

# DEPENDENCE AND ALGEBRAICITY OVER SUBGROUPS OF FREE GROUPS

AMNON ROSENMANN AND ENRIC VENTURA CAPELL

ABSTRACT. An element  $g$  of a free group  $F$  depends on a subgroup  $H < F$ , or is algebraic over  $H$ , if it satisfies a univariate equation over  $H$ . Equivalently, when  $H$  is finitely-generated, the rank of  $\langle H, g \rangle$  is at most the rank of  $H$ . We study the elements that depend on subgroups of  $F$ , the subgroups they generate and the equations that they satisfy.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Given a subgroup  $H$  of a free group  $F$  and an element  $g \in F$ , we say that  $g$  depends on  $H$ , or that  $g$  is algebraic over  $H$ , if it satisfies a univariate equation over  $H$ . Equivalently, when  $H$  is finitely-generated, the rank of  $\langle H, g \rangle$  is at most the rank of  $H$ . When  $H$  is finitely generated then the set of elements that depend on  $H$  is a union of finitely-many double cosets. The subgroup generated by these elements is of rank at most the rank of  $H$  and a set of generators for this subgroup can be effectively computed. We also show that a dependence sequence of subgroups, i.e. when each subgroup is generated by the elements that depend on the previous subgroup, stabilizes after finitely-many steps in a subgroup which is dependence-closed.

When  $g$  depends on  $H < F$  then the set of equations over  $H$  satisfied by  $g$  is a normal subgroup of the free product  $H * \langle x \rangle$ , and we show how one can compute a set of normal generators for this subgroup. We conclude by showing that there is a bound  $n$ , which can be effectively computed, such that every element  $g$  that depends on  $H$  satisfies an equation of degrees at most  $n$  over  $H$ .

## 2. THE DEPENDENT SUBGROUP

**2.1. Notation and definitions.** Throughout the paper,  $F$  denotes a free group. The free group on a set of free generators (a basis)  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  is denoted  $F = F(A)$  or  $F = F(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ . For a subgroup  $H < F$  we write  $H = \langle h_1, \dots, h_r \rangle$  when  $H$  is generated (not necessarily freely) by  $h_1, \dots, h_r$ . The rank of  $H < K$ , that is, the cardinality of a basis of  $H$ , is denoted by  $\text{rk } H$ .

---

Key words and phrases: dependence on a subgroup of a free group, algebraic extension, dependence closure, equations over free groups.

When  $H < F = F(A)$  then we denote by  $\Gamma_A(H)$  the **Schreier coset graph** of  $H$  with respect to the basis  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  of  $F$ , or just by  $\Gamma(H)$  (see [MKS66]). Each vertex  $v \in V(\Gamma_A(H))$  represents a right coset of  $H$  and is adjacent to  $2n$  directed edges labelled  $a_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, a_n^{\pm 1}$  going out of  $v$ . A directed edge  $e$  with initial vertex  $v$  and terminal vertex  $w$  that is labelled by  $a_j$  is labelled by  $a_j^{-1}$  in the opposite direction from  $w$  to  $v$ . The vertex labelled with 1 represents the coset  $H1$  (1 being the trivial element of  $F$ ) and is designated as the root of the graph. A vertex  $v \in V(\Gamma_A(H))$  may be labelled with respect to the minimal path from the root to  $v$ , where "minimal" means of minimal length and with some lexical ordering on the letters of  $A \cup A^{-1}$  as a tiebreaker. We also treat  $v$  according to its label as a group element of  $F$  (the minimal group element in its coset). If  $\gamma$  is a path starting at the root of  $\Gamma_A(H)$  then the word  $w = a_{i_1}^{\pm 1} \cdots a_{i_s}^{\pm 1}$  that is read off along the path represents an element of  $H$  if and only if  $\gamma$  is a closed path (a cycle) that terminates at the root. In general, two right cosets  $Hg$  and  $Hg'$  are equal if and only if the two paths in  $\Gamma_A(H)$  that start at the root and with edge labels that form the two words  $g$  and  $g'$  end at the same vertex of  $\Gamma_A(H)$ .

