

On commutator relations in 2-spherical RGD-systems

Sebastian *Bischof**

Mathematisches Institut, Arndtstraße 2, 35392 Gießen, Germany

January 20, 2022

Abstract

In this paper we investigate the commutator relations for prenilpotent roots which are nested. These commutator relations are trivial in a lot of cases.

1. Introduction

In [13] Tits introduced RGD-systems in order to describe groups of Kac-Moody type. Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system, let Φ be the associated set of roots (viewed as a set of half-spaces) and let Π be a basis (i.e. a set of simple roots) of Φ . An RGD-system of type (W, S) is a pair $(G, (U_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Phi})$ consisting of a group G and a family of subgroups U_α (called *root groups*) indexed by the set of roots Φ satisfying a few axioms (for the precise definition see Section 4). In this paper we are interested in *2-spherical* RGD-systems (i.e. the order of st in W is finite for any $s, t \in S$). For $\alpha \neq \beta \in \Pi$ we define $X_\alpha := \langle U_\alpha \cup U_{-\alpha} \rangle$ and $X_{\alpha, \beta} := \langle X_\alpha \cup X_\beta \rangle$. A 2-spherical RGD-system satisfies Condition (Co*) if the following holds:

$$X_{\alpha, \beta} / Z(X_{\alpha, \beta}) \not\cong B_2(2), G_2(2), G_2(3), {}^2F_4(2) \text{ for all pairs } \{\alpha, \beta\} \subseteq \Pi. \quad (\text{Co}^*)$$

This condition was introduced by Mühlherr and Ronan in [10]. In loc.cit. they give a formulation in terms of buildings.

One axiom of an RGD-system provides a commutator relation between U_α and U_β , where $\{\alpha, \beta\} \subseteq \Phi$ is a pair of *prenilpotent roots* (i.e. a pair of distinct roots where both $\alpha \cap \beta$ and $(-\alpha) \cap (-\beta)$ are non-empty sets). In the spherical case two roots α, β are prenilpotent if and only if $\alpha \neq -\beta$. In the non-spherical case this is no longer true. For a pair $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ of prenilpotent roots we have either $o(r_\alpha r_\beta) < \infty$ or $o(r_\alpha r_\beta) = \infty$. In the first case the commutator relations between U_α and U_β are completely determined by Tits and Weiss in [14]. In the second case the pair $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is *nested*, i.e. $\alpha \subsetneq \beta$ or $\beta \subsetneq \alpha$. In this paper we are interested in the commutator relations of root groups corresponding to nested roots in 2-spherical RGD-systems of rank 3. We will show that in a certain class of RGD-systems these commutator relations are trivial. This leads to the following definition:

An RGD-system satisfies Condition (nc), if the following holds:

$$\forall \alpha \subsetneq \beta \in \Phi : [U_\alpha, U_\beta] = 1. \quad (\text{nc})$$

*email: sebastian.bischof@math.uni-giessen.de

We prove the following theorem (cf. Proposition (4.1) and Theorem (5.5)):

Theorem A: Let (W, S) be a 2-spherical Coxeter system of rank 3 and let $\mathcal{D} = (G, (U_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Phi})$ be an RGD-system of type (W, S) satisfying Condition (Co^*) . If \mathcal{D} does not satisfy Condition (nc) then \mathcal{D} is of type $(2, 4, 4)$, $(2, 4, 6)$, $(2, 4, 8)$, $(2, 6, 6)$ or $(2, 6, 8)$.

The following proposition shows that our theorem is optimal in the sense that there are RGD-systems for any type mentioned in Theorem A, where Condition (Co^*) does not imply Condition (nc) (see Remark (4.2) and Section 6):

Proposition B: There exist RGD-systems of type $(2, 4, 4)$, $(2, 4, 6)$, $(2, 4, 8)$, $(2, 6, 6)$, $(2, 6, 8)$, which satisfy Condition (Co^*) , but do not satisfy Condition (nc) .

In the higher rank case we have the following result which is contained in Theorem (5.5):

Theorem C: Assume that the Coxeter diagram of (W, S) is the complete graph or simply-laced (i.e. $m_{st} \in \{2, 3\}$ for all $s, t \in S$). Then in every RGD-system of type (W, S) Condition (Co^*) implies Condition (nc) .

