

FIXED POINT SETS IN DIAGRAMMATICALLY REDUCIBLE COMPLEXES

SHIVAM ARORA AND EDUARDO MARTINEZ-PEDROZA

ABSTRACT. Let H be a group acting on a simply-connected diagrammatically reducible combinatorial 2-complex X with fine 1-skeleton. If the fixed point set X^H is non-empty, then it is contractible. Having fine 1-skeleton is a weaker version of being locally finite.

1. DIAGRAMMATICALLY REDUCIBLE COMPLEXES

The term diagrammatically reducible complex was introduced by Gersten [Ger87], but the notion appeared in earlier works of Chiswell, Collins and Huebschmann [CCH81] and Sieradski [Sie83]. This class of complexes includes locally CAT(0) 2-complexes, certain classes of small cancellation complexes, conformal negatively curved 2-complexes, spines of hyperbolic knots, and non-positively curved square complexes to name a few examples.

In this note, all spaces are combinatorial complexes and all maps are combinatorial, see [BH99, page 153] for precise definitions. All group actions on complexes are assumed to be by combinatorial maps. Let H be a group and let X be an H -complex. The set of points of X fixed by all elements of H is denoted by X^H . For a cell σ of X , the pointwise H -stabilizer of σ is denoted by H_σ . The H -action on X has no inversions if whenever a cell is fixed setwise by a group element then it is fixed pointwise by the group element. Note that if the H -action has no inversions, X^H is a subcomplex of X . If $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is a combinatorial map and $h \in H$ then $h \circ f$ denotes the composition $Y \xrightarrow{f} X \xrightarrow{h} X$.

A combinatorial map $Y \rightarrow X$ is an *immersion* if it is locally injective; and it is a *near-immersion* if it is locally injective in the complement of 0-cells of Y . A *spherical diagram* is a combinatorial map $\phi: S \rightarrow X$ where S is a complex homeomorphic to the 2-dimensional sphere.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 57M07, 20F06, 20F65, 20F67.

Key words and phrases. Diagrammatically reducible complex, fixed point sets, vanKampen diagrams,

Definition 1.1. A 2-dimensional complex X is *diagrammatically reducible* if there are no near-immersions of spherical diagrams $S \rightarrow X$.

The notion of fine graph was introduced by Bowditch in the context of relatively hyperbolic groups [Bow12, Proposition 2.1]. The class of fine graphs contains all locally finite graphs.

Definition 1.2. A graph X is *fine* if for each integer $n > 0$, and any pair of 0-cells u, v , there are only finitely many embedded combinatorial paths of length n between u and v .

The main result of this note:

Theorem 1.3. *Let H be a group acting on a simply-connected diagrammatically reducible 2-complex X with fine 1-skeleton. If the fixed point set X^H is non-empty, then it is contractible.*

The Theorem 1.3 for the case of finite groups is a result of Hanlon and the second author, see [HMP14, Proposition 5.7].

Remark 1.4. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.3, note that the fixed point set X^H is always a subcomplex of the barycentric subdivision of X .

In the case that H is a finite group and X be a simply connected diagrammatically reducible H -complex, the fixed point set X^H is non-empty by a result of Corson [Cor01, Theorem 4.1].

Corollary 1.5. *Let H be a finite group acting on a simply-connected diagrammatically reducible 2-complex X with fine 1-skeleton. Then X^H is contractible.*

A non-empty collection \mathcal{F} of subgroups of H is called a *family* if it is closed under conjugation and under taking subgroups. We call a H -complex X a *model for the classifying space $E_{\mathcal{F}}H$* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) For all $x \in X$, the isotropy group H_x belongs to \mathcal{F} .
- (2) For all $K \in \mathcal{F}$ the fixed point set X^K is contractible. In particular X^K is non-empty.

