

# ON THE TOPOLOGY OF DETERMINANTAL LINKS

MATTHIAS ZACH

ABSTRACT. We study the cohomology of the generic determinantal varieties  $M_{m,n}^s = \{\varphi \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n} : \text{rank } \varphi < s\}$ , their polar multiplicities, their sections  $D_k \cap M_{m,n}^s$  by generic hyperplanes  $D_k$  of various codimension  $k$ , and the real and complex links of the spaces  $(D_k \cap M_{m,n}^s, 0)$ . Such complex links were shown to provide the basic building blocks in a bouquet decomposition for the (determinantal) smoothings of smoothable isolated determinantal singularities. The detailed vanishing topology of such singularities was still not fully understood beyond isolated complete intersections and a few further special cases. Our results now allow to compute all distinct Betti numbers of any determinantal smoothing.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction and results	2
2. Real and complex links of higher codimension	6
2.1. Definitions	6
2.2. Synopsis with polar varieties	8
2.3. The Euler characteristic of complex links	11
2.4. Polar multiplicities of generic determinantal varieties	12
3. Determinantal strata as homogeneous spaces	20
3.1. The Lie group action on $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$	21
3.2. The Cartan model	24
3.3. Maximal tori and the Weyl group	25
3.4. Cohomology of Stiefel manifolds and Grassmannians	26
3.5. Cohomology of the matrix orbits	30
4. Betti numbers of smooth links	32
4.1. The variation sequence on stratified spaces	33
4.2. Proof of Formulas (36) – (38)	35
4.3. The middle cohomology groups	35
5. Implications for the vanishing topology of smoothable IDS	38
5.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1	38
5.2. Proof of Corollary 1.2	39
Acknowledgements	39
References	39

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

The motivation for this paper is to understand the vanishing (co-)homology of isolated *determinantal singularities*  $(X, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$  (abbreviated as IDS in the following) which admit a *determinantal smoothing*  $M$ . Despite their seemingly odd definition (see below), they are quite frequently encountered; for instance any normal surface singularity in  $(\mathbb{C}^4, 0)$  and any so-called “space curve”  $(C, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$  is determinantal by virtue of the Hilbert-Burch theorem, see e.g. [Wah16] and [Sch77]. It was shown in [Zac20] that sections of the generic determinantal varieties  $M_{m,n}^s = \{\varphi \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n} : \text{rank } \varphi < s\}$  by hyperplanes  $D'_k \subset \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ , which are of codimension  $k$  and in general position off the origin, provide the fundamental building blocks in a bouquet decomposition of the determinantal smoothing  $M$ .

In this paper we aim to study these building blocks

$$\mathcal{L}^k(M_{m,n}^s, 0) \cong M_{m,n}^s \cap D'_{k+1},$$

which we shall refer to as *complex links of codimension  $k$* , and discuss the implications for the vanishing topology of various singularities. Our main result in this regard is that whenever  $k$  is sufficiently big so that the hyperplane  $D'_{k+1}$  misses the singular locus of  $M_{m,n}^s$  and  $\mathcal{L}^k(M_{m,n}^s, 0)$  is smooth, then the cohomology in degrees  $i$  below the middle degree  $d = \dim \mathcal{L}^k(M_{m,n}^s, 0)$  is

$$H^i(\mathcal{L}^k(M_{m,n}^s, 0)) \cong H^i(\text{Grass}(s-1, m)),$$

that is, it is isomorphic to the cohomology of a Grassmannian, see (37).

In the general case, i.e. for arbitrary codimension  $k$ , we provide a formula (11) for the Euler characteristic of  $\mathcal{L}^k(M_{m,n}^s, 0)$  based on the polar methods developed by Lê and Teissier. We proceed to study the polar multiplicities  $e_{m,n}^{r,k}$  of the generic determinantal varieties  $(M_{m,n}^{r+1}, 0)$  in Section 2.4 and obtain a formula (21) for these numbers as an integral of Segre classes. It should be noted that this formula does not depend on the choice of any generic hyperplane section and it can be implemented effectively in a computer algebra system. However, we were unable to prove a closed formula for the numbers  $e_{m,n}^{r,k}$  as a function of  $m, n, r$ , and  $k$ ; even though the calculated Tables 1, and 3 – 7 yield several patterns.

Coming back to the case of smooth links, this allows then to also compute the middle Betti number of  $\mathcal{L}^k(M_{m,n}^s, 0)$  (but unfortunately not the full cohomology group with integer coefficients in general, i.e. there could also be torsion).

We would like to point out that many methods developed in this article apply much more generally to compute the cohomology of the links of higher codimension for spaces  $(X, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^q, 0)$  which decompose into orbits of a Lie group action  $G \times X \rightarrow X$ . The generic determinantal varieties treated here are just one particular, accessible example.

We continue with the discussion of the implications of these results for arbitrary smoothable IDS. Let

$$A: (\mathbb{C}^p, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}, 0)$$

be a holomorphic map germ to the space of  $m \times n$ -matrices. We say that  $(X, 0)$  is *determinantal for  $A$*  and of type  $(m, n, s)$  if we have  $(X, 0) = (A^{-1}(M_{m,n}^s), 0)$  and such that  $\text{codim}(X, 0) = \text{codim}(M_{m,n}^s, 0) = (m - s + 1)(n - s + 1)$ . In this case we also write  $(X, 0) = (X_A^s, 0)$  to emphasize the chosen determinantal structure for  $(X, 0)$ .

By definition, a *determinantal deformation* is induced by an unfolding  $\mathbf{A}(x, t)$  of  $A(x)$  on parameters  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_k)$  such that  $A_0 = \mathbf{A}(-, 0)$  is equal to the original map  $A$  and  $A_t = \mathbf{A}(-, t)$  is transverse to  $M_{m,n}^s$  in a stratified sense for  $t \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}^k$ . Then  $X_t = A_t^{-1}(M_{m,n}^s)$  is a smoothing of  $(X, 0)$ , provided that  $p$  is strictly smaller than the codimension  $c = (m - s - 2)(n - s - 2)$  of the singular locus of  $M_{m,n}^s$ . In this case we shall speak of the *determinantal smoothing*<sup>1</sup> of  $(X_A^s, 0)$  and it can be shown that, up to diffeomorphism, this smoothing depends only on the choice of the determinantal structure for  $(X, 0)$ , but not on the particular unfolding of the matrix. We will therefore also write  $M_A^s$  for the determinantal smoothing  $X_t$  of  $(X, 0)$ .

Note that every complete intersection singularity  $(X, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$  of codimension  $k$  is determinantal of type  $(1, k, 1)$  for the matrix  $A$  whose entries are given by a regular sequence generating the ideal of  $(X, 0)$ . In this case the determinantal deformations coincide with the usual deformations of the singularity. We refer to [FKZ21] for the details on determinantal singularities and their deformations and further references for the above statements.

The aforementioned bouquet decomposition of the determinantal smoothing  $M_A^s$  of an IDS  $(X, 0) = (X_A^s, 0)$  from [Zac20] reads

$$(1) \quad M_A^s \cong_{\text{ht}} \mathcal{L}^{mn-p-1}(M_{m,n}^s, 0) \vee \bigvee_{i=1}^{\lambda} S^{\dim(X_A^s, 0)}$$

where we denote by  $S^d$  the unit sphere of real dimension  $d$ . Combining this with our results we find

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $M_A^s$  be the determinantal smoothing of a  $d$ -dimensional smoothable isolated determinantal singularity  $(X_A^s, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$  of type  $(m, n, s)$  with  $s \leq m \leq n$ . Then the truncated cohomology

$$H^{\leq d}(\text{Grass}(s-1, m)) \subset H^\bullet(M_A^s)$$

embeds into the cohomology of  $M_A^s$  with a quotient concentrated in cohomological degree  $d$ . Moreover, the left hand side is generated as an algebra by the Segre classes of the vector bundle  $E$  on  $M_A^s$  whose fiber at a point  $x$  is presented by the perturbed matrix

$$\mathbb{C}^n \xrightarrow{A_t(x)} \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow E_x \rightarrow 0$$

which defines the smoothing  $M_A^s$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>Note that in the case of an isolated determinantal hypersurface singularity given by  $(X, 0) = (\{\det A = 0\}, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$  a smoothing of the singularity always exists, but it can be realized by a determinantal deformation only when  $p < 4$ .

$m \setminus k$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	3	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	6	16	27	24	10	0	0	0	0	0
4	10	40	105	176	190	120	35	0	0	0
5	15	80	285	696	1200	1440	1155	560	126	0
6	21	140	630	2016	4760	8352	10815	10080	6426	2520
7	28	224	1218	4816	14420	33216	59143	80976	83916	63840
8	36	336	2142	10080	36540	104112	235557	424384	606564	680400
9	45	480	3510	19152	81480	276480	758205	1691920	3077838	4551840
10	55	660	5445	33792	165000	649440	2091705	5563360	12278970	22518200
$m \setminus k$	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
6	462	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	33726	11088	1716	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	587202	376992	169884	48048	6435	0	0	0	0	0
9	5430810	5155920	3809520	2114112	830115	205920	24310	0	0	0
10	34240800	42926400	43929600	36132096	23326875	11394240	3962530	875160	92378	0

TABLE 1. Polar multiplicities  $e_{m,m+1}^{m-1,k}$  for the generic determinantal varieties  $(M_{m,m+1}^m, 0)$  appearing in the context of the Hilbert-Burch theorem

$d \setminus m$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
0	1	3	6	10	15	21	28	36	45	55
1	0	-1	-10	-30	-65	-119	-196	-300	-435	-605
2	0	2	17	75	220	511	1022	1842	3075	4840
3	0	2	-7	-101	-476	-1505	-3794	-9138	-16077	-28952

TABLE 2. The Euler characteristic of the smooth complex links  $\mathcal{L}^{m(m+1)-d-3}(M_{m,m+1}^m, 0)$  of dimension  $d$  of the generic determinantal varieties appearing in the context of the Hilbert-Burch theorem

In the case of smoothable isolated Cohen-Macaulay codimension 2 singularities  $(X, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$  we obtain some more specific results which might be of particular interest. As remarked earlier, these singularities admit a canonical determinantal structure by virtue of the Hilbert-Burch theorem (see [Hil90], [Bur68], or [Eis95] for a textbook): Let  $f_0, \dots, f_m \in \mathbb{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_p\}$  be a minimal set of generators for the ideal  $I$  defining  $(X, 0)$ . Then the minimal free resolution of  $\mathcal{O}_{X,0} = \mathbb{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_p\}/I$  over the ring  $\mathcal{O}_p = \mathbb{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_p\}$  takes the form

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_p^m \xrightarrow{A^T} \mathcal{O}_p^{m+1} \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{O}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,0} \rightarrow 0$$

for some matrix  $A \in \mathcal{O}^{m \times (m+1)}$  and then  $(X, 0) = (X_A^m, 0)$  is determinantal of type  $(m, m+1, m)$  for the matrix  $A$ . Moreover, any deformation of  $(X, 0)$  is also automatically determinantal (see [Sch77]), i.e. it arises from a perturbation of  $A$ . Isolated Cohen-Macaulay codimension 2 singularities exist up to dimension  $d = \dim(X, 0) \leq 4$  and they are smoothable if and only if this inequality is strict.

The generic determinantal varieties appearing in the context of the Hilbert-Burch theorem are naturally limited to  $M_{m,m+1}^m$  and we list their polar multiplicities for values  $0 \leq m \leq 10$  in Table 1. From these numbers we can then also compute the Euler characteristic of the smooth complex links of their generic hyperplane sections, listed in Table 2.

As a consequence of Theorem 1.1 we obtain:

**Corollary 1.2.** Let  $(X, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^5, 0)$  be a Cohen-Macaulay germ of codimension 2 with an isolated singularity at the origin and  $M$  its smoothing. Then  $H^2(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  is free of rank one and generated by the Chern class of the canonical bundle on  $M$ .

This has been conjectured by the author in [Zac18] where a special case of Corollary 1.2 was obtained for those singularities defined by  $2 \times 3$ -matrices. Our results on the Euler characteristic of the complex links also give lower bounds for the third Betti number

$$(2) \quad b_3 \geq -\chi\left(\mathcal{L}^{m(m+1)-6}(M_{m,m+1}^m, 0)\right) - 2$$

which can be read off directly from Table 2 depending on the size of the matrix  $m$ . Unfortunately, we were unable to prove a closed algebraic formula for this number as a function of  $m$ .

While in the case of threefolds the cohomology of the complex link prominently sticks out in the sense that it entirely covers the nontrivial contributions outside the middle degree, the situation is a little more hidden in dimensions  $d < 3$  since then all the non-trivial (reduced) cohomology of the smoothing  $M$  is concentrated in the middle degree. Nevertheless, using Theorem 1.1, a lower bound for the middle Betti number can be read off from Table 2 as

$$(3) \quad b_2 \geq \chi \left( \mathcal{L}^{m(m+1)-5}(M_{m,m+1}^m, 0) \right) - 2$$

for smoothings of isolated Cohen-Macaulay codimension 2 surfaces and

$$(4) \quad b_1 \geq -\chi \left( \mathcal{L}^{m(m+1)-4}(M_{m,m+1}^m, 0) \right) - 1$$

in the case of space curves in  $(\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$ . The proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 will be given in the last section.

**Remark 1.3.** Wahl has shown in [Wah16] that for a normal surface singularity  $(X, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^4, 0)$  which is not Gorenstein, the second Betti number  $\mu$  of the smoothing  $M$  and the Tjurina number  $\tau$  of  $(X, 0)$  obey an inequality

$$\mu \geq \tau - 1$$

with equality whenever  $(X, 0)$  is quasi-homogeneous. In particular, this applies to all generic hyperplane sections  $(X, 0) = (D \cap M_{m,m+1}^m, 0) \subset (D, 0)$  for  $m > 1$  and  $D$  of dimension 4. It follows from the above that  $\chi(M) = \tau$  and the first few values can be read off from Table 2. Comparing Wahl's results with the bouquet decomposition (1) we see that for non-linear quasi-homogeneous singularities, the difference of the numbers  $\tau$  and  $\lambda$  is determined by the offset

$$\tau - \lambda = \chi \left( \mathcal{L}^{m(m+1)-5}(M_{m,m+1}^m, 0) \right)$$

depending only on the size of the matrix  $m > 1$ . This is different compared to the case of isolated complete intersections (the case  $m = 1$ ) where we have equalities  $\tau = \mu = \lambda$ ; see [Wah85].

## 2. REAL AND COMPLEX LINKS OF HIGHER CODIMENSION

**2.1. Definitions.** Let  $X \hookrightarrow U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be the closed embedding of an equidimensional reduced complex analytic variety of dimension  $d$  in some open set  $U$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and suppose  $\{V^\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  is a complex analytic Whitney stratification for  $X$ . Such stratifications always exist; a construction of a unique minimal stratification has been described in [TT81] and if not specified further, we will in the following always assume  $X$  to be endowed with its minimal Whitney stratification. Moreover, we may suppose that every stratum is connected for if this was not the case, we could replace it by its distinct irreducible components.

Recall the notions of the *real* and *complex links* of  $X$  along its strata. These can be defined as follows: Let  $V^\alpha$  be a stratum of  $X$  and  $x \in V^\alpha \subset X$  an arbitrary point. For simplicity, we may assume  $x = 0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$  to be the origin. Choose a *normal slice* to  $V^\alpha$  through  $x$ , i.e. a submanifold  $(N_x, x) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, x)$  of complementary dimension which meets  $V^\alpha$  transversally

in  $x$ . Let  $B_\varepsilon(x)$  be the closed ball of radius  $\varepsilon$  centered at  $x$ . Then the real link of  $X$  along the stratum  $V^\alpha$  is

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{K}(X, V^\alpha) = X \cap N_x \cap \partial B_\varepsilon(x)$$

for  $1 \gg \varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small. Similarly, the complex link is

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{L}(X, V^\alpha) = X \cap N_x \cap B_\varepsilon(x) \cap l^{-1}(\{\delta\})$$

for a sufficiently general linear form  $l$  and  $1 \gg \varepsilon \gg |\delta| > 0$ . For a rigorous definition of these objects see for example [GM88, Part I, Chapter 1.4] and [GM88, Part II, Chapter 2.2]. There, one can also find a proof of the fact that the real and complex links are independent of the choices involved in their definition: They are invariants of the particular stratification of  $X$  and unique up to non-unique homeomorphism.

In this note we shall also be concerned with the *real and complex links of higher codimension* for a germ  $(X, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  at the point 0.