Remarks:

1. We believe that we can't drop Condition (Co^*) in general in Theorem A. But if the rank 3 RGD-system is of affine type, we can drop Condition (Co^*) (see Proposition (4.1)). Furthermore, Theorem A shows that Condition (nc) is a weaker condition than Condition (Co^*) for a 2-spherical RGD-system of rank 3 which is not of type $(2, 4, 4)$, $(2, 4, 6)$, $(2, 4, 8)$, $(2, 6, 6)$, $(2, 6, 8)$.
2. Let (W, S) be of type (k, l, m) and we assume that $2 \leq k \leq l \leq m \leq 8$. If $l \in \{3, 8\}$, then in an RGD-system of type (W, S) Condition (Co^*) implies Condition (nc) . Moreover, there are many 2-spherical non-affine diagrams (W, S) such that in an RGD-system of type (W, S) Condition (Co^*) does not imply Condition (nc) . Since $k, l, m \in \{2, 3, 4, 6, 8\}$, there are 125 different types of RGD-systems of rank 3 and in only five types Condition (Co^*) does not necessarily imply Condition (nc) .
3. In Theorem C we can drop Condition (Co^*) , if (W, S) is simply-laced, since every simply-laced RGD-system automatically satisfies Condition (Co^*) . Theorem C is proved by Allcock and Carbone for RGD-systems of simply-laced hyperbolic type associated to Kac-Moody groups over fields (see Lemma 6 in [3]). Our theorem includes these cases. Furthermore, we learned that Ted Williams produced results in [15] that are similar to our Theorem A and Proposition B. We also remark, that Condition (nc) often holds for RGD-systems, which come from Kac-Moody groups over fields (cf. Remark 3.7(f) in [12]).

Acknowledgement

The author thanks the anonymous referee for careful reading any many helpful comments.

2. Preliminaries

Coxeter systems

Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system and let ℓ denote the corresponding length function. Defining $w \sim_s w'$ if and only if $w^{-1}w' \in \langle s \rangle$ we obtain a chamber system with chamber set W and equivalence relations \sim_s for $s \in S$, which we denote by $\Sigma(W, S)$. We call two chambers w, w' *s-adjacent* if $w \sim_s w'$ and *adjacent* if they are *s-adjacent* for some $s \in S$. A *gallery of length n* from w_0 to w_n is a sequence (w_0, \dots, w_n) of chambers where w_i and w_{i+1} are adjacent for any $0 \leq i < n$. A gallery (w_0, \dots, w_n) is called *minimal* if there exists no gallery from w_0 to w_n of length $k < n$ and we denote the length of a minimal gallery between w_0 and w_n

by $d(w_0, w_n)$. For $s, t \in S$ we denote the order of st in W by m_{st} . The *Coxeter diagram* corresponding to (W, S) is the labeled graph $(S, E(S))$, where $E(S) = \{\{s, t\} \mid m_{st} > 2\}$ and where each edge $\{s, t\}$ is labeled by m_{st} for all $s, t \in S$. The *rank* of a Coxeter diagram is the cardinality of the set of its vertices. It is well-known that the pair $(\langle J \rangle, J)$ is a Coxeter system (cf. [4, Ch. IV, §1 Théorème 2]). For $J \subseteq S$ we define the *J-residue* of a chamber $c \in W$ to be the set $c\langle J \rangle$. A *residue* R is a J -residue for some $J \subseteq S$; we call J the *type* of R and the cardinality of J is called the *rank* of R . A *panel* is a residue of rank 1. It is a fact that for any chamber $x \in W$ and every residue R there exists a unique chamber $z \in R$ such that $d(x, y) = d(x, z) + d(z, y)$ holds for any chamber $y \in R$. The chamber z is called the *projection* of x onto R and is denoted by $z = \text{proj}_R x$.

Let (W, S) be a 2-spherical Coxeter system of rank 3 and let $S = \{r, s, t\}$. Sometimes we will also call (m_{rs}, m_{rt}, m_{st}) the *type* of (W, S) . The Coxeter system (W, S) is called *affine* if $\frac{1}{m_{rs}} + \frac{1}{m_{rt}} + \frac{1}{m_{st}} = 1$, and it is called *hyperbolic* if $\frac{1}{m_{rs}} + \frac{1}{m_{rt}} + \frac{1}{m_{st}} < 1$. A Coxeter system of rank 3 is called *cyclic* if the underlying Coxeter diagram is the complete graph.

