

# EQUIVARIANT CHOW-WITT GROUPS AND MODULI STACKS OF ELLIPTIC CURVES

ANDREA DI LORENZO AND LORENZO MANTOVANI

ABSTRACT. We introduce equivariant Chow-Witt groups in order to define Chow-Witt groups of quotient stacks. We compute the Chow-Witt ring of the moduli stack of stable (resp. smooth) elliptic curves, providing a geometric interpretation of the new generators. Along the way, we also determine the Chow-Witt ring of the classifying stack of  $\mu_{2n}$ .

## CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. Equivariant Chow-Witt groups	3
2. Some useful facts on Chow-Witt groups	9
3. Geometric Preliminaries	12
4. The Chow-Witt rings of the classifying stacks of $\mathbb{G}_m$ and $\mu_{2n}$	13
5. The Chow-Witt rings of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$	17
Appendix A. A gentle introduction to Chow-Witt theory	24
References	28

## INTRODUCTION

Intersection theory on moduli of curves is a central area of study in algebraic geometry: in the last forty years, there has been a huge amount of works in this field. Among the different research directions, an interesting one consists in determining the structure of the integral Chow ring of moduli stacks of curves, a task which has been accomplished only in few cases (e.g. [EG98], [Vis98], [Lar21], [DFV21]).

In [BM00; Fas08] the authors introduced more refined cycle groups, called *Chow-Witt groups*, initiating the so-called *quadratic* intersection theory. Roughly speaking, if the basic objects of study in intersection theory are cycles on a variety, i.e. formal sums of subvarieties with integral or rational coefficients, in Chow-Witt theory we consider cycles with coefficients in certain Grothendieck-Witt rings of quadratic forms.

The motivation for studying these new invariants is that they are more sensitive to the arithmetic of the ground field. For instance, quadratic intersection theory can be used to extend some classical results of enumerative geometry to general base fields, albeit at the price of "counting with quadratic forms" rather than with integers. For instance in [LW21] the authors generalize results of Severi asserting that the difference between the number of hyperbolic lines and elliptic lines on a real cubic surface is always equal to three (see [LW21]). In a slightly different direction, Chow-Witt groups have turned out to be useful in the study of characteristic classes of bundles on varieties. Work in this direction has been carried out extensively in [HW19] and [Wen18], where characteristic classes of  $SL_n$ -,  $SP_n$ -, and  $GL_n$ -bundles have been studied via an explicit description of the Chow-Witt ring of the classifying stack of the groups. Similar questions for orthogonal groups are under investigation in [MNW21].

In this framework we direct our attention to moduli stacks of curves, looking for invariants of quadratic nature. The goal of this paper is to make some small steps in this direction, focusing on the moduli stack  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$ ) of stable (resp. smooth) marked curves of genus one. Our main results consist in defining Chow-Witt ring of quotient stacks and in determining a presentation of the Chow-Witt rings of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$  in terms of generators and relations.

**Theorem.** *Let  $k$  be a perfect field of characteristic different from 2 and 3, and let  $\mathrm{GW}(k)$  denote the Grothendieck-Witt ring of  $k$ , with fundamental ideal  $I$ . We have isomorphisms of rings:*

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathrm{CH}}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}, \bullet) &\simeq \mathrm{GW}(k)[\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{H}]/(I \cdot \mathrm{T}, I \cdot \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^2 - 2h, h\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{H}\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{V}^2, 24\mathrm{T}^2, 12\mathrm{H}\mathrm{T}^2 - \mathrm{V}\mathrm{T}) \\ \widetilde{\mathrm{CH}}^*(\mathcal{M}_{1,1}, \bullet) &\simeq \mathrm{GW}(k)[\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}]/(I \cdot \mathrm{T}, I \cdot \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^2 - 2h, h\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{D}^2 + 2\mathrm{D}, 6\mathrm{T}\mathrm{H}, 12\mathrm{T} - \mathrm{D}\mathrm{T})\end{aligned}$$

Here is a brief explanation of the significance of the multiplicative generators that appear above, but we encourage the reader to refer to Theorem 5.5.3 and Theorem 5.5.4 for a precise description, and 5.6 for a geometric description. The element  $\mathrm{T}$  is an element in degree  $(1, \mathcal{E})$  corresponding to the Euler class of the dual of the Hodge line bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$ .

The element  $\mathrm{H}$  lives in degree  $(0, \mathcal{E})$  and is a sort of "twisted" version of hyperbolic plane, and its presence is somehow not a surprise. More interestingly, the generator  $\mathrm{V}$  is an element in degree  $(1, \mathcal{E}^{\otimes -12})$ , it is supported on the divisor of nodal curves  $\Delta_0$ , and it is related to the discriminant of the universal family of nodal curves. The elements  $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{H}$  restrict to  $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$  maintaining the same meaning, whereas  $\mathrm{D}$  corresponds to a class of degree  $(0, \mathcal{O})$  associated to the quadratic form on  $\mathcal{E}^{\otimes 6}$  induced by the discriminant of the universal elliptic curve.

To define Chow-Witt groups of quotient stacks, we follow closely the ideas of [Tot99] and [EG98]. These ideas have already been adapted to work with Chow-Witt theory in [HW19; Wen18], but we need some further adjustment in order to work with singular stacks and to keep track of twists. Since our argument is rather abstract, we take the chance for introducing a good definition of  $G$ -equivariant Chow groups for  $G$ -varieties with coefficients in a Milnor-Witt cycle module in the sense of Feld [Fel20].

At the moment we restrict ourselves with  $G$ -varieties  $X$  satisfying some technical assumption (cf. 1.2.2) implying that that the resulting quotients  $[X/G]$  may be approximated by open schemes in suitable vector bundles over  $[X/G]$ . We have ideas on how to remove such assumptions but we preferred to maintain the present paper as self-contained as possible: these results will thus appear in forthcoming work. Our definition of equivariant Chow groups with coefficients for a  $G$ -variety  $X$  actually only depend on the associated quotient  $[X/G]$ , giving thus a reasonable cycle theory with coefficients for (possibly singular) quotient stacks that can be nicely approximated by schemes.

To understand the multiplicative structure of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$  we also need some information on the Chow-Witt ring of the classifying stacks  $\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}$ . The same approach used in [MNW21] for  $n = 1$  can be extended for other values of  $n$ . In addition we provide a description of the multiplicative structure.

**Theorem.** *Let  $k$  be a perfect field of characteristic different from  $2n$ . We have an isomorphism of  $\mathrm{GW}(k)$ -algebras*

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{CH}}^*(\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}, \bullet) \simeq \mathrm{GW}(k)[\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{U}]/(I \cdot \mathrm{T}, I \cdot \mathrm{H}, h\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{H}\mathrm{U}, n\mathrm{T}\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^2 - 2h, \mathrm{U}^2 + 2\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{T}\mathrm{U} - 2n\mathrm{T}).$$

The classes  $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{H}$  are found in degrees  $(1, \mathcal{U})$  and  $(0, \mathcal{U})$  respectively, where  $\mathcal{U}$  denotes the universal line bundle on  $\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}$ , and they represent the Euler class  $e(\mathcal{U}^\vee)$  and a variation of the hyperbolic plane respectively. The class  $\mathrm{U}$  lives in degree  $(0, \mathcal{O})$  and is induced by the tautological quadratic form on  $\mathcal{U}^{\otimes n}$ . In future works, we aim further developing the machinery of equivariant quadratic intersection theory (e.g. by developing localization formulas) with the goal in mind to tackle more advanced questions about the structure of Chow-Witt rings of moduli stacks of curves, and related enumerative problems.

**Outline of the paper.** In Section 1 we recall some foundational aspects of Chow-Witt theory and, more generally, of homology groups with coefficients in a Milnor-Witt cycle modules. We then extend these notions to the equivariant setting, and thus to quotient stacks.

In Section 2 we recall some properties of Chow-Witt groups that will be used in the subsequent computations.

In Section 3 we collect some geometric facts on the classifying stacks  $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$  and  $\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}$  and on the moduli stacks  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$ . The geometry of these stacks of curves is tightly related to that of some vector bundles on  $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$  and to  $\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}$ . It is this tight relation that allows us to cleanly carry out our approach.

In Section 4, after recapping what is known on the Chow-Witt ring of  $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$ , we compute the Chow-Witt ring of  $\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}$ : we first describe its additive structure (Proposition 4.2.3) and subsequently its multiplicative structure (Theorem 4.4.2).

In Section 5 we compute the Chow-Witt rings of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$ . We warm up by computing the  $\mathrm{I}^*$ -cohomology of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$  (Proposition 5.1.1), which we need as input of the main computation. Then we

determine the additive structure of Chow-Witt groups (Proposition 5.3.1 and Proposition 5.4.5) and after that we conclude with the multiplicative part (Theorem 5.5.3 and Theorem 5.5.4). Finally we give a geometric description of the new multiplicative generators appearing in the descriptions above (cf. 5.6).

In Appendix A we give a gentle introduction to Chow-Witt groups and some of their main properties. This Section is aimed for those readers who have little or no background in algebraic K-theory and motivic cohomologies, but perhaps they are more acquainted with the usual theory of Chow groups.

**Conventions and notation.** All schemes are assumed to be of finite type over a perfect field  $k$  of characteristic  $\neq 2$ . The following symbols are quite standard, but for the sake of completeness we preferred to include a brief summary of their meaning:

---

$\mathrm{GW}(-)$	the Grothendieck-Witt ring
$\mathrm{W}(-)$	the Witt ring
$\mathrm{I}(-)$	the fundamental ideal both in $\mathrm{GW}(-)$ and $\mathrm{W}(-)$
$\mathrm{K}_*^{\mathrm{M}}(-)$	the Milnor K-theory ring
$\mathrm{K}_*^{\mathrm{MW}}(-)$	the Milnor-Witt K-theory ring
$\mathrm{k}_*^{\mathrm{M}}(-)$	the mod 2 Milnor K-theory ring.

---

When no field is specified in the symbols above, we are implicitly thinking of corresponding object for the ground field. If  $\mathrm{K}_*$  is a Milnor-Witt cycle module and  $X$  is a variety (or a quotient stack), the notation

$$H_{i,j}(X, \mathrm{K}_*, \mathcal{L})$$

always refers to the homology groups of a suitable cycle complex with coefficients in  $\mathrm{K}_*$ . Upper indices are used only for smooth varieties (resp. stacks) when one can actually harmlessly confuse the homology groups of such cycle complexes with the Nisnevich cohomology groups of unramified sheaves associated with  $\mathrm{K}_*$ .

We will use the following standard notation for Cartier divisors: if  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  is an affine covering of a scheme  $X$ , the notation  $\{(U_i, f_i)\}_{i \in I}$  stands for the Cartier divisor  $D \subset X$  whose equation in each affine open subset  $U_i$  is  $f_i = 0$ .

For a locally free sheaf  $F$  on a variety  $X$ , we will denote  $\mathbb{V}(F)$  the associated vector bundle on  $X$ . We adopt here the convention  $\mathbb{V}(F) := \mathrm{Spec} \mathrm{Sym}(F^\vee)$ , where  $F^\vee$  is the dual of  $F$ .

**Acknowledgments.** This project started in December 2019, when the first named author was visiting Andrew Kresch at the University of Zurich. We thank Andrew for this and for several others useful conversations on the topic. We wish to thank N. Feld and J. Fasel for answering some questions on Milnor-Witt cycle modules. In addition the second named author wishes to sincerely thank M. Wendt for the huge influence on his understanding of the subject of this paper. The second named author also thanks J. Ayoub for clarifying a number of doubts on the six functors formalism. The second named author was supported by the *Swiss National Science Foundation* (SNF), project 200020\_178729.

## 1. EQUIVARIANT CHOW-WITT GROUPS

The ultimate goal of this section is to introduce a sensible notion of Chow-Witt groups with coefficients for quotient stacks. In 1.1 we briefly recall some notation on cycle complexes with coefficients in a Milnor-Witt cycle modules twisted by virtual vector bundles. We compare the homology groups of such complexes with motivic Borel-Moore homology of the spectrum associated with the cycle module. For smooth equidimensional varieties we introduce a cohomological notation too, indexing cycles by codimension. In 1.2 we extend the notion of homology and cohomology of cycle complexes to the equivariant setting via the now standard technique introduced by Totaro in [Tot99] and further developed in [EG98] and in [HW19]. We conclude with an observation on how the equivariant Borel-Moore homology theory introduced here is actually an invariant of the associated quotient stack.

In this Section we fix a perfect base field  $k$  of characteristic not 2. For the second part of the section we will also fix a smooth affine algebraic group  $G$  over  $k$ .

### 1.1. Classical Theory.

1.1.1. Let  $X$  be a  $d$ -dimensional  $k$ -variety, let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a line bundle on  $X$  and  $j$  an integer. For every point  $x \in X$  denote by  $k(x)$  the residue field at  $x$ , and by  $\omega_{k(x)/k}$  the determinant of the  $k(x)$ -vector space of relative differential forms. The Rost-Schmid complex  $C_\bullet(X, K_*^{\text{MW}}, j, \mathcal{L})$  with coefficients in Milnor-Witt K-theory is the chain complex with  $i$ -th term

$$C_i(X, K_*^{\text{MW}}, j, \mathcal{L}) = \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(i)}} K_{i+j}^{\text{MW}}(k(x), \omega_{k(x)/k} \otimes \mathcal{L}_x).$$

Here  $K_p^{\text{MW}}(k(x), \omega_{k(x)/k} \otimes \mathcal{L}_x)$  denotes the degree  $p$  Milnor-Witt K-theory of the residue field at  $x$ , twisted by the one dimensional  $k(x)$ -vector space  $\omega_{k(x)/k} \otimes \mathcal{L}_x$ . We redirect the reader to [Fas20, Section 1.1] for a detailed definition of twisted Milnor-Witt K-theory, or to Appendix A for a brief account.

The differential  $d_i : C_i(X, K_*^{\text{MW}}, j, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow C_{i-1}(X, K_*^{\text{MW}}, j, \mathcal{L})$  is constructed using a combination of the residue maps for discrete valuation rings and the geometric transfer maps in Milnor-Witt K-theory: the construction can be found in Section 2.1 of [Fas20]. With these definitions  $C_\bullet(X, K_*^{\text{MW}}, j, \mathcal{L})$  is a well defined chain complex, which we regard as concentrated in range  $[d, 0]$ .

1.1.2. Observe that when we have an isomorphism of line bundles  $\phi : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'$  there is a naturally induced isomorphism of Rost-Schmid complexes

$$C(\phi) : C_\bullet(X, K_*^{\text{MW}}, j, \mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} C_\bullet(X, K_*^{\text{MW}}, j, \mathcal{L}')$$

In particular Rost-Schmid complexes are naturally indexed on the Picard Groupoid  $\underline{\text{Pic}}(X)$ .

**Definition 1.1.3.** The Chow-Witt group of  $i$ -dimensional cycles on  $X$  twisted by the line bundle  $\mathcal{L} \in \underline{\text{Pic}}(X)$  is

$$\widetilde{\text{CH}}_i(X, \mathcal{L}) = H_i(C_\bullet(X, K_*^{\text{MW}}, -i, \mathcal{L})).$$

Similarly we define

$$H_{i,j}(X, K_*^{\text{MW}}, \mathcal{L}) = H_i(C_\bullet(X, K_*^{\text{MW}}, -j, \mathcal{L})).$$

1.1.4. In fact, to be even more canonical and for having a natural comparison with other motivic theories, one should really consider twists by points  $v$  of the K-theory space  $K(X)$ , defining

$$C_\bullet(X, K_*^{\text{MW}}, j, \langle v \rangle) := C_\bullet(X, K_*^{\text{MW}}, j + \text{rk}(v), \det(v))[\text{rk}(v)].$$

We consider this as a chain complex concentrated in homological degrees  $[d + \text{rk}(v), \text{rk}(v)]$ . Here  $\text{rk} : K(X) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathbb{Z})$  and  $\det : K(X) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Pic}}(X)$  are the natural extensions of the rank and determinant maps. It is thus clear that the grading factors through the graded determinant map

$$D(-) = (\det(-), \text{rk}(-)) : K(X) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Pic}}(X) \times H^0(X, \mathbb{Z}).$$

**Definition 1.1.5.** The Chow-Witt group of  $i$ -dimensional cycles on  $X$  twisted by the K-theory point  $v \in K(X)$  is

$$\widetilde{\text{CH}}_i(X, \langle v \rangle) := H_i(C_\bullet(X, K_*^{\text{MW}}, -i, \langle v \rangle)) = H_i(C_\bullet(X, K_*^{\text{MW}}, -i + \text{rk}(v), \det v)[\text{rk}(v)]).$$

Similarly

$$H_{i,j}(X, K_*^{\text{MW}}, \langle v \rangle) = H_i(C_\bullet(X, K_*^{\text{MW}}, -j, \langle v \rangle)) = H_i(C_\bullet(X, K_*^{\text{MW}}, -j + \text{rk}(v), \det v)[\text{rk}(v)]).$$

1.1.6. Of course we have isomorphisms

$$\widetilde{\text{CH}}_i(X, \langle v \rangle) \simeq \widetilde{\text{CH}}_{i-\text{rk}(v)}(X, \det v)$$

and

$$H_{i,j}(X, K_*^{\text{MW}}, \langle v \rangle) \simeq H_{i-\text{rk}(v), j-\text{rk}(v)}(X, K_*^{\text{MW}}, \det v).$$

However, we need to be careful with signs, in particular when dealing with the switch isomorphism  $V + W \simeq W + V$ . This isomorphism is responsible for the appearance of certain signs when one compares the products  $x \cdot y$  and  $y \cdot x$ . Along this work these signs will be irrelevant to the formulas involving both the additive and the multiplicative structure of the cycle groups we consider. For this reason we have decided to work only with twists by line bundles when performing explicit computations.

