

Bulk NdNiO₂ is thermodynamically unstable with respect to decomposition while hydrogenation reduces the instability and transforms it from metal to insulator

Oleksandr I. Malyi¹, Julien Varignon², and Alex Zunger^{1,*}

¹Renewable and Sustainable Energy Institute, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80309, USA

²Laboratoire CRISMAT, CNRS UMR 6508, ENSICAEN, Normandie Université, 6 Boulevard Maréchal Juin, F-14050 Caen Cedex 4, France

Email: Alex.Zunger@colorado.edu

The quest for a Ni-based oxide analog to cuprate Cu²⁺(d⁹) superconductors was long known to require a reduced form Ni¹⁺ (d⁹) as in A³⁺Ni¹⁺O₂, being an extreme oxygen-poor form of the usual A³⁺Ni³⁺O₃ compound. Through CaH₂ chemical reduction of a parent R³⁺Ni³⁺O₃ perovskite form, superconductivity was recently achieved in Sr-doped NdNiO₂ on SrTiO₃ substrate. Using density functional theory (DFT) calculations, we find that stoichiometric NdNiO₂ is significantly unstable with respect to decomposition into 1/2[Nd₂O₃ + NiO + Ni] with exothermic decomposition energy of +176 meV/atom, a considerably higher instability than that for common ternary oxides. This poses the question if the *stoichiometric* NdNiO₂ nickelate compound used extensively to model the electronic band structure of Ni-based oxide analog to cuprates and found to be metallic is the right model for this purpose. To examine this, we study via DFT the role of the common H impurity expected to be present in the process of chemical reduction needed to obtain NdNiO₂. We find that H can be incorporated *exothermically, i.e., spontaneously* in NdNiO₂, even from H₂ gas. In the concentrated limit, such impurities can result in the formation of a hydride compound NdNiO₂H, which has significantly reduced instability relative to hydrogen-free NdNiO₂ (decomposition energy of +80 meV/atom instead of +176 meV/atom). Interestingly, the hydrogenated form has a similar lattice constant as the pure form (leading to comparable X-ray diffraction patterns), but unlike the metallic character of NdNiO₂, the hydrogenated form is predicted to be a wide gap insulator thus, requiring doping to create a metallic or superconducting state, just like cuprates, but unlike unhydrogenated nickelates. While it is possible that hydrogen would be eventually desorbed, the calculation suggests that pristine NdNiO₂ is hydrogen-stabilized. One must exercise caution with theories predicting new physics in pristine stoichiometric NdNiO₂ as it might be an unrealizable compound. Experimental examination of the composition of real NdNiO₂ superconductors and the effect of hydrogen on the superconductivity is called for.

I. Introduction

The recent observation of superconductivity in Sr-doped NdNiO₂ grown on SrTiO₃ substrate [1] raised hopes for a new paradigm relative to cuprate superconductivity, but along with it also posed questions about the importance of *intrinsic* factors (the basic chemical constitution and bonding in nickelates vs. cuprates), as opposed to *extrinsic* factors (the role of doping, defects, or non-stoichiometry) in comparing the two systems. Interest in nickelates as a comparative paradigm to cuprates has been based on the ability to chemically reduce the stable A³⁺Ni³⁺(d⁷)O₃ compound to A³⁺Ni¹⁺(d⁹)O₂, comparing the latter to the isoelectronic A₂³⁺Cu²⁺(d⁹)O₄ compound. The literature[2-13] electronic structure calculations on NdNiO₂ were consequently based on the ideal stoichiometric and pristine P₄/mmm NdNiO₂ structure with the Ni¹⁺(d⁹) ion. These calculations, whether using density functional[2-10] or adding dynamical correlation[6,10-13] found for nonmagnetic [2,3,9,10], or antiferromagnetic/ferromagnetic[4-8], or paramagnetic[6,10-12] NdNiO₂ a *metallic ground state*, revealing the first difference with respect to cuprates: the former requires doping to become metallic, unlike the nickelates. Such theoretical investigations of a metallic NdNiO₂ phase formed the basis for predicting the role of NdNiO₂/SrTiO₃ interface in the superconducting properties[3] and the effect of Sr doping[7]. However, recent experimental advances demonstrate that undoped freestanding NdNiO₂ has insulator-like temperature-dependent resistivity[14]. Moreover, recent studies indicate that NdNiO₂ samples are often non-stoichiometric, for instance, nickel-deficient NdNi_{1-x}O₂[14], O-rich NdNiO_{2+y}[15], and show the coexistence of different phases such as Ni metal and NdNiO₂[16] or NdNiO₂ and NdNiO₃[17]. These results thus raise the question if pristine stoichiometric NdNiO₂ can be used as a model for d⁹ superconductivity.