**Definition 2.1.** The real and complex links of codimension  $i$  of  $(X, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  at the origin are the classical real and complex links of a section  $(X \cap D_i, 0)$  of  $X$  with a sufficiently general plane  $D_i \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  of codimension  $i$ .

Note that in this definition, the classical complex link  $\mathcal{L}(X, 0)$  is the complex link of codimension 0, even though it has complex codimension 1 as an analytic subspace of  $X$ . This choice was made in order to be compatible with the notation for the real links. The reader may think of the word “link” as an indicator to increment the codimension by one to arrive at the actual codimension of the space.

By “sufficiently general” we mean that  $D_i$  has to belong to a Zariski open subset  $U_i \subset \text{Grass}(n-i, n)$  in the Grassmannian. This set consists of planes for which variation of  $D_i$  results in a Whitney equisingular family in  $X \cap D_i$  and we shall briefly discuss the existence of such a set. From this it will follow immediately that the real and complex links of higher codimension are invariants of the germ  $(X, 0)$  itself and unique up to non-unique homeomorphism.

Consider the *Grassmann modification* of  $X$ :

$$G_i X = \{(x, D) \in X \times \text{Grass}(n-i, n) : x \in D\}$$

where by  $\text{Grass}(n-i, n)$  we denote the Grassmannian of codimension  $i$  planes in the ambient space  $\mathbb{C}^n$  through the point 0. It comes naturally with two projections

$$(7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} G_i X & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \text{Grass}(n-i, n) \\ \downarrow \rho & & \\ X & & \end{array}$$

where by construction  $\rho(\pi^{-1}(\{D\})) = X \cap D$  for any plane  $D \in \text{Grass}(n-i, n)$ . The Grassmannian itself has a natural embedding into  $G_i X$  as the zero section  $E_0$  of  $\pi$  and we may think of  $E_0$  as parametrizing the intersections of  $X$  with planes of codimension  $i$ . For  $i \leq d = \dim X$  the intersection of any plane  $D$  with  $(X, 0)$  will be of positive dimension at 0 and therefore  $E_0$  is necessarily contained in the closure of its complement.

Note that for an arbitrary point  $p \in X$  the fiber  $\rho^{-1}(\{p\})$  over  $p$  in  $G_i X$  consists of all planes  $D \in \text{Grass}(n-i, n)$  containing both  $p$  and the origin. It follows that the restriction

$$\rho: G_i X \setminus E_0 \rightarrow X \setminus \{0\}$$

is not an isomorphism, but nevertheless a holomorphic fiber bundle with smooth fibers. In particular, any given Whitney stratification on  $X$  determines a unique Whitney stratification of  $G_i X \setminus E_0$  by pullback of the strata.

Given such a stratification on the complement of  $E_0$ , there exists some maximal Zariski open subset  $U_i \subset E_0 \cong \text{Grass}(n-i, n)$  such that all the strata of  $G_i X \setminus E_0$  satisfy Whitney's conditions (a) and (b) along  $U_i$ . We may extend the stratification on the open subset  $G_i X \setminus E_0$  to a Whitney stratification of  $G_i X$ , containing  $U_i$  as a stratum. Since the Grassmannian  $\text{Grass}(n-i, n)$  is irreducible and complex analytic subsets have real codimension  $\geq 2$ , this stratum is necessarily dense and connected.

A plane  $D$  of codimension  $i$  is sufficiently general in the sense of Definition 2.1 if it belongs to  $U_i$ . The projection  $\pi$  provides us with a canonical choice of normal slices along  $U_i$  and by construction, we may therefore identify the real and complex links of  $G_i X$  along  $U_i$  with the real and complex links of the germ  $(X \cap D, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  for any  $D \in U_i$ . Given that the classical real and complex links are invariants of the strata in a Whitney stratification, it follows immediately that the real and complex links of higher codimension for a germ  $(X, 0)$  are well defined invariants of the germ itself and unique up to non-unique homeomorphism.

**2.2. Synopsis with polar varieties.** While it was already established in the previous discussion that the real and complex links of higher codimension are invariants of the germ  $(X, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  itself, a more specific setup will be required in the following. Let  $\underline{l} = l_1, l_2, \dots, l_d \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C})$  be a sequence of linear forms defining a flag of subspaces

$$\mathcal{D}: \mathbb{C}^n = D_0 \supset D_1 \supset D_2 \supset \dots \supset D_d \supset \{0\}$$

in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  via  $D_{i+1} = D_i \cap \ker l_{i+1}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $(X, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  be an equidimensional reduced complex analytic germ of dimension  $d$  and  $\{V^\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  a Whitney stratification of  $X$ . A sequence of linear forms  $l_1, l_2, \dots, l_d \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C})$  is called *admissible* for  $(X, 0)$  if for every  $0 < i \leq d$  the restriction of  $l_i$  to  $X_{i-1} := X \cap D_{i-1}$  does not annihilate any limiting tangent space of  $(X_{i-1}, 0)$  at the origin.

This particular definition is chosen with a view towards the fibration theorems and inductive arguments used in Section 4.1. However, we shall also need the results on polar varieties by Lê and Teissier from [TT81]. To this end, we recall the necessary definitions and explain how Definition 2.2 fits into the context of their paper.

The geometric setup for the treatment of limiting tangent spaces is the *Nash modification*. Let  $X \subset U$  be a suitable representative of  $(X, 0)$  in some open neighborhood  $U$  of the origin. The Gauss map is defined on the regular



locus  $X_{\text{reg}}$  via

$$X_{\text{reg}} \rightarrow \text{Grass}(d, n), \quad p \mapsto [T_p X_{\text{reg}} \subset T_p \mathbb{C}^n].$$

Then the Nash blowup  $\tilde{X}$  of  $X$  is defined as the closure of the graph of the Gauss map. It comes with two morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \text{Grass}(d, n) \\ \downarrow \nu & & \\ X & & \end{array}$$

where  $\nu$  is the projection to  $X$  and  $\gamma$  the natural prolongation of the Gauss map. We denote by  $\tilde{T}$  the pullback of the tautological bundle on  $\text{Grass}(d, n)$  along  $\gamma$  and by  $\tilde{\Omega}^1$  the dual of  $\tilde{T}$ . By construction, the fiber  $\nu^{-1}(\{p\})$  over a point  $p \in X$  consists of pairs  $(p, E) \in \tilde{X} \subset \mathbb{C}^n \times \text{Grass}(d, n)$  where  $E$  is a *limiting tangent space* to  $X$  at  $p$ . In particular, such a limiting tangent space is unique at regular points  $p \in X_{\text{reg}}$  and  $\nu: \nu^{-1}(X_{\text{reg}}) \rightarrow X_{\text{reg}}$  is an isomorphism identifying the restriction of  $\tilde{T}$  with the tangent bundle of  $X_{\text{reg}}$ .

A flag  $\mathcal{D}$  as above determines a set of *degeneraci loci* in the Grassmannian as follows. Every linear form  $l \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C})$  can be pulled back to a global section  $\nu^*l$  in the dual of the tautological bundle of  $\text{Grass}(d, n)$ : On a fiber  $E$  of the tautological bundle the section  $\nu^*l$  is defined to be merely the restriction of  $l$  to  $E$  regarded as a linear subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . This generalizes in the obvious way for the linear maps

$$\varphi_k := l_1 \oplus l_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus l_{d-k+1}: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d-k+1}$$

for every  $0 \leq k \leq d$ . Adapting the notation from [TT81] we now have

$$\begin{aligned} c_k(\mathcal{D}) &:= \{E \in \text{Grass}(d, n) : \dim E \cap D_{d-k+1} \geq k\} \\ &= \{E \in \text{Grass}(d, n) : \text{rank } \nu^* \varphi_k < d - k + 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that by construction  $c_0(\mathcal{D}) = \text{Grass}(d, n)$  is the whole Grassmannian. We set  $\gamma^{-1}(c_k(\mathcal{D})) \subset \tilde{X}$  to be the corresponding degeneraci locus on the Nash modification and

$$P_k(\mathcal{D}) := \nu(\gamma^{-1}(c_k(\mathcal{D})))$$

its image in  $X$ . By construction, a point  $p \in X$  belongs to  $P_k(\mathcal{D})$  if and only if there exists a limiting tangent space  $E$  to  $X_{\text{reg}}$  at  $p$  such that the restriction of  $\varphi_k$  to  $E$  does not have full rank.

The  $k$ -th polar multiplicity of  $(X, 0)$  is then defined for  $0 \leq k < d$  to be

$$(8) \quad m_k(X, 0) := m_0(P_k(\mathcal{D})),$$

the multiplicity of the  $k$ -th polar variety. We shall see below that for  $k = d$  the variety  $P_d(\mathcal{D})$  is empty for a generic flag so that a definition of  $m_d(X, 0)$  does not make sense. In the other extreme case where  $k = 0$ , the polar multiplicity  $m_0(X, 0)$  is simply the multiplicity of  $(X, 0)$  itself.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $X$  be a sufficiently small representative of  $(X, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ . A sequence of linear forms  $l_1, \dots, l_d$  is admissible for  $(X, 0)$  if and only if for every  $0 \leq i < d$  one has

$$(9) \quad \gamma^{-1}(c_{d-i}(\mathcal{D})) \cap \hat{X}_i = \emptyset$$

for the associated flag  $\mathcal{D}$ . Here  $X_i := X \cap D_i$  and  $\widehat{X}_i$  denotes the strict transform of  $X_i$  in the Nash modification.

*Proof.* The proof will proceed by induction on  $i$ . For  $i = 0$  we have  $\widehat{X}_0 = \widetilde{X}$  and the statement is about the choice of  $l_1$ . Consider the projectivized analytic set of degenerate covectors

$$\{([l], (p, E)) \in \mathbb{P}\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}) \times \nu^{-1}(\{0\}) : l|_E = 0\}$$

along the central fiber  $\nu^{-1}(\{0\})$  of the Nash modification. Since this fiber has strictly smaller dimension than  $X$ , the set of degenerate covectors has dimension  $< n - 1$  and therefore the discriminant, i.e. the image of its projection to  $\mathbb{P}\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C})$ , is a closed analytic set of positive codimension. Now (9) is satisfied if and only if  $l_1$  belongs to the complement of the affine cone of the discriminant.

Such a choice for  $l_1$  determines  $X_1 = X \cap D_1$ . Note that by construction this intersection is transversal and therefore  $X_1$  inherits a Whitney stratification from the one on  $X$ . Moreover, at a regular point  $p \in X_1$  the tangent space

$$T_p X_1 = \ker \nu^* l_1 \subset T_p X$$

is naturally contained in the tangent space of  $X$  to that point. Let  $\widehat{X}_1 \subset \widetilde{X}$  be the strict transform of  $X_1$  in the Nash modification. Taking limits of appropriate (sub-)sequences of regular points, it is easy to see that every limiting tangent space  $E'$  of  $X_1$  at 0 is contained in a limiting tangent space  $E$  of  $X$  along  $X_1$ . Consequently, a second linear form  $l_2$  annihilates the limiting tangent space  $E'$  of  $X_1$  if and only if  $l_1 \oplus l_2$  is degenerate on  $E$ . But this means nothing else than

$$(0, E) \in \widehat{X}_1 \cap \gamma^{-1}(c_{d-1}(\mathcal{D})) \neq \emptyset$$

which establishes the claim for  $i = 1$ . The remainder of the induction is a repetition of the previous steps and left to the reader.  $\square$

The previous lemma provides the link of Definition 2.2 with the ‘‘Théorème de Bertini idéaliste’’ by Lê and Teissier [TT81, Théorème 4.1.3]. They establish the existence of Zariski open subsets  $U'_i \subset \mathrm{Grass}(n - i, n)$  with certain good properties concerning the variety  $\gamma^{-1}(c_{d-i+1}(D_i))$  for  $D_i \in U'_i$ . A posteriori, they discuss in [TT81, Proposition 4.1.5] that if the whole flag  $\mathcal{D}$  has been chosen such that  $D_i \subset U'_i$  for all  $i$ , then also (9) is in fact satisfied for all  $i$ . Thus we obtain the following

**Corollary 2.4.** For every equidimensional reduced analytic germ  $(X, 0)$  there exists a Zariski open and dense subset of admissible sequences of linear forms  $l_1, l_2, \dots, l_d$ . Moreover, this sequence can be chosen such that for the associated flag  $\mathcal{D}$  the space  $D_i = \{l_1 = \dots = l_i = 0\}$  is sufficiently general in the sense of Definition 2.1 so that the real and complex links of codimension  $i$  are given by

$$\mathcal{K}^i(X, 0) = X \cap D_i \cap \partial B_\varepsilon(0)$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}^i(X, 0) = X \cap D_i \cap B_\varepsilon(0) \cap l_{i+1}^{-1}(\{\delta\})$$

for  $1 \gg \varepsilon \gg |\delta| > 0$ , respectively.

*Proof.* Consider the sets  $U'_i \cap U_i$  with  $U'_i$  from Lê's and Teissier's "Théorème de Bertini idéaliste" and  $U_i$  the set of Whitney equisingular sections from the discussion of Definition 2.1. Since the intersection of Zariski open sets is again Zariski open, we may choose  $l_1, l_2, \dots, l_d$  to be any sequence of linear forms such that

$$D_i = \{l_1 = \dots = l_i = 0\} \subset U'_i \cap U_i$$

for all  $i$ . □

We will henceforth assume that the sequence of linear forms  $l_i$  has been chosen such that the associated flag  $\mathcal{D}$  has  $D_i \in U'_i \cap U_i$  where  $U'_i$  is the Zariski open subset of Lê's and Teissier's "Théorème de Bertini idéaliste" and  $U_i$  the Zariski open subset of Whitney equisingular sections of codimension  $i$  from the discussion of Definition 2.1.

**2.3. The Euler characteristic of complex links.** Lê and Teissier have described a method to compute the Euler characteristic of complex links from the polar multiplicities in [TT81, Proposition 6.1.8]. We briefly sketch how to use their results inductively in our setup from Definition 2.2.

As before, let  $(X, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  be a reduced, equidimensional complex analytic germ of dimension  $d$ , endowed with a Whitney stratification  $\{V^\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ . We will assume that  $V^0 = \{0\}$  is a stratum and write  $X^\alpha = \overline{V^\alpha}$  for the closure of any other stratum  $V^\alpha$  of  $X$ . Throughout this section, we will assume that an admissible sequence of linear forms  $l_1, \dots, l_d$  and the associated flag  $\mathcal{D}$  have been chosen as in Corollary 2.4 for all germs  $(X^\alpha, 0)$  at once. This flag being fixed, we will in the following suppress it from our notation and simply write  $P_k(X^\alpha, 0)$  for the polar varieties of the germ  $(X^\alpha, 0)$  with  $\mathcal{D}$  being understood.

Denote by  $\mathcal{L}(X, V^\alpha)$  the classical complex links of  $X$  along the stratum  $V^\alpha$  and by  $\mathcal{L}^i$  the complex link of codimension  $i$  of  $X$  at the origin. Then by [TT81, Théorème 6.1.9]

$$(10) \quad \chi(\mathcal{L}^0) - \chi(\mathcal{L}^1) = \sum_{\alpha \neq 0} m_0(P_{d(\alpha)-1}(X^\alpha, 0)) \cdot (-1)^{d(\alpha)-1} (1 - \chi(\mathcal{L}(X, V^\alpha))).$$

For our specific setup we may interpret this formula in the context of stratified Morse theory. To this end, note that the restriction of  $l_2$  to the complex link  $\mathcal{L}^0$

$$l_2: X \cap B_\varepsilon(0) \cap l_1^{-1}(\{\delta_1\}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

is a stratified Morse function with critical points on the interior of the strata  $V^\alpha \cap \mathcal{L}^0$  of  $\mathcal{L}^0$  precisely at the intersection points

$$\mathcal{L}^0 \cap P_{d(\alpha)-1}(X^\alpha, 0) = \{q_1^\alpha, \dots, q_{m_0(P(\alpha)_{d-1}(X^\alpha, 0))}^\alpha\},$$

cf. [TT81, Corollaire 4.1.6] and [TT81, Corollaire 4.1.9]. The complex link of codimension 2 can be identified with the general fiber

$$\mathcal{L}^1 \cong l_2^{-1}(\{\delta_2\}) \cap \mathcal{L}^0$$

for some regular value  $\delta_2$  off the discriminant and we can use the function  $\lambda = |l_2 - \delta_2|^2$  as a Morse function in order to reconstruct  $\mathcal{L}^0$  from  $\mathcal{L}^1$ . It can be shown that for suitable choices of the representatives involved, the critical points of  $\lambda$  on the boundary of  $\mathcal{L}^0$  are "outward pointing" and hence do not

contribute to changes in topology; see for instance [Zac17] or [PnZ18] for a discussion. For an interior critical point  $q_j^\alpha \in V^\alpha \cap \mathcal{L}^0$  we have the product of the *tangential* and the *normal Morse data*

$$\left( D^{d(\alpha)-1}, \partial D^{d(\alpha)-1} \right) \times (C(\mathcal{L}(X, V^\alpha)), \mathcal{L}(X, V^\alpha))$$

where  $D^{d(\alpha)}$  is the disc of real dimension  $d(\alpha) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V^\alpha$  and  $C(\mathcal{L}(X, V^\alpha))$  the cone over the complex link of  $X$  along  $V^\alpha$ . It is a straightforward calculation that the Euler characteristic changes precisely by  $(-1)^{d(\alpha)-1}(1 - \chi(\mathcal{L}(X, V^\alpha)))$  for the attachment of this cell at any of the critical points  $q_j^\alpha$ . Summation over all these points on all relevant strata therefore gives us back the Formula (10) by Lê and Teissier.