1.1.7. Milnor-Witt K-theory is a particular example of Milnor-Witt cycle module in the sense of [Fel20] (cf. Theorem 3.13 of [Fel20] for a proof). Given any Milnor-Witt cycle module  $K_*$ , Feld assigns to every  $k$ -variety  $X$  and every  $v \in K(X)$  a Milnor-Witt cycle complex (4.2 of [Fel20])

$$C_{\bullet}^F(X, K_*, v)$$

concentrated in homological degrees  $[d, 0]$ . Let us denote by  $\underline{n}$  the trivial virtual vector bundle of rank  $n$ . The complex we have introduced in 1.1.4 compares with Feld's definition as follows:

$$C_{\bullet}^F(X, K_*^{\text{MW}}, \underline{n} + v)[\text{rk}(v)] = C_{\bullet}(X, K_*^{\text{MW}}, n + \text{rk}(v), \det(v))[\text{rk}(v)] = C_{\bullet}(X, K_*^{\text{MW}}, n, \langle v \rangle),$$

and in particular

$$A_{i-\text{rk}(v)}(X, K_*^{\text{MW}}, v - \underline{n}) = H_{i,n}(X, K_*^{\text{MW}}, \langle v \rangle).$$

With a little abuse of notation, we use

$$C_{\bullet}(X, K_*, n, \langle v \rangle) \quad \text{and} \quad H_{i,n}(X, K_*, \langle v \rangle)$$

to denote

$$C_{\bullet}^F(X, K_*, \underline{n} + v)[\text{rk}(v)] \quad \text{and} \quad A_{i-\text{rk}(v)}(X, K_*, v - \underline{n})$$

respectively. This notational shift is justified by the following result. Before stating it we recall that in of [Fel19], the author constructs an equivalence between the category of Milnor-Witt cycle modules and the heart of the motivic stable category  $\mathcal{SH}(k)^{\heartsuit}$ .

**Proposition 1.1.8.** *Let  $X$  be any separated  $k$ -variety of finite type,  $v \in K(X)$  a virtual bundle of rank  $r$ . Moreover let  $K_*$  be any Milnor-Witt cycle module and let  $E \in \mathcal{SH}(k)^{\heartsuit}$  be the corresponding object. Then we have a natural identification*

$$H_{i,n}(X, K_*, \langle -v \rangle) \simeq A_{i+r}(X, K_*, -v - \underline{n}) \simeq E_{i+n,n}^{\text{BM}}(X/k, \langle v \rangle)$$

where the right hand side of the equality denotes the Borel-Moore homology theory associated with the object  $E$ .

*Proof.* We use the niveau spectral sequence on  $X$  converging to the cohomology of the Thom space  $\text{Th}(v)$  represented by the motivic spectrum  $p^!E \in \mathcal{SH}(X)$ , where  $p : X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$  denotes the structure morphism of  $X$  (cf. Definition 3.1.5 of [BD17]). In terms of Borel-Moore homology the spectral sequence reads:

$$E_{p,q}^1 = \bigoplus_{x \in X_{(p)}} \text{colim}_{U \subseteq \overline{\{x\}}} E_{p+q+n,n}^{\text{BM}}(U, \langle v|_U \rangle) \Rightarrow E_{p+q+n,n}^{\text{BM}}(X, \langle v \rangle),$$

with differential  $d_{p,q}^k : E_{p,q}^k \rightarrow E_{p-k,q+k-1}^k$ , and where colimits range over the collection of open subsets of the closure of  $\{x\}$ .

By definition we have

$$E_{p,q}^1 = \bigoplus_{x \in X_{(p)}} \text{colim}_{U \subseteq \overline{\{x\}}} [\Sigma^{p+q+n,n} \text{Th}(v|_U), p_U^!E]_U \Rightarrow [\Sigma^{p+q+n,n} \text{Th}(v), p^!E]_X,$$

where  $P_U : U \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$  denotes the structure map. For every  $x \in X$  the colimits appearing on the page can be restricted to smooth open subschemes  $U$  of the closure of  $x$ . Combining this with the continuity property of cohomology, we canonically identify

$$\text{colim}_{U \subseteq \overline{\{x\}}} [\Sigma^{p+q,n} \text{Th}(v|_U), p_U^!E]_U \simeq [\Sigma^{p+q,n} \text{Th}(v_x), \text{Th}(\Omega_{k(x)/k}) \otimes p_x^*E]_x,$$

where  $p_x : \text{Spec}(k(x)) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$  is the structure morphism, and  $v_x$  denotes the pull-back of  $v$  to  $k(x)$ . In particular, if  $r = \text{rk}(v)$  we obtain

$$[\Sigma^{p+q+n,n} \text{Th}(v|_x), \text{Th}(\Omega_{k(x)/k}) \otimes p_x^*E]_x \simeq \widehat{E}'(x, -v_x - \underline{n}),$$

where  $E' = \Sigma^{-\text{rk}(v)-q}E$  and where  $\widehat{E}'$  denotes the generalized cycle module associated with  $\underline{\pi}_0$  of the spectrum  $E'$ . We have thus, using the notation of [Fel19],

$$E_{p,q}^1 = C_p^F(X, \widehat{E}', -v - \underline{n}),$$

and by Theorem 3.4 of [Fel19] we can identify the differential of the Niveau spectral sequence with the Rost-Schmid differential. (For the sake of completeness, we point out that the content of Section 3.3 of [Fel19] is not literally correct as stated, due to a widespread use of Cohomology throughout the article. However, after replacing every occurrence of Cohomology with Borel-Moore Homology, all

the statements and proofs are correct. The use of Borel Moore homology further allows to drop the smoothness assumption on  $X$ .)

On the other hand we also have that

$$[\Sigma^{p+q+n,n} \mathrm{Th}(v|_x), \mathrm{Th}(\Omega_{k(x)/k} \otimes p_x^* E)]_x \simeq E^{p-q-2r-n, p-r-n}(k(x), \det(\Omega_{k(x)/k} - v_x)),$$

which is the stalk in  $x \in X$  of the sheaf

$$\pi_{q+r}(E, \det(\Omega_{k(x)/k} - v_x))_{p-r-n}.$$

Since  $E \in \mathcal{SH}(k)^\heartsuit$  the niveau spectral sequence collapses at page  $E^2$  yielding a canonical isomorphism

$$E_{i+n,n}^{BM}(X/k, \langle v \rangle) = H_{i+r}(C^F(X, \mathbf{K}_*, -v - \underline{n})).$$

□

1.1.9. When  $p : X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} k$  is a smooth  $k$ -variety, the purity equivalence

$$p^!(-) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Th}(\Omega_{X/k}) \otimes p^*(-)$$

induces an isomorphism in any motivic cohomology theory  $E$

$$E_{i+j,j}^{BM}(X/K, \langle \Omega_{X/k} - v \rangle) \simeq E^{-i-j, -j}(X, v) :$$

this motivates the following Definition.

**Definition 1.1.10.** Let  $X$  be a smooth  $k$ -variety of pure dimension  $d$ , and  $\mathbf{K}_*$  a Milnor-Witt cycle module. For every  $\mathcal{L} \in \underline{\mathrm{Pic}}(X)$  and  $v \in K(X)$  we define

$$C^\bullet(X, \mathbf{K}_*^{\mathrm{MW}}, j, \mathcal{L}) := C_{d-\bullet}(X, \mathbf{K}_*^{\mathrm{MW}}, j-d, \omega_X^\vee \otimes \mathcal{L})$$

regarded as a cochain complex concentrated in cohomological degrees  $[0, d]$ , and

$$H^{i,j}(X, \mathbf{K}_*^{\mathrm{MW}}, \mathcal{L}) := H^i(C^\bullet(X, \mathbf{K}_*^{\mathrm{MW}}, j, \mathcal{L})).$$

Similarly, we define

$$C^\bullet(X, \mathbf{K}_*, j, \langle v \rangle) = C_{-\bullet}(X, \mathbf{K}_*, j, \langle -\Omega_X + v \rangle)$$

regarded as a cochain complex concentrated in cohomological degrees  $[-r, d-r]$ , and consequently

$$H^{i,j}(X, \mathbf{K}_*, \langle v \rangle) := H^i(C^\bullet(X, \mathbf{K}_*, j, \langle v \rangle)).$$

Finally when  $\mathbf{K}_* = \mathbf{K}_*^{\mathrm{MW}}$  we define cohomological Chow-Witt groups as

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{CH}}^i(X, \langle v \rangle) := H^{i,i}(X, \mathbf{K}_*^{\mathrm{MW}}, \langle v \rangle).$$

1.1.11. With the above notation, if  $E$  denotes the spectrum corresponding to  $\mathbf{K}_*$ , we have

$$H^{i,j}(X, \mathbf{K}_*, \langle v \rangle) = H_{-i,-j}(X, \mathbf{K}_*, \langle -\Omega_X + v \rangle) \simeq E^{i+j,j}(X, \langle v \rangle).$$

After Theorem 7.3 of [Fel19] a path  $v \sim \underline{r}$  on the space  $K(X)$  gives an isomorphism

$$E^{i+j,j}(X, \mathbf{K}_*, \langle v \rangle) \simeq E^{i+j+2r, j+r}(X) \simeq H_{\mathrm{Zar}}^{i+r}(X, \mathbf{K}_{j+r})$$

where the right hand side term denotes the Zariski cohomology of the unramified sheaf  $\mathbf{K}_{j+r}$  associated with the presheaf  $H^{0, j+r}(-, \mathbf{K}_*, \langle 0 \rangle)$ .

## 1.2. Equivariant Theory.

1.2.1. Let  $G$  be a smooth affine algebraic group over  $k$ . Recall that given an integer  $c$  we can always find a pair  $(V, U)$  where  $V$  is a representation of  $G$ ,  $U$  is an open subset of the vector bundle  $\mathbb{V}(V) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} k$  associated to  $V$ , and such that  $(V, U)$  satisfies the following properties:

- (1) the complement  $S = \mathbb{V}(V) \setminus U$  has codimension  $> c$  (see [Tot99, Remark 1.4]);
- (2)  $U$  is  $G$ -stable and the induced action of  $G$  on  $U$  is free;
- (3) the quotient  $U/G$  is a variety over  $k$ .

In this setting  $U/G$  is called an *equivariant scheme approximation of  $\mathcal{B}G$  in codimension  $\leq c$* . With a little but common abuse of notation, we will denote with the same name the pair  $(V, U)$ .

This condition essentially means that if  $X$  is a  $k$ -variety, then every cycle  $Z$  on  $X$  with  $\mathrm{codim}_X(Z) \leq c$  pulls back to a cycle on  $X \times \mathbb{V}(V)$  not entirely contained in  $X \times S$ . (The same observation holds for cycles on  $X$  of dimension  $\geq \dim(X) - c > \dim(S) + \dim(X) - \mathrm{rk}(V)$ .)

1.2.2. Let  $X$  be a variety over  $k$  endowed with an action of  $G$ . Suppose that one of the following holds:

- (1) The reduced scheme  $X_{red}$  is quasi-projective and the action of  $G$  is linearized with respect to some quasi-projective embedding.
- (2) The group  $G$  is connected and  $X_{red}$  embeds equivariantly as a closed subscheme in a normal variety.
- (3) The group  $G$  is special.

Then for every scheme approximation  $(V, U)$  of  $\mathcal{B}G$  in codimension  $\leq c$ , the quotient of  $X \times U$  modulo the diagonal action is a scheme ([EG98, Proposition 23]). This quotient is denoted by  $X \times_G U$  and we call it an *equivariant scheme approximation* of  $[X/G]$  in codimension  $\leq c$ .

1.2.3. Let  $X$  be any  $G$ -variety and let  $(V, U)$  be an equivariant scheme approximation of  $\mathcal{B}G$ . Since the action of  $G$  on  $U$  (resp. on  $X \times U$ ) is free, the quotient map  $\pi : U \rightarrow U/G$  (resp.  $\pi : X \times U \rightarrow X \times_G U$ ) is a  $G$ -torsor. In particular, a  $G$ -line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  (resp. a point  $e$  of the  $G$ -equivariant K-theory space) of  $X$  determines via pull-back a line bundle (resp. a point of the K-theory space) on  $X \times_G U$ , which we will keep denoting by  $\mathcal{L}$  (resp.  $e$ ).

There is a naturally defined vector bundle on  $X \times_G U$ , obtained by pulling back the  $G$ -representation  $V$  to  $X \times U$ . The quotient of this pull-back by  $G$  is a vector bundle on  $U \times_G X$ , vector bundle that we keep denoting  $V$ .

As a vector bundle on  $X \times_G U$ , we can naturally identify  $V$  with the restriction of the relative tangent bundle of  $p : [X \times \mathbb{V}(V)/G] \rightarrow [X/G]$ . We associate to  $V$  the elements  $\det(V) \in \underline{\text{Pic}}(X \times_G U)$  and  $V \in K(X \times_G U)$ . The following commutative diagram should help to give a clear picture of this setup:

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathbb{V}(V) & \longrightarrow & * \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ X \times U & \xrightarrow{i'} & X \times \mathbb{V}(V) & \xrightarrow{p'} & X \\ \pi' \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow \pi \\ X \times_G U & \xrightarrow{i} & [X \times \mathbb{V}(V)/G] & \xrightarrow{p} & [X/G] \end{array}$$

**Lemma 1.2.4.** *Let  $X$  be variety over  $k$ , let  $e \in K(X)$ , and let  $Z \subseteq X$  be a closed subscheme of dimension  $< i - 1 - \text{rk}(e)$ . Then for every Milnor-Witt cycle module  $K_*$  the restriction map  $H_{p,j}(X, K_*, \langle e \rangle) \rightarrow H_{p,j}(X \setminus Z, K_*, \langle e \rangle)$  is an isomorphism in all degrees  $p \geq i$  and in all weights  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* It follows directly from the localization sequence (cf. 6.3 of [Fel20]), combined with the fact that the complex  $C_\bullet(Z, K_*, j, \langle e \rangle)$  is concentrated in degrees  $[\dim(Z) + \text{rk}(e), \text{rk}(e)]$  and  $\dim(Z) + \text{rk}(e) < p - 1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.2.5.** *Let  $X$  be a variety over  $k$  and let  $\pi : \mathbb{V}(V) \rightarrow X$  be a rank  $r$  vector bundle on  $X$ . Then  $\pi$  induces an isomorphism*

$$\pi^* : H_{p,j}(X, K_*, \langle e \rangle) \rightarrow H_{p,j}(X, K_*, \langle -\Omega_{\mathbb{V}(V)/X} + e \rangle),$$

for all choices of  $i, j, e$ . Furthermore  $\Omega_{\mathbb{V}(V)/X} \simeq \pi^* V^\vee$ .

*Proof.* The formula follows from Theorem 8.3 of [Fel20]. Alternatively, we can deduce it from the general properties of Borel-Moore homology (cf 2.1.3 of [DJK21]). The second part of the statement is well known.  $\square$

**Definition 1.2.6.** Let  $G$  be a smooth affine algebraic group over  $k$  of dimension  $g$  and let  $X$  be a  $G$ -variety over  $k$  satisfying any of the assumptions of 1.2.2. For every  $\mathcal{L} \in \underline{\text{Pic}}([X/G])$  and every pair of integers  $i$  and  $j$  we set

$$\widetilde{\text{CH}}_i^G(X, \mathcal{L}) := \widetilde{\text{CH}}_{i+l-g}(X \times_G U, \det(V) \otimes \mathcal{L})$$

and similarly

$$H_{i,j}^G(X, K_*^{\text{MW}}, \mathcal{L}) := H_{i+l-g, j+l-g}(X \times_G U, K_*^{\text{MW}}, \det(V) \otimes \mathcal{L}),$$

where  $(V, U)$  is any scheme approximation of  $\mathcal{B}G$  in codimension  $\leq \dim(X) - i + 1$ ; the objects  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\det V$  are those induced on  $X \times_G U$  as described in 1.2.3.

Analogously, for every  $e \in K([X/G])$  and every pair of integers  $i$  and  $j$  we set

$$\widetilde{\text{CH}}_i^G(X, \langle e \rangle) := \widetilde{\text{CH}}_{i-g}(X \times_G U, \langle -V^\vee + e \rangle).$$

More generally, if  $K_*$  is a Milnor-Witt cycle module, we set

$$H_{i,j}^G(X, K_*, \langle e \rangle) := H_{i-g, j-g}(X \times_G U, K_*, \langle -V^\vee + e \rangle),$$

where  $(V, U)$  is any equivariant scheme approximation of  $\mathcal{B}G$  in codimension  $\leq \dim(X) - i + \text{rk}(e) + 1$ , while  $e$  and  $V$  are induced as described in 1.2.3.

1.2.7. As in the case of Chow groups, the Definition above does not depend on any choice. The proof, which we sketch below, is basically the same of [EG98, Proposition 1].

