughtlessness in machine learning (e.g., *is automating this task, this way, really going to help people?*) and a seemingly callous disregard for the variability and complexity of human behavior (McQuillan 2018; Fletcher-Watson et al. 2018; Birhane 2021).

In the sub-sections below, I describe recent efforts by the AI community to encourage responsible research, the limitations of those efforts, and the need for thinking about ethical considerations at the level of AI tasks. The next section presents a new proposal to that end: to create ethics sheets for AI tasks. This is followed by an example ethics sheet for automatic emotion recognition.

Recent Innovations for Responsible Research

So how are we addressing these new challenges in AI/ML/NLP research? For individual datasets, it is recommended to create datasheets or data statements (Gebru et al. 2018; Bender and Friedman 2018) (list key details of the datasets such as composition and intended uses; meant to encourage appropriate use of the data). For individual systems, it is recommended to create model cards (Mitchell et al. 2019) (list key details of the models such as performance in various contexts and intended use scenarios; meant

to encourage appropriate use of the systems.) For individual papers, we write ethics/impact statements. Conferences have started to institute ethics policies and ethics reviews.³

Limitations: Datasheets and model cards are pivotal inventions that will serve our community well. However, they are not without limitations and the specificity of their scope on individual pieces of work places additional constraints:

- Authors are in a position of conflict of interest; there are strong incentives to present their work in positive light (for paper acceptance, community buy-in, etc.)
- There can be a tendency to produce boiler-plate text without a meaningful and critical engagement with the relevant ethical issues.
- While there is important benefit in creating post-production documents that describe societal impact, it is arguably more important to engage with ethical considerations (and create an ethics focused document) *before* building AI systems (and possibly even choosing to not build a system for a particular deployment context based on the analysis).
- Lastly, ethics considerations apply at levels other than individual projects, for example, at the level of AI tasks. A comprehensive engagement with the relevant ethical issues requires a wide literature review, and the resulting analysis to be presented in a dedicated document (and not in add-on sections for individual system papers).

Ethics at the Level of AI Tasks

I am defining *AI task* to simply mean some task we may want to automate using AI techniques. An *AI system* is a particular AI model built for the task. Individual systems have their own unique sets of ethical considerations (depending on the choices that were made when building the systems). However, several ethical considerations apply not at the level of individual systems, but at the level of the task. For example, consider the task of detecting personality traits from one's utterances. Even before we consider a system for the task, we ought to consider questions such as:

- What are the societal implications of automating personality trait detection?
- How can such a system be used/misused?
- Is there enough credible scientific basis for personality trait identification that we should attempt to do this?
- Which theory of personality traits should such automation rely on? What are the implications of that choice?

And so on. In addition, for a given task, there exist ethical considerations latent in the choices commonly made in dataset creation, model development, and evaluation. Poor choices lead to more harm. Consider these outcomes reported in the popular press:

- Text Generation: '*Dangerous*' AI writes fake news, BBC.⁴

³<https://medium.com/@GovAI/a-guide-to-writing-the-neurips-impact-statement-4293b723f832>

⁴www.bbc.com/news/technology-49446729

- Image Generation: '*Deepfakes*' a political problem already hitting EU, EU Observer.⁵
- Automatic Emotion Recognition from Faces: *Emotional Entanglement: China's emotion recognition market and its implications for human rights*, Article19⁶.
- Machine Translation: *Female historians and male nurses do not exist, Google Translate tells its European users*, Algorithm Watch.⁷
- Information Extraction: *Kannada: Google apologises for 'ugliest Indian language' search result*, BBC.⁸

Numerous other such examples have surfaced in just the past few years for a variety of AI tasks.

Reading relevant literature, engaging with various stakeholders of the task, and developing some AI systems helps one to start identifying relevant ethical considerations for various NLP, ML, and AI tasks; but that takes time. Meanwhile, tens of thousands of new researchers are joining our ranks. Pressures to graduate and find good jobs force them to build systems and publish papers in a matter of months. Even experienced researchers can find it difficult to keep track of various ethical considerations discussed in a wide assortment of conferences and journals.

The Proposal: Ethics Sheets for AI Tasks

If one wants to do work on an AI Task, then right at the beginning it is useful to have access to:

a carefully compiled document that substantively engages with the ethical issues relevant to that task; going beyond individual systems and datasets, drawing on knowledge from a body of relevant past work.