Corollary 1.6. *Let H be a group acting on a simply-connected diagrammatically reducible 2-complex X with fine 1-skeleton, and let \mathcal{F} be the family of subgroups generated by the H -stabilizers of cells of X . Then X is an $E_{\mathcal{F}}H$ model.*

1.1. Proof of Theorem 1.3. Theorem 1.3 follows from the two propositions below, and a remark of Gersten that any diagrammatically reducible 2-complex is aspherical [Ger87, Remark 3.2], in particular, simply-connected diagrammatically reducible complexes are contractible.

Proposition A (Proposition 4.1). Let X be a simply-connected diagrammatically reducible 2-complex with fine 1-skeleton. If H is a group acting on X and X^H is non-empty, then X^H is connected.

Proposition B (Proposition 3.1). Let X be a simply-connected 2-dimensional diagrammatically reducible H -complex. If X^H is non-empty and connected, then it is simply-connected.

The argument proving Proposition B stays in the realm of the notion of diagrammatically reducible complexes and is self-contained in the note. In contrast, the proof of Proposition A uses a characterization of diagrammatically reducible complexes by J. Corson, see Theorem 4.3, as well as the notion of towers introduced by Howie [How87] and some results about equivariant towers from [HMP14], see Definition 4.5 and Theorem 4.6. The main part of the argument is the following technical result.

Lemma C (Lemma 4.7). Let X be a simply-connected diagrammatically reducible 2-dimensional H -complex. If Y_0 is a 1-dimensional finite connected H -subcomplex of X , then there is a simply-connected finite H -complex Y with a combinatorial H -map $Y \xrightarrow{f} X$ that extends the inclusion $Y_0 \hookrightarrow X$.

Organization. The rest of the note contains three sections. Section 2 recalls terminology and set up notation related to disk diagrams, and deduce a result on uniqueness of non-singular disk diagrams in diagrammatically reducible complexes, see Proposition 2.3. Section 3 contains the proof of Proposition B. The last section, which is half the note, discusses the proof Proposition A.

2. DISK DIAGRAMS IN DIAGRAMMATICALLY REDUCIBLE COMPLEXES

Let us recall some standard terminology that we use in the rest of the note. Let X be a combinatorial complex. A *path* $I \rightarrow X$ of length ℓ is a combinatorial map from an oriented interval divided into ℓ 1-cells. A path of length ℓ is called an ℓ -*path*. A *cycle* is a combinatorial map $C \rightarrow X$ where C is a complex homeomorphic to the circle (we will not need to fix an orientation for our purposes). Two cycles $C_\alpha \xrightarrow{\alpha} X$ and

$C_\beta \xrightarrow{\beta} X$ in X are considered *isomorphic* if there is an isomorphism of complexes $C_\alpha \xrightarrow{\iota} C_\beta$ such that $\alpha = \beta \circ \iota$.

Remark 2.1. If $C_\alpha \xrightarrow{\alpha} X$ and $C_\beta \xrightarrow{\beta} X$ are isomorphic embedded cycles, then there is a unique isomorphism $C_\alpha \xrightarrow{\iota} C_\beta$ such that $\alpha = \beta \circ \iota$.

Now we recall terminology about disk diagrams in complexes, for precise definitions see for example [MW02]. A *disk diagram* D is a non-empty, contractible and planar finite complex with a specific embedding into \mathbb{R}^2 . The diagram is trivial if its consists of a single 0-cell. If the diagram D is not trivial, the embedding in the plane determines the *boundary cycle* which is denoted as $C \xrightarrow{\partial} D$ where C is a complex homomorphic to a circle; intuitively this is the cycle around the complement of D in the plane. The area of a disk diagram is the number of 2-cells in the diagram. Two disk diagrams D_1 and D_2 with boundary cycles $C_1 \xrightarrow{\partial} D_1$ and $C_2 \xrightarrow{\partial} D_2$ are isomorphic if there are isomorphisms of complexes $C_1 \xrightarrow{\iota} C_2$ and $D_1 \xrightarrow{j} D_2$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_1 & \xrightarrow{\partial} & D_1 \\ \downarrow \iota & & \downarrow j \\ C_2 & \xrightarrow{\partial} & D_2 \end{array}$$

commutes. In this case we call the pair (ι, j) an *isomorphism* of disk diagrams from D_1 to D_2 .