Roots and walls

A *reflection* is an element of W that is conjugated to an element of S . For $s \in S$ we let $\alpha_s := \{w \in W \mid \ell(sw) > \ell(w)\}$ be the *simple root* corresponding to s . A *root* is a subset $\alpha \subseteq W$ such that $\alpha = v\alpha_s$ for some $v \in W$ and $s \in S$. We denote the set of all roots by $\Phi(W, S)$. The set $\Phi(W, S)_+ = \{\alpha \in \Phi(W, S) \mid 1_W \in \alpha\}$ is the set of all *positive roots* and $\Phi(W, S)_- = \{\alpha \in \Phi(W, S) \mid 1_W \notin \alpha\}$ is the set of all *negative roots*. For each root $\alpha \in \Phi(W, S)$ we denote the *opposite root* by $-\alpha$ and we denote the unique reflection which interchanges these two roots by r_α .

For a pair $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ of prenilpotent roots we will write $[\alpha, \beta] := \{\gamma \in \Phi(W, S) \mid \alpha \cap \beta \subseteq \gamma \text{ and } (-\alpha) \cap (-\beta) \subseteq -\gamma\}$ and $(\alpha, \beta) := [\alpha, \beta] \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}$.

For $\alpha \in \Phi(W, S)$ we denote by $\partial\alpha$ (resp. $\partial^2\alpha$) the set of all panels (resp. spherical residues of rank 2) stabilized by r_α . Furthermore, we define $\mathcal{C}(\partial^2\alpha) := \bigcup_{R \in \partial^2\alpha} R$. Some authors call a panel $P \in \partial\alpha$ a *boundary panel* of the root α .

The set $\partial\alpha$ is called the *wall* associated to α . Let $G = (c_0, \dots, c_k)$ be a gallery. We say that G *crosses the wall* $\partial\alpha$ if there exists $1 \leq i \leq k$ such that $\{c_{i-1}, c_i\} \in \partial\alpha$. It is a basic fact that a minimal gallery crosses a wall at most once (cf. Lemma 3.69 in [2]).

(2.1) Convention. For the rest of this paper we let (W, S) be a 2-spherical Coxeter system of finite rank and $\Phi := \Phi(W, S)$ (resp. Φ_+ and Φ_-).

Reflection triangles and combinatorial triangles

This subsection is based on [5].

A set D is called *fundamental domain* for the action of a group G on a set E containing D if $\bigcup_{g \in G} gD = E$ and $D \cap gD \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow g = 1$ for any $g \in G$.

Let Ψ be a set of roots. We put $R(\Psi) := \{r_\psi \mid \psi \in \Psi\}$ and $W(\Psi) := \langle R(\Psi) \rangle$. The set Ψ is called *geometric* if $\bigcap_{\psi \in \Psi} \psi$ is non-empty and if for all $\varphi, \psi \in \Psi$, the set $\varphi \cap \psi$ is a fundamental domain for the action of $W(\{\varphi, \psi\})$ on $\Sigma(W, S)$.

A *parabolic subgroup* of W is a subgroup of the form $\text{Stab}_W(R)$ for some residue R . The *rank* of the parabolic subgroup is defined to be the rank of the residue R . A *reflection triangle* is a set T of three reflections such that the order of tt' is finite for all $t, t' \in T$ and such that T is not contained in any parabolic subgroup of rank 2. It is a fact that given a reflection triangle T there exists a reflection triangle T' such that $\langle T \rangle = \langle T' \rangle$ and that $(\langle T \rangle, T')$ is a Coxeter system. This follows essentially from Proposition 4.2 in [11]. In particular, the

Coxeter diagram of $(\langle T \rangle, T')$ is uniquely determined by $\langle T \rangle$ and we denote this diagram by $\mathcal{M}(T)$. We say that T is *affine* if $\mathcal{M}(T)$ is affine. A set of three roots T is called *combinatorial triangle* (or simply a *triangle*) if the following hold:

(CT1) The set $\{r_\alpha \mid \alpha \in T\}$ is a reflection triangle.

(CT2) The set $\bigcap_{\alpha \in T} \alpha$ is geometric.