The **core** of the graph  $\Gamma_A(H)$  (or **Stallings subgroup graph** [Sta83]), denoted  $C_A(H)$  or just  $C(H)$ , is the minimal connected subgraph containing the root and all non-trivial reduced cycles (the infinite hanging trees are chopped). As is known,  $\text{rk } H = b_1(\Gamma_A(H))$ , the first Betti number, or the cyclomatic number (number of cycles), of  $\Gamma_A(H)$  (or, equivalently, of  $C_A(H)$ ). Note that  $\text{rk } H < \infty$  if and only if  $C_A(H)$  is finite.

In [Ros01] the following notion was introduced.

**Definition 2.1** (Dependence on a subgroup). An element  $g \in F$  **depends** on  $H$  if the following equivalent conditions hold:

- (1)  $g$  satisfies a univariate equation  $w(x) = 1$ , where  $w(x) \in H * F(x)$ .
- (2) The group homomorphism  $\varphi_g : H * F(x) \rightarrow \langle H, g \rangle$ ,  $h \mapsto h$  for  $h \in H$  and  $x \mapsto g$ , is not injective.
- (3) There exists a finitely-generated  $H' < H$  such that  $\text{rk } \langle H', g \rangle \leq \text{rk } H'$ .

We denote by  $\text{dep } H$  the set of elements of  $F$  that depend on  $H$ . Note that  $\text{dep } H$  does not necessarily form a subgroup, e.g. when  $H = \langle a^{10}, b^{10} \rangle$  then  $a, b \in \text{dep } H$  but  $ab \notin \text{dep } H$ . We then denote by  $\text{Dep } H = \langle \text{dep } H \rangle$  the **dependent subgroup** of  $H$ , i.e. the subgroup generated by the elements that depend on  $H$ . When  $H, G < F$  then  $\text{Dep}_G H$  is the subgroup generated by all the elements of  $G$  that depend on  $H$ . We have  $\text{Dep}_G H < (\text{Dep } H) \cap G$  and the inclusion may be strict.

**Example 2.2.** When  $1 \neq H \triangleleft F$  or when  $H$  is of finite index in  $F$  then  $\text{Dep } H = F$ .

When  $H, G < F$  then  $\text{Dep}(H \cap G) < \text{Dep } H \cap \text{Dep } G$ , and the inclusion may be strict, as shown in the following example.

**Example 2.3.** Let  $F = F(a, b, c)$ ,  $H = \langle aba^{-1}, b \rangle$ ,  $G = \langle aca^{-1}, c \rangle$ . Then  $\text{Dep } H = \langle a, b \rangle$ ,  $\text{Dep } G = \langle a, c \rangle$ . Thus,  $H \cap G = \text{Dep } (H \cap G) = 1$  but  $\text{Dep } H \cap \text{Dep } G = \langle a \rangle$ .

In [KM02] the term **algebraic extension** was introduced (see also [MVW07]): when  $H < G < F$  then  $G$  is an algebraic extension of  $H$  if there is no  $K$  such that  $H < K < G$  and  $K$  is a (proper) free factor of  $G$ . Since  $g$  depends on  $H$  if and only if  $\langle H, g \rangle$  is algebraic over  $H$ , we may say that an element  $g$  that depends on  $H$  is **algebraic** over  $H$ . In fact, when  $g$  depends on  $H$  then  $g$  is  $G$ -algebraic over  $H$  (i.e. every free factor of  $G$  that contains  $H$  contains also  $g$ ) for every extension  $G$  of  $H$ , but the other way round does not necessarily hold. For example, when  $H = \langle a^2b^2 \rangle$  and  $F = F(a, b)$  then  $F$  is an algebraic extension of  $H$  but  $\text{Dep } H = H$ .

In [OHV16] the term **algebraic closure** is used in the more traditional sense to denote the set of all group elements that depend on  $H$ , similar to what we call  $\text{dep } H$  according to Definition 2.1 (1), but with the restriction that the corresponding equations should have only finitely-many solutions. Note, however, that when  $g$  is algebraic over  $H$  according to [OHV16] then the fact that it satisfies an equation over  $H$  with finitely-many solutions does not imply that  $|\langle H, g \rangle : H| < \infty$ , in contrast to the analogous simple algebraic extension in field theory, which is always a finite extension.