Remark 2.2. If (ι, j_1) and (ι, j_2) are isomorphisms of disk diagrams from D_1 to D_2 , then $j_1 = j_2$.

A *disk diagram in a complex* X is a combinatorial map $D \xrightarrow{\delta} X$ where D is a disk diagram. Two disk diagrams $D_1 \xrightarrow{\delta_1} X$ and $D_2 \xrightarrow{\delta_2} X$ in X are *isomorphic* if there is an isomorphism of disk diagrams (ι, j) from D_1 to D_2 such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_1 & & \\ \downarrow j & \searrow \delta_1 & \\ D_2 & \xrightarrow{\delta_2} & X \end{array}$$

commutes.

A *disk diagram filling the cycle* $C \xrightarrow{\gamma} X$ is a disk diagram $D \xrightarrow{\delta} X$ with boundary cycle (isomorphic to) γ . The *area* of δ is defined as the number of 2-cells of D . For any cycle in a simply-connected complex X , there is a disk diagram that fills it. Note that a disk diagram filling

an embedded cycle in X is always non-singular. The *area of a cycle* is defined as the area of the minimal area diagram that fills it. If δ is a minimal area diagram for a cycle in a combinatorial complex X , then δ is a near-immersion.

Proposition 2.3. *Let X be a diagrammatically reducible 2-dimensional complex. Any two nearly-immersed disk diagrams in X filling an embedded cycle in X are isomorphic.*

Proof. Suppose $D_1 \xrightarrow{\delta_1} X$ and $D_2 \xrightarrow{\delta_2} X$ are non-isomorphic nearly-immersed non-singular disk diagrams such that their boundary cycles are the same, that is, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{\partial D_1} & D_1 \\ \downarrow \partial D_2 & & \downarrow \delta_1 \\ D_2 & \xrightarrow{\delta_2} & X. \end{array}$$

Choose the pair δ_1 and δ_2 so that the sum of their areas is minimal among all possible choices. Let S be the sphere obtained by identifying the non-singular disk diagrams D_1 and D_2 along their boundary cycles via $C \xrightarrow{\partial D_1} D_1$ and $C \xrightarrow{\partial D_2} D_2$. Consider the combinatorial map $S \xrightarrow{\phi} X$ defined as $\delta_1 \cup \delta_2$, this is well defined by the above commutative diagram. Consider D_1 and D_2 as subcomplexes of S . Since δ_1 and δ_2 are near-immersions, if ϕ is not a near-immersion then there is a pair of 2-cells R_1 of $D_1 \subset S$ and R_2 of $D_2 \subset S$ such that $\partial R_1 \cap \partial R_2$ contains a 1-cell in $\partial D_1 = \partial D_2$ and $\delta_1(R_1) = \delta_2(R_2)$. Then removing the interiors of e and R_i from D_i , shows that δ_1 and δ_2 are not the minimal choices. Therefore ϕ is a near-immersion which contradicts that X is diagrammatically reducible. \square

3. PROOF OF PROPOSITION B

Proposition 3.1 (Proposition B). *Let X be a simply connected 2-dimensional diagrammatically reducible H -complex. If X^H is non-empty and connected, then it is simply-connected.*

Observe that if X is diagrammatically reducible, then its barycenter subdivision is also diagrammatically reducible. Therefore a combinatorial action of a group on a diagrammatically reducible complex can be assumed to be without inversions by passing to a barycentric subdivision.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. By passing to a barycentric subdivision if necessary, assume that the H -action on X has no inversions.

