

# Meta-material Sensors based Internet of Things for 6G Communications

Jingzhi Hu\*, Hongliang Zhang<sup>†</sup>, Boya Di\*, Kaigui Bian<sup>‡</sup>, and Lingyang Song\*

\*Department of Electronics, Peking University, Beijing, China,

<sup>†</sup>Department of Electrical Engineering, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA,

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Computer Science, Peking University, Beijing, China

**Abstract**—In the coming 6G communications, the internet of things (IoT) serves as a key enabler to collect environmental information and is expected to achieve ubiquitous deployment. However, it is challenging for traditional IoT sensors to meet this expectation because of their requirements of power supplies and frequent maintenance, which are due to their power-demanding sense and transmit modules. To address this challenge, we propose a meta-IoT sensing system, where the IoT sensors are based on specially designed meta-materials. The meta-IoT sensors achieve simultaneous sensing and transmission by physical reflection and require no power supplies. In order to design a meta-IoT sensing system with optimal sensing accuracy, we jointly consider the sensing and transmission of meta-IoT sensors and propose efficient algorithms to optimize the meta-IoT structure and the sensing function at the receiver. As an example, we apply the meta-IoT system to sensing environmental temperature and humidity levels. Simulation results show that by using the proposed algorithm, the sensing accuracy can be largely increased.

## I. INTRODUCTION

For the next coming 6G communications, it is envisioned that the internet of things (IoT) lays the foundation for various important sensing applications [1]. To support sensing applications in intelligent industrial processing and environmental monitoring, an extremely large number of IoT sensors need to be spread pervasively in the environments to collect information. The number of IoT sensors needed in 6G is reckoned to be 10-fold more than that in 5G, reaching 10 million devices per square km [2]. In order to support the ultra-massive deployment, it is necessary for the IoT sensors in 6G to have extremely low power consumption, so that they can be energy-saving and used for continuous sensing without any human intervention or maintenance for an ultra-long time [1].

Nevertheless, it is challenging for existing IoT sensors to satisfy the demands of 6G. Because existing IoT sensors need energy suppliers, such as lithium batteries or energy harvesters, to support their power-consuming sensing, modulation, and transmission modules. Specifically, the power consumption and sophisticated microchips needed in the modulation of sensing results and transmission of the signals result in non-negligible costs and expenses, which make these IoT sensors not suitable for the ultra-massive deployment. To meet the demand for pervasive environment sensing in 6G, it is expected to

This work has been submitted to the IEEE for possible publication. Copyright may be transferred without notice, after which this version may no longer be accessible.

develop sensors with *simultaneous sensing and transmission*, where sensing and transmission are performed simultaneously through physical signal reflection. By this means, no extra energy or sophisticated microchips are required.

Fortunately, meta-material sensors have shown the potential of simultaneous sensing and transmission for sensing applications in 6G communications [3], [4], which we refer to as the *meta-IoT sensors*. The meta-IoT sensors are printed circuits on supportive substrates combined with some sensitive materials, which together work as reflectors for wireless signals. Their working principle is that their reflection coefficients for wireless signals are sensitive to surrounding environmental conditions. Therefore, by analyzing the reflected signals from the meta-IoT sensors, the influence of the environmental conditions can be recognized, and the values of the environmental conditions can be estimated.

In literature, several works have discussed using meta-materials for sensing environmental conditions. In [3], the authors proposed a meta-material sensor which is composed of split-ring resonators (SRRs) and a temperature-sensitive polymer, which can be used to sense CO<sub>2</sub> concentration or temperature. In [5], the authors proposed a meta-material temperature sensor with a double SRR, which can sense temperature levels in harsh high-temperature environments. In [6], the authors designed a humidity sensor based on a perfect meta-material absorber. Moreover, in [7], the authors utilized meta-materials to design an enhanced passive humidity sensor.

However, the above literature focuses on the design to improve the sensing performance while the transmission lacks joint consideration. As the design of meta-IoT sensors not only influences the sensing performance, but also has an impact on the signal transmission, it is important to design the meta-IoT sensor joint considering both sensing and transmission.

In this paper, we design a general meta-IoT sensing system, which is able to sense multiple environmental conditions. We jointly considering the influence of both sensing and transmission and formulate a joint meta-IoT structure and sensing function optimization problem, which is solved efficiently through problem decomposition. The simulation results verify the effectiveness of the proposed design to optimize the meta-IoT sensor design in terms of sensing accuracy.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we introduce the proposed meta-IoT sensors. In Section III,




Fig. 1. (a) A meta-IoT sensor for sensing  $N_T$  different environmental conditions. (b) Equivalent circuit model of the  $n$ -th meta-IoT unit. (c) Equivalent circuit model of the meta-IoT sensor.

the model of the meta-IoT sensing system is described. In Section IV, we formulate a joint meta-IoT structure and sensing function optimization problem and propose the algorithm to solve it in Section V. Simulation results are provided in Section VI, and a conclusion is drawn in Section VII.

