

Groups whose Chermak-Delgado lattice is a subgroup lattice of an elementary abelian p -group*

Lijian An

Department of Mathematics, Shanxi Normal University
Linfen, Shanxi 041004, P. R. China

November 30, 2021

Abstract

The Chermak-Delgado lattice of a finite group G is a self-dual sublattice of the subgroup lattice of G . In this paper, we focus on finite groups whose Chermak-Delgado lattice is a subgroup lattice of an elementary abelian p -group. We prove that such groups are nilpotent of class 2. We also prove that, for any elementary abelian p -group E , there exists a finite group G such that the Chermak-Delgado lattice of G is a subgroup lattice of E .

Keywords Chermak-Delgado lattice quasi-antichain special p -groups

2000 Mathematics subject classification: 20D15 20D30.

1 Introduction

Suppose that G is a finite group, and H is a subgroup of G . The Chermak-Delgado measure of H (in G) is denoted by $m_G(H)$, and defined as $m_G(H) = |H| \cdot |C_G(H)|$. The maximal Chermak-Delgado measure of G is denoted by $m^*(G)$, and defined as

$$m^*(G) = \max\{m_G(H) \mid H \leq G\}.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{CD}(G) = \{H \mid m_G(H) = m^*(G)\}.$$

Then the set $\mathcal{CD}(G)$ forms a sublattice of the subgroup lattice of G , which is called the Chermak-Delgado lattice of G . It was first introduced by Chermak and Delgado [7], and revisited by Isaacs [11]. In the last years, there has been a growing interest in understanding this lattice (see e.g. [1-6], [8-9], [12-18]).

Notice that a Chermak-Delgado lattice is always self-dual. It is natural to ask the question: which types of self-dual lattices can be as Chermak-Delgado lattices of finite groups. Some special cases of this question are proposed and solved. In [3], it is proved

*This work was supported by NSFC (No. 11971280 & 11771258)

that, for any integer n , a chain of length n can be a Chermak-Delgado lattice of a finite p -group.

A quasi-antichain is a lattice consisting of a maximum, a minimum, and the atoms of the lattice. The width of a quasi-antichain is the number of atoms. For a positive integer $w \geq 3$, a quasi-antichain of width w is denoted by \mathcal{M}_w . In [4], it was proved that \mathcal{M}_w can be as a Chermak-Delgado lattice of a finite group if and only if $w = 1 + p^a$ for some positive integer a and some prime p . The following theorem gives more self-dual lattices which can be as Chermak-Delgado lattices of finite groups.

Theorem 1.1. ([2]) *If \mathcal{L} is a Chermak-Delgado lattice of a finite p -group G such that both $G/Z(G)$ and G' are elementary abelian, then are \mathcal{L}^+ and \mathcal{L}^{++} , where \mathcal{L}^+ is a mixed 3-string with center component isomorphic to \mathcal{L} and the remaining components being m -diamonds (a lattice with subgroups in the configuration of an m -dimensional cube), \mathcal{L}^{++} is a mixed 3-string with center component isomorphic to \mathcal{L} and the remaining components being lattice isomorphic to \mathcal{M}_{p+1} .*

For a finite group G , we use $\mathcal{L}(G)$ to denote the subgroup lattice of G . We use E_{p^n} to denote the elementary abelian p -group of order p^n . It is well-known that $\mathcal{L}(E_{p^n})$ is self-dual. Let G be an extra-special p -group of order p^{2n+1} . Then $\mathcal{CD}(G)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}(E_{p^{2n}})$ (see [9, Example 2.8]). In this paper, we focus on finite groups whose Chermak-Delgado lattice is isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}(E_{p^n})$. The main results are:

Theorem A. Let G be a finite group with $G \in \mathcal{CD}(G)$. Suppose that $\mathcal{CD}(G)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}(E_{p^n})$, where $n \geq 2$. Then $G = P \times Q$, where P is the Sylow p -subgroup of G such that $P/Z(P)$ is elementary abelian, Q is the abelian Hall p' -subgroup of G . Moreover, $\mathcal{CD}(G) \cong \mathcal{CD}(P)$ as lattice.