It is evident that the above procedure can be applied inductively, cf. [TT81, Remarque 6.1.10]. This allows to reconstruct the codimension  $i$  complex link  $\mathcal{L}^i$  of  $(X, 0)$  from its hyperplane sections

$$\mathcal{L}^i \supset \mathcal{L}^{i+1} \supset \dots \supset \mathcal{L}^{d-1} = \{x_1, \dots, x_{m_0(X,0)}\}$$

starting with  $\mathcal{L}^{d-1}$  which is just a set of points whose number is equal to the multiplicity  $m_0(X, 0)$  of  $(X, 0)$  at the origin. We leave it to the reader to verify the formula

$$(11) \quad \chi(\mathcal{L}^i) = \sum_{\alpha \in A} \left( \sum_{j=i+1}^{d(\alpha)} (-1)^{d(\alpha)-j} m_0(P_{d(\alpha)-j}(X^\alpha, 0)) \right) \cdot (1 - \chi(\mathcal{L}(X, V^\alpha))).$$

The coefficients appearing in this formula are nothing but the *local Euler obstruction* of  $(X^\alpha \cap D_{i-1}, 0)$  at the origin:

$$(12) \quad \text{Eu}(X^\alpha \cap D_{i-1}, 0) = \sum_{j=i}^{d(\alpha)} (-1)^{d(\alpha)-j} m_0(P_{d(\alpha)-j}(X^\alpha, 0))$$

cf. [TT81, Corollaire 5.1.2].

**2.4. Polar multiplicities of generic determinantal varieties.** We now turn towards the study of the generic determinantal varieties  $M_{m,n}^s \subset \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ . These are equipped with the *rank stratification*, i.e. the decomposition

$$M_{m,n}^s = \bigcup_{r < s} V_{m,n}^r, \quad V_{m,n}^r = \{\varphi \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n} : \text{rank } \varphi = r\}.$$

Due to its local analytic triviality, this stratification is easily seen to satisfy both Whitney's conditions (a) and (b).

The reduced Euler characteristics of the classical complex links have been computed by Ebeling and Gusein-Zade in [EGZ09, Proposition 3]:

$$(13) \quad 1 - \chi(\mathcal{L}(M_{m,n}^s, 0)) = (-1)^{s-1} \binom{m-1}{s-1},$$

where, without loss of generality, it is assumed that  $m \leq n$ . The generic determinantal varieties admit a recursive pattern in the following sense. For

$r < s \leq m \leq n$ , a normal slice to the stratum  $V_{m,n}^r \subset M_{m,n}^s$  through the point  $\mathbf{1}_{m,n}^r$  is given by the set of matrices of the form

$$N_{m,n}^r = \mathbf{1}^r \oplus \mathbb{C}^{(m-r) \times (n-r)} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}^r & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{C}^{(m-r) \times (n-r)} \end{pmatrix} \subset \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}.$$

It follows immediately that  $\mathcal{L}(M_{m,n}^s, V_{m,n}^r) \cong \mathcal{L}(M_{m-r, n-r}^{s-r}, 0)$  and hence

$$(14) \quad 1 - \chi(\mathcal{L}(M_{m,n}^s, V_{m,n}^r)) = (-1)^{s-r-1} \binom{m-r-1}{s-r-1}.$$

In order to determine the topological Euler characteristic of the complex links of higher codimension  $\mathcal{L}^i(M_{m,n}^s, 0)$  of the generic determinantal varieties by means of the previous section, we need to know all the relevant polar multiplicities

$$(15) \quad e_{m,n}^{r,k} := m_k(M_{m,n}^{r+1}, 0) = m_0(P_k(M_{m,n}^{r+1}, 0)).$$

There are several methods to achieve this. For instance, one could simply choose random linear forms  $l_i$  and compute the resulting multiplicity with the aid of a computer algebra system using e.g. Serre's intersection formula. However, this approach provides very little insight and the results a priori depend on the choice of the linear forms. Recently, X. Zhang has computed the polar multiplicities in [Zha17] using Chern class calculus which is an exact computation not depending on any particular choices. His formulas, however, are very complicated since they appear as byproducts of the study of the Chern-Mather classes of determinantal varieties. In this section we will follow a more direct approach to the computation of the polar multiplicities using Chern classes.

In [TT81, Théorème 5.1.1], Lê and Teissier give the following formula for the polar multiplicities of a germ  $(X, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ :

$$(16) \quad m_0(P_k(\mathcal{D})) (-1)^{d-1} \int_{\mathfrak{Y}} c_k(\tilde{T}) \cdot c_1(\mathcal{O}(1))^{d-k-1}.$$

Here the integral is taken over the exceptional divisor  $\mathfrak{Y}$  of the blowup  $\mathfrak{X}$  of the Nash modification  $\tilde{X}$  along the pullback of the maximal ideal at the origin for  $(X, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  and  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  denotes the dual of the tautological bundle for that blowup. By construction, these spaces can be arranged in a commutative diagram

$$(17) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathfrak{Y} & \longrightarrow & \nu^{-1}(\{0\}) & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \swarrow & \searrow & \\ \pi^{-1}(\{0\}) & & \mathfrak{X} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{X} \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu \\ & & \text{Bl}_0 X & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X. \end{array}$$

where  $\text{Bl}_0 X$  denotes the usual blowup of  $X$  at the origin. We will now describe this diagram for the particular case where  $(X, 0) = (M_{m,n}^s, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}, 0)$  is a generic determinantal variety and deduce our particular formula (21) from that.

The Nash blowup of  $(M_{m,n}^s, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}, 0)$  has been studied by Ebeling and Gusein-Zade in [EGZ09] and we briefly review their discussion. Let  $r = s - 1$  be the rank of the matrices in the open stratum  $V_{m,n}^r \subset M_{m,n}^s$ . The tangent space to  $V_{m,n}^r$  at a point  $\varphi$  is known to be

$$(18) \quad T_\varphi V_{m,n}^r = \{\psi \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^m) : \psi(\ker \varphi) \subset \text{im } \varphi\}.$$

This fact can be exploited to replace the Grassmannian for the Nash blowup of  $M_{m,n}^s$  by a product: Let  $\text{Grass}(r, m)$  be the Grassmannian of  $r$ -planes in  $\mathbb{C}^m$  and  $\text{Grass}(r, n)$  the Grassmannian of  $r$ -planes in  $(\mathbb{C}^n)^\vee$ , the dual of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Then the Gauss map factors through

$$\hat{\gamma} : V_{m,n}^r \rightarrow \text{Grass}(r, n) \times \text{Grass}(r, m), \quad \varphi \mapsto \left( (\ker \varphi)^\perp, \text{im } \varphi \right),$$

where by  $(\ker \varphi)^\perp$  we mean the linear forms in  $(\mathbb{C}^n)^\vee$  vanishing on  $\ker M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ . We denote this double Grassmannian by  $G = \text{Grass}(r, n) \times \text{Grass}(r, m)$ . On  $G$  we have the two tautological exact sequences  $0 \rightarrow S_1 \xrightarrow{i_1} \mathcal{O}^n \xrightarrow{\pi_1} Q_1 \rightarrow 0$  and  $0 \rightarrow S_2 \xrightarrow{i_2} \mathcal{O}^m \xrightarrow{\pi_2} Q_2 \rightarrow 0$  coming from the two factors with  $S_2$  corresponding to the images and  $Q_1^\vee$  to the kernels of the matrices  $\varphi$  under the modified Gauss map  $\hat{\gamma}$ . With this notation, the space of matrices

$$\text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^m) \cong (\mathbb{C}^n)^\vee \otimes \mathbb{C}^m$$

pulls back to the trivial bundle  $\mathcal{O}^n \otimes \mathcal{O}^m$  from which we may project to the product

$$\pi = \pi_1 \otimes \pi_2 : \mathcal{O}^n \otimes \mathcal{O}^m \rightarrow Q_1 \otimes Q_2.$$

Then the condition on  $\psi$  in (18) becomes  $\pi(\psi) = 0$  and consequently the Nash bundle on  $\tilde{M}_{m,n}^s$  is given by

$$(19) \quad \tilde{T} = \hat{\gamma}^* (\ker \pi).$$

The Nash transform  $\tilde{M}_{m,n}^s$  itself can easily be seen to be isomorphic to the total space of the vector bundle

$$(20) \quad \tilde{M}_{m,n}^s \cong |\text{Hom}((S_1)^\vee, S_2)| = |S_1 \otimes S_2|$$

on  $G$ . In particular,  $\tilde{M}_{m,n}^s$  is smooth and the maximal ideal of the origin in  $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$  pulls back to the ideal sheaf of the zero section in  $\tilde{M}_{m,n}^s$ . Thus the exceptional divisor  $\mathfrak{Y}$  in (17), i.e. the domain of integration in (16), is nothing but the projectivized bundle

$$\mathfrak{Y} = \mathbb{P}\tilde{M}_{m,n}^s = \mathbb{P}(S_1 \otimes S_2).$$

**Proposition 2.5.** The  $k$ -th polar multiplicity of  $(M_{m,n}^{r+1}, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}, 0)$  is given by

$$(21) \quad e_{m,n}^{r,k} = (-1)^{(m+n) \cdot r - r^2 - 1} \int_G s_k(Q_1 \otimes Q_2) s_{(m+n)r - 2r^2 - k}(S_1 \otimes S_2)$$

where  $G = \text{Grass}(r, n) \times \text{Grass}(r, m)$ ,  $S_i$  and  $Q_i$  are the tautological sub- and quotient bundles coming from either one of the two factors, and  $s_k$  denotes the  $k$ -th Segre class.

*Proof.* Starting from the Lê-Teissier formula (16) we substitute the different terms according to the above identifications for the generic determinantal varieties. From (19) we see that for every  $k \geq 0$

$$c_k(\tilde{T}) = s_k(Q_1 \otimes Q_2)$$

is the  $k$ -th Segre class of the complement  $Q_1 \otimes Q_2$  of  $\tilde{T}$  in  $\mathcal{O}^n \otimes \mathcal{O}^m$ . The integral in question becomes

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}(S_1 \otimes S_2)} s_k(Q_1 \otimes Q_2) \cdot c_1(\mathcal{O}(1))^{(m+n)r-r^2-1-k}$$

and we may perform this integration in two steps with the first one being integration along the fibers of the projection  $\mathbb{P}(S_1 \otimes S_2) \rightarrow G$ . Since  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  denotes the dual of the tautological bundle for the projectivization of the underlying vector bundle  $S_1 \otimes S_2$ , the result now follows from the projection formula, cf. [Ful98, Chapter 3.1].  $\square$

Formula (21) can be implemented in computer algebra systems such as Singular [Dec+19]. For instance, using the library `schubert.lib`, the computations can easily be carried out for  $m, n \leq 5$  on a desktop computer. Series such as, for example,  $e_{7,8}^{4,k}$  are also still feasible, but take up to 9 minutes to finish.

We have computed several polar multiplicities of the generic determinantal varieties using this formula. The results are listed in Tables 3 – 7.

**Remark 2.6.** The reader will also note a symmetry that first appears in Table 4: For  $0 \leq r \leq m \leq n$  the polar multiplicities satisfy

$$(22) \quad e_{m,n}^{r,k} = e_{m,n}^{m-r, 2(m-r)r-k}.$$

This phenomenon is based on a duality of the (projectivized) conormal modifications of the generic determinantal varieties, as has been explained to the author by Terence Gaffney in an oral communication. Formula (22) then follows from [Ura81, Theorem 3.3]. Interestingly, we have not succeeded to derive this symmetry from the formula in (21), but we nevertheless use it in the following tables in order to not duplicate the statements.

**Remark 2.7.** The computation of Chern- and Segre classes of tensor products of vector bundles is a surprisingly expensive task from a computational point of view. Different formulas and algorithms have, for instance, been implemented in the Singular libraries `chern.lib`; see also [Szi19] for a further discussion.

The  $k$ -th Segre class of the tensor product  $S_1 \otimes S_2$  of the two tautological subbundles on  $G = \text{Grass}(r, n) \times \text{Grass}(r, m)$  is the restriction of a universal polynomial

$$P_k \in \mathbb{Z}[c_1(S_1), \dots, c_r(S_1), c_1(S_2), \dots, c_r(S_2)]$$

in the Chern classes of the tautological bundles on the product of infinite Grassmannians  $\bigcup_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Grass}(r, n) \times \text{Grass}(r, m)$ . However, these polynomials are not sparse and their degree in the Chern roots is bounded only by  $r^2$ . Given that we have  $2r$  variables, the number of coefficients of the polynomials  $P_k$  can roughly be estimated by  $\binom{r^2+2r-1}{2r-1}$ . Already for values

$k :$	0	1	2
$2 \times 2$	2	2	2
$2 \times 3$	3	4	3
$2 \times 4$	4	6	4
$2 \times 5$	5	8	5
$2 \times 6$	6	10	6
$2 \times 7$	7	12	7

TABLE 3. The Polar multiplicities  $e_{2,n}^{1,k}$  of  $2 \times n$ -matrices for  $n \leq 7$ ; values for  $k$  which are not explicitly listed, are zero.

$k :$	$e_{3,n}^{1,k}$					$e_{3,n}^{2,k}$				
	0	1	2	3	4	0	1	2	3	4
$3 \times 3$	6	12	12	6	3	3	6	12	12	3
$3 \times 4$	10	24	27	16	6	6	16	27	24	10
$3 \times 5$	15	40	48	30	10	10	30	48	40	15
$3 \times 6$	21	60	75	48	15	15	48	75	60	21
$3 \times 7$	28	84	108	70	21	21	70	108	84	28
$3 \times 8$	36	112	147	96	28	28	96	147	112	36
$3 \times 9$	45	144	192	126	36	36	126	192	144	45
$3 \times 10$	55	180	243	160	45	45	160	243	180	55
$3 \times 11$	66	220	300	198	55	55	198	300	220	66
$3 \times 12$	78	264	363	240	66	66	240	363	264	78
$3 \times 13$	91	312	432	286	78	78	286	432	312	91
$3 \times 14$	105	364	507	336	91	91	336	507	364	105
$3 \times 15$	120	420	588	390	105	105	390	588	420	120
$3 \times 16$	136	480	675	448	120	120	448	675	480	136
$3 \times 17$	153	544	768	510	136	136	510	768	544	153
$3 \times 18$	171	612	867	576	153	153	576	867	612	171
$3 \times 19$	190	684	972	646	171	171	646	972	684	190
$3 \times 20$	210	760	1083	720	190	190	720	1038	760	210

TABLE 4. Polar multiplicities for  $3 \times n$ -matrices for  $n \leq 20$ ; all values for  $k$  which are not explicitly listed are zero.

for  $r \geq 10$  we can therefore expect to have flooded the full RAM of any modern desktop computer.

Compared to that, the explicit model for the cohomology of  $\text{Grass}(r, n)$  introduced above leads to an algebra of dimension  $\binom{m}{r} \binom{n}{r}$  for the cohomology of  $G$ . This number is in general strictly smaller than the number of coefficients of  $P_k$ . Since we shall only need the results for fixed values of  $m$  and  $n$ , it seems likely that a manual implementation of a modular approach, using the ideals  $J_{m,r}$  introduced above, could produce some further results for the polar multiplicities  $e_{m,n}^{r,k}$  which can not be reached using the methods provided by `schubert.lib` and `chern.lib`.

Other than that, it would, of course, be even more appealing to find a closed formula for the polar multiplicities as a function of  $m, n, r$ , and  $k$ .



