

# The refined local lifting problem for cyclic covers of order four

Huy Dang

## ABSTRACT

Suppose  $\phi$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}/4$ -cover of a curve over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic 2, and  $\phi_1$  is its  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -sub-cover. Suppose, moreover, that  $\Phi_1$  is a lift of  $\phi_1$  to a complete discrete valuation ring  $R$  that is a finite extension of the ring of Witt vectors  $W(k)$  (hence in characteristic zero). We show that there exists a finite extension  $R'$  of  $R$ , and a lift  $\Phi$  of  $\phi$  to  $R'$  with a sub-cover isomorphic to  $\Phi_1 \otimes_k R'$ . This gives a non-trivial family of cyclic covers where Saïdi's refined lifting conjecture holds.

## Contents

<b>0</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
0.1	Step 1	3
0.2	Step 2	3
0.3	Step 3	4
0.4	Step 4	4
0.5	Acknowledgements	4
<b>1</b>	<b>Step 1</b>	<b>5</b>
1.1	The $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -deformations	6
<b>2</b>	<b>Step 2</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Step 3</b>	<b>8</b>
3.1	Degeneration type	9
3.2	Reduce to the local case	11
3.3	The case $\iota_{i,1} = 0$	12
3.4	The case $\iota_{i,1} > 0$	12
3.5	The global deformation	14
<b>4</b>	<b>Step 4</b>	<b>15</b>
	<b>References</b>	<b>16</b>

## 0. Introduction

As the name suggests, the goal of a *lifting problem* is to construct some objects in characteristic 0 that “lifts” the given ones in characteristic  $p > 0$ . It is inspired by a result by Grothendieck, which says that every curve in positive characteristic lifts [MR203, III]. We are interested in the lifting problem for Galois covers of curves, which can be formally stated as below.

---

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification 14H30, 14H10, 11S15.

Keywords: lifting problem, Artin-Schreier-Witt theory, Kummer theory, Galois covers of curves, good reduction.

The author is supported by the Vietnam Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics and the Simons Foundation Grant Targeted for Institute of Mathematics, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology.

*Question 0.1* (the lifting problem for covers of curves). Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Given  $\Gamma$  a finite group and  $\bar{f} : \bar{Y} \rightarrow \bar{X}$  is a  $\Gamma$ -cover of smooth projective, connected curves. Is there a  $\Gamma$ -cover  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  of smooth curves over a discrete valuation ring  $R$  in characteristic 0 whose special fiber  $f \otimes_R k$  is isomorphic to  $\bar{f} : \bar{Y} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ ?

The answer is NOT always a yes. Let's see a simple example. The number of automorphisms of a curve of genus  $g \geq 2$  in characteristic zero is at most  $84(g-1)$  (see, e.g., [Har77] IV, Ex. 2.5). In characteristic  $p$ , Roquette [Roq70] gave an example of the smooth projective cover  $\bar{f} : \bar{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$  defined by the affine equation  $y^2 = x^p - x$ . This cover has genus  $(p-1)/2$  and its group of deck transformations  $\Gamma$  has cardinality  $2p(p^2-1)$ , which is larger than  $84(g-1)$  for  $p \geq 5$ . Thus,  $\bar{f}$  cannot lift to characteristic zero. For another counter-example, see [OW14, §1.1].

However, Oort conjectured (which first appeared in 1995 in a list of questions and conjecture published in [MR319, Appendix 1]) that an arbitrary  $\Gamma$ -covers should lift when  $\Gamma$  is cyclic. Recently, Andrew Obus, Stefan Wewers, and Florian Pop proved the conjecture in [OW14] and [Pop14]. More specifically, Obus and Wewers proved a general result that a cyclic  $\Gamma$ -cover  $\bar{f} : \bar{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$  lifts if it has no *essential ramification* or *essential jump* [Pop14, Definition 3.1]. Pop completed the proof by showing that every cover that cannot be lifted by Obus and Wewers admits an equicharacteristic deformation whose generic fibers are ones with no essential ramification and thus also lift to characteristic zero. Moreover, Obus suggested to the author that the techniques used in [OW14] may have the potential to prove a more general form of the Oort Conjecture, which we call the *refined Oort conjecture*, as follows.

*Conjecture 0.2.* [Sai12] Suppose  $\phi : Z \rightarrow X$  is a cyclic  $\Gamma$ -cover of curves over  $k$ , and  $\phi_1 : Y \rightarrow X$  is its Galois sub-cover. Suppose, moreover, that  $\Phi_1 : \mathcal{Y}_R \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_R$  is a lift of  $\phi_1$  to a finite extension  $R/W(k)$ , hence in characteristic 0. Then there exists a finite extension  $R'/R$ , and a lift  $\Phi : \mathcal{Z}_{R'} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{R'}$  of  $\phi$  over  $R'$  that contains  $\Phi_1 \otimes_R R' : \mathcal{Y}_{R'} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{R'}$  as a sub-cover.

Thanks to a local-global principle (see, e.g., [Gar96]), it suffices to prove the following local version of the conjecture (see [Dan20a, Proposition 2.22]).

*Conjecture 0.3.* Let  $k[[y_2]]/k[[x]]$  be a cyclic  $\Gamma$ -extension. Suppose we are given a discrete valuation ring  $R$  in characteristic zero and a lift  $R[[Y_2]]/R[[X]]$  of a Galois sub-extension  $k[[y_1]]/k[[x]]$ . Does there exist a finite extension  $R'$  of  $R$  in characteristic zero with residue field  $k$  and a  $\Gamma$ -Galois extension  $R'[[Y_2]]/R'[[X]]$  that lifts  $k[[y_2]]/k[[x]]$  and contains  $R'[[Y_2]]/R'[[X]]$  as a sub-extension?

That is, whether one can always fill in the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & k[[y_2]] & \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow R[[Y_2]] & \leftarrow \cdots \\
 & \uparrow \phi_2 & & \uparrow \Phi_2 \\
 & k[[y_1]] & \leftarrow & R[[Y_1]] & \leftarrow \cdots \\
 & \uparrow \phi_1 & & \uparrow \Phi_1 & \\
 & k[[x]] & \leftarrow & R[[X]] & \leftarrow \cdots \\
 & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \\
 & k & \leftarrow & R & 
 \end{array} \tag{1}$$

where  $R$  is a finite extension of  $W(k)$ , each column is a tower of *cyclic* extensions, and the group actions on each row are “compatible” in the obvious sense. We call Question 0.3 the refined local




FIGURE 1. Step 1

lifting problem. Furthermore, just as in the standard lifting problem, we may reduce our study to the case when the cyclic group  $\Gamma$  of Conjecture 0.3 is  $\mathbb{Z}/p^n$ .

**PROPOSITION 0.4.** *Suppose  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}/p^n \times \mathbb{Z}/m$ , where  $m$  is prime to  $p$ . Then Conjecture 0.3 holds for  $\Gamma$  if and only if it holds for  $\mathbb{Z}/p^n$ .*

*Proof.* It is an easy generalization of [Obu12, Proposition 6.3]. See also [Dan20a, Proposition 2.30] for the proof of the equal characteristics case.  $\square$

In this paper, we show that the conjecture holds for the most simple but nontrivial case in characteristic 2. Particularly, our main result is the following.

**THEOREM 0.5.** *Conjecture 0.3 holds for  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}/4 \times \mathbb{Z}/m$ , where  $m$  is odd, in characteristic 2.*

Due to Proposition 0.4, we may prove the theorem for the case  $m = 1$ . Suppose we are given a  $\mathbb{Z}/4$ -cover  $\phi$  and a lift  $\Phi_1$  of its  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -sub-cover  $\phi_1$  as in diagram (1). The proof processes in four steps as follows.

### 0.1 Step 1

We start by explicitly constructing an equal characteristics deformation of  $\phi$  over the complete discrete valuation ring in equal characteristics  $S := k[[t]]$ , as described in Figure 1, such that  $\psi$ 's generic fiber has **no** essential jump. We further assume that  $\psi$  has  $m$  branch points  $b_1, \dots, b_m$ .