Let us assume that X^H is not simply-connected. Let $S^1 \xrightarrow{\gamma} X$ be a non null-homotopic loop in X^H such that $\text{Area}(\gamma)$ is minimal among all possible choices of γ . Such a loop exists since X is simply connected. Let $D \xrightarrow{\delta} X$ be minimal area disk diagram filling γ . By minimality of γ , the diagram D is non-singular. By minimality of D , the map δ is a near-immersion.


Since γ is not null-homotopic in X^H , there is a 2-cell R of D such that $\delta(R)$ does not belong to X^H . In particular, since the H -action has no inversions, there exists an $h \in H$ such that $\delta(R)$ and $h \circ \delta(R)$ are different 2-cells of X .

We show below that there is a subcomplex B of D that satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) B is a non-singular disk diagram.
- (2) $\delta = h \circ \delta$ on ∂B .
- (3) B contains the 2-cell R .
- (4) If a closed 2-cell K of B intersects ∂B in a 1-cell, then $\phi(K)$ is not fixed by H .

Suppose that a subcomplex B of D satisfies the first three conditions but not the fourth one. Let K be a closed 2-cell of B that invalidates the fourth condition, that is H fixes pointwise $\delta(K)$ and ∂K contains a 1-cell in ∂B . Let B' be the closure of the connected component of $B \setminus K$ that contains the interior of the 2-cell R . Observe that the subcomplex B' of B is a planar simply-connected complex that has no cut-points. Hence B' is a non-singular disk diagram. Since H fixes pointwise $\delta(\partial K)$, it follows that $h \circ \delta = \delta$ on $\partial B'$. Hence B' satisfies the first three conditions and $\text{Area}(B') < \text{Area}(B)$. Since D satisfies first three conditions, an inductive argument shows that there is a subcomplex B of D that satisfies all four conditions.

Let S be the sphere obtained by pasting two copies of B along their common boundary cycles, and let $\psi: S \rightarrow X$ be the cellular map whose restriction to one copy of B is ϕ and the restriction to the other copy is $h \circ \phi$. More specifically the following



is a commutative diagram. We claim that ψ is a near-immersion. Since $B \xrightarrow{\phi} X$ and $B \xrightarrow{h \circ \phi} X$ are near-immersions, the map ψ can only fail to be a near-immersion if there exists a 2-cell K of B whose intersection with ∂B contains a 1-cell, and $\phi(K) = h \circ \phi(K)$. By the choice of B , ψ is a near-immersion of a spherical diagram into X , contradicting that every spherical map is reducible. \square

4. PROOF OF PROPOSITION A

Proposition 4.1 (Proposition A). *Let X be a simply-connected diagrammatically reducible 2-complex with fine 1-skeleton. If H is a group acting on X and X^H is non-empty, then X^H is connected.*

4.1. Diagrammatically Reducible Complexes Characterization.

In this part, we recall a characterization of diagrammatically reducible complexes by J. Corson from [CT00].

Definition 4.2 (Free 1-cells and Collapsing). An open 1-cell e of a 2-complex X is *free* if it occurs exactly once in the attaching map of a 2-cell f , and e does not occur in the attaching map of any other 2-cell. In this case, *collapsing X along e* means to remove the interior of e and the interior of f .

Theorem 4.3. [CT00, Thm. 2.4] *A simply-connected 2-complex is diagrammatically reducible if and only if every finite subcomplex is either 1-dimensional or contains a free 1-cell.*

Remark 4.4. [Equivariant Collapsing and Inversions][HMP14, Remark 5.6] Let X be a H -complex without inversions and suppose that e is a free 1-cell of X that belongs to the boundary of a 2-cell f . Observe that for every $h \in H$ the 1-cell $h.e$ is free in X . Since H acts without inversions, for every $h \in H$, the 2-cell $h.f$ contains only one 1-cell in the H -orbit of e , namely, $h.e$. Therefore one can simultaneously collapse X along $h.e$ for every $h \in H$ obtaining a H -equivariant subcomplex X' of X . Observe that X' and X have the same homotopy type.