## 2.2. The rank of the dependent subgroup.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $(H_i)_{i \geq 0}$  be a sequence of finitely-generated subgroups of a free group satisfying  $H_i < H_{i+1}$  and  $\text{rk } H_i \geq \text{rk } H_{i+1}$ , for each  $i$ . Let  $H = \cup_i H_i$ . Then  $\text{rk } H = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{rk } H_i$  and furthermore, there exists  $m$ , such that  $H = H_i$  for  $i \geq m$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $r_i = \text{rk } H_i$ , for each  $i$ , and let  $r_H = \text{rk } H$ . The sequence  $(r_i)$  is monotone decreasing and bounded, thus having a limit  $r$ . If  $r_H > r$  there exists a free factor  $K$  of  $H$  of rank  $r + 1$ . It follows that there exists  $l$ , such that for each  $i \geq l$ ,  $K < H_i$  and, moreover,  $K$  is a free factor of  $H_i$ . But then  $r_i > r$ , for  $i \geq l$ , in contradiction to  $r = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} r_i$ . Thus,  $r_H \leq r$ , and if  $S$  is a finite set of free generators of  $H$ , there exists  $m$ , such that  $S \subset H_i$  for each  $i \geq m$ . Hence  $H = H_i$  for each  $i \geq m$  and  $r_H = r$ . □

For the next proposition we refer also to [Ros01].

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $H < F$  be finitely-generated. Then  $\text{rk } \text{Dep } H \leq \text{rk } H$ .*

*Proof.* There are countably-many elements  $(g_i)_{i \geq 1}$  that depend on  $H$ . Let  $(H_i)_{i \geq 0}$  be the sequence of subgroups satisfying  $H_0 = H$  and  $H_{i+1} = \langle H_i, g_{i+1} \rangle$ , for  $i > 0$ . Since  $g_{i+1} \in \text{dep } H$  then  $g_{i+1} \in \text{dep } H_i$ , hence  $\text{rk } H_{i+1} \leq \text{rk } H_i$ , for each  $i$ . That is, the sequence  $(H_i)_{i \geq 0}$  is non-decreasing while the sequence  $(\text{rk } H_i)_{i \geq 0}$  is non-increasing. The result then follows by Lemma 2.4. □

### 2.3. Computing a generating set for the dependent subgroup.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $H < F$  be finitely-generated. One can effectively compute a finite set of generators for  $\text{Dep } H$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $H$  is finitely-generated then it is a subgroup of a finitely-generated factor subgroup  $K$  of  $F$  and  $\text{Dep } H < K$ . Thus, we may assume that  $F$  is also finitely-generated on some set  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ .

Given  $g \in F$ , we want to check whether  $\text{rk } \langle H, g \rangle \leq \text{rk } H$ . One way to do it is through Nielsen-Schreier transformations [LS01]. Another way is in a graph-theoretic setting. We add to the root of the (finite) core  $C(H) = C_A(H)$  of  $\Gamma_A(H)$  a  $g$ -cycle. We then fold the graph by identifying edges of the same label that emerge from the same vertex, and finally we count the number of cycles in the resulting graph.

A necessary and sufficient condition for  $g$  to be in  $H$  is that the  $g$ -cycle maps into  $C(H)$ , possibly while forming loops. Otherwise, we check whether there exists a decomposition of  $g$  as  $g = g_1 g_2^{-1}$  in reduced form (where  $g_1$  or  $g_2$  may be 1), such that both the  $g_1$ -path and the  $g_2$ -path start at the root and stay within  $C(H)$ . In order to find such a decomposition we first form the  $g_1$ -path by starting at the root and extending the path according to the letters of  $g$  as long as we can within  $C(H)$ . Suppose we end at the vertex  $u$ . We then form in a similar way the  $g_2$ -path where  $g_2 = g^{-1} g_1$ . Now there are two possible cases. If the  $g_2$ -path cannot stay within  $C(H)$  then we know that a cycle labelled  $g$  that starts and ends at the root must stand out of  $C(H)$ , implying that  $b_1(\Gamma(\langle H, g \rangle)) = b_1(\Gamma(\langle H \rangle)) + 1$  and  $g$  does not depend on  $H$ . Otherwise, the  $g_2$ -path ends at a vertex  $v \in C(H)$ . What is left to do is to form a loop by identifying  $u$  with  $v$ , perform the necessary folding, and check whether the resulting graph  $C(H)/(u = v)$ , the induced quotient graph of  $C(H)$ , is of rank at most the rank of  $C(H)$ , and then  $g$  depends on  $H$ , or the rank increases, implying that  $g$  does not depend on  $H$ .