**Proposition 1.2.8.** *Let  $K_*$  be a Milnor-Witt cycle module over  $k$ . The definition of  $H_{i,j}^G(X, K_*, \langle e \rangle)$  (resp.  $H_{i,j}^G(X, K_*^{\text{MW}}, \mathcal{L})$ ) does not depend on  $(V, U)$ , as long as  $(V, U)$  is an equivariant scheme approximation of  $\mathcal{B}G$  in codimension  $\leq \dim(X) - i + \text{rk}(e) + 1$  (resp.  $\leq \dim(X) - i + 1$ ).*

*Proof.* The statement for  $H_{i,j}^G(X, K_*^{\text{MW}}, \mathcal{L})$  follows from the main statement by choosing a rank zero  $e$  with determinant isomorphic to  $\mathcal{L}$ .

The first point consists in proving that, given a representation  $V$ , our definition does not depend on the choice of  $U$ . Assume that  $(V, U)$  and  $(V, U')$  are both approximations in codimension  $\leq \dim(X) - i + \text{rk}(e) + 1$ . Up to further restricting to  $(V, U \cap U')$  we can immediately reduce to the case where  $U' \subseteq U$ .

Let us also denote by  $Z$  and  $Z'$  the complements in  $V$  of  $U$  and  $U'$  respectively. We can apply Lemma 1.2.4 to the decomposition  $X \times_G U' \subseteq X \times_G U \supseteq X \times_G (U \cap Z')$ . By assumption we have

$$\text{rk}(V) - \dim(Z') > \dim(X) - i + \text{rk}(e) + 1$$

which implies that

$$\dim(X \times (U \cap Z')) \leq \dim(X) + \dim(Z') < i - \text{rk}(-V^\vee + e) - 1,$$

which in turn gives

$$\dim(X \times_G (U \cap Z')) < i - g - \text{rk}(-V^\vee + e) - 1.$$

Therefore, using 1.2.4, we can conclude that the restriction along  $U' \subseteq U$  induces an isomorphism on  $H_{p,j}^G(X, K_*, \langle e \rangle)$  for all  $p \geq i$ .

Now we check that Definition 1.2.6 does not depend on the choice of  $V$ . If  $(V, U_V)$  and  $(W, U_W)$  are two approximations in codimension  $\leq \dim(X) - i + 1 + \text{rk}(e)$ , we can consider the approximation given by the open subscheme  $U_{V \oplus W} := \mathbb{V}(V) \times U_W \cap U_V \times \mathbb{V}(W)$  of  $\mathbb{V}(V \oplus W)$ , so that  $(V \oplus W, U_{V \oplus W})$  is an approximation of  $\mathcal{B}G$  in codimension  $\leq \dim(X) - i + 1 + \text{rk}(e)$ .

We then consider the quotient of the  $G$ -equivariant diagram

$$X \times U_V \xleftarrow{q'} X \times U_V \times (W) \xleftarrow{j_V} X \times U_{V \oplus W} \xleftarrow{j_W} X \times \mathbb{V}(V) \times U_W \xrightarrow{p'} X \times U_W$$

by the (free) diagonal action of  $G$  on each term. Here the external maps  $p'$  and  $q'$  are vector bundles induced by  $p : \mathbb{V}(V) \rightarrow *$  and  $q : \mathbb{V}(W) \rightarrow *$  under pull-back, while the internal maps are the tautological open embeddings associated with the definition of  $U_{V \oplus W}$ .

On  $\mathbb{V}(V \oplus W)$  we have natural  $G$ -isomorphisms of locally free sheaves,

$$\Omega_{q'} \oplus q'^* \Omega_p \xleftarrow{\cong} \Omega_{\mathbb{V}(W \oplus V)} \xrightarrow{\tau} \Omega_{\mathbb{V}(V \oplus W)} \xrightarrow{\cong} \Omega_{p'} \oplus p'^* \Omega_q$$

inducing paths

$$(2) \quad -W^\vee - V^\vee + e \sim -(W+V)^\vee + e \sim -(V+W)^\vee + e \sim -V^\vee - W^\vee + e$$

in  $K(X \times_G U_{V \oplus W})$ .

Now by 1.2.5 and 1.2.4 pulling back along  $q' \circ j_V$  induces an isomorphism

$$H_{i-g, j-g}(X \times_G U_V, K_*, \langle -V^\vee + e \rangle) \longrightarrow H_{i-g, j-g}(X \times_G U_{V \oplus W}, K_*, \langle -W^\vee - V^\vee + e \rangle).$$

Combining with the isomorphism induced by (2) and the inverse of the pull-back map along  $p' \circ j_W$  concludes the argument.  $\square$

1.2.9. Let  $\Omega_{\mathcal{B}G/k}$  denote the cotangent complex of  $\mathcal{B}G$ . We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\text{CH}}^i(\mathcal{B}G, \langle v \rangle) &= \widetilde{\text{CH}}^i(U/G, \langle v \rangle) = \widetilde{\text{CH}}_{-i+l-g}(U/G, \langle -\Omega_{(U/G)/k} + v \rangle) = \\ &= \widetilde{\text{CH}}_{-i+l-g}(U/G, \langle -\Omega_{(U/G)/\mathcal{B}G} - \Omega_{\mathcal{B}G/k} + v \rangle) = \widetilde{\text{CH}}_{-i}(\mathcal{B}G, \langle -\Omega_{\mathcal{B}G/k} + v \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the usual duality between Borel-Moore homology and cohomology is extended to  $\mathcal{B}G$  and actually to smooth quotient stacks.

**Proposition 1.2.10.** *Let  $G, H$  be smooth affine algebraic groups over  $k$ , and let  $X$  and  $Y$  be respectively a  $G$ -variety and an  $H$ -variety satisfying any of the assumptions 1.2.2. Assume that there is an equivalence of stacks  $\phi: [X/G] \rightarrow [Y/H]$ . Then the induced map  $\phi_*$  on homology is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Similar to its analogue in Chow theory: cf. for instance Proposition 16 of [EG98].  $\square$

1.2.11. Given a stack  $\mathcal{X} \simeq [X/G]$ , an object  $e \in K(\mathcal{X})$  and integers  $i, j$ , we can define

$$H_{i,j}(\mathcal{X}, K_*, \langle e \rangle) := H_{i,j}^G(X, K_*, \langle e \rangle).$$

By Proposition 1.2.10 this Definition does not depend on the presentation of  $\mathcal{X}$  as a quotient. In particular, in the case  $K_* = K_*^{\text{MW}}$ , for any line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $\mathcal{X}$ , we can define

$$H_{i,j}(\mathcal{X}, K_*^{\text{MW}}, \mathcal{L}) := H_{i,j}^G(X, K_*^{\text{MW}}, \mathcal{L}).$$

Further specializing to the case  $i = j$ , we come to a definition of Chow-Witt groups for quotient stacks:

$$\widetilde{\text{CH}}_i(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) := \widetilde{\text{CH}}_i^G(X, \mathcal{L}).$$

For  $\mathcal{X}$  smooth, we also obtain a definition of cohomological Chow-Witt groups

$$\widetilde{\text{CH}}^i(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) := \widetilde{\text{CH}}_G^i(X, \mathcal{L}).$$

If  $\langle v \rangle$  is an object in  $K(\mathcal{X})$  of rank zero and determinant equal to  $\mathcal{L}$ , we can compare the homological and the cohomological Chow-Witt groups as follows:

$$\widetilde{\text{CH}}^i(\mathcal{X}, \langle v \rangle) \simeq \widetilde{\text{CH}}_i(\mathcal{X}, \langle -\Omega_{\mathcal{X}} + v \rangle).$$

For  $\mathcal{X}$  a scheme, the object we define coincide with the classic ones. Finally, the extension to quotient stacks and schematic morphisms of the properties of Chow-Witt group sketched in Subsection A.4 are completely formal (as in [EG98]), in the sense that they all consist in applying the arguments used for schemes to equivariant scheme approximations of  $\mathcal{X}$ .

## 2. SOME USEFUL FACTS ON CHOW-WITT GROUPS

We collect some basic results on Chow-Witt groups and related theories that we will use in the next sections. For the most basic properties of Chow-Witt groups, we direct the reader to the brief account contained in A.4 or to the more detailed treatment [Fas20, Section 2]

**2.1. Some generalized Milnor-Witt cycle modules.** Let us recall the following Milnor-Witt cycle modules together with some relevant maps:

Symbol	Description
$K_*^{\text{M}}$	Milnor K-theory;
$k_*^{\text{M}} = K_*^{\text{M}}/2$	mod $-2$ Milnor K-theory;
$I^*$	powers of the fundamental ideal;
$I^*/I^{*+1}$	the obvious quotient;
$K_*^{\text{MW}}$	Milnor-Witt K-theory;
$K_*^{\text{MW}} \rightarrow K_*^{\text{M}}$	sending the symbol $[u] \mapsto \{u\}$ for every unit $u$ ;
$K_*^{\text{MW}} \rightarrow I^*$	induced by $[u] \mapsto \langle u \rangle - 1$ ;
$I^* \rightarrow I^*/I^{*+1}$	being the canonical projection;
$K_*^{\text{M}} \xrightarrow{p} k_*^{\text{M}}$	being the canonical projection;
$I^* \xrightarrow{q} k_*^{\text{M}}$	sending $\langle \langle a_1 \rangle \rangle \cdots \langle \langle a_n \rangle \rangle \mapsto \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ ; the solution (cf. [OVV07]) of the Milnor conjecture ensures that $q$ induces an isomorphism $I^*/I^{*+1} \simeq k_*^{\text{M}}$ .

2.1.1. In Theorem 5.3 of [Mor04] Morel proves that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_*^{\text{MW}} & \longrightarrow & K_*^{\text{M}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ \Gamma^* & \xrightarrow{q} & k_*^{\text{M}} \end{array}$$

constructed using the maps of 2.1 is cartesian. Observe that all the arrows in this square are surjective. In particular there is an induced homotopy cartesian square of the associated cycle complexes. A bit of diagram chasing, together with the functoriality of cycle complexes (or of Borel-Moore homology), easily gives the following result.

**Proposition 2.1.2.** *Let  $X$  be either a scheme or a quotient stack. The square (2.1.1) induces a canonical exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow K_i(X, \mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\text{CH}}_i(X, \mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow P_i(X, \mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $P^i(X, \mathcal{L})$  is the pull-back of

$$H_i(X, \Gamma^{-i}, \mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow \text{CH}_i(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \longleftarrow \text{CH}_i(X),$$

and

$$K_i(X, \mathcal{L}) \simeq \text{CH}_i(X)[2] / \partial H_{i+1}(X, \Gamma^{-i}, \mathcal{L}) \cap \text{CH}_i(X)[2].$$

The boundary morphism  $\partial$  is the one induced by the short exact sequence of Milnor-Witt cycle modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \Gamma^i \longrightarrow K_i^{\text{MW}} \longrightarrow K_i^{\text{M}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Alternatively we can canonically describe the term  $K_i(X, \mathcal{L})$  as

$$\text{Ker}_i(X, \mathcal{L}) \simeq \text{coker} \left( H_{i+1}(X, \Gamma^{-i}, \mathcal{L}) \oplus H_{i+1}(X, K_{-i}^{\text{M}}) \xrightarrow{p+q} H_{i+1}(X, k_{-i}^{\text{M}}) \right).$$

In particular, when the group  $K_i(X, \mathcal{L})$  is zero, the Chow-Witt group  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}_i(X, \mathcal{L})$  can be regarded as the subgroup of the product

$$\text{CH}_i(X) \times H_{i,i}(X, \Gamma^*, \mathcal{L}),$$

formed by those pairs whose entries have the same image in  $\text{CH}_i(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2$ . This description can be quite useful: for instance, most of the computations in [HW19] are based on this fact.

## 2.2. On Chow-Witt groups of complements of zero sections.

2.2.1. Recall from [Fas08, Section 13] that when  $X$  is an algebraic variety and  $\mathcal{E}$  is a rank  $r$  vector bundle on  $X$ , there is an operation of capping with Euler class of  $\mathcal{E}$  denoted by

$$e(\mathcal{E}) \cap - : H_{i,j}(X, K_*^{\text{MW}}, \mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow H_{i-r,j-r}(X, K_*^{\text{MW}}, \mathcal{L} \otimes \det(\mathcal{E}^\vee))$$

and defined by composing the push-forward along the zero section  $s_0 : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E})$  with the inverse of the pull-back along the projection  $\pi : \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow X$ . When  $X$  is smooth,  $e(\mathcal{E}) \cap 1 \in \widetilde{\text{CH}}^r(X, \det(\mathcal{E}^\vee))$  and  $e(\mathcal{E}) \cap -$  amounts to taking the intersection product with the class  $e(\mathcal{E})$ .

If  $K_*$  is any generalized cycle module, there is a similarly defined operation  $e^K(\mathcal{E}) \cap -$  in  $K_*$ -homology; on a smooth variety  $e^K(\mathcal{E}) \cap -$  coincides with acting by  $e(\mathcal{E})$  via the  $K_*^{\text{MW}}$ -module structure of  $K_*$ . Even better, if  $K_*$  is a commutative monoid in Milnor-Witt cycle modules, as it happens for all the examples of 2.1, the image of the  $K_*^{\text{MW}}$ -theoretic Euler class defines a  $K_*$ -theoretic Euler class. For instance, in the case  $K_* \in \{K_*^{\text{M}}, k_*^{\text{M}}\}$ , the  $K_*$ -theoretic Euler class is the usual top Chern class.

2.2.2. Assume now that  $\mathcal{E}$  is a vector bundle of rank  $r$  on a smooth  $k$ -variety  $X$ , and that  $K_*$  is a  $K_*^{\text{MW}}$ -algebra. Then the localization sequence associated to the decomposition  $X \xrightarrow{s_0} \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}) \xleftarrow{j} \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}) \setminus s_0(X)$  is identified with

$$\dots \longrightarrow H^{i-r,j-r}(X, \mathcal{L} \otimes \det(\mathcal{E}), K_*) \xrightarrow{e(\mathcal{E})} H^{i,j}(X, \mathcal{L}, K_*) \xrightarrow{(j \circ p)^*} H^{i,j}(\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}) \setminus s_0(X), \mathcal{L}, K_*) \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots$$

The map  $j^*$  is a ring map and its kernel is the principal ideal generated by  $e(\mathcal{E})$ . In particular we have a canonical extension

$$0 \longrightarrow H^{*1,*2}(X, \bullet, K_*) / (e(\mathcal{E})) \xrightarrow{(j \circ p)^*} H^{*1,*2}(\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}) \setminus s_0(X), \bullet, K_*) \xrightarrow{\partial} \ker(e(\mathcal{E}) \cdot) \longrightarrow 0.$$

If we take  $K_* = K_*^{\text{MW}}$ , the sequence above reads as

$$\cdots \longrightarrow H^{i-r}(X, \mathcal{L} \otimes \det(\mathcal{E}), K_{j-r}^{\text{MW}}) \xrightarrow{e(\mathcal{E})} H^i(X, \mathcal{L}, K_j^{\text{MW}}) \xrightarrow{(j \circ p)^*} H^i(\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}) \setminus s_0(X), \mathcal{L}, K_j^{\text{MW}}) \xrightarrow{\partial} \cdots$$

Finally, if we restrict to the Chow range  $i = j$ , we get

$$\widetilde{\text{CH}}^{i-r}(X, \mathcal{L} \otimes \det(\mathcal{E})) \xrightarrow{e} \widetilde{\text{CH}}^i(X, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{CH}}^i(\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}) \setminus s_0(X), \mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{\partial} H^i(X, \mathcal{L} \otimes \det(\mathcal{E}), K_{i-1}^{\text{MW}}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

This sequence will be frequently used in the remainder of the paper.

### 2.3. A useful Lemma.

2.3.1. The following result can be used to produce a natural class in the Chow-Witt group of codimension 0 cycles.

**Lemma 2.3.2.** *Let  $X$  be either a smooth scheme or a smooth quotient stack, endowed with a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$ . Let  $s$  be a global section of  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}$  whose vanishing locus  $D \subset X$  is smooth and non-empty. Set  $U := X \setminus D$ . Then:*

(1) *the non-degenerate quadratic form on  $\mathcal{L}_U$  defined by*

$$q : \mathcal{L}|_U \otimes \mathcal{L}|_U \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_U, \quad a \otimes b \longmapsto (a \otimes b)/s$$

*determines an element  $q_{\text{gen}}$  in  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^0(X \setminus D)$ .*

(2) *We have  $h(q_{\text{gen}} - 1) = 0$  and  $\partial(q_{\text{gen}} - 1) = \eta \otimes \bar{f}^\vee$ , where*

$$\partial : \widetilde{\text{CH}}^0(X \setminus D) \longrightarrow H^0(D, K_{-1}^{\text{MW}}, \mathcal{O}_D(D))$$

*is the boundary map induced by the closed embedding  $D \hookrightarrow X$ , and  $f$  is a local equation of  $D$ .*

*Proof.* It is enough to prove the Lemma in the case of schemes, as the quotient stack case easily follows from this one by using the equivariant approximation.

