

QUANTUM BORCHERDS-BOZEC ALGEBRAS VIA SEMI-DERIVED RINGEL-HALL ALGEBRAS

MING LU

ABSTRACT. We use semi-derived Ringel-Hall algebras of quivers with loops to realize the whole quantum Borchers-Bozec algebras and quantum generalized Kac-Moody algebras.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1990, Ringel [Rin90] constructed a Hall algebra associated to a Dynkin quiver Q over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q , and identified its generic version with half a quantum group $\mathbf{U}^+ = \mathbf{U}_v^+(\mathfrak{g})$; see Green [Gr95] for an extension to acyclic quivers. Ringel's construction has led to a geometric construction of \mathbf{U}^+ by Lusztig, who in addition constructed its canonical basis [Lus90]. These constructions can be regarded as earliest examples of categorifications of halves of quantum groups.

Bridgeland [Br13] in 2013 use a Hall algebra of complexes to realize the whole quantum group \mathbf{U} . Actually Bridgeland's construction naturally produces the Drinfeld double $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$, a variant of \mathbf{U} with the Cartan subalgebra doubled (with generators K_i, K'_i , for $i \in \mathbb{I}$). A reduced version, which is the quotient of $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$ by the ideal generated by the central elements $K_i K'_i - 1$, is then identified with \mathbf{U} .

Bridgeland's version of Hall algebras has found further generalizations and improvements which allow more flexibilities. Gorsky [Gor18] constructed *semi-derived Hall algebras* for a Frobenius category. More recently, motivated by the works of Bridgeland and Gorsky, the author and Peng [LP21] formulated the (\mathbb{Z}_2 -graded) *semi-derived Ringel-Hall algebras* starting with hereditary abelian categories.

We consider the quiver Q with loops in this paper. Its Euler form yields a symmetric Borchers-Cartan matrix A and then a generalized Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{A,\mathbf{m}}$ with charge \mathbf{m} (also called Borchers algebra) [Bor88]. The quantum deformation of a generalized Kac-Moody algebra ${}^{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{U} := {}^{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{U}_v(\mathfrak{g}_{A,\mathbf{m}})$ and its modules are introduced in [K95]. The Ringel-Hall algebra realization of the positive half ${}^{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{U}^+$ of a quantum generalized Kac-Moody algebra is given in [KS06], where they also constructed the canonical basis theory.

Associated to a Borchers-Cartan matrix, the Borchers-Bozec algebra \mathfrak{g} is a further generalization of the Kac-Moody algebra, which is generated by infinitely many generators. The quantum Borchers-Bozec algebra $\mathbf{U} := \mathbf{U}_v(\mathfrak{g})$ is introduced by Bozec in research of the perverse sheaves of a quiver with loops [B15, B16], which can be viewed as a further generalization of the quantum generalized Kac-Moody algebra. The Ringel-Hall algebra

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 17B37, 16E60, 18E35.

Key words and phrases. Quantum Borchers-Bozec algebras, Semi-derived Ringel-Hall algebras, Quivers with loops.

realization of the positive half \mathbf{U}^+ of a quantum Borcherds-Bozec algebra is given in [K18] by using a quiver with loops.

In this paper, we use the semi-derived Ringel-Hall algebras of quivers with loops to realize the whole quantum Borcherds-Bozec algebras and quantum generalized Kac-Moody algebras, a generalization of Brigeland's construction. Similar to the quantum groups, our construction produces the Drinfeld double $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$ and ${}^{\mathbf{B}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$, with their Cartan subalgebras doubled. The quotients of $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$ and ${}^{\mathbf{B}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$ by the ideal generated by the central elements $K_i K'_i - 1$ give the quantum Borcherds-Bozec algebra \mathbf{U} and quantum generalized Kac-Moody algebra ${}^{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{U}$.

We give a remark here. The definition of quantum Borcherds-Bozec algebras depends on parameters τ_{il} (see (3.10)) for any $(i, l) \in \mathbb{I}^\infty$. Only the quantum Borcherds-Bozec algebras with specific parameters τ_{il} (3.12) (up to isomorphisms) can be realized by semi-derived Ringel-Hall algebras.

Acknowledgments. The author deeply thanks Zhaobing Fan for helpful discussions, and Liangang Peng for constant encouragements.

2. SEMI-DERIVED RINGEL-HALL ALGEBRAS

Denote by $\mathbb{Z}_2 := \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. In this section, we shall review Ringel-Hall algebras, categories of \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded complexes, semi-derived Ringel-Hall algebras for arbitrary hereditary abelian categories over a finite field $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{F}_q$.

2.1. Categories of \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded complexes. We assume that \mathcal{A} is an abelian category.

Let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathcal{A})$ be the abelian category of \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded complexes over \mathcal{A} . Namely, an object M of this category is a diagram with objects and morphisms in \mathcal{A} :

$$M^0 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{d^0} \\ \xleftarrow{d^1} \end{array} M^1, \quad d^1 d^0 = d^0 d^1 = 0.$$

All indices of components of \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded objects will be understood modulo 2. A morphism $s = (s^0, s^1) : M \rightarrow N$ is a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M^0 & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{d^0} \\ \xleftarrow{d^1} \end{array} & M^1 \\ \downarrow s^0 & & \downarrow s^1 \\ N^0 & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{e^0} \\ \xleftarrow{e^1} \end{array} & N^1 \end{array}$$

with $s^{i+1} d^i = e^i s^i$.

The shift functor on complexes is an involution

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathcal{A}) \xleftarrow{*} \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathcal{A})$$

which shifts the grading and changes the sign of the differential as follows:

$$M^0 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{d^0} \\ \xleftarrow{d^1} \end{array} M^1 \xleftarrow{*} M^1 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{-d^1} \\ \xleftarrow{-d^0} \end{array} M^0.$$

Similar to ordinary complexes, we can define the i -th homology group for M , denoted by $H^i(M)$, for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. A complex is called acyclic if its homology group is zero. The subcategory formed by all acyclic complexes is denoted by $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_2, ac}(\mathcal{A})$.

For any object $X \in \mathcal{A}$, we define

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} K_X &:= (X \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{1} \\ \xleftarrow{0} \end{array} X), & K_X^* &:= (X \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{0} \\ \xrightarrow{1} \end{array} X), \\ C_X &:= (0 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} X), & C_X^* &:= (X \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} 0) \end{aligned}$$

in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathcal{A})$. Note that K_X, K_X^* are acyclic complexes.

