

Isotropic Isotonic Inverse-Quartic-Singular Oscillator

A. D. Alhaidari

Saudi Center for Theoretical Physics, P.O. Box 32741, Jeddah 21438, Saudi Arabia

Abstract: We use the tridiagonal representation approach to solve the three-dimensional radial Schrödinger equation for an isotonic oscillator with inverse quartic singularity and for all angular momenta.

PACS: 03.65.Ge, 03.65.Fd, 03.65.Ca

Keywords: isotonic oscillator, tridiagonal representations, recursion relation orthogonal polynomials, Bessel polynomial

1. Introduction

In the physics literature, most of the variants of the isotonic oscillator considered are special cases of the following five-parameter potential

$$V_I(x) = \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 x^2 + \frac{gx^2 + bx \pm c^2}{(x^2 + a^2)^2}, \quad (1)$$

where $\{a, b, c\}$ are length parameters, g is a dimensionless coupling and ω is the oscillator frequency. This potential is regular (locally non-singular) unless $a=0$. Two versions of the isotonic oscillator in one dimension that have been studied extensively have the following forms (see, for example, [1-3] and references therein):

$$V_{II}(x) = V_0 \left(\frac{x}{x_0} - \frac{x_0}{x} \right)^2, \quad (2a)$$

$$V_{III}(x) = \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 x^2 + g \frac{x^2 - a^2}{(x^2 + a^2)^2}. \quad (2b)$$

$V_{II}(x)$ is inverse-square singular at the origin. However, $V_{III}(x)$ is regular unless $a=0$. In three dimensions with spherical symmetry, the following isotonic oscillator potential (including the kinetic energy orbital term) was also considered:

$$V_{IV}(r) = \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{2r^2} + \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 r^2 + g \frac{r^2 - a^2}{(r^2 + a^2)^2}. \quad (3)$$

where ℓ is the angular momentum quantum number (see, for example, [4] and references therein). Without the orbital term, this potential is regular unless $a=0$ in which case it becomes inverse square singular at the origin. Interest in these isotonic oscillator potentials and their

applications in physics are highlighted in the cited literature above. In this work, however, we consider the following alternative version of the oscillator potential (including the orbital term) with inverse quartic singularity at the origin:

$$V(r) = \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{2r^2} + \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 r^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{a^2}{r^4}, \quad (4)$$

It is a special case of the potential (1) with $a \mapsto 0$, $\pm c^2 \mapsto a^2$, $g = \frac{1}{2}\ell(\ell+1)$, and $b = 0$. This singular oscillator potential was not treated in the published literature and the solution of the Schrödinger equation with this potential, which is a non-trivial task, has never been reported. We attempted several conventional methods of solution without success. These methods included factorization, point canonical transformation, supersymmetry, shape invariance, Darboux transformation, asymptotic iteration, and the Nikiforov-Uvarov method. Nonetheless, we were able to obtain a solution (energy spectrum and wavefunction) using the “tridiagonal representation approach” (TRA) [5]. In sections 2 and 3, we formulate the problem and solve it within the TRA then present our results in sections 4 and 5.

2. TRA formulation of the problem

In the atomic units $\hbar = m = 1$, the time-independent radial Schrödinger equation for the isotonic oscillator potential (4) reads as follows

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{2r^2} + \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 r^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{a^2}{r^4} - E \right] \psi(r) = 0, \quad (5)$$

where E is the energy and $\psi(r)$ is the corresponding radial wavefunction. Now, we employ the tools of the TRA in the formulation and solution of this problem. For a detailed description of the TRA, one may consult [5,6] and references therein. We start by expanding the wavefunction in a series as $\psi(r) = \sum_n f_n(E) \phi_n(x)$, where $\{\phi_n(x)\}$ is a set of square integrable functions that produce a tridiagonal matrix representation for the wave operator (5). We choose the dimensionless variable x as $x = 1/\omega r^2$. In terms of this variable, the wave equation (5) becomes