### 0.2 Step 2

**Convention:** We usually denote by  $\tau_{\eta(2)}$  and  $\tau_{s(2)}$  (resp.  $\tau_{\eta(t)}$  and  $\tau_{s(t)}$ ) the generic fiber and the special of a cover  $\tau$  over a 2-adic ring, e.g.,  $W(k)$  (resp. over a  $t$ -adic ring, e.g.,  $k[[t]]$ ).

We then construct a “two layers deformation”  $\Psi_1$  of  $\phi_1$  over  $V$ , which is a finite extension of the 2-adic ring  $R[[t]]$ , with respect to  $\Phi_1$  that makes the diagram in Figure 2 commutes with compatible  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -actions on the top (Proposition 2.2). In other words,  $\Psi_1$  is a lift of the generic fiber  $\psi_{1,\eta(t)}$  over  $R((t))$ . In addition, the deformation  $\Psi_1$  is also defined over  $V$ . It hence makes sense to talk about its reduction modulo  $t$  aka its  $t$ -fiber. In addition, the  $t$ -fiber of  $\Psi_1$ , which we denote by  $\Psi_{1,s(t)}$ , is isomorphic to  $\Phi_{1,\eta(2)}$ .




FIGURE 2. Step 2

### 0.3 Step 3

We first explicitly partition  $\psi$ , which may be thought of as an element in  $H^1(\text{Frac}(S[[x]]), \mathbb{Z}/4)$ , into a “product” of the characters  $\psi^1, \dots, \psi^m$ , where each  $\psi^i$  corresponds to a cover branched only at  $b_i$ . For each  $i$ , let  $\psi_1^i$  be  $\psi^i$ 's  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -sub-cover. Then  $\psi_1 = \prod_{i=1}^m \psi_1^i$ . In addition, we also can factor the  $\psi_1$ 's lift in the previous step as  $\Psi_1 = \prod_{i=1}^m \Psi_1^i$ , where  $\Psi_1^i$  is precisely the lift of  $\psi_{1,\eta(t)}^i$  to characteristic zero. As  $\psi^i$  has no essential second (upper) jump at its only branch point by construction (in the first step), one may extend the deformation  $\Psi_1^i$  to a  $\mathbb{Z}/4$ -cover  $\Psi^i$  that lifts  $\psi^i$  explicitly, using a technique adapted from [GM98]. The product of these lifts, after a slight modification (Proposition 3.15), forms a lift  $\Psi$  of  $\psi$  to  $V$  that extends  $\Psi_1$  and has good reduction modulo  $t$  (i.e. the  $t$ -fiber  $\Psi \otimes_V R$  is smooth). These processes make use extensively the notion of *degeneration type* (Definition 3.2), which, combining with a different criterion type of result, allows us to control the smoothness of the fibers by slightly moving the branch points.

### 0.4 Step 4

Finally, we show that the diagram in Figure 3 is commutative with compatible  $\mathbb{Z}/4$ -actions. In other words, the  $t$ -fiber  $R[[Y_2]]$  of  $V[[Y_2]]$ , together with the induced  $\mathbb{Z}/4$ -action, of the two layers  $\mathbb{Z}/4$ -deformation constructed in the previous step (§0.3) is a lift of  $\phi$  to characteristic zero extending  $\Phi_1$ .

*Remark 0.6.* The main obstacle that prevents us from extending the result of Theorem 0.5 is generalizing the second step. In this manuscript, we use an explicit method that may only work in our situation.

### 0.5 Acknowledgements

The author thanks his Andrew Obus for helpful discussions and for carefully proofreading an earlier version of this paper. He thanks Nathan Kaplan, Joe Krammer-Miller, and the University of California Irvine's Department of Mathematics for their hospitality during his stay in Orange County, California, where this project started, amid the Covid-19 pandemic. The author is grateful to the Institute of Mathematics of Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology and the Vietnam Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics for the excellent working conditions they provide. This research is funded by the Vietnam Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics




FIGURE 3. Step 3 and Step 4

and the Simons Foundation Grant Targeted for Institute of Mathematics, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology.

### 1. Step 1

Recall that  $\phi$  is the  $\mathbb{Z}/4$ -cover of  $k[[x]]$ . Suppose  $\phi$  has *branching datum*  $[\iota_1, \iota_2]$ , that is to say, the upper ramification breaks, a.k.a the upper ramification jumps, [Ser79, Chapter IV, §3] at the only branch point are  $(\iota_1 - 1, \iota_2 - 1)$ . Recall that the generic fiber of a deformation  $\psi$  of  $\phi$  can be represented by a  $m \times 2$  matrix as follows

$$\begin{bmatrix} \iota_{1,1} & \iota_{1,2} \\ \dots & \dots \\ \iota_{m,1} & \iota_{m,2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

where,  $\iota_{i,1} > 1$ ,  $\iota_{i,2} - p\iota_{i,1} \geq 1 - p$ , and  $\iota_j = \sum_{i=1}^m \iota_{i,j}$  for  $j = 1, 2$  [Dan20a, Corollary 2.9]. That means the generic fiber of  $\psi$  branches at  $r$  points, says  $b_1, \dots, b_r$ , and the branching datum at  $b_i$  is  $[\iota_{i,1}, \iota_{i,2}]$ . Note that, we write  $\iota_{i,1} = 0$  when the inertia group at any point in  $b_i$ 's fiber is  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ . When that happens,  $\iota_{i,2}$  is the only ramification jump. We call  $\iota_{i,2}$  the *conductor* at  $b_i$ . One may, after a change of variables, assume that  $b_1 = 0$ . Note that the conditions are necessary for  $\psi$  to be a flat deformation by the *different criterion* [Obu12, Proposition 4.8].

One may associate with  $\phi$  a unique HKG-cover (see [Har80] and [Kat86]) of the projective line  $Z_2 \xrightarrow{\phi} W \cong \text{Proj } k[x]$  totally ramified above  $x = 0$  such that the formal completion above 0 yields  $k[[y_2]]/k[[y_1]]$ . Pop proves the following result, which says that every cyclic cover is in the same flat family with a cover  $\psi$  that has no essential ramification. That means, in the matrix of (2),  $\iota_{i,1} \leq p$  and  $\iota_{i,2} - p\iota_{i,1} \leq 1$ . See also [Dan20a, §2.3.5] for a brief explanation. In our case, this equates to  $\iota_{i,1} = 2$  or  $0$ , and  $\iota_{i,2} = 3, 4$  (when  $\iota_{i,1} = 2$ ) or  $\iota_{i,2} = 2$  (when  $\iota_{i,1} = 0$ ).

PROPOSITION 1.1. *c.f. [Pop14, Key Lemma 3.2] Suppose we are given a  $\mathbb{Z}/p^n$ -extension  $k(y)/k(x)$ . Let  $\mathcal{A} = k[x][[t]] \supseteq k[x]$ , and let  $\mathcal{S} = \text{Frac } \mathcal{A}$ . There exists an  $\mathbb{Z}/p^n$ -extension  $\mathcal{K}/\mathcal{S}$ , with  $k[y_2] \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ , having the following properties.*

- *If  $\mathcal{C}$  is the integral closure of  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $\mathcal{K}$ , we have  $\mathcal{C} \cong k[[t]][y_2]$ . In particular,  $(\mathcal{C}/(t))/(\mathcal{A}/(t))$  is  $\mathbb{Z}/p^n$  isomorphic to the original extension.*
- *Let  $\mathcal{A}_\eta = \mathcal{A}[t^{-1}]$ , and let  $\mathcal{C}_\eta = \mathcal{C}[t^{-1}]$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}_\eta/\mathcal{A}_\eta$  is an  $\mathbb{Z}/p^n$ -extension that has no essential ramification jumps.*

*Remark 1.2.* The extension  $\mathcal{K}/\mathcal{S}$  in the above proposition is explicitly constructed from the “standard form” length- $n$  Witt vector that represents  $k(y)/k(x)$ . Let’s see an example.