4.2. Equivariant Towers. In this part, we recall the notion of tower introduced by Howie [How87] and some results from [HMP14] about equivariant towers.

Definition 4.5. A combinatorial map $X \rightarrow Y$ is a *tower* if it can be expressed as a composition of inclusions and covering maps. In particular, a tower is an immersion.

There is a notion of maximal equivariant tower lifting and there is an existence result [HMP14, Theorem 3.18] which we state below. We

use the characterization of maximal equivariant tower lifting [HMP14, Proposition 3.10] to state the existence result in order to avoid introducing more definitions. Recall that a H -complex X is H -cocompact if there is a finite subcomplex K such that $\bigcup_{h \in H} hK = X$.

Theorem 4.6. [HMP14, Proposition 3.10 and Theorem 3.18] *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a H -map. If X is simply connected and H -cocompact, then there are H -maps $f': X \rightarrow Z$ and $g: Z \rightarrow Y$ such that $f = g \circ f'$, the complex Z is simply-connected, f' is surjective, and g is a tower.*

4.3. Main technical lemma. The main part of the proof of Proposition 4.1 is the following technical lemma.

Lemma 4.7. *Let X be a simply-connected diagrammatically reducible 2-dimensional H -complex. If Y_0 is a 1-dimensional finite connected H -subcomplex of X , then there is a simply-connected finite H -complex Y with a combinatorial H -map $Y \xrightarrow{f} X$ that extends the inclusion $Y_0 \hookrightarrow X$.*

Proof. Roughly speaking, the H -complex Y is homomorphic to a complex obtained by attaching a 2-cell for each embedded cycle in Y_0 , so that the stabilizer of each 2-cell coincides with the stabilizer of the embedded cycle attached to. Then one endows each 2-cell with the combinatorial structure of a disk diagram that maps into X . This is done in an H -equivariant manner which yields the combinatorial H -map $Y \xrightarrow{f} X$. Since the graph Y_0 is finite, the H -complex Y is finite and simply-connected. Formally, the complex Y is defined as the pushout of the combinatorial H -maps

$$(1) \quad \tilde{D} \xleftarrow{\tilde{\partial}} \tilde{C} \xrightarrow{p} Y_0$$

that we define below.

Definition of \tilde{C} . Let Γ be the set of (isomorphism classes of) embedded cycles $C_\gamma \xrightarrow{\gamma} Y_0$, and define \tilde{C} as the disjoint union

$$\tilde{C} = \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} C_\gamma.$$

Note that \tilde{C} is a finite complex since Γ is a finite set, and each C_γ is a circle.

Definition of the H -action on \tilde{C} . First define an H -action on Γ given by $h \cdot \gamma$ as the cycle $h \circ \gamma$ for $h \in H$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. This action induces an H -action on \tilde{C} as follows. For $x \in C_\gamma$ and $h \in H$, let $h \cdot x = \iota_h(x)$ where

$C_\gamma \xrightarrow{v_h} C_{h.\gamma}$ is the unique isomorphism, given by Remark 2.1, such that

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} C_\gamma & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & Y_0 & \hookrightarrow & X \\ v_h \downarrow & & \downarrow h & & \downarrow h \\ C_{h.\gamma} & \xrightarrow{h.\gamma} & Y_0 & \hookrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

is a commutative diagram.

The H -map $\tilde{C} \xrightarrow{p} Y_0$. The H -map p is defined on each connected component C_γ of \tilde{C} by the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{C} & \xrightarrow{p} & Y_0 \\ \uparrow & \nearrow \gamma & \\ C_\gamma & & \end{array}$$

The map p is H -equivariant by (2).

Definition of \tilde{D} . Since X is a simply-connected diagrammatically reducible complex, for each embedded cycle $C_\gamma \xrightarrow{\gamma} Y_0 \hookrightarrow X$ there is a nearly-immersed disk diagram $D_\gamma \xrightarrow{\delta_\gamma} X$ that fills γ . Define \tilde{D} as the disjoint union

$$\tilde{D} = \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} D_\gamma$$

and observe that it is a finite complex.