$$\mathcal{D}\psi(r) = -2\omega x \left[x^2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{3}{2} x \frac{d}{dx} - \frac{1}{4} \ell(\ell+1) - \frac{1/4}{x^2} - \frac{1}{4} (\omega a^2) x + \frac{\varepsilon/2}{x} \right] \psi(r) = 0. \quad (6)$$

where $\varepsilon = E/\omega$. A square-integrable basis set that could support a tridiagonal matrix representation for the wave operator \mathcal{D} has the following elements

$$\phi_n(x) = x^\alpha e^{-1/2x} Y_n^\mu(x), \quad (7)$$

where $Y_n^\mu(x)$ is the Bessel polynomial on the positive real line whose relevant properties are given in the Appendix. The degree of the polynomial is limited by the negative parameter μ where $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N$ with N being the largest integer less than $-\mu - \frac{1}{2}$. Choosing $\alpha = \mu + \frac{1}{4}$ and using the differential equation of the Bessel polynomial (A4), we can evaluate the action of the wave operator on the basis elements giving

$$\mathcal{D}\phi_n(x) = -\frac{\omega}{2} x^{\mu+\frac{5}{4}} e^{-1/2x} \left[(2n+2\mu+1)^2 - \left(\ell + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - (\omega a^2)x + \frac{4\mu+2\varepsilon}{x} \right] Y_n^\mu(x). \quad (8)$$

A tridiagonal representation is obtained if and only if [...] $Y_n^\mu(x)$ in this equation becomes a sum of terms proportional to $Y_n^\mu(x)$ and $Y_{n\pm 1}^\mu(x)$ with constant factors. Hence, the three-term recursion relation (A2) dictates that the terms inside the square brackets is permitted to be only linear in x . Thus, the last term proportional to x^{-1} must vanish and thus we should choose the basis parameters μ as follows

$$2\mu = -\varepsilon = -E/\omega. \quad (9)$$

Since the basis parameter μ is required to be negative then the energy spectrum of the oscillator potential must be positive. In fact, it should be greater than ω since $\mu < -\frac{1}{2}$. This is consistent with the total confinement and positivity of this potential that makes the energy spectrum bounded from below. Moreover, the number of bound states that could be obtained by our solution is unlimited since μ can assume any negative value just by choosing an upper limit of the desired energy spectrum, say E_{\max} . Now, since N is the largest integer less than $-\mu - \frac{1}{2}$, then using (9) we obtain

$$N = \left\lfloor (E_{\max}/2\omega) - \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor. \quad (10)$$

In the following section, we present the TRA solution of the problem which is written in terms of a new polynomial defined in [7] by its three-term recursion relation and initial values.

3. TRA solution of the problem

With the basis parameters μ given by (9), the action of the wave operator on the basis elements (8) reduces to the following

$$\mathcal{D}\phi_n(x) = -\frac{\omega}{2} x^{\mu+\frac{5}{4}} e^{-1/2x} \left[(2n+2\mu+1)^2 - \left(\ell + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - (\omega a^2)x \right] Y_n^\mu(x). \quad (11)$$

Substituting this action in the wave equation $\mathcal{D}\psi(r) = \sum_n f_n \mathcal{D}\phi_n(x) = 0$ and using the recursion relation of the Bessel polynomial (A2), we obtain the following three-term recursion relation for the expansion coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\ell + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 F_n &= \left[(2n+2\mu+1)^2 + \frac{\mu\omega a^2/2}{(n+\mu)(n+\mu+1)} \right] F_n \\ + \frac{\omega a^2}{2} &\left[\frac{n+1}{(n+\mu+1)(2n+2\mu+3)} F_{n+1} - \frac{n+2\mu}{(n+\mu)(2n+2\mu-1)} F_{n-1} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where we have written $f_n = f_0 F_n$ making $F_0 = 1$. If we define $P_n = \frac{(-1)^n n! (2\mu+1)}{(2n+2\mu+1)(2\mu+1)_n} F_n$,