DFT is able to assess the stability of a compound with respect to decomposition into competing phases by using the convex hull construct, whereby the lowest formation energy at each composition is contrasted with the formation energies of combinations of competing phases with equivalent composition. Compounds located above the convex hull by an amount ε are predicted to have exothermic decomposition energy ε . Recognizing that compounds with small metastability energy may still be made as potentially long-lived metastable phases, one generally considers finite but small metastability as potentially realizable [18]. Motivated by this, herein, we study the stability of NdNiO₂ with respect to decomposition to competing phases. We find that pure stoichiometric NdNiO₂ is a highly unstable phase with the decomposition energy of +176 meV/atom (or +704 meV/formula unit (f.u.)) with respect to 1/2[Nd₂O₃ + NiO + Ni], questioning if stoichiometric and pristine NdNiO₂ compound is ever realized. Our analysis of 3262 experimentally observed ternary oxides (see Supplemental Material[19]) listed in Materials Project[20] demonstrates (see Fig. 1a) that the vast majority of experimentally observed compounds have DFT decomposition energy ε less than 100 meV/atom above the calculated convex hull[18], so it looks that pristine NdNiO₂ is somewhat extra unstable.

Given the distinguishing feature of synthesis of NdNiO₂ via *chemical* reduction of NdNiO₃ involving CaH₂ or NaH [1,14-16,21-24], and inspired by results of Si *et al.* on H impurities in ANiO₂[25], we undertook a broader stability analysis to include the effect of H incorporation into NdNiO₂. We find that hydrogen *spontaneously* incorporated in NdNiO₂, resulting in the formation of the hydrogenated and insulating NdNiO₂H compound. The resulting compound is significantly more stable with respect to decomposition than pure NdNiO₂ and has similar lattice constants (comparable XRD) to NdNiO₂. These results (i) suggest that the experimentally NdNiO₂ might have a large, hopefully, the detectable concentration of H impurity, which could affect its properties significantly. To the extent that this is so, (ii) this would point to the importance of considering extrinsic factors (such as spontaneous impurity incorporation [26,27]) in assessing the relevant electronic structure of such

compounds; (iii) caution theoreticians from the prediction of new physics and superconductivity mechanisms based on the potentially unrealizable base metallic NdNiO₂ compound.




Figure 1. Distribution of energy above the DFT convex hull for 3262 experimentally reported ternary oxides (see details on a dataset in Supplemental Material[19]) using the data available in the Materials Project database (accessed on March 20, 2021; Note that numbers available in Materials Project are changing with time)[20]. The red arrow depicts the energy above the convex hull predicted for NdNiO₂ (P₄/mmm) in this work using accurate DFT with SCAN functional (i.e., one able to accurately treat localized nature of Ni-*d* states) calculations and global screening of magnetic orders in competing phases.

II. Methods

The calculations are performed using pseudopotential plane-wave DFT with recently developed SCAN exchange-correlation (XC) functional[28] as implemented in the Vienna Ab Initio Simulation Package (VASP)[29-31]. SCAN does not require the Hubbard-like *U* parameter to reproduce finite band gaps in both AFM and PM binary and ternary oxides[32-35] and has been shown to describe the formation heats of compounds with higher accuracy than other XC functionals[36]. In all calculations, we treat the *f* electrons of Nd as part of the core electrons. The cutoff energies for the plane-wave basis are set to 500 eV for final calculations and 550 eV for volume relaxation. Atomic relaxations are performed until the internal forces are smaller than 0.01 eV/Å. Γ -centered Monkhorst-Pack grids[37] with 10,000 k-points per reciprocal atom are used in all calculations. For compounds containing less than 9 metal atoms per primitive cell, we explore ferromagnetic, nonmagnetic, and all antiferromagnetic spin configurations that uniquely decorate cells containing 1 or 2 f.u. For compounds constraining more than 8 metal atoms, only ferromagnetic spin initialization has been used. For NdNiO₂ and NdNiO₂H, all unique antiferromagnetic spin configurations in the supercells up to 4 f.u. are tested. For the compounds containing over 8 atoms, only ferromagnetic and nonmagnetic spin configurations are used. For each structure and magnetic order, we also apply random atomic displacements with a maximum amplitude of 0.1 Å to ensure that the system is not trapped at local energy minima. The computed results are visualized using the Vesta[38] and pymatgen library [39].