Similarly, if one conceptualizes a new AI Task, then it is useful to simultaneously create such a source of information.

Therefore, I propose that we researchers and developers write such articles, which I will refer to as *Ethics Sheets for AI Tasks*. In some ways, ethics sheets are similar to survey articles for areas of research, except here the focus is on ethical considerations for an AI task. Simply put: an ethics sheet for an AI task is a semi-standardized article that aggregates and organizes a wide variety of ethical considerations relevant for that task. It:

- Fleshes out assumptions hidden in how the task is framed, and in the choices often made regarding the data, method, and evaluation.
- Presents ethical considerations unique or especially relevant to the task.
- Presents how common ethical considerations manifest in the task.
- Presents relevant dimensions and choice points; along with tradeoffs for various stakeholders.
- Lists common harm mitigation strategies.

⁵<https://euobserver.com/opinion/151935>

⁶www.article19.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/ER-Tech-China-Report.pdf

⁷<https://algorithmwatch.org/en/google-translate-gender-bias/>

⁸www.bbc.com/news/world-asia-india-57355011

- Communicates societal implications of AI systems to researchers, developers, and the broader society.

The sheet should flesh out various ethical considerations that apply at the level of task. It should also flesh out ethical consideration of common theories, methodologies, resources, and practices used in building AI systems for the task.

Ethics sheets may sometimes suggest that certain applications in specific contexts are appropriate or inappropriate, but largely they are meant to discuss the various considerations to be taken into account when the developer is deciding whether to build or use a particular system, how to build it, and how to assess its societal impact. It is meant to help the developer identify what is more appropriate for their given deployment context.

A good ethics sheet will question some of the assumptions that often go unsaid. It will encourage more thoughtfulness:

- *Why should we automate this task?*
- *What is the degree to which human behavior relevant to this task is inherently ambiguous and unpredictable?*
- *What are the theoretical foundations for this task?*
- *What are the social and cultural forces at play that motivate choices in task design, data, methodology, and evaluation? (Science is not immune to these forces—there is no ‘view from nowhere’).*
- *How is the automation of the task going to impact various groups of people?*
- *How can the automated systems be abused?*
- *Is this technology helping everyone or only those with power and advantage? etc.*

Thinking about these questions is important if we want to break away from the current paradigm of building things that are divisive (that work well for some and poorly for others) and instead move to building systems that treat human diversity and variability as a feature (not a bug); systems that truly dismantle barriers to opportunity, and bring diverse groups of people together. Thus, questions such as those shown above can be useful in determining what is included in ethics sheets.

Target audience: The target audience for an ethics sheet includes the various stakeholders of the AI Task. The stakeholders may or may not have the time and background to understand the technical intricacies of an AI task. However, they build on, use, and make laws about what we create. Further, people are impacted by AI systems. They should be able to understand its decisions that impact them, understand its broad patterns of behaviour, contest the predictions, and find recourse. Ethics sheets can help to that end. It is our responsibility to describe our creations in accessible terms, so that others can make informed decisions about them. Thus the target audience of an Ethics Sheet includes:

- Researchers; Engineers; Data science professionals
- Educators (especially those who teach AI, ethics)
- Policy makers; Politicians
- People whose data is used; Society at large

Owing to differences in backgrounds and needs, it is better to create versions of the Ethics Sheet tailored to stakeholders, for example:

- one sheet for society at large (without jargon and with a focus on how system behaviour can impact them and how they can contribute/push-back);
- one sheet for researchers, developers, and the motivated non-technical reader (with a greater emphasis on system building choices and their implications).

No One Sheet to Rule them All

A single ethics sheet does not speak for the whole community (just as survey articles do not speak for the whole community). There is no one person or institution that can claim to be the authority or provide the authoritative ethics sheets for the task. Ethics sheets can be created through large community efforts (through workshops or carefully maintained wikis) and smaller individual and group efforts. Efforts led by small teams may miss important perspectives. However, community efforts face several logistical and management challenges. They also have the tendency to only include agreed upon non-controversial ideas that do not threaten existing power structures. While each of these approaches to implement ethics sheets has their pros and cons, a multiplicity of ethics sheets is likely most promising. Multiple ethics sheets can be created (by different teams and approaches) to reflect multiple perspectives, viewpoints, and what is considered important to different groups of people. We should be wary of the world where we have single authoritative ethics sheets per task and no dissenting voices.