Pick an affine open subscheme  $V \subset X$  whose intersection with  $D$  is non-empty and such that there exists a trivialization

$$\mathcal{O}_V \simeq \mathcal{L}_V, \quad 1 \longmapsto t.$$

Then by construction we have  $s = ft^{\otimes 2}$ , where  $f$  is an equation for  $D \cap V$ . Moreover, after trivializing  $\mathcal{L}_V$  in this way, the quadratic form  $q$  that we defined is given by

$$\mathcal{O}_{U \cap V} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{U \cap V} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U \cap V}, \quad g \otimes g' \longmapsto \frac{gg'}{f}.$$

The quadratic form  $\langle f^{-1} \rangle$ , regarded as an element of  $\text{GW}(k(X)) \simeq K_0^{\text{MW}}(k(X))$ , has residue 0 along all the codimension 1 points of  $X \setminus D$ . In other terms,  $\langle f^{-1} \rangle$  determines an element of  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^0(X \setminus D)$ .

Observe that this element does not depend on the choice of an affine open subscheme  $V$  and of a trivialization  $\mathcal{O}_V \simeq \mathcal{L}_V$ : indeed, if we picked a different subscheme and a different trivialization, the resulting quadratic form would differ from the previous one by a square and hence it would determine the same element in  $\text{GW}(k(X))$ .

We have  $h(q_{\text{gen}} - 1) = h \cdot \eta[f^{-1}] = 0$ . The image of  $q_{\text{gen}} - 1 = \eta[f^{-1}]$  along the boundary morphism

$$\widetilde{\text{CH}}^0(X \setminus D) \longrightarrow H^0(D, K_{-1}^{\text{MW}}, \mathcal{O}_D(D))$$

is equal by construction to the residue of this element at the point  $\text{Spec } k(D)$  of codimension 1 ([Fas20, Subsection 2.2]). Observe that  $f$  is a local parameter for the valuation  $\nu : k(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$  induced by the Cartier divisor  $D \subset X$ . Applying the formula for residues ([Fas20, Subsection 2.1]) we obtain

$$\partial_\nu(\eta[f^{-1}]) = \partial_\nu^f(\eta[f^{-1}]) \otimes \bar{f}^\vee = \eta \cdot \partial_\nu[f^{-1}] \otimes \bar{f}^\vee = \eta \otimes \bar{f}^\vee.$$

□

*Remark 2.3.3.* Under the isomorphisms

$$H^0(D, K_{-1}^{\text{MW}}, \mathcal{O}_D(D)) \longrightarrow H^0(D, W, \mathcal{O}_D(D)) \xrightarrow{\phi_l} H^0(D, W, \mathcal{O})$$

the element  $\eta \otimes \bar{f}^\vee$  is mapped first to  $1 \otimes \bar{f}^\vee$  and then to  $\langle \lambda_l \rangle \otimes 1$ . Here  $l$  is a generic section of  $\mathcal{L}|_D$ , and  $\phi_l$  is the isomorphism induced by  $l$  and the identification  $\mathcal{O}_D(D) \simeq \mathcal{L}|_D$ .

### 3. GEOMETRIC PRELIMINARIES

In this Section, we first recall some basic facts on the geometry of  $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$  and  $\mathcal{B}\mu_n$ , and in particular how to present  $\mathcal{B}\mu_n$  as a  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -quotient stack. Next, we recall some basic facts on the stack  $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$  of elliptic curves and on its compactification  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$  by means of stable curves.

#### 3.1. Classifying stacks of $\mathbb{G}_m$ and $\mu_{2n}$ .

3.1.1. Let  $\mathbb{G}_m$  be the multiplicative group and let  $\mu_n$  be the subgroup of  $n$ -th roots of unity. Along this paper we will deal only with actions of these two groups. We denote by  $V_n$  the rank one representation of  $\mathbb{G}_m$  of weight  $n$ , i.e. the representation on which  $\mathbb{G}_m$  acts by  $\lambda \cdot v := \lambda^n v$ . We will then denote by  $\mathbb{V}_n$  the associated  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -equivariant vector bundle over  $\text{Spec}(k)$ . Similarly we set  $V_{m,n} := V_m \oplus V_n$ , and  $\mathbb{V}_{m,n}$  will denote the associated  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -vector bundle.

The diagonal action of  $\mathbb{G}_m$  on  $\mathbb{V}_1^n$  has the origin as the only fixed point. Set  $U_n := \mathbb{V}_1^n \setminus \{0\} \subset \mathbb{V}_1^n$ : then the quotient  $U_n/\mathbb{G}_m \simeq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  is an equivariant scheme approximation of  $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$  in codimension  $\leq n-1$ . It can thus be used to approximate Chow-witt classes of codimension  $p \leq n-2$  on  $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$ , and actually on any quotient  $[X/\mathbb{G}_m]$  of a  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -variety  $X$  satisfying 1.2.2. More precisely, given a  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -equivariant diagram  $X_\bullet$  of  $k$ -varieties satisfying 1.2.2, the diagram  $U_n \times_{\mathbb{G}_m} X_\bullet$  is an approximation of the corresponding diagram of stacks  $[X_\bullet/\mathbb{G}_m]$ : it can be thus used to perform any sensible operations on cycle groups that only involves cycles of codimension smaller than or equal to  $n-2$ .

3.1.2. We denote by  $\mathcal{U}$  the universal line bundle on  $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$ : its total space is  $[\mathbb{V}_{-1}/\mathbb{G}_m]$  and  $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(\mathcal{O}(-1))$  is an equivariant scheme approximations in codimension  $\leq N$ .

3.1.3. Let us denote the quotients  $[\mathbb{V}_n/\mathbb{G}_m]$  (resp.  $[\mathbb{V}_{m,n}/\mathbb{G}_m]$ ) by  $\mathcal{V}_n$  (resp.  $\mathcal{V}_{m,n}$ ): these are rank-one (resp. rank-two) vector bundles on  $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$ . The substack  $[\mathbb{V}_n \setminus 0/\mathbb{G}_m] \subseteq [\mathbb{V}_n/\mathbb{G}_m]$  is naturally identified with the complement of the zero-section of  $\mathcal{V}_n$ , and is actually a  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -torsor over  $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$ : its functor of points on  $\text{Sch}_{\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m}^{\text{op}}$  associates to a map  $S \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$ , i.e. to a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $S$ , the set of isomorphisms  $\mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$ . We have thus a canonical equivalence

$$\phi_n : [\mathbb{V}_{-n} \setminus 0/\mathbb{G}_m] \simeq \mathcal{V}_{-n} \setminus s_0 \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{B}\mu_n$$

for every  $n \geq 1$ .

In terms of equivariant scheme approximations, the quotients  $\mathcal{V}_n$  and  $\mathcal{V}_n \setminus s_0$  can be respectively approximated by the total space of the line bundle  $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(\mathcal{O}(n))$  over  $\mathbb{P}^N$  and by its open subscheme  $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(\mathcal{O}(n)) \setminus s_0$ . The line bundle obtained by pulling back  $\mathcal{U}$  along the canonical projection  $p_n : \mathcal{V}_n \setminus s_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$  comes with a canonical trivialization of its  $n$ -th tensor power. We denote this pair of line bundle and trivialization with  $(p^*\mathcal{U}, \sigma : \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\simeq} p^*\mathcal{U}^{\otimes n})$ . It is clear that this pair is identified via  $\phi_n$  with the universal object over  $\mathcal{B}\mu_n$ .

#### 3.2. Moduli of elliptic curves.

3.2.1. We denote by  $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$  be the stack of elliptic curves, parametrizing 1-marked smooth relative curves with geometrically integral fibers of genus one. Let  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$  be the stack of stable 1-marked curves of genus one, which is a modular compactification of  $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$ . Recall that a stable relative curve  $C \rightarrow S$  is a flat and proper morphism whose geometric fibres have at most nodal singularities and whose automorphism group is finite.

Both these stacks admit presentations as quotient stacks, as noted in [EG98] after Proposition 21.

**Proposition 3.2.2.** *Suppose that the base field  $k$  has characteristic  $\neq 2, 3$ . Then we have:*

- (1)  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1} \simeq [\mathbb{V}_{-4,-6} \setminus \{0\}/\mathbb{G}_m]$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{M}_{1,1} \simeq [\mathbb{V}_{-4,-6} \setminus \Delta/\mathbb{G}_m]$ , where  $\Delta$  is the closed subscheme of equation  $4a^3 + 27b^2 = 0$ .

3.2.3. In other words  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$  is an open substack of  $\mathcal{V}_{-4,-6}$ , whose complement is the image of the zero section  $s_0 : \mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{-4,-6}$ .

3.2.4. The universal curve on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$  has a relative dualizing line bundle, and its pull-back along the universal section gives a line bundle on  $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$  called the Hodge line bundle, which in this paper we denote  $\mathcal{E}$ .

The Hodge line bundle determines a map  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$  which coincides with the morphism  $[\mathbb{V}_{-4,-6} \setminus \{0\}/\mathbb{G}_m] \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$  induced by the  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -equivariant projection on the point. In particular, the pull-back of the universal line bundle  $\mathcal{U}$  from  $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$  to  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$  coincides with the Hodge line bundle.

3.2.5. Similarly, the stack  $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$  can be seen as an open substack of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$ , whose complement is the quotient stack  $[\Delta \setminus \{0\}/\mathbb{G}_m] \simeq \mathcal{B}\mu_2$ : this isomorphism follows from the fact that the action of  $\mathbb{G}_m$  on  $\Delta \setminus \{0\}$  is transitive, with stabilizer  $\mu_2$ .

Another way to prove that  $[\Delta \setminus \{0\}/\mathbb{G}_m] \simeq \mathcal{B}\mu_2$  is the following: the complement of  $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$  in  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$  is the divisor of non-smooth stable curves. This stack has only one geometric point, corresponding to the unique stable nodal elliptic curve  $(C, p)$  over an algebraically closed field, hence  $[\Delta \setminus \{0\}/\mathbb{G}_m] \simeq \mathcal{B}\text{Aut}(C, p)$ . The nodal elliptic curve  $(C, p)$  can be obtained from a marked  $\mathbb{P}^1$  by gluing two points  $p_0$  and  $p_1$  together: the automorphism group of  $(C, p)$  can then be identified with the group of automorphisms of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  that fix the marking  $p_\infty$  and the degree 2 divisor  $p_0 + p_1$ : the only non-trivial automorphism with this gadget is the involution that switches  $p_0$  and  $p_1$  and fixes  $p_\infty$ . We deduce that  $\text{Aut}(C, P) \simeq \mu_2$  and  $[\Delta \setminus \{0\}/\mathbb{G}_m] \simeq \mathcal{B}\mu_2$ .

Finally, observe that  $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$  can also be seen as an open substack of  $[\mathbb{V}_{-4,-6}/\mathbb{G}_m] \simeq \mathcal{V}_{-4,-6}$ , whose complement is the (singular) quotient stack  $\mathcal{C} := [\Delta/\mathbb{G}_m]$ .

The Chow rings of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$  have been computed in [EG98, Proposition 21].

**Proposition 3.2.6.** *Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic  $\neq 2, 3$ . Then*

- (1)  $\text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}[\text{T}]/(24\text{T}^2)$ ,
- (2)  $\text{CH}^*(\mathcal{M}_{1,1}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}[\text{T}]/(12\text{T})$ ,

where  $\text{T}$  corresponds to the Euler class of the dual of the Hodge line bundle.

The strategy we will be using in Section 5 for computing Chow-Witt rings of these stacks recovers the above result in characteristic different from 2 and 3.

#### 4. THE CHOW-WITT RINGS OF THE CLASSIFYING STACKS OF $\mathbb{G}_m$ AND $\mu_{2n}$

In this Section, we first recall a presentation of the Chow-Witt ring of  $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$  (Proposition 4.1.5). We leverage this result to compute the Chow-Witt ring of  $\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}$ : we begin by determining the additive structure (Proposition 4.2.3) and afterward we focus on the multiplicative structure (Theorem 4.4.2). The knowledge of the multiplicative structure of  $\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}$  will be key for determining the multiplicative structure of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$  in the next Section.

##### 4.1. The Chow-Witt ring of $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$ .

4.1.1. In what follows, we set

$$\text{T} := e(\mathcal{U}^\vee) \in \widetilde{\text{CH}}^1(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m, \mathcal{U})$$

the Euler class of the dual of the universal line bundle  $\mathcal{U}^\vee$  over  $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$ .

To start, we recall the structure of the  $I^*$ -cohomology of  $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$ . The description of the groups  $H^{i,j}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m, I^*, \bullet)$  follows directly from the computation of  $H^{i,j}(\mathbb{P}^n, I^*, \bullet)$  contained in [Fas13, Section 11], because  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is an equivariant scheme approximation of  $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$ . The description of the  $W(k)$ -algebra  $H^*(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m, I^*, \bullet)$  can be found in [Wen18, Proposition 4.3].

**Proposition 4.1.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the universal line bundle over  $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$ . We have:*

$$H^{i,j}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m, I^*, \mathcal{O}) \simeq \begin{cases} I^j(k) & \text{if } i = 0 \\ k_{j-i}^M(k) & \text{if } i \geq 2 \text{ even} \\ 0 & \text{if } i \text{ odd} \end{cases} \quad H^{i,j}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m, I^*, \mathcal{U}) \simeq \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \text{ even} \\ k_{j-i}^M(k) & \text{if } i \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

Moreover we have an isomorphism of  $W(k)$ -algebras

$$H^{*,*}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m, I^*, \bullet) \simeq W(k)[\text{T}]/I \cdot \text{T}$$

induced by mapping  $\text{T} \mapsto e(\mathcal{U}^\vee)$ .

Actually, all the cohomology groups of  $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$  with coefficients in  $K_*^{\text{MW}}$  have been fully described in [Fas13, Theorem 11.7].

**Proposition 4.1.3.** *We have*

$$H^{i,j}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m, K_*^{\text{MW}}, \mathcal{O}) \simeq \begin{cases} K_j^{\text{MW}}(k) & \text{if } i = 0 \\ K_{j-i}^{\text{M}}(k) & \text{if } i \geq 2 \text{ is even} \\ 2K_{j-i}^{\text{M}}(k) & \text{if } i \text{ is odd} \end{cases}, \quad H^{i,j}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m, K_*^{\text{MW}}, \mathcal{U}) \simeq \begin{cases} 2K_{j-i}^{\text{M}}(k) & \text{for } i \text{ even} \\ K_{j-i}^{\text{M}}(k) & \text{for } i \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

4.1.4. We denote by

$$H \in \widetilde{\text{CH}}^0(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m, \mathcal{U}) \simeq P^0(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m, \mathcal{U}) \simeq H^{0,0}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m, I^*, \mathcal{U}) \times \text{CH}^0(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m).$$

that corresponds to the pair  $(0, 2)$ . In other terms  $H$  is a sort of hyperbolic form but with twisted coefficients in  $\mathcal{U}$ . This can be represented by the symbol  $h \otimes s \in K_0^{\text{MW}}(k(x_1, \dots, x_n), \mathcal{U})$  where  $s$  is any generic generator of  $\mathcal{U}$ , and  $h$  represents the Grothendieck-Witt class of the hyperbolic form.

The classes  $T$  and  $H$  are particularly important for our purposes. Our work is fully formulated in terms of  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -equivariant geometry, and thus the Chow-Witt rings we are interested in are best understood as  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^*(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m, \bullet)$ -algebras. In particular they all contain the naturally defined pull-backs of the classes  $T$  and  $H$ .

**Proposition 4.1.5.** *We have an isomorphism of  $\text{GW}(k)$ -algebras*

$$\widetilde{\text{CH}}^*(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m, \bullet) \simeq \text{GW}(k)[T, H]/(I \cdot T, I \cdot H, H^2 - 2h)$$

given by the mapping  $t$  to  $e(\mathcal{U}^\vee)$  and  $H$  to the class introduced in 4.1.4.

*Proof.* This is an easy consequence of [Wen18, Theorem 1.1] in the case  $n = 1$ .  $\square$

4.1.6. In Chow-Witt theory, as much as in  $I^*$ - and  $W$ -theory, Euler classes of the tensor product of line bundles  $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}$  in general is not equal the sum of the Euler classes of  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$ . This is a feature of non complex-oriented theories.

For instance, the usual formula  $e(\mathcal{U}^{\otimes -2}) = 2T$  cannot hold in this setting, because the element on the left belongs to  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^1(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m, \mathcal{U}^{\otimes 2})$  whereas the element on the right is in  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^1(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m, \mathcal{U})$ . However on  $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$  it is easy to write an explicit formula in terms of the multiplicative structure described in Proposition 4.1.5:

$$e(\mathcal{U}^{\otimes (-2n)}) = nTH, \quad e(\mathcal{U}^{\otimes (-2n-1)}) = (2n+1)T.$$

Observe also that from the relations in Proposition 4.1.5 we have  $T^i H^{2j} = 2^j h^j T^i$ . Actually, for  $i > 0$  the right hand side of this equality can be further simplified into  $4^j T^i$ . We will frequently take advantage of these formulas in our computations.

**4.2. The Chow-Witt groups of  $\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}$ .** For the remainder of the section, we assume that the base field  $k$  has characteristic coprime with  $2n$ .

As we have seen in 3.1.3 the stack  $\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}$  is naturally identified with the complement of the zero section of the line bundle  $p : \mathcal{V}_{-2n} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$ . Observe that the line bundle  $\mathcal{V}_{-2n}$  is the total space of the invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{U}^{\otimes 2n}$ , hence the pull-back of  $\mathcal{U}^{\otimes 2n}$  to  $\mathcal{V}_{-2n}$  comes equipped with a canonical section  $\sigma$ . The open substack  $\mathcal{V}_{-2n} \setminus s_0$  can be regarded both as the complement of the zero section  $s_0 : \mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{-2n}$  and as the non-vanishing locus of  $\sigma$ . In other terms, the section  $\sigma$  trivializes the pull-back of  $\mathcal{U}^{\otimes 2n}$  over  $\mathcal{V}_{-2n} \setminus \{0\} \simeq \mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}$ .