From now on, we take the field $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{F}_q$, a finite field of q elements. In the following, we always assume that \mathcal{A} is a hereditary abelian \mathbf{k} -linear category which is essentially small with finite-dimensional homomorphism and extension spaces.

Lemma 2.1 ([LP21, Proposition 2.3]). *Let \mathcal{A} be a hereditary abelian category. For any $K \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_2, ac}(\mathcal{A})$, we have*

$$\text{proj. dim}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathcal{A})}(K) \leq 1 \text{ and } \text{inj. dim}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathcal{A})}(K) \leq 1.$$

Denote by $\text{Iso}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathcal{A}))$ the set of isomorphism classes $[M]$ of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathcal{A})$.

By Proposition 2.1, for any $[K], [M] \in \text{Iso}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathcal{A}))$ with K acyclic, define

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [K], [M] \rangle &= \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathcal{A})}(K, M) - \dim \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathcal{A})}^1(K, M), \\ \langle [M], [K] \rangle &= \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathcal{A})}(M, K) - \dim \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathcal{A})}^1(M, K). \end{aligned}$$

We call them the Euler forms. They descend to bilinear forms on the Grothendieck groups $K_0(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_2, ac}(\mathcal{A}))$ and $K_0(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathcal{A}))$, again denoted by the same symbol $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

Let $K_0(\mathcal{A})$ be the Grothendieck group of \mathcal{A} . For any $A \in \mathcal{A}$, we denote by \widehat{A} the corresponding element in $K_0(\mathcal{A})$. We also use $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to denote the Euler form of \mathcal{A} , i.e.,

$$\langle \widehat{A}, \widehat{B} \rangle = \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, B) - \dim \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(A, B), \text{ for any } A, B \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Let (\cdot, \cdot) be the symmetrized Euler form of \mathcal{A} , i.e., $(\widehat{A}, \widehat{B}) = \langle \widehat{A}, \widehat{B} \rangle + \langle \widehat{B}, \widehat{A} \rangle$.

Proposition 2.2 ([LP21, Proposition 2.4, Corollary 2.5]). *For any $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, we have the following.*

$$(2.3) \quad \langle [C_A], [K_B] \rangle = \langle [C_A^*], [K_B^*] \rangle = (\widehat{A}, \widehat{B}), \quad \langle [K_B], [C_A^*] \rangle = \langle [K_B^*], [C_A] \rangle = (\widehat{B}, \widehat{A});$$

$$(2.4) \quad \langle [K_B], [C_A] \rangle = \langle [C_A^*], [K_B] \rangle = \langle [C_A], [K_B^*] \rangle = \langle [K_B^*], [C_A^*] \rangle = 0;$$

$$(2.5) \quad \langle [K_A], [K_B] \rangle = \langle [K_A^*], [K_B^*] \rangle = \langle [K_A], [K_B^*] \rangle = \langle [K_A^*], [K_B] \rangle = (\widehat{A}, \widehat{B}).$$

Let $\mathcal{A} \amalg \mathcal{A}$ be the product of two copies of \mathcal{A} . Then there is a forgetful functor $\text{res} : \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \amalg \mathcal{A}$, which maps $M = (M^0 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{d^0} \\ \xleftarrow{d^1} \end{array} M^1)$ to (M^0, M^1) .

2.2. Ringel-Hall algebras. Let \mathcal{A} be an essentially small abelian category, linear over the finite field $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{F}_q$.

Given objects $A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}$, define $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(A, C)_B \subseteq \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(A, C)$ to be the subset parameterising extensions with the middle term isomorphic to B . We define the Hall algebra (also

called Ringel-Hall algebra) $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ to be the \mathbb{Q} -vector space whose basis is formed by the isomorphism classes $[A]$ of objects A of \mathcal{A} , with the multiplication defined by

$$(2.6) \quad [A] \diamond [C] = \sum_{[B] \in \text{Iso}(\mathcal{A})} \frac{|\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(A, C)_B|}{|\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, C)|} [B].$$

It is well known that the algebra $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ is associative and unital. The unit is given by $[0]$, where 0 is the zero object of \mathcal{A} ; see [Rin90, Br13].

For any three objects X, Y, Z , let

$$(2.7) \quad F_{XY}^Z := |\{L \subseteq Z \mid L \cong Y, Z/L \cong X\}|.$$

The Riedtmann-Peng formula states that

$$F_{XY}^Z = \frac{|\text{Ext}^1(X, Y)_Z|}{|\text{Hom}(X, Y)|} \cdot \frac{|\text{Aut}(Z)|}{|\text{Aut}(X)||\text{Aut}(Y)|}.$$

For any object M , let

$$\llbracket M \rrbracket := \frac{[M]}{|\text{Aut}(M)|}.$$

Then the Hall multiplication (2.6) can be reformulated to be

$$(2.8) \quad \llbracket M \rrbracket \diamond \llbracket N \rrbracket = \sum_{[L]} F_{M,N}^L \llbracket L \rrbracket,$$

which is the version of Hall multiplication used in [Rin90].

2.3. Semi-derived Ringel-Hall algebras. Let

$$\mathbf{v} = \sqrt{q}.$$

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathcal{A}))$ be the twisted Ringel-Hall algebra of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathcal{A})$ over $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{v})$, that is, $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathcal{A}))$ has a basis formed by the isomorphism classes $[M]$ of objects M of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathcal{A})$, with the product given by

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} [L] * [M] &= \mathbf{v}^{\langle \text{res } L, \text{res } M \rangle} [L] \diamond [M] \\ &= \mathbf{v}^{\langle \text{res } L, \text{res } M \rangle} \sum_{[X] \in \text{Iso}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathcal{A}))} \frac{|\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathcal{A})}^1(L, M)_X|}{|\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathcal{A})}(L, M)|} [X]. \end{aligned}$$

Let $I_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ be the two-sided ideal of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathcal{A}))$ generated by all differences $[L] - [K \oplus M]$ if there is a short exact sequence

$$(2.10) \quad 0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

with K acyclic.

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathcal{A}))/I_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ be the quotient algebra. We also denote by $*$ the induced multiplication in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathcal{A}))/I_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$. In the following, we shall use the same symbols both in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathcal{A}))$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathcal{A}))/I_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$. Let

$$(2.11) \quad S_{\mathbb{Z}_2} := \{a[K] \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathcal{A}))/I_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mid a \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{v})^\times, K \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_2, ac}(\mathcal{A})\},$$

which is a multiplicatively closed subset with the identity $[0] \in S_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$.