III. Results and Discussion

A. NdNiO₂ is substantially unstable with respect to decomposition: calculation of the ternary convex hull

Using the O₂ molecule as a reference state for oxygen and stoichiometric compounds available in Inorganic Crystal Structure Database (ICSD)[40] and Materials Project database[20], we consider 105 different competing phases listed in Supplemental Material[19]. By calculating DFT total energies for these compounds, we obtain the convex hull indicating which Nd-Ni-O compounds are stable (residing on the convex hull) with respect to any linear combination of competing phases or unstable by a given amount (placed above the convex hull by that amount). In this way, 15 out of 105 potential competing phases are found to be stable ground states: O₂, Ni, Nd, NiO, Ni₃O₄, NdO₂, Nd₂O₃, NdNi, NdNi₂, Nd₃Ni, NdNi₅, Nd₅Ni₁₉, NdNi₃, Nd₂Ni₇, and NdNiO₃. The other competing phases are above the convex hull with the lowest energy decomposition reactions summarized in Table I. Notably, even the lowest energy magnetic NdNiO₂ configuration is above the convex hull (unstable) by +176 meV/atom or +704 meV/f.u. with respect to decomposition to 0.5[Nd₂O₃ + NiO + Ni(m)]. There is also a number of other decomposition reactions that have lower decomposition energies (see Fig. 2b). While some oxides that are above the convex hull can be realized, as shown in Fig. 1, over **92%** of experimentally observed oxides have the decomposition energy below that of NdNiO₂ according to the data available in the Materials Project. It should also be noted the ICSD database, which is used in Materials Project for experimental entries, has a number of compounds that are predicted by epitaxial growth, observed only under extreme conditions, and compounds that were shown to be incorrect after more detailed analysis of structural properties. Hence, the high decomposition energy of NdNiO₂ suggests that stoichiometric NdNiO₂ is a rather unstable phase, raising the question if the compound realized experimentally is indeed undoped pristine stoichiometric NdNiO₂.




Figure 2. Summary of Nd-Ni-O convex hull. (a) Nd-Ni-O convex hull showing the stable phases (O₂, Ni, Nd, NiO, Ni₃O₄, NdO₂, Nd₂O₃, NdNi, NdNi₂, Nd₃Ni, NdNi₅, Nd₅Ni₁₉, NdNi₃, Nd₂Ni₇, and NdNiO₃) as blue dots. (b) Main decomposition reactions for NdNiO₂ and corresponding decomposition energies (ΔH_d). The results are presented for the SCAN XC functional. The decomposition energies for other compounds are shown in Table I.

Table I. Summary of Nd-Ni-O convex hull results including absolutely stable (on hull compounds that do not decompose) as well as metastable (above hull) compounds. Convex hull includes only the lowest energy structure for each composition.

Compound	Space group	Energy above convex hull (meV/atom)	Decomposition products
Ni	Fm-3m	0	-
Nd	P6 ₃ /mmc	0	-
O ₂	molecule	0	-
NiO	C2	0	-
Ni ₃ O ₄	Cmmm	0	-
Nd ₂ O ₃	Ia-3	0	-
NdO ₂	C2/m	0	-
NdNi	Cmcm	0	-
NdNi ₂	P1	0	-
NdNi ₃	R-3m	0	-
NdNi ₅	P6 ₃ /mmm	0	-
Nd ₃ Ni	Pnma	0	-
Nd ₅ Ni ₁₉	P6 ₃ /mmc	0	-
Nd ₂ Ni ₇	P6 ₃ /mmc	0	-
NdNiO ₃	P2 ₁ /c	0	-
Nd ₄ Ni ₃ O ₁₀	P2 ₁ /c	1	NdNiO ₃ , Nd ₂ O ₃ , NiO
Nd ₇ Ni ₃	P6 ₃ mc	3	Nd ₃ Ni, NdNi
NiO ₂	C2/m	4	Ni ₃ O ₄ , O ₂
Nd ₂ Ni ₁₇	P6 ₃ /mmc	17	NdNi ₅ , Ni
Nd ₂ NiO ₄	Cmce	19	Nd ₂ O ₃ , NiO
NdO	P6 ₃ /mmc	62	Nd ₂ O ₃ , Nd
Ni ₅ O ₆	C2/m	64	Ni ₃ O ₄ , NiO
Ni ₆ O ₇	P-1	70	Ni ₃ O ₄ , NiO
Ni ₁₅ O ₁₆	Im-3m	72	Ni ₃ O ₄ , NiO
Ni ₉ O ₁₀	P-1	73	Ni ₃ O ₄ , NiO
Nd ₄ Ni ₃ O ₈	I4/mmm	86	Nd ₂ O ₃ , Ni, NiO
Nd ₂ O ₅	C2/c	92	NdO ₂ , O ₂
Ni ₅ O ₁₁	P1	96	Ni ₃ O ₄ , O ₂
Ni ₅ O ₄	P1	165	Ni, NiO
NdNiO ₂	P4/mmm	176	Nd ₂ O ₃ , Ni, NiO
NdO ₃	P-3	285	NdO ₂ , O ₂
Nd ₄ Ni	Fd-3m	364	Nd ₃ Ni, Nd