where the Pochhammer symbol (a.k.a. shifted factorial) $(a)_n = a(a+1)(a+2)\dots(a+n-1) = \frac{\Gamma(n+a)}{\Gamma(a)}$, then this recursion becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\ell + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 P_n &= \left[(2n + 2\mu + 1)^2 + \frac{\mu\omega a^2/2}{(n + \mu)(n + \mu + 1)} \right] P_n \\
+ \frac{\omega a^2}{2} &\left[\frac{n}{(n + \mu)(2n + 2\mu + 1)} P_{n-1} - \frac{n + 2\mu + 1}{(n + \mu + 1)(2n + 2\mu + 1)} P_{n+1} \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Comparing this recursion relation to that of the polynomial $B_n^\mu(z; \gamma)$ defined in [7] by its three-term recursion relation, which is shown here in the Appendix as (A10), we conclude that $P_n = B_n^\mu(z; \gamma)$ where

$$\gamma = -16/\omega a^2, \quad z = -(4/\omega a^2)\left(\ell + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2. \tag{14}$$

Finally, the k th bound state wavefunction for this isotonic oscillator reads

$$\psi_k(r) = f_0(E_k) (\omega r^2)^{-\mu-\frac{1}{4}} e^{-\omega r^2/2} \sum_{n=0}^N G_n B_n^\mu(z; \gamma) Y_n^\mu(1/\omega r^2), \tag{15}$$

where $G_n = (2n + 2\mu + 1)(2\mu + 1)_n / (-1)^n n! (2\mu + 1)$. For a given set of physical parameters $\{\omega, a, \ell\}$ and bound state energy E_k , the basis parameter μ is given by (9) whereas z and γ are given by (14). All properties of the system (e.g., energy spectrum of the bound states, density of states, etc.) are obtained from the properties of the polynomial $B_n^\mu(z; \gamma)$ (e.g., its weight function, generating function, asymptotics, zeros, etc.). For example, the energy spectrum could easily be obtained from the spectrum formula of this polynomial. Unfortunately, the analytic properties of $B_n^\mu(z; \gamma)$ are not yet known. It remains an open problem in orthogonal polynomials along with other similar problems. For an expose of such open problems, one could study section 5 of [8] and references therein. Therefore, we are forced to resort to numerical means to calculate the physical properties of the system. In the following section, we use the ‘‘potential parameter spectrum’’ (PPS) technique [9] to calculate the bound states energy eigenvalues $\{E_k\}$ for a given set of physical parameters $\{\omega, a, \ell\}$.

4. Energy spectrum

Since the analytic properties of the TRA polynomial $B_n^\mu(z; \gamma)$ in the wavefunction expansion (15) is not known, we obtain a numerical solution of its associated three-term recursion relation (13). The aim is to find as many of the binding energies in this isotonic potential (4) as desired and with high enough accuracy. We do that by writing the symmetric version of the recursion (13) as an eigenvalue equation $y|P\rangle = T|P\rangle$ where T is the $(N+1) \times (N+1)$ symmetric tridiagonal matrix $T_{n,m} = a_n \delta_{n,m} + b_{n-1} \delta_{n,m+1} + b_n \delta_{n,m-1}$ with

$$a_n = (2n + 2\mu + 1)^2 + \frac{\mu\omega a^2/2}{(n + \mu)(n + \mu + 1)}, \tag{16a}$$

$$b_n = \frac{\omega a^2/2}{n + \mu + 1} \sqrt{\frac{-(n+1)(n+2\mu+1)}{(2n+2\mu+1)(2n+2\mu+3)}}. \tag{16b}$$

The problem in finding the energy spectrum is that the matrix elements of T do depend on the energy via the parameter μ as shown in (9). However, out of all energies that enter in the construction of T , the energy spectrum are only those that produce the eigenvalue $y = \left(\ell + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2$ for a given angular momentum ℓ . The technique that utilizes this idea to produce the energy spectrum is the PPS [9] and it goes as follows:

1. For a given set of values of the physical parameters $\{\omega, a\}$, we choose an energy interval $0 \leq E \leq E_{\max}$ with the desired E_{\max} and select M points in the interval $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^M$.
2. For the energy E_1 , we calculate the recursion coefficients $\{a_n, b_n\}_{n=0}^N$ using (16) and (9) with N given by (10). Then, we compute the eigenvalues of the tridiagonal matrix T in $y|P\rangle = T|P\rangle$ as the set $\{y_n(E_1)\}_{n=0}^N$ sorted in ascending order.
3. We repeat step 2 above for E_2 to get the sorted set $\{y_n(E_2)\}_{n=0}^N$ and continue this for all energy points in the interval up to E_M that gives the sorted set $\{y_n(E_M)\}_{n=0}^N$.
4. For $n=0$, we make a functional fit of the M points $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^M$ versus $\{y_0(E_i)\}_{i=1}^M$ and call this function $E_0(y)$. The ground state energy will then be $E_0\left(y = \left(\ell + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2\right)$.
5. We repeat step 4 for $n=1$ where we fit the M points $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^M$ versus $\{y_1(E_i)\}_{i=1}^M$ to get the function $E_1(y)$ giving the first excited state energy as $E_1\left(y = \left(\ell + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2\right)$. We continue till $n=N$ that gives $E_N\left(y = \left(\ell + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2\right)$.

For functional fitting, we used the Haymaker-Schlessinger continued fraction routine with fitting order M [10]. For a given choice of the physical parameters $\{\omega, a\}$ and for several angular momenta, we use the above PPS procedure to calculate the lowest bound states energies. In Table 1, we give the deviation of these energies from that of the pure isotropic oscillator. That is, in Table 1, we list the energy deviations

$$\Delta E_n := E_n - \omega\left(2n + \ell + \frac{3}{2}\right). \quad (17)$$

Figure 1 is a plot of the wavefunction (15) for the lowest bound states corresponding to the $\ell = 5$ column of Table 1.

5. Independent verification of results

It has been demonstrated elsewhere that the PPS technique *may not* produce accurate enough results in a finite basis like (7) [11]. Therefore, in this section we try to obtain an independent numerical evaluation of the energy spectrum using two approximation methods.

5.1 The first method:

This is an approximate analytical calculation of the roots of the determinant of the $(N+1) \times (N+1)$ tridiagonal symmetric matrix $T - \left(\ell + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 I$ for a given set of physical parameters

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \chi_n | H | \chi_m \rangle &= \frac{\lambda^4 a^2}{2} C_n C_m \int_0^\infty x^{\ell-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-x} L_n^{\ell+\frac{1}{2}}(x) L_m^{\ell+\frac{1}{2}}(x) dx + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\omega^2}{\lambda^4}\right) \left(2n + \ell + \frac{3}{2}\right) \delta_{n,m} \\ &\quad - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\omega^2}{\lambda^4}\right) \left[\delta_{n,m+1} \sqrt{n(n + \ell + \frac{1}{2})} + \delta_{n,m-1} \sqrt{(n+1)(n + \ell + \frac{3}{2})} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

To simplify even further, we could choose $\lambda^2 = \omega$ in which case we obtain the following elements of the Hamiltonian matrix

$$\langle \chi_n | H | \chi_m \rangle = \omega \left(2n + \ell + \frac{3}{2}\right) \delta_{n,m} + \frac{(\omega a)^2}{2} C_n C_m \int_0^\infty x^{\ell-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-x} L_n^{\ell+\frac{1}{2}}(x) L_m^{\ell+\frac{1}{2}}(x) dx. \quad (22)$$

To get a highly accurate evaluation of the integral in (21) or (22), we use Gauss quadrature approximation associated with the Laguerre polynomials (see, for example, Appendix B in [12] or Appendix A in [13]). Rigorously, integrability dictates that the exponent $\ell - \frac{3}{2}$ of x inside the integral be greater than -1 . Thus, we expect computational difficulty only for $\ell = 0$. However, numerically we can improve accuracy of the result of integration by increasing the size of the basis (19) and/or choosing a proper value of the scale parameter λ (for example, choosing $\lambda^2 = \omega$). We calculated the bound states energies $\{E_k\}_{k=0}^{M-1}$ as the eigenvalues of the $M \times M$ Hamiltonian matrix (21) for the same physical parameters as those in Table 1. In Table 3, we list $\{\Delta E_n\}$ defined by (17) for the lowest bound states. The good agreement between Table 1 and Table 3 improves with an increasing angular momentum since then the system is pushed away (by the increased orbital motion) from the origin making the $1/r^4$ singularity less detrimental to the calculation.