Let now

$$(2.1) \quad P = \{(u, v) \in V(C(H)) \times V(C(H)) : b_1(C(H)/(u = v)) \leq b_1(C(H))\}.$$

In fact, we may be satisfied with a smaller set  $P$  since identifying  $u$  with  $v$  is the same as identifying  $ua_i^\varepsilon$  with  $va_i^\varepsilon$ ,  $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$ , as long as  $ua_i^\varepsilon$  and  $va_i^\varepsilon$  are in  $C(H)$ . We claim that

$$(2.2) \quad \text{Dep } H = \langle H, \{uv^{-1} : (u, v) \in P\} \rangle.$$

Indeed, if  $g$  is associated with the pair  $(u, v)$  as above then by the definition of a coset graph there exist  $h_1, h_2 \in H$  such that  $g = h_1 u (h_2 v)^{-1} = h_1 u v^{-1} h_2^{-1}$ , an element of the double coset  $H u v^{-1} H$ , which is included in the above generated subgroup.  $\square$

As we have seen, we can write the set of elements that depend on  $H < F$  as a finite union of double cosets

$$(2.3) \quad \text{dep } H = \bigcup_{(u,v) \in P} Huv^{-1}H,$$

where  $P$  is defined in (2.1). For example, the pair  $(1, 1)$  represents the double coset  $H1H = H$  and gives all the members of  $H$  as dependent on  $H$ .

The next proposition is about free products.

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let the free group  $F$  be factored as  $F = F_1 * F_2$  and let  $H = H_1 * H_2$ , where  $H_i < F_i$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ . Let also*

$$\text{dep } H_i = \bigcup_{(u_i, v_i) \in P_i} H_i u_i v_i^{-1} H_i,$$

for  $i = 1, 2$ . Then

$$\text{dep } H = \bigcup_{(u,v) \in P_1 \cup P_2} Huv^{-1}H.$$

*Proof.* Since  $F = F_1 * F_2$  and  $H_1 < F_1$ ,  $H_2 < F_2$  then  $C(H)$ , the core of  $\Gamma(H)$ , is  $C(H) = C(H_1) \wedge C(H_2)$ , the wedge of the core graphs  $C(H_1)$  and  $C(H_2)$  with a common root 1. By (2.3),  $\text{dep } H = \bigcup_{(u,v) \in P} Huv^{-1}H$ , where  $P$  is as in (2.1). Clearly  $P_1 \cup P_2 \subseteq P$ . On the other hand, when  $u$  and  $v$  do not belong to the same core  $C(H_1)$  or  $C(H_2)$ , e.g.  $1 \neq u \in C(H_1)$  and  $1 \neq v \in C(H_2)$ , then by identifying  $u$  and  $v$  we form a cycle and since the edges in  $C(H_1)$  and  $C(H_2)$  are of disjoint labels, no edges are identified and no folding occurs, so that the rank of the corresponding subgroup is greater than the rank of  $H$ . □

**2.4. Echelon subgroups.** In [DV96] the notion of an **inert subgroup** was introduced. A subgroup  $H < F$  is inert if, for every  $G < F$ ,  $\text{rk}(G \cap H) \leq \text{rk}(G)$ . When this property holds for every  $G$  that contains  $H$  then  $H$  is called **compressed** (see [DV96]). Of course, when  $H$  is inert then it is also compressed. An example of a subgroup which is inert is the fixed subgroup of an automorphism of a free group [DV96]. This subgroup is in an **echelon form** [Ros13]. Let  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ , let  $F = F(A)$ , and let  $F_i = \langle a_1, \dots, a_i \rangle$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, n$ , where  $F_0 = \langle 1 \rangle$ . For  $H < F$ , let  $H_i = H \cap F_i$ , for each  $i$ . Then  $H$  is in echelon form with respect to (the ordered basis)  $A$  if for every  $i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we have  $\text{rk } H_i - \text{rk } H_{i-1} \leq 1$ .

**Proposition 2.8.** *If  $H < F$  is in echelon form then so is  $\text{Dep } H$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $F$  be freely generated by the ordered basis  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  and let  $F_i$  and  $H_i$  be as above. Let also  $D_i = (\text{Dep } H) \cap F_i$ , for every  $i$ . Since  $H < \text{Dep } H$  then, as in the proof of Proposition 3.8 in [Ros13], for each  $i$ ,  $\text{rk } H_i \leq \text{rk } D_i$ . But by Proposition 2.5,  $\text{rk } \text{Dep } H \leq \text{rk } H$ , hence, for every  $i$ ,  $\text{rk } H_i = \text{rk } D_i$ . It implies that  $\text{rk } D_i - \text{rk } D_{i-1} \leq 1$  for every  $i$ , which means that  $\text{Dep } H$  is in echelon form. □

## 3. DEPENDENCE CLOSURE

The notion of dependence closure appears in [Ros01] and it equals the elementary algebraic closure of [MVW07].