	$e_{4,n}^{2,k}$ with $k$ running from the left to the right									$e_{4,n}^{1,k}$ with $k$ running from the left to the right						
$k :$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
$4 \times 4$	20	80	176	256	286	256	176	80	20	20	60	84	68	36	12	4
$4 \times 5$	50	240	595	960	1116	960	595	240	50	35	120	190	176	105	40	10
$4 \times 6$	105	560	1488	2520	2980	2520	1488	560	105	56	210	360	360	228	90	20
$4 \times 7$	196	1120	3115	5432	6488	5432	3115	1120	196	84	336	609	640	420	168	35
$4 \times 8$	336	2016	5792	10304	12390	10304	5792	2016	336	120	504	952	1036	696	280	56
$4 \times 9$	540	3360	9891	17856	21576	17856	9891	3360	540	165	720	1404	1568	1071	432	84
$4 \times 10$	825	5280	15840	28920	35076	28920	15840	5280	825	220	990	1980	2256	1560	630	120
$4 \times 11$	1210	7920	24123	44440	54060	44440	24123	7920	1210	286	1320	2695	3120	2178	880	165
$4 \times 12$	1716	11440	35280	65472	79838	65472	35280	11440	1716	364	1716	3564	4180	2940	1188	220
$4 \times 13$	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	455	2184	4602	5456	3861	1560	286
$4 \times 14$	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	560	2730	5824	6968	4956	2002	364
$4 \times 15$	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	680	3360	7245	8736	6240	2520	455
$4 \times 16$	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	816	4080	8880	10780	7728	3120	560
$4 \times 17$	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	969	4896	10744	13120	9435	3808	680
$4 \times 18$	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1140	5814	12852	15776	11376	4590	816
$4 \times 19$	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1330	6840	15219	18768	13566	5472	969
$4 \times 20$	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1540	7980	17860	22116	16020	6460	1140
$4 \times 21$	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1771	9240	20790	25840	18753	7560	1330
$4 \times 22$	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2024	10626	24024	29960	21780	8778	1540
$4 \times 23$	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2300	12144	27577	34496	25116	10120	1771
$4 \times 24$	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2600	13800	31464	39468	28776	11592	2024
$l :$										6	5	4	3	2	1	0
										$e_{4,n}^{3,l}$ with $l$ running from the right to the left						

TABLE 5. Polar multiplicities for  $4 \times n$ -matrices. A - indicates that this value has not been computed; all other entries for  $k$  and  $l$  which are not explicitly listed, are equal to zero.

	$e_{5,n}^{2,k}$ with $k$ running from the left to the right												
$k :$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
$5 \times 5$	175	1050	3180	6320	9180	10320	9360	7080	4545	2430	1020	300	50
$5 \times 6$	490	3360	11445	25396	40890	50520	49495	39120	24981	12640	4830	1260	175
$5 \times 7$	1176	8820	32480	77280	132300	172074	175080	141120	89880	44310	16128	3920	490
$5 \times 8$	2520	20160	78498	196080	349860	470400	489930	399504	253980	123200	43470	10080	1176
$5 \times 9$	4950	41580	168840	437220	803916	1106640	1171360	962640	611100	293076	101160	22680	2520
$5 \times 10$	9075	79200	332310	884840	1664685	2332440	2498535	2064960	1309290	622560	211365	46200	4950
$5 \times 11$	15730	141570	609840	1660296	3180705	4518690	4885440	4055040	2568456	1213080	406560	87120	9075
$5 \times 12$	26026	240240	1057485	2931760	5699760	8188224	8918470	7427640	4700460	2207920	732303	154440	15730
$l :$	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
	$e_{5,n}^{3,l}$ with $l$ running from the right to the left												

TABLE 6. Polar multiplicities for  $5 \times n$ -matrices of rank 2 and 3; all entries for  $k$  and  $l$  which are not explicitly listed, are equal to zero.

	$e_{5,n}^{1,k}$ with $k$ running from the left to the right								
$k :$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$5 \times 5$	70	280	520	580	430	220	80	20	5
$5 \times 6$	126	560	1155	1440	1200	696	285	80	15
$5 \times 7$	210	1008	2240	3010	2700	1680	728	210	35
$5 \times 8$	330	1680	3948	5600	5285	3440	1540	448	70
$5 \times 9$	495	2640	6480	9576	9380	6300	2880	840	126
$5 \times 10$	715	3960	10065	15360	15480	10640	4935	1440	210
$5 \times 11$	1001	5720	14960	23430	24150	16896	7920	2310	330
$5 \times 12$	1365	8008	21450	34320	36025	25560	12078	3520	495
$5 \times 13$	1820	10920	29848	48620	51810	37180	17680	5148	715
$5 \times 14$	2380	14560	40495	66976	72280	52360	25025	7280	1001
$5 \times 15$	3060	19040	53760	90090	98280	71760	34440	10010	1365
$5 \times 16$	3876	24480	70040	118720	130725	96096	46280	13440	1820
$5 \times 17$	4845	31008	89760	153680	170600	126140	60928	17680	2380
$5 \times 18$	5985	38760	113373	195840	218960	162720	78795	22848	3060
$5 \times 19$	7315	47880	141360	246126	276930	206720	100320	29070	3876
$5 \times 20$	8855	58520	174230	305520	345705	259080	125970	36480	4845
$l :$	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
	$e_{5,n}^{4,l}$ with $l$ running from the right to the left								

TABLE 7. Polar multiplicities for  $5 \times n$ -matrices of rank 1 and 4; all entries for  $k$  and  $l$  which are not explicitly listed, are equal to zero.

**Example 2.8.** We may use the above tables together with formula (11) to compute the Euler characteristics of complex links of higher codimension for the generic determinantal varieties. For instance

$$\chi(\mathcal{L}^6(M_{3,4}^3, 0)) = e_{3,4}^{2,0} - e_{3,4}^{2,1} + e_{3,4}^{2,2} - e_{3,4}^{2,3} = 6 - 16 + 27 - 24 = -7.$$

Note that since  $\mathcal{L}^6(M_{3,4}^3, 0)$  is smooth of complex dimension 3, the summation over  $\alpha \in A$  degenerates and only the smooth stratum  $V_{3,4}^2$  is relevant. Moreover, the complex link of  $M_{3,4}^3$  along this stratum is empty, so that the factor  $(1 - \chi(\mathcal{L}(M_{3,4}^3, V_{3,4}^2)))$  simply reduces to 1.

This computation confirms the results in an earlier paper [FKZ15] where it was shown that the Betti numbers of  $\mathcal{L}^6(M_{3,4}^3, 0)$  are

$$(b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3) = (1, 0, 1, 9).$$

In [FKZ15], this was a very particular example. We will discuss in Section 4 how the distinct Betti numbers can be computed for *all* smooth complex links of generic determinantal varieties.

To also give an example for a singular complex link, consider  $\mathcal{L}^5(M_{3,4}^3, 0)$ : This space is of complex dimension 4 and has isolated singularities which are themselves determinantal of the form  $(M_{2,3}^2, 0)$ . If  $D'_6$  is a plane of codimension 6 in  $\mathbb{C}^{3 \times 4}$  in general position off the origin such that  $\mathcal{L}^5(M_{3,4}^3, 0) =$

$D'_6 \cap M_{3,4}^3$ , then these singular points are precisely the intersection points of  $D'_6$  with  $M_{3,4}^2$  and their number is equal to the multiplicity  $e_{3,4}^{1,0} = 10$ .

If we let  $l$  be a further, sufficiently general linear form on  $\mathbb{C}^{3 \times 4}$ , then the generic fiber of its restriction to  $M_{3,4}^3 \cap D'_6$  is the previous space  $\mathcal{L}^6(M_{3,4}^3, 0)$  whose topology we already know. According to Table (4),  $l$  has 10 classical Morse critical points on  $V_{3,4}^2 \cap D'_6$  and 10 further stratified Morse critical points on  $V_{3,4}^1 \cap D'_6 = M_{3,4}^2 \cap D'_6$ .

For the first set of points, 10 more cells of real dimension 4 are added which changes the Euler characteristic by  $(-1)^{10-6} \cdot 10 \cdot 1 = 10$  in Formula (10) (resp. (11)).

The second set of critical points on the lower dimensional stratum  $V_{3,4}^1$  have a nontrivial complex link  $\mathcal{L}(M_{3,4}^3, V_{3,4}^1) \cong \mathcal{L}^0(M_{2,3}^2, 0)$  appearing in the normal Morse datum. This complex link is nothing but the Milnor fiber of the  $A_0^+$ -singularity in [FKZ15]: Despite being a space of complex dimension 3, it is homotopy equivalent to a 2-sphere and its Betti numbers are  $(b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3) = (1, 0, 1, 0)$  in accordance with the Formula by Ebeling and Gusein-Zade (13). This means that we attach real 3-cells rather than 4-cells and the Euler characteristic changes by  $-10$  rather than  $+10$ , as one might have expected. The overall outcome therefore is

$$\chi(\mathcal{L}^5(M_{3,4}^3, 0)) = \chi(\mathcal{L}^6(M_{3,4}^3, 0)) + \underbrace{10 \cdot (1 - (1 - 0 + 1))}_{\alpha=1} + \underbrace{10 \cdot (1 - 0)}_{\alpha=2} = -7.$$

It is interesting to see the cancellation of the two contributions to the Euler characteristic given that the equality of the two relevant multiplicities is not a coincidence, but due to the duality noted by Gaffney.

We shall see later on that the first four Betti numbers of the open stratum  $V_{3,4}^2 \cap D'_6$  of  $\mathcal{L}^5(M_{3,4}^3, 0)$  are

$$(b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3) = (1, 0, 1, 0).$$

It can be shown that the attachments of the 3-cells at the points of  $V_{3,4}^1 \cap D'_6$  glue their boundaries all to the very same generator of the second homology group. From the long exact sequence of the pair  $(X_{3,4}^2 \cap D'_6, V_{3,4}^2 \cap D'_6)$  and the previous computation of the Euler characteristic one can then deduce that the Betti numbers of  $\mathcal{L}^5(M_{3,4}^3, 0)$  must be

$$(b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4) = (1, 0, 0, 9, 1).$$

In particular we see that the cells attached at the classical critical points of  $l$  on the smooth stratum kill off all the cycles in the top homology group of  $\mathcal{L}^6(M_{3,4}^3, 0)$ . Those coming from the stratified Morse critical points on the lower dimensional stratum survive and lead to new cycles. Details for the computation of the Betti numbers in this example will appear in a forthcoming note.

### 3. DETERMINANTAL STRATA AS HOMOGENEOUS SPACES

Let  $G$  be a Lie group and  $*$ :  $G \times X \rightarrow X$  a smooth action on a manifold  $X$ . Then for every point  $x \in X$  the orbit  $G * x \subset X$  is a locally closed submanifold which is diffeomorphic to the quotient  $G/G_x$  of  $G$  by the stabilizer  $G_x$  of  $x$ . The next lemma shows that up to homotopy we can always find a

*compact model* for this orbit by choosing an appropriate maximal compact subgroup of  $G$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $G$  be a Lie group,  $G' \subset G$  a closed subgroup, and  $U \subset G$  a maximal compact subgroup such that  $U' = U \cap G'$  is again a maximal compact subgroup of  $G'$ . Then the inclusion  $U/U' \hookrightarrow G/G'$  is a weak homotopy equivalence.

*Proof.* The projection  $G \rightarrow G/G'$  is a fiber bundle with fiber  $G'$  and the same holds for  $U \mapsto U/U'$  with fiber  $U'$ . Hence, there is a commutative diagram of long exact sequences of homotopy groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & \pi_k(G') & \longrightarrow & \pi_k(G) & \longrightarrow & \pi_k(G/G') & \longrightarrow & \pi_{k-1}(G') & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & \pi_k(U') & \longrightarrow & \pi_k(U) & \longrightarrow & \pi_k(U/U') & \longrightarrow & \pi_{k-1}(U') & \longrightarrow & \cdots \end{array}$$

and it is well known that for any Lie group the inclusion of its maximal compact subgroup is a homotopy equivalence. The assertion therefore follows from the five-lemma.  $\square$

**3.1. The Lie group action on  $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ .** We now turn to the discussion of the strata in the rank stratification as homogeneous spaces. Fix integers  $0 < m \leq n$ . The space  $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$  of complex  $m \times n$ -matrices has a natural left action by the complex Lie group

$$G_{m,n} := \mathrm{GL}(m; \mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{GL}(n; \mathbb{C})$$

via multiplication:

$$*: G_{m,n} \times \mathbb{C}^{m \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}, \quad ((P, Q), A) \mapsto (P, Q) * A = P \cdot A \cdot Q^{-1}.$$

For two matrices  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$  and  $B \in \mathbb{C}^{m' \times n'}$  we will denote by  $A \oplus B$  the  $(m + m') \times (n + n')$  block matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $0_{m,n} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$  be the zero matrix. For any number  $0 \leq r \leq m$  we will write  $\mathbf{1}_{m,n}^r = \mathbf{1}^r \oplus 0_{m-r, n-r}$  for the  $m \times n$ -matrix with a unit matrix  $\mathbf{1}^r$  of rank  $r$  in the upper left corner and zeroes in all other entries. Then clearly

$$V_{m,n}^r = G * \mathbf{1}_{m,n}^r \cong G_{m,n} / G_{m,n}^r,$$

where  $G_{m,n}^r$  is the stabilizer of  $\mathbf{1}_{m,n}^r$  in  $G$ . A direct computation yields that  $G_{m,n}^r$  consists of pairs of block matrices of the form

$$\left( \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ D & E \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

with  $A \in \mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $C \in \mathrm{GL}(m-r; \mathbb{C})$  and  $E \in \mathrm{GL}(n-r; \mathbb{C})$  invertible, and  $B$  and  $D$  arbitrary of appropriate sizes.

As a compact subgroup  $U_{m,n} \subset G_{m,n}$  we may choose the unitary matrices  $U(m) \times U(n)$ . It is easily verified that its intersection  $U_{m,n}^r$  with the subgroup  $G_{m,n}^r$  consists of pairs of matrices

$$\left( \begin{pmatrix} S & 0 \\ 0 & P \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} S & 0 \\ 0 & Q \end{pmatrix} \right) = (S \oplus P, S \oplus Q)$$

with  $S \in U(r)$ ,  $P \in U(m-r)$ , and  $Q \in U(n-r)$ , and that this is in fact a maximal compact subgroup of  $G_{m,n}^r$ . Note that due to the fact that in contrast to  $G_{m,n}^r$  the off-diagonal blocks in the subgroup  $U_{m,n}^r$  are all zero, we find that

$$(23) \quad U_{m,n}^r \cong U(r) \times U(m-r) \times U(n-r)$$

is again isomorphic to a product of unitary groups.

Let us, for the moment, consider only the first factor  $U(m)$  of  $U_{m,n}$  which we may consider as a subgroup via the inclusion  $U(m) \times \{\mathbf{1}^r\} \subset U_{m,n}$ . The stabilizer of  $\mathbf{1}_{m,n}^r$  of the restriction of the action to  $U(m)$  is simply the subgroup  $\mathbf{1}^r \oplus U(m-r)$ . The  $U(m)$ -orbit can easily be identified with the Stiefel manifold  $\text{Stief}(r, m)$  of orthonormal  $r$ -frames in  $\mathbb{C}^m$ :

$$(24) \quad \text{Stief}(r, m) \cong U(m) / (\mathbf{1}^r \oplus U(m-r)) \cong U(m) * \mathbf{1}_{m,n}^r$$

so that an  $r$ -frame  $\underline{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_r)$  in  $\mathbb{C}^m$  is given by the first  $r$  columns of the matrix  $(\underline{v}) = A \cdot \mathbf{1}_{m,n}^r$  for some  $A \in U(m)$ . The group  $U(r)$  operates naturally on the Stiefel manifold via the left action

$$U(r) \times \text{Stief}(r, m) \rightarrow \text{Stief}(r, m), \quad (S, A \cdot \mathbf{1}_{m,r}^r) \mapsto A \cdot \mathbf{1}_{m,r}^r \cdot S^{-1}.$$

The quotient of this action is the Grassmannian of  $r$ -planes  $\text{Grass}(r, m)$  since either two  $r$ -frames span the same subspace if and only if they lay in the same orbit under this  $U(r)$ -action.