Work on Ethics Considerations is a Perpetual Task

The set of ethical considerations for a task is not a static list; it needs to be continuously or periodically revisited and updated. The considerations can be developed iteratively and organically, in small teams and in large community efforts (say through dedicated workshops). The ethics sheet is not a silver bullet to make things perfect, lead to easy solutions, or “solve ethics”. The goal is to raise awareness of relevant ethical considerations, encourage following of established best practices, and inspire new ideas of responsible research appropriate for one’s particular context.

Components of an Ethics Sheet

The sections below are central. However, individual tasks may warrant additional sections.

Preface: Present why and how the sheet came to be written. The process followed. Who worked on it along with their professional or lived experience relevant to the subject matter. Challenges faced in writing the sheet. Changes made, if a revision of an earlier sheet. Version number, date published, and contact information.

Introduce, Define, Set Scope: Introduce the task and some common manifestations of the task. Define relevant terminology. Set the scope of the ethics sheet (e.g., maybe you are creating a sheet for speech input, but not textual input).

Motivations and Benefits: Provide an overview of common

benefits and motivations of the task.

Ethical Considerations: This is the star of the show. Aggregate and organize the ethical considerations associated with the AI task. Present the trade-offs associated with choices. Present harm mitigation strategies. Cite relevant literature. Organization of ethical considerations should be based on the primary target audience. For example, ethics sheets primarily for researchers and developers may benefit from sub-sections on: Task Design, Data, Method, and Evaluation. Task design may benefit from sections for theoretical foundations and ‘why automate this task?’. Evaluation will benefit from sub-sections that go beyond quantitative metrics.

Other: Include anything that helps with the goals of the Ethics Sheet.

Benefits of Ethics Sheets

Ethics sheets for AI Tasks address a number of concerns raised in the first section of this paper. Specifically, their main benefits can be summarized by the list below:

1. Encourages more thoughtfulness regarding why to automate, how to automate, and how to judge success from well before the building of AI systems.
2. Fleshes out assumptions in how the task is commonly framed, and in the choices often made regarding data, method, and evaluation.
3. Presents the trade-offs of relevant choices so that stakeholders can make informed decisions appropriate for their context. Ethical considerations often involve a cost-benefit analysis; where we draw the lines may differ depending on our cultural and societal norms.
4. Identifies points of agreement and disagreement. Includes multiple points of view.
5. Moves us towards consensus and standards.
6. Helps us better navigate research and implementation choices.
7. Helps in developing better datasheets and model cards.
8. Has citations and pointers; acts as a jumping off point for further reading.
9. Helps stakeholders challenge assumptions made by researchers and developers.
10. Helps all stakeholders develop harm mitigation strategies.
11. Standardized sections and a familiar look and feel make it easy for the compilation and communication of ethical considerations.
12. Helps engage the various stakeholders of an AI task with each other.
13. Multiple ethics sheets can be created for the same task to reflect multiple perspectives, viewpoints, and what is considered important to different groups of people at different times.
14. Acts as a great introductory document for an AI Task (complements survey articles and task-description papers for shared tasks).

Discussion and FAQ

The idea of ethics sheets raises several important questions that are worthy of discussion.

Q1. Should we create ethics sheets for a handful of AI Tasks (more prone to being misused, say) or do we need ethics sheets for all AI tasks?

A. To me, the answer is clear. We need to write ethics sheets for every task. This follows from the idea that we need to think about ethics considerations pro-actively and not as a reaction to harms that we observe after system deployment. Different AI tasks may be more or less prone to controversy, but all AI tasks impact people in some way, and thus have ethical considerations. Sometimes even small and seemingly innocuous choices can have far-reaching implications. Sometimes a thoughtful consideration can help make a small, but notable difference, to improve someone’s life.

Ethics sheets for AI Tasks can provide the means for us as a collective to provide, in writing, what we think are the ethical considerations and the societal implications of AI Tasks. For some tasks, this document can be short and straightforward indicating minimum risk; and that document and the process that led to it are still useful. We do not know if there is minimum risk without some amount of investigation. Also, **having a written document allows others to challenge our assumptions and conclusions.** (This is a good thing!) We cannot predict everything and anticipate every harm. We should not let that stop us from creating a working document that will be useful to others. Ethics sheets will always be incomplete and require revisions. Periodically revising the document builds on our knowledge.

Q2. Who should create ethics sheets?

A. There are two things going on here:

1. Who should take a *lead* in developing ethics sheets (who should take on more of the burden)?
2. Whose voices should be included in ethics sheets?