Definition-Proposition 2.3 ([LP21]). *The multiplicatively closed subset $S_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ is a right Ore, right reversible subset of $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathcal{A}))/I_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$. Equivalently, the right localization of $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathcal{A}))/I_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ with respect to $S_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ exists, which is called the twisted semi-derived Ringel-Hall algebra of \mathcal{A} , and denoted by $\mathcal{SD}\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A})$.*

Let $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ be the subalgebra of $\mathcal{SD}\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A})$ generated by $[K]^{\pm 1}$ for all acyclic complexes K . Then $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ is a commutative algebra; see [LP21, §4.3]. Moreover, $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ is isomorphic to the group algebra of the Grothendieck group $K_0(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_2, ac}(\mathcal{A}))$.

For any $\alpha \in K_0(\mathcal{A})$, there exist $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\alpha = \widehat{A} - \widehat{B} \in K_0(\mathcal{A})$, and we set

$$(2.12) \quad [K_\alpha] := [K_A] * [K_B]^{-1}, \quad [K_\alpha^*] := [K_A^*] * [K_B^*]^{-1}$$

Then $[K_\alpha], [K_\alpha^*]$ are well defined in $\mathcal{SD}\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A})$ (and then in $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$); see [LP21, §3.4].

Theorem 2.4 (cf. [LP21, Theorem 3.20]). *We have the following.*

(1) $\mathcal{SD}\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A})$ has a basis given by

$$\{[C_A \oplus C_B^*] * [K_\alpha] * [K_\beta^*] \mid [A], [B] \in \text{Iso}(\mathcal{A}), \alpha, \beta \in K_0(\mathcal{A})\}.$$

(2) Let $M = (M^0 \xrightleftharpoons[f^1]{f^0} M^1) \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathcal{A})$. Then we have, in $\mathcal{SD}\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A})$,

$$(2.13) \quad [M] = \mathbf{v}^{-\langle \widehat{H^0(M)} - \widehat{H^1(M)}, \widehat{\text{Im } f^0} - \widehat{\text{Im } f^1} \rangle} [C_{H^0(M)}^* \oplus C_{H^1(M)}] * [K_{\text{Im } f^0}] * [K_{\text{Im } f^1}^*].$$

Proof. [LP21, Theorem 3.20] gives the corresponding results for the untwisted semi-derived Ringel-Hall algebras. The results here follow by considering the twisted Hall multiplication. \square

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A})$ be the twisted Ringel-Hall algebra, that is, the same vector space as $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ equipped with the twisted multiplication

$$[A] * [B] = \mathbf{v}^{\langle A, B \rangle} [A] \diamond [B]$$

for $[A], [B] \in \text{Iso}(\mathcal{A})$. Then the maps

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} R^+ : \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A}) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{SD}\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A}), & R^- : \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A}) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{SD}\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A}), \\ [A] &\mapsto [C_A], & [A] &\mapsto [C_A^*], \end{aligned}$$

are algebra embeddings. Moreover, by [LP21, Theorem 3.25], we have the following triangular decomposition (as linear spaces)

$$(2.15) \quad \mathcal{SD}\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A}) \cong \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A}).$$

Lemma 2.5 ([LP21, Lemma 4.3]). *For any $\alpha, \beta \in K_0(\mathcal{A})$, $M \in \mathcal{A}$, we have*

$$(2.16) \quad [[K_\alpha], [K_\beta]] = [[K_\alpha], [K_\beta^*]] = [[K_\alpha^*], [K_\beta^*]] = 0,$$

$$(2.17) \quad [K_\alpha] * [C_M] = \mathbf{v}^{\langle \alpha, \widehat{M} \rangle} [C_M] * [K_\alpha], \quad [K_\alpha] * [C_M^*] = \mathbf{v}^{-\langle \alpha, \widehat{M} \rangle} [C_M^*] * [K_\alpha],$$

$$(2.18) \quad [K_\alpha^*] * [C_M] = \mathbf{v}^{-\langle \widehat{M}, \alpha \rangle} [C_M] * [K_\alpha^*], \quad [K_\alpha^*] * [C_M^*] = \mathbf{v}^{\langle \widehat{M}, \alpha \rangle} [C_M^*] * [K_\alpha^*].$$

3. QUANTUM BORCHERDS-BOZEC ALGEBRAS

3.1. Quantum Borchers-Bozec algebras. Let \mathbb{I} be a finite index set. An (even) symmetric Borchers-Cartan matrix is $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{I}}$ such that

- (1) $a_{ii} = 2, 0, -2, -4, \dots$,
- (2) $a_{ij} = a_{ji} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ for $i \neq j$.

Denote by \mathfrak{g} the Borchers-Bozec algebra associated to A .

Let $\mathbb{I}^{\text{re}} := \{i \in \mathbb{I} \mid a_{ii} = 2\}$, $\mathbb{I}^{\text{im}} := \{i \in \mathbb{I} \mid a_{ii} \leq 0\}$, and $\mathbb{I}^{\text{iso}} := \{i \in \mathbb{I} \mid a_{ii} = 0\}$. Let $\mathbb{I}^\infty := (\mathbb{I}^{\text{re}} \times \{1\}) \cup (\mathbb{I}^{\text{im}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{>0})$. For simplicity, we shall often write i instead of $(i, 1)$ for $i \in \mathbb{I}^{\text{re}}$.