B. Hydrogenation diminishes the instability of NdNiO₂

Hydrogen as a common additive solute element in NdNiO₂ and its bonding to the lattice: Hydrogen is one of the most common solutes in insulating solids, which often defines the n- and p-type nature of the compounds even under normal conditions owing to universal pinning of H transition level in insulators[41-44]. The most famous examples of such behaviors are ZnO and SnO₂, which are intrinsic n-type insulators with hydrogen impurities limiting the possibility to realize p-type insulators [41-44]. In general, hydrogen bonds to anion in n-type compounds (*e.g.*, H-O bond in ZnO[43]) and to cation in p-type compounds[42,45]. In specific cases, hydrogen forms multicenter bonds such as H₀ in MgO or ZnO [45], where instead of forming a single bond, it becomes a center of a complex multiple atomic bond inducing charge density rearrangement on multiple atoms. Since NdNiO₂ is usually synthesized by the reduction of the stable parent NdNiO₃ perovskite in the H-rich environment[1,14-16,21-23], hydrogen impurities can be formed in NdNiO₂. To verify it and identify the lowest energy sites, we screen over 35 randomly generated interstitial H positions in NdNiO₂ (for simplicity, the screening calculations are performed using PBE XC functional) and find that in the lowest energy configuration H bonds to Ni atoms forming two Ni-H bonds with a bond length of 1.58 Å (Fig. 3a). In such configuration, H accepts electrons from the Ni atoms as evidenced by the charge density difference plot (see Fig. 3a,b), $\Delta\rho = \rho(\text{NdNiO}_2:\text{H}) - \rho(\text{NdNiO}_2) - \rho(\text{H})$, where H refers to atomic hydrogen (spin-polarized) and each charge density ρ is computed for the lowest energy configuration of H in 32 f.u. NdNiO₂ supercell. These results imply that H acts as the acceptor, restoring part of the charge imbalance caused by the chemical reduction of A³⁺Ni³⁺(d⁷)O₂ compound to A³⁺Ni¹⁺(d⁹)O₂.




Figure 3. 32 f.u. of NdNiO₂ containing 1 H impurity: (a) Difference in wavefunction squared – i.e., NdNiO₂:H – NdNiO₂ -H, where H refers to atomic hydrogen (spin-polarized) and each charge density ρ is computed for lowest energy configuration of H in 32 f.u. NdNiO₂ supercell, illustrating the nature of bonding. Yellow and blue isosurfaces correspond to charge density increase and charge density reduction, respectively. The isosurface is set up at 0.01 e/bohr³. Only the atomic planes in the vicinity of H are shown. (b) The corresponding counter-plot for the difference in wavefunction squared shown in (a).

Spontaneous hydrogen incorporation into NdNiO₂: While it usually costs energy to dissolve hydrogen into traditional oxides[41-44], for NdNiO₂, the reaction energy ΔH_R of H addition to NdNiO₂ from H₂ gas, *i.e.*,

$$\Delta H_R = E(\text{NdNiO}_2\text{:H}) - E(\text{NdNiO}_2) - 1/2 H_2(\text{g})$$