Appendix: Bessel polynomial on the real line

The Bessel polynomial on the positive real line is defined in terms of the hypergeometric or confluent hypergeometric functions as follows (see section 9.13 of the book by Koekoek *et. al* [14] but make the replacement $x \mapsto 2x$ and $a \mapsto 2\mu$)

$$Y_n^\mu(x) = {}_2F_0 \left(\begin{matrix} -n, n+2\mu+1 \\ - \end{matrix} \middle| -x \right) = (n+2\mu+1)_n x^n {}_1F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} -n \\ -2(n+\mu) \end{matrix} \middle| 1/x \right), \quad (A1)$$

where $x \geq 0$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N$ and N is a non-negative integer. The real parameter μ is negative such that $\mu < -N - \frac{1}{2}$. The Pochhammer symbol $(a)_n$ (a.k.a. shifted factorial) is defined as

$$(a)_n = a(a+1)(a+2)\dots(a+n-1) = \frac{\Gamma(n+a)}{\Gamma(a)}.$$

The Bessel polynomial could also be written in terms of the associated Laguerre polynomial as: $Y_n^\mu(x) = n! (-x)^n L_n^{-(2n+2\mu+1)}(1/x)$. The three-term recursion relation reads as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 2x Y_n^\mu(x) &= \frac{-\mu}{(n+\mu)(n+\mu+1)} Y_n^\mu(x) \\ &- \frac{n}{(n+\mu)(2n+2\mu+1)} Y_{n-1}^\mu(x) + \frac{n+2\mu+1}{(n+\mu+1)(2n+2\mu+1)} Y_{n+1}^\mu(x) \end{aligned} \quad (A2)$$

Note that the constraints on μ and on the maximum polynomial degree make this recursion definite (i.e., the signs of the two recursion coefficients multiplying $Y_{n\pm 1}^\mu(x)$ are the same). Otherwise, these polynomials could not be defined on the real line but on the unit circle in the complex plane. The orthogonality relation reads as follows

$$\int_0^\infty x^{2\mu} e^{-1/x} Y_n^\mu(x) Y_m^\mu(x) dx = -\frac{n! \Gamma(-n-2\mu)}{2n+2\mu+1} \delta_{nm}. \quad (\text{A3})$$

The differential equation is

$$\left\{ x^2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + [1 + 2x(\mu+1)] \frac{d}{dx} - n(n+2\mu+1) \right\} Y_n^\mu(x) = 0. \quad (\text{A4})$$

The forward and backward shift differential relations read as follows

$$\frac{d}{dx} Y_n^\mu(x) = n(n+2\mu+1) Y_{n-1}^{\mu+1}(x). \quad (\text{A5})$$

$$x^2 \frac{d}{dx} Y_n^\mu(x) = -(2\mu x + 1) Y_n^\mu(x) + Y_{n+1}^{\mu-1}(x). \quad (\text{A6})$$

We can write $Y_{n+1}^{\mu-1}(x)$ in terms of $Y_n^\mu(x)$ and $Y_{n\pm 1}^\mu(x)$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} 2Y_{n+1}^{\mu-1}(x) &= \frac{(n+1)(n+2\mu)}{(n+\mu)(n+\mu+1)} Y_n^\mu(x) \\ &+ \frac{n(n+1)}{(n+\mu)(2n+2\mu+1)} Y_{n-1}^\mu(x) + \frac{(n+2\mu)(n+2\mu+1)}{(n+\mu+1)(2n+2\mu+1)} Y_{n+1}^\mu(x) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A7})$$