It is easy to see with the above identifications (24) that two matrices  $A$  and  $A'$  in  $U(m)$  represent the same element in  $\text{Grass}(r, m)$  if and only if  $A^{-1} \cdot A' \in U(r) \oplus U(m-r)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} A \cdot \mathbf{1}_{m,r}^r &= A' \cdot \mathbf{1}_{m,r}^r \cdot S^{-1} \\ \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{1}_{m,r}^r &= A^{-1} \cdot A' \cdot (S^{-1} \oplus \mathbf{1}^{m-r}) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{m,r}^r \\ \Leftrightarrow (\mathbf{1}^r \oplus P) &= A^{-1} \cdot A' \cdot (S^{-1} \oplus \mathbf{1}^{m-r}) \\ \Leftrightarrow (S \oplus P) &= A^{-1} \cdot A' \end{aligned}$$

for some  $P \in U(m-r)$ . In other words, the above  $U(r)$  action is compatible with the natural inclusion of subgroups

$$U(r) \oplus \mathbf{1}^{m-r} \hookrightarrow U(r) \oplus U(m-r) \hookrightarrow U(m)$$

and accordingly

$$(25) \quad \text{Grass}(r, m) \cong \text{Stief}(r, m) / U(r) \cong U(m) / U(r) \oplus U(m-r).$$

We can repeat these considerations for the second factor  $U(n)$  embedded into  $U_{m,n}$  as  $\{\mathbf{1}^m\} \times U(n)$ . Then

$$\text{Stief}(r, n) \cong U(n) / (\mathbf{1}^r \oplus U(n-r)) \cong U(n) * \mathbf{1}_{m,n}^r$$

with any  $r$ -frame  $\underline{w} \in \text{Stief}(r, n)$  given by the first  $r$  rows of the matrix  $(\underline{w}) = \mathbf{1}_{m,n}^r \cdot B^{-1}$  for some  $B \in U(n)$ . Accordingly, we will write the left action by  $U(r)$  on  $\text{Stief}(r, n)$  as

$$U(r) \times \text{Stief}(r, n) \rightarrow \text{Stief}(r, n), \quad (S, \mathbf{1}_{m,n}^r \cdot B^{-1}) \mapsto S \cdot \mathbf{1}_{m,n}^r \cdot B^{-1}$$

in this case.

Note that, on the one hand, the subgroup  $U_{m,n}^r$  intersects the subgroups  $U(m) \times \{\mathbf{1}^n\}$  and  $\{\mathbf{1}^m\} \times U(n)$  in  $\mathbf{1}^r \oplus U(m-r)$  and  $\mathbf{1}^r \oplus U(n-r)$ , respectively,

and the action of the latter subgroups affects only either one of the two factors. The  $U(r)$ -action, on the other hand, is “diagonal” and we may exploit these facts by observing that the quotient

$$(26) \quad U_{m,n}/U(m-r) \times U(n-r) \cong \text{Stief}(r, m) \times \text{Stief}(r, n)$$

is a product of Stiefel manifolds, equipped with a *free*, diagonal  $U(r)$ -action. The quotient  $\text{Stief}(r, m) \times \text{Stief}(r, n)/U(r)$  is then naturally isomorphic to  $U_{m,n}/(U(r) \times U(m-r) \times U(n-r))$  and, via the particular choice of the matrix  $\mathbf{1}_{m,n}^r$ , this manifold can be identified with the orbit  $U_{m,n} * \mathbf{1}_{m,n}^r$ . We will in the following denote this orbit by  $O_{m,n}^r \subset V_{m,n}^r \subset \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$  and refer to it as the *compact orbit model* for the stratum  $V_{m,n}^r$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** The two natural projections

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Stief}(r, n) & & \text{Stief}(r, m) \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & O_{m,n}^r & \\ & \swarrow & \searrow \\ \text{Grass}(r, n) & & \text{Grass}(r, m) \end{array}$$

$\lambda_2$                        $\lambda_1$

equip the space  $U_{m,n}/U_{m,n}^r \cong U_{m,n} * \mathbf{1}_{m,n}^r$  with two structures as a fiber bundle over the respective Grassmannian with Stiefel manifolds as fibers.

*Proof.* It suffices to establish this claim for the first projection  $\lambda_1$  to  $\text{Grass}(r, m)$ . Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Stief}(r, m) \times \text{Stief}(r, n) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & O_{m,n}^r \\ \downarrow \lambda'_1 & & \downarrow \lambda_1 \\ \text{Stief}(r, m) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \text{Grass}(r, m) \end{array}$$

where  $\lambda'_1$  takes a pair of  $r$ -frames  $(\underline{v}, \underline{w})$  to  $\underline{v}$ ,  $\beta$  is the quotient map  $\underline{v} \mapsto \text{span } \underline{v}$  from (25) and  $\alpha$  the one from the discussion of (26). We need to describe the fiber of an arbitrary point  $W \in \text{Grass}(r, m)$ . To this end, consider its preimages

$$(\beta \circ \lambda'_1)^{-1}(\{W\}) = \beta^{-1}(\{W\}) \times \text{Stief}(r, n) \xrightarrow{\lambda'_1} \beta^{-1}(\{W\}) = U(r) * \underline{v}$$

with  $\underline{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_r) \in \text{Stief}(r, m)$  some  $r$ -frame in  $\mathbb{C}^m$  with  $\text{span } \underline{v} = W$ . The fiber of  $\lambda'_1$  over  $\underline{v}$  is simply the Stiefel manifold  $\text{Stief}(r, n)$ . Now if  $\underline{v}'$  is any other  $r$ -frame spanning  $W$ , then there exists a unique matrix  $S \in U(r)$  such that  $\underline{v}' = \underline{v} \cdot S^{-1}$ . The free diagonal action on  $\text{Stief}(r, m) \times \text{Stief}(r, n)$  gives a natural identification of the fibers of  $\lambda'_1$  over  $\underline{v}$  and  $\underline{v}'$  via

$$(\underline{v}, \underline{w}) \mapsto (\underline{v}', S \cdot \underline{w})$$

and this furnishes an obvious notion of *parallel sections* of  $\lambda'_1$  over the orbit  $U(r) * \underline{v}$ . These parallel sections can then be identified with either one of the Stiefel manifolds  $\text{Stief}(r, n) \times \{\underline{v}\}$  over a point  $\underline{v}$  in the orbit.  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.** The structures of the manifolds  $O_{m,n}^r$  as fiber bundle is in general not trivial. For instance

$$S^{2m-1} \cong O_{m,1}^1 \xrightarrow{\lambda_1} \text{Grass}(1, m) \cong \mathbb{P}^{m-1}$$

is the Hopf fibration which is known not to be a product.

**3.2. The Cartan model.** The cohomology of homogeneous spaces can be computed via the Cartan model as outlined by Borel in [Bor53, Théorème 25.2]. Let  $G$  be a *compact*, connected Lie group and  $U \subset G$  a closed subgroup thereof. Then [Bor53, Théorème 25.2] allows for the description of the cohomology of the quotient  $G/U$  as the cohomology of an explicit complex

$$(27) \quad H^\bullet(G/U) \cong H \left( S_U \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \bigwedge F \right)$$

under certain favourable assumptions on  $G$  and  $U$ . The objects on the right hand side are the following.

- The ring  $S_U$  is the cohomology ring of a *classifying space* for the group  $U$ , see for instance [Hus94, Part I, Chapter 4.11]. Such a classifying space  $BU$  for a compact Lie group  $U$  is given by the quotient of any weakly contractible space  $EU$  with a free  $U$ -action. Then the projection  $EU \rightarrow BU$  turns  $EU$  into a *universal bundle* in the sense that every principal  $U$ -bundle  $P$  over a paracompact Hausdorff space  $X$  can be written as  $P = f^*EU$  for some continuous map  $f: X \rightarrow BU$ .

In particular, this property can be used to show that the cohomology ring  $S_U = H^\bullet(BU)$  is in fact unique up to unique isomorphism and independent of the choice of the space  $EU$ , see e.g. [Bor53, Section 18]<sup>2</sup>. In most practical cases (cf. [Bor53, Théorème 19.1]) the cohomology rings of classifying spaces are weighted homogeneous polynomial rings  $S_U \cong \mathbb{Z}[c_1, \dots, c_r]$  in variables of even degree and therefore in particular commutative.

Furthermore, we note that the total space  $EG$  of a universal  $G$  bundle is naturally equipped with a free  $U$  action, as well. It can therefore also be used to construct a classifying space  $BU$  as the intermediate quotient

$$EG \rightarrow BU = EG/U \rightarrow BG = EG/G.$$

The pullback in cohomology of the projection  $BU \rightarrow BG$  is called the *characteristic homomorphism*  $\rho: S_G \rightarrow S_U$  for the inclusion of the subgroup  $U \subset G$ , cf. [Bor53, Théorème 22.2].

- The module  $F$  is a free, graded  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module

$$F = \mathbb{Z}\varepsilon_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\varepsilon_r$$

in generators  $\varepsilon_i$  of odd degree. Hopf has shown in [Hop41, Satz 1] that the rational homology of a compact Lie group  $U$  is graded isomorphic to the homology of a product of odd-dimensional spheres. This result has been strengthened to also include cohomology with integer coefficients in the absence of torsion in  $H^\bullet(U)$ , see e.g. [Bor53,

---

<sup>2</sup>The approach by Borel might seem unnecessarily technical given the Milnor construction of universal bundles in [Mil56] three years later.



Proposition 7.3]. Then the generators  $\varepsilon_i$  can be thought of as the volume forms of the spheres and the cup product turns the cohomology of the group into an exterior algebra on these generators

$$H^\bullet(U) \cong \bigwedge (\mathbb{Z}\varepsilon_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\varepsilon_r)$$

which appears as  $\bigwedge F$  in (27).

- The differential  $D$  on  $S_U \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \bigwedge F$  is given by linear extension of the map

$$D(a \otimes 1) = 0, \quad D(1 \otimes \varepsilon_i) = \rho(c_i) \otimes 1$$

for all  $a \in S_U$ , where  $c_i$  is a *transgression* element of  $\varepsilon_i$  in a universal  $G$ -bundle and  $\rho: S_G \rightarrow S_U$  the characteristic homomorphism from before. A transgression can be defined in a universal  $U$ -bundle  $\pi: EU \rightarrow BU$  as above: The element  $\varepsilon_i$  is called *universally transgressive* if there exists a cochain  $\omega_i$  on  $EU$  which restricts to the cohomology class  $\varepsilon_i$  in every fiber and for which there exists another cochain  $a_i$  on  $BG$  with  $\pi^*a_i = d\omega_i$ . Then  $c_i$  is taken to be the cohomology class of  $a_i$  and it is said to “correspond to  $\varepsilon_i$  under transgression”. For a more detailed account see [Bor53]. We will discuss the particular form of this transgression below in the cases of interest for this article.

Note that (27) is an isomorphism of graded  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules with grading given by the degree of the cohomology classes on either side. But we can also think of the algebra  $S_U \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \bigwedge F$  as a Koszul algebra in the generators  $1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \varepsilon_i$  over the ring  $S_U$ :

$$S_U \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \bigwedge F \cong \bigoplus_{p=0}^r \left( \bigwedge^p \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^r S_U \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \varepsilon_i \right) \right).$$

This gives another grading on the right hand side of (27) by the degrees  $p$  of the distinct exterior powers. In the following we will refer to the two gradings as the *cohomological degree* and the *Koszul degree* respectively.

**3.3. Maximal tori and the Weyl group.** As explained in [Bor53, Section 29], the characteristic homomorphism  $\rho: S_G \rightarrow S_U$  associated to an inclusion of a subgroup  $U \subset G$  is best understood in terms of inclusions of maximal tori  $S \subset U$  and  $T \subset G$ . This will be an essential ingredient for the computation of the cohomology of the orbits  $O_{m,n}^r$  in the determinantal strata.

For the group  $U(1) \cong S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$  a classifying space  $BU(1)$  is given by the infinite projective space

$$BU(1) \cong \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}^k$$

which can be understood as a direct limit with  $\mathbb{P}^k$  included in  $\mathbb{P}^{k+1}$  as the hyperplane section at infinity. A universal  $U(1)$ -bundle is then given by  $\mathcal{O}(-1)^*$ , the tautological bundle with its zero section removed or, equivalently, by the direct limit of unit spheres  $S^{2k+1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{k+1} \setminus \{0\}$  which are projected to  $\mathbb{P}^k$  via the Hopf fibration. Then the cohomology ring  $S_{U(1)} \cong \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$

of  $BU(1)$  is a free polynomial ring in  $\alpha$ , the first Chern class of  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ , and the generator  $\varepsilon$  of  $H^1(U(1))$  corresponds to  $\alpha$  under transgression.

Now it is easy to see that for a torus  $T = (U(1))^r$  the classifying spaces and universal bundles can be chosen to be merely products of the one just described for  $U(1)$ . It follows that  $S_T$  is a polynomial ring

$$S_T \cong \mathbb{Z}[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r]$$

with all  $\alpha_j$  of degree 2.

If  $T$  is a maximal torus in a compact connected Lie group  $G$ , then  $S_G$  is contained in  $S_T$  as the invariant ring under the action of the Weyl group. Moreover, if  $U \subset G$  is a subgroup and the tori  $S \subset U$  and  $T \subset G$  have been chosen such that  $S \subset T$ , then the characteristic homomorphism  $\rho = \rho(U, G)$  for the inclusion  $U \subset G$  is completely determined by the one for the inclusion  $S \subset T$  so that one has a commutative diagram

$$(28) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} S_G & \xrightarrow{\rho(U,G)} & S_U \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S_T & \xrightarrow{\rho(S,T)} & S_S \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows denote the inclusions of invariant subgroups. We note that in general one needs real coefficients in cohomology as in [Bor53, Proposition 29.2]. For the particular cases that we shall need below, however, the calculations have been carried out for integer coefficients as well.

**3.4. Cohomology of Stiefel manifolds and Grassmannians.** As discussed earlier the Stiefel manifolds and Grassmannians can be considered as homogeneous spaces of  $U(n)$  modulo various subgroups of block matrices with unitary blocks. Also the orbit varieties  $O_{m,n}^r$  can be decomposed into these building blocks. Therefore we briefly review the theory for the Lie group  $U(n)$  as it can be found in [Bor53] or [Hus94] and illustrate the formula (27) for the classical cases, thereby fixing notation for the description of the cohomology of the orbit models  $O_{m,n}^r$  that we are really aiming for.

The cohomology of the unitary group  $U(n)$  is known to be

$$(29) \quad H^\bullet(U(n)) \cong \bigwedge (\mathbb{Z}\varepsilon_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\varepsilon_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\varepsilon_{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\varepsilon_n)$$

with generators  $\varepsilon_i$  of degree  $2i - 1$ , see for example [Bor53, Proposition 9.1] or [Hus94, Part I, Chapter 7]. We will write

$$F_n = \mathbb{Z}[-1] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[-3] \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}[3 - 2n] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[1 - 2n]$$

for the free graded module whose direct summands are shifted by  $1 - 2i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  so that with this notation  $H^\bullet(U(n)) \cong \bigwedge F_n$ . A maximal torus in  $U(n)$  is given by the diagonal matrices

$$T = \{\text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) : \lambda_i \in U(1)\}$$

and the Weyl group is the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  of permutations of  $n$  elements in this case. Writing  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  for the generators of the cohomology of  $S_T$  as above we find that

$$S_G \hookrightarrow S_T$$

is the inclusion of the invariant subring  $S_G = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ . According to the fundamental theorem of symmetric functions,  $S_G$  is itself a polynomial ring in the elementary symmetric functions

$$\sigma_k^n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) := \sum_{0 < i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \prod_{j=1}^k \alpha_{i_j}.$$

Moreover, the generators  $\varepsilon_k$  correspond to these  $\sigma_k$  under transgression in a universal bundle  $EU(n) \rightarrow BU(n)$ , see for example [Bor53, Section 19].