is negative (-350 meV), as found here by DFT calculations for 32 f.u. of NdNiO₂ containing one interstitial H. This thus suggests that in the presence of an H₂-rich environment, H will be spontaneously (exothermically) introduced into NdNiO₂. Hence, hydrogen insertion can reduce the instability of NdNiO₂-like structure in the limit of high H concentration, *i.e.*, the formation of (NdNiO₂)_nH_m compound can be observed as the result of hydrogen interaction with NdNiO₂. To reveal that this type of additive/solute can be formed in large H concentrations, we consider the possibility of the formation of (NdNiO₂)_nH_m with m=n=1 and find that indeed reaction energy of NdNiO₂ + 1/2 H₂(g) → NdNiO₂H is highly negative (-300 meV) (see Fig. 4). These data thus demonstrate that H can be not only a common solute additive but can also lead to the formation of a stoichiometric H-rich NdNiO₂H compound, which is more stable with respect to decomposition than hydrogen free NdNiO₂. Importantly, the predicted reaction energy for hydrogen insertion into NdNiO₂ is comparable to that in the recent study of H insertion into ABO₂[25]. The H insertion changes the stability of NdNiO₂ itself. As illustrated in Fig. 4, NdNiO₂ decomposes to Ni, NiO, and Nd₂O₃ with the decomposition energy of 176 meV/atom, while although NdNiO₂H is still not the ground state – the compound decomposes to Ni, NiO, Nd₂O₃, and H₂, the corresponding decomposition energy is only 80 meV/atom. While this work does not describe the full range of m/n ratio, the above results intimate that it is likely to observe NdNiO₂H than NdNiO₂ especially taking into account that NdNiO₂ is usually synthesized by reduction of NdNiO₃ in H-rich environment and pure stoichiometric NdNiO₂ is a highly unstable phase. We note that the formation of NdNiO_{2.3}H_{0.7} has already been detected experimentally[21], suggesting that NdNiO₂ indeed can take a large concentration of H defects. It should be noted that herein the results are presented for bulk NdNiO₂ and it is possible that in some experimental studies substrate or competing phases (e.g., SrTiO₃) can modify NdNiO₂ stability and H intake.




Figure 4. Reduction of NdNiO₃ and main decomposition reaction for NdNiO₂, demonstrating that NdNiO₂ is unstable with respect to decomposition to Nd₂O₃, NiO, and Ni. Hydrogenation of NdNiO₂ reduces the instability of the compound, but even NdNiO₂H is still unstable with respect to competing phases.

NdNiO₂H and NdNiO₂ have comparable lattice constants leading to similar expected X-ray diffraction patterns: One may think that insertion of large H concentration into NdNiO₂ leads to a noticeable structural change leading to a new structure which can be detected in the typical XRD analysis of the sample. However, H is a small solute which usually does not provide significant structural changes. The SCAN lattice constants of NdNiO₂H are 3.95 and 3.35 Å, which are only slightly larger (within the typical difference range of DFT and experimental lattice constants) than the SCAN values for NdNiO₂ (3.91 and 3.27 Å) and corresponding experimental lattice constants (e.g., 3.9 and 3.3 Å[21], or 3.91 and 3.24 Å[14], or 3.92 and 3.28 Å[15]). The main XRD peaks for NdNiO₂ and NdNiO₂H almost overlap with each other (see Supplemental Material[19]). While a minor difference of lattice vectors between experimental NdNiO₂ and theoretical NdNiO₂ and NdNiO₂H is reflected in the position of the minor peaks in the XRD spectra as compared to the experimental structure, such peaks are usually not used to identify the compound, and it is well known that the presence of H usually cannot be detected by XRD. If the lattice parameters of NdNiO₂ and NdNiO₂H are aligned to experimental lattice constants, both NdNiO₂ and NdNiO₂H have the same XRD spectra. Interestingly, NdNiO_{2.3}H_{0.7} and NdNiO₂ have a more pronounced difference in XRD spectra[21], which is likely due to deviation from stoichiometry on the O site and not caused by H impurity. These results thus demonstrate that while the formation of NdNiO₂H is spontaneous its detection is not possible with standard XRD and more accurate methods should be applied. Moreover, since NdNiO₂ is rarely synthesized in pure form, e.g., experimentally observed NdNiO₂ samples are nickel deficient [14], O-rich [15] or have coexistence of different phases [16,17], the determination of exact composition for different samples is critical step in understanding better current experimental observations.

Possible role of substrate: Examining the simplest substrate effect of potential increase in lattice mismatch between the SrTiO₃ substrate due to the presence of H in the NdNiO₂ film suggest that this factor may not be a concern. Indeed, the DFT calculated in-plane lattice constant of NdNiO₂ changes by H incorporation by only 0.03 Å, having a negligible contribution to the altered strain and altered stabilization of a hydrogen-free NdNiO₂ on SrTiO₃. However, in general, it is essential to further understand the potential role of the substrate and spontaneous off-stoichiometry in the stability of NdNiO₂ and H insertion.