## II. META-IOT SENSORS

Meta-materials are artificial periodic structures exhibiting exotic properties, which are underpinned by their special frequency responses for wireless signals [8], [9]. By designing a meta-IoT sensor with specific structure and sensitive materials, we can make the frequency response of the meta-IoT sensor sensitive to various sensing targets, such as temperature, humidity, gas concentration, and so on.

As shown in Fig. 1 (a), the meta-IoT sensor consists of  $N_T$  meta-IoT units for  $N_T$  different target environmental conditions, which we refer to as  $N_T$  sensing target conditions. Each meta-IoT unit consists of a SRR with a horizontal gap printed on a supportive sensitive substrate. The substrate of the meta-IoT sensor is made of dielectric materials, and the SRR is made of metal. The detailed dimensions of a meta-IoT sensor are illustrated in Fig. 1 (a).

Each meta-IoT unit can be approximated by a RLC resonant circuit as shown in Fig. 1 (b). For the  $n$ -th meta-IoT unit with gap width  $d_n$ , given the sensing target condition vector of the  $N_T$  sensing targets being  $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_{N_T})$ , the impedance of the circuit can be calculated as<sup>1</sup>

$$Z_n(f, \mathbf{c}, d_n) = \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i f L_{\text{para},n}} + 2\pi i f C_{\text{para},n} + 2\pi i f C_{\text{gap},n}(d_n) + \frac{1}{R_{\text{gap},n}(\mathbf{c}, d_n)} \right)^{-1}, \quad (1)$$

<sup>1</sup>For the sake of generality, we consider that the electric properties of the  $n$ -th sensitive material can be influenced not only by the  $n$ -th sensing target, but also by the other sensing targets as well.

where  $i$  denotes the imaginary unit,  $f$  denotes the frequency of incident signals on the meta-IoT unit, and  $L_{\text{para},n}$  and  $C_{\text{para},n}$  are the parasitic inductance and capacitance of the SRR, respectively. Besides,  $R_{\text{gap},n}(\mathbf{c}, d_n)$  and  $C_{\text{gap},n}(d_n)$  can be modeled as

$$R_{\text{gap},n}(\mathbf{c}, d_n) = \frac{d_n}{\rho_{\text{mat},n}(\mathbf{c}) W_{\text{SRR}} H_{\text{SRR}}}, \quad C_{\text{gap},n}(d_n) = \frac{\hat{C}_{\text{gap},n}}{d_n}, \quad (2)$$

where  $\rho_{\text{mat},n}(\mathbf{c})$  denotes the conductivity of the  $n$ -th sensitive material when sensing target conditions being  $\mathbf{c}$ , and  $\hat{C}_{\text{gap},n}$  denotes capacity of the gap with a unit width. Then, as shown in Fig. 1 (c), the total impedance of the meta-IoT sensor can be expressed as

$$Z(f, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}) = \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N_T} Z_n(f, \mathbf{c}, d_n)^{-1} + \frac{N_T - 1}{2\pi i f C_{\text{cp}}} \right)^{-1}, \quad (3)$$

where  $C_{\text{cp}}$  denotes the capacity due to the coupling between adjacent meta-IoT units, and  $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_{N_T})$ .

For the meta-IoT sensor, its *reflection coefficient* is a parameter that describes the fraction of the wireless signals reflected by an impedance discontinuity in the transmission medium [10]. In this paper, to facilitate the design of meta-IoT systems, we focus on the reflection coefficient which describe the ratio between the reflected and incident power. Based on [10], the reflection coefficient can be analytically modeled by

$$\hat{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}) = \left| \frac{Z(f, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}) - Z_0}{Z(f, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}) + Z_0} \right|^2, \quad (4)$$

where  $Z_0 = 377 \Omega$  is the impedance of free space.

By substituting (1), (2), and (3) into (4), we can observe that the reflection coefficient of the meta-IoT sensor is dependent on  $\mathbf{d}$ . Therefore, the gap widths of the  $N_T$  meta-IoT units can be considered as the variables to design the meta-IoT sensor, which are thus referred to as the *meta-IoT structure vector*.

Using the analytical model derived above, i.e.,  $\hat{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$ , we reveal the influence of  $\mathbf{d}$  on the reflection coefficients. Nevertheless, to obtain a precise reflection coefficient function, numerical full-wave simulation and practical experiments are in need, which is of high computational time. Therefore, to reduce the time consumption required in optimizing  $\mathbf{d}$  while ensuring the effectiveness of the results, we use  $\hat{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$  and an additional interpolation function together to fit the precise reflection coefficient function over a sampled set of  $\mathbf{d}$  denoted by  $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_A$ . The resulting model-based fitting function is denoted by  $\gamma(f, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$  and used in the following optimization of  $\mathbf{d}$ .

## III. SYSTEM MODEL

In this section, we first describe components of the meta-IoT sensing system, and then establish the transmission model.