*Example 1.3.* Recall that  $\mathbb{Z}/p^n$ -extensions of a field in characteristic  $p$  are characterized by the Artin-Schreier-Witt theory [Lan02, p.330]. Suppose  $\bar{\chi}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}/2^2$ -cover of  $\mathbb{P}_k^1$  that is defined by

$$\wp(y_1, y_2) = \left( \frac{1}{x^3}, \frac{1}{x^7} + \frac{1}{x^5} \right) = \left( \frac{1}{x^8}, \frac{1+x^2}{x^7} \right),$$

where  $\wp$  is the isogeny  $F - \text{Id}$ . It follows from [Gar02, Theorem 1] that  $\bar{\chi}$  branches only at 0 with jump  $(\iota_1, \iota_2) = (3, 7)$ , thus branching datum  $[4, 8]$ . Observe that

$$\begin{cases} \iota_1 - 2\iota_0 &= 2 \cdot 1 + 1 \\ \iota_2 - 2\iota_1 &= 2 \cdot 0 + 1 \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Based on the data in (3) one can “split” [9, 53] into the following  $4 \times 2$  matrix

$$[4 \quad 8] \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1+1 & 1 \cdot 2 + 1 + 1 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

Set  $S := k[[t]]$ . Follow [Pop14, §3.B], one can construct a cover  $\chi$  of  $\mathbb{P}_R^1$  defined by

$$\wp(Y_1, Y_2) = \left( \frac{1}{x^2(x-t^2)}, \frac{1+x^2}{x^3(x+t^2)^4} \right). \quad (5)$$

It is immediate the  $\chi$ ’s special fiber is birational of  $\bar{\chi}$ . In addition, by adding  $\wp\left(\frac{1}{tx}, \frac{1+t^2}{t^3(x+t^2)^2} + \frac{1}{t^3x^3}\right)$  to the right-hand-side of (5) and once more applying [Gar02], one learns that  $\chi$ ’s generic fiber branches at 0 and  $t^2$  with jumps (1, 3) at each place. Therefore,  $\chi$  is a deformation of  $\bar{\chi}$  over  $S$  with type (4) due to the different criterion mentioned above.

### 1.1 The $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -deformations

Recall that  $\phi_1 : k[[x]] \rightarrow k[[y_1]]$  is the sub- $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -cover of  $\phi$ . It follows from [Pri03, Lemma 2.1.2], which says a local Artin-Schreier extension is determined by its ramification jump, that  $\phi_1$  is defined by

$$y^2 - y = \frac{1}{x^{\iota_1 - 1}},$$

after a change of variables  $x$ . We may assume that  $\iota_1 = 2q_1 + 1$  for some  $q_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ . From now on, we identify  $\phi_1$  with its corresponding HKG-over. It then follows from Proposition 1.1’s proof that the sub  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -extension of  $\mathcal{K}/\mathcal{S}$ , which may be regarded as a deformation of  $\phi_1$  over  $k[[t]]$ , has the form

$$y^2 - y = \frac{1}{x \prod_{j=1}^q (x - s_j)^2}, \quad (6)$$

where  $s_i \in tk[[t]]$ .

## 2. Step 2

We first give some descriptions for the lift  $\Phi_1$  of  $\phi_1$ . Set  $\kappa := \text{Frac } k[[x]] = k((x))$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *Suppose we are given  $\phi_1 \in H^1(\kappa, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ , which corresponds to an Artin-Schrier cover defined by*

$$y^2 - y = \frac{1}{x^m},$$

where  $m = 2q + 1$  (hence odd). Suppose  $\Phi_1$  is a lift of  $\phi_1$  to a ring  $R$  in characteristic zero with uniformizer  $\pi$  and has 0 as a branch point. One may think of  $\Phi_1$  as a character in  $H^1(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ , where  $\mathbb{K} := \text{Frac } R[[X]]$ . Then  $\Phi_1$  can be represented by a Kummer extension of the following form

$$Z^2 = 1 + 4 \frac{G}{X \prod_{i=1}^q (X - u_i)^2},$$

where  $u_i \in R$ , and the 2-valuation  $\nu_2(u_i) > 0$ ,  $G \in R[[X]]$ , and  $\overline{G} = 1$  modulo  $\pi$ . In particular, we have

$$X \prod_{i=1}^q (X - u_i)^2 + 4G = \prod_{j=1}^m (X - B_j), \quad (7)$$

where  $B_1, \dots, B_m \in R$  are non-zero distinct branch points of  $\Phi_1$ .

*Proof.* Recall from §1 that any extension of  $R[[X]]$  extends to an HKG cover of  $\mathbb{P}_K^1$ . This fact and the Kummer theory allow us to assume that  $\Phi_1$  is defined by

$$W^2 = \prod_{j=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{B_j}{X}\right) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{b_i}{X^i} := F \in R[X^{-1}].$$

One sees that  $\nu_2(F) = 0$  where  $\nu_2$  is the Gauss valuation on  $\mathbb{K}$  with  $\nu_2(X) = 0$  and  $\nu_2(2) = 1$ . Applying [OW14, Lemma 5.18] with  $N = q - 1$ , one finds  $H = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{q-1} \frac{c_j}{X^j} \in R[X^{-1}]$  such that

$$F - H^2 = \sum_{l=1}^m \frac{a_l}{X^l} \in R[X^{-1}]$$

verifying  $a_{2l} = 0$  for all  $l \leq q - 1$ . Thus, we have  $\nu_2(F - H^2) \geq 0$  and  $[F - H^2]_0$ , which is the image of  $(F - H^2)2^{-\nu_2(F - H^2)}$  in  $\kappa$ , is not a square. Therefore, as  $\Phi_1$  has a good reduction isomorphic to  $\phi_1$  by the hypothesis, [OW14, Proposition 5.17] asserts that  $\nu_2(F - H^2) = 2$  and  $[F - H]_0 = 1/x^m$ . In addition, a simple calculation shows that

$$\frac{F}{H^2} = 1 + 4 \frac{G}{X(X^{q-1} + X^{q-2}c_2 + \dots + c_{q-1})^2} =: 1 + 4 \frac{G}{X \prod_{i=1}^q (X - u_i)^2},$$

where  $\overline{G} \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi}$ . Finally, as  $\nu_2(c_j) > 0$  as shown in the proof of [OW14, Lemma 5.18], the Newton polygon technique (see [Neu99, Proposition 6.3]) affirms that  $\nu_2(u_i) > 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, q$ , hence the final assertion.  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** *With the notation as in Proposition 2.1, the equation*

$$Z^2 = 1 + 4 \frac{G}{X \prod_{i=1}^q (X - (u_i + t_i))^2} \quad (8)$$

defines a deformation  $\Psi_1$  of  $\Phi_{1,\eta(2)}$  over  $R[t_2, \dots, t_q]$ . Moreover, the reduction of  $\Psi_1$  modulo 2

yields a deformation  $\psi_1$  of  $\phi_1$  over  $k[t_2, \dots, t_q]$  given by

$$y^2 - y = \frac{1}{x \prod_{i=1}^q (x - t_i)^2}.$$

*Proof.* It is immediate that the  $(t_2, \dots, t_q)$  reduction of  $\Psi_1$  is birational to  $\Phi_{1, \eta(2)}$ . In addition, one may rewrite (8) as

$$Z^2 = \frac{X \prod_{i=1}^q (X - (u_i + t_i))^2 + 4G}{X \prod_{i=1}^q (X - (u_i + t_i))^2}.$$

As the numerator modulo  $(t_2, \dots, t_q)$  is, by (7), equal to the separable polynomial  $X \prod_{j=1}^m (X - B_j)$ , itself must be a separable polynomial in  $R[t_2, \dots, t_q][X]$  of degree  $m$  (by Hensel's lemmas [Neu99, page 144]), whose roots are different from 0. Thus, the generic fiber  $\Psi_{1, \eta(t_1, \dots, t_q)}$  is a Kummer  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -extension that branches at  $m+1$  distinct point. Therefore, by the different criterion,  $\Psi_1$  is a flat deformation of  $\Phi_{1, \eta(2)}$  over  $R[t_2, \dots, t_q]$ .