The localization sequence associated with the decomposition

$$(3) \quad s_0(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m) \subset \mathcal{V}_{-2n} \supset \mathcal{V}_{-2n} \setminus s_0(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m)$$

gives a boundary morphism

$$\partial : \widetilde{\text{CH}}^0(\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m, K_{-1}^{\text{MW}}, \mathcal{U}^{\otimes 2n}) \simeq H^0(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m, W, \mathcal{U}^{\otimes 2n}).$$

We can apply the construction of Lemma 2.3.2, which produces an element  $q_{\text{gen}}$  in  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^0(\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n})$ . The latter corresponds to the restriction over the generic point of the quadratic form

$$q : \mathcal{U}^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{U}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$$

induced by the trivialization of  $\mathcal{U}^{\otimes 2n}$  defined by  $\sigma$ .

**Definition 4.2.1.** We define  $U$  as the element  $q_{\text{gen}} - 1$  in  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^0(\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}, \mathcal{O})$  provided by Lemma 2.3.2.

*Remark 4.2.2.* The element  $U$  is only visible at the level of Chow-Witt groups and is not captured by the usual Chow theory: by this we mean that the image of  $U$  along the map

$$\widetilde{\text{CH}}^0(\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}, \mathcal{O}) \longrightarrow \text{CH}^0(\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n})$$

is zero.

The Picard group of  $\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/2n\mathbb{Z}$ , and a generator for this group is given by the pull-back of  $\mathcal{U}$ , which we will keep indicating with the same symbol. Henceforth, up to isomorphism, the Chow-Witt groups of  $\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}$  are twisted either by  $\mathcal{O}$  or by  $\mathcal{U}$ . The same argument used in [MNW21] for  $\mathcal{B}\mu_2$  can be extended to the following Proposition.

**Proposition 4.2.3.** *Suppose that the base field  $k$  has characteristic co-prime with  $2n$ . Let  $T$  be the Euler class of  $\mathcal{U}^\vee$ , let  $H$  be the class  $(0, 2) \in \widetilde{\text{CH}}^0(\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}, \mathcal{U})$  introduced in 4.1.4, and let  $U$  be the class defined in Definition 4.2.1. Then the following description of  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^*(\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}, \bullet)$  holds:*

Twist	0	1	2	3	$2k$	$2k+1$
$\mathcal{O}$	$\text{GW}(k) \cdot 1 \oplus \text{W}(k) \cdot U$	$\mathbb{Z}/n \cdot \text{HT}$	$\mathbb{Z}/4n \cdot T^2$	$\mathbb{Z}/n \cdot \text{HT}^3$	$\mathbb{Z}/4n \cdot T^{2k}$	$\mathbb{Z}/n \cdot \text{HT}^{2k+1}$
$\mathcal{U}$	$\mathbb{Z} \cdot H$	$\mathbb{Z}/4n \cdot T$	$\mathbb{Z}/n \cdot \text{HT}^2$	$\mathbb{Z}/4n \cdot T^3$	$\mathbb{Z}/n \cdot \text{HT}^{2k}$	$\mathbb{Z}/4n \cdot T^{2k+1}$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that the classes  $T$  and  $H$  are the pull-backs to  $\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}$  of the classes  $T$  and  $H$  on  $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$ . As  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^*(\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}, \bullet)$  has a natural  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^*(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m, \bullet)$ -algebra structure, we will adopt the same notation for  $T$  and  $H$  on both  $\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}$  and  $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$ .

The localization sequence induced by the decomposition (3) of  $\mathcal{V}_{-2n}$ , in light of 2.2.2, gives a long exact sequence

$$\widetilde{\text{CH}}^{i-1}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m, \bullet \otimes \mathcal{U}^{\otimes 2n}) \xrightarrow{e} \widetilde{\text{CH}}^i(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m, \bullet) \xrightarrow{(j \circ p)^*} \widetilde{\text{CH}}^i(\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}, \bullet) \xrightarrow{\partial} H^i(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m, K_{i-1}^{\text{MW}}, \bullet \otimes \mathcal{U}^{\otimes 2n}),$$

where  $e$  denotes the Euler class  $e(\mathcal{U}^{\otimes 2n}) = -n\text{TH}$  (see 4.1.6). By Proposition 4.1.5 and Proposition 4.1.3, for  $i \geq 1$  and  $\bullet \simeq \mathcal{U}^{\otimes i+1}$  (modulo squares) we get

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} \cdot T^{i-1} \xrightarrow{n\text{TH}} \mathbb{Z} \cdot T^i \text{H} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\text{CH}}^i(\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}, \mathcal{U}^{\otimes i+1}) \longrightarrow 0, & \text{if } i \geq 2 \\ \text{GW} \cdot T^{i-1} \xrightarrow{n\text{TH}} \mathbb{Z} \cdot T^i \text{H} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\text{CH}}^i(\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}, \mathcal{U}^{\otimes i+1}) \longrightarrow 0, & \text{if } i = 1. \end{cases}$$

which allows us to conclude that  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^i(\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}, \mathcal{U}^{\otimes i+1}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \cdot T^i \text{H}$ . Depending on the parity of  $i$ , we get the claimed results for either  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^i(\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n})$  or  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^i(\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}, \mathcal{U})$ .

For  $i \geq 1$  and  $\bullet \simeq \mathcal{U}^{\otimes i}$  we have instead exact sequences

$$\mathbb{Z} \cdot T^{i-1} \text{H} \xrightarrow{n\text{TH}} \mathbb{Z} \cdot T^i \longrightarrow \widetilde{\text{CH}}^i(\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}, \mathcal{U}^{\otimes i}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

and thus  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^i(\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}, \mathcal{U}^{\otimes i}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/4n\mathbb{Z} \cdot T^i$ , since  $H^2T = 2hT = 4T$ .

We are left with the case where  $i = 0$ . When  $\bullet \simeq \mathcal{U}$  we have

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cdot H \longrightarrow \widetilde{\text{CH}}^0(\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}, \mathcal{U}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

When instead  $\bullet \simeq \mathcal{O}$  it is easily deduced from the  $W$ -cohomology of  $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$  (see Proposition 4.1.3) that we have an extension

$$(4) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \text{GW}(k) \cdot 1 \longrightarrow \widetilde{\text{CH}}^0(\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}, \mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{\partial} W(k) \longrightarrow 0$$

By Lemma 2.3.2 we know that  $\partial(U)$  is a unit in  $W(k)$ , hence the morphism

$$W(k) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\text{CH}}^0(\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}, \mathcal{O})$$

given by multiplication by  $U$  splits  $\partial$  in the extension above. In particular  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^0(\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}) \simeq \text{GW}(k) \oplus W(k)$ , and this concludes.  $\square$

### 4.3. Multiplicative structure.

4.3.1. The line bundle  $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{O}(-2n)) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  is an equivariant approximation of  $\mathcal{V}_{-2n} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$ , and the complement of the zero section  $s_0$  is an equivariant approximation of  $\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}$ . We set  $Q := \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{O}(-2n)) \setminus s_0$ .

Let  $X_0$  and  $X_1$  be homogeneous coordinates on  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , then we set  $x = X_0/X_1$  and  $y = X_1/X_0$ . The rational function  $x$  is a local coordinate for the open subscheme  $U_1 := \{X_1 \neq 0\}$  and  $y$  is a local coordinate for  $U_0 := \{X_0 \neq 0\}$ .

We chose a trivialization  $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{O}(-2n))|_{U_1} \simeq U_1 \times \mathbb{A}^1$ : we call  $T$  the coordinate for the factor  $\mathbb{A}^1$  appearing in the trivialization. Similarly, we chose  $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{O}(-2n))|_{U_0} \simeq U_0 \times \mathbb{A}^1$ , and we call  $S$  the coordinate for  $\mathbb{A}^1$ . Observe that in  $(U_0 \cap U_1) \times \mathbb{A}^1$  we have  $x = 1/y$  and  $T = y^{2n}S$ . The open subschemes  $U_0 \times (\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\})$  and  $U_1 \times (\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\})$  are affine charts for  $Q$ .

4.4. The map  $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{O}(-1)) \rightarrow \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{O}(-2n))$  given by the  $2n^{\text{th}}$ -power defines an étale cover of  $Q = \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{O}(-2n)) \setminus s_0$  of degree  $2n$ ; this in turn induces a map  $\varphi : Q \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}$ . The following is immediate to verify, using exactly the same arguments of Proposition 4.2.3.

**Lemma 4.4.1.** *The pull-back homomorphisms*

$$\varphi^* : \widetilde{\text{CH}}^0(\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}) \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{CH}}^0(\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{O}(-2n))), \quad \varphi^* : \widetilde{\text{CH}}^1(\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}, \mathcal{U}) \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{CH}}^1(\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{O}(-2n)), \mathcal{O}(-1))$$

are both isomorphisms.

We are ready to prove the main Theorem of this section.

**Theorem 4.4.2.** *Let  $k$  be a field whose characteristic is co-prime with  $2n$ . Then we have an isomorphism of  $\text{GW}(k)$ -algebras*

$$\widetilde{\text{CH}}^*(\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}, \bullet) \simeq \text{GW}(k)[\text{T}, \text{H}, \text{U}]/(I \cdot \text{T}, I \cdot \text{H}, h\text{U}, \text{HU}, n\text{TH}, \text{H}^2 - 2h, \text{U}^2 + 2\text{U}, \text{TU} - 2n\text{T})$$

given by mapping  $\text{T} \mapsto e(\mathcal{U}^\vee)$ ,  $\text{H} \mapsto (0, 2)$ , and  $\text{U}$  to the element introduced in Definition 4.2.1.

*Proof.* From Proposition 4.2.3 we know what are the generators of  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^*(\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}, \bullet)$  as  $\text{GW}(k)$ -algebra: the Euler class of the dual of the universal line bundle  $\mathcal{U}^\vee$  on  $\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}$ , the hyperbolic form  $\text{H}$  and the element  $\text{U}$  introduced in Definition 4.2.1.

The first two generators are pulled back from the Chow-Witt ring of  $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$ , described in Proposition 4.1.5, thus all the relations in this last ring hold true also in the Chow-Witt ring of  $\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}$ . This shows that the only thing left to determine is the product of  $\text{U}$  with itself and the other generators.

First note that by construction  $h \cdot \text{U}$  is zero, while  $n\text{T} \cdot \text{H}$  is the Euler class of  $\mathcal{U}^{\otimes 2n}$ .

From the additive structure we know that  $\text{H} \cdot \text{U} = m\text{H}$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We observed in Remark 4.2.2 that  $\text{U}$  is sent to zero by the map  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^0(\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}) \rightarrow \text{CH}^0(\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ , hence also  $\text{H} \cdot \text{U}$  is sent to zero; on the other hand  $m\text{H}$  is sent to  $2m$ , from which we deduce that  $m = 0$ .

The relation  $\text{U}^2 + 2\text{U} = 0$  can be checked on the equivariant approximation  $Q$  introduced in 4.3.1, from which we adopt the notation from now on. The function field of  $Q$  is  $k(x, T)$  and the pull-back of the element  $\text{U}$ , regarded as an element in  $\text{GW}(k(t, T))$  is  $\langle T^{-1} \rangle - 1$ , hence we have

$$\langle (T^{-1}) - 1 \rangle^2 = \langle T^{-2} \rangle - 2\langle T^{-1} \rangle + 1 = 2(1 - \langle T^{-1} \rangle),$$

from which the sought relation follows.

We are left with the computation of  $\text{T} \cdot \text{U}$ . By Lemma 4.4.1 we can check the relation on the approximation  $Q$ . Hereafter we denote by  $\text{U}$ ,  $\text{H}$ ,  $\text{T}$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  the restriction to  $Q$  of the corresponding elements on  $\mathcal{B}\mu_{2n}$ .

The element  $\text{U}$  in  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^0(Q)$ , regarded as an element of  $\text{GW}(k(Q))$ , is  $\langle T^{-1} \rangle - 1 = \eta[T^{-1}]$ .

Let  $C$  be the Cartier divisor  $\{(U_0 \times (\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}), 1), (U_1 \times (\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}), x)\}$  and let  $D$  be the Cartier divisor  $\{(U_0 \times (\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}), y), (U_1 \times (\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}), 1)\}$ . Observe that the class of both these divisors in  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^1(Q, \mathcal{O}(-1))$  is  $\text{T}$ . Therefore to compute  $\text{UT}$  we can leverage the description contained in [Fas20, Lemma 3.6] for the product of an element with a Cartier divisor, using either  $C$  or  $D$  as model for  $\text{T}$ .

The divisor  $D$  is contained in  $U_0 \times (\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\})$  and its associated ideal is generated by  $y$ . From [Fas20, Lemma 3.6] we obtain:

$$e(\mathcal{O}(D)) \cap \eta[T^{-1}] = d(y)^0([y]\eta[T^{-1}] \otimes y) = (\eta\partial_\nu^y[y, T^{-1}]) \otimes y = \eta[T^{-1}] \otimes \bar{y}^\vee \otimes y$$

In the second equality we used the commutativity of the product with  $\eta$  (which follows from the definition of the boundary, see [Fas20, Theorem 1.7]) and the definition of  $d(y)^0$  as the part of the

differential supported on  $\{y = 0\}$  (see [Fas20, pg. 109]. The third equality is obtained by combining the fact that  $T^{-1}$  is a unity in  $U_0$  together with the very definition of  $\partial_V^y$ .

The symbol produced in this way gives an element in  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^1(Q, \mathcal{O}(-D))$ . This last group is obviously isomorphic to  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^1(Q, \mathcal{O}(-C))$ , and such isomorphism sends  $\eta[T^{-1}] \otimes \bar{y}^\vee \otimes y$  to  $\eta[T^{-1}] \otimes \bar{y}^\vee \otimes 1$ . We conclude that  $\text{UT}$ , as an element in  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^1(Q, \mathcal{O}(-C))$ , is equal to the equivalence class of  $(\eta[T^{-1}] \otimes \bar{y}^\vee \otimes 1) \cdot [D]$ , where the writing  $(\alpha) \cdot [C]$  stands for the element in  $\bigoplus_{x \in Q^{(1)}} \text{GW}(k(x))$  that is  $\alpha$  in  $\text{GW}(k(C))$  and zero otherwise.

Consider now the boundary morphism in the Gersten complex

$$\text{K}_1^{\text{MW}}(k(Q), \mathcal{O}(-C)) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in Q^{(1)}} \text{K}_0^{\text{MW}}(k(x), (\mathfrak{m}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x^2)^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}(-C)_x).$$

We claim that the boundary of  $[x^{2n}T] \otimes x$  is

$$(nh\langle T \rangle \otimes 1) \cdot [C] - \langle -1 \rangle (\eta[T^{-1}] \otimes \bar{y}^\vee \otimes 1) \cdot [D].$$

Observe that in  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^1(Q, \mathcal{O}(-C))$  we have that the first term is equal to  $2nT$ , whereas the second one coincides with  $\text{UT}$ . As the boundary of an element determines a relation in the corresponding Chow-Witt group, this would imply that  $\text{UT} = 2nT$ , as expected.

To prove the claim we only have to apply the rules for the computation of residues ([Fas20, Theorem 1.7]). First observe that the residue of  $[x^{2n}T] \otimes x$  along the points of codimension 1 contained in  $Q \setminus (C \cup D)$  is always zero. Therefore we have

$$\partial([x^{2n}T] \otimes x) = \partial_C([x^{2n}T] \otimes x) \cdot [C] + \partial_D([x^{2n}T] \otimes x) \cdot [D].$$

For the first term we have:

$$\partial_C([x^{2n}T] \otimes x) = \partial_C^x([x^{2n}T]) \otimes \bar{x}^\vee \otimes x = nh\langle T \rangle \otimes 1,$$

because  $C$  is contained in the affine open subset  $U_1 \times (\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\})$  where  $T$  is invertible and  $x$  is a local generator for  $\mathcal{O}(-C)$ . The last equality comes from combining the formula [Fas20, Lemma 1.3.(3)] with the usual rules for the computation of residues ([Fas20, Theorem 1.7]). For computing the second term, first observe that  $D \subset U_0 \times (\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\})$ , and in this affine open subset  $\mathcal{O}(-C)$  is generated by 1. In particular, an element  $\alpha \otimes \ell$  is equal to  $\langle \ell \rangle \alpha \otimes 1$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_D([x^{2n}T] \otimes x) &= \partial_D(\langle x \rangle [x^{2n}T] \otimes 1) = \partial_D^y([x^{2n}T] + \eta[x, x^{2n}T]) \otimes 1 \\ &= \partial_D^y([S]) \otimes 1 + \eta \partial_D^y([y^{-1}, S]) \otimes 1 = -\langle -1 \rangle \eta[S] \otimes \bar{y}^\vee \otimes 1. \end{aligned}$$

We have  $\eta[S] = \langle S \rangle - 1 = \langle x^{2n}T \rangle - 1 = \eta[T]$  because  $x^{2n}$  is obviously a square. For the same reason, we have  $\eta[T] = \eta[T^{-1}]$ , hence the last term above is equal in  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^1(Q, \mathcal{O}(-D))$  to  $-\langle -1 \rangle \cdot \text{UT}$ , as expected. This concludes the proof.  $\square$

## 5. THE CHOW-WITT RINGS OF $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$ AND $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$

In this section we compute the Chow-Witt rings of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$  (Theorem 5.5.3) and  $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$  (Theorem 5.5.4). As in the previous section, we first determine the additive structure (Proposition 5.3.1 and Proposition 5.4.5) and afterwards the multiplicative structure. The latter turns out to be basically determined by that of  $\mathcal{B}_{\mu_2}$  and  $\mathcal{B}_{\mu_{12}}$ .