C. Effect of Hydrogen on electronic properties of NdNiO₂

Hypothetical NdNiO₂: Similar to previous DFT[2-10] and dynamical mean-field theory [6,10-13] studies, we find that unstable pristine NdNiO₂ (Fig. 5a) is metallic as confirmed by the analysis of the spin-projected density of states (DOS) for the lowest energy magnetic order (Fig. 5c). The DOS has a strong Ni peak located below the Fermi level, and O-p mainly contributes a few eV below it. Importantly, the majority of previous studies[2,3,9,10] utilized a nonmagnetic spin-configuration. Such selection has been justified by paramagnetic (PM) nature of the experimentally observed Sr-doped NdNiO₂ compound. However, as has been demonstrated recently, nonmagnetic approximation cannot be used to describe the PM compounds having a set of local non-zero magnetic moments and total magnetic moment zero[35,46-49]. In fact, paramagnets have different local spin environments $\{S_i; i=1, N\}$, and hence then its physical property P (e.g., electronic structure) cannot be approximated as the property $\langle P \rangle = P(S_0)$ of the macroscopically averaged structure S_0 , instead of the correct average $P_{\text{obs}} = \sum P(S_i)$ of the properties $\{P(S_i)\}$ of the individual, low symmetry microscopic configurations [35,46-49]. Moreover, for the pure NdNiO₂, the lowest energy AFM state is about 100 meV/atom lower than high energy hypothetical nonmagnetic state according to our SCAN calculations. These results thus intimate that

some of the early theoretical predictions based on the nonmagnetic assumption may be misleading. It should also be noted that the AFM nature of pure stoichiometric NdNiO_2 has been confirmed by other theoretical works as well[5-8], which also reported a noticeable difference in the electronic properties of nonmagnetic and magnetic NdNiO_2 .

$\text{NdNiO}_2\text{:H}$: Hydrogenation of NdNiO_2 leads to a significant change in electronic properties. For instance, NdNiO_2H is predicted to be an AFM insulator with DFT band gap energy of about 0.7 eV (Fig. 5b,d). While we cannot argue that experimentally reported structures are NdNiO_2H , we would like to note that experimentally reported freestanding " NdNiO_2 " compound is indeed an insulator as confirmed by measurements of electronic conductivity/resistivity vs. temperature[14], which clearly contradicts to theoretical predictions for pure stoichiometric NdNiO_2 in this work and that available in the literature[2-13]. These observations thus suggest that before understanding the physics of superconductivity in nickelates, one might first need to understand the composition of samples and the role of common impurities such as H in the stabilization of NdNiO_2 -like structure or even more complex deviations from ideal stoichiometry. These results also exercise serious caution to predicting new physics in pristine stoichiometric NdNiO_2 as it might be a potentially unrealizable compound.




Figure 5. Crystal structures of (a) NdNiO_2 and (b) hydrogenated NdNiO_2H . Orbital projected spin-polarized density of states of pure stoichiometric P4/mmm NdNiO_2 (c) and (b) hydrogenated NdNiO_2 using the SCAN exchange-correlation functional. Occupied states are shadowed in light blue. The band gap is shown in gray.

IV. Conclusions

This study demonstrates that pure stoichiometric NdNiO_2 is significantly thermodynamically unstable with respect to decomposition into Nd_2O_3 , NiO , and Ni . Given the distinguishing feature of synthesis of NdNiO_2 *via*

chemical reduction of NdNiO_3 involving CaH_2 or NaH , we point out that hydrogen inclusions can form spontaneously in stoichiometric NdNiO_2 . In the concentrated limit, such inclusions can result in formation of NdNiO_2H . Although NdNiO_2H is also unstable with respect to decomposition, its decomposition energy to $0.5[\text{Nd}_2\text{O}_3+\text{NiO}+\text{Ni}+\text{H}_2]$ is only 80 meV/atom, substantially lower compared to the pristine phase. Hydrogenation introduces a fundamental change in the electronic structure, converting the pristine NdNiO_2 from AFM metal to a $\text{NdNiO}_2\text{:H}$ AFM insulator, requiring doping as a prerequisite for superconductivity. These results point to the importance of considering extrinsic factors (such as spontaneous defect physics) in assessing the relevant electronic structure of such compounds. ***The need for experimental determination of H incorporation in as grown and subsequent H retention:*** Indeed, there is precedence for incorporation of H as hydride when such reductions are done, as noted in refs. [21,50], in particular, when late row transition metals (e.g., Ni) are present. Such experiments might involve Secondary Ion Mass Spectroscopy (SIMS) or neutron diffraction. This could determine the concentration of hydrogen in the *as prepared* sample, predicted here thermodynamically to bind significant H concentration, and establish if the *processed sample*, used in superconductivity measurement, might have retained hydrogen, or else it escaped. Hence, further understanding the physics of superconductivity in reduced nickelates might require investigation of the role of H impurities or even more complex deviations from ideal stoichiometry (e.g., formation of H-doped $\text{Nd}_{1-x}\text{Ni}_{1-y}\text{O}_2$ compounds). Keeping this in mind, theorists should be aware that pure stoichiometric NdNiO_2 may be a “fantasy material” [51] that may never be realized as such, and hence the theoretical predictions based on such material might be misleading.