In addition, substituting  $Z =: 1 + 2Y$  to (8), we obtain

$$Y^2 + Y = \frac{G}{X \prod_{i=1}^q (X - (u_i + t_i))^2}.$$

A similar reasoning using the different criterion as above then shows that  $\Psi_1$  gives a lift of the cover  $\psi_{1, \eta(t)}$ , which is the deformation of  $\phi_1$ 's generic fiber, to  $R[t_2, \dots, t_q]$  in characteristic 0.  $\square$

Let us go back to the situation of §1.1. One then may replace each  $t_i$  in (8) by  $s_i$  in (6). That gives a deformation of  $\Phi_1$  over a finite extension of  $(\text{Frac } R)[[t]]$  whose reduction modulo  $\pi$  defines a deformation  $\psi_1$  of  $\phi_1$  over  $k[[t]]$ . In addition, by Hensel's lemma, the branch points of  $\Psi_1$  are defined over  $(\text{Frac } R)[[t]]$ . Therefore, one may regard  $\Psi_1$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -extension of  $V[[X]]$ , where  $V := R[[t]]$ , completing the second step.

### 3. Step 3

In this section, we explicitly extend a local  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -two-layers-lift to a  $\mathbb{Z}/4$ -one with good reduction modulo  $t$ . The technique, which is quite explicit in this paper, can be interpreted geometrically using *Hurwitz trees*. It is a combinatorial-differential object that encodes in its structure the degeneration data of a rigid disc's cover (see, e.g., [BW09] [Dan20b]). That perception will be presented in a forthcoming paper.

Fix a function field  $\mathbb{K}$  and  $n \geq 1$ . We set

$$H_{p^n}^1(\mathbb{K}) := H^1(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n). \quad (9)$$

We call an element of  $H_{p^n}^1(\mathbb{K})$  a *character*. As in [OW14], we will use such a character as a substitute for an exponent- $p^n$ -extension of  $\mathbb{K}$  as they are "more convenient to manipulate algebraically" [Obu17]. For instance, if  $\phi_n \in H_{p^n}^1(\mathbb{K})$ , then one may regard  $\phi_n^{p^{n-i}}$ , for  $1 \leq i < n$ , as an element of  $H_{p^i}^1(\mathbb{K})$ . Note that, if  $\mathbb{K}$  has characteristic 0 (resp. characteristic  $p$ ), then

$$H_{p^n}^1(\mathbb{K}) \cong \mathbb{K}/\mathbb{K}^{p^n} \quad (\text{resp. } H_{p^n}^1(\mathbb{K}) \cong W_n(\mathbb{K})/\wp(W_n(\mathbb{K})), \quad (10)$$

by Kummer theory (resp. Artin-Schreier-Witt theory) given that  $\mathbb{K}$  contains a primitive  $p^n$ -th root of unity.

**DEFINITION 3.1.** *With the notations above and  $\mathbb{K}$  has characteristic zero, an element  $F \in \mathbb{K}$  gives*



rise to a character  $\mathfrak{K}_{p^n}(F) \in H_{p^n}^1(\mathbb{K})$  by the Kummer equation  $Z_n^{p^n} = F$ . In particular, if

$$F = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} F_i^{p^i} \notin \mathbb{K}^{p^n}$$

where for each  $i$ ,  $F_i \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \mathbb{K}^p$  or  $F_i = 1$ , then, for each  $j < n$  its  $\mathbb{Z}/p^j$ -subcover is given by

$$Z_j^{p^j} = \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} F_i^{p^i}.$$

Suppose  $\mathbb{K}$  is of characteristic  $p$ . Then a length- $n$ -Witt-vector  $\underline{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in W_n(\mathbb{K})$  gives rise to a character  $\mathfrak{K}_{p^n}(\underline{f}) \in H_{p^n}^1(\mathbb{K})$  corresponding to the Artin-Schreier-Witt extension [Lor08, §26]

$$\wp(y_1, \dots, y_n) = (f_1, \dots, f_n).$$

### 3.1 Degeneration type

Let us briefly introduce the notion of degeneration type, a useful invariant for an abelian local covering like ones in this paper. For more details, see, e.g., [OW14, §5.3.1] for the mixed characteristics case or [Dan20a, §3.5] for the equal characteristics case.

**DEFINITION 3.2.** Suppose  $\mathcal{K}$  is a valuation field with valuation  $\nu$ , valuation ring  $R$ , uniformizer  $\pi$ , and residue field  $\kappa$ . Let  $\phi \in H_{p^n}^1(\mathcal{K})$  be a character of order  $p^n$ . The degeneration of  $\phi$  can be measured by its associated refined Swan conductors. Those include the following invariants.

1. The depth Swan conductor  $\text{sw}(\phi) \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ , which measures the separability of  $\phi$ 's reduction. Particularly, it is 0 if and only if  $\phi$  is unramified with respect to  $\nu$ , a.k.a. generically étale.
2. For  $\text{sw}(\phi) > 0$ , the differential Swan conductor  $\text{dsw}(\phi) \in \Omega_{\kappa}^1$ .
3. For  $\text{sw}(\phi) = 0$ , the reduced reduction  $\underline{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in W_n(\kappa)$ . A Witt vector  $\underline{g} \in W_n(\kappa)$  in the same Artin-Schreier-Witt class of  $\underline{f}$  is called a reduction.

We call  $(\text{sw}(\phi), \text{dsw}(\phi))$  when  $\text{sw}(\phi) > 0$ , or  $(0, \underline{f})$  when  $\text{sw}(\phi) = 0$ , the degeneration type or the reduction type of  $\phi$ . In addition, for each branch point  $P$  of  $\phi$ 's generic fiber  $\phi_\eta$ , we define the conductor of  $\phi_\eta$  at  $P$ , denoted by  $\text{cond}(\phi_\eta, P)$ , as follows

$$\text{cond}(\phi_\eta, P) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{char}(\mathcal{K}) = 0 \\ (P\text{'s highest ramification break}) + 1, & \text{char}(\mathcal{K}) = p \end{cases}.$$

When  $\text{sw}(\phi) = 0$ , for each pole  $q$  of  $\underline{f}$  we define the conductor at  $q$  to be the conductor (§1) of the corresponding branch point of  $\mathfrak{K}_{p^n}(\underline{f}) \in H_{p^n}^1(\kappa)$ .

These information can be calculated easily when the character is of order  $p$  in characteristic 0. The characteristic  $p$  case is similar [Dan20b].

**THEOREM 3.3** [OW14, Proposition 5.17]. Suppose  $\text{char}(\mathcal{K}) = 0$ ,  $F \in \mathcal{K}^\times \setminus (\mathcal{K}^\times)^p$  and  $\nu(F) = 0$ . Suppose, moreover, that  $\chi := \mathfrak{K}(F) \in H_p^1(\mathcal{K})$  is weakly unramified with respect to  $\nu$ .

(a) We have

$$\text{sw}(\chi) = \frac{p}{p-1} - \max_H \nu(F - H^p),$$

where  $H$  ranges over all elements of  $\mathcal{K}$ .

(b) The maximum of  $\nu(F - H^p)$  in (a) is achieved if and only if

$$g := [F - H^p] \notin \kappa^p.$$

If this is the case, and  $\text{sw}(\chi) > 0$ , then

$$\text{dsw}(\chi) = \begin{cases} dg/g & \text{if } \text{sw}(\chi) = p/(p-1) \\ dg & \text{if } 0 < \text{sw}(\chi) < p/(p-1) \end{cases}.$$

If, instead,  $\text{sw}(\chi) = 0$ , then its reduction  $\bar{\chi}$  corresponds to the Artin-Schreier extension given by the equation  $y^p - y = g$ . Its reduced reduction type is the reduced form of  $g$ .