### A. System Description

The meta-IoT sensing system consists of a wireless transceiver and an array of meta-IoT sensors, as shown in Fig. 2. The meta-IoT sensor array is composed of  $N_x \times N_y$




Fig. 2. Meta-IoT sensing system.

meta-IoT sensors densely paved within a 2D rectangle region, which is fixed on the wall in front of the wireless transceiver. The wireless transceiver consists of a processing unit and a pair of Tx and Rx antennas, which can be potentially carried by different devices, such as access points, base stations, or unmanned aerial vehicles [11]. The transceiver is capable of transmitting and receiving signals within frequency range  $[f_{lb}, f_{ub}]$ . The processing unit uses a *sensing function* denoted by  $\mathbf{g}$  to map the power of received signals to the sensing target conditions.

### B. Transmission Model

Denote the transmit power by  $P$ , and with the help of [3], we can model the received signal power as

$$P_{\text{Rx,dB}}(f, \mathbf{d}; \mathbf{c}) = 10 \log_{10} \left( \underbrace{PL_{\text{Tx,Rx}}(f)}_{\text{Pathloss}} \cdot \underbrace{(\eta_{\text{env}} \cdot P \cdot R_W + \eta_{\text{ms}} \cdot P \cdot \gamma(f, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}))}_{\text{Reflection}} + \underbrace{P_b}_{\text{Bias}} \right) + \underbrace{e(f)}_{\text{Noise}}, \quad (5)$$

Equation (5) consists of four parts: pathloss, reflection, bias, and noise, which are explained in detail as follows.

1) *Pathloss*: Based on [12], the pathloss can be modeled by

$$PL_{\text{Tx,Rx}}(f) = \left( \frac{v}{4\pi f} \right)^2 \cdot \left( \frac{1}{2D} \right)^\alpha, \quad (6)$$

where  $\alpha$  is the path loss index, and  $D$  denotes the distance between the antenna and the meta-IoT sensor, i.e., the *measurement distance*.

2) *Reflection*: The reflection part is composed of two terms. The first term, i.e.,  $\eta_{\text{env}} \cdot P \cdot R_W$ , is the power reflected by the wall, where  $R_W$  denotes the reflection coefficient of the wall and can be obtained with the help of [13]. Besides, the second term, i.e.,  $\eta_{\text{ms}} \cdot P \cdot \gamma(f, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$  indicates the power reflected by the meta-IoT sensors. Here,  $\eta_{\text{ms}}$  and  $\eta_{\text{env}}$  can be calculated by

$$\eta_{\text{ms}} = \frac{A}{S_0 \cdot (D/D_0)^2}, \quad \eta_{\text{env}} = 1 - \eta_{\text{ms}}, \quad (7)$$

where  $S_0$  denotes the coverage area of the antenna's radiation on the plane at a unit distance, and  $A = N_T l_s^2 N_x N_y$  is the area of the meta-IoT sensor array shown in Fig. 2.

3) *Bias*: The bias at the wireless receiver accounts for the ambient environmental signals and the power measurement

bias of the receiver. The bias  $P_b$  is modeled as a constant value that is much smaller than the transmitted power  $P$ .

4) *Noise*: We model measurement noise  $e(f)$  of the wireless transceiver as a random variable following Gaussian distribution  $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_M^2)$ , where  $\sigma_M^2$  denotes the variance of the measurement noise.

## IV. JOINT META-IOT STRUCTURE AND SENSING FUNCTION OPTIMIZATION PROBLEM

In this section, we formulate a joint meta-IoT structure and sensing function optimization problem for meta-IoT sensors to optimize the sensing performance of the meta-IoT sensing system, where the influence of both the sensing and transmission is considered jointly to minimize sensing errors.

To evaluate the sensing error, we adopt the root mean squared error (RMSE) as the loss function. Besides, the optimization variables are the meta-IoT structure, i.e.,  $\mathbf{d}$ , and the parameters of the sensing function, which are coupled together in the formulated optimization problem. Specifically, the joint meta-IoT structure and sensing function optimization problem is formulated as

$$(P1): \min_{\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{d}} L_{\text{RMSE}}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{d}) = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{N_C} \sum_{m=1}^{N_M} \sum_{n=1}^{N_T} \frac{\|\tilde{\mathbf{c}}_{j,m} - \mathbf{c}_j\|_2^2}{N_C N_M N_T} \right)^{1/2},$$

$$\text{s.t. } (\mathbf{p}_{j,m}, \mathbf{c}_j) \in \mathcal{D}, \quad \forall j \in [1, N_C], m \in [1, N_M], \quad (8)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{c}}_{j,m} = \mathbf{g}^{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{p}_{j,m}), \quad \forall j \in [1, N_C], m \in [1, N_M], \quad (9)$$

$$\mathbf{p}_{j,m} = \left( P_{\text{Rx,dB}}^{(m)}(f_1, \mathbf{d}; \mathbf{c}_j), \dots, P_{\text{Rx,dB}}^{(m)}(f_{N_F}, \mathbf{d}; \mathbf{c}_j) \right), \\ j \in [1, N_C], m \in [1, N_M], \quad (10)$$

$$P_{\text{Rx,dB}}^{(m)}(f_i, \mathbf{d}; \mathbf{c}_j) = 10 \log_{10} \left( PL_{\text{Tx,Rx}}(f_i) \right) \quad (11)$$

$$\cdot (\eta_{\text{env}} \cdot P \cdot R_W + \eta_{\text{ms}} \cdot P \cdot \gamma(f_i, \mathbf{c}_j, \mathbf{d})) + P_b + e_i^{(m)}, \\ i \in [1, N_F], m \in [1, N_M], j \in [1, N_C],$$

$$\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{D}_A, \quad (12)$$

where  $N_F$  denotes the number of measured frequencies.