**Definition 3.1** (Dependence closure). A subgroup  $H$  is **dependence-closed**, if  $H = \text{Dep } H$ . Given  $G < F$ , we say that  $H_G$  is dependence-closed if no element of the set difference  $G \setminus H$  depends on  $H$ .

**Example 3.2.** When  $H$  is a free factor of  $G$  then  $H_G$  is dependence-closed.

**Example 3.3.** Let  $F = F(a, b)$ . Then the subgroups  $H = \langle a^2b^2 \rangle$ , and  $G = \langle [a, b] \rangle$  are dependence-closed. However, since there is no proper factor of  $F$  which contains  $H$  or  $G$  then the algebraic closure (according to [MVW07]) of these subgroups is  $F$  itself.

The following three propositions are straightforward and the proofs are left to the reader.

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $H < G < K$ . If  $H_G$  is dependence-closed and  $G_K$  is dependence-closed then  $H_K$  is dependence-closed.*

**Proposition 3.5.** *If  $H_K$  is dependence-closed and  $G_L$  is dependence-closed then  $(H \cap G)_{K \cap L}$  is dependence-closed.*

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{DC} = \mathcal{DC}(F)$  be the set of dependence-closed subgroups of  $F$ . The following statements hold.*

- (1) *If  $H \in \mathcal{DC}$  then  $H$  is malnormal:  $H^g \cap H = 1$  for every  $g \in F \setminus H$ .*
- (2) *If  $H \in \mathcal{DC}$  then  $H$  is pure (root-closed, radical-closed): if  $g^n \in H$ ,  $n \neq 0$ , then  $g \in H$ .*
- (3) *If  $H \in \mathcal{DC}$  and  $H \not\leq G$ , then  $|G : H| = \infty$ .*
- (4) *If  $H \in \mathcal{DC}$  and  $K$  is a free factor of  $H$  then  $K \in \mathcal{DC}$ .*
- (5) *If  $H, G \in \mathcal{DC}$  then  $H \cap G \in \mathcal{DC}$ .*

The next proposition follows from Proposition 2.7.

**Proposition 3.7.** *For  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $F_i$  be a free group, let  $H_i < F_i$  and let  $\mathcal{DC}(F_i)$  be the set of dependence-closed subgroups of  $F_i$ . If  $H_i \in \mathcal{DC}(F_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , then  $H_1 * H_2 \in \mathcal{DC}(F_1 * F_2)$ .*

Let  $H_0 = H$  and let  $(H_i)_{i \geq 0}$  be the dependence sequence of subgroups  $H_{i+1} = \text{Dep } H_i = \text{Dep}^{i+1}(H)$ . Let  $\widehat{\text{Dep}} H = \cup_i H_i$  be the **dependence closure** of  $H$ .

**Proposition 3.8.** *Let  $H < F$  be finitely generated.*

- (1) *There exists a computable minimal  $m \geq 0$ , the **dependence length** of  $H$ , such that  $\widehat{\text{Dep}} H = \text{Dep}^m(H)$ .*
- (2)  *$\text{rk } \widehat{\text{Dep}} H \leq \text{rk } H$  and one can compute effectively a basis for  $\widehat{\text{Dep}} H$ .*

*Proof.* The result follows from Lemma 2.4, Proposition 2.5 and Theorem 2.6. In fact, since the core graph  $C(H)$  is finite and we form a sequence of quotient graphs by identifying vertices, as long as the number of cycles does not increase, then clearly this process is finite.  $\square$

**Remark 3.9.** The dependence closure  $\widehat{\text{Dep}} H$  is exactly the elementary algebraic extension closure of [MVW07].