Theorem B. For any integer n and a prime p , there exists a special p -group G such that $\mathcal{CD}(G)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}(E_{p^n})$.

For a Chermak-Delgado lattice, the following properties is basic and is often used in this paper. We will not point out when we use them.

Theorem 1.2. [7] *Suppose that G is a finite group and $H, K \in \mathcal{CD}(G)$.*

- (1) $\langle H, K \rangle = HK$. Hence a Chermak-Delgado lattice is modular.
- (2) $C_G(H \cap K) = C_G(H)C_G(K)$.
- (3) $C_G(H) \in \mathcal{CD}(G)$ and $C_G(C_G(H)) = H$. Hence a Chermak-Delgado lattice is self-dual.
- (4) Let M be the maximal member of $\mathcal{CD}(G)$. Then M is characteristic in G and $\mathcal{CD}(M) = \mathcal{CD}(G)$.
- (5) The minimal member of $\mathcal{CD}(G)$ is characteristic, abelian, and contains $Z(G)$.

2 Quasi-antichain intervals in Chermak-Delgado lattices

If $n \geq 2$, then every interval of length 2 in $\mathcal{L}(E_p^n)$ is a quasi-antichain of width $p + 1$. Hence we start our argument from investigating quasi-antichain intervals in Chermak-Delgado lattices. Following [4], we use $[[L, H]]$ to denote the interval from L to H in $\mathcal{CD}(G)$.

Lemma 2.1. ([4, Proposition 2 & Theorem 4]) *Let G be a finite group with an interval $[[L, H]] \cong \mathcal{M}_w$ in $\mathcal{CD}(G)$, where $w \geq 3$, and K be an atom of the quasi-antichain. Then $K \trianglelefteq H$, $L \trianglelefteq H$, and there exists a prime p and positive integers a, b with $b \leq a$ such that H/L is elementary abelian p -groups of order p^{2a} , $|H/K| = |K/L| = p^a$ and $w = p^b + 1$.*

Lemma 2.2. *Let G be a finite group with an interval $[[L, H]]$ of length l in $\mathcal{CD}(G)$. Suppose that every interval of length 2 in $[[L, H]]$ is a quasi-antichain of width ≥ 3 . Then $L \trianglelefteq H$, and there exists a prime p and a positive integer a such that H/L is elementary abelian p -groups of order p^{al} . Moreover, if $[[L_1, H_1]]$ is an interval of length 2 in $[[L, H]]$, then the width of $[[L_1, H_1]]$ is $p^b + 1$ for some integer b .*

Proof We use $l_{H/L}$ to denote the length of $[[L, H]]$. If $l = l_{H/L} = 2$, then the conclusions follow from Lemma 2.1. In the following, we may assume that $l \geq 3$. Let $L = J_0 < J_1 < J_2 < \dots < J_l = H$ be a maximal chain.

For $0 \leq i \leq l - 2$, $[[J_i, J_{i+2}]]$ is a quasi-antichain of width ≥ 3 . By Lemma 2.1, there exist primes p_i and positive integers a_i such that, $|J_{i+2}/J_{i+1}| = |J_{i+1}/J_i| = p_i^{a_i}$. It is easy to see that these p_i are coincide to a prime p and these a_i are coincide to an integer a . Hence $|H : L| = p^{al}$.

Since $[[L, J_2]]$ is a quasi-antichain of width ≥ 3 , there is an atom $L < K_1 < J_2$ such that $K_1 \neq J_1$. Since $l_{H/J_1} = l_{H/K_1} = l - 1$, by induction, $J_1 \trianglelefteq H$, $K_1 \trianglelefteq H$ and H/J_1 and H/K_1 are elementary p -groups. It follows that $L = J_1 \cap K_1 \trianglelefteq H$ and $H/L \lesssim H/J_1 \times H/K_1$ is an elementary abelian p -group of order p^{al} .