Let v be an indeterminant. Write $[A, B] = AB - BA$. Denote, for $r, m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$[r] = \frac{v^r - v^{-r}}{v - v^{-1}}, \quad [r]! = \prod_{i=1}^r [i], \quad \begin{bmatrix} m \\ r \end{bmatrix} = \frac{[m][m-1] \dots [m-r+1]}{[r]}.$$

For any $i \in \mathbb{I}$, denote by

$$(3.1) \quad v_{(i)} = v^{\frac{a_{ii}}{2}}.$$

Definition 3.1. The algebra $\tilde{\mathbf{U}} := \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_v(\mathfrak{g})$ associated to a Borchers-Cartan matrix A is the associative algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ generated by the elements $K_i^{\pm 1}$, $(K'_i)^{\pm 1}$ ($i \in \mathbb{I}$), e_{il} , f_{il} ($(i, l) \in \mathbb{I}^\infty$) with the following defining relations:

$$(3.2) \quad K_i K_i^{-1} = K_i^{-1} K_i = 1, \quad K'_i (K'_i)^{-1} = (K'_i)^{-1} K'_i = 1, \quad \text{for } i \in \mathbb{I},$$

$$(3.3) \quad [K_i, K_j] = [K_i, K'_j] = [K'_i, K'_j] = 0, \quad \text{for } i, j \in \mathbb{I},$$

$$(3.4) \quad K_i e_{jl} = v^{la_{ij}} e_{jl} K_i, \quad K_i f_{jl} = v^{-la_{ij}} f_{jl} K_i,$$

$$(3.5) \quad K'_i e_{jl} = v^{-la_{ij}} e_{jl} K'_i, \quad K'_i f_{jl} = v^{la_{ij}} f_{jl} K'_i,$$

$$(3.6) \quad e_{ik} f_{jl} - f_{jl} e_{ik} = 0, \quad \text{for } i \neq j,$$

$$(3.7) \quad \sum_{\substack{m+r=k \\ r+s=l}} v_{(i)}^{r(m-s)} \tau_{ir} e_{is} f_{im} (K'_i)^r = \sum_{\substack{m+r=k \\ r+s=l}} v_{(i)}^{-r(m-s)} \tau_{ir} f_{im} e_{is} (K_i)^r, \quad \text{for } (i, l), (i, k) \in \mathbb{I}^\infty,$$

$$(3.8) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{1-la_{ij}} (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} 1-la_{ij} \\ k \end{bmatrix} e_i^{1-la_{ij}-k} e_{jl} e_i^k = 0,$$

$$(3.9) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{1-la_{ij}} (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} 1-la_{ij} \\ k \end{bmatrix} f_i^{1-la_{ij}-k} f_{jl} f_i^k = 0, \quad \text{for } i \in \mathbb{I}^{\text{re}}, i \neq (j, l) \in \mathbb{I}^\infty,$$

where

$$(3.10) \quad \tau_{il} \in 1 + v\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[[v]], \quad \forall (i, l) \in \mathbb{I}^\infty.$$

For any $r \geq 0$, define

$$(3.11) \quad \varphi_r(t) = (1-t)(1-t^2) \dots (1-t^r).$$

From now on, we shall assume that

$$(3.12) \quad \tau_{ir} = \frac{1}{\varphi_r(v^2)}, \quad \text{for any } (i, r) \in \mathbb{I}^\infty.$$

Note that $K_i K'_i$ are central in $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{I}$. Then the quantum Borchers-Bozec algebra $\mathbf{U} := \mathbf{U}_v(\mathfrak{g})$ is defined to be the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra generated by the elements $K_i^{\pm 1}$ ($i \in \mathbb{I}$), e_{il} , f_{il} ($(i, l) \in \mathbb{I}^\infty$) subject to the defining relations (3.2)–(3.9) with K'_i replaced by K_i^{-1} ; see [B15, B16, FKKT20]. In particular, \mathbf{U} is isomorphic to the quotient algebra of $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$ modulo the ideal $(K_i K'_i - 1 \mid i \in \mathbb{I})$.

Let $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^+$ be the subalgebra of $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$ generated by e_{il} ($(i, l) \in \mathbb{I}^\infty$), $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^0$ be the subalgebra of $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$ generated by K_i, K'_i ($i \in \mathbb{I}$), and $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^-$ be the subalgebra of $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$ generated by f_{il} ($(i, l) \in \mathbb{I}^\infty$), respectively. The subalgebras \mathbf{U}^+ , \mathbf{U}^0 and \mathbf{U}^- of \mathbf{U} are defined similarly. Then both $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$ and \mathbf{U} have triangular decompositions:

$$(3.13) \quad \tilde{\mathbf{U}} = \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^+ \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^0 \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^-, \quad \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}^+ \otimes \mathbf{U}^0 \otimes \mathbf{U}^-.$$

Clearly, $\mathbf{U}^+ \cong \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^+$, $\mathbf{U}^- \cong \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^-$, and $\mathbf{U}^0 \cong \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^0 / (K_i K'_i - 1 \mid i \in \mathbb{I})$.

3.2. Semi-derived Ringel-Hall algebras of quivers. Let $Q = (\mathbb{I}, \Omega)$ be a quiver, where \mathbb{I} is the set of vertices and Ω is the set of arrows. Define g_i the number of loops at i and n_{ij} the number of arrows from i to j if $i \neq j$. Let $A = (a_{ij})_{i, j \in \mathbb{I}}$ be the symmetric Borchers-Cartan matrix associated to Q , i.e.,

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 2 - g_i & \text{if } i = j, \\ -n_{ij} - n_{ji} & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

A representation of Q over \mathbf{k} is $(M_i, x_\alpha)_{i \in \mathbb{I}, \alpha \in \Omega}$ by associating a finite-dimensional \mathbf{k} -linear space M_i to each vertex $i \in \mathbb{I}$, and a \mathbf{k} -linear maps $x_\alpha : M_i \rightarrow M_j$ to each arrow $\alpha : i \rightarrow j \in \Omega$. A representation (M_i, x_α) of Q is called nilpotent if $x_{\alpha_r} \cdots x_{\alpha_2} x_{\alpha_1}$ is nilpotent for any cyclic paths $\alpha_r \cdots \alpha_2 \alpha_1$.

Let $\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{nil}}(Q)$ be the category of finite dimensional nilpotent representations of Q over \mathbf{k} . Then $\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{nil}}(Q)$ is a hereditary abelian category. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the Euler form of Q . Define

$$(x, y) = \langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, x \rangle.$$

Let S_i be the nilpotent simple module supported at $i \in \mathbb{I}$. Then $(S_i, S_j) = a_{ij}$ for any $i, j \in \mathbb{I}$.

Denote by $\mathbf{v}_{(i)} = \mathbf{v}^{\frac{a_{ii}}{2}} = \mathbf{v}^{1-g_i}$ for $i \in \mathbb{I}$.