In the objective function of (P1),  $N_C$  is the number of considered sensing condition vectors,  $N_M$  denotes the number of measurements given each sensing target condition vector,  $\mathbf{c}_j$  indicates the  $j$ -th considered sensing target condition vector, and  $\tilde{\mathbf{c}}_{j,m}$  is the estimated sensing target condition vector for the  $m$ -th measurement at  $\mathbf{c}_j$ . Constraint (8) indicates that the  $N_M N_C$  measurements given  $N_C$  sensing target condition vectors constitute the data set to minimize the RMSE of the system, where  $\mathbf{p}_{j,m}$  denotes the  $m$ -th *received power vector* at  $\mathbf{c}_j$ . Constraint (9) is due to that the sensing target condition vector is estimated by using  $\mathbf{g}^{\mathbf{w}}$ . Constraints (10) and (11) indicate the received power vector is determined by the wireless propagation channel, which is influenced by the sensing targets and the meta-IoT structure. Specifically, superscript  $(m)$  denotes the result of the  $m$ -th measurement. Besides, in (12),  $\mathcal{D}_A$  denotes the set of available meta-IoT structure vectors, which is a continuous set.

Nevertheless, due to the simultaneous sensing and transmission of the meta-IoT sensor, the meta-IoT structure, i.e.,

$\mathbf{d}$ , impacts the sensing and transmission jointly, which makes (P1) a challenging problem. Moreover, constraint (11) is highly non-convex due to the reflection coefficient function and is hard to evaluate. To solve (P1) efficiently, we decompose it into two sub-problems and propose the algorithms to solve them sequentially in Section V.

## V. ALGORITHM DESIGN

In this section, we propose an efficient algorithm to solve the formulated problem (P1). In (P1), the main challenges lie in  $\mathbf{d}$  affects both meta-IoT sensors' sensitivity towards the sensing targets and the transmission, and optimization of  $\mathbf{d}$  and  $\mathbf{w}$  being coupled. To handle these challenges, we decompose (P1) into two subproblems, i.e., *meta-IoT structure optimization*, and *sensing function optimization*, and solve them sequentially.

1) *Meta-IoT Structure Optimization Algorithm*: The meta-IoT structure optimization is based on the following intuition. Consider  $\mathbf{g}^w$  as a general classification function, which recognizes the sensing target conditions corresponding to a received power vector. Then, to optimize the potential performance of  $\mathbf{g}^w$  requires to minimize the *indiscernibility* of the received power vectors for different sensing target condition vectors.

One widely adopted indiscernibility measurement is the *Euclidean distance*, which is used to evaluate the extent that two vectors can be discerned from each other [14]. For example, the average negative Euclidean distance can be used to measure the indiscernibility of the received power vectors in the meta-IoT system, which can be calculated by

$$I_{\text{ED}} = -\frac{1}{N_C} \sum_{j,j' \in [1, N_C]} \|\hat{\mathbf{p}}_j - \hat{\mathbf{p}}_{j'}\|_2^2, \quad (13)$$

where  $\hat{\mathbf{p}}_j$  denotes the expectation of  $\mathbf{p}_{j,m}$ , i.e., neglecting the influence of measurement noise.

Nevertheless, using  $I_{\text{ED}}$  to measure the indiscernibility is inaccurate and inefficient for the considered meta-IoT sensing system. Intuitively, two sensing target condition vectors which are largely different from each other will result in significant differences between their corresponding received power vectors, which makes them highly distinguishable. Thus, the indiscernibility should be majorly due to the received power vectors of neighboring sensing target condition vectors which are similar to each other. Besides, calculating  $I_{\text{ED}}$  in (13) is of the computational complexity  $\mathcal{O}(N_C^2)$ , which is time-consuming when  $N_C$  is large. To handle the above issues, we adopt the *error probability for judging nearest neighbors* as the indiscernibility measurement to the received power vectors, which is

$$I_{\text{EN}} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_C} \sum_{j' \in \mathcal{N}_j} \text{Pr}^{\text{err}}(\mathbf{c}_{j'} | \mathbf{c}_j), \quad (14)$$