Acknowledgment: The theory of synthesis in this work was supported by Air Force Office of Scientific Research under MURI Award No. FA9550-18-1-0136. The electronic structure and doping calculations were supported by U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences, Materials Sciences and Engineering Division within DE-SC0010467. The authors acknowledge the use of computational resources located at the National Renewable Energy Laboratory and sponsored by the Department of Energy's Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy. This work also utilized the Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment (XSEDE) supercomputer resources, which are supported by the National Science Foundation grant number ACI-1548562. JV acknowledges access granted to HPC resources of Criann through the project 2020005 and of Cines through the DARI project A0080911453. The authors thank Harold Y. Hwang and Tyrel McQueen for discussions of pertinent experimental aspects.

References

- [1] D. Li, K. Lee, B. Y. Wang, M. Osada, S. Crossley, H. R. Lee, Y. Cui, Y. Hikita, and H. Y. Hwang, *Nature*, **572**, 624 (2019).
- [2] Y. Nomura, M. Hirayama, T. Tadano, Y. Yoshimoto, K. Nakamura, and R. Arita, *Phys. Rev. B*, **100**, 205138 (2019).
- [3] B. Geisler and R. Pentcheva, *Phys. Rev. B*, **102**, 020502(R) (2020).
- [4] P. Jiang, L. Si, Z. Liao, and Z. Zhong, *Phys. Rev. B*, **100**, 201106 (2019).
- [5] M.-Y. Choi, K.-W. Lee, and W. E. Pickett, *Phys. Rev. B*, **101**, 020503 (2020).
- [6] J. Karp, A. S. Botana, M. R. Norman, H. Park, M. Zingl, and A. Millis, *Phys. Rev. X*, **10**, 021061 (2020).
- [7] H. Zhang, L. Jin, S. Wang, B. Xi, X. Shi, F. Ye, and J.-W. Mei, *Phys. Rev. Res.*, **2**, 013214 (2020).
- [8] Z. Liu, Z. Ren, W. Zhu, Z. Wang, and J. Yang, *npj Quantum Mater.*, **5**, 1 (2020).
- [9] M. Hirayama, T. Tadano, Y. Nomura, and R. Arita, *Phys. Rev. B*, **101**, 075107 (2020).
- [10] F. Lechermann, *Phys. Rev. B*, **101**, 081110 (2020).
- [11] I. Leonov, S. L. Skornyakov, and S. Y. Savrasov, *Phys. Rev. B*, **101**, 241108 (2020).
- [12] I. Leonov and S. Y. Savrasov, arXiv:2006.05295 [cond-mat], (2020).
- [13] M. Kitatani, L. Si, O. Janson, R. Arita, Z. Zhong, and K. Held, *npj Quantum Mater.*, **5**, 1 (2020).
- [14] Q. Li, C. He, J. Si, X. Zhu, Y. Zhang, and H.-H. Wen, *Communications Materials*, **1**, 1 (2020).