The cohomology of Stiefel manifolds  $\text{Stief}(r, n)$  turns out to be a truncated version of the cohomology of  $U(n)$ :

$$(30) \quad H^\bullet(\text{Stief}(r, n)) \cong \bigwedge F_r[2r - 2n].$$

In order to make the connection with Formula (27) recall that  $\text{Stief}(r, n) \cong U(n)/(\mathbf{1}^r \oplus U(n-r))$ . As maximal tori in the subgroup  $U' := \mathbf{1}^r \oplus U(n-r)$  we may choose

$$T' = \{ \mathbf{1}^r \oplus \text{diag}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n-r}) : \mu_j \in U(1) \}$$

so that  $T' \subset T$  with  $T \subset U(n)$  as before. Writing  $S_{T'} = \mathbb{Z}[\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-r}]$  for the cohomology of the classifying space we find that the diagram (28) becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]^{\mathfrak{S}_n} & \xrightarrow{\rho(U', U)} & \mathbb{Z}[\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-r}]^{\mathfrak{S}_{n-r}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{Z}[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] & \xrightarrow{\rho(T', T)} & \mathbb{Z}[\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-r}] \end{array}$$

where the map  $\rho(T', T)$  is given by

$$\rho(T', T): \alpha_j \mapsto \begin{cases} \beta_{j-r} & \text{if } j > r, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It follows that  $\rho(U', U)$  is merely a substitution of the variables in the symmetric functions given by  $\rho(T', T)$  so that

$$\rho(U', U): \sigma_k^n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \mapsto \begin{cases} \sigma_k^{n-r}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-r}) & \text{if } k \leq n-r, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

With these considerations at hand we can now investigate the homology of the complex  $S_{U'} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \bigwedge F_n$ , with its transgression differential  $D$  from (27). To this end we shall apply the following well known reduction lemma.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $R$  be a ring,  $M$  an  $R$ -module and  $x, y_1, \dots, y_n \in R$  be elements. When  $x$  is a non-zerodivisor on  $M$ , then there is a canonical isomorphism

$$H(\text{Kosz}(x, y_1, \dots, y_n; M)) \cong H(\text{Kosz}(y_1, \dots, y_n; M/xM))$$

for the entire cohomology of the Koszul complexes.

*Proof.* See [BH93, Corollary 1.6.13 (b)]. □

The ring  $S_{U'} \cong \mathbb{Z}[c_1, \dots, c_{n-r}]$  is freely generated in the elementary symmetric functions in the  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-r}$ . Now the transgression differential  $D$  takes the form

$$D: 1 \otimes \varepsilon_i \mapsto \begin{cases} c_i & \text{for } i \leq n-r \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since the  $c_i$  form a regular sequence on the module  $M = S_{U'}$  with quotient  $S_{U'}/\langle c_1, \dots, c_{n-r} \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}$ , it follows inductively from Lemma 3.4 that

$$H(\text{Stief}(r, n)) \cong H\left(S_{U'} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \bigwedge F_n\right) \cong H(\text{Kosz}(0, \dots, 0; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \bigwedge F_r[2r-2n])$$

as anticipated.

Let  $0 \leq r \leq n$  be integers. The case of a Grassmannian

$$\text{Grass}(r, n) \cong U(n)/(U(r) \oplus U(n-r))$$

is similar, only that the maximal tori for  $U(n)$  and its subgroup  $U' = U(r) \oplus U(n-r)$  can be chosen to be the same so that  $S_T = S_{T'} = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]$ . The difference comes from the Weyl groups. For  $U(n)$  we again have the full symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ , but for the subgroup  $U'$  we find

$$\mathfrak{S}_r \times \mathfrak{S}_{n-r} \subset \mathfrak{S}_n$$

whose action on  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]$  respects the partition of the variables  $\alpha_j$  into subsets  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$  and  $\{\alpha_{n-r+1}, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ . We write

$$x_j = \sigma_j^r(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r), \quad y_k = \sigma_k^{n-r}(\alpha_{n-r+1}, \dots, \alpha_n)$$

for the elementary symmetric polynomials in the respective set of variables. Then  $S_{U'}$  is a free polynomial subring in these variables

$$S_{U'} \cong \mathbb{Z}[x_j, y_k : 0 < j \leq r, 0 < k \leq n-r] \subset \mathbb{Z}[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]$$

containing  $S_U$  as the invariant subring under *arbitrary* permutations, i.e. forgetting about the particular partition. It is an elementary exercise in the theory of symmetric functions to verify that in this situation

$$(31) \quad \sigma_d^n(\underline{\alpha}) = \sum_{j+k=d} \sigma_j^r(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) \cdot \sigma_k^{n-r}(\alpha_{n-r+1}, \dots, \alpha_n) = \sum_{j+k=d} x_j \cdot y_k.$$

Now the complex  $S_{U'} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \bigwedge F_n$  in (27) looks as follows, cf. [Bor53, Proposition 31.1]: The differential  $D$  takes each one of the generators  $\varepsilon_k$  to  $D(1 \otimes \varepsilon_k) = \sigma_k^n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ . These are  $n$  weighted homogeneous relations in a free graded polynomial ring with  $n$  variables  $x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_{n-r}$ . From the fact that the cohomology of the associated Koszul complex is finite dimensional, we see that the elements (31) must form a regular sequence on  $S_{U'}$  so that the complex is exact except at Koszul degree zero where we find

$$(32) \quad H^\bullet(\text{Grass}(r, n)) \cong S_{U'}/I_{r,n}$$

with  $I_{r,n}$  the weighted homogeneous ideal generated by the elements (31).

**Remark 3.5.** This model for the cohomology is linked to the geometry of the Grassmannians as follows. Let

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow 0$$

be the tautological sequence on  $\text{Grass}(r, n)$ . Then modulo  $I_n$  we find that  $c_j = c_j(\mathcal{S})$  is the  $j$ -th Chern class of the tautological bundle and  $s_k = c_k(\mathcal{Q})$

the  $k$ -th Chern class of the tautological quotient bundle. The latter are nothing but the  $k$ -th Segre classes of  $\mathcal{S}$  which gives us precisely the relations (31) by expansion of the product of total Chern classes

$$c_t(\mathcal{S}) \cdot c_t(\mathcal{Q}) = c(\mathcal{O}^n) = 1$$

in all degrees.

This cohomological model can be simplified further. Let  $x_0 = 1, x_1, \dots, x_r$  be the Chern classes of the tautological subbundle and  $y_0 = 1, y_1, \dots, y_{n-r}$  those of the tautological quotient bundle. The relations given by the images  $D(1 \otimes \varepsilon_i)$ , i.e. the generators of the ideal  $I_{r,n}$  are

$$\begin{array}{rcccccccc} 0 = & x_1 & + & y_1 & & & & \\ 0 = & x_2 & + & x_1 \cdot y_1 & + & y_2 & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & & \ddots & \\ 0 = & x_r & + & x_{r-1} \cdot y_1 & + & \dots & + & y_r \\ 0 = & x_r \cdot y_1 & + & x_{r-1} \cdot y_2 & + & \dots & + & y_{r+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ 0 = & x_r \cdot y_{n-2r} & + & x_{r-1} \cdot y_{n-2r+1} & + & \dots & + & y_{n-r} \\ 0 = & x_r \cdot y_{n-2r-1} & + & x_{r-1} \cdot y_{n-2r} & + & \dots & + & x_1 \cdot y_{n-r} \\ 0 = & x_r \cdot y_{n-2r} & + & x_{r-1} \cdot y_{n-2r+1} & + & \dots & + & x_2 \cdot y_{n-r} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \ddots & & & \vdots \\ 0 = & & & x_r \cdot y_{n-r-2} & + & x_{r-1} \cdot y_{n-r-1} & + & x_{r-2} \cdot y_{n-r} \\ 0 = & & & & & x_r \cdot y_{n-r-1} & + & x_{r-1} \cdot y_{n-r} \\ 0 = & & & & & & & x_r \cdot y_{n-r}. \end{array}$$

The first  $n - r$  equations can be used to eliminate all of the  $y$ -variables and express them in terms of  $x$ . Substituting these into the last  $r$  equations we obtain polynomials in  $x$  which we denote by

$$h_1^{(n)}, h_2^{(n)}, \dots, h_r^{(n)}.$$

If we let  $J_{r,n} = \langle h_1^{(n)}, h_2^{(n)}, \dots, h_r^{(n)} \rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$  be the ideal generated by these elements then

$$(33) \quad H^\bullet(\text{Grass}(r, n)) \cong \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_r]/J_{r,n}.$$

Moreover, the polynomials  $h_k^{(n)}$  satisfy a recurrence relation that can easily be derived from their explicit construction. Writing them in a vector we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} h_1^{(0)} & h_2^{(0)} & \dots & h_r^{(0)} \end{pmatrix}^T = (x_1 \ x_2 \ \dots \ x_r)^T$$

and

$$(34) \quad \begin{pmatrix} h_1^{(n+1)} \\ h_2^{(n+1)} \\ \vdots \\ h_{r-1}^{(n+1)} \\ h_r^{(n+1)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -x_1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -x_2 & 0 & 1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ -x_{r-1} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ -x_r & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} h_1^{(n)} \\ h_2^{(n)} \\ \vdots \\ h_{r-1}^{(n)} \\ h_r^{(n)} \end{pmatrix}$$

Comparing this with the construction of the infinite Grassmannian  $\text{Grass}(r, \infty) = \bigcup_{n=r}^{\infty} \text{Grass}(r, n)$  we see the following: Since the cohomology ring of  $\text{Grass}(r, n)$

is generated by the Chern classes  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  of the tautological bundle for every  $n$  and the tautological bundle on  $\text{Grass}(r, n+1)$  restricts to the one on  $\text{Grass}(r, n)$ , the pullback in cohomology for the inclusion  $\text{Grass}(r, n) \hookrightarrow \text{Grass}(r, n+1)$  is given by

$$\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_r]/J_{r, n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_r]/J_{r, n}$$

where the containment of ideals  $J_{r, n+1} \subset J_{r, n}$  is confirmed by the recurrence relation (34) above.

**3.5. Cohomology of the matrix orbits.** This section will entirely consist of the proof of the following:

**Proposition 3.6.** Let  $0 < r \leq m \leq n$  be integers. The cohomology of the orbit variety  $O_{m, n}^r$  is graded isomorphic to the Koszul algebra

$$H^\bullet(O_{m, n}^r) \cong \bigwedge (R_m^r \cdot \eta_1 \oplus \dots \oplus R_m^r \cdot \eta_r)$$

over the ring  $R_m^r = H^\bullet(\text{Grass}(r, m))$  with each  $\eta_j$  a free generator of degree  $2m - 2j + 1$ .

We use the Cartan model (27) for  $O_{m, n}^r$ . The group in question is  $U_{m, n} = U(m) \times U(n)$  with the subgroup

$$\begin{aligned} U_{m, n}^r &:= \text{Stab}(\mathbf{1}_{m, n}^r) \\ &= \{(S \oplus P, S \oplus Q) \in U(m) \times U(n)\} \\ &\cong U(r) \times U(m-r) \times U(n-r). \end{aligned}$$

As a maximal torus of this subgroup we choose pairs of diagonal block matrices

$$(\text{diag}(\underline{\lambda}) \oplus \text{diag}(\underline{\mu}), \quad \text{diag}(\underline{\lambda}) \oplus \text{diag}(\underline{\nu}))$$

with all nontrivial entries  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{m-r}, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_{n-r} \in U(1)$ . This is contained in the maximal torus  $T$  of  $U_{m, n}$  in the obvious way.

We will write  $S_{m, n}^r$  for the ring  $H^\bullet(BU_{m, n}^r)$ . If we let  $\{\alpha_j\}_{j=1}^r$  be the set of Chern roots associated to the subgroup  $U(r)$ ,  $\{\beta_j\}_{j=1}^{m-r}$  the ones for  $U(m-r)$  and  $\{\gamma_j\}_{j=1}^{n-r}$  those for  $U(n-r)$ , then similar to the case of flag varieties, the ring  $S_{m, n}^r$  is the invariant subring of  $\mathbb{Z}[\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta}, \underline{\gamma}]$  by the action of the group

$$\mathfrak{S}_{m, n}^r := \mathfrak{S}_r \times \mathfrak{S}_{m-r} \times \mathfrak{S}_{n-r}$$

acting by the permutation of the distinct sets of variables. We set

$$\begin{aligned} x_j &= \sigma_j^r(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, r, \\ y_k &= \sigma_k^{m-r}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{m-r}) \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, m-r, \\ z_l &= \sigma_l^{n-r}(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{n-r}) \quad \text{for } l = 1, \dots, n-r \end{aligned}$$

so that  $S_{m, n}^{i_1, \dots, i_p} \cong \mathbb{Z}[\underline{c}, \underline{y}, \underline{z}]$  is a free polynomial ring containing  $\mathbb{Z}[\underline{x}, \underline{y}, \underline{z}]$  as another free polynomial subring.

Since the group  $U_{m, n} = U(m) \times U(n)$  is a product, also the exterior algebra in (27) takes the form of a product:

$$\bigwedge (F_m \oplus F_n) \cong \left( \bigwedge F_m \right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \left( \bigwedge F_n \right).$$

We let  $\{\varepsilon_j\}_{j=1}^m$  be the generators of  $F_m$  and  $\{\varepsilon'_k\}_{k=1}^n$  those of  $F_n$ . With the notation above it is easy to see from the inclusion of maximal tori that the differential  $D$  of (27) takes these generators to the elements

$$\begin{aligned} D(1 \otimes \varepsilon_j) &= \sum_{r+s=j} x_r \cdot y_s, \\ D(1 \otimes \varepsilon'_k) &= \sum_{r+s=k} x_r \cdot z_s \end{aligned}$$

in  $S_{m,n}^{i_1, \dots, i_p}$  and, as already discussed in Remark 3.5, these are precisely the relations between the Chern classes of the tautological sub- and quotient bundles.

We now consider Koszul complexes on  $S_{m,n}^{i_1, \dots, i_p}$  associated to various subsets of the generators  $D(1 \otimes \varepsilon_j)$  and  $D(1 \otimes \varepsilon'_k)$ . As discussed earlier in Remark 3.5 the elements

$$D(1 \otimes \varepsilon_1), \dots, D(1 \otimes \varepsilon_{m-r}), D(1 \otimes \varepsilon'_1), \dots, D(1 \otimes \varepsilon'_{n-r})$$

form a regular sequence on  $\mathbb{Z}[\underline{x}, \underline{y}, \underline{z}]$  that can be used to eliminate the variables  $\underline{y}$  and  $\underline{z}$ . Using the reduction lemma for the homology of Koszul complexes, Lemma 3.4, this reduces the problem to a Koszul complex on the ring

$$\frac{\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_{m-r}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-r}]}{\langle D(1 \otimes \varepsilon_1), \dots, D(1 \otimes \varepsilon_{m-r}), D(1 \otimes \varepsilon'_1), \dots, D(1 \otimes \varepsilon'_{n-r}) \rangle} \cong \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$$

In this quotient, the next  $r$  relations reduce to

$$\overline{D(1 \otimes \varepsilon_{m-r+1})} = h_1^{(m)}, \quad \dots, \quad \overline{D(1 \otimes \varepsilon_m)} = h_r^{(m)}$$

and they form another regular sequence with successive quotient  $H^\bullet(\text{Grass}(r, n)) = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_r]/J_{r,n}$  as in Remark 3.5. Consequently, the last  $r$  relations

$$\overline{D(1 \otimes \varepsilon'_{n-r+1})} = h_1^{(n)}, \quad \dots, \quad \overline{D(1 \otimes \varepsilon'_n)} = h_r^{(n)}$$

all reduce to zero in  $H^\bullet(\text{Grass}(r, m))$  due to (34) since by assumption  $m \leq n$ . In terms of the identification of the homology of Koszul complexes from Lemma 3.4 this means

$$\begin{aligned} & H \left( \mathbb{Z}[x, y, z] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \bigwedge (F_m \oplus F_n) \right) \\ & \cong H \left( \mathbb{Z}[x] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \bigwedge (\mathbb{Z}\varepsilon_{m-r+1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\varepsilon_m \oplus \mathbb{Z}\varepsilon'_{n-r+1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\varepsilon'_n) \right) \\ & \cong H \left( \mathbb{Z}[x]/J_{m,r} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \bigwedge (\mathbb{Z}\varepsilon'_{n-r+1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\varepsilon'_n) \right) \\ & \cong \mathbb{Z}[x]/J_{m,r} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \bigwedge (\mathbb{Z}\varepsilon'_{n-r+1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\varepsilon'_n) \end{aligned}$$

where the differentials of the complex in the second last line are all zero so that we may drop the homology functor  $H(-)$ . To finish the proof of Proposition 3.6 we now set  $\eta_j$  to be equal to  $1 \otimes \varepsilon'_{n-r+j}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, r$  in the last line.

**Remark 3.7.** We review the fiber bundle structure

$$\text{Stief}(r, n) \hookrightarrow O_{m,n}^r \xrightarrow{\lambda_1} \text{Grass}(r, m)$$

described in Lemma 3.2. Given the explicit descriptions of the cohomology of both the Stiefel manifold and the Grassmannian, we may infer from the proof of Proposition 3.6 that, similar to the case the Hopf's theorem, the cohomology of  $O_{m,n}^r$  is isomorphic to that of a product

$$H^\bullet(O_{m,n}^r) \cong H^\bullet(\text{Stief}(r, n) \times \text{Grass}(r, m)),$$

despite the fact that the structure of  $O_{m,n}^r$  as a fiber bundle is in general non-trivial. By construction, the cohomology classes  $\eta_j$  restrict to the generators of the cohomology of  $\text{Stief}(r, n)$  in every fiber. Yet it seems difficult to write down an explicit lift of these elements to the original complex  $\mathbb{Z}[x, y, z] \otimes \bigwedge (F_m \oplus F_n)$ .