where  $\mathcal{N}_j$  denotes the index set of nearest neighbors of the  $j$ -th sensing target condition vector, and  $\text{Pr}^{\text{err}}(\mathbf{c}_{j'} | \mathbf{c}_j)$  denotes the error probability to judge the  $N_T$  sensing target conditions to be  $\mathbf{c}_{j'}$  when the actual conditions are  $\mathbf{c}_j$ . Specifically, nearest neighbor set  $\mathcal{N}_j$  in (14) is composed of the sensing target condition vectors which have the smallest positive or negative

difference with the  $j$ -th sensing target condition vector at each sensing target condition, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{N}_j = \cup_{n=1}^{N_T} \left\{ j' | j' = \underset{j'' \in \mathcal{X}}{\text{argmin}} \sum_{n' \neq n} |c_{j'', n'} - c_{j, n'}| \right\}, \quad (15)$$

$$\text{where } \mathcal{X} = \left\{ \underset{\rho \in [1, N_C], \rho \neq n}{\text{argmin}} |c_{\rho, n} - c_{j, n}| \text{ and } c_{\rho, n} \neq c_{j, n} \right\},$$

where  $c_{j,n}$  indicates the  $n$ -th element of vector  $\mathbf{c}$ . It can be observe in (15) that  $|\mathcal{N}_j| \leq 2N_T$ . Therefore, the computational complexity of  $I_{\text{EN}}$  is  $\mathcal{O}(N_C)$ , which is much smaller than that of  $I_{\text{ED}}$ . Besides, the error probability  $\text{Pr}^{\text{err}}(\mathbf{c}_{j'} | \mathbf{c}_j)$  can be calculated with the help of Proposition 1.

**Proposition 1.** *Assume that the maximum likelihood decision criterion is adopted to judge between the sensing target condition vectors. Then, for a given meta-IoT structure  $\mathbf{d}$ ,  $\text{Pr}^{\text{err}}(\mathbf{c}_{j'} | \mathbf{c}_j)$  can be calculated by*

$$\text{Pr}^{\text{err}}(\mathbf{c}_{j'} | \mathbf{c}_j) = 0.5 \cdot \left( 1 - \text{erf} \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N_F} (\tau_{j', i} - \tau_{j, i})^2}{2\sqrt{2}} \right) \right), \quad (16)$$

where  $\text{erf}(\cdot)$  denotes the error function, and  $\tau_{j,i}$  ( $\tau_{j',i}$ ) is

$$\tau_{j,i} = 10 \log_{10} (P L_{\text{Tx}, \text{Rx}}(f_i) \cdot P \cdot (\eta_{\text{env}} R_W + \eta_{\text{ms}} \gamma(f_i, \mathbf{c}_j, \mathbf{d})) + P_b). \quad (17)$$

Moreover,  $\text{Pr}^{\text{err}}(\mathbf{c}_{j'} | \mathbf{c}_j)$  decreases as transmit power  $P$  increases or as measurement distance  $D$  decreases.

*Proof:* See Appendix A. ■

Therefore, based on (P1) and (14), the meta-IoT structure optimization problem can be formulated as follows, where we minimize  $I_{\text{EN}}$  by optimizing  $\mathbf{d}$ .

$$(\text{sP1}): \min_{\mathbf{d}} I_{\text{EN}} = \sum_{j,j' \in [1, N_C]} \text{Pr}^{\text{err}}(\mathbf{c}_{j'} | \mathbf{c}_j),$$

$$\text{s.t. (12), (15)-(17)}.$$

Due to that the objective function in (sP1) contains a non-convex function, i.e.,  $\text{erf}(\cdot)$ , (sP1) is a non-convex optimization problem that is hard to solve. To solve (sP1) efficiently, we adopt the surrogate optimization algorithm [15], which can handle finitely bounded non-convex optimization problems and has a high probability of finding a global optimum.

2) *Sensing Function Optimization Algorithm*: In the sensing function optimization, we adopt the optimized meta-IoT structure, i.e.,  $\mathbf{d}^*$ , and minimize the RMSE of the sensing by optimizing  $\mathbf{w}$ , i.e.,

$$(\text{sP2}): \min_{\mathbf{w}} L_{\text{RMSE}}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{d}^*) = \left( \sum_{(\mathbf{p}_{j,m}, \mathbf{c}_j) \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{\|\tilde{\mathbf{c}}_{j,m} - \mathbf{c}_j\|_2^2}{|\mathcal{D}|} \right)^{1/2},$$

$$\text{s.t. (8)-(10)}.$$

To solve (sP2), we model that  $\mathbf{g}^w$  as a fully connected neural network, which is an efficient model for general types of classification and regression functions. The fully connected neural network consists of an input layer, a hidden layer, and an output layer, which are connected successively. The input layer takes the  $N_F$ -dimensional real-valued received power vector, passes it to the hidden layer which contains  $N_W$  neural nodes, each of which calculates a biased weighted sum of its input

---

**Algorithm 1** Joint Meta-IoT Structure and Sensing Function Optimization Problem
 

---

**Input:** Set of  $N_C$  sensing target conditions; Set of  $N_F$  frequency points; Number of training data  $|\mathcal{D}|$ ; Set of available meta-IoT structure vectors  $\mathcal{D}_A$ ; Channel coefficients,  $\alpha$ ,  $\sigma_M$ ,  $\eta_{\text{env}}$ ,  $\eta_{\text{ms}}$ ,  $P$ , and  $D$ ; Learning rate  $\beta$ .