- [15] M. A. Hayward and M. J. Rosseinsky, *Solid State Sci.*, **5**, 839 (2003).
- [16] B.-X. Wang, H. Zheng, E. Krivyakina, O. Chmaissem, P. P. Lopes, J. W. Lynn, L. C. Gallington, Y. Ren, S. Rosenkranz, J. F. Mitchell, and D. Phelan, *Physical Review Materials* **4**, 084409 (2020).
- [17] X.-R. Zhou, Z.-X. Feng, P.-X. Qin, H. Yan, S. Hu, H.-X. Guo, X.-N. Wang, H.-J. Wu, X. Zhang, H.-Y. Chen, X.-P. Qiu, and Z.-Q. Liu, *Rare Met.*, **39**, 368 (2020).
- [18] W. Sun, S. T. Dacek, S. P. Ong, G. Hautier, A. Jain, W. D. Richards, A. C. Gamst, K. A. Persson, and G. Ceder, *Sci. Adv.*, **2**, e1600225 (2016).
- [19] See Supplemental Material for further details on the data used from Materials Project, comparison of XRD spectra for NdNiO₂ and NdNiO₂H compounds, and summary on used compounds in the convex hull calculations.
- [20] A. Jain, S. P. Ong, G. Hautier, W. Chen, W. D. Richards, S. Dacek, S. Cholia, D. Gunter, D. Skinner, G. Ceder, and K. A. Persson, *APL Mat.*, **1**, 011002 (2013).
- [21] T. Onozuka, A. Chikamatsu, T. Katayama, T. Fukumura, and T. Hasegawa, *Dalton Trans.*, **45**, 12114 (2016).
- [22] S. Zeng, C. S. Tang, X. Yin, C. Li, Z. Huang, J. Hu, W. Liu, G. J. Omar, H. Jani, Z. S. Lim, K. Han, D. Wan, P. Yang, A. T. S. Wee, and A. Ariando, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **125**, 147003 (2020).
- [23] K. Lee, B. H. Goodge, D. Li, M. Osada, B. Y. Wang, Y. Cui, L. F. Kourkoutis, and H. Y. Hwang, *APL Mat.*, **8**, 041107 (2020).
- [24] D. Li, B. Y. Wang, K. Lee, S. P. Harvey, M. Osada, B. H. Goodge, L. F. Kourkoutis, and H. Y. Hwang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **125**, 027001 (2020).
- [25] L. Si, W. Xiao, J. Kaufmann, J. M. Tomczak, Y. Lu, Z. Zhong, and K. Held, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **124** 166402 (2020).
- [26] A. Walsh and A. Zunger, *Nat. Mater.*, **16**, 964 (2017).
- [27] O. I. Malyi, M. T. Yeung, K. R. Poeppelmeier, C. Persson, and A. Zunger, *Matter*, **1**, 280 (2019).
- [28] J. Sun, A. Ruzsinszky, and J. P. Perdew, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **115**, 036402 (2015).
- [29] G. Kresse and J. Hafner, *Phys. Rev. B*, **47**, 558 (1993).
- [30] G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, *Comp. Mater. Sci.*, **6**, 15 (1996).
- [31] G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, *Phys. Rev. B*, **54**, 11169 (1996).
- [32] O. I. Malyi and A. Zunger, *Appl. Phys. Rev.*, **7**, 041310 (2020).
- [33] Z. Wang, O. I. Malyi, X. Zhao, and A. Zunger, *Phys. Rev. B*, **103** 165110 (2021).
- [34] Y. Zhang, J. Furnes, R. Zhang, Z. Wang, A. Zunger, and J. Sun, *Phys. Rev. B*, **102**, 045112 (2020).
- [35] J. Varignon, M. Bibes, and A. Zunger, *Phys. Rev. B*, **100**, 035119 (2019).
- [36] C. J. Bartel, A. W. Weimer, S. Lany, C. B. Musgrave, and A. M. Holder, *npj Comput. Mater.*, **5**, 4 (2019).
- [37] H. J. Monkhorst and J. D. Pack, *Phys. Rev. B*, **13**, 5188 (1976).
- [38] K. Momma and F. Izumi, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, **44**, 1272 (2011).
- [39] S. P. Ong, W. D. Richards, A. Jain, G. Hautier, M. Kocher, S. Cholia, D. Gunter, V. L. Chevrier, K. A. Persson, and G. Ceder, *Comp. Mater. Sci.*, **68**, 314 (2013).
- [40] A. Belsky, M. Hellenbrandt, V. L. Karen, and P. Luksch, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Sci.*, **58**, 364 (2002).
- [41] C. Kilic and A. Zunger, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, **81**, 73 (2002).
- [42] C. G. Van de Walle and J. Neugebauer, *Nature*, **423**, 626 (2003).
- [43] C. G. Van de Walle, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **85**, 1012 (2000).
- [44] A. K. Singh, A. Janotti, M. Scheffler, and C. G. Van de Walle, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **101**, 055502 (2008).
- [45] A. Janotti and C. G. Van de Walle, *Nat. Mater.*, **6**, 44 (2007).
- [46] Z. Wang, X. Zhao, R. Koch, S. J. L. Billinge, and A. Zunger, *Phys. Rev. B*, **102**, 235121 (2020).
- [47] G. Trimarchi, Z. Wang, and A. Zunger, *Phys. Rev. B*, **97**, 035107 (2018).
- [48] X.-G. Zhao, G. M. Dalpian, Z. Wang, and A. Zunger, *Phys. Rev. B*, **101**, 155137 (2020).
- [49] J. Varignon, M. Bibes, and A. Zunger, *Nat. Commun.*, **10**, 1658 (2019).
- [50] M. Hayward, E. Cussen, J. Claridge, M. Bieringer, M. Rosseinsky, C. Kiely, S. Blundell, I. Marshall, and F. Pratt, *Science*, **295**, 1882 (2002).
- [51] G. A. Sawatzky, *Nature*, **572**, 592 (2019).