If $[[L_1, H_1]]$ is an interval of length 2 in $[[L, H]]$, then, by Lemma 2.1, the width of $[[L_1, H_1]]$ is $p^b + 1$ for some integer b . \square

Theorem 2.3. *Let G be a finite group with $G \in \mathcal{CD}(G)$. Suppose that every interval of length 2 in $\mathcal{CD}(G)$ is a quasi-antichain of width ≥ 3 . Then there exists a prime p such that the width of an interval of length 2 in $\mathcal{CD}(G)$ is $p^b + 1$ for some integer b . Moreover, $G = P \times Q$, where P is the Sylow p -subgroup of G , nilpotent of class 2, Q is the abelian Hall p' -subgroup of G , and $\mathcal{CD}(G) \cong \mathcal{CD}(P)$ as lattice.*

Proof By Lemma 2.2, $G/Z(G)$ is an elementary abelian p -group for some prime p and the width of an interval of length 2 in $\mathcal{CD}(G)$ is $p^b + 1$ for some integer b . Hence G is nilpotent. Let P be the Sylow p -subgroup and Q the Hall p' -subgroup. Then $G = P \times Q$. Obviously, $Q \in Z(G)$. Therefore $\mathcal{CD}(G) \cong \mathcal{CD}(P) \times \mathcal{CD}(Q) \cong \mathcal{CD}(P)$. \square

Proof of Theorem A. Since $\mathcal{CD}(G)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}(E_{p^n})$, where $n \geq 2$, every interval of length 2 in $\mathcal{CD}(G)$ is a quasi-antichain of width $p+1$. By Theorem 2.3, there exists a prime p_1 such that the width of an interval of length 2 in $\mathcal{CD}(G)$ is $p_1^b + 1$ for some integer b . Hence $p_1 = p$ and $b = 1$. Other results hold obviously. \square

3 Proof of Theorem B

Lemma 3.1. [11, Lemma 1.43] Suppose that G is finite group. If $H, K \leq G$, then

$$m_G(H) \cdot m_G(K) \leq m_G(\langle H, K \rangle) \cdot m_G(H \cap K).$$

Moreover, equality occurs if and only if $\langle H, K \rangle = HK$ and $C_G(H \cap K) = C_G(H)C_G(K)$.

Lemma 3.2. Suppose that G is finite group. If $K \leq H \leq G$, then

$$\frac{m_H(K)}{m_G(K)} \leq \frac{m_H(H)}{m_G(H)}.$$

Moreover, equality occurs if and only if $C_G(K) \leq HC_G(H)$.

Proof By calculation,

$$\frac{m_H(K)}{m_G(K)} = \frac{|K| \cdot |C_H(K)|}{|K| \cdot |C_G(K)|} = \frac{|C_H(K)|}{|C_G(K)|} = \frac{|H \cap C_G(K)|}{|C_G(K)|} = \frac{|H|}{|HC_G(K)|}$$

and

$$\frac{m_H(H)}{m_G(H)} = \frac{|H| \cdot |C_H(H)|}{|H| \cdot |C_G(H)|} = \frac{|C_H(H)|}{|C_G(H)|} = \frac{|H \cap C_G(H)|}{|C_G(H)|} = \frac{|H|}{|HC_G(H)|}.$$

Since $K \leq H$, $C_G(H) \leq C_G(K)$. Hence $|HC_G(H)| \leq |HC_G(K)|$, where equality occurs if and only if $C_G(K) \leq HC_G(H)$. Thus

$$\frac{m_H(K)}{m_G(K)} = \frac{m_H(H)}{m_G(H)} \frac{|HC_G(H)|}{|HC_G(K)|} \leq \frac{m_H(H)}{m_G(H)},$$

where equality occurs if and only if $C_G(K) \leq HC_G(H)$. \square

Lemma 3.3. Suppose that G is finite group, $H \leq G$ such that $G = HC_G(H)$. If $H \in \mathcal{CD}(H)$, then H contains in the unique maximal member of $\mathcal{CD}(G)$.