**Output:**  $\mathbf{d}^*$ ,  $\mathbf{g}^{w^*}$ .

- 1: Solve (sP1) and obtain the optimal meta-IoT structure vector  $\mathbf{d}^*$  by using the surrogate optimization algorithm.
  - 2: Based on  $\mathbf{d}^*$ , use Monte Carlo method to generate random set of training data  $\mathcal{D}$ .
  - 3: Using the training data set  $\mathcal{D}$  to train the neural network by solving (sP2), and obtain the optimized sensing function  $\mathbf{g}^{w^*}$ .
  - 4: **return**  $\mathbf{d}^*$  and  $\mathbf{g}^{w^*}$ .
- 

and processes the sum with a *softmax* function [14]. Then, the nodes in the hidden layer pass the results to the output layer, which consists of  $N_T$  neural nodes, which output the estimated sensing target conditions. In this case, the parameter vector of the sensing function, i.e.,  $\mathbf{w}$ , stands for the weights of the connections and the biases of the nodes.

Moreover, to obtain training data set  $\mathcal{D}$  in (8), we use the Monte Carlo method. In the simulation, we generate a set of random received power vectors satisfying the constraints in (sP2) which constitutes  $\mathcal{D}$ . i.e.,

$$\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{p}_{j,m}, \mathbf{c}_j) | \mathbf{p}_{j,m} = \mathbf{e} + \boldsymbol{\tau}^*(\mathbf{c}_j), \mathbf{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_{N_F}), \quad (18)$$

$$e_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_M^2), i \in [1, N_F], j \in [1, N_C], m \in [1, N_M]\},$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\tau}^*(\mathbf{c}_j)$  is a  $N_F$ -dimensional vector with its  $i$ -th element being  $\tau_i^*(\mathbf{c}_j) = 10 \log_{10} (PL_{\text{Tx,Rx}}(f_i) \cdot (\eta_{\text{env}} \cdot P \cdot R_W + \eta_{\text{ms}} \cdot P \cdot \gamma(f_i, \mathbf{c}_j, \mathbf{d}^*)) + P_b)$ , and  $\mathbf{e}$  is a  $N_F$ -dimensional vector of independently distributed Gaussian random variables.

Then, we optimize  $\mathbf{g}^w$  by training it on  $\mathcal{D}$  using the supervised learning technique [14]. The training of  $\mathbf{w}$  is performed by iteratively updating  $\mathbf{w}$  along the negative gradient of the RMSE loss in (sP2), i.e.,

$$\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w} - \beta \nabla_{\mathbf{w}} L_{\text{RMSE}}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{d}^*), \quad (19)$$

where the gradient  $\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} L_{\text{RMSE}}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{d}^*)$  is calculated by using the back-propagation algorithm [14], and  $\beta \in [0, 1]$  denotes the learning rate. Sum up the algorithms to solve sub-problems (sP1) and (sP2), and we can summarize the complete algorithm to solve (P1) as Algorithm 1.

## VI. SIMULATION RESULTS

In this section, we provide simulation results of the proposed algorithm, which validates the effectiveness of the algorithm and the meta-IoT system. Besides, we give insight into how the transmit power and the measurement distance influence the sensing accuracy.

In the simulation, each meta-IoT sensor consists of two meta-IoT units. The first meta-IoT unit has temperature-sensitive material within its gap and is aimed for sensing temperature, which we refer to as the *T-unit*. Similarly, the second meta-IoT unit contains humidity-sensitive material for sensing humidity and is referred to as *H-unit*. More specifically, the meta-IoT units are made of copper rings and FR-4 supportive

TABLE I  
SIMULATION PARAMETERS

Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value
$[f_{lb}, f_{ub}]$	3.5-4.5 GHz	$N_x \times N_y$	$6 \times 12$	$L_{\text{SRR}}$	7.5 mm	$l_s$	14.54 mm
$P$	100 mW	$\alpha$	2	$W_{\text{SRR}}$	1.2 mm	$H_{\text{SRR}}$	0.035 mm
$D$	50 cm	$R_W$	0.48	$N_W$	256	$h$	3.2 mm
$S_0$	210.4 cm <sup>2</sup>	$\beta$	0.002	$ \mathcal{D} $	4000	$\sigma_M^2$	$10^{-4}$




Fig. 3. Resulting RMSEs of the meta-IoT system versus transmit power  $P$  given different meta-IoT structures.

substrate, and the detailed setting parameters are shown in Table I. The adopted temperature-sensitive material in T-unit is the powder used in the NTC thermistor SDNT2012X102-3450-TF [16]. Besides, the adopted humidity-sensitive material in H-unit is the polymer used in the hygistor TELAIRE HS30P [17]. Moreover, the selected sensing target condition set is  $\mathcal{C} = \{(c_1, c_2) | c_1 \in [5, 45], c_2 \in [20, 60], c_1, c_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}\}$ , and thus  $N_C = 81$ . By solving (P1) given the above simulation settings, we obtain the optimal meta-IoT structure  $\mathbf{d}^* = (2.05, 1.22)$  mm.