**Proposition 3.10.** *For every  $m \geq 0$  there exists a subgroup  $H < F = F(a, b)$  with dependence length  $m$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha_1$  be the sequence of the first  $10^{100}$  positive digits of  $\pi$ , ignoring the decimal point and the zeros, and let  $n_1$  be the positive integer consisting of these digits:

$$\alpha_1 = (3, 1, 4, 1, 5, 9, \dots).$$

$$n_1 = 314159\dots$$

For  $i \geq 2$ , let  $\alpha_i$  be the sequence of the next  $10^{n_{i-1}}$  positive digits of  $\pi$  and let  $n_i$  be the integer consisting of the digits of  $\alpha_i$ . Similarly, for  $i \geq 0$ , let the sequences  $\beta_i$  and the numbers  $l_i$  be formed from the positive digits of Euler's number  $e$ .

Given a sequence of positive integers  $\alpha = (a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_{2r})$ , we define a word in the variables  $y, z$

$$w_\alpha(y, z) = y^{a_1} z^{a_2} y^{a_3} z^{a_4} \dots y^{a_{2r-1}} z^{a_{2r}}.$$

Let us now form a sequence of elements  $h_i$  and  $g_i$  of  $F$ . Let

$$h_0 = g_0 = w_{\beta_0}(a, b) = a^2 b^7 a^1 b^8 a^2 b^8 \dots$$

For  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , let

$$g_i = w_{\alpha_i}(a, b),$$

that is,

$$g_1 = w_{\alpha_1}(a, b) = a^3 b^1 a^4 b^1 a^5 b^9 \dots,$$

and so on. For  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , let

$$h_i = w_{\beta_i}(g_i, g_{i-1}).$$

Note that the  $g_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , satisfy the equations

$$(3.1) \quad w_{\beta_i}(x, g_{i-1}) h_i^{-1} = 1.$$

Let now

$$H = \langle h_0, \dots, h_m \rangle.$$

Clearly,  $g_1 \in \text{dep } H$  since it solves the equation  $w_{\beta_1}(x, h_0) h_1^{-1} = 1$ . Moreover, for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , by (3.1), by the lengths of the words and the randomness of the sequences that are used to build the group elements and by induction,

$$g_i \in \text{Dep}^i(H) \setminus \text{Dep}^{i-1}(H).$$

For example, for  $i = 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} g_2 &= w_{\alpha_2}(a, b), \\ h_2 &= w_{\beta_2}(g_2, g_1), \end{aligned}$$

and so  $g_1 \in \text{Dep } H \setminus H$  and  $g_2 \in \text{Dep}^2(H) \setminus \text{Dep } H$ . It follows that the dependence length of  $H$  is  $m$ .  $\square$

#### 4. EQUATIONS FOR THE DEPENDENT ELEMENTS

Let  $\mathbb{Z} = F(x)$  be the free abelian group of rank 1 generated by  $x$ . An **equation** in the variable  $x$  over a subgroup  $H < F$  is an expression of the form  $w(x) = 1$ , where  $w(x) \in H * \mathbb{Z}$  (see e.g. [Lyn60]). An element  $g \in F$  is a **solution** of  $w(x) = 1$  if  $w(g) = 1$ . A complicated algorithm for solving equations in free groups was developed by Makanin [Mak82] and Razborov [Raz87, Raz95].

For  $g \in \text{dep } H$ , let  $\text{Eqn}(H, g)$  be the set of equations  $w(x) \in H * \mathbb{Z}$  for which  $g$  is a solution. It is easy to see that  $\text{Eqn}(H, g)$  forms a normal subgroup of  $H * \mathbb{Z}$ .

When  $w(x) = h_1 x^{i_1} h_2 x^{i_2} \cdots h_m x^{i_m} h_{m+1}$ , where  $h_j \in H$  for  $j = 1, \dots, m+1$ ,  $h_j \neq 1$  for  $j = 2, \dots, m$ , and  $i_j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , then the **degree** of  $w(x)$  (or of  $w(x) = 1$ ) is  $\sum_{j=1}^m |i_j|$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Given  $H < F$  of rank  $r$  and an element  $g \in \text{dep } H$ , one can effectively compute a normal basis of size  $s \leq r$  for  $\text{Eqn}(H, g)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{h_1, \dots, h_r\}$  be a set of free generators for  $H$  and consider the group homomorphism  $\varphi_g : H * \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \langle H, g \rangle$  defined by  $h_i \mapsto h_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$  and  $x \mapsto g$ . Then apply Nielsen-Schreier transformations to the elements  $h_1, \dots, h_r, g$  to compute a set  $\{b_1, \dots, b_s\}$  of size  $1 \leq s \leq r$  of free generators for the subgroup  $\langle H, g \rangle$ . Assume also, without loss of generality, that  $h_1, \dots, h_s$  are transformed to  $b_1, \dots, b_s$ , respectively, while  $h_{s+1}, \dots, h_r, g$  are reduced to 1. Now apply the same transformations to  $h_1, \dots, h_r, x$ , where  $x$  replaces  $g$ , to compute a basis  $\{w_1(x), \dots, w_{r+1}(x)\}$  of  $H * \mathbb{Z}$ . The map  $\varphi_g$  applied to this basis is given by