**DEFINITION 3.4.** With the notation in Definition 3.2, suppose  $F, G \in \mathcal{K} \setminus \mathcal{K}^p$ , where  $\mathcal{K}$  is a valued field of characteristic zero. Suppose, moreover, that  $\nu(F) = \nu(G) = 0$ . We say  $F$  and  $G$  are in the same Artin-Schreier degeneration class, denote by  $F \sim_{\text{AS}} G$ , if the corresponding characters (of order  $p$ ) have the same degeneration type. Note that  $\sim_{\text{AS}}$  is an equivalence relation.

The following is immediate from Theorem 3.3.

**PROPOSITION 3.5.** Suppose that  $F$  and  $G$  are as in Definition 3.4. Then  $F \sim_{\text{AS}} G$  if and only if there exist  $F', G' \in \mathcal{K}$  such that  $\nu(F - F'^p) = \nu(G - G'^p) = p/(p-1) - \text{sw}(\mathfrak{K}_p(F)) = p/(p-1) - \text{sw}(\mathfrak{K}_p(G))$  and

- 1)  $[F - F'^p] = [G - G'^p]$  if  $\nu(F - F'^p) = \frac{p}{p-1}$ ,
- 2)  $d[F - F'^p] = d[G - G'^p]$  if  $0 < \nu(F - F'^p) < \frac{p}{p-1}$ ,
- 3)  $\frac{d[F - F'^p]}{[F - F'^p]} = \frac{d[G - G'^p]}{[G - G'^p]}$  if  $\nu(F - F'^p) = 0$ .

Here  $[F - F'^p]$  is the reduction of  $(F - F'^p)/p^{\nu(F - F'^p)}$  modulo  $\pi$ .

One then can realize the good reduction of  $\phi$  from its degeneration type as follows.

**PROPOSITION 3.6.** With the notation of Definition 3.2, the character  $\phi$  has good reduction if and only if

- 1)  $\text{sw}(\phi) = 0$ , and
- 2) For each poles  $x_i$  of  $\underline{f}$  and let  $\{X_i^j\}_{j \in J}$  be the branch points of  $\phi$ 's generic fiber  $\phi_\eta$  reducing to  $x_i$ , we have

$$\text{cond}(\underline{f}, x_i) = \sum_{j \in J} \text{cond}(\phi_\eta, X_i^j). \quad (11)$$

Moreover, if  $\phi$  has good reduction, then its special fiber is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{K}_{p^n}(\underline{f}) \in H_{p^n}^1(\kappa)$ .

*Proof.* Note that for each  $i$  the completion of the localization  $\phi^i$  of  $\phi$  at  $x_i$  gives an admissible character as in the situation of [OW14] and [Dan20a]. When  $\text{sw}(\phi) = 0$ , we have

$$\text{sw}_{\mathfrak{K}(\underline{f})}(x_i) = \text{sw}_{\phi^i}(x_i) = \text{cond}(\underline{f}, x_i) - 1.$$

The first equality follows from [OW14, Remark 5.8 (i)], where  $\text{sw}_{\phi^i}(x_i)$  is the *boundary Swan conductor* of  $\phi^i$  at  $x_i$ , and  $\text{sw}_{\mathfrak{K}(\underline{f})}(x_i)$  is the usual Swan conductor of  $\mathfrak{K}(\underline{f})$  with respect to  $\text{ord}_{x_i}$ , which is in turn equal to  $x_i$ 's highest ramification break as a branch point of  $\mathfrak{K}(\underline{f})$  [Ser79]. In addition, the right-hand-side of (11) is precisely  $|\mathbb{B}(\phi^i)|$  in [OW14, Corollary 5.13] (characteristic zero) or  $\mathfrak{C}_{\phi^i}(0, x_i, \bar{0})$  in [Dan20a, Corollary 3.24] (characteristic  $p$ ). The proposition immediate follows. All the cited results are consequences of Kato's vanishing cycle formula [Kat87].  $\square$

**Remark 3.7.** Equation (11) is equivalent to the different criterion mentioned in the previous section. We will employ the former for the rest of the paper.

The following result demonstrates how one can compute the degeneration type of a product of characters, which will later allow us to do the construction locally.

LEMMA 3.8 [OW14, Proposition 5.9]. *Let  $\chi_i \in H_{p^n}^1(\mathcal{K})$ , with  $i = 1, 2, 3$  satisfying the relation  $\chi_3 = \chi_1 \cdot \chi_2$ . Set  $\delta_i := \text{sw}(\chi_i)$  and  $\omega_i := \text{dsw}(\chi_i)$ , for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Then the following holds.*

- (1) *If  $\delta_1 \neq \delta_2$  then  $\delta_3 = \max\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ . Furthermore, we have  $\omega_3 = \omega_1$  if  $\delta_1 > \delta_2$  and  $\omega_3 = \omega_2$  otherwise.*
- (2) *If  $\delta_1 = \delta_2 > 0$  and  $\omega_1 + \omega_2 \neq 0$  then  $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = \delta_3$  and  $\omega_3 = \omega_1 + \omega_2$ .*
- (3) *If  $\delta_1 = \delta_2 > 0$  and  $\omega_1 + \omega_2 = 0$  then  $\delta_3 < \delta_1$ .*
- (4) *if  $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 0$ , then  $\delta_3 = 0$  and  $\bar{\chi}_3 = \bar{\chi}_1 \cdot \bar{\chi}_2$ . Hence, if the reduced reduction type of  $\chi_1$  (resp.,  $\chi_2$ ) is  $\underline{f}$  (resp.,  $\underline{g}$ ) in  $W_n(\kappa)$ , then  $\underline{f} + \underline{g}$  is a reduction type of  $\chi_3$ .*

### 3.2 Reduce to the local case

Let us now get back to the third step. The below proposition shows that  $\psi$  (resp.  $\Psi^1$  constructed in §2) can be factored into a product of “local”  $\mathbb{Z}/4$ -extensions (resp. local  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -lifts). Throughout §3.2, we set  $V := R[[t]]$  and  $\mathcal{K} := \text{Frac } V$ .

PROPOSITION 3.9. *One may factor the extension  $\psi_{\eta(t)}$  from Step 1 into a product of  $\psi^1, \dots, \psi^m$  that verify the followings.*

- (i) *For  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,  $\psi^i$  branches only at  $b_i$  with type  $[\iota_{i,1}, \iota_{i,2}]$ .*
- (ii) *When  $\iota_{1,i} > 0$ , the  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -subcover  $\psi_1^i$  of  $\psi^i$  has type  $[\iota_{1,i}]$  and satisfies  $\psi_1 = \prod_{i=1}^m \psi_1^i$ .*

*The lift  $\Psi_1$  of  $\psi_1$  in turn factors into a product of  $\{\Psi_1^j\}_j$ , where  $\iota_{j,1} > 0$  and  $\Psi_1^j$  is a lift of  $\psi_{1,\eta(t)}^j$  to characteristic zero.*

*Proof.* We first recall that  $\psi$  is represented by a Witt vector  $\underline{F} = (F_1, F_2) \in W_2(k(x, t))$ . Part (i) follows from [Dan20a, Proposition 5.1], which says one can write  $\underline{F}$  as a sum of  $\{\underline{F}^i = (F_1^i, F_2^i)\}_{i=1}^m$ , where  $b_i$  is the only pole of  $\underline{F}^i$ . We set  $\psi^i := \mathfrak{K}(\underline{F}^i) \in H_4^1(k(x, t))$ .

Note that, each character  $\psi_1^j$ , locally at its only branch point  $b_j$ , is either trivial or corresponds to a  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -cover given by

$$y^2 - y = \frac{1}{x}.$$

That follows from the fact that  $\iota_{j,1} = 0$  or 2 for all  $j$  and [Pri03, Lemma 2.1.2], which says a local Artin-Schreier extension is determined by its only ramification break.