A triangle $T = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ is called a *fundamental triangle* if $(-\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}) = \emptyset$ for any $0 \leq i \leq 2$, where the indices are taken modulo 3. To avoid complicated subscripts we will write r_i instead of r_{α_i} for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and a root $\alpha_i \in \Phi$.

(2.1) Lemma. *Let T be an affine reflection triangle. Then there exists an irreducible affine parabolic subgroup $W_0 \leq W$ of rank ≥ 3 such that $\langle T \rangle$ is conjugated to a subgroup of W_0 .*

Proof. This is Theorem 1.2 of [5], which is essentially based on a result in [9]. \square

Let $T = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ be a triangle and let $\sigma_i \in \partial^2 \alpha_{i-1} \cap \partial^2 \alpha_{i+1}$ (the indices are taken modulo 3) which is contained in α_i . The set $\{\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$ is called a *set of vertices* of T . For $0 \leq i \neq j \leq 2$ we let $x_{i,j}$ be the unique chamber of $\text{proj}_{\sigma_i} \sigma_j$ which belongs to α_k where $i \neq k \neq j$. Using Lemma 2.3 of [5], there exists for each $0 \leq i \leq 2$ a minimal gallery Γ_i from $x_{i-1, i+1}$ to $x_{i+1, i-1}$ such that every element belongs to $\mathcal{C}(\partial^2 \alpha_i) \cap \alpha_i$. Let also $\tilde{\Gamma}_i$ be the unique minimal gallery from $x_{i, i+1}$ to $x_{i, i-1}$. Finally, let Γ be the gallery obtained by concatenating the Γ_i 's and the $\tilde{\Gamma}_i$'s, i.e.

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_0 \sim \tilde{\Gamma}_1 \sim \Gamma_2 \sim \tilde{\Gamma}_0 \sim \Gamma_1 \sim \tilde{\Gamma}_2.$$

Then Γ is a closed gallery by construction and we say that Γ *skirts around* the triangle T and that the vertices $\{\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$ *supports* Γ . The *perimeter* of T is the minimum of the set of all lengths of all galleries that skirt around T . In the next lemma we use the same induction as in Lemma 5.2 of [5] but we deduce different things.


(2.2) Proposition. *Assume that the Coxeter diagram is the complete graph and let T be a triangle. Then T is fundamental.*

Proof. Let $T = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2\}, \{0, 1, 2\} = \{i, j, k\}$, let p be the perimeter of T , let Γ be a closed gallery of length p which skirts around T and let $\{\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$ be a set of vertices that supports Γ . We prove the hypothesis by induction on the length p . If $p = 0$ then $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_2$ contain a common chamber. Then $\bigcap_{\alpha \in T} \alpha$ is a chamber and hence T is fundamental. Thus we assume $p > 0$. Assume that T is not fundamental and that $\beta \in (-\alpha_i, \alpha_j)$. Then $o(r_\beta r_k) < \infty$ and we obtain the reflection triangles $T_+ := \{\alpha_i, \beta, \alpha_k\}$ and $T_- := \{-\beta, \alpha_j, \alpha_k\}$. Let $\sigma \in \partial^2 \alpha_k \cap \partial^2 \beta$ such that σ is crossed by Γ (i.e. there exists a root α such that $\sigma \in \partial^2 \alpha$ and $\partial \alpha$ is crossed by Γ). Then the perimeter of T_+ and T_- is $< p$ and we can use induction. This implies that T_+ and T_- are fundamental triangles and hence $(\beta, \alpha_k) = \emptyset = (-\alpha_k, \beta)$. Since $m_{st} \neq 2$ for any $s \neq t \in S$ this yields a contradiction. \square

3. Triangles in the hyperbolic plane

In this section we consider $\Sigma(W, S)$, where (W, S) is hyperbolic and of rank 3. In this case $\Sigma(W, S)$ has a geometric realization whose underlying metric space is \mathbb{H}^2 . For more details see the discussion in the proof of Theorem 14 in [6].