Definition of the H -action on \tilde{D} . Note that each D_γ is a non-singular disk diagram since γ is an embedded cycle. Proposition 2.3 implies that for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $h \in H$, the non-singular disk diagrams $D_\gamma \xrightarrow{\delta_\gamma} X \xrightarrow{h} X$ and $D_{h.\gamma} \xrightarrow{\delta_{h.\gamma}} X$ are isomorphic. Then, by Remark 2.2, there is a unique isomorphism of disk diagrams $D_\gamma \xrightarrow{j_h} D_{h.\gamma}$ such that

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} C_\gamma & \xrightarrow{\partial} & D_\gamma & \xrightarrow{\delta_\gamma} & X \\ \downarrow v_h & & j_h \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\ C_{h.\gamma} & \xrightarrow{\partial} & D_{h.\gamma} & \xrightarrow{\delta_{h.\gamma}} & X \end{array}$$

is a commutative diagram. For $h \in H$, its action on \tilde{D} is defined on each connected component by $D_\gamma \xrightarrow{j_h} D_{h.\gamma}$.

The H -map $\tilde{C} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\partial}} \tilde{D}$. The H -map $\tilde{\partial}$ is defined so that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{C} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\partial}} & \tilde{D} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ C_\gamma & \xrightarrow{\partial} & D_\gamma \end{array}$$

is a commutative diagram for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$. The map ∂ map is H -equivariant by (3).

The H -complex Y . Let Y be the H -complex arising as the pushout of (1), or equivalently

$$Y = Y_0 \sqcup_{\{\tilde{\gamma}(x)=\tilde{\partial}(x): x \in \tilde{C}\}} \tilde{D}.$$

Since Y_0 and \tilde{D} are finite complexes, Y is a finite complex. Van-Kampen's theorem implies that Y is a simply-connected complex.

The H -map $Y \xrightarrow{f} X$. Let $\tilde{\delta}: \tilde{D} \rightarrow X$ be the H -map whose restriction to each connected component D_γ is $D_\gamma \xrightarrow{\delta_\gamma} X$. The map $\tilde{\delta}$ is H -equivariant by (3). Since for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the cycle $C_\gamma \xrightarrow{\tilde{\gamma}} X$ factors as $C_\gamma \xrightarrow{\partial} D_\gamma \xrightarrow{\delta_\gamma} X$ it follows that $\tilde{\delta} \circ \tilde{\partial} = \tilde{\gamma}$. Hence there is an H -map $Y \xrightarrow{f} X$ defined by the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & Y_0 & & \\ & \tilde{\gamma} \nearrow & & \searrow & \\ \tilde{C} & & & & X \\ & \tilde{\partial} \searrow & & \nearrow & \\ & & \tilde{D} & & \\ & & & \tilde{\delta} \nearrow & \\ & & & & Y \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \dashrightarrow \end{array}$$

where the arrows without labels are inclusions. \square

Using Lemma 4.7, we can adapt the argument proving [HMP14, Proposition 5.7] to prove Proposition 4.1.

4.4. Proof of Proposition 4.1.

Proof. By passing to a subdivision of X if necessary, assume that the H -action on X has no inversions. Then X^H is a subcomplex of X . Let x_0 and x_1 be 0-cells of X^H . Since X is connected, there is a path $I \xrightarrow{\alpha} X$ in X from x_0 to x_1 of minimal length. In particular α is an embedded path. Suppose that α has length ℓ . Hence I is an oriented closed subdivided interval with a cellular structure consisting

of ℓ edges. For $h \in H$, let $h \circ \alpha$ denote the path obtained by the composition $I \xrightarrow{\alpha} X \xrightarrow{h} X$.