For the second part, recall that one may write (8) as

$$Z^2 = \frac{(X - V_1) \prod_{j=2}^q (X - V_{j,1})(X - V_{j,2})}{X \prod_{i=1}^q (X - (u_i + s_i))^2}$$

where  $V_1, V_{j,i} \in V = R[[t]]$ ,  $V_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$ , and  $V_{j,1} \equiv V_{j,2} \equiv b_j \pmod{\pi}$  for  $j = 2, \dots, q$ . For each  $j = 2, \dots, q$  (resp.  $j = 1$ ), we define  $\Psi_1^j$  (resp.  $\Psi_1^1$ ) to be the  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -extension of  $\text{Frac } V[[X]]$  defined by the order-two Kummer class of

$$(X - V_{j,1})(X - V_{j,2}) \quad (\text{resp. } X(X - V_1)).$$

It is immediate that  $\Psi_1$  is the product of  $\Psi_1^j$ . In addition, by Lemma 3.8 and the assumptions on the reduction of  $V_{j,i}$ , we see that  $\Psi_1^j$  is a lift of  $\psi_1^j$  to characteristic zero.  $\square$

### 3.3 The case $\iota_{i,1} = 0$

Suppose first that  $\iota_{i,1} = 0$ . Then one may assume, after the change of variables  $x \mapsto x + s_i$  and the base change to  $k((t))[x]$ , that  $\psi^i$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -extension of  $k((t))[x]$  defined by

$$\wp(y_1, y_2) = \left(0, \frac{a}{x}\right),$$

where  $a \in k(t)^\times$ . Let  $w$  be a lift of  $a$  to  $\mathcal{K} = \text{Frac } V$  whose reduction modulo  $t$  is well-defined. For instance, if  $a = 1/t^n$ , one may pick  $w = 1/(t^n + 2)$ . A similar argument to the proof of Proposition 2.1 then shows that the Kummer equation

$$(Z_2^i)^2 = 1 + \frac{4w}{X}$$

gives a lift  $\Psi^i$  of  $\psi^i$  to  $V$ . Note that the zero of the right-hand-side has well-defined reduction modulo  $t$ .

*Remark 3.10.* Observe that

$$\nu\left(1 + \frac{4w}{X} - 1^2\right) = 2, \text{ and } \left[1 + \frac{4w}{X} - 1^2\right] = \frac{a}{x}.$$

One then achieves the same conclusion as above using Proposition 3.5.

### 3.4 The case $\iota_{i,1} > 0$

When  $\iota_{i,1} > 0$ , one may assume as before that the  $\mathbb{Z}/4$ -extension  $\psi^i$  of  $k((t))[x]$  is given by a length-two Witt vector of the form

$$\left(\frac{a}{x}, \frac{a_3}{x^3} + \frac{a_1}{x}\right),$$

where  $a, a_3, a_1 \in k((t))$ ,  $a \neq 0$  (by the construction of Proposition 1.1, they all lie in  $k(t)$ ). That is to say, the corresponding extension of function field is given by adjoining  $y_1, y_2$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} y_1^2 - y_1 &= \frac{a}{x}, \text{ and} \\ y_2^2 - y_2 &= \frac{y_1 a}{x} + \frac{a_3}{x^3} + \frac{a_1}{x}. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

See [Obu12, Proposition 6.5] for more details. In addition, by Proposition 2.1, the  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -two-layers-lift  $\Psi_1^i$  of its  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -sub-cover, after a similar change of variables, can be represented by

$$(Z_1^i)^2 = 1 + \frac{4w_1}{X} \in \mathcal{K}(X), \tag{13}$$

where  $w_1$  lies in  $\mathcal{K}$ ,  $w_1 \equiv a \pmod{\pi}$ ,  $w_1$  modulo  $t$  is non-zero and lies in a finite extension of the field  $K = \text{Frac } R$ .

Next, we construct the local extension  $\Psi^i$  in three steps corresponding to Proposition 3.11, Corollary 3.13, and Corollary 3.14.

**PROPOSITION 3.11.** *With the above notation, suppose  $a_1 = a_3 = 0$  (in (12)). Then the following equation defines a  $\mathbb{Z}/4$  extension  $\Psi^{i,\min}$  of  $\Psi_1^i$  that lifts  $\psi^i$  to  $V$ .*

$$(Z_2^i)^2 = Z_1^i \left(1 - \frac{2i w_1}{X}\right). \tag{14}$$

Moreover,  $-2i w_1$  is well-defined modulo  $t$ .

*Proof.* Note that, by substituting  $Z_1^i = 1 - 2Y_1^i$  to (13), we obtain an Artin-Schreier equation

$$(Y_1^i)^2 - Y_1^i = \frac{w_1}{X}.$$

Hence, combining with (12), we learn that  $w_1 \equiv a \pmod{\pi}$ . We then apply the lifting technique of Green and Matignon [GM98] as follows. Let  $Y_2^i$  be a solution to

$$(Z_2^i)^2 = 1 - 4Y_2^i + 4(Y_2^i)^2 - 2(1-i)Y_1^i + 4(1-i)Y_1^i Y_2^i - 2i(Y_1^i)^2$$

in  $\mathcal{K}(Z_1, Z_2)$ . The right-hand-side of the above equation is the square of  $F_1(Y_1^i) + \lambda Y_2^i$  in [Obu12, Proof of Theorem 6.16], which is precisely  $1 + iY_1^i - 2Y_2^i$  for the case of residue characteristic 2. Applying the above substitution and  $Z_1^i = 1 - 2Y_1^i$  to (14) gives

$$(Y_2^i)^2 - Y_2^i + (1-i)Y_1^i Y_2^i = \frac{Y_1^i i w_1}{X}. \quad (15)$$

One sees that (15) reduces (modulo  $\pi$ ) to the Artin-Schreier equation defining the extension  $\psi_1^i$  to  $\psi^i$  (12). Thus, [GM98, Lemmas 5.2, 5.3] shows that the cover defined by (13) and (14) is a lift of  $\psi^i$  (to  $V$ ) extending  $\Psi_1^i$ .  $\square$

From now on, let  $\sqrt{u}$  denotes a root of  $Y^2 = u$ . When solving an equation containing  $\sqrt{u}$ , one may replace it by  $-\sqrt{u}$ . We say the reduction modulo  $t$  (resp. modulo  $\pi$ ) of an element  $u \in \mathcal{K}$  makes sense if  $\nu_t(u) \geq 0$  (resp.  $\nu_\pi(u) \geq 0$ ).

PROPOSITION 3.12. *With the above notation, one of the following two polynomials*

$$\begin{aligned} g(X) &= X^2 - \sqrt{2}(i\sqrt{2}w_1 - 2\sqrt{\tilde{a}_3/w_1})X + 2\tilde{a}_3/w_1 \text{ and} \\ h(X) &= X^2 - \sqrt{2}(i\sqrt{2}w_1 + 2\sqrt{\tilde{a}_3/w_1})X + 2\tilde{a}_3/w_1, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where  $\tilde{a}_3$  is a lift of  $a_3 \in k(t)$  to  $\mathcal{K}$  whose reduction modulo  $t$  makes sense (as discussed in §3.3) and be such that both of the polynomials have distinct roots, satisfy

$$g \cdot \frac{X - 2iw_1}{X^3} \quad \left( \text{or } h \cdot \frac{X - 2iw_1}{X^3} \right) \sim_{\text{AS}} 1 - \frac{4i\tilde{a}_3}{X^3}.$$

In addition, all roots of  $g$  and  $h$  can be reduced modulo  $t$ .