For 1, anyone or any group can take the lead. Researchers already working on the task (or proposing a new task) are well-positioned to take the lead as they are familiar with the intricacies of the task and likely thinking about the ethical implications already. However, experienced researchers may have more blind spots. New researchers, especially those from Social Science, Psychology, Linguistics, etc. can bring vital new insights.

For 2, the goal is to include voices of all stakeholders (especially of those impacted by the technology). However, the process can be iterative, starting at a smaller scale.

Q3. How can we incentivize researchers to create Ethics Sheets? Could this be a publication? Should conferences have specific tracks for these?

A. Good ethics sheets are *useful* to researchers. So I expect they will be widely appreciated, especially by those new to an AI Task. They are also useful to those who create the sheet. I created an ethics sheet for emotion recognition because I do research on emotions and language, and I wanted to organize my thoughts around relevant ethical consider-

ations. Our conferences are starting to accept more papers that make contributions outside of computational research (even if much is still desired). So my hope is that good ethics sheets will be accepted even without a special track. That said, clear signals from conferences and journals that such contributions are valued is important.

Q4. When should we create Ethics Sheets for AI Tasks?

Normally, we learn about ethical issues because/after they have been deployed.

A. While we cannot foresee all consequences of our creations, it would be fair to say AI researchers have not done enough to anticipate the negative consequences of systems that we have created and deployed. Additionally, with great work over the last few years highlighting the ethical implications of AI systems, we are better placed to anticipate issues for the future. Therefore:

For existing tasks: we should create ethics sheets now; revisit them periodically and update them as necessary.

For new proposed tasks: the authors should create ethics sheets along with the paper introducing the task; as the task has more buy-in from the research community, others can also create a new ethics sheet or update the existing one. The sheets should be updated periodically as necessary.

Q5. Does it matter what we define as a ‘task’? AI tasks can be defined at a high/general level (e.g., automatic emotion recognition) or fine/specific level (e.g., detecting sentiment in book reviews).

A. We can let community interest and expertise guide what task definitions are used (similar to topics of survey papers). It is great to have multiple overlapping ethics sheets that cover AI tasks at overlapping levels of specificity. There is no “objective” or “correct” ethics sheet or survey article. There is no one “correct” scope or task definition for ethics sheets. It is useful to have multiple ethics sheets for the same or overlapping tasks, just as it is useful to have multiple survey articles for overlapping areas of research.

Q6. Should the sheets depend on the kind of data or modality involved?

A. Yes, one can create focused ethics sheets as appropriate. In the example AER sheet, I specify in the “Scope and Modalities” section that the sheet focuses primarily on AER from language (text).

Q7. Should we think about research systems differently from deployed systems?

A. In my view, deployed systems have a much higher bar in terms of balancing the many ethical considerations. It is common for research systems to focus on a smaller number of dimensions (say accuracy on certain test sets) ignoring certain other dimensions. However, research systems are often picked up by developers and deployed. So research systems should make their dimensions of focus clear to the reader/user. They should also discuss the suitability of deploying such a system, intended uses, and ethical issues that may arise if one deploys their system.

Q8. Why should academic researchers care about the ethics of system deployment?

A. Academic research feeds commercial research and development. We need to communicate the ethical considerations of what we create. Also, we are often not in positions of conflict of interest. We do not have to worry about losing our jobs for raising concerns.

Q9. Should ethics sheets be updated periodically?

A. Yes, as technologies change and as society embraces new values, we need to create revisions or new sheets. Ethics sheets will act as an explicit record of what was considered important by different groups of people at different times.

The Example: Ethics Sheet for Automatic Emotion Recognition

Emotions play a central role in our lives. In her seminal book, *Affective Computing*, Dr. Rosalind Picard described Automatic Emotion Recognition (AER) as: “giving emotional abilities to computers”. It is a sweeping interdisciplinary area of study exploring many foundational research questions and many applications (Picard 2000). However, some of the recent commercial and governmental uses of emotion recognition have garnered considerable criticism, including: infringing on one’s privacy, exploiting vulnerable sub-populations, and even allegations of downright pseudo-science (Wakefield 2021; ARTICLE19 2021; Woensel and Nevil 2019). Even putting aside high-profile controversies, emotion recognition impacts people and thus entails ethical considerations (big and small). Mohammad (2021) presents an ethics sheet for AER.⁹ I summarize key details below.