Lemma 3.2. *For any $k, l \geq 1$, in $\mathcal{SDH}(\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{nil}}(Q))$ we have*

$$(3.14) \quad [C_{S_i^{\oplus k}}] * [C_{S_i^{\oplus l}}^*] = \sum_{r=0}^{\min(k, l)} \frac{q^{-r(l+k-r)}}{\varphi_r(q^{-1})} \mathbf{v}_{(i)}^{r(l-k)} [C_{S_i^{\oplus(k-r)}} \oplus C_{S_i^{\oplus(l-r)}}^*] * [K_{S_i}^*]^r,$$

$$(3.15) \quad [C_{S_i^{\oplus l}}^*] * [C_{S_i^{\oplus k}}] = \sum_{r=0}^{\min(k, l)} \frac{q^{-r(l+k-r)}}{\varphi_r(q^{-1})} \mathbf{v}_{(i)}^{r(l-k)} [C_{S_i^{\oplus(k-r)}} \oplus C_{S_i^{\oplus(l-r)}}^*] * [K_{S_i}^*]^r.$$

Proof. We only prove (3.14) since the proof of (3.15) is similar.

For any $k, l \geq 1$, a direct computation shows

$$\begin{aligned} & [C_{S_i^{\oplus k}}] * [C_{S_i^{\oplus l}}^*] \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{\min(k, l)} \prod_{t=0}^{r-1} \frac{(q^k - q^t)(q^l - q^t)}{q^r - q^t} [C_{S_i^{\oplus(k-r)}} \oplus C_{S_i^{\oplus(l-r)}}^* \oplus (K_{S_i}^*)^{\oplus r}] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{r=0}^{\min(k,l)} \mathbf{v}^{r(l+k-r)+\binom{r}{2}} (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}^{-1})^r \begin{bmatrix} k \\ r \end{bmatrix}_{\mathbf{v}} \begin{bmatrix} l \\ r \end{bmatrix}_{\mathbf{v}} [r]_{\mathbf{v}}! [C_{S_i^{\oplus(k-r)}} \oplus C_{S_i^{\oplus(l-r)}}^* \oplus (K_{S_i}^*)^{\oplus r}] \\
&= \sum_{r=0}^{\min(k,l)} \mathbf{v}^{r(l+k-r)+\binom{r}{2}} \mathbf{v}^{r(l-k)(1-g_i)} (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}^{-1})^r \begin{bmatrix} k \\ r \end{bmatrix}_{\mathbf{v}} \begin{bmatrix} l \\ r \end{bmatrix}_{\mathbf{v}} [r]_{\mathbf{v}}! [C_{S_i^{\oplus(k-r)}} \oplus C_{S_i^{\oplus(l-r)}}^*] * [K_{S_i}^*]^r.
\end{aligned}$$

Here the second equality follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{t=0}^{r-1} (q^r - q^t) &= \mathbf{v}^{r^2+\binom{r}{2}} (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}^{-1})^r [r]_{\mathbf{v}}!, \\
\prod_{t=0}^{r-1} (q^k - q^t) &= \mathbf{v}^{rk+\binom{r}{2}} (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}^{-1})^r [k]_{\mathbf{v}} [k-1]_{\mathbf{v}} \dots [k-r+1]_{\mathbf{v}},
\end{aligned}$$

and the last equality follows from (2.13).

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\text{Aut}(C_{S_i^{\oplus k}})| &= \prod_{t=0}^{k-1} (q^k - q^t), & |\text{Aut}(C_{S_i^{\oplus l}}^*)| &= \prod_{t=0}^{l-1} (q^l - q^t), \\
|\text{Aut}(C_{S_i^{\oplus k}} \oplus C_{S_i^{\oplus l}}^*)| &= \prod_{t=0}^{k-1} (q^k - q^t) \cdot \prod_{t=0}^{l-1} (q^l - q^t).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&[[C_{S_i^{\oplus k}}] * [C_{S_i^{\oplus l}}^*]] \\
&= \sum_{r=0}^{\min(k,l)} \mathbf{v}^{r(l+k-r)+\binom{r}{2}-k^2-\binom{k}{2}-l^2-\binom{l}{2}+(l-r)^2+\binom{l-r}{2}+(k-r)^2+\binom{k-r}{2}} \mathbf{v}^{r(l-k)(1-g_i)} (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}^{-1})^{-r} \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{[r]_{\mathbf{v}}!} [[C_{S_i^{\oplus(k-r)}} \oplus C_{S_i^{\oplus(l-r)}}^*] * [K_{S_i}^*]^r \\
&= \sum_{r=0}^{\min(k,l)} \mathbf{v}^{\frac{5r^2+r}{2}-2rk-2rl} \mathbf{v}^{r(l-k)(1-g_i)} (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}^{-1})^{-r} \frac{1}{[r]_{\mathbf{v}}!} [[C_{S_i^{\oplus(k-r)}} \oplus C_{S_i^{\oplus(l-r)}}^*] * [K_{S_i}^*]^r \\
&= \sum_{r=0}^{\min(k,l)} \frac{q^{-r(l+k-r)}}{\varphi_r(q^{-1})} \mathbf{v}^{r(l-k)} [[C_{S_i^{\oplus(k-r)}} \oplus C_{S_i^{\oplus(l-r)}}^*] * [K_{S_i}^*]^r.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we use $\mathbf{v}_{(i)} = \mathbf{v}^{1-g_i}$. □

Lemma 3.3. *For any $k, l \geq 1$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.16) \quad &\sum_{r=0}^{\min(k,l)} \frac{q^{-r(k+l)+r(r+1)}}{\varphi_r(q)} \mathbf{v}_{(i)}^{r(l-k)} [[C_{S_i^{\oplus(k-r)}}] * [C_{S_i^{\oplus(l-r)}}^*] * [K_{S_i}^*]^r \\
&= \sum_{r=0}^{\min(k,l)} \frac{q^{-r(k+l)+r(r+1)}}{\varphi_r(q)} \mathbf{v}_{(i)}^{r(l-k)} [[C_{S_i^{\oplus(l-r)}}] * [C_{S_i^{\oplus(k-r)}}^*] * [K_{S_i}^*]^r.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We shall prove that

$$(3.17) \quad \llbracket C_{S_i^{\oplus k}} \oplus C_{S_i^{\oplus l}}^* \rrbracket = \sum_{r=0}^{\min(k,l)} (-1)^r \frac{q^{-r(k+l)+\binom{r+1}{2}}}{\varphi_r(q^{-1})} \mathbf{v}_{(i)}^{r(l-k)} \llbracket C_{S_i^{\oplus(k-r)}} \rrbracket * \llbracket C_{S_i^{\oplus(l-r)}}^* \rrbracket * [K_{S_i}^*]^r,$$

$$(3.18) \quad \llbracket C_{S_i^{\oplus k}} \oplus C_{S_i^{\oplus l}}^* \rrbracket = \sum_{r=0}^{\min(k,l)} (-1)^r \frac{q^{-r(k+l)+\binom{r+1}{2}}}{\varphi_r(q^{-1})} \mathbf{v}_{(i)}^{r(l-k)} \llbracket C_{S_i^{\oplus(l-r)}}^* \rrbracket * \llbracket C_{S_i^{\oplus(k-r)}} \rrbracket * [K_{S_i}^*]^r,$$

and then (3.16) follows.