Using this identity and the recursion relation (A2), we can rewrite the backward shift differential relation (A6) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} 2x^2 \frac{d}{dx} Y_n^\mu(x) &= n(n+2\mu+1) \times \\ &\left[-\frac{Y_n^\mu(x)}{(n+\mu)(n+\mu+1)} + \frac{Y_{n-1}^\mu(x)}{(n+\mu)(2n+2\mu+1)} + \frac{Y_{n+1}^\mu(x)}{(n+\mu+1)(2n+2\mu+1)} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A8})$$

The generating function is

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_n^\mu(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{2^{2\mu}}{\sqrt{1-4xt}} \left(1 + \sqrt{1-4xt}\right)^{-2\mu} \exp\left[2t/(1 + \sqrt{1-4xt})\right]. \quad (\text{A9})$$

The polynomial $B_n^\mu(z; \gamma)$ is defined in [7] by its three-term recursion relation Eq. (15) therein, which reads

$$\begin{aligned} z B_n^\mu(z; \gamma) &= \left[\frac{-2\mu}{(n+\mu)(n+\mu+1)} + \gamma \left(n + \mu + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \right] B_n^\mu(z; \gamma) \\ &- \frac{n}{(n+\mu)(n+\mu+\frac{1}{2})} B_{n-1}^\mu(z; \gamma) + \frac{n+2\mu+1}{(n+\mu+1)(n+\mu+\frac{1}{2})} B_{n+1}^\mu(z; \gamma) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A10})$$

where $B_0^\mu(z; \gamma) = 1$ and $B_{-1}^\mu(z; \gamma) := 0$.

References

- [1] Y. Weissman and J. Jortner, *The isotonic oscillator*, Phys. Lett. A **70** (1979) 177
- [2] J. F. Cariñena, A. M. Perelomov, M. F. Rañada, and M. Santander, *A quantum exactly solvable nonlinear oscillator related to the isotonic oscillator*, J. Phys. A **41** (2008) 085301
- [3] R. L. Hall, N. Saad, and Ö. Yeşiltaş, *Generalized quantum isotonic nonlinear oscillator in d dimensions*, J. Phys. A **43** (2010) 465304
- [4] N. Saad, R. L. Hall, H. Çiftçi, and Ö. Yeşiltaş, *Study of the Generalized Quantum Isotonic Nonlinear Oscillator Potential*, Adv. Math. Phys. **2011** (2011) 750168
- [5] A. D. Alhaidari, *Solution of the nonrelativistic wave equation using the tridiagonal representation approach*, J. Math. Phys. **58** (2017) 072104
- [6] A. D. Alhaidari and H. Bahlouli, *Tridiagonal Representation Approach in Quantum Mechanics*, Phys. Scripta **94** (2019) 125206
- [7] A. D. Alhaidari, *Exponentially confining potential well*, Theor. Math. Phys. **206** (2021) 84 [Russian: TMP **206** (2021) 97]
- [8] A. D. Alhaidari, *Open problem in orthogonal polynomials*, Rep. Math. Phys. **84** (2019) 393
- [9] A. D. Alhaidari and H. Bahlouli, *Bound states and the potential parameter spectrum*, J. Math. Phys. **61** (2020) 062103
- [10] R. W. Haymaker and L. Schlessinger, "Padé approximants as a Computational Tool for Solving the Schrödinger and Bethe-Salpeter Equations", Chapter 11 in: *The Padé Approximation in Theoretical Physics*, Mathematics in Science and Engineering Vol. **71**, G. A. Baker and J. L. Gammel (editors) (Elsevier Science, 1970) Pages 257-287
- [11] I. A. Assi, A. D. Alhaidari and H. Bahlouli, *Deformed Morse-like potential*, arXiv: **2101.09703** [quant-ph]
- [12] A. D. Alhaidari, H. Bahlouli, C. P. Aparicio, and S. M. Al-Marzoug, *The J-matrix method of scattering for inverse-square singular potential with supercritical coupling*, arXiv: **2012.07493** [quant-ph]
- [13] A. D. Alhaidari, *Reconstructing the potential function in a formulation of quantum mechanics based on orthogonal polynomials*, Commun. Theor. Phys. **68** (2017) 711
- [14] R. Koekoek, P. A. Lesky and R. F. Swarttouw: *Hypergeometric Orthogonal Polynomials and Their q -Analogues* (Springer, Heidelberg, 2010)