Let $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$ such that $o(r_\alpha r_\beta) < \infty$. Then r_α and r_β have a unique common fixed point in \mathbb{H}^2 . We denote the angle counterclockwise between r_α and r_β divided by π by $\angle r_\alpha r_\beta$:



Note that $\angle r_\alpha r_\beta + \angle r_\beta r_\alpha = 1$ and that in general $\angle r_\alpha r_\beta \neq \angle r_\beta r_\alpha$. Since we consider RGD-systems we can assume that every angle between two reflections having a unique common fixed point is in the following set (cf. [14, (17.1) Theorem]): $\{\frac{\pi}{8}, \frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{3\pi}{8}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{5\pi}{8}, \frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{3\pi}{4}, \frac{5\pi}{6}, \frac{7\pi}{8}\}$. In \mathbb{H}^2 every triangle has interior angle sum strictly less than π and every quadrangle has interior angle sum strictly less than 2π . It is a fact that two different lines of \mathbb{H}^2 intersect in at most one point of \mathbb{H}^2 . Thus for two roots $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$ such that $o(r_\alpha r_\beta) < \infty$ there exists a unique residue R of rank 2 which is stabilized by r_α and r_β . Let $\{s, t\}$ be the type of R . Then we define $m_{\alpha, \beta} := m_{st}$ and we remark that $o(r_\alpha r_\beta)$ divides $m_{\alpha, \beta}$.

(3.1) Corollary. *Every triangle contains a unique chamber, if the Coxeter diagram is cyclic hyperbolic.*

Proof. This is a consequence of the classification in [7] (cf. Figure 8 in §5.1 in loc.cit). \square

(3.2) Remark. For a fundamental triangle $T = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ in a Coxeter system of hyperbolic type and of rank 3, the Coxeter system is of type $(m_{\alpha, \beta}, m_{\alpha, \gamma}, m_{\beta, \gamma})$ (cf. [7] and the previous corollary). In particular, $\bigcap_{\varepsilon \in T} \varepsilon$ is a fundamental domain for the action of W on the geometric realization of $\Sigma(W, S)$.

(3.3) Lemma. *Let $T = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ be a triangle and let $\{i, j, k\} = \{0, 1, 2\}$. Let $\alpha_3 \in (-\alpha_i, \alpha_j)$. Then the following hold:*

- (a) *We have $\angle r_k r_3 \neq \frac{5}{8} \neq \angle r_3 r_k$.*
- (b) *We have $\angle r_k r_3 = \angle r_3 r_k = \frac{1}{2}$ if the diagram is not of type $(2, 3, 8)$. In particular, we have $(-\alpha_i, \alpha_k) = (-\alpha_j, \alpha_k) = \emptyset$ and $(-\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = \{\alpha_3\}$.*

Proof. This is also a consequence of the classification in [7] (cf. Figure 8 in §5.1 in loc.cit). \square

(3.4) Proposition. *Assume that the Coxeter diagram is of type $(2, 3, 8)$. Let $T = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ be a triangle and let $\{i, j, k\} = \{0, 1, 2\}$. If there exists a root $\alpha_3 \in (-\alpha_i, \alpha_j)$ such that the angle between r_k and r_3 in the reflection triangle $\{r_j, r_k, r_3\}$ is $\frac{2}{3}\pi$, then $(-\alpha_j, \alpha_k) = (-\alpha_i, \alpha_k) = \emptyset$, $|(-\alpha_i, \alpha_j)| \leq 3$ and $m_{\alpha_i, \alpha_j} = 8$.*


Proof. The first part is a consequence of the classification in [7] (cf. Figure 8 in §5.1 in loc.cit). Let $\alpha_3 \in (-\alpha_i, \alpha_j)$ such that the angle between r_k and r_3 in the reflection triangle $\{r_j, r_k, r_3\}$ is $\frac{2}{3}\pi$. Then we have $\angle r_3 r_j = \angle r_j r_k = \frac{1}{8}$ because of the interior angle sum of a triangle. This implies $m_{\alpha_i, \alpha_j} = 8$. \square

(3.5) Lemma. *Assume that the Coxeter diagram is of type $(2, 3, 8)$. Let $T = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ be a triangle and let $\{i, j, k\} = \{0, 1, 2\}$. Assume that $(-\alpha_i, \alpha_k) = (-\alpha_j, \alpha_k) = \emptyset$ and that $m_{\alpha, \beta} = 8$ for any $\alpha \neq \beta \in T$. Then one of the following hold:*