**5.1. The  $I^j$ -cohomology of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$ .** We determine here the  $I^j$ -cohomology of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$ . The result itself (Proposition 5.1.1) has some independent interest, which we highlight after the main proof. Moreover, this computation is useful for determining Chow-Witt groups.

Recall that  $\mathcal{E}$  denotes the Hodge bundle on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$ .

**Proposition 5.1.1.** *Suppose  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2, 3$ . Then we have:*

$$H^{i,j}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}, \mathbf{I}^*, \mathcal{O}) \simeq \begin{cases} I^j(k) & \text{if } i = 0, \\ I^{j-2}(k) & \text{if } i = 1, \\ k_{j-i}^{\text{M}}(k) & \text{if } i \geq 2 \text{ even}, \\ k_{j-i-1}^{\text{M}}(k) & \text{if } i \geq 3 \text{ odd}. \end{cases} \quad H^{i,j}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}, \mathbf{I}^*, \mathcal{E}) \simeq \begin{cases} k_{j-i-1}^{\text{M}}(k) & \text{if } i \text{ even}, \\ k_{j-i}^{\text{M}}(k) & \text{if } i \text{ odd}. \end{cases}$$

Moreover we have an isomorphism of  $\text{W}(k)$ -algebras

$$H^{*,*}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}, \mathbf{I}^*, \bullet) \simeq \text{W}(k)[\text{T}, \text{V}]/(\text{I} \cdot \text{T}, \text{V}^2, \text{VT})$$



We are left with identifying  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}, \mathcal{O})$ . From the localization sequence we extract a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cdot \text{TH} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\text{CH}}^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}, \mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{\partial} \text{W}(k) \longrightarrow 0.$$

We claim that this sequence splits. To prove this, observe that the Picard group of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$  has no torsion. Therefore Proposition 2.1.2 ensures that  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}, \mathcal{O})$  fits in the pull-back square

$$(5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\text{CH}}^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}, \mathcal{O}) & \longrightarrow & \text{CH}^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}, \mathbb{I}^1, \mathcal{O}) & \xrightarrow{z} & \text{Ch}^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}) \end{array}$$

where  $z$  is the map induced on  $H^1$  by the Milnor map  $\mathbb{I}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{k}_1^{\text{M}}$ . We claim that  $z = 0$ . The exact sequence

$$(6) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}_1^{\text{M}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

induces a commutative ladder in cohomology

$$(7) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} H^{1,1}(\mathcal{V}_{-4,-6}, \mathbb{I}^*, \mathcal{O}) & \longrightarrow & H^{1,1}(\mathcal{V}_{-4,-6}, \mathbb{k}_*^{\text{M}}) & \longrightarrow & H^{2,2}(\mathcal{V}_{-4,-6}, \mathbb{I}^*, \mathcal{O}) \\ \downarrow j^* & & \downarrow j^* & & \downarrow j^* \\ H^{1,1}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}, \mathbb{I}^*, \mathcal{O}) & \xrightarrow{z} & H^{1,1}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}, \mathbb{k}_*^{\text{M}}) & \longrightarrow & H^{2,2}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}, \mathbb{I}^*, \mathcal{O}). \end{array}$$

Observe that the central and right vertical maps are isomorphisms. Indeed, injectivity follows from the vanishing of  $e(\mathcal{V}_{-4,-6})$  in  $\mathbb{I}^*$ -cohomology (and thus also on mod two Chow groups by 2.2.2), while surjectivity follows by Proposition 4.1.2. By homotopy invariance and Proposition 4.1.2 we know that the top-left term is zero. A diagram chase shows that this implies that both horizontal arrows on the right in (7) are injective, hence  $z = 0$ .

From (7) we know that  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}, \mathcal{O}) \simeq \text{P}^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}, \mathcal{O})$ . As  $z = 0$ , the latter by definition coincides with

$$H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}, \mathbb{I}^*, \mathcal{O}) \oplus \text{Ker}(\text{CH}^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}) \longrightarrow \text{Ch}^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1})),$$

which is in turn isomorphic to  $\text{W}(k) \oplus 2\mathbb{T} \cdot \mathbb{Z}$ . The image in  $\text{CH}^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1})$  of  $\text{TH}$  is  $2\mathbb{T}$ , and an easy diagram chase in  $\mathbb{I}^*$ -cohomology shows that an element  $V' \in \widetilde{\text{CH}}^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}, \mathcal{O})$  generates the Witt factor if and only if  $V'$  has the properties listed in the statement. This concludes the proof.  $\square$

#### 5.4. The Chow-Witt groups of $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$ .

5.4.1. Recall from (3.2.5) that the stack  $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$  can be regarded as an open substack of  $\mathcal{V}_{-4,-6}$ , whose complement is the quotient stack  $\mathcal{C} := [C/\mathbb{G}_m]$ , where  $C$  denotes the closed subscheme in  $\mathbb{V}_{-4,-6}$  of equation  $4x^3 + 27y^2 = 0$ .

Observe that the normalization of  $\mathcal{C}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{V}_{-2}$ : indeed, the normalization of  $C$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{V}_{-2}$ , and the proper morphism  $\nu : \mathbb{V}_{-2} \rightarrow C$  is  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -equivariant, hence it descends to a well defined morphism of quotient stacks.

**Lemma 5.4.2.** *The normalization  $\nu : \mathcal{V}_{-2} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  induces an isomorphism of homology groups*

$$\nu_* : H_{i,j}(\mathcal{V}_{-2}, \mathbb{K}_*, \nu^* \mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H_{i,j}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{K}_*, \mathcal{L})$$

where  $\mathbb{K}_*$  is any generalized cycle module.

*Proof.* The restriction of  $\nu$  to the open substack  $\mathcal{B}\mu_2 = [\mathbb{V}_{-2} \setminus \{0\}/\mathbb{G}_m]$  is an isomorphism; the same holds for the restriction to the closed complement  $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m = [\{0\}/\mathbb{G}_m]$ . The conclusion then follows from functoriality of localization sequences along proper maps.  $\square$

The Picard group of  $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/12$  and it is generated by the Hodge line bundle  $\mathcal{E}$ . In fact the discriminant is a non-vanishing section of  $\mathcal{E}^{\otimes 12}$ , hence it induces a map

$$\Delta : \mathcal{M}_{1,1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}\mu_{12}.$$

The map  $\Delta$  can also be constructed as the quotient of the  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -equivariant morphism

$$\Delta : \mathbb{V}_{-4,-6} \setminus C \longrightarrow \mathbb{V}_{-12} \setminus \{0\}, \quad (a, b) \longmapsto 4a^3 + 27b^2.$$

**Definition 5.4.3.** We define  $D$  as the element in  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^0(\mathcal{M}_{1,1})$  obtained by pulling back the element  $U$  of  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^0(\mathcal{B}\mu_{12})$  introduced in Definition 4.2.1.

5.4.4. If we denote by  $\mathbf{GW}$  the unramified sheaf of Grothendieck-Witt rings on the category of smooth  $k$ -schemes, we can regard the element  $D$  as a map  $\mathcal{M}_{1,1} \rightarrow \mathbf{GW}$  associating to every elliptic curve  $p : E \rightarrow S$  (with  $S$  smooth) the pair  $(\mathcal{E}_p, \Delta)$ : here we see the discriminant  $\Delta$  as a quadratic form  $\mathcal{E}_p^{\otimes 6} \otimes \mathcal{E}_p^{\otimes 6} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$  on the sixth power of the Hodge bundle  $\mathcal{E}_p$ . From this point of view we actually have that  $D$  is the composition

$$\mathcal{M}_{1,1} \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathcal{B}\mu_{12} \xrightarrow{(-)^6} \mathcal{B}\mu_2 \xrightarrow{U} \mathbf{GW}.$$

**Proposition 5.4.5.** *Suppose that the characteristic of the base field is  $\neq 2, 3$ . Let  $T$  be the Euler class of  $\mathcal{E}^\vee$ , let  $H$  be the (pull-back of the) class introduced in from 4.1.4 and let  $D$  be the class introduced in Definition 5.4.3. Then the following description of  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^*(\mathcal{M}_{1,1}, \bullet)$  holds:*

Twist	0	1	2	3	$2k$	$2k+1$
$\mathcal{O}$	$\text{GW}(k) \cdot 1 \oplus \text{W}(k) \cdot D$	$\mathbb{Z}/6 \cdot \text{HT}$	$\mathbb{Z}/24 \cdot T^2$	$\mathbb{Z}/6 \cdot \text{HT}^3$	$\mathbb{Z}/24 \cdot T^{2k}$	$\mathbb{Z}/6 \cdot \text{HT}^{2k+1}$
$\mathcal{E}$	$\mathbb{Z} \cdot H$	$\mathbb{Z}/24 \cdot T$	$\mathbb{Z}/6 \cdot \text{HT}^2$	$\mathbb{Z}/24 \cdot T^3$	$\mathbb{Z}/6 \cdot \text{HT}^{2k}$	$\mathbb{Z}/24 \cdot T^{2k+1}$ .

*Proof.* We use the localization sequence associated to  $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{V}_{-4,-6} \xleftarrow{j} \mathcal{M}_{1,1}$  for the homology with coefficients in Milnor-Witt K-theory:

$$(8) \quad \widetilde{\text{CH}}_i(\mathcal{C}, \bullet) \xrightarrow{i_*} \widetilde{\text{CH}}_i(\mathcal{V}_{-4,-6}, \bullet) \xrightarrow{j^*} \widetilde{\text{CH}}_i(\mathcal{M}_{1,1}, \bullet) \longrightarrow H_{i-1,i}(\mathcal{C}, K_*^{\text{MW}}, \bullet)$$

From Lemma 5.4.2, combined with the usual homotopy invariance and with the computations contained in Proposition 4.1.3, we know that  $H_{i-1,i}(\mathcal{C}, K_*^{\text{MW}}, \bullet) = 0$  for  $i \neq 1$ .

We are left with determining the image of  $i_*$ : we claim that  $\text{Im}(i_*)$  is the graded ideal generated by  $e(\mathcal{O}(12))$ . By Lemma 5.4.2 we know that  $\text{Im}(i_*)$  coincides with the image of the pushforward along the composition

$$\mathcal{V}_{-2} \xrightarrow{\nu} \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{V}_{-4,-6}.$$

Since  $(i \circ \nu)^*$  is a surjective map of  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^*(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m, \bullet)$ -modules, the projection formula ensures that  $\text{Im}(i \circ \nu)_* = ((i \circ \nu)_*(1))$ . Moreover it is clear that  $(i \circ \nu)_*(1) = [\mathcal{C}]$  where  $[\mathcal{C}]$  denotes the fundamental class of the Cartier divisor  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{V}_{-4,-6}$ . It is known that

$$[\mathcal{C}] = e(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})) = e(\mathcal{U}^{\otimes 12}) = -6\text{TH},$$

and this concludes the computation of the Chow-Witt groups of  $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$  in cohomological degree  $i > 0$ . Actually, the same argument also shows that  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^0(\mathcal{M}_{1,1}, \mathcal{E}) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot H$ .

In order to determine  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^0(\mathcal{M}_{1,1})$  we use again the localization sequence (8), from which we deduce the following short exact sequence:

$$(9) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \text{GW}(k) \cdot 1 \longrightarrow \widetilde{\text{CH}}^0(\mathcal{M}_{1,1}, \mathcal{O}) \longrightarrow \text{W}(k) \longrightarrow 0.$$

By construction  $h \cdot D = \Delta^*(h \cdot U) = 0$ , hence the multiplication by  $D$  defines a map  $\text{W}(k) \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{CH}}^0(\mathcal{M}_{1,1})$ . We claim that this map splits the sequence (9): for this we need to show that the boundary of  $D$  in  $H_{0,1}(\mathcal{C}, K_*^{\text{MW}}, \mathcal{U}^{\otimes 12}) \simeq \text{W}(k)$  is a unit.

Consider the equivariant approximation of  $\mathcal{V}_{-4,-6} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$  given by the vector bundle

$$V := \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{O}(-4) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-6)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2.$$

An equivariant approximation of  $\mathcal{C}$  is given by the divisor  $C \subset V$  defined as the vanishing locus of the map

$$\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{O}(-4) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-6)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{O}(-12)), \quad (a, b) \longmapsto 4a^{\otimes 3} + 27b^{\otimes 2}.$$

Therefore, the open subscheme  $V \setminus C$  is an equivariant approximation of  $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$ . The class  $D$  can then be regarded as the element of  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^0(V \setminus C, \mathcal{O})$ , or even more conveniently as an element of  $\text{GW}(k(V))$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}$  denote the pull-back of  $\mathcal{O}(-6)$  to  $V$ , then we have the quadratic form

$$q : \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_V, \quad t \otimes t' \longmapsto \frac{t \otimes t'}{4a^{\otimes 3} + 27b^{\otimes 2}}.$$

If we write  $k(V) = k(x, y, a, b)$ , then  $q_{\text{gen}} \in \text{GW}(k(V))$  corresponds to the symbol  $1 + \eta[\Delta]$ , where  $\Delta = 4a^3 + 27b^2$ . By construction  $D = (q_{\text{gen}} - 1)$ , and its image along the boundary morphism

$$\widetilde{\text{CH}}_1(V \setminus C, \mathcal{O}) \longrightarrow H_{0,1}(C, \mathbb{K}_*^{\text{MW}}, \mathcal{O}(C))$$

is equal to the residue of this element at the codimension one point  $\text{Spec } k(C)$ . The discriminant  $\Delta = 4a^3 + 27b^2$  is a local parameter for the valuation  $\nu : k(V) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$  induced by the Cartier divisor  $C \subset V$ . Applying the formula for the residues ([Fas20, Theorem 1.7]) we obtain

$$\partial_\nu(\eta[\Delta^{-1}]) = \partial_\nu^f(\eta[\Delta^{-1}]) \otimes \overline{\Delta}^\vee = \eta \cdot \partial_\nu[\Delta^{-1}] \otimes \overline{\Delta}^\vee = \eta \otimes \overline{\Delta}^\vee.$$

The computation above shows that, after identifying  $H_{0,1}(C, \mathbb{K}_*^{\text{MW}}, \mathcal{O}(C))$  with  $W(k)$ , the boundary of  $D$  is a unit and hence the multiplication by this class splits (9). This concludes the proof.  $\square$

## 5.5. Multiplicative structures.

5.5.1. Let us take a look at the localization sequence of  $\mathbb{K}_*^{\text{MW}}$ -cohomology groups induced by the open immersion  $\mathcal{M}_{1,1} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$ . Recall that  $\Delta_0$ , the divisor of singular curves, is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{B}\mu_2$ , so that we have

$$\widetilde{\text{CH}}^0(\mathcal{B}\mu_2, \mathcal{O}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\text{CH}}^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}, \mathcal{E}^{-12}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\text{CH}}^1(\mathcal{M}_{1,1}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

The surjectivity of the last map is due to the vanishing of  $H^1(\mathcal{B}\mu_2, \mathbb{K}_0^{\text{MW}})$ , which is in turn an easy consequence of the vanishing of  $H^{1,j}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m, \mathbb{K}_*^{\text{MW}})$  for  $j = 0, -1$ .

We can rewrite the exact sequence above using the information we gathered so far on the Chow-Witt groups of these stacks (see Proposition 4.2.3, Proposition 5.3.1 and Proposition 5.4.5). We obtain:

$$\text{GW}(k) \cdot 1 \oplus W(k) \cdot U \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cdot \text{TH} \oplus W(k) \cdot V' \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/6 \cdot \text{TH} \longrightarrow 0.$$

As  $\mathcal{O}(\Delta_0) \simeq \mathcal{E}^{\otimes 12}$  we get

$$(10) \quad i_*1 = e(\mathcal{O}(\Delta_0)) = e(\mathcal{E}^{\otimes 12}) = -6\text{TH},$$

which implies that  $i_*U \neq 0$ . Write  $i_*U = a\text{TH} + bV'$ . The commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\text{CH}}^0(\mathcal{B}\mu_2) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & \widetilde{\text{CH}}^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}) \\ \downarrow ch & & \downarrow ch \\ \text{CH}^0(\mathcal{B}\mu_2) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & \text{CH}^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

tells us that  $ch(i_*U) = i_*(ch(U))$ , which is zero because of how we defined  $U$  (see Definition 4.2.1). Henceforth  $i_*U$  must be of the form  $bV'$ , and it is easy to see that  $b$  must be invertible in  $W(k)$ .

**Definition 5.5.2.** We denote by  $V$  the class  $i_*U$ .

We are ready to state our first main result.