We only prove (3.17) by induction on k , since the second one is similar. For convenience, we assume $\llbracket C_{S_i^{\oplus k}} \oplus C_{S_i^{\oplus l}}^* \rrbracket = 0$ if either k or l is negative. Similarly, $\llbracket C_{S_i^{\oplus k}} \rrbracket = 0 = \llbracket C_{S_i^{\oplus k}}^* \rrbracket$ if $k < 0$. It is direct to prove (3.17) for $k = 1$ by using (3.14). It follows by induction that

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket C_{S_i^{\oplus k}} \oplus C_{S_i^{\oplus l}}^* \rrbracket \\ &= \llbracket C_{S_i^{\oplus k}} \rrbracket * \llbracket C_{S_i^{\oplus l}}^* \rrbracket - \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{q^{-r(l+k-r)}}{\varphi_r(q^{-1})} \mathbf{v}_{(i)}^{r(l-k)} \llbracket C_{S_i^{\oplus(k-r)}} \oplus C_{S_i^{\oplus(l-r)}}^* \rrbracket * [K_{S_i}^*]^r \\ &= \llbracket C_{S_i^{\oplus k}} \rrbracket * \llbracket C_{S_i^{\oplus l}}^* \rrbracket - \sum_{r \geq 1} \sum_{p \geq 0} \frac{q^{-r(l+k-r)}}{\varphi_r(q^{-1})} \mathbf{v}_{(i)}^{(r+p)(l-k)} (-1)^p \frac{q^{-p(k+l-2r)+\binom{p+1}{2}}}{\varphi_p(q^{-1})} \\ & \quad \times \llbracket C_{S_i^{\oplus(k-r-p)}} \rrbracket * \llbracket C_{S_i^{\oplus(l-r-p)}}^* \rrbracket * [K_{S_i}^*]^{r+p} \\ &= \llbracket C_{S_i^{\oplus k}} \rrbracket * \llbracket C_{S_i^{\oplus l}}^* \rrbracket - \sum_{a \geq 1} (-1)^a \mathbf{v}_{(i)}^{a(l-k)} q^{-a(l+k)+\binom{a+1}{2}} \left(\sum_{r=1}^a (-1)^r q^{-\binom{r+1}{2}+ar} \frac{1}{\varphi_r(q^{-1})\varphi_{a-r}(q^{-1})} \right) \\ & \quad \times \llbracket C_{S_i^{\oplus(k-a)}} \rrbracket * \llbracket C_{S_i^{\oplus(l-a)}}^* \rrbracket * [K_{S_i}^*]^a \\ &= \sum_{a=0}^{\min(k,l)} (-1)^a \frac{q^{-a(k+l)+\binom{a+1}{2}}}{\varphi_a(q^{-1})} \mathbf{v}_{(i)}^{a(l-k)} \llbracket C_{S_i^{\oplus(k-a)}} \rrbracket * \llbracket C_{S_i^{\oplus(l-a)}}^* \rrbracket * [K_{S_i}^*]^a. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality used the following formula (cf. [Lus93, 1.3.1(c)]; see also [LRW21, Proof of Lemma 4.14])

$$\sum_{r=1}^a (-1)^r \frac{q^{-\binom{r+1}{2}+ar}}{\varphi_r(q^{-1})\varphi_{a-r}(q^{-1})} = -\frac{1}{\varphi_a(q^{-1})}.$$

□

Theorem 3.4. *There exists an injective morphism of algebras $\Phi : \tilde{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \mathcal{SD}\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{nil}}(Q))$ such that*

$$(3.19) \quad \Phi(K_i) = \mathbf{v}^2[K_{S_i}], \quad \Phi(K_i') = \mathbf{v}^2[K_{S_i}^*], \quad \Phi(e_{il}) = \mathbf{v}^{l^2} \llbracket C_{S_i^{\oplus l}} \rrbracket, \quad \Phi(f_{il}) = \mathbf{v}^{l^2} \llbracket C_{S_i^{\oplus l}}^* \rrbracket$$

for any $i \in \mathbb{I}$, $(i, l) \in \mathbb{I}^\infty$.

Proof. In order to prove that the well-definedness of Φ , it is enough to check that Φ preserves the relations (3.2)–(3.9). (3.2)–(3.5) follow from Lemma 2.5; (3.7) follows from (3.16); for (3.6), it follows by

$$\llbracket C_{S_i^{\oplus k}} \rrbracket * \llbracket C_{S_j^{\oplus l}}^* \rrbracket = \llbracket C_{S_i^{\oplus k}} \oplus C_{S_j^{\oplus l}}^* \rrbracket = \llbracket C_{S_j^{\oplus l}}^* \rrbracket * \llbracket C_{S_i^{\oplus k}} \rrbracket, \quad \text{if } i \neq j;$$

(3.8)–(3.9) follow from [K18, Theorem 4.2] by using (2.14).

For the injectivity of Φ , note that $\tilde{\mathbf{U}} = \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^+ \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^0 \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^-$ by (3.13) and $\mathcal{SD}\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{nil}}(Q)) \cong \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{nil}}(Q)) \otimes \mathcal{T}(\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{nil}}(Q)) \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{nil}}(Q))$ by (2.15). Moreover, we have $\mathcal{T}(\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{nil}}(Q)) \cong \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{v})[[K_{S_i}, [K_{S_i}^*] \mid i \in \mathbb{I}]]$ by using $K_0(\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}(Q)) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{I}}$. Then the result follows from [K18, Theorem 4.2] by using the same argument of [Br13, Theorem 4.9]. \square

4. QUANTUM GENERALIZED KAC-MOODY ALGEBRAS

In this section, we use semi-derived Ringel-Hall algebras of quivers with loops to realize the quantum generalized Kac-Moody algebras briefly, which strengthens [LP21, Remark 4.16].