Proof Let M be the unique maximal member of $\mathcal{CD}(G)$. Since $C_G(M \cap H) \leq G = HC_G(H)$, by Lemma 3.2,

$$\frac{m_H(M \cap H)}{m_G(M \cap H)} = \frac{m_H(H)}{m_G(H)}.$$

Since $H \in \mathcal{CD}(H)$, $m_H(M \cap H) \leq m_H(H)$. It follows that $m_G(M \cap H) \leq m_G(H)$. By Lemma 3.1,

$$m_G(H) \cdot m_G(M) \leq m_G(\langle H, M \rangle) \cdot m_G(M \cap H).$$

It follows that $m_G(\langle H, M \rangle) \geq m_G(M) = m^*(G)$. Hence $\langle H, M \rangle \in \mathcal{CD}(G)$. Since M is maximal, $H \leq M$. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose $H \in \mathcal{CD}(G)$. Then $\mathcal{CD}(H)$ is just the interval $[[Z(H), H]]$ in $\mathcal{CD}(G)$.*

Proof Since $H \in \mathcal{CD}(G)$, $m_G(H) = m^*(G)$. By Lemma 3.2,

$$m_H(H) \geq m_G(H) \frac{m_H(K)}{m_G(K)} = m^*(G) \frac{m_H(K)}{m_G(K)} \geq m_H(K) \quad (1)$$

for all $K \leq H$. It follows that $H \in \mathcal{CD}(H)$ and $m^*(H) = m_H(H)$. Moreover, “=” holds in Equation (1) if and only if $C_G(K) \leq HC_G(H)$ and $m_G(K) = m^*(G)$. Notice that $C_G(K) \leq HC_G(H)$ if and only if $K \geq C_G(HC_G(H)) = H \cap C_G(H) = Z(H)$, and $m_G(K) = m^*(G)$ if and only if $K \in \mathcal{CD}(G)$. $K \in \mathcal{CD}(H)$ if and only if

“=” holds in Equation (1)

if and only if $K \in [[Z(H), H]]$. Hence $\mathcal{CD}(H)$ is just the interval $[[Z(H), H]]$. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Let \mathbb{F} be a field and A, B be $n \times n$ matrices, where $n \geq 3$. If $AZ = ZB$ for all anti-symmetric matrix Z , then A, B are scalar matrices.*

Proof Let $A = (a_{ij})$ and $B = (b_{ij})$. Since $A(E_{ij} - E_{ji}) = (E_{ij} - E_{ji})B$ for $i \neq j$, by calculation, we have $a_{ii} = b_{jj}$ and

$$a_{ki} = a_{kj} = b_{ik} = b_{jk} = 0, \text{ if } k \neq i, j.$$

Since $n \geq 3$, all a_{ki} and b_{ki} are zero if $k \neq i$, and all a_{ii} and b_{ii} are coincide. Hence A and B are scalar matrices. \square

Construction 3.6. *For a prime p , let $P = \langle x, y, w; z_1, z_2, z_3 \mid x^p = y^p = w^p = 1, [x, y] = z_1, [y, w] = z_2, [w, x] = z_3, z_i^p = [z_i, x] = [z_i, y] = [z_i, w] = 1 \text{ where } i = 1, 2, 3 \rangle$. Then it is easy to check that $\Phi(P) = Z(P) = P' = \langle z_1, z_2, z_3 \rangle$ is of order p^3 , $|P| = p^6$, and $\mathcal{CD}(P) = \{P, Z(P)\}$.*

Let G_n be the group which is the central product of n copies of P . Thus G_n has order p^{3n+3} and is generated by $3n$ elements of order p , $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n, w_1, \dots, w_n$ subject to the defining relations:

$$\begin{aligned} [x_i, x_j] &= [y_i, y_j] = [w_i, w_j] = [x_i, y_j] = [y_i, w_j] = [w_i, x_j] = 1 \text{ if } i \neq j, \\ [x_i, y_i] &= z_1, [y_i, z_i] = z_2, [w_i, x_i] = z_3, \\ [z_j, x_i] &= [z_j, y_i] = [z_j, w_i] = 1. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to Check that G_n is a special p -group with $\Phi(G_n) = Z(G_n) = G_n' = \langle z_1, z_2, z_3 \rangle$.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $G = G_n$ which is defined in Construction 3.6. Let $P_i = \langle x_i, y_i, w_i \rangle$, where $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then*

- (1) $G \in \mathcal{CD}(G)$, $m^*(G) = p^{3n+6}$, and $P_i \in \mathcal{CD}(G)$.
- (2) If $H \in \mathcal{CD}(G)$, then $|H| = p^{3m+3}$ for some $0 \leq m \leq n$.