*Proof.* Let us consider the function  $g$ . The computation for  $h$  is similar. Applying the Newton polygon technique [Neu99, Proposition 6.3], one learns that both the roots of  $g$  have  $\nu_2$  valuation equal to  $1/2$ . Denote the two roots by  $\sqrt{2}b$  and  $\sqrt{2}c$ , where  $b$  and  $c$  are units. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(X - 2iw_1)g}{X^3} &= 1 - \sqrt{2} \frac{(b+c) + \sqrt{2}iw_1}{X} + 2 \frac{bc + \sqrt{2}iw_1(b+c)}{X^2} - \frac{4ibcw_1}{X^3} \\ &=: \sum_{i=0}^3 \frac{b_i}{X^i}, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where the  $b_i$  are elements of  $\text{Frac } R$ . Subtracting  $(1 + \sqrt{b_2}/X)^2$  to the right-hand-side of (17), one obtains the below expression

$$- \sqrt{2} \frac{b+c + \sqrt{2}iw_1 + 2\sqrt{bc + i\sqrt{2}(b+c)w_1}}{X} - \frac{4ibcw_1}{X^3}. \quad (18)$$

Set the product  $bc$  to be  $\tilde{a}_3/w_1$ . Then the first term of (18) is 0 if and only if

$$b + c = i\sqrt{2}w_1 - 2\sqrt{\tilde{a}_3/w_1}, \text{ or}$$

$$b + c = i\sqrt{2}w_1 + 2\sqrt{\tilde{a}_3/w_1}.$$

It hence follows from Proposition 3.5 that either  $g$  or  $h$  is in the same Artin-Schreier degeneration class with  $1 - 4i\tilde{a}_3/X^3$ .

The fact about the roots of  $f$  and  $g$  is then immediate from the quadratic formula.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.13.** *In the above notation, suppose  $a_3 \neq 0$  and  $a_1 = 0$ . Then one may obtain a  $\mathbb{Z}/4$ -extension of  $\Psi_1^i$  that lift  $\psi^i$  by replacing  $(1 - 2iw_1/X)$  in (14) with one of the quadratic expressions in Proposition 3.12 divide  $X^2$ .*

*Proof.* Note that, replacing  $(1 - 2iw_1/X)$  by an equation in (16), assume to be  $g$ , divides  $X^2$  equates to multiplying the character  $\tau \in H^1(\mathcal{K}((X)), \mathbb{Z}/2)$  defined by the Kummer class of

$$\left(1 - \frac{2iw_1}{X}\right) \frac{g(X)}{X^2} \sim_{\text{AS}} 1 - \frac{4i\tilde{a}_3}{X^3}$$

to the character representing  $\Psi^{i,\min}$ . The congruence follows from Proposition 3.12. As  $-i\tilde{a}_3 \equiv a_3 \pmod{\pi}$ , the reduction of  $\tau$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -character represented by the Artin-Schreier degeneration class of  $a_3/x^3$  by Proposition 3.5. Hence, by Lemma 3.8 (4), the character  $\Psi^i := \Psi^{i,\min} \cdot \tau$  has degeneration type  $(0, (1/x, a_3/x^3))$  as we want. In addition, as  $\Psi^i$  branches at four distinct points,  $0, -4w_1$ , and the two roots of  $f$  or  $g$  (which are distinct by Proposition 3.12), it is a lift of  $\psi^i$  by Proposition 3.6.  $\square$

By the same line of reasoning as in the above proof, we cover the remaining cases.

**COROLLARY 3.14.** *In the above notation, suppose  $a_3 \neq 0$  and  $a_1 \neq 0$  or  $a_3 = 0$  and  $a_1 \neq 0$ . Then one may obtain a  $\mathbb{Z}/4$ -extension  $\Psi^i$  of  $\Psi_1^i$  that lift  $\psi^i$  by replacing a linear factor  $X - u$  of the quadratic equation (16) with  $X - u(1 + 2^{3/2}\tilde{a}_1/[u])$ , where  $[u] := u/2^{\nu_2(u)}$  and  $\tilde{a}_1$  is a lift of  $a_1$  to  $V$  whose reduction modulo  $t$  makes sense.*

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $a_3 \neq 0$  and  $a_1 \neq 0$ . Let us start with the lift from Corollary 3.13, which is defined by  $g(X)/X^2$  (or  $h(X)/X^2$ ). Note that replacing a linear factor  $X - u$  of  $g(X)$  (or  $h(X)$ ) by  $X - u(1 + 2^{3/2}\tilde{a}_1/u)$  equates to multiplying the  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -character defined by  $1 - 2^{3/2}\tilde{a}_1u/([u](X - u))$  to  $\Psi^i$ . The corollary then follows from the fact that  $\nu_2(u) = 1/2$  and Proposition 3.5.

A similar argument applies to the case  $a_3 = 0$  and  $a_1 \neq 0$ . One begins with the minimal lift defined by (14) and has

$$X - 2iw_1 \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}\tilde{a}_1}{iw_1}\right)$$

as above takes the place of  $X - 2iw_1$ .  $\square$

### 3.5 The global deformation

We thus construct a lift  $\Psi^i$  at each branch point  $b_j$  of  $\psi$ 's generic fiber. Globally, their product forms a birational lift  $\Psi$  of  $\psi_{\eta(t)}$  to  $\mathcal{K}$ , which can be presented by the following equation

$$Z_2^2 = Z_1 \prod_{l=1}^r \prod_{j=1}^{\iota_{l,2^{-\iota_{l,1}}}} (X - D_{l,j}), \quad (19)$$

where  $D_{l,j}$  is of the following form

$$D_{l,j} = b_l + \sqrt{2}u_{l,j}, \text{ or } D_{l,j} = b_l + 2u_{l,j}, \quad (20)$$

for some unit  $u_{l,j}$  in the 2-adic ring  $V$ . In addition, by construction, the reduction modulo  $t$  of  $D_{l,j}$  makes sense, i.e.  $D_{l,j}$  lie in a finite extension of  $R[[t]]$ . Note also that  $\iota_{l,2} - \iota_{l,1}$  is either 1 or 2 (it is 2 when  $a_3 \neq 0$ ).

PROPOSITION 3.15. *There exists a  $\mathbb{Z}/4$ -lift  $\Psi$  of  $\psi_{\eta(t)}$  to (an extension of)  $R((t))$  by (13) and*

$$Z_2^2 = Z_1 \prod_{l=1}^r \prod_{j=1}^{m_{l,2}-m_{l,1}} (X - D'_{l,j}),$$

where  $D'_{l,j} \in R((t))$ , and  $c'_{l,j} := D'_{l,j} \pmod{t}$  are all distinct and different from the  $B_i$ 's,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ .

*Proof.* As in the proof of Corollary 3.14, replacing  $u_{l,j}$  in (20) by  $u_{l,j}(1 + 2^m v_{l,j})$ , where  $v_{l,j} \in R$  is a unit and  $m > 2$ , does not change the local reduction type in the previous constructions due to Lemma 3.8. One thus can find the  $D'_{l,j}$  satisfying the conditions in the proposition.  $\square$

Moreover, it is immediate from the construction that  $\Psi$ 's generic fiber and its reduction modulo  $t$ , which are both Kummer coverings, have the same number of branch points, hence, the same sum of conductors. Proposition 3.6 then again asserts that  $\Psi$ , regarded as a  $\mathbb{Z}/4$ -extension of  $V[[X]]$ , has good reduction modulo  $t$ . In the last step, we will show that this reduction (modulo  $t$ ) gives what we are seeking.

#### 4. Step 4

In this section, we suppose  $\phi$  is a local cyclic  $G$ -cover of  $\text{Spec } k[[x]]$ . Suppose  $\psi$  is a deformation of  $\phi$  over  $k[[t]]$ . Suppose  $\Psi$  is a deformation of  $\psi_{\eta(t)}$ , regarded as a cyclic extension of  $k((t))[[x]]$ , over (a finite extension of)  $\cup_n W(k)((t^{1/p^n})) \supseteq W(\cup_n k((t^{1/p^n})))$  (due to the minimality of the ring of Witt vector [Lor08, §26, Theorem 4]). Then there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\Psi$  is defined over  $V' := \cup_{n \leq N} W(k)((t^{1/p^n}))$ . We thus may assume that  $V' = W(k)((t))$  by replacing  $t^{1/p^N}$  with  $t$ . Finally, suppose that there exists a smooth model  $\tilde{\Psi}$  of  $\Psi$  over a finite extension  $V$  of  $W(k)[[t]]$  whose  $t$ -fiber (where  $t = 0$ ), which we denote by  $\tilde{\Phi}$ , is smooth. Let  $R$  be the reduction of  $V$  modulo  $t$ .