- (a) $|(-\alpha_i, \alpha_j)| = 1$ and there exists a root β such that $o(r_i r_\beta) < \infty$ and $m_{\alpha_i, \beta} = 3$.
- (b) $\angle r_i r_j = \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. In the following proof, up to reordering, we can assume that we are in the situation displayed in the figure. By hypothesis $m_{\alpha_i, \alpha_j} = 8$ and we obtain $\angle r_i r_j \in \{\frac{1}{8}, \dots, \frac{5}{8}\}$. Since the diagram is not of type $(8, 8, 8)$, it follows that $\angle r_i r_j \neq \frac{1}{8}$. Thus there exists a root $\alpha_3 \in (-\alpha_i, \alpha_j)$ such that $\angle r_i r_3 = \frac{1}{8}$. Using Lemma (3.3) we obtain $\angle r_3 r_k \in \{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}\}$. Since the diagram is not of type $(3, 8, 8)$, we obtain $\angle r_3 r_k \neq \frac{1}{3}$. We distinguish the following two cases:

- (a) $\angle r_3 r_k = \frac{2}{3}$: Then $\angle r_k r_3 = \frac{1}{3}$. Since (W, S) is not of type $(3, 8, 8)$, we obtain a root $\alpha_4 \in (\alpha_3, \alpha_j)$ such that $\angle r_3 r_4 = \frac{1}{8}$. Applying Lemma (3.3) we have $\angle r_4 r_k \in \{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}\}$. Using the interior angle sum of a triangle and the fact that the diagram is not of type $(3, 3, 8)$, we obtain $\angle r_4 r_k = \frac{1}{2}$. Assume that $(-\alpha_k, \alpha_4) \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists a root $\gamma \in (-\alpha_k, \alpha_4)$ such that $\angle r_4 r_\gamma = \frac{1}{4} = \angle r_\gamma r_k$. But then $\angle r_3 r_\gamma < \frac{1}{2}$ and hence $\angle r_3 r_\gamma = \frac{1}{3}$. This implies $\angle r_3 r_4 + \angle r_4 r_\gamma + \angle r_\gamma r_3 > 1$ which is a contradiction. Thus $(-\alpha_k, \alpha_4) = \emptyset$. This implies $(\alpha_4, \alpha_k) = \emptyset$. Since the diagram is not of type $(2, 8, 8)$, we obtain a root $\alpha_5 \in (\alpha_4, \alpha_j)$ such that $\angle r_4 r_5 = \frac{1}{8}$. Moreover, we obtain $\angle r_5 r_k = \frac{1}{3}$. Thus $\angle r_k r_5 = \frac{2}{3}$ and hence $\angle r_i r_j = \frac{1}{2}$.



- (b) $\angle r_3 r_k = \frac{1}{2}$: Since the diagram is not of type $(2, 8, 8)$, we obtain three roots $\alpha_4, \alpha_5, \alpha_6 \in (\alpha_3, \alpha_k)$ such that $\angle r_k r_4 = \angle r_4 r_5 = \angle r_5 r_6 = \angle r_6 r_3 = \frac{1}{8}$. Using similar arguments as above we obtain $\angle r_j r_6 = \frac{2}{3}$ and hence $\angle r_3 r_j = \frac{1}{8}$. Thus we have $\angle r_i r_j = \frac{2}{8}$. Furthermore, we obtain three roots $\alpha_7, \alpha_8, \alpha_9 \in (-\alpha_3, \alpha_k)$ with $\angle r_3 r_7 = \angle r_7 r_8 = \angle r_8 r_9 = \angle r_9 r_k = \frac{1}{8}$. This implies $\angle r_7 r_i = \frac{2}{3}$ as above. For $\beta = \alpha_7$ the claim follows. \square

4. Root group data

An *RGD-system of type (W, S)* is a pair $\mathcal{D} = (G, (U_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Phi})$ consisting of a group G together with a family of subgroups U_α (called *root groups*) indexed by the set of roots Φ , which satisfies the following axioms, where $H := \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Phi} N_G(U_\alpha)$, $U_\pm := \langle U_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Phi_\pm \rangle$:

- (RGD0) For each $\alpha \in \Phi$, we have $U_\alpha \neq \{1\}$.
- (RGD1) For each prenilpotent pair $\{\alpha, \beta\} \subseteq \Phi$, the commutator group $[U_\alpha, U_\beta]$ is contained in the group $U_{(\alpha, \beta)} := \langle U_\gamma \mid \gamma \in (\alpha, \beta) \rangle$.