(3) We use F_p to denote the finite field $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. For a vector

$$v = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$$

of F_p^n , we use v^φ to denote the subgroup $\langle \alpha_v, \beta_v, \gamma_v, Z(G) \rangle$, where

$$\alpha_v = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{s_i}, \quad \beta_v = \prod_{i=1}^n y_i^{s_i}, \quad \gamma_v = \prod_{i=1}^n w_i^{s_i}.$$

Let $\tilde{v} = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$. Define an inner product on F_p^n with $\langle v, \tilde{v} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i t_i$. Then $[v^\varphi, \tilde{v}^\varphi] = 1$ if and only if $\langle v, \tilde{v} \rangle = 0$.

- (4) Suppose that U is an m -dimensional subspace of F_p^n . We use U^φ to denote the subgroup $\prod_{u \in U} u^\varphi$ of G . Then $|U^\varphi| = p^{3m+3}$.
- (5) $U^\varphi \in \mathcal{CD}(G)$. Moreover, let

$$U^\perp = \{v \in F_p^n \mid \langle u, v \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } u \in U\}.$$

Then $(U^\perp)^\varphi = C_G(U^\varphi)$.

- (6) If $H \in \mathcal{CD}(G)$, then there exists a subspace U of F_p^n such that $H = U^\varphi$.

Proof (1) It is easy to see that $C_G(P_i) = \prod_{j \neq i} P_j \cong G_{n-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence $m_G(G) = m_G(P_i) = p^{3n+6}$. Since $\mathcal{CD}(P_i) = \{P_i, Z(G)\}$ and $G = P_i C_G(P_i)$, by Lemma 3.3, P_i contains in the unique maximal member of $\mathcal{CD}(G)$. Hence G is the unique maximal member of $\mathcal{CD}(G)$, $m^*(G) = m_G(G) = p^{3n+6}$, and $P_i \in \mathcal{CD}(G)$.

(2) It is trivial for $n = 1$. Assume that $n \geq 2$. Let $Q_n = C_G(P_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} P_i \cong G_{n-1}$. If $P_n \leq H$, then $C_G(H) \leq Q_n$. By Theorem 3.4, $C_G(H) \in \mathcal{CD}(Q_n)$. By induction, $|C_G(H)| = p^{3m+3}$ for some $0 \leq m \leq n-1$. Hence $|H| = m^*(G)/|C_G(H)| = p^{3(n-m)+3}$, where $1 \leq n-m \leq n$. If $P_n \not\leq H$, then, by above argument, $|HP_n| = p^{3m+3}$ for some $1 \leq m \leq n$. By Theorem 3.4, $H \cap P_n \in \mathcal{CD}(P_n)$. Since $\mathcal{CD}(P_n) = \{P_n, Z(G)\}$ and $P_n \not\leq H$, $H \cap P_n = Z(G)$. Hence

$$|H| = \frac{|HP_n| \cdot |H \cap P_n|}{|P_n|} = p^{3(m-1)+3}.$$

(3) By calculation,

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha_v, \beta_{\tilde{v}}] &= [\alpha_{\tilde{v}}, \beta_v] = z_1^{\langle v, \tilde{v} \rangle}, \\ [\beta_v, \gamma_{\tilde{v}}] &= [\beta_{\tilde{v}}, \gamma_v] = z_2^{\langle v, \tilde{v} \rangle}, \\ [\gamma_v, \alpha_{\tilde{v}}] &= [\gamma_{\tilde{v}}, \alpha_v] = z_3^{\langle v, \tilde{v} \rangle}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $[\alpha_v, \alpha_{\tilde{v}}] = [\beta_v, \beta_{\tilde{v}}] = [\gamma_v, \gamma_{\tilde{v}}] = 1$, $[v^\varphi, \tilde{v}^\varphi] = 1$ if and only if $\langle v, \tilde{v} \rangle = 0$.