Preface

The preface is an opportunity to frame the discussion. In the AER sheet, I present a short set of rapid-fire questions centered around questions such as whether it is ethical to do automatic emotion recognition, how automatic recognition can mean many things, and it can be deployed in many contexts, how emotions are particularly personal, private, and complex; and how the ethics sheet can help in more responsible AER research as well as responsible system development and deployment. The sheet invites feedback and provides contact information. It also lists the primary motivation for this AER ethics sheet and the target audience.

Primary Motivation: To create a go-to point for a carefully compiled engagement with the ethical issues relevant to emotion recognition; going beyond individual systems and drawing on knowledge from a body of past work.

Target audience: The primary audience for this sheet are researchers, engineers, developers, and educators from various fields (especially NLP, ML, AI, data science, public health, psychology, and digital humanities) that build, make use of,

⁹The full AER ethics sheet is also available as a blog post: <https://medium.com/@nlpscholar/ethics-sheet-aer-b8d671286682>
A summary of best practices and tips discussed in the AER sheet: <https://medium.com/@nlpscholar/ethics-aer-tips-5cebadf1273c>

or teach about AER technologies; however, much of the discussion should be accessible to various other stakeholders of AER as well, including educators, policy/decision makers, and those who are impacted by AER. After further community input, I hope to also create a version of this sheet where non-technical stakeholders are the primary audience.

Modalities and Scope

Modalities: Work on AER has made use of a number of modalities (sources of input), including: facial expressions, gait (how one is walking, body language), body velocity, skin conductance, blood conductance, blood flow, respiration, gestures, force of touch, infrared emanations, haptic (sensors of force) and proprioceptive (position and movement of the body) data, behavioral data, speech, and language (especially written text, emoticons, emojis). All of these modalities come with benefits, potential harms, and ethical considerations.

Scope: This particular ethics sheet focuses on AER from written text and AER in Natural Language Processing (NLP), but many of the considerations apply broadly to various modalities and AER in Computer Vision as well.

Task

Emotion recognition is a broad umbrella term used to refer to a number of related tasks such as inferring emotions the speaker is trying to convey, inferring patterns of speaker's emotions over longer periods of time, tracking impact of health interventions on one's well-being, inferring speaker's attitudes/sentiment towards a target product, movie, person, idea, policy, entity, etc. Each of these framings has ethical considerations and may be more or less appropriate for a given context. For example, framing the task as determining the mental state is especially problematic due to concerns about privacy and reliability.

Applications

The sheet presents a sample of existing applications of AER in public health, commerce, government policy, art, research (social Sciences, neuroscience, psychology), and intelligence. Note that listing of applications in the ethics sheet is not an endorsement. Note also that all of the benefits come with potential harms and ethical considerations. Use of AER for military intelligence and education is especially controversial and laced with ethical considerations.

Ethical Considerations

The usual approach to building an AER system is to design the task (identify the emotions of interest, the process to be automated, etc.), compile appropriate data (label some of the data for emotions), run ML model (method) to capture patterns of emotional expression from the data, and evaluate the models by examining their predictions on a held-out test set. There are ethical considerations associated with each step of this development process. Below are 50 considerations grouped by the associated stage: Task Design, Data, Method, Impact, Privacy & Social Groups. I present only a high-level summary for each category below.

(See the Appendix for descriptions of individual bullets.)

TASK DESIGN

Summary: This section discusses various ethical considerations associated with the choices involved in the framing of the emotion task and the implications of automating the chosen task. Some important considerations include: Whether it is even possible to determine one's internal mental state? Whether it is ethical to determine such a private state? And, who is often left out in the design of existing AER systems? I discuss how it is important to consider which formulation of emotions is appropriate for a specific task/project; while avoiding careless endorsement of theories that suggest a mapping of external appearances to inner mental states.

A. Theoretical Foundations

1. Emotion Task Design and Framing
2. Emotion Model and Choice of Emotions
3. Meaning and Extra-Linguistic Information
4. Wellness and Emotion
5. Aggregate Level vs. Individual Level

B. Implications of Automation

6. Why Automate (Who Benefits; Will this Shift Power)
7. Embracing Neurodiversity
8. Participatory/Emancipatory Design
9. Applications, Dual use, Misuse
10. Disclosure of Automation

DATA

Summary: This section has three broad themes: implications of using datasets of different kinds, the tension between human variability and machine normativeness, and the ethical considerations regarding the people who have produced the data. Notably, I discuss how on the one hand is the tremendous variability in human mental representation and expression of emotions, and on the other hand, is the inherent bias of modern machine learning approaches to ignore variability. Thus, through their behaviour (e.g., by recognizing some forms of emotion expression and not recognizing others), AI systems convey to the user what is "normal"; implicitly invalidating other forms of emotion expression.