Let $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{I}}$ be a symmetric Borcherds-Cartan matrix as defined in §3.1. Assume that we are given a collection of positive integers $\mathbf{m} = (m_i)_{i \in \mathbb{I}}$ with $m_i = 1$ whenever $i \in \mathbb{I}^{\text{re}}$, called the charge of A . Let $\mathfrak{g}_{A,\mathbf{m}}$ be the generalized Kac-Moody algebra (also called Borcherds algebra).

Let ${}^{\mathbf{B}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}} := {}^{\mathbf{B}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_v(\mathfrak{g}_{A,\mathbf{m}})$ be the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra generated by the elements $K_i^{\pm 1}, (K'_i)^{\pm 1}, E_{ik}, F_{ik}$ for $i \in \mathbb{I}, k = 1, \dots, m_i$ subject to (3.2)–(3.3) and

$$(4.1) \quad K_i E_{jl} = v^{a_{ij}} E_{jl} K_i, \quad K_i F_{jl} = v^{-a_{ij}} F_{jl} K_i,$$

$$(4.2) \quad K'_i E_{jl} = v^{-a_{ij}} E_{jl} K'_i, \quad K'_i F_{jl} = v^{a_{ij}} F_{jl} K'_i,$$

$$(4.3) \quad E_{ik} F_{jl} - F_{jl} E_{ik} = \delta_{lk} \delta_{ij} \frac{K_i - K'_i}{v - v^{-1}},$$

$$(4.4) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^n \begin{bmatrix} 1 - a_{ij} \\ n \end{bmatrix} E_{ik}^{1-a_{ij}-n} E_{jl} E_{ik}^n = 0,$$

$$(4.5) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^n \begin{bmatrix} 1 - a_{ij} \\ n \end{bmatrix} F_{ik}^{1-a_{ij}-n} F_{jl} F_{ik}^n = 0, \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{I}^{\text{re}}, i \neq j.$$

Note that $K_i K'_i$ are central in ${}^{\mathbf{B}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{I}$. The quantum generalized Kac-Moody algebra ${}^{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{U} := {}^{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{U}_v(\mathfrak{g}_{A,\mathbf{m}})$ is generated by the elements $K_i^{\pm 1}, E_{ik}, F_{ik}$ for $i \in \mathbb{I}, k = 1, \dots, m_i$ subject to (3.2)–(3.3), (4.1)–(4.5) with K'_i replaced by K_i^{-1} . In particular, the quantum generalized Kac-Moody algebra ${}^{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{U}$ is isomorphic to the quotient algebra of ${}^{\mathbf{B}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$ modulo the ideal $(K_i K'_i - 1 \mid i \in \mathbb{I})$.

Let ${}^{\mathbf{B}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^+$ be the subalgebra of ${}^{\mathbf{B}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$ generated by E_{ik} ($i \in \mathbb{I}, k = 1, \dots, m_i$), ${}^{\mathbf{B}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^0$ be the subalgebra of ${}^{\mathbf{B}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$ generated by K_i, K'_i ($i \in \mathbb{I}$), and ${}^{\mathbf{B}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^-$ be the subalgebra of ${}^{\mathbf{B}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$ generated by F_{ik} ($i \in \mathbb{I}, k = 1, \dots, m_i$), respectively. The subalgebras ${}^{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{U}^+, {}^{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{U}^0$ and ${}^{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{U}^-$ of ${}^{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{U}$ are defined similarly. Then both ${}^{\mathbf{B}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$ and ${}^{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{U}$ have triangular decompositions:

$$(4.6) \quad {}^{\mathbf{B}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}} = {}^{\mathbf{B}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^+ \otimes {}^{\mathbf{B}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^0 \otimes {}^{\mathbf{B}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^-, \quad {}^{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{U} = {}^{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{U}^+ \otimes {}^{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{U}^0 \otimes {}^{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{U}^-.$$

Clearly, ${}^{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{U}^+ \cong {}^{\mathbf{B}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^+, {}^{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{U}^- \cong {}^{\mathbf{B}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^-$, and ${}^{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{U}^0 \cong {}^{\mathbf{B}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^0 / (K_i K'_i - 1 \mid i \in \mathbb{I})$.

Let $Q = (\mathbb{I}, \Omega)$ be a quiver. Let $\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}(Q)$ be the category of finite-dimensional representations of Q over \mathbf{k} . Then $\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}(Q)$ is a hereditary abelian category. We assume that the charge $\mathbf{m} = (m_i)_{i \in \mathbb{I}}$ satisfies $m_i \leq |\mathbf{k}^{g_i}| = q^{g_i}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{I}$. If $i \in \mathbb{I}^{\text{re}}$ then there exists a unique simple object $S_i \in \text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}(Q)$ supported at i . If $i \in \mathbb{I}^{\text{im}}$ then the set of simple representations supported at i is in bijection with \mathbf{k}^{g_i} : if $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{g_i}$ denote the simple loops at i then to

$\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{g_i})$ corresponds the simple module $S_i(\underline{\lambda}) = (V_j, x_\sigma)$ with $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} V_j = \delta_{ij}$ and $x_{\sigma_l} = \lambda_l$ for $l = 1, \dots, g_i$.

Following [KS06], we choose $\underline{\lambda}_i^{(l)} \in \mathbf{k}^{g_i}$ for $l = 1, \dots, m_i$ in such a way that $\underline{\lambda}_i^{(l)} \neq \underline{\lambda}_i^{(l')}$ for $l \neq l'$. We set $S_{il} := S_i(\underline{\lambda}_i^{(l)})$ for $i \in \mathbb{I}^{\text{im}}$ and $l = 1, \dots, m_i$; and simply set $S_{i1} := S_i$ for $i \in \mathbb{I}^{\text{re}}$.