(4) Let

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \langle \alpha_u \mid u \in U \rangle Z(G), \\ B &= \langle \beta_u \mid u \in U \rangle Z(G), \\ C &= \langle \gamma_u \mid u \in U \rangle Z(G). \end{aligned}$$

Then $|A/Z(G)| = |B/Z(G)| = |C/Z(G)| = p^m$. Since

$$U^\varphi/Z(G) = A/Z(G) \times B/Z(G) \times C/Z(G),$$

$|U^\varphi/Z(G)| = p^{3m}$. Hence $|U^\varphi| = p^{3m+3}$.

(5) Notice that U^\perp is an $(n-m)$ -dimensional subspace of F_p^n . By (4), $|(U^\perp)^\varphi| = p^{3(n-m)+3}$. By (3), $[(U^\perp)^\varphi, U^\varphi] = 1$. Hence

$$m_G(U^\varphi) = |U^\varphi| \cdot |C_G(U^\varphi)| \geq |U^\varphi| \cdot |(U^\perp)^\varphi| = p^{3n+6} = m^*(G).$$

It follows that $U^\varphi \in \mathcal{CD}(G)$ and $C_G(U^\varphi) = (U^\perp)^\varphi$.

(6) If $n = 1$, then the conclusion is trivial. In the following, we assume that $n \geq 2$. Let $Q_n = C_G(P_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} P_i \cong G_{n-1}$.

Case 1. $H \leq Q_n$.

By Theorem 3.4, $H \in \mathcal{CD}(Q_n)$. By induction, there exists a subspace U of $F_p^{n-1} \times \{0\} \subset F_p^n$ such that $H = U^\varphi$.

Case 2. $P_n \leq H$.

Notice that $C_G(H) \leq C_G(P_n) = Q_n$. By Case 1, there exists a subspace U of $F_p^{n-1} \times \{0\} \subset F_p^n$ such that $C_G(H) = U^\varphi$. By (5), $H = C_G(C_G(H)) = (U^\perp)^\varphi$.

Case 3. $H \not\leq Q_n$ and $P_n \not\leq H$.

By (2), $|H| = p^{3m+3}$ for some $1 \leq m \leq n-1$. Also by (2), $|HQ_n| = p^{3m'+3}$ for some $n-1 \leq m' \leq n$. Since $HQ_n > Q_n$, $m' = n$ and hence $HQ_n = G$.

Let $H_1 = HP_n$, $H_2 = HP_n \cap Q_n$ and $H_3 = H \cap Q_n$. Since $H \cap P_n \in \llbracket Z(P_n), P_n \rrbracket$, by Theorem 3.4, $H \cap P_n \in \mathcal{CD}(P_n) = \{P_n, Z(G)\}$. It follows that $H \cap P_n = Z(G)$. Hence

$$|H_1| = |HP_n| = \frac{|H| \cdot |P_n|}{|H \cap P_n|} = p^{3(m+1)+3}.$$

Since $H_1Q_n = HQ_n = G$,

$$|H_2| = |H_1 \cap Q_n| = \frac{|H_1| \cdot |Q_n|}{|H_1Q_n|} = p^{3m+3}$$

and

$$|H_3| = |H \cap Q_n| = \frac{|H| \cdot |Q_n|}{|HQ_n|} = p^{3(m-1)+3}.$$

By Case 1, there exist an m -dimensional subspace U_2 and an $(m-1)$ -dimensional subspace U_3 of $F_p^n \times \{0\} \subset F_p^n$ such that $H_2 = U_2^\varphi$ and $H_3 = U_3^\varphi$. Since $H_3 \leq H_2$, $U_3 \leq U_2$. Hence there exists a vector $u \in F_p^n \times \{0\} \subset F_p^n$ such that $H_2 = H_3u^\varphi$. Let $H^* = H \cap u^\varphi P_n$. Then

$$H = H \cap HP_n = H \cap H_2P_n = H \cap H_3u^\varphi P_n = H_3(H \cap u^\varphi P_n) = H_3H^*.$$