$$\begin{aligned} w_1(x) &\mapsto b_1, \\ &\vdots \\ w_s(x) &\mapsto b_s, \\ w_{s+1}(x) &\mapsto 1, \\ &\vdots \\ w_{r+1}(x) &\mapsto 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since the restriction of  $\varphi_g$  to  $\langle w_1(x), \dots, w_s(x) \rangle$  is injective, the kernel of  $\varphi_g$  is

$$\ker \varphi_g = \langle \langle w_{s+1}(x), \dots, w_{r+1}(x) \rangle \rangle,$$

the normal subgroup of  $H * \mathbb{Z}$  generated by  $w_{s+1}(x), \dots, w_{r+1}(x)$ .

It follows that  $\{w_{s+1}(x), \dots, w_{r+1}(x)\}$  is a normal basis for  $\text{Eqn}(H, g)$ .  $\square$



**Remark 4.2.** When  $g_1, g_2$  are two solutions of the same equation  $w(x) = 1$  over  $H$  there is not necessarily an automorphism of  $\langle H, g_1, g_2 \rangle$  which sends  $g_1$  to  $g_2$  and fixes  $H$ . For example, let  $F = F(a)$ ,  $H = \langle a^3 \rangle$  and  $w(x) = axa^{-1}x^{-1}$ . Then every element of  $F$  is a solution of  $w(x) = 1$  but every automorphism that fixes  $H$  fixes the whole of  $F$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** *Given  $H < F$  one can effectively compute an integer  $n$ , such that each  $g \in F$  that depends on  $H$  satisfies an equation over  $H$  of degree at most  $n$ .*

*Proof.* One can compute a finite set of generators of  $\text{Dep } H$  by Theorem 2.6 and then an equation for each such generator by Theorem 4.1. Suppose now that  $u, v \in P$  as in (2.1) and  $w(x) = 1$  is an equation obtained for the element  $uv^{-1} \in F$  that depends on  $H$ . If  $g = h_1uv^{-1}h_2^{-1}$ , where  $h_1, h_2 \in H$ , is another element that depends on  $H$  and is obtained by identifying the same  $u$  and  $v$  then, as seen in (4.1),  $g$  satisfies an equation of the same degree as the degree of  $w(x)$ . It follows that we only need to consider the finitely-many elements  $uv^{-1}$  for  $u, v \in P$  and the result follows.  $\square$

We give now a description of all the equations over  $H$  which are satisfied by the elements that depend on  $H$ . We know how to construct a normal basis for the normal subgroup of all the equations for each of the generators of  $\text{Dep } H$  of the form  $uv^{-1}$ , where  $u, v \in P$  as in (2.1). Let  $S$  be the finite union of these normal subgroups of  $H * \mathbb{Z}$ . Then, the equations for all the dependent elements on  $H$  are obtained as the union of the images of  $S$  by all the automorphisms of  $H * \mathbb{Z}$  that fix  $H$ , because then  $x$  is mapped to an element of  $HxH \cup Hx^{-1}H$  and when  $w(x) = 1$  is an equation for  $uv^{-1}$  then  $w(h_1^{-1}xh_2) = 1$  is an equation for  $h_1uv^{-1}h_2^{-1}$ .

**4.1. Computing the equations using Stallings folding.** As in the proof of Theorem 2.6, one can compute an equation for  $g$  over  $H$  also in the graph-theoretic setting. We begin with the core  $C(H)$  of  $H$  and add to it a  $g$ -cycle. Since every cycle that starts and terminates at the root represents an element of  $H$ , it suffices to consider  $g = g_1g_2^{-1}$  with both  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  forming a simple path within  $C(H)$  that terminates at the vertex  $u$ , respectively  $v$ . Indeed, if  $g = h_1uv^{-1}h_2^{-1}$ , where  $h_1, h_2 \in H$ , then if  $uv^{-1}$  is a solution of  $w(x) = 1$  then

$$(4.1) \quad w'(x) = w(h_1^{-1}xh_2) = 1$$

is an equation satisfying  $w'(g) = 1$  and of the same degree as the degree of  $w(x)$ .