In order to realize the quantum generalized Kac-Moody algebras ${}^B \tilde{\mathbf{U}}$, we modify the definition of semi-derived Ringel-Hall algebras slightly. The algebra $\mathcal{S}\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}(Q))$ is constructed in the same way as $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{D}\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}(Q))$ but with the ideal $I_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}(Q)))$ generated by

$$(4.7) \quad \{[L] - [K \oplus M] \mid \exists \text{ a short exact sequence } 0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0\} \\ \bigcup \{[K_M] - \prod_{i \in \mathbb{I}} [K_{S_i}]^{a_i}, \quad [K_M^*] - \prod_{i \in \mathbb{I}} [K_{S_i}^*]^{a_i} \mid M \in \text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}(Q) \text{ with } \underline{\dim} M = (a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{I}}\}.$$

In fact, $\mathcal{S}\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}(Q))$ is isomorphic to the quotient algebra of $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{D}\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}(Q))$ modulo the ideal generated by

$$(4.8) \quad [K_M] - \prod_{i \in \mathbb{I}} [K_{S_i}]^{a_i}, \quad [K_M^*] - \prod_{i \in \mathbb{I}} [K_{S_i}^*]^{a_i}, \text{ for any } M \in \text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}(Q) \text{ with } \underline{\dim} M = (a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{I}}.$$

Lemma 4.1. *We have the following triangular decomposition*

$$(4.9) \quad \mathcal{S}\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}(Q)) = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}(Q)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{v})[[K_{S_i}], [K_{S_i}^*] \mid i \in \mathbb{I}] \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}(Q))$$

Proof. Note that the quotient algebra of the quantum torus $\mathcal{T}(\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}(Q))$ modulo the ideal generated by (4.8) is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{v})[[K_{S_i}], [K_{S_i}^*] \mid i \in \mathbb{I}]$.

Since the Euler form of $\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}(Q)$ depends only on dimension vectors of representations, the proof of Theorem 2.4 (see the proof of [LP21, Theorem 3.20]) also works for $\mathcal{S}\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}(Q))$ by replacing the quantum torus $\mathcal{T}(\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}(Q))$ by $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{v})[[K_{S_i}], [K_{S_i}^*] \mid i \in \mathbb{I}]$.

Then the same proof of [LP21, Theorem 3.25] gives (4.9). \square

Theorem 4.2. *There exists an injective morphism of algebras $\Psi : {}^B \tilde{\mathbf{U}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}(Q))$ such that*

$$(4.10) \quad \Psi(K_i) = [K_{S_i}], \quad \Psi(K'_i) = [K_{S_i}^*], \quad \Psi(E_{il}) = \frac{1}{q-1}[C_{S_{il}}], \quad \Psi(F_{il}) = \frac{-\mathbf{v}}{q-1}[C_{S_{il}}^*]$$

for any $i \in \mathbb{I}$.

Proof. In order to prove the well-definedness of Ψ , it is enough to check that Ψ preserves the relations (3.2)–(3.3), (4.1)–(4.5). In fact, (3.2)–(3.3) and (4.1)–(4.2) follow from Lemma 2.5; (4.4)–(4.5) follow from [KS06, Theorem 2.2] by using (2.14).

For (4.3), if $(i, k) \neq (j, l)$, by definition, we have zero Hom-spaces between S_{ik} and S_{jl} . So

$$[C_{S_{ik}}] * [C_{S_{jl}}^*] = [C_{S_{ik}} \oplus C_{S_{jl}}^*] = [C_{S_{jl}}^*] * [C_{S_{ik}}].$$

If $(i, k) = (j, l)$, a direct computation shows

$$[C_{S_{ik}}] * [C_{S_{ik}}^*] = [C_{S_{ik}} \oplus C_{S_{ik}}^*] + (q-1)[K_{S_i}^*], \\ [C_{S_{ik}}^*] * [C_{S_{ik}}] = [C_{S_{ik}} \oplus C_{S_{ik}}^*] + (q-1)[K_{S_i}].$$

Then (4.3) follows.

It remains to prove the injectivity of Ψ . By (4.6) we have ${}^{\mathbb{B}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}} = {}^{\mathbb{B}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^+ \otimes {}^{\mathbb{B}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^0 \otimes {}^{\mathbb{B}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^-$, correspondingly, by Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\mathcal{S}\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}(Q)) = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}(Q)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{v})[[K_{S_i}, [K_{S_i}^*] \mid i \in \mathbb{I}]] \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}(Q)).$$

Then the injectivity of Ψ follows from [KS06, Theorem 2.2] by using the same argument of [Br13, Theorem 4.9]. \square

REFERENCES

- [Bor88] R.E. Borcherds, *Generalized Kac-Moody algebras*, J. Algebra **115** (1988), 501–512.
- [B15] T. Bozec, *Quivers with loops and perverse sheaves*, Math. Ann. **362** (2015), 773–797.
- [B16] T. Bozec, *Quivers with loops and generalized crystals*, Compositio Math. **152** (2016), 1999–2040.
- [FKKT20] Z. Fan, S.-J. Kang, Y. R. Kim and B. Tong, *Classical limit of quantum Borcherds-Bozec algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **225** (2021), 106502.
- [Br13] T. Bridgeland, *Quantum groups via Hall algebras of complexes*, Ann. Math. **177** (2013), 739–759.
- [Gor18] M. Gorsky, *Semi-derived and derived Hall algebras for stable categories*, IMRN, Vol. **2018**, No. 1, 138–159.
- [Gr95] J.A. Green, *Hall algebras, hereditary algebras and quantum groups*, Invent. Math. **120** (1995), 361–377.
- [K95] S.-J. Kang, *Quantum deformations of generalized Kac-Moody algebras and their modules*, J. Algebra **175** (1995), 1041–1066.
- [K18] S.-J. Kang, *Ringel-Hall algebra construction of quantum Borcherds-Bozec algebras*, J. Algebra **498** (2018), 344–361.
- [KS06] S.-J. Kang and O. Schiffmann, *Canonical bases for quantum generalized Kac-Moody algebras*, Adv. Math. **200** (2006), 455–478.
- [LP21] M. Lu and L. Peng, *Semi-derived Ringel-Hall algebras and Drinfeld doubles*, Adv. Math. **383** (2021), 107668, [arXiv:1608.03106v3](https://arxiv.org/abs/1608.03106v3)
- [LRW21] M. Lu, S. Ruan and W. Wang, *ι Hall algebra of Jordan quiver and ι Hall-Littlewood functions*, [arXiv:2104.12336](https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.12336)
- [Lus90] G. Lusztig, *Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1990), 447–498.
- [Lus93] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to Quantum Groups*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.
- [Rin90] C.M. Ringel, *Hall algebras and quantum groups*, Invent. Math. **101** (1990), 583–591.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SICHUAN UNIVERSITY, CHENGDU 610064, P.R.CHINA
 Email address: luming@scu.edu.cn