Since $H^*P_n = (H \cap u^\varphi P_n)P_n = HP_n \cap u^\varphi P_n = u^\varphi P_n$, we may assume that $H^* = \langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma, Z(G) \rangle$ where

$$\alpha = \alpha_u x_n^{a_{11}} y_n^{a_{12}} w_n^{a_{13}}, \quad \beta = \beta_u x_n^{a_{21}} y_n^{a_{22}} w_n^{a_{23}}, \quad \gamma = \gamma_u x_n^{a_{31}} y_n^{a_{32}} w_n^{a_{33}}.$$

Since $H_2 = H_3 u^\varphi$,

$$H u^\varphi = H H_3 u^\varphi = H H_2 = H(HP_n \cap Q_n) = HP_n \cap H Q_n = HP_n.$$

Hence

$$H^* u^\varphi = (H \cap u^\varphi P_n) u^\varphi = H u^\varphi \cap u^\varphi P_n = u^\varphi P_n.$$

It follows that the matrix $(a_{ij})_{3 \times 3}$ is invertible.

Let $K = C_G(H)$. Then $P_n \not\leq K$ and $K \not\leq Q_n$. Similarly, there exist a vector $v \in F_p^n \times \{0\} \subset F_p^n$ such that $K = (K \cap Q_n)(K \cap v^\varphi P_n)$, and we may assume that $K \cap v^\varphi P_n = \langle \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}, Z(G) \rangle$ where

$$\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha_v x_n^{b_{11}} y_n^{b_{12}} w_n^{b_{13}}, \quad \tilde{\beta} = \beta_v x_n^{b_{21}} y_n^{b_{22}} w_n^{b_{23}}, \quad \tilde{\gamma} = \gamma_v x_n^{b_{31}} y_n^{b_{32}} w_n^{b_{33}}.$$

The matrix $(b_{ij})_{3 \times 3}$ is also invertible.

For convenience, in the following, the operation of the group G is written as addition.

Hence

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_u \\ \beta_u \\ \gamma_u \end{pmatrix} + A \begin{pmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \\ z_n \end{pmatrix}$$

where $A = (a_{ij})_{3 \times 3}$, and $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}) = (\alpha_v, \beta_v, \gamma_v) + (x_n, y_n, z_n)B^T$ where $B = (b_{ij})_{3 \times 3}$. Notice that $[u^\varphi, P_n] = [v^\varphi, P_n] = 0$. By calculation, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} [\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}] & [\alpha, \tilde{\beta}] & [\alpha, \tilde{\gamma}] \\ [\beta, \tilde{\alpha}] & [\beta, \tilde{\beta}] & [\beta, \tilde{\gamma}] \\ [\gamma, \tilde{\alpha}] & [\gamma, \tilde{\beta}] & [\gamma, \tilde{\gamma}] \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} [\alpha_u, \alpha_v] & [\alpha_u, \beta_v] & [\alpha_u, \gamma_v] \\ [\beta_u, \alpha_v] & [\beta_u, \beta_v] & [\beta_u, \gamma_v] \\ [\gamma_u, \alpha_v] & [\gamma_u, \beta_v] & [\gamma_u, \gamma_v] \end{pmatrix} + AZB^T \quad (2)$$