(RGD2) For every $s \in S$ and each $u \in U_{\alpha_s} \setminus \{1\}$, there exists $u', u'' \in U_{-\alpha_s}$ such that the product $m(u) := u'uu''$ conjugates U_β onto $U_{s\beta}$ for each $\beta \in \Phi$.

(RGD3) For each $s \in S$, the group $U_{-\alpha_s}$ is not contained in U_+ .

(RGD4) $G = H\langle U_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Phi \rangle$.

(4.1) Lemma. *Let $(G, (U_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Phi})$ be an RGD-system of type (W, S) satisfying Condition (Co *). Then we have $\langle U_\gamma \mid \gamma \in [\alpha, \beta] \rangle = \langle U_\alpha \cup U_\beta \rangle$ or equivalently $U_{(\alpha, \beta)} = [U_\alpha, U_\beta]$ for any pair of roots $\{\alpha, \beta\} \subseteq \Phi$ which is a basis of a finite root subsystem.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 18 and Proposition 7 on Page 60 of [1]. □

(4.2) Lemma. *Let $\mathcal{D} = (G, (U_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Phi})$ be an RGD-system of type (W, S) .*

(a) *If \mathcal{D} is simply-laced or of type G_2 , then every root group is abelian.*

(b) *If \mathcal{D} is of type G_2 and if $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$ such that $|(\alpha, \beta)| = 2$, then $[U_\alpha, U_\beta] = 1$.*

Proof. This follows from the classification in [14]. In particular, we used Theorem (17.1), Theorem (17.5), Example (16.1) and Example (16.8) in loc.cit. □

RGD-systems of type $I_2(8)$

In this subsection we let $\mathcal{D} = (G, (U_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Phi})$ be an RGD-system of type $I_2(8)$.

(4.1) Lemma. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$. Then the following hold:*

(a) *If $|(\alpha, \beta)| = 3$, then $[U_\alpha, U_\beta] = 1$.*

(b) *If \mathcal{D} satisfies Condition (Co *), then there is only one kind of root groups which is abelian. If, furthermore, U_α is abelian and $|(\alpha, \beta)| = 1$, then $[U_\alpha, U_\beta] = 1$.*

Proof. This follows from Example (16.9) and (10.15) of [14]. □

(4.2) Lemma. *Using the notations of [14] we obtain the following commutator relation:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 [x_1(t), x_8(u_1, u_2)] = & x_2(t^{\sigma+1}u_1 + t^{\sigma+1}u_2^{\sigma+1}, tu_2) \\
 & \cdot x_3(t^{\sigma+1}u_2^{\sigma+2} + t^{\sigma+1}u_1u_2 + t^{\sigma+1}u_1^\sigma) \\
 & \cdot x_4(t^{\sigma+2}u_1^\sigma u_2^{\sigma+1} + t^{\sigma+2}u_1^2u_2 + t^{\sigma+2}u_2^{2\sigma+3}, t^\sigma u_1) \\
 & \cdot x_5(t^{\sigma+1}u_1^\sigma u_2^\sigma + t^{\sigma+1}u_2^{2\sigma+2} + t^{\sigma+1}u_1^2) \\
 & \cdot x_6(t^{\sigma+1}u_1^2u_2 + t^{\sigma+1}u_1^\sigma u_2^{\sigma+1} + t^{\sigma+1}u_1^{\sigma+1}, tu_1 + tu_2^{\sigma+1}) \\
 & \cdot x_7(tu_1^\sigma + tu_1u_2 + tu_2^{\sigma+2})
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This is a straight forward computation, using only the commutator relations in Example (16.9) of [14]. For the computation see Lemma (B.1) in the appendix. □

Affine RGD-systems

(4.1) Proposition. *Every RGD-system of simply-laced affine type or of type $(2, 3, 6)$ satisfies Condition (nc).*

Proof. Our proof uses the fact that any affine Moufang building has a spherical Moufang building at infinity. Before we start the proof, we will describe this shortly: Let $\tilde{\mathcal{D}} = (\tilde{G}, (U_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Phi}})$ be an RGD-system of affine type \tilde{X}_{n-1} . Then there exists an RGD-system $\mathcal{D} = (G, (U_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Phi})$ of spherical type X_{n-1} such that $U_\alpha, U_\beta \leq U_\gamma$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \tilde{\Phi}$ such that $\alpha \subseteq \beta$ and some $\gamma \in \Phi$ (cf. [2, Proposition 11.110]).