**Theorem 5.5.3.** *Let  $k$  be a perfect field of characteristic different from 2 and 3. Then we have an isomorphism of  $\text{GW}(k)$ -algebras*

$$\widetilde{\text{CH}}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}, \bullet) \simeq \text{GW}(k)[\text{T}, \text{V}, \text{H}]/(I \cdot \text{T}, I \cdot \text{H}, \text{H}^2 - 2h, h\text{V}, \text{H}\text{V}, \text{V}^2, 24\text{T}^2, 12\text{H}\text{T}^2 + \text{V}\text{T})$$

where  $\text{T}$  is mapped to the Euler class of  $\mathcal{E}^\vee$ , the element  $\text{H}$  is mapped to the (pull-back of the) element introduced in 4.1.4, and  $\text{V}$  is mapped to the class introduced in Definition 5.5.2.

*Proof.* The relations that only involve  $T$  and  $H$  comes from the Chow-Witt ring of  $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$  (see Proposition 4.1.5). The relation  $24T^2 = 0$  has already been discussed in the proof of Proposition 5.3.1.

By definition  $V = i_*U$ , and we know from Theorem 4.4.2 that  $U^2 = 0$ ,  $hU = 0$  and  $UT = 2TH$ . The push-forward morphism  $i_*$  is a morphism of  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^*(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m, \bullet)$ -modules and we have already verified in (10) that  $i_*(1) = 6TH$ , thus by the projection formula (cf. Theorem 3.19 of [Fas20]) we obtain

$$VT = i_*(U) \cdot T = i_*(U \cdot i^*T) = i_*(UT) = i_*(2T) = 2T \cdot i_*(1) = -12T^2H$$

In a similar way we deduce that  $hV = 0$  and  $HV = 0$ . To prove that  $V^2 = 0$ , is enough to observe that by construction the image of  $V^2$  in  $\text{CH}^2(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1})$  is zero. On the other hand the group  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^2(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1})$  is generated by  $T^2$  and the morphism  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^2(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}) \rightarrow \text{CH}^2(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1})$  is an isomorphism (see Proposition 5.3.1), hence  $V^2 = 0$ .

The  $\text{GW}(k)$ -algebra generated by  $T, H$  and  $V$  modulo the relations that we have found maps by construction onto the Chow-Witt ring of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$ . By looking at the additive structure of these two rings, we deduce that this map must be an isomorphism, thus concluding the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.5.4.** *Suppose that the characteristic of the base field is  $\neq 2, 3$ . Then we have*

$$\widetilde{\text{CH}}^*(\mathcal{M}_{1,1}, \bullet) \simeq \text{GW}(k)[T, D, H]/(I \cdot T, I \cdot H, H^2 - 2h, hD, HD, D^2 + 2D, 6TH, 12T - DT)$$

where  $T$  is the Euler class of  $\mathcal{E}^\vee$ , the element  $H$  is the pull-back of the class introduced in 4.1.4, and  $D$  is the class introduced in Definition 5.4.3.

*Proof.* The discriminant morphism  $\mathcal{M}_{1,1} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\mu_{12}$  induces a pull-back morphism at the level of Chow-Witt rings. By looking at the additive structure of both rings, which we know from Theorem 4.4.2 and Proposition 5.4.5, we can conclude that the pull-back morphism is actually an isomorphism of rings. This concludes the proof.  $\square$

## 5.6. Geometric interpretation of the new classes.

5.6.1. Recall that for a smooth  $k$ -variety  $X$  and a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $X$ , the Chow-Witt group  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^i(X, \mathcal{L})$  is a subquotient of

$$\bigoplus_{x \in X^{(i)}} \text{GW}(k(x), \det(\mathfrak{m}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x^2)^\vee \otimes_{k(x)} \mathcal{L}),$$

where  $\mathfrak{m}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x^2$  denotes the cotangent space of  $X$  at  $x$ . Each element of  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^i(X, \mathcal{L})$  thus writes as a linear combination

$$\sum_{x \in X^{(i)}} q_x \otimes l_x[x],$$

where  $q_x$  is a class in  $\text{GW}(k(x))$ ,  $l_x$  is a local generator of  $\det(\mathfrak{m}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x^2)^\vee \otimes_{k(x)} \mathcal{L}$ . Sometimes, with abuse, we index the sum on integral subvarieties of  $X$ .

5.6.2. Observe that  $V \in \widetilde{\text{CH}}^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}, \mathcal{O})$  belongs to, and in fact generates multiplicatively, the kernel of the natural morphism

$$\widetilde{\text{CH}}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}, \bullet) \rightarrow \text{CH}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1})$$

which, we remind, sends an element  $\sum_i q_i \otimes l_i[V_i]$  to  $\sum_i \text{rk}(q_i)[V_i]$ .

The construction of the element  $V$  is somehow indirect. We have first identified  $\phi : \Delta_0 \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{B}\mu_2$  to get a class in  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^0(\Delta_0, \mathcal{O})$  corresponding to  $U$ , and in a second step we have used the composition of the push-forward map with a non-canonical identification  $g$

$$\widetilde{\text{CH}}^0(\Delta_0, \mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{\iota_*} \widetilde{\text{CH}}^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}, \mathcal{E}^{\otimes -12}) \xrightarrow{g} \widetilde{\text{CH}}^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}, \mathcal{O}),$$

where  $\iota : \Delta_0 \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$  is the obvious closed embedding, and where  $g$  corresponds to a family of choices of local generators  $g_x$  for  $\mathcal{E}^{\otimes -6}$ . The isomorphism  $\phi$  is actually the composition

$$\Delta_0 \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{V}_{-2} \setminus s_0 \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{B}\mu_2 :$$

here  $\psi$  is induced by the equivariant isomorphism

$$\text{Spec } k[a, b]/(4a^3 + 27b^2) \setminus \{(0, 0)\} \rightarrow \text{Spec } k[t] \setminus \{0\} \text{ defined by } t \mapsto b/a$$

(where  $\mathbb{G}_m$  acts on  $a, b, t$  with weights  $-4, -6, -2$  respectively), while  $\phi_2$  is the tautological isomorphism introduced in 3.1.3. In this picture  $\mathcal{E}_{|\Delta_0}$  is easily seen to be induced by the pull-back of the universal line bundle  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $\mathcal{B}\mu_2$  via  $\phi$ . In particular, since the coordinate  $t$  is the canonical trivialization of the pull-back of  $\phi_2^*\mathcal{U}^{\otimes 2}$ , the section  $b/a$  trivializes  $\phi^*\mathcal{U}^{\otimes 2}$ , and thus induces a trivialization

$$b/a : \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{E}_{|\Delta_0}^{\otimes 2}.$$

This induces a quadratic form on  $\mathcal{E}_{|\Delta_0}$ , and thus an element  $q = \phi^*(q_{gen}) \in \widetilde{\text{CH}}^0(\Delta_0, \mathcal{O})$ . By construction, the element  $V$  coincides with  $(g \circ \iota_*)(q - 1) = g(\langle b/a \rangle - 1)[\Delta_0]$ .

More concretely we can think of the element  $V$  as a sort of characteristic class, functorially associated with families  $p : C \rightarrow S$  of genus 1 curves over smooth bases, marked with a section  $\sigma : S \rightarrow C$  (landing in the smooth locus of  $p$ ), where the non-smoothness locus of  $p$  is a smooth Cartier divisor  $S_\infty \subseteq S$ . For instance, assume  $S$  to be a smooth curve and let  $f : S \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$  be the classifying map of the family  $p$ . Then  $S_\infty = s_1 + \dots + s_m$  is a reduced Cartier divisor arising as pull-back of  $\Delta_0$ , and the induced map  $f_\infty : S_\infty \rightarrow \Delta_0$  classifies the induced family of nodal curves  $p_\infty : C_\infty \rightarrow S_\infty$ . In this setting

$$(11) \quad f_\infty^*U \in \widetilde{\text{CH}}^0(S_\infty, \mathcal{O}) \simeq \bigoplus_i \text{GW}(k(s_i))$$

and the above arguments tautologically give

$$f_\infty^*U = \sum_i \left( \left\langle \frac{b_i}{a_i} \right\rangle - 1 \right) :$$

here  $a_i, b_i$  are coefficients of any Weierstrass equation  $y^2 = x^3 + a_i x + b_i$  for the fiber  $C_{s_i}$ . As a sanity check we note that another Weierstrass equation for  $C_{s_i}$  would change the ratio  $b_i/a_i \in k(s_i)^\times$  by a square, leaving the cycle  $f_\infty^*U$  unchanged. Observe that  $f_\infty^*U$  is nothing else than the "new" characteristic class of the  $\mu_2$ -torsor

$$(12) \quad \underline{\text{Aut}}_{S_\infty}(\mathcal{E}_{p|S_\infty}, f_\infty^*(b/a))$$

of automorphisms of the Hodge bundle  $\mathcal{E}_{p_\infty} (\simeq \mathcal{E}_{p|S_\infty})$  of  $p_\infty$  respecting the induced trivialization  $f_\infty^*b/a$  of its tensor square.

A side note: nodal curves are completely classified by their  $\mu_2$ -torsor (12);  $\mu_2$ -torsors over a smooth base are, on their turn, completely classified by their discriminant  $U$  in the  $\mathcal{O}$ -twisted Chow-Witt ring of their base. Thus the invariant  $U$  is a full invariant of families of nodal curves.

Going back to the main topic we see that, by base change (c.f. Theorem 3.18 of [Fas20]), formula (11) gives that  $f^*V \in \widetilde{\text{CH}}^1(S, \mathcal{E}_p^{\otimes -12})$  can be expressed as

$$f^*V = \sum_i \left( \left\langle \frac{b_i}{a_i} \right\rangle - 1 \right) [s_i],$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_p$  denotes the Hodge bundle of the family  $p$ .

5.6.3. We can relate the element  $f^*V$  to the geometry of the tangent lines around the nodes of the singular fibres as follows. Let  $\nu_i : \overline{C}_{s_i} \rightarrow C_{s_i}$  be the normalization map, and let  $P_i$  be the fiber of  $\nu_i$  over the singular point of  $C_i$ . It is easy to check that  $P_i \rightarrow s_i$  is an étale cover (of degree 2) isomorphic to  $k(s_i)(\sqrt{b_i/2a_i})$ : this follows from writing down explicitly the equation for the normalized curve. In other words, the tangent lines at the node of  $C_{s_i}$  are rational if and only if  $b_i/2a_i$  is a square in  $k(s_i)$ .

We can further reformulate of this phenomenon via a chain of natural isomorphisms of  $\mu_2$ -torsors over  $s_i$  hereby displayed:

$$(13) \quad P_i \xleftarrow{\simeq} \underline{\text{Isom}}_{s_i}(\{0, 1\}, P_i) \xleftarrow{\simeq} \underline{\text{Isom}}_{s_i}((\mathbb{P}_{s_i}^1, \{0, 1\}, \infty), (\overline{C}_{s_i}, P_i, \sigma(s_i))).$$

The source of the left map is the the  $\mu_2$ -torsor of isomorphisms of  $\mu_2$ -torsors over  $s_i$ , and isomorphically maps to  $P_i$  by evaluating at 0. The source of the right map is the  $\mu_2$ -torsor of isomorphisms of curves marked with a Cartier divisor of degree two and with a Cartier divisor of degree one; the right hand side map is simply the restriction to the divisor of degree two. The ratio  $b_i/2a_i$  being a square is thus equivalent to the triviality of the  $\mu_2$ -torsor

$$\underline{\text{Isom}}_{s_i}((\mathbb{P}_{s_i}^1, \{0, 1\}, \infty), (\overline{C}_{s_i}, P_i, \sigma(s_i))) \rightarrow s_i.$$

Since we further have

$$\underline{\text{Isom}}_{s_i}((\mathbb{P}_{s_i}^1, \{0, 1\}, \infty), (\overline{C}_{s_i}, P_i, \sigma(s_i))) \simeq \underline{\text{Isom}}_{s_i}((\mathbb{P}_{s_i}^1/0 \sim 1, 2\{0 \sim 1\}, \infty), (C_{s_i}, P_i, \sigma(s_i))),$$

the invariant  $\langle b_i/2a_i \rangle$  checks whether the curve  $C_{s_i}$  is isomorphic (over  $\text{Spec}(k(s_i))$ ) to a projective line with 0 and 1 glued together or to a quadratic twist of it. Obviously when 2 is a square in  $k$ , the torsors (12) and (13) are isomorphic, thus they have the same associated Chow-Witt class in  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^1(S, \mathcal{E}^{\otimes -12})$ . In particular, we have

$$\langle 2 \rangle f^*V = \sum_i \left( \left\langle \frac{b_i}{2a_i} \right\rangle - \langle 2 \rangle \right) [s_i].$$

When the ground field has a root of 2, the expression above is equal to  $f^*V$ .

## APPENDIX A. A GENTLE INTRODUCTION TO CHOW-WITT THEORY

The goal of this Section is to give an elementary motivation and guide to Chow-Witt groups to a reader who is acquainted with classical intersection theory but not with the machinery of motivic cohomologies. Therefore, in this Section we sketch an intuitive construction of Chow-Witt groups that resembles the one of Chow groups. A rigorous fully detailed treatment of foundations is given in [Fas20] and in cite [Fas08].

We have selected a few key facts without claiming or hoping to be exhaustive. The interested reader should refer to [Fas20] for further explanations and proofs.

### A.1. Recap on Chow groups.

A.1.1. The standard reference for the definition of Chow groups is [Ful98, Chapter 1]. Given a separated scheme  $X$  over a field  $k$ , the group of cycles on  $X$  of dimension  $i$  is defined as

$$Z_i(X) := \langle [V] \mid V \subset X \text{ is a closed subvariety of dimension } i \rangle.$$

Therefore, a cycle of dimension  $i$  on  $X$  is a finite formal sum  $\sum_j n_j [V_j]$ , where each  $V_j$  is a subvariety of dimension  $i$ , and  $n_j$  is an integer.

Given a normal subvariety  $W \subset X$  and a rational function  $\varphi : W \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  on  $W$ , one can define a cycle in  $X$  as follows:

$$\text{div}(\varphi) := \varphi^{-1}(0) - \varphi^{-1}(\infty).$$

When  $W$  is not normal, more carefulness is needed and one should rather work with the normalization of  $W$ . For more details, see [Ful98, Chapter 1].

The definition of  $\text{div}(\varphi)$  above is necessary in order to introduce the notion of rational equivalence of cycles. Indeed, two cycles  $[V]$  and  $[V']$  of dimension  $i$  are called rationally equivalent if there exist a finite number of subvarieties  $W_1, \dots, W_m \subset X$  of dimension  $i+1$  and rational functions  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m$  with  $\varphi_i \in k(W_i)$  such that

$$[V] - [V'] = \sum_j \text{div}(\varphi_j).$$

The intuition behind the notion of rational equivalence is that two cycles are rationally equivalent if one can be deformed into the other along a chain of projective lines.

The Chow group  $\text{CH}_i(X)$  of cycles of dimension  $i$  is then defined as

$$\text{CH}_i(X) := Z_i(X) / \sim_{rat}.$$

A.1.2. The Chow group  $\text{CH}_i(X)$  sits in the exact sequence

$$(14) \quad \bigoplus_{W \in X_{(i+1)}} k(W)^* \rightarrow \bigoplus_{V \in X_{(i)}} \mathbb{Z} \cdot [V] \rightarrow \text{CH}_i(X) \rightarrow 0,$$

where the first arrow sends rational functions  $\varphi$  to  $\text{div}(\varphi)$ . This is just a reformulation of the construction presented in the previous paragraph.

Observe that we can further reformulate the exact sequence above in terms of Milnor K-theory: recall that for a field  $F$  we have  $K_0^M(F) = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $K_1^M(F) = F^*$ , and therefore we can rewrite (14) as

$$\bigoplus_{W \in X_{(i+1)}} K_1^M(k(W)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{V \in X_{(i)}} K_0^M(k(V)) \rightarrow \text{CH}_i(X) \rightarrow 0,$$

where the first arrow is the total residue homomorphism. This way of looking at Chow groups is the starting point to get to the definition of Chow-Witt groups; more on that later.

When  $X$  is smooth, Chow groups inherit a multiplicative structure given by the intersection product. In particular, such multiplicative structure is well behaved with respect to the natural multiplicative structure of  $K_0^M(F) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ , i.e. we have

$$n[V] \cdot m[V] = nm([V] \cdot [V']).$$

An analogue statement will be true for Chow-Witt groups, as we will see in the next Subsection.

## A.2. Intuition for Chow-Witt groups.

A.2.1. A nice reference for the contents of this subsection is [Fas20, Section 1]. We have just seen that the generators of classical Chow groups are cycles  $\sum_j n_j [V_j]$ , where the  $n_j$  are *integers* and the  $V_j$  are subvarieties of  $X$ . The idea behind Chow-Witt groups is to consider cycles  $\sum_j q_j [V_j]$  where we would like the coefficients  $q_j$  to be *quadratic forms*.

We should also be able to subtract and multiply two coefficients together, just as in the classical case. It would also be useful to have an extension of the rank function  $q \mapsto \text{rk}(q)$  to these groups of cycles, so to be able to get back to the classical cycles by considering

$$\sum_j q_j [V_j] \mapsto \sum_j \text{rk}(q_j) [V_j].$$

Given a cycle  $q[V]$ , an obvious choice for field of definition of the quadratic form  $q$  is given by the field  $k(V)$ . Quite unsurprisingly at this point, the ring of quadratic forms we are looking for turns out to be the Grothendieck-Witt ring  $\text{GW}(k(V))$ . Recall indeed that given a field  $F$ , we can construct a monoid of isometry classes of symmetric bilinear spaces  $(V, q)$ , i.e. pairs where  $V$  is a finite dimensional  $F$ -vector space and  $q$  is a symmetric bilinear form on  $V$ . Operations are defined to be orthogonal direct sum and tensor product. The Grothendieck-Witt ring  $\text{GW}(F)$  is then the group completion of this monoid.