where

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} [x_n, x_n] & [x_n, y_n] & [x_n, w_n] \\ [y_n, x_n] & [y_n, y_n] & [y_n, w_n] \\ [w_n, x_n] & [w_n, y_n] & [w_n, w_n] \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z_1 & z_2 \\ -z_1 & 0 & -z_3 \\ z_3 & -z_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $[H^*, K \cap v^\varphi P_n] = 0$, the left of Equation (2) equals to $O_{3 \times 3}$. Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} [\alpha_u, \alpha_v] & [\alpha_u, \beta_v] & [\alpha_u, \gamma_v] \\ [\beta_u, \alpha_v] & [\beta_u, \beta_v] & [\beta_u, \gamma_v] \\ [w_n, x_n] & [\gamma_u, \beta_v] & [\gamma_u, \gamma_v] \end{pmatrix} = \langle u, v \rangle Z,$$

we have

$$AZB^T = -\langle u, v \rangle Z \quad (3)$$

Since A is invertible, we have $ZB^T = -\langle u, v \rangle A^{-1}Z$. By Lemma 3.5, A is a scalar matrix. Let $u^* = u + (0, \dots, 0, a_{11})$. Then $H^* = (u^*)^\varphi$. Let $U = U_3 + \text{span}(u^*)$. Then $H = H_3 H^* = U^\varphi$. \square

Proof of Theorem B. Let $G = G_n$ which is defined in Construction 3.6. By Theorem 3.7, $\mathcal{CD}(G)$ is isomorphic to the subspace lattice of F_p^n , which is isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}(E_{p^n})$.
 \square

References

- [1] L. An, Groups whose Chermak-Delgado lattice is a quasi-antichain, *J. Group Theory*, 22(2019), no.3, 529-544.
- [2] L. An, J. Brenna, H. Qu, E. Wilcox, Chermak-Delgado lattice extension theorems, *Comm. Algebra*, 43(2015), no.5, 2201-2213.
- [3] B. Brewster, P. Hauck, E. Wilcox, Groups whose Chermak-Delgado lattice is a chain, *J. Group Theory*, 17(2014), no.2, 253-265.
- [4] B. Brewster, P. Hauck, E. Wilcox, Quasi-antichain Chermak-Delgado lattice of finite groups, *Arch. Math.*, 103(2014), no.4, 301-311.
- [5] B. Brewster and E. Wilcox, Some groups with computable Chermak-Delgado lattices, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 86(2012), no.1, 29-40.
- [6] E. Brush, J. Dietz, K. Johnson-Tesch and B. Power, On the Chermak-Delgado lattices of split metacyclic p -groups, *Involve*, 9(2016), no.5, 765-782.
- [7] A. Chermak, A. Delgado, A measuring argument for finite groups, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 107(1989), no.4, 907-914.
- [8] W. Coker, Subnormality and the Chermak-Delgado lattice, *J. Algebra Appl.*, 19(2020), no.8, 2050141, 7 pp.
- [9] G. Glauberman, Centrally large subgroups of finite p -groups, *J. Algebra*, 300 (2006), 480-508.
- [10] P. Hall, The classification of prime-power group, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 182(1940), 130-141.
- [11] I.M. Isaacs, *Finite Group Theory*, American Mathematical Society, 2008.
- [12] R. McCulloch, Chermak-Delgado simple groups, *Comm. Algebra*, 45(2017), no.3, 983-991.
- [13] R. McCulloch, Finite groups with a trivial Chermak-Delgado subgroup, *J. Group Theory*, 21(2018), no.3, 449-461.
- [14] R. McCulloch and M. Tărnăuceanu, Two classes of finite groups whose Chermak-Delgado lattice is a chain of length zero, *Comm. Algebra*, 46 (2018), no.7, 3092-3096.

- [15] A. Morresi Zuccari, V. Russo, and C.M. Scoppola, The Chermak-Delgado measure in finite p -groups, *J. Algebra*, 502(2018), 262-276.
- [16] M. Tărnăuceanu, The Chermak-Delgado lattice of ZM-groups, *Results Math.*, 72(2017), no.4, 1849-1855.
- [17] M. Tărnăuceanu, A note on the Chermak-Delgado lattice of a finite group, *Comm. Algebra*, 46(2018), no.1, 201-204.
- [18] M. Tărnăuceanu, Finite groups with a certain number of values of the Chermak-Delgado measure, *J. Algebra Appl.*, 19 (2020), no.5, 2050088, 7 pp.