Table Caption:

Table 1: PPS calculation of the energy deviations (in atomic units) from the pure isotropic oscillator as defined by (17) for the lowest bound states energies and for several values of the angular momentum. We took $\omega = 1.0$ and $a = 0.5$. The number of fitting energy points is $M = 100$.

Table 2: The energy deviations (in atomic units) $\{\Delta E_n\}_{n=0}^N$ defined by (17) for the lowest bound states calculated using the roots of the determinant (18) for several matrix sizes $(N + 1)$. We took $\omega = 1.0$, $a = 0.5$ and $\ell = 5$.

Table 3: The energy deviations (in atomic units) $\{\Delta E_n\}$ defined by (17) for the lowest bound states where the energy spectrum $\{E_n\}$ is obtained as the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian matrix (21). We took the same physical parameters as in Table 1 and chose $\lambda^2 = \omega$. The Hamiltonian matrix size $M = 100$.

Figure Caption:

Fig. 1: Plots of the wavefunction given by the series (15) for the lowest six bound states corresponding to the $\ell = 5$ column of Table 1. The values of the physical parameters are the same as in Table 1. The wavefunctions are un-normalized and the horizontal axis is the radial coordinate r .

Table 1

n	$\ell = 3$	$\ell = 4$	$\ell = 5$	$\ell = 6$	$\ell = 7$
0	0.014093664	0.007895881	0.005038139	0.003491750	0.002561967
1	0.020199526	0.010734904	0.006579901	0.004420052	0.003163657
2	0.026201793	0.013558855	0.008118140	0.005347298	0.003764967
3	0.032153953	0.016363232	0.009652883	0.006273491	0.004365899
4	0.038047366	0.019160964	0.011184153	0.007198635	0.004966453
5	0.043892069	0.021940815	0.012711974	0.008122733	0.005566631
6	0.049552918	0.024707753	0.014236371	0.009045789	0.006166432
7	0.055291222	0.027459101	0.015757368	0.009967806	0.006765857
8	0.060543550	0.030197819	0.017274988	0.010888788	0.007364908
9	0.065805452	0.032920750	0.018789252	0.011808738	0.007963584

Table 2

n	$N = 0$	$N = 1$	$N = 2$	$N = 5$	$N = 10$
0	0.005042540	0.005038139	0.005038139	0.005038139	0.005038139
1		0.006590264	0.006579901	0.006579901	0.006579901
2			0.008136005	0.008118140	0.008118140
3				0.009652883	0.009652883
4				0.011184159	0.011184152
5				0.012761400	0.012711974
6					0.014236371
7					0.015757367
8					0.017274987
9					0.018789252

Table 3

n	$\ell = 3$	$\ell = 4$	$\ell = 5$	$\ell = 6$	$\ell = 7$
0	0.014088829	0.007895857	0.005038137	0.003491750	0.002561967
1	0.020168585	0.010734771	0.006579892	0.004420052	0.003163657
2	0.026152741	0.013558349	0.008118108	0.005347296	0.003764967
3	0.032044738	0.016366668	0.009652793	0.006273485	0.004365898
4	0.037847372	0.019159733	0.011183941	0.007198618	0.004966452
5	0.043562902	0.021937470	0.012711533	0.008122694	0.005566627
6	0.049193129	0.024699726	0.014235533	0.009045709	0.006166424
7	0.054739450	0.027446263	0.015755884	0.009967653	0.006765841
8	0.060202910	0.030176757	0.017272505	0.010888512	0.007364876
9	0.065584229	0.032890796	0.018785288	0.011808267	0.007963526




Fig. 1