C. Why This Data

1. Types of data
2. Dimensions of data

D. Human Variability vs. Machine Normativeness

3. Variability of Expression, Conceptualization
4. Norms of Emotions Expression
5. Norms of Attitudes
6. One "Right" Label or Many Appropriate Labels
7. Label Aggregation
8. Historical Data (Who is Missing, Biases)
9. Training-Deployment Differences

E. The People Behind The Data

10. Platform Terms of Service

11. Anonymization, Ability to Delete One's data
12. Warnings and Recourse
13. Crowdsourcing

METHOD

Summary: This section discusses the ethical implications of doing AER using a given method. It presents the types of methods and their tradeoffs, as well as considerations of who is left out, spurious correlations, and the role of context. Special attention is paid to green AI and the fine line between emotion management and manipulation.

F. Why This Method

1. Types of Methods and their Tradeoffs
2. Who is Left Out by this Method
3. Spurious Correlations
4. Context is Everything
5. Individual Emotion Dynamics
6. Historical Behavior vs. Future Behavior
7. Emotion Management, Manipulation
8. Green AI

IMPACT AND EVALUATION

Summary: This section discusses ethical considerations associated with the evaluation of AER systems (Metrics) as well as the importance of examining systems through a number of other criteria (Beyond Metrics). Notably, it discusses interpretability, building safeguards, and contestability, because even when systems work as designed, there will be some negative consequences. Recognizing and planning for such outcomes is part of responsible development.

G. Metrics

1. Reliability/Accuracy
2. Demographic Biases
3. Sensitive Applications
4. Testing (on Diverse Datasets, Metrics)

H. Beyond Metrics

5. Interpretability, Explainability
6. Visualization
7. Safeguards and Guard Rails
8. Harms when the System Works as Designed
9. Contestability and Recourse
10. Be wary of Ethics Washing

PRIVACY AND SOCIAL GROUPS

Summary: The privacy section discusses both individual and group privacy. The idea of group privacy becomes especially important in the context of soft-biometrics determined through AER that are not intended to be able to identify individuals, but rather identify groups of people with similar characteristics. The subsection on social groups discusses the need for work that does not treat people as a homogeneous group (ignoring group differences and implicitly favoring the majority group) but rather values disaggregation and explores intersectionality, while minimizing reification and essentialization of social constructs.

I. Implications for Privacy

1. Privacy and Personal Control
2. Group Privacy and Soft Biometrics
3. Mass Surveillance vs. Right to Privacy, Freedom of Expression, Right to Protest
4. Right Against Self-Incrimination
5. Right to Non-Discrimination

J. Implications for Social Groups

6. Disaggregation
7. Intersectionality
8. Reification and Essentialization
9. Attributing People to Social Groups

As per their interest, readers can refer to relevant bullets in the sheet.

Concluding Thoughts and Future Work

As an expert, an often overlooked and undervalued responsibility is to convey the broad societal impacts and the ethical considerations of the relevant technology to those that deploy the technology, policy makers, and society at large. Thus, it is important that we identify new ways to contribute to a nuanced understanding and deeper appreciation of the wonders and limitations of our creations.

In this paper, I discussed how ethical considerations apply not just at the level of individual models and datasets, but also at the level of AI Tasks. I presented a new form of documenting ethical considerations, which I call *Ethics Sheets for AI Tasks*. It is a document dedicated to fleshing out the assumptions and ethical considerations hidden in how a task is commonly framed and in the choices we make regarding the data, method, and evaluation. I listed various benefits of such ethics sheets and discussed practical considerations with regard to who creates ethics sheets, how, and when. I also provided an example, proof-of-concept, ethics sheet for automatic emotion recognition. Ethics sheets have the potential for engaging various stakeholders of AI tasks towards responsible research and development.

A key motivation for writing ethics sheets is to make it easier for AI practitioners to engage with ethical considerations comprehensively and explicitly well before they start building datasets and systems. They can read the ethics sheet to identify various ethical considerations associated with the task. They can follow pointers to relevant literature to implement established best practices. They can identify ethical considerations particularly relevant to their deployment context and also identify new ones not listed in the ethics sheet. Finally, they make design decisions that best address these challenges.