**Remark 3.8.** It would be nice to have a more geometric understanding of the elements  $\eta_j$  that might, for example, be derived from the tautological sequence

$$(35) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \lambda_2^* Q_2^\vee \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}^n \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{O}^m \longrightarrow \lambda_1^* Q_1 \longrightarrow 0$$

on  $O_{m,n}^r$  where the  $Q_i$  denote the tautological quotient bundles on respective Grassmannians for the projections  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  as in Lemma 3.2 and  $\varphi$  denotes the *tautological section*

$$O_{m,n}^r \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}^n, \mathcal{O}^m), \quad \varphi \mapsto \varphi$$

on  $O_{m,n}^r$ , identifying the subbundles  $\lambda_2^* S_2^\vee$  with  $\lambda_1^* S_1$ .

#### 4. BETTI NUMBERS OF SMOOTH LINKS

A hyperplane  $(D_i, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}, 0)$  of codimension  $i$  in general position will intersect  $M_{m,n}^{r+1}$  in a non-trivial way whenever  $i \leq \dim M_{m,n}^{r+1}$ . This intersection  $(M_{m,n}^{r+1} \cap D_i, 0)$  will have isolated singularity as long as  $D_i$  meets the singular locus  $M_{m,n}^r$  of  $M_{m,n}^{r+1}$  only at the origin, i.e.  $i \geq \dim M_{m,n}^r$ . The real and complex links of codimension  $i$  of  $(M_{m,n}^{r+1}, 0)$  will therefore be smooth within the range

$$(m+n)(r-1) - (r-1)^2 \leq i < (m+n)r - r^2.$$

In this section we will be concerned with the cohomology of  $\mathcal{K}^i(M_{m,n}^{r+1}, 0)$  and  $\mathcal{L}^i(M_{m,n}^{r+1}, 0)$  for  $i$  in this range. More precisely, we will show for that for  $i$  in the above range

$$(36) \quad H^k(\text{Grass}(r, m)) \cong H^k(\mathcal{K}^i(M_{m,n}^{r+1}, 0)) \quad \text{for } k < d(i),$$

$$(37) \quad H^k(\text{Grass}(r, m)) \cong H^k(\mathcal{L}^i(M_{m,n}^{r+1}, 0)) \quad \text{for } k < d(i),$$

$$(38) \quad H^{d(i)}(\text{Grass}(r, m)) \subset H^{d(i)}(\mathcal{K}^i(M_{m,n}^{r+1}, 0)) \subset H^{d(i)}(\mathcal{L}^i(M_{m,n}^{r+1}, 0))$$

where  $d(i) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{L}^i(M_{m,n}^{r+1}, 0) = (m+n)r - r^2 - i - 1$ . The left hand sides all agree with the cohomology of  $V_{m,n}^r$  in this range for  $k$  and the above maps are given by the pullback in cohomology for the natural inclusions of the real and complex links into that stratum.

For the complex links the middle Betti number can then be computed from the polar multiplicities using Formula (11). In case  $r = 1$  this gives a complete description of the classical real and complex links of  $(M_{m,n}^2, 0)$ , see Remark 4.5.



However, besides the case  $i = 0$  and  $r = 1$ , we are unable to determine whether or not  $H^{d(i)}(\mathcal{L}^i(M_{m,n}^{r+1}, 0))$  has torsion. Also, the two middle cohomology groups of  $\mathcal{K}^i(M_{m,n}^{r+1}, 0)$  can not be computed by our methods for  $i > 0$ .

**4.1. The variation sequence on stratified spaces.** Throughout this section, let  $(X, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^q, 0)$  be an equidimensional reduced complex analytic germ of complex dimension  $d$ , endowed with a complex analytic Whitney stratification  $\{V^\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ . We will denote by  $f: (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  the germ of a holomorphic function with an isolated singularity on  $(X, 0)$  in the stratified sense. For a Milnor ball  $B_\varepsilon$  of sufficiently small radius  $\varepsilon > 0$  and representatives  $X$  and  $f$  of the space and the function we let

$$\partial X := \partial B_\varepsilon \cap X$$

be the real link of  $(X, 0)$  and

$$M = X \cap B_\varepsilon \cap f^{-1}(\{\delta\})$$

the Milnor fiber of  $f$  for some  $\varepsilon \gg \delta > 0$ . Denote the regular part of  $X$  by  $X_{\text{reg}}$ . Then clearly by construction of  $\partial X$  and  $M$

$$\partial X_{\text{reg}} := \partial B_\varepsilon \cap X_{\text{reg}}, \quad M_{\text{reg}} := M \cap X_{\text{reg}}$$

are the regular loci of  $\partial X$  and  $M$ , respectively.

**Lemma 4.1.** The natural maps in cohomology

$$H^k(\partial X_{\text{reg}}) \rightarrow H^k(M_{\text{reg}})$$

are injective for  $k = d - 1$  and an isomorphism for  $k < d - 1$ .

*Proof.* It is well known that we may “inflate” the Milnor-Lê fibration for  $f$  and identify its total space with an open subset of  $\partial X$  itself:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \cap B_\varepsilon \cap f^{-1}(\partial D_\delta) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \partial X \setminus f^{-1}(D_\delta) \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow \arg f \\ \partial D_\delta & \xrightarrow{\cong} & S^1. \end{array}$$

See for example [GM88, Part II, Chapter 2.A, Proposition 2.A.3], or [GM88, Part II, Chapter 2.4] for the particular case where  $f$  is linear.

Since  $f$  has isolated singularity on  $(X, 0)$  it is a stratified submersion on  $X$  near the boundary  $K := \partial X \cap f^{-1}(\{0\})$  of the central fiber. We may therefore identify

$$\partial X \cap f^{-1}(D_\delta) \cong K \times D_\delta$$

and extend the fibration by  $\arg f$  over  $K \times D_\delta^*$  to the whole complement of  $K \subset \partial X$ .

The assertion is now a consequence of the variation sequence for the regular loci. It is evident from Thom’s first isotopy lemma that

$$\arg f: \partial X \setminus K \rightarrow S^1$$

respects the stratification of  $M \subset \partial X \setminus K$  induced from  $X$ . Therefore, the common chain of isomorphisms for the variation sequence

$$\begin{aligned} H^k(\partial X_{\text{reg}}, M_{\text{reg}}) &\cong H^k(\partial X_{\text{reg}}, M_{\text{reg}} \cup (\partial X_{\text{reg}} \cap f^{-1}(\overline{D}_\delta))) \\ &\cong H^k(M_{\text{reg}} \times [0, 1], K_{\text{reg}} \times [0, 1] \cup M_{\text{reg}} \times \{0, 1\}) \\ &\cong H^k((M_{\text{reg}}, K_{\text{reg}}) \times ([0, 1], \partial[0, 1])) \\ &\cong H^{k-1}(M_{\text{reg}}, K_{\text{reg}}) \end{aligned}$$

restricts to the regular loci for every  $k$ . Here, we deliberately identified  $K_{\text{reg}}$  with the boundary part  $\partial B_\varepsilon \cap M_{\text{reg}}$  of the regular locus of  $M$ . In the last step we used the Künneth formula for pairs of spaces, see e.g. [Hat02, Theorem 3.21]. For a more thorough treatment see also [GM88, Part II, Chapter 2.5].

With these identifications, the long exact sequence of the pair  $(\partial X_{\text{reg}}, M_{\text{reg}})$  reads

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^{k-1}(M_{\text{reg}}, K_{\text{reg}}) \rightarrow H^k(\partial X_{\text{reg}}) \rightarrow H^k(M_{\text{reg}}) \rightarrow H^k(M_{\text{reg}}, K_{\text{reg}}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

The assertion now follows from the fact that  $H^k(M_{\text{reg}}, K_{\text{reg}}) = 0$  for all  $k < d - 1 = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M_{\text{reg}}$  which is due to complex stratified Morse theory for non-proper Morse functions, see [GM88, Introduction, Chapter 2.2].  $\square$

**Remark 4.2.** The cited theorem [GM88, Introduction, Chapter 2.2] is a statement on the relative homology for the smooth locus of a projective algebraic variety and its intersection with a generic hyperplane. The statement can be generalized to germs of complex analytic sets and their intersections with a suitable ball, cf. [GM88, Introduction, Chapter 2.4]. Then one can use complex stratified Morse theory for non-proper Morse functions to study the connectivity of the pair  $(M_{\text{reg}}, K_{\text{reg}})$  via a Morsification  $\rho$  of the squared distance function to the origin. The key observation is that for the regular locus  $M_{\text{reg}}$  the local Morse datum at a critical point is always  $(d - 2)$ -connected. The last statement can easily be derived by induction on dimension from [GM88, Part II, Chapter 3.3, Corollary 1].

Now suppose we are given  $(X, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^q, 0)$  as above and an admissible sequence of linear forms  $l_1, \dots, l_d$  in the sense of Definition 2.2 and Corollary 2.4. Then we can apply Lemma 4.1 inductively to  $f = l_{i+1}$  on  $(X \cap D_i, 0)$ :

**Proposition 4.3.** Let  $(X, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^q, 0)$  be an equidimensional reduced complex analytic germ of dimension  $d$ , and  $l_1, l_2, \dots, l_d$  an admissible sequence of linear forms as in Corollary 2.4. Let  $\mathcal{K}_{\text{reg}}^k$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{reg}}^k$  be the regular loci of the real and complex links of codimension  $k$  of  $(X, 0)$ . Then for arbitrary  $0 \leq k < d$  the natural maps

$$H^i(\mathcal{K}_{\text{reg}}^0) \rightarrow H^i(\mathcal{K}_{\text{reg}}^k) \rightarrow H^i(\mathcal{L}_{\text{reg}}^k)$$

are isomorphisms for every  $i < d - k - 1 = \dim \mathcal{L}^k$  and injective for  $i = d - k - 1$ .

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on the codimension  $k$  of the complex links. For  $k = 0$  this follows directly from Lemma 4.1.

Now suppose the statement holds up to codimension  $k - 1$ . As already discussed in the proof of Lemma 4.1, the real link  $\mathcal{K}^k$  can be identified

with the boundary of  $\mathcal{L}^{k-1}$ . But the pair  $(\mathcal{L}_{\text{reg}}^{k-1}, \mathcal{K}_{\text{reg}}^k)$  is cohomologically  $d - k - 1$ -connected due to the LHT and the long exact sequence yields

$$0 \rightarrow H^i(\mathcal{L}_{\text{reg}}^{k-1}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^i(\mathcal{K}_{\text{reg}}^k) \rightarrow 0$$

for  $i < d - k - 1$  and

$$0 \rightarrow H^{d-k-1}(\mathcal{L}_{\text{reg}}^{k-1}) \rightarrow H^{d-k-1}(\mathcal{K}_{\text{reg}}^k) \rightarrow H^{d-k}(\mathcal{L}_{\text{reg}}^{k-1}, \mathcal{K}_{\text{reg}}^k) \rightarrow \dots$$

for the middle part. Since by our induction hypothesis the cohomology groups  $H^i(\mathcal{L}_{\text{reg}}^{k-1}) \cong H^i(\mathcal{K}_{\text{reg}}^0)$  are all isomorphic for  $i < d - k$ , the first part of the assertion on  $H^i(\mathcal{K}_{\text{reg}}^0) \rightarrow H^i(\mathcal{K}_{\text{reg}}^k)$  follows.

For the second part on  $H^i(\mathcal{K}_{\text{reg}}^0) \rightarrow H^i(\mathcal{L}_{\text{reg}}^k)$  consider

$$l_{k+1}: (X \cap D_k, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0).$$

By construction the Milnor fiber of this function is  $\mathcal{L}^k$  while  $\mathcal{K}^k$  is the real link of  $(X \cap D_k, 0)$ . All the relevant cohomology groups of  $\mathcal{K}^k$  have already been determined by our previous considerations so that the remaining statements follow readily from Lemma 4.1 applied to  $f = l_{k+1}$ .  $\square$

**4.2. Proof of Formulas (36) – (38).** As remarked earlier, the relevant range for the codimension  $i$  for the real and complex links  $\mathcal{K}^i(M_{m,n}^{r+1}, 0)$  and  $\mathcal{L}^i(M_{m,n}^{r+1}, 0)$  of the generic determinantal variety  $(M_{m,n}^{r+1}, 0)$  is

$$\dim M_{m,n}^r = (m+n)(r-1) - (r-1)^2 \leq i < (m+n)r - r^2 = \dim M_{m,n}^{r+1}.$$

We may assume  $m \leq n$ . Then for these values of  $i$  the dimension of the complex links does not exceed

$$d(i) := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{L}^i(M_{m,n}^{r+1}, 0) = (m+n)r - r^2 - i - 1 < m+n - 2r + 1 \leq 2n - 2r + 1.$$

Recall that due to the homogeneity of the singularity, the cohomology of the regular part of the classical real link of  $(M_{m,n}^{r+1}, 0)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} H^\bullet(\mathcal{K}_{\text{reg}}^0(M_{m,n}^{r+1}, 0)) &\cong H^\bullet(V_{m,n}^r) \cong H^\bullet(O_{m,n}^r) \\ &\cong \bigwedge (R_m^r \cdot \eta_1 \oplus \dots \oplus R_m^r \cdot \eta_r) \end{aligned}$$

according to Proposition 3.6. Now note that the bound on  $d(i)$  assures that each and every generator  $\eta_j \in H^{2n-2j+1}(O_{m,n}^r)$  is taken to a vanishing cohomology group of a smooth complex link  $\mathcal{L}^i(M_{m,n}^{r+1}, 0)$ . The formulas (36), (37), and (38) now follow directly from Proposition 4.3.

**4.3. The middle cohomology groups.** The results of the previous section allow to determine the cohomology *below the middle degrees* of the real and complex links  $\mathcal{K}^i$  and  $\mathcal{L}^i$  of codimension  $i$  for a purely  $d$ -dimensional germ  $(X, 0)$ , provided  $i \geq \dim X_{\text{sing}}$  so that  $(X \cap D_i, 0)$  has isolated singularity and the links are smooth. We shall now discuss how to obtain information on the remaining part of the cohomology and to which extend this is possible.

When  $i \geq \dim X_{\text{sing}}$  the complex link  $\mathcal{L}^i = \mathcal{L}_{\text{reg}}^i$  is Stein and the higher cohomology groups vanish due to the LHT. We can use Lefschetz duality (see e.g. [Hat02, Theorem 3.43]) to identify homology with cohomology:

$$H^k(\mathcal{L}^i, \partial \mathcal{L}^i) \cong H_{2(d-i-1)-k}(\mathcal{L}^i) \quad \text{and} \quad H^k(\mathcal{L}^i) \cong H_{2(d-i-1)-k}(\mathcal{L}^i, \partial \mathcal{L}^i).$$

Note that the middle homology group  $H_{d-i-1}(\mathcal{L}^i)$  is known to always be free; this is the case even for arbitrary smooth Stein manifolds. The real link  $\mathcal{K}^i$  is an oriented smooth compact manifold of real dimension  $2d-2i-1$  and we have Poincaré duality (cf. [Hat02, Theorem 3.30]):

$$H^k(\mathcal{K}^i) \cong H_{2d-2i-1-k}(\mathcal{K}^i)$$

for all  $k$ . All these cohomology groups sit in the classical variation sequence with its middle part being of particular interest:

$$0 \rightarrow H^{d-i-1}(\mathcal{K}^i) \rightarrow H^{d-i-1}(\mathcal{L}^i) \xrightarrow{\text{VAR}} H^{d-i-1}(\mathcal{L}^i, \partial\mathcal{L}^i) \rightarrow H^{d-i}(\mathcal{K}^i) \rightarrow 0.$$

We return to the particular case of the generic determinantal singularity  $(X, 0) = (M_{m,n}^{r+1}, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}, 0)$ . For the smooth complex links  $\mathcal{L}^i = \mathcal{L}^i(M_{m,n}^{r+1}, 0)$  we can use the knowledge of the Euler characteristic from the polar multiplicities in Section 2.3, Formula (11) to also determine the rank of the middle cohomology group:

$$(39) \quad b_{d-i-1}(\mathcal{L}^i) = \underbrace{\left( \sum_{j=i}^d (-1)^j m_0(P_{d-j}(X, 0)) \right)}_{(-1)^{d-i-1} \chi(\mathcal{L}^i)} + \underbrace{\left( \sum_{j=0}^{d-i-2} (-1)^{d-i-j} b_j(O_{m,n}^r) \right)}_{-(-1)^{d-i-1} \sum_{j=0}^{d-i-2} (-1)^j b_j(\mathcal{L}^i)}.$$

Switching from integer to rational coefficients, this allows us to fully compute the rational cohomology, but unfortunately this method does *not* allow to detect torsion in the middle cohomology group with integer coefficients. However, so far no example of a smooth complex link of a generic determinantal variety is known for which the middle cohomology group  $H^{d-i-1}(\mathcal{L}^i(M_{m,n}^{r+1}, 0))$  does have torsion.