One would be tempted to define the Chow-Witt group of  $i$ -dimensional cycles on a variety  $X$  as a suitable quotient of

$$\bigoplus_{V \in X^{(i)}} \text{GW}(k(V)).$$

However for several reasons this is a bit naive: for instance this definition does not allow to canonically define an analogue of rational equivalence, as it will be clear soon. This group has to be slightly modified in order to give a reasonable theory.

A.2.2. Another strong evidence that the Grothendieck-Witt ring is the right object to consider is given by the fact that  $\text{GW}(F)$  is isomorphic to the degree 0 piece of the so called Milnor-Witt K-theory of  $F$ . The Milnor-Witt K-theory graded ring  $K_*^{\text{MW}}(F)$  is generated as a graded ring by the degree 1 symbols  $[a]$ , where  $a \in F^*$ , and the degree  $-1$  symbol  $\eta$ . The relations are the following:

- $[a] \cdot [1 - a] = 0$  for  $a \neq 1$ ,
- $[ab] = [a] + [b] + \eta[a][b]$ ,
- $\eta[a] = [a]\eta$  for  $a \in F^*$ ,
- $\eta(\eta[-1] + 2)$ .

An element  $[a_1][a_2] \cdots [a_n]$  is usually denoted  $[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n]$ . There is a natural isomorphism

$$\text{GW}(F) \longrightarrow K_0^{\text{MW}}(F)$$

defined by

$$\langle a \rangle \longmapsto 1 + \eta[a].$$

Another interesting fact about  $K_*^{\text{MW}}(F)$  is that the pieces of negative degree are all canonically isomorphic to  $W(F)$ , the Witt ring of  $F$ . Observe that in  $K_0^{\text{MW}}(F)$  the hyperbolic form  $h$  is written as  $2 + \eta[-1]$ , and the last relation assures us that  $\eta h = 0$ . Then the aforementioned isomorphism is given by

$$W(F) \longrightarrow K_{-i}^{\text{MW}}(F), \quad \langle a \rangle \longmapsto \eta^i(1 + \eta[a]).$$

A.2.3. The next step is to introduce an equivalence relation on the cycles. Ideally, we would like this relation to be well behaved with respect to the rank function, so that

$$q[V] \sim q'[V'] \Rightarrow \text{rk}(q)[V] \sim_{\text{rat}} \text{rk}(q')[V'].$$

Recall that in the classical case, the cycles that are rationally equivalent to zero belongs to the image of the total residue homomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{W \in X_{(i+1)}} K_1^M(k(W)) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{V \in X_{(i)}} K_0^M(k(V)).$$

Let  $V \subset W$  be a codimension 1 subscheme (we are assuming  $W$  normal). Then the field  $k(W)$  has a discrete valuation  $\nu$  with residue field  $k(V)$ . Pick a generator  $\pi$  for the kernel of  $\nu$ , and let  $\mathcal{O}_{W,V} \subset k(W)$  be the local ring of  $W$  at  $V$ . Then the corresponding residue homomorphism is determined by the properties

$$\partial_\nu^\pi[\pi] = 1, \quad \partial_\nu^\pi[u] = 0 \text{ for } u \in \mathcal{O}_{W,V}^*.$$

To define an equivalence relation for cycles with coefficients in quadratic forms it is quite natural to look at  $K_1^{\text{MW}}(-)$  and try to replicate the construction above, i.e. to try to define an homomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{W \in X_{(i+1)}} K_1^{\text{MW}}(k(W)) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{V \in X_{(i)}} K_0^{\text{MW}}(k(V)).$$

The group  $K_1^{\text{MW}}(F)$  is generated by the symbols  $\eta^{j-1}[a_1, \dots, a_j]$ , where  $a_i$  is in  $F^*$ . Given  $W \subset V$  of codimension 1, pick a parameter  $\pi$  for the kernel of the valuation  $\nu : k(W) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$ . Then there is a unique homomorphism

$$\partial_\nu^\pi : K_1^{\text{MW}}(k(W)) \longrightarrow K_0^{\text{MW}}(k(V))$$

which satisfies

$$\partial_\nu^\pi \eta^{j-1}[\pi, u_2, \dots, u_j] = \eta^{j-1}[\overline{u_2}, \dots, \overline{u_j}].$$

A.2.4. There is a subtlety here that must not be overlooked: the homomorphism  $\partial_\nu^\pi$  is not independent of the choice of the parameter  $\pi$ , i.e. a different choice of generator would determine a different residue homomorphism. This is a completely new feature of Milnor-Witt K-theory which is not present in Milnor K-theory. In order to make the residue homomorphism canonical, one needs to keep track of the choices of uniformizers made for each specialization. This leads to the introduction of twisted Milnor-Witt K-theory.

### A.3. Twisted Chow-Witt groups.

A.3.1. If  $\mathcal{L}$  is a line bundle on  $\text{Spec } F$ , we denote  $\mathcal{L}^*$  the set of non-zero elements of  $\mathcal{L}$ . Both  $K_*^{\text{MW}}(F)$  and  $\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{L}^*]$  are naturally  $\mathbb{Z}[F^*]$ -modules. A unit  $u \in F^*$  acts on  $K_*^{\text{MW}}(F)$  by multiplication by  $\langle u \rangle$  and on  $\mathcal{L}^*$  by multiplication by  $u$ . We can thus define the  $\mathcal{L}$ -twisted Milnor-Witt K-theory of  $F$  as

$$K_*^{\text{MW}}(F, \mathcal{L}) := K_*^{\text{MW}}(F) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[F^*]} \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{L}^*].$$

Therefore, given a discrete valuation  $\nu : k(W) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$  with uniformizer  $\pi$ , the twisted residue defined as

$$K_1^{\text{MW}}(k(W)) \longrightarrow K_0^{\text{MW}}(k(W), (\mathfrak{m}_V/\mathfrak{m}_V^2)^\vee), \quad [a] \longmapsto \partial_\nu^\pi([a]) \otimes \overline{\pi}^\vee$$

does not depend on the choice of uniformizer. We can also consider twists on the source of the residue map by acting as follows. Consider a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  defined over the local scheme  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{W,V}$ . We then define a homomorphism

$$K_1^{\text{MW}}(k(W), \mathcal{L}_{k(W)}) \longrightarrow K_0^{\text{MW}}(k(V), (\mathfrak{m}_V/\mathfrak{m}_V^2)^\vee \otimes \mathcal{L}_{k(V)})$$

as follows. Consider an element  $[a] \otimes l$ , find  $u \in k(W)^*$  such that  $l = ul'$  where  $l'$  is a generator of the  $\mathcal{O}_{W,V}$ -module  $\mathcal{L}$ , so that we can rewrite  $[a] \otimes l = [a]\langle u \rangle \otimes l'$ . Then we set

$$[a] \otimes l \longmapsto \partial_\nu^\pi([a]\langle u \rangle) \otimes \overline{\pi}^\vee \otimes \overline{l'},$$

where  $\overline{l'}$  denotes the image of  $l'$  along  $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes k(V)$ .

In particular, for every line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $X$ , we can define a residue homomorphism

$$K_1^{\text{MW}}(k(W), \det(\Omega_{k(W)/k}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{k(W)}) \longrightarrow K_0^{\text{MW}}(k(V), \det(\Omega_{k(V)/k}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{k(V)}),$$

where on the right we are implicitly using the canonical isomorphism

$$\det(\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{W,V}/k}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{W,V}} k(V) \otimes (\mathfrak{m}_V/\mathfrak{m}_V^2)^\vee \simeq \det(\Omega_{k(V)/k}).$$

Henceforth, we can define a total residue homomorphism

$$(15) \quad \bigoplus_{W \in X_{(i+1)}} K_1^{\text{MW}}(k(W), \det(\Omega_{k(W)/k}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{k(W)}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{V \in X_{(i)}} K_0^{\text{MW}}(k(V), \det(\Omega_{k(V)/k}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{k(V)}).$$

The image of this total residue homomorphism is by definition the group of cycles with coefficients in quadratic forms that are rationally equivalent to zero *in twist*  $\mathcal{L}$ .

The total residue homomorphism is actually part of the so called Rost-Schmid complex: the next map in the sequence is

$$(16) \quad \bigoplus_{V \in X_{(i)}} K_0^{\text{MW}}(k(V), \det(\Omega_{k(V)/k}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{k(V)}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{U \in X_{(i-1)}} K_{-1}^{\text{MW}}(k(U), \det(\Omega_{k(U)/k}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{k(U)}),$$

whose definition is completely analogous to the one given before.

A.3.2. The Chow-Witt group  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}_i(X, \mathcal{L})$  of dimension  $i$  in twist  $\mathcal{L}$  is defined as the kernel of (16) modulo the image of (15). For usual Chow groups we don't have to consider the kernel of (16) because  $K_{-1}^{\text{M}} = 0$ . As a matter of facts, we can always (non-canonically) identify

$$\bigoplus_{V \in X_{(i)}} K_0^{\text{MW}}(k(V), \mathcal{L}) \simeq \bigoplus_{V \in X_{(i)}} K_0^{\text{MW}}(k(V))$$

by choosing a generator for each  $\mathcal{L}_{k(V)}$ . Therefore, we could still think of Chow-Witt groups as sub-quotients of cycles with coefficients in quadratic forms, but this should be avoided: changing twists radically changes the rational equivalence we are using, by affecting the definition of residue morphism.

If  $X$  is smooth of dimension  $n$ , then it is also possible to define the (cohomological) Chow-Witt group  $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^i(X, \mathcal{L})$  of codimension (or degree)  $i$  in twist  $\mathcal{L}$ . The relation between the two groups is as follows:

$$\widetilde{\text{CH}}^i(X, \mathcal{L}) \simeq \widetilde{\text{CH}}_{n-i}(X, \det(\Omega_X)^\vee \otimes \mathcal{L})$$

#### A.4. Basic properties of Chow-Witt groups.

A.4.1. If  $i : Y \hookrightarrow X$  is a closed embedding with complement  $j : U \hookrightarrow X$ , then as explained in [Fas20, Section 2.2] there exist a localization exact sequence for Chow-Witt groups:

$$\dots \longrightarrow \widetilde{\text{CH}}_i(Y, i^* \mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\text{CH}}_i(X, \mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\text{CH}}_i(U, j^* \mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow \dots$$

In general, the last map above is not surjective, and the continuation of the sequence involves homology groups of the sheaf  $K_*^{\text{MW}}$  (for more details, see Section 1.1).

If  $X$  and  $Y$  are smooth, and  $Y$  has codimension  $r$  in  $X$ , then there also exists a localization exact sequence of cohomological Chow-Witt groups

$$\dots \longrightarrow \widetilde{\text{CH}}^{i-r}(Y, \det(N_i) \otimes i^* \mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\text{CH}}^i(X, \mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\text{CH}}^i(U, j^* \mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow \dots$$

where  $N_i$  is the normal bundle of  $Y$  in  $X$ .

A.4.2. Just as for usual Chow groups, also in Chow-Witt theory we can define the push-forward along proper maps: if  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a proper and  $\mathcal{L}$  is a line bundle over  $Y$ , we can associate to it the group homomorphism

$$f_* : \widetilde{\text{CH}}_i(Y, f^* \mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\text{CH}}_i(X, \mathcal{L}).$$

This association is functorial (see [Fas20, Section 2.3]). If  $X$  and  $Y$  are smooth of relative dimension  $d = \dim(X) - \dim(Y)$ , we also have a well defined homomorphism of cohomological Chow-Witt groups

$$f_* : \widetilde{\text{CH}}^i(Y, \det(\Omega_f) \otimes f^* \mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\text{CH}}^{i+d}(X, \mathcal{L}).$$

A.4.3. On the other hand, for the pull-back along a flat morphism to be well defined, we need both the domain and the codomain to be (essentially) smooth. If these hypotheses are verified, for any  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  flat we have a well defined homomorphism

$$f^* : \widetilde{\mathrm{CH}}^i(Y, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{CH}}^i(X, f^*\mathcal{L})$$

and the association  $f \mapsto f^*$  is functorial ([Fas20, Section 2.4]).

The smoothness assumption on  $X$  and  $Y$  can be dropped in case  $f$  itself is smooth ([Fas20, Theorem 2.14]). In particular, the pull-back along an affine bundle always induces an isomorphism of Chow-Witt groups ([Fas20, Theorem 2.15]).

More generally, a pull-back map can be defined for every morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  of smooth schemes ([Fas20, Theorem 3.10]).

Finally, if a variety is smooth, Chow-Witt groups inherit a multiplicative structure given by the analogue of the intersection product, and in this case all the pull-backs defined above are ring homomorphisms ([Fas20, Section 3.4]).

#### REFERENCES

- [BM00] Jean Barge and Fabien Morel. “Groupe de Chow des cycles orientés et classe d’Euler des fibrés vectoriels”. In: *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* 330.4 (2000).
- [BD17] Mikhail Bondarko and Frédéric Déglise. “Dimensional homotopy t-structures in motivic homotopy theory”. In: *Adv. Math.* 311 (2017). DOI: [10.1016/j.aim.2017.02.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2017.02.003). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2017.02.003>.
- [DJK21] Frédéric Déglise, Fangzhou Jin, and Adeel A. Khan. *Fundamental classes in motivic homotopy theory*. 2021. eprint: [arXiv:1805.05920v3](https://arxiv.org/abs/1805.05920v3) (math.AG).
- [DFV21] A. Di Lorenzo, D. Fulghesu, and A. Vistoli. “The integral Chow ring of the stack of smooth non-hyperelliptic curves of genus three”. In: *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* (2021). DOI: [10.1090/tran/8354](https://doi.org/10.1090/tran/8354). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1090/tran/8354>.
- [EG98] Dan Edidin and William Graham. “Equivariant intersection theory”. In: *Invent. Math.* 131.3 (1998).
- [Fas08] Jean Fasel. “Groupes de Chow-Witt”. In: *Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.)* 113 (2008). DOI: [10.24033/msmf.425](https://doi.org/10.24033/msmf.425). URL: <https://doi.org/10.24033/msmf.425>.
- [Fas13] Jean Fasel. “The projective bundle theorem for  $\mathbb{I}^j$ -cohomology”. In: *J. K-Theory* 11.2 (2013).
- [Fas20] Jean Fasel. “Lectures on Chow-Witt groups”. In: *Motivic homotopy theory and refined enumerative geometry*. Vol. 745. Contemp. Math. Amer. Math. Soc., [Providence], RI, 2020, pp. 83–121.
- [Fel19] Niels Feld. *Morel Homotopy Modules and Milnor-Witt Cycle Modules*. 2019. eprint: [arXiv:1912.12680v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.12680v2) (math.AG).
- [Fel20] Niels Feld. “Milnor-Witt cycle modules”. In: *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 224.7 (2020).
- [Ful98] William Fulton. *Intersection theory*. Second. Vol. 2. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [HW19] Jens Hornbostel and Matthias Wendt. “Chow-Witt rings of classifying spaces for symplectic and special linear groups”. In: *J. Topol.* 12.3 (2019).
- [Lar21] Eric Larson. “The integral Chow ring of  $\overline{M}_2$ ”. In: *Algebr. Geom.* 8.3 (2021).
- [LW21] Jesse Leo Kass and Kirsten Wickelgren. “An arithmetic count of the lines on a smooth cubic surface”. In: *Compos. Math.* 157.4 (2021).
- [MNW21] Lorenzo Mantovani, Toan Mann Nguyen, and Matthias Wendt. “The Chow-Witt ring of the classifying space of low-dimensional (Special) Orthogonal groups, In preparation”. In: (2021).
- [Mor04] Fabien Morel. “Sur les puissances de l’idéal fondamental de l’anneau de Witt”. In: *Comment. Math. Helv.* 79.4 (2004).
- [OVV07] D. Orlov, A. Vishik, and V. Voevodsky. “An exact sequence for  $K_*^M/2$  with applications to quadratic forms”. In: *Ann. of Math. (2)* 165.1 (2007).

- [Tot99] Burt Totaro. “The Chow ring of a classifying space”. In: *Algebraic K-theory (Seattle, WA, 1997)*. Vol. 67. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 249–281.
- [Vis98] Angelo Vistoli. “The Chow ring of  $\mathcal{M}_2$ . Appendix to “Equivariant intersection theory” [Invent. Math. 131 (1998), no. 3] by D. Edidin and W. Graham”. In: *Invent. Math.* 131.3 (1998).
- [Wen18] Mathias Wendt. *Chow-Witt rings of Grassmannians*. 2018. eprint: [arXiv:1805.06142](https://arxiv.org/abs/1805.06142) (math.AG).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HUMBOLDT-UNIVERSITÄT ZU BERLIN , BERLIN, GERMANY  
*Email address:* `andrea.dilorenzo@hu-berlin.de`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITÄT ZÜRICH, ZÜRICH, SWITZERLAND  
*Email address:* `lorenzo.mantovani@math.uzh.ch`