Next we identify the vertices  $u$  and  $v$ . Then we start folding the graph whenever edges of the same label emerge from the same vertex. Since  $g$  depends on  $H$ , at some point some cycle  $waa^{-1}w^{-1}$  collapses when identifying the two  $a$ -edges. We then lift  $waa^{-1}w^{-1}$  all the way back to the starting graph. At the last step the cycle gets cut at all the places where the vertices  $u$  and  $v$  are separated. At this stage we reconnect the broken cycle by inserting  $g_1^{-1}gg_2$  at all the places where the jump is from  $u$  to  $v$ , and inserting  $g_2^{-1}g^{-1}g_1$  at all the places where the jump is from  $v$  to  $u$ . In this way, the words between consecutive appearances of  $g^{\pm 1}$  start and end at the

root and so are elements of  $H$ . Finally, replacing  $g$  by  $x$  and  $g^{-1}$  by  $x^{-1}$  gives an equation  $w(x) = 1$  for which  $g$  is a solution.

## 5. OPEN PROBLEMS

**Problem 5.1.** Is it possible to find an equation of minimal degree for an element  $g$  that depends on  $H$ ?

**Problem 5.2.** When  $H$  is compressed then  $\text{Dep } H$  is also compressed (with  $\text{rk } H = \text{rk } \text{Dep } H$ ). Is it also true when  $H$  is inert? As shown in Proposition 2.8, it holds for echelon subgroups.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The first named author acknowledges the support of the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) project P29355-N35.

The second named author acknowledges partial support from the Spanish Agencia Estatal de Investigación, through grant MTM2017-82740-P (AEI/ FEDER, UE).

## REFERENCES

- [DV96] Warren Dicks and Enric Ventura. *The group fixed by a family of injective endomorphisms of a free group*, volume 195 of *Contemporary Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.
- [KM02] Ilya Kapovich and Alexei Myasnikov. Stallings foldings and subgroups of free groups. *J. Algebra*, 248(2):608–668, 2002.
- [LS01] Roger C. Lyndon and Paul E. Schupp. *Combinatorial group theory*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001. Reprint of the 1977 edition.
- [Lyn60] Roger C. Lyndon. Equations in free groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 96:445–457, 1960.
- [Mak82] G. S. Makanin. Equations in a free group. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 46(6):1199–1273, 1344, 1982.
- [MKS66] Wilhelm Magnus, Abraham Karrass, and Donald Solitar. *Combinatorial group theory: Presentations of groups in terms of generators and relations*. Interscience Publishers [John Wiley & Sons, Inc.], New York-London-Sydney, 1966.
- [MVW07] Alexei Miasnikov, Enric Ventura, and Pascal Weil. Algebraic extensions in free groups. In *Geometric group theory*, Trends Math., pages 225–253. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2007.
- [OHV16] Abderezak Ould Houcine and Daniele Vallino. Algebraic and definable closure in free groups. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 66(6):2525–2563, 2016.
- [Raz87] Alexander A. Razborov. *On systems of equations in a free group*. PhD thesis, Steklov Math. Institute, Moscow, 1987. In Russian.
- [Raz95] Alexander A. Razborov. On systems of equations in free groups. In *Combinatorial and geometric group theory (Edinburgh, 1993)*, volume 204 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 269–283. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [Ros01] Amnon Rosenmann. On rank, root and equations in free groups. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, 11(3):375–390, 2001.
- [Ros13] Amnon Rosenmann. On the intersection of subgroups in free groups: echelon subgroups are inert. *Groups Complex. Cryptol.*, 5(2):211–221, 2013.
- [Sta83] John R. Stallings. Topology of finite graphs. *Invent. Math.*, 71(3):551–565, 1983.

(A. Rosenmann) INSTITUT FÜR DISKRETE MATHEMATIK, TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT GRAZ,  
AUSTRIA

*Email address:* `rosenmann@math.tugraz.at`

(E. Ventura Capell) DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTIQUES, UNIVERSITAT POLITÈCNICA DE CATALUNYA  
AND INSTITUT DE MATEMÀTIQUES DE LA UPC-BARCELONATECH, SPAIN

*Email address:* `enric.ventura@upc.edu`