Figs. 3 and 4 show the resulting RMSE obtained by solving (sP2) under different cases of meta-IoT structure. In both Figs. 3 and 4, the first case indicates the resulting RMSE of the meta-IoT system with the optimal meta-IoT structure found within  $\mathcal{D}_A = \{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^2 | d_T, d_H \in [1, 5] \text{ mm}, d_T \neq d_H\}$ . The second case indicates the resulting RMSE of the meta-IoT system given the optimal integer meta-IoT structure found within  $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_A = \{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^2 | d_T, d_H \in [1, 5] \text{ mm}, d_T \neq d_H\}$ , which is denoted by  $\mathbf{d}_G^*$ . The third case indicates the average resulting RMSE of the meta-IoT system given meta-IoT structures in  $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_A$ . It can be observed that the optimal meta-IoT structure obtained by using Algorithm 1 outperforms the other meta-IoT structures in terms of the resulting RMSE.

Besides, it can be observed that the resulting RMSE values of different meta-IoT structures decrease as the transmit power increases, and increase as the measurement distance increases, which are in accordance with the analysis in Proposition 1. Moreover, the optimal meta-IoT structure leads to the lowest RMSE under different transmit power and distance.




Fig. 4. RMSEs of the meta-IoT system versus distance between the wireless transceiver and the meta-IoT sensor given different meta-IoT structures.

## VII. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have designed a meta-IoT sensing system, which is composed of meta-IoT sensors and a wireless transceiver. In the meta-IoT system, the meta-IoT sensors can simultaneously sense physical environmental conditions and transmit back the sensing results in a fully passive manner. We have analyzed the relationship between the meta-IoT structure and its reflection coefficients for wireless signals as well as the transmission model. A joint meta-IoT structure and sensing function optimization problem has been formulated and solved, in which the meta-IoT structure's influence on the sensing and transmission has been jointly considered. Specifically, we have applied the proposed meta-IoT sensing system to sense the temperature and humidity levels. Simulation results have verified the effectiveness of the proposed algorithm in minimizing the RMSE of meta-IoT sensor's sensing for temperature and humidity levels, and have revealed the trend that the sensing accuracy decreases as the transmit power decreases or the measurement distance increases.

### APPENDIX A PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1

Given  $\mathbf{c}_j$  ( $\mathbf{c}_{j'}$ ), we denote the corresponding received power vector by  $\mathbf{p}_j$  ( $\mathbf{p}_{j'}$ ). In the following, we analyze the probability to decide the sensing target conditions to be  $\mathbf{c}_{j'}$  by judging from  $\mathbf{p}_j$ . Based on (5) and (17), the  $i$ -th elements of  $\mathbf{p}_j$  and  $\mathbf{p}_{j'}$  are

$$p_{j,i} = e_i + \tau_{j,i}, \quad p_{j',i} = e_i + \tau_{j',i}, \quad (20)$$

where  $e_i$  is a random variable with random normal distribution  $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_M^2)$  with  $\sigma_M^2$  being the variance of the measurement noise at frequency  $f_i$ , and  $\tau_{j,i}$  is defined in (17). Then, based on the probability density function of the normal distribution, the probability of getting  $\mathbf{p}_j$  given  $\mathbf{c}_j$  and  $\mathbf{c}_{j'}$  are

$$\Pr(\mathbf{p}_j|\mathbf{c}_j) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{N_F} e^{-\frac{e_i^2}{2\sigma_M^2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_M}, \quad \Pr(\mathbf{p}_j|\mathbf{c}_{j'}) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{N_F} e^{-\frac{(e_i + \tau_{j,i} - \tau_{j',i})^2}{2\sigma_M^2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_M}.$$

Using the maximum likelihood criterion, the probability to decide on  $\mathbf{c}_{j'}$  from  $\mathbf{p}_j$  can be calculated by  $\Pr(\mathbf{p}_j|\mathbf{c}_{j'}) > \Pr(\mathbf{p}_j|\mathbf{c}_j)$ , which is equivalent to

$$\Pr\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{N_F} 2e_i \cdot (\tau_{j',i} - \tau_{j,i}) > \sum_{i=1}^{N_F} (\tau_{j',i} - \tau_{j,i})^2\right\}. \quad (21)$$

Denote  $\chi_{j',j} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_F} e_i \cdot (\tau_{j',i} - \tau_{j,i})$ , and  $\chi_{j',j}$  is a random variable following the normal distribution, i.e.,  $\chi_{j',j} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{j',j}^2)$ , where

$$\sigma_{j',j}^2 = \sigma_M^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N_F} (\tau_{j',i} - \tau_{j,i})^2.$$