Future work will explore explicit documentation of how practitioners can use the ethics sheet for a task to assess the ethical considerations *relevant to their particular system deployment context*. This documentation will also include recommendations on whether an AI system should be developed (and deployed) for this context, and under what conditions. Such a document will be useful in decision making and also communicating risks to the stakeholders.

Acknowledgments

I am grateful to Annika Schoene, Isar Nejadgholi, Mohamed Abdalla, and Tara Small for steadfast encouragement on the initial idea of Ethics Sheets for AI Tasks, the thoughtful discussions, and comments on earlier drafts. Huge thank you to Mallory Feldman for her belief in the need and value of the ethics sheet for emotion recognition. Discussions with on the psychology, complexity, and ethics of emotions were invaluable in shaping the ethics sheet for emotion recognition. Many thanks to Roman Klinger, Rada Mihalcea, Peter Turney, Svetlana Kiritchenko, Maria Liakata, Gerard de Melo, and Emily Mower Provost for discussions about AI ethics and ethical considerations for emotion recognition. Many thanks to Emily Bender, Esma Balkir, Patricia Thaine, Brendan O'Connor, Cyril Goutte, and Sowmya Vajjala for thoughtful comments on early drafts.

References

- Arcas, B.; Mitchell, M.; and Todorov, A. 2017. Physiognomy's New Clothes. URL <https://medium.com/@blaisea/physiognomys-new-clothes-f2d4b59fdd6a>.
- ARTICLE19. 2021. Emotional Entanglement: China's emotion recognition market and its implications for human rights. URL <https://www.article19.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/ER-Tech-China-Report.pdf>.
- Bender, E. M.; and Friedman, B. 2018. Data Statements for Natural Language Processing: Toward Mitigating System Bias and Enabling Better Science. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics* 6: 587–604. doi:10.1162/tacl.a.00041. URL <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/Q18-1041>.
- Birhane, A. 2021. The Impossibility of Automating Ambiguity. *Artificial Life* 27(1): 44–61.
- Buolamwini, J.; and Gebru, T. 2018. Gender shades: Intersectional accuracy disparities in commercial gender classification. In *Conference on fairness, accountability and transparency*, 77–91. PMLR.
- Fletcher-Watson, S.; De Jaegher, H.; Van Dijk, J.; Frauenberger, C.; Magnée, M.; and Ye, J. 2018. Diversity computing. *Interactions* 25(5): 28–33.
- Gebru, T.; Morgenstern, J. H.; Vecchione, B.; Vaughan, J. W.; Wallach, H.; Daumé, H.; and Crawford, K. 2018. Datasheets for Datasets. In *Proceedings of the conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency in Machine Learning*. Stockholm, Sweden.
- McQuillan, D. 2018. Data science as machinic neoplatonism. *Philosophy & Technology* 31(2): 253–272.
- Mitchell, M.; Wu, S.; Zaldivar, A.; Barnes, P.; Vasserman, L.; Hutchinson, B.; Spitzer, E.; Raji, I. D.; and Gebru, T. 2019. Model cards for model reporting. In *Proceedings of the conference on fairness, accountability, and transparency*, 220–229.
- Mohammad, S. M. 2021. Ethics Sheet for Automatic Emotion Recognition and Sentiment Analysis. arXiv.
- Ongweso, E. 2020. An AI Paper Published in a Major Journal Dabbles in Phrenology. URL <https://www.vice.com/en/article/g5pawq/an-ai-paper-published-in-a-major-journal-dabbles-in-phrenology>.
- Picard, R. W. 2000. *Affective computing*. MIT press.
- Prates, M. O.; Avelar, P. H.; and Lamb, L. C. 2019. Assessing gender bias in machine translation: a case study with google translate. *Neural Computing and Applications* 1–19.
- Wakefield, J. 2021. AI emotion-detection software tested on Uyghurs. URL <https://www.bbc.com/news/technology-57101248>.
- Woensel, L. V.; and Nevil, N. 2019. What if your emotions were tracked to spy on you? URL [https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/ATAG/2019/634415/EPRS_ATA\(2019\)634415_EN.pdf](https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/ATAG/2019/634415/EPRS_ATA(2019)634415_EN.pdf).