Being an oriented smooth compact manifold of odd real dimension,  $\chi(\mathcal{K}^i)$  is always zero and therefore computation of the Euler characteristic does not help in this case; not even the full *rational* cohomology of  $\mathcal{K}^i$  can be computed by our methods. It should be noted, however, that there are examples for which torsion appears:

**Example 4.4.** Consider the singularity  $(Y, 0) := (M_{2,3}^2 \cap D_2, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 3}, 0)$  for a generic plane  $D_2$  of codimension 2. This is an isolated normal surface singularity, the simplest one of the “rational triple points” discussed by Tjurina [Tju68]. It was shown in [Zac20] that the so-called Tjurina modification  $\pi: Y' \rightarrow Y$  of  $(Y, 0)$  is smooth and hence a resolution of singularities for  $(Y, 0)$ . The space  $Y'$  is isomorphic to the total space of the bundle

$$Y' \cong |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-3)|$$

and hence the complex link  $\mathcal{K}^2 = \mathcal{K}^2(M_{2,3}^2, 0)$  can be identified with the sphere bundle of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-3)$ . Then a part of the Euler sequence for this bundle reads

$$\dots H^0(\mathbb{P}^1) \xrightarrow{\cup e} H^2(\mathbb{P}^1) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{K}^2) \rightarrow 0.$$

But with the canonical generators for  $H^\bullet(\mathbb{P}^1)$ , the cup product with the Euler class  $e$  is simply multiplication by  $-3$  and we find that

$$(40) \quad H^2(\mathcal{K}^2(M_{2,3}^2, 0)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}.$$

The complex link  $\mathcal{L}^2 = \mathcal{L}^2(M_{2,3}^2, 0)$ , i.e. the ‘‘Milnor fiber’’ in the variation sequence, is known to have middle cohomology  $H^1(\mathcal{L}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ ; see e.g. [Zac20]. Since  $H^1(\mathcal{L}^2, \partial\mathcal{L}^2) \cong H_1(\mathcal{L}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$  is also free and the map VAR necessarily has rank 2, it follows that

$$H^1(\mathcal{K}^2(M_{2,3}^2, 0)) = 0.$$

To conclude this section, we mention one last case that might be of particular interest:

**Remark 4.5.** For  $r = 1$  the generic determinantal varieties  $(M_{m,n}^2, 0)$  all have isolated singularity and therefore the classical real and complex links  $\mathcal{K}^0 = \mathcal{K}^0(M_{m,n}^2, 0)$  and  $\mathcal{L}^0 = \mathcal{L}^0(M_{m,n}^2, 0)$  are already smooth. In this case we know all the cohomology groups of  $\mathcal{K}^0$

$$H^\bullet(\mathcal{K}^0(M_{m,n}^2, 0)) \cong H^\bullet(\mathbb{P}^{m-1} \times S^{2n-1}) \cong H^\bullet(\mathbb{P}^{m-1}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \bigwedge \mathbb{Z}\varepsilon_{2n-1}$$

which is free Abelian in all degrees. Using the variation sequence we infer that the middle cohomology of  $\mathcal{L}^0$  can not have torsion: By Poincaré duality also the relative cohomology group to the left is isomorphic to the middle homology group of  $\mathcal{L}^0$ . Now the latter is known to always be free Abelian for Stein manifolds. Therefore we have

$$(41) \quad H^k(\mathcal{L}^0(M_{m,n}^2, 0)) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } k \leq (m-r)r \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We would like to mention one particular consequence of the previous remark. It is well known that on a locally complete intersection  $X$  the constant sheaf  $\mathbb{Z}_X[\dim X]$  is perverse for the middle perversity; see e.g. [Dim04, Theorem 5.1.20]. Most of the generic determinantal varieties  $M_{m,n}^s$  are not complete intersections and we can now show that this algebraic property is also reflected in their topology:

**Corollary 4.6.** For  $1 < s \leq m < n$ , i.e. for non-square matrices, the constant sheaf  $\mathbb{Z}_{M_{m,n}^s}[\dim M_{m,n}^s]$  on the generic determinantal variety, shifted by its dimension, is never a perverse sheaf for the middle perversity.

*Proof.* For these values of  $s, m$ , and  $n$  the rank stratification is the minimal Whitney stratification of  $M_{m,n}^s$ . Now the constant sheaf can only be perverse (for the middle perversity) if the variety has a certain *rectified homological depth*, meaning that along every stratum  $V_{m,n}^r \subset M_{m,n}^s$  the real link  $\mathcal{K}(M_{m,n}^s, V_{m,n}^r)$  along  $V_{m,n}^r$  satisfies

$$H_k(\mathcal{K}(M_{m,n}^s, V_{m,n}^r)) = 0 \text{ for all } k < \text{codim}(V_{m,n}^r, M_{m,n}^s) - 1.$$

See [HL91, Section 1.1.3], [HL91, Theorem 1.4] for a discussion on rectified homological depth and e.g. the proof of [Dim04, Theorem 5.1.20] for its relation to perversity. For  $r = s - 2$  the codimension on the right hand side is

$$c = (m - s + 2)(n - s + 2) - (m - s + 1)(n - s + 1) = m + n - 2s + 3 \geq 4$$

for all values  $1 < s \leq m < n$ . But according to Remark 4.5 we have

$$H^2(\mathcal{K}^0(M_{m,n}^s, V_{m,n}^{s-2})) \cong H^2(\mathcal{K}^0(M_{m-s+2, n-s+2}^2)) \cong H^2(\mathbb{P}^{m-s+1}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

so that the criterion for the perversity given above is violated.  $\square$

## 5. IMPLICATIONS FOR THE VANISHING TOPOLOGY OF SMOOTHABLE IDS

5.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** We consider a GL-miniversal unfolding

$$\mathbf{A}: (\mathbb{C}^p, 0) \times (\mathbb{C}^k, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}, 0)$$

of the defining matrix  $A$  for  $(X_A^s, 0)$  on  $k$  parameters  $t_1, \dots, t_k$ . See, for instance, [FKZ21] for the underlying notion of GL-equivalence and the existence and construction of such miniversal unfoldings. The induced deformation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_A^s & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^s \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ \{0\} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{C}^k \end{array}$$

given by the projection of  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^s = \mathbf{A}^{-1}(M_{m,n}^s) \subset \mathbb{C}^p \times \mathbb{C}^k$  to the parameter space  $\mathbb{C}^k$  is versal in the sense that it covers all possible determinantal deformations of  $(X_A^s, 0)$  coming from this particular choice of a determinantal structure.

Let the *discriminant*  $(\Delta, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^k, 0)$  be the set of parameters  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_k)$  with singular fibers  $X_{\mathbf{A}}^s(t)$ . For a suitable representative of the unfolding  $\mathbf{A}$  we may choose a Milnor ball  $B_\varepsilon \subset \mathbb{C}^p$  around the origin and a polydisc  $0 \in D_\delta \subset \mathbb{C}^k$  in the parameter space such that

$$\pi: \mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^s \cap B_\varepsilon \cap (D_\delta \setminus \Delta) \rightarrow (D_\delta \setminus \Delta)$$

is a smooth fiber bundle of manifolds with boundary with fiber  $M_A^s$ , the smoothing of  $(X_A^s, 0)$ .

Since for  $t \notin \Delta$  the map

$$A_t = \mathbf{A}(-, t): B_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$$

is transverse to the rank stratification implies that  $A_t$  does not degenerate on the fiber  $X_{\mathbf{A}}^s(t)$  in the sense that it has constant rank  $r = s - 1$  at all points  $x \in X_{\mathbf{A}}^s(t)$ . Therefore the cokernel

$$\mathbb{C}^n \xrightarrow{A_t} \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$$

presented by  $A_t$  is a well defined vector bundle of rank  $m - r$  on the fiber over  $t$ .

Variation of  $t$  in  $D_\delta \setminus \Delta$  does not change the fiber  $X_{\mathbf{A}}^s(t)$  up to diffeomorphism. In the same sense, it varies the vector bundle  $E$  in a  $C^\infty$  way on these fibers, but does not change its isomorphism class as a smooth complex vector bundle.

Due to the versality of  $\mathbf{A}$ , the various deformations of  $(X_A^s, 0)$  and its smoothing  $M_A^s$  in the proof of the bouquet decomposition (1) in [Zac20] can be realized using piecewise smooth paths in the parameter space  $\mathbb{C}^k$ . In particular, this leads to a representative  $X_{\mathbf{A}}^s(t)$  of  $M_A^s$  which has an embedding of the complex link  $\mathcal{L}^{mn-p-1}(M_{m,n}^s, 0) \hookrightarrow X_{\mathbf{A}}^s(t)$ . Now it follows from the results in Section 4 that the truncated cohomology of the Grassmannian  $H^{\leq d}(\text{Grass}(r, m))$  is generated by the algebra of Segre classes of the tautological quotient bundle. Since by construction the pullback of this bundle to both  $X_{\mathbf{A}}^s(t)$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{mn-p-1}(M_{m,n}^s, 0)$  is the vector bundle  $E$  in question, the result follows.

**5.2. Proof of Corollary 1.2.** Let  $M \subset U \subset \mathbb{C}^5$  be a smoothing of the isolated Cohen-Macaulay threefold  $(X, 0) \subset \mathbb{C}^5$  on some open set  $U$  on which a perturbation

$$A_t: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m \times (m+1)}$$

of the matrix  $A$  is defined. By definition the canonical sheaf on  $M$  is given by  $\omega_M = \mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_U}^2(\mathcal{O}_M, \mathcal{O}_U)$  which can be computed from a free resolution of  $\mathcal{O}_M$  as an  $\mathcal{O}_U$ -module. Such a free resolution is provided by the deformation of the resolution of  $\mathcal{O}_{X,0}$  from the Hilbert-Burch theorem. Now it is easy to see from the proof of Theorem 1.1 that the line bundle  $\omega_M$  is presented by the restriction of  $A_t$  to  $M_A^s \subset U$ , i.e. it is the vector bundle  $E$  in Theorem 1.1 and the claim follows.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to thank Duco van Straten for introducing him to the work of Borel on the cohomology of homogeneous spaces and Sam Hagh Shenash Noshari for further helpful conversations on the topic, Terence Gaffney for discussions on polar varieties and  $-$ multiplicities, Xiping Zhang for an exchange on their computation, and James Damon for conversations on the “characteristic cohomology” of determinantal singularities.

#### REFERENCES

- [BH93] W. Bruns and J. Herzog. *Cohen-Macaulay rings*. Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [Bor53] A. Borel. “Sur la cohomologie des espaces fibres principaux et des espace homogenes de groupes de Lie compacts”. In: *Annals of Mathematics* 57.1 (1953).
- [Bur68] L. Burch. “On ideals of finite homological dimension in local rings”. In: *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 64 (1968), pp. 941–948. ISSN: 0008-1981. DOI: 10.1017/s0305004100043620. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0305004100043620>.
- [Dec+19] Wolfram Decker et al. *SINGULAR 4-1-2 — A computer algebra system for polynomial computations*. <http://www.singular.uni-kl.de>. 2019.
- [Dim04] Alexandru Dimca. *Sheaves in topology*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004, pp. xvi+236. ISBN: 3-540-20665-5. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-18868-8. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-18868-8>.
- [EGZ09] W. Ebeling and S. Gusein-Zade. “On indices of 1-forms on determinantal singularities”. In: *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math* 267 (2009), pp. 113–124.
- [Eis95] D. Eisenbud. *Commutative Algebra with a View Toward Algebraic Geometry*. Springer-Verlag New York, 1995.
- [FKZ15] A. Frühbis-Krüger and M. Zach. “On the Vanishing Topology of Isolated Cohen-Macaulay codimension 2 Singularities”. In: (2015). eprint: [arXiv:1501.01915](https://arxiv.org/abs/1501.01915).
- [FKZ21] A. Frühbis-Krüger and M. Zach. “Determinantal Singularities”. In: (2021). eprint: [arXiv:2106.04855v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.04855v1).

- [Ful98] William Fulton. *Intersection theory*. Second. Vol. 2. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998, pp. xiv+470. ISBN: 3-540-62046-X; 0-387-98549-2. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4612-1700-8. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-1700-8>.
- [GM88] M. Goresky and R. MacPherson. *Stratified Morse Theory*. Springer Verlag, 1988.
- [Hat02] A. Hatcher. *Algebraic Topology*. Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [Hil90] D. Hilbert. “Ueber die Theorie der algebraischen Formen”. In: *Math. Ann.* 36.4 (1890), pp. 473–534. ISSN: 0025-5831. DOI: 10.1007/BF01208503. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01208503>.
- [HL91] H.A. Hamm and D.T. Lê. “Rectified Homotopical Depth and Grothendieck Conjectures”. In: *The Grothendieck Festschrift II* (1991).
- [Hop41] H. Hopf. “Über die Topologie der Gruppen-Mannigfaltigkeiten und ihrer Verallgemeinerungen”. In: *Ann. of Math.* 42 (1941), pp. 22–52.
- [Hus94] D. Husemoeller. *Fiber Bundles, third edition*. Springer, 1994.
- [Mil56] John Milnor. “Construction of universal bundles. II”. In: *Ann. of Math. (2)* 63 (1956), pp. 430–436. ISSN: 0003-486X. DOI: 10.2307/1970012. URL: <https://doi.org/10.2307/1970012>.
- [PnZ18] G. Peñafort and M. Zach. “Kato-Matsumoto type results for disentanglements”. In: (2018). eprint: [arXiv:1807.07183](https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.07183).
- [Sch77] M. Schaps. “Deformations of Cohen-Macaulay schemes of codimension 2 and nonsingular deformations of space curves”. In: *Amer. J. Math.* 99 (1977), pp. 669–684.
- [Szi19] Z. Szilágyi. “On Chern classes of tensor products of vector bundles”. In: (2019). eprint: [arXiv:1909.13278v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.13278v1).
- [Tju68] G. N. Tjurina. “Absolute isolatedness of rational singularities and triple rational points”. In: *Func. Anal. Appl.* 2 (1968), pp. 324–333.
- [TT81] Lê Dung Trùng and B. Teissier. “Variétés polaires locales et classes de Chern des variétés singulières”. In: *Ann. of Math. (2)* 114.3 (1981), pp. 457–491. ISSN: 0003-486X. DOI: 10.2307/1971299. URL: <https://doi.org/10.2307/1971299>.
- [Ura81] T. Urabe. “Duality of Numerical Characters of Polar Loci”. In: *Publ. RIMS* (1981), pp. 331–345.
- [Wah16] J. Wahl. “Milnor and Tjurina numbers for smoothings of surface singularities”. In: (2016). eprint: [arXiv:1307.6491v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/1307.6491v2).
- [Wah85] Jonathan M. Wahl. “A characterization of quasihomogeneous Gorenstein surface singularities”. In: *Compositio Math.* 55.3 (1985), pp. 269–288. ISSN: 0010-437X. URL: [http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM\\_1985\\_\\_55\\_3\\_2](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1985__55_3_2)
- [Zac17] M. Zach. “Topology of isolated determinantal singularities”. PhD-thesis. Leibniz Universität Hannover, 2017.



- [Zac18] M. Zach. “An observation concerning the vanishing topology of certain isolated determinantal singularities”. In: *Mathematische Zeitschrift* (2018). DOI: 10.1007/s00209-018-2143-9.
- [Zac20] M. Zach. “Bouquet decomposition for Determinantal Milnor fibers”. In: *Journal of Singularities* 22 (2020), pp. 190–204. DOI: 10.5427/jsing.2020.22m.
- [Zha17] X. Zhang. “Local Euler Obstruction and Chern-Mather classes of Determinantal Varieties”. In: (2017). eprint: ArXiv:1706.02032.