Then, the probability in (21) can be calculated by

$$\Pr^{\text{err}}(\mathbf{c}_{j'}|\mathbf{c}_j) = 0.5 - 0.5 \cdot \text{erf}\left(\frac{\sigma_{j',j}^2}{2\sqrt{2}\sigma_M^2}\right). \quad (22)$$

By taking partial derivative, it can be proved that  $\partial\sigma_{j',j}^2/\partial P > 0$ , indicating that the error probability decreases as the transmit power increases. Similarly, by taking the partial derivative with respect to  $D$ , it can be proven that  $\partial\sigma_{j',j}^2/\partial D < 0$ , indicating that the error probability decreases as the measurement distance decreases. ■

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. Wikström, J. Peisa, P. Rugeland, N. Johansson, S. Parkvall, M. Girnyk, G. Mildh, and I. L. Da Silva, "Challenges and technologies for 6G," in *IEEE 6G Wireless Summit*, (Levi, Finland, Finland), Mar. 2020.
- [2] G. Liu, Y. Huang, N. Li, J. Dong, J. Jin, Q. Wang, and N. Li, "Vision, requirements and network architecture of 6G mobile network beyond 2030," *China Commun.*, vol. 17, pp. 92–104, Sept. 2020.
- [3] A. Vena, L. Sydänheimo, M. M. Tentzeris, and L. Ukkonen, "A fully inkjet-printed wireless and chipless sensor for CO2 and temperature detection," *IEEE Sensor J.*, vol. 15, pp. 89–99, July 2015.
- [4] Y. Yuan, Y. Zhao, B. Zong, and S. Parolari, "Potential key technologies for 6G mobile communications," *Sci. China Inf. Sci.*, vol. 63, May 2020.
- [5] F. Lu, Q. Tan, Y. Ji, Q. Guo, Y. Guo, and J. Xiong, "A novel metamaterial inspired high-temperature microwave sensor in harsh environments," *Sensors*, vol. 18, Aug. 2018.
- [6] B. Ni, Z. Y. Wang, R. S. Zhao, X. Y. Ma, Z. Q. Xing, L. S. Yang, L. J. Huang, Y. Y. Lin, and D. B. Zhang, "Humidity sensor based on perfect metamaterial absorber," in *Int. Conf. Numerical Simulation Optoelectronic Devices*, (Sydney, NSW, Australia), July 2016.
- [7] L. Dong, L. Wang, and Q. Huang, "Applying metamaterial-based repeater in LC passive wireless sensors to enhance readout," *IEEE Sensors J.*, vol. 18, pp. 1755–1760, Feb. 2018.
- [8] J. Hu, H. Zhang, B. Di, L. Li, K. Bian, L. Song, Y. Li, Z. Han, and H. V. Poor, "Reconfigurable intelligent surface based RF sensing: Design, optimization, and implementation," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 38, Nov. 2020.
- [9] M. A. ElMossallamy, H. Zhang, L. Song, K. G. Seddik, Z. Han, and G. Y. Li, "Reconfigurable intelligent surfaces for wireless communications: Principles, challenges, and opportunities," *IEEE Trans. Cogn. Commun. Netw.*, vol. 6, pp. 990–1002, Sept. 2020.
- [10] D. M. Pozar, *Microwave engineering*. John Wiley & Sons, 2011.
- [11] S. Zhang, H. Zhang, B. Di, and L. Song, "Cellular UAV-to-X communications: Design and optimization for multi-UAV networks," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 18, pp. 1346–1359, Feb. 2019.
- [12] A. Goldsmith, *Wireless Communications*. Cambridge University Press: New York, NY, 2005.
- [13] O. Landron, M. J. Feuerstein, and T. S. Rappaport, "A comparison of theoretical and empirical reflection coefficients for typical exterior wall surfaces in a mobile radio environment," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 44, pp. 341–351, Mar. 1996.
- [14] I. Goodfellow, Y. Bengio, and A. Courville, *Deep Learning*. MIT Press: Cambridge, MA, 2016.

- [15] Y. Wang and C. A. Shoemaker, "A general stochastic algorithmic framework for minimizing expensive black box objective functions based on surrogate models and sensitivity analysis," *arXiv:1410.6271*.
- [16] "Chip temp. sensing NTC thermistor - SDNT series." Available: [http://www.sunlordinc.com/UploadFiles/PDF\\_Cat/20120507204152283.pdf](http://www.sunlordinc.com/UploadFiles/PDF_Cat/20120507204152283.pdf), Mar. 2011. Accessed: 2020-12-29.
- [17] "TELAiRE hs30p relative humidity sensor." Available: <https://www.amphenol-sensors.com/en/component/edocman/viewdocument/384/384-telaire-hs30p-relative-humidity-sensor-product-datasheet?Itemid=>, Sept. 2019. Accessed: 2020-12-29.