Let

$$Y_0 = \bigcup_{h \in H} h \circ \alpha(I)$$

be the 1-dimensional subcomplex of X defined as the union of the images of $h \circ \alpha$ for $h \in H$. Since the 1-skeleton of X is fine, there are finitely many embedded paths from x_0 to x_1 of length ℓ . Hence the pointwise stabilizer $K = \{h \in H : \alpha = h \circ \alpha\}$ of α is a finite index subgroup of H . Let n be the index of K in H . It follows that Y_0 is the union of n ℓ -paths from x_0 to x_1 and therefore Y_0 is a finite connected H -invariant 1-dimensional subcomplex of X .

By Lemma 4.7, there is a simply-connected finite H -complex Y with a combinatorial H -map $Y \xrightarrow{f} X$ that extends the inclusion $Y_0 \hookrightarrow X$. Now we apply Theorem 4.6 to the H -map $Y \xrightarrow{f} X$. Hence there are H -maps $f' : Y \rightarrow Z$ and $g : Z \rightarrow X$ such that $f = g \circ f'$, Z is simply-connected, g is an immersion, and f' is surjective. Since X is diagrammatically reducible, and Y is a finite complex, it follows that Z is a finite simply-connected diagrammatically reducible H -complex. Since H acts without inversions on X , it also acts without inversions on Z . Let $z_0 = f'(x_0)$ and $z_1 = f'(x_1)$. By Theorem 4.3, it follows that if Z contains 2-cells then it has a free 1-cell e . After a finite number of H -equivariant collapses of Z one obtains a 1-dimensional simply-connected H -subcomplex W of Z , see Remark 4.4. Then W is a tree and therefore H fixes pointwise the path from z_0 to z_1 in W . Since $W \xrightarrow{g} X$ is an H -map, there is a path in X^H from x_0 to x_1 . \square

Acknowledgements. The authors wish to thank Dr. Luis Jorge Sánchez Saldaña for comments and discussions on the results of this note. The second author acknowledges funding by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, NSERC.

REFERENCES

- [BH99] Martin R. Bridson and André Haefliger. *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, volume 319 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [Bow12] B. H. Bowditch. Relatively hyperbolic groups. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, 22(3):1250016, 66, 2012.
- [CCH81] Ian M. Chiswell, Donald J. Collins, and Johannes Huebschmann. Aspherical group presentations. *Math. Z.*, 178(1):1–36, 1981.

- [Cor01] Jon M. Corson. On finite groups acting on contractible complexes of dimension two. *Geom. Dedicata*, 87(1-3):161–166, 2001.
- [CT00] J. M. Corson and B. Trace. Diagrammatically reducible complexes and Haken manifolds. *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A*, 69(1):116–126, 2000.
- [Ger87] S. M. Gersten. Reducible diagrams and equations over groups. In *Essays in group theory*, volume 8 of *Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.*, pages 15–73. Springer, New York, 1987.
- [HMP14] Richard Gaelan Hanlon and Eduardo Martínez-Pedroza. Lifting group actions, equivariant towers and subgroups of non-positively curved groups. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 14(5):2783–2808, 2014.
- [How87] James Howie. How to generalize one-relator group theory. In *Combinatorial group theory and topology (Alta, Utah, 1984)*, volume 111 of *Ann. of Math. Stud.*, pages 53–78. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1987.
- [MW02] Jonathan P. McCammond and Daniel T. Wise. Fans and ladders in small cancellation theory. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 84(3):599–644, 2002.
- [Sie83] Allan J. Sieradski. A coloring test for asphericity. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)*, 34(133):97–106, 1983.

MEMORIAL UNIVERSITY ST. JOHN'S, NEWFOUNDLAND AND LABRADOR, CANADA
Email address: sarora17@mun.ca

MEMORIAL UNIVERSITY ST. JOHN'S, NEWFOUNDLAND AND LABRADOR, CANADA
Email address: eduardo.martinez@mun.ca