*Remark 4.1.* The generic fiber  $\psi_{\eta(t)}$  is actually a  $\mathbb{Z}/4$ -extension of  $k[[t, x]][t^{-1}]$ . We view it as an extension of  $k((t))[[x]]$  via base change to make it compatible with our set up.

To complete the last step, we will prove the following general result.

PROPOSITION 4.2. *In the situation above,  $\tilde{\Phi}$  gives a lift of  $\phi$  to characteristic zero.*

We may assume that  $\phi$  is a  $G = \langle \sigma \rangle$ -extension  $k[[z]]/k[[x]]$  given by

$$z \mapsto z^\sigma = \sum_{i \geq 0} c_i z^i \in k[[z]],$$

where  $c_0 = 0$  and  $c_1$  is a unit [BM00, §2]. As  $\psi$  is a deformation of  $\phi$  over  $S := k[[t]]$ , there exists a power series defining  $\psi$  as below

$$\sum_{i \geq 0} d_i \tilde{z}^i \in S[[\tilde{z}]],$$

where  $d_0 \in \mathfrak{m}_S$ ,  $d_1 \in S$ ,  $d_i \equiv c_i \pmod{t}$ , and  $\tilde{z} \equiv z \pmod{t}$ . Without loss of generality, we may identify  $\tilde{z}$  with  $z$ , or just plug in  $\tilde{z} = z \cdot v$ , where  $v$  is a unit of  $S[[\tilde{z}]]$  [AM69, §1, Exercise 2], to the above series. One may assume that the lift of  $k[[x]]$  to an extension  $V$  of  $W(k)[[t]]$  is of the form  $V[[X]]$ , where  $X \equiv x$  modulo the  $p$ -adic uniformizer  $\pi$  of  $V$ .

It then suffices to prove the below proposition.

PROPOSITION 4.3. *In the notation above, there exists a uniformizer  $Z$  of  $V[[X]]$ 's covering via  $\Psi$ , and a power series*

$$F_\sigma = \sum_{i \geq 0} e_i Z^i \in V[[Z]]$$

that defines  $\Psi$  and whose reduction modulo  $t$  (resp. modulo  $\pi$ ) gives  $\Phi$  (resp.  $\psi$ ). In particular, the reduction of  $F_\sigma$  modulo  $(\pi, t)$  defines  $\phi$ .

*Proof.* As  $\Psi$  is a lift of  $\psi_{\eta(t)}$  to characteristic 0, there exists a lift  $Z$  of  $z$ , which is also a uniformizer of the ring upstairs, and such that  $\Psi$  is determined by the mapping

$$Z \mapsto Z^\sigma = \sum_{i \geq 0} e_i Z^i \in V[[Z]],$$

where  $e_i \equiv d_i \pmod{\pi}$ . On the other hand, as  $\Psi$  has good reduction modulo  $t$ , there exists a uniformizer  $Z'$  of  $V[[Z]]$  and a power series in terms of  $Z'$  such that

$$Z' \mapsto \sum_{i \geq 0} e'_i Z'^i \in V[[Z]]$$

defining  $\Psi$  and such that  $Z'$  modulo  $t$  and  $e'_i$  modulo  $t$  makes sense for each  $i$ . Finally, as it must be true that  $Z = Z' \cdot u$  for some unit  $u$  of the coordinate ring  $V[[Z]]$ ,  $u$  modulo  $t$  is also well-defined and so is  $e'_i = e_i u^i$ . The remaining assertions then immediately follow.  $\square$

It is immediate from the above result that the reduction modulo  $t$  of the  $\mathbb{Z}/4$ -cover  $\Psi$  in Proposition 3.15 is a lift of  $\phi$  to characteristic zero extending  $\Phi_1$ . That completes the last step, hence confirms Theorem 0.5.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- AM69 M. F. Atiyah and I. G. Macdonald. *Introduction to commutative algebra*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills, Ont., 1969.
- BM00 José Bertin and Ariane Mézard. Déformations formelles des revêtements sauvagement ramifiés de courbes algébriques. *Invent. Math.*, 141(1):195–238, 2000.
- BW09 Louis Hugo Brewis and Stefan Wewers. Artin characters, Hurwitz trees and the lifting problem. *Math. Ann.*, 345(3):711–730, 2009.
- Dan20a Huy Dang. Deforming cyclic covers in towers. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2010.13614, October 2020.
- Dan20b Huy Dang. Hurwitz trees and deformations of Artin-Schreier covers. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2002.03719, February 2020.
- Gar96 Marco A. Garuti. Prolongement de revêtements galoisiens en géométrie rigide. *Compositio Math.*, 104(3):305–331, 1996.
- Gar02 Marco A. Garuti. Linear systems attached to cyclic inertia. In *Arithmetic fundamental groups and noncommutative algebra (Berkeley, CA, 1999)*, volume 70 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 377–386. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.



- GM98 Barry Green and Michel Matignon. Liftings of Galois covers of smooth curves. *Compositio Math.*, 113(3):237–272, 1998.
- Har77 Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.
- Har80 David Harbater. Moduli of  $p$ -covers of curves. *Comm. Algebra*, 8(12):1095–1122, 1980.
- Kat86 Nicholas M. Katz. Local-to-global extensions of representations of fundamental groups. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 36(4):69–106, 1986.
- Kat87 Kazuya Kato. Vanishing cycles, ramification of valuations, and class field theory. *Duke Math. J.*, 55(3):629–659, 1987.
- Lan02 Serge Lang. *Algebra*, volume 211 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, third edition, 2002.
- Lor08 Falko Lorenz. *Algebra. Vol. II*. Universitext. Springer, New York, 2008. Fields with structure, algebras and advanced topics, Translated from the German by Silvio Levy, With the collaboration of Levy.
- MR203 *Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental (SGA 1)*, volume 3 of *Documents Mathématiques (Paris) [Mathematical Documents (Paris)]*. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2003. Séminaire de géométrie algébrique du Bois Marie 1960–61. [Algebraic Geometry Seminar of Bois Marie 1960–61], Directed by A. Grothendieck, With two papers by M. Raynaud, Updated and annotated reprint of the 1971 original [Lecture Notes in Math., 224, Springer, Berlin; MR0354651 (50 #7129)].
- MR319 *Open problems in arithmetic algebraic geometry*, volume 46 of *Advanced Lectures in Mathematics (ALM)*. International Press, Somerville, MA; Higher Education Press, Beijing, [2019] ©2019. Edited and with appendices by Frans Oort.
- Neu99 Jürgen Neukirch. *Algebraic number theory*, volume 322 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999. Translated from the 1992 German original and with a note by Norbert Schappacher, With a foreword by G. Harder.
- Obu12 Andrew Obus. The (local) lifting problem for curves. In *Galois-Teichmüller theory and arithmetic geometry*, volume 63 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 359–412. Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2012.
- Obu17 Andrew Obus. A generalization of the Oort conjecture. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 92(3):551–620, 2017.
- OW14 Andrew Obus and Stefan Wewers. Cyclic extensions and the local lifting problem. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 180(1):233–284, 2014.
- Pop14 Florian Pop. The Oort conjecture on lifting covers of curves. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 180(1):285–322, 2014.
- Pri03 Rachel J. Pries. Conductors of wildly ramified covers. III. *Pacific J. Math.*, 211(1):163–182, 2003.
- Roq70 Peter Roquette. Abschätzung der Automorphismenanzahl von Funktionenkörpern bei Primzahlcharakteristik. *Math. Z.*, 117:157–163, 1970.
- Saï12 Mohamed Saïdi. Fake liftings of Galois covers between smooth curves. In *Galois-Teichmüller theory and arithmetic geometry*, volume 63 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 457–501. Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2012.
- Ser79 Jean-Pierre Serre. *Local fields*, volume 67 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1979. Translated from the French by Marvin Jay Greenberg.

Huy Dang hqd4bz@virginia.edu

Vietnam Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics, 157 Chua Lang Street, Lang Thuong Ward, Dong Da District, Hanoi, Vietnam