Now we start the proof of the proposition. Let $(G, (U_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Phi})$ be an RGD-system of simply-laced affine type (W, S) or of type $(2, 3, 6)$ and let $\alpha \subsetneq \beta \in \Phi$. Then U_α, U_β are subgroups of an abelian group by Lemma (4.2). Thus $[U_\alpha, U_\beta] = 1$. \square

(4.2) Remark. The following is independent of Condition (Co*):

- (a) There are RGD-systems of type $(2, 4, 4)$ with abelian root groups at infinity.
- (b) There are RGD-systems of type $(2, 4, 4)$ with non-abelian root groups at infinity.

5. Commutator relations

In this section we let $\mathcal{D} = (G, (U_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Phi})$ be an RGD-system of type (W, S) .

(5.1) Remark. Let G be a group and let $A, B, C \leq G$ be three subgroups of G . If $[[A, B], C] = [[A, C], B] = 1$ then $[A, [B, C]] = 1$. This is known in the literature as the *three subgroup lemma* (see also (2.3) of [14]).

(5.2) Lemma. *Assume that the Coxeter diagram is the complete graph and let $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ be a triangle. Then $[U_{-\alpha}, U_\beta] = [U_{-\alpha}, U_\gamma] = 1$.*



Proof. This follows directly from Proposition (2.2). \square

(5.3) Lemma. *Let (W, S) be of type $(2, 6, 6), (2, 6, 8)$ or $(2, 8, 8)$ and let $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ be a triangle. Then we have $[[U_{-\alpha}, U_\beta], U_\gamma] = [[U_{-\alpha}, U_\gamma], U_\beta] = 1$.*

Proof. The claim follows from Lemma (3.3), Lemma (4.2) and Lemma (4.1). \square

(5.4) Remark. If $m_{st} \in \{4, 6\}$ there exist so called *long roots* and *short roots*. These are indicated by the *Dynkin diagram*. An arrow from s to t means that the node t corresponds to a short root.

(5.5) Theorem. *Assume that \mathcal{D} satisfies Condition (Co*). Then \mathcal{D} satisfies Condition (nc), if one of the following hold:*

- (a) *The Coxeter diagram of (W, S) is the complete graph.*
- (b) *(W, S) is of type $(2, 3, 8)$ or of type $(2, 8, 8)$.*
- (c) *(W, S) is simply-laced.*
- (d) *(W, S) is of type $(2, 6, 6)$ and has Dynkin diagram .*
- (e) *(W, S) is of type $(2, 6, 8)$ and has diagram .*

Proof. Let $\alpha \subsetneq \beta$ be two roots. Then there exists a minimal gallery (c_0, \dots, c_k) such that $(\alpha_1 = \alpha, \dots, \alpha_k = \beta)$ is the sequence of roots which are crossed by that minimal gallery, i.e. $\{c_{i-1}, c_i\} \in \partial\alpha_i$. We can assume that k is minimal with this property. We prove the hypothesis by induction on k . For $k \leq 2$ there is nothing to show. For $k = 3$ we have $(\alpha, \beta) = \emptyset$ and hence $[U_\alpha, U_\beta] = 1$. Now let $k > 3$ and let R be the rank 2 residue containing c_{k-2}, c_{k-1}, c_k . Then there exists a minimal gallery $(d_0 = c_0, \dots, d_k = c_k)$ such that $d_j = \text{proj}_R c_0$ for some j . Since the set of roots which are crossed by the gallery (c_0, \dots, c_k) equals the set of roots which are crossed by (d_0, \dots, d_k) , the minimality of k yields that α (resp. β) is the first (resp. last) root which is crossed by the gallery (d_0, \dots, d_k) . Thus we can assume that $\text{proj}_R c_0$ is a chamber on the minimal gallery (c_0, \dots, c_k) . Let $c_{j-1} = \text{proj}_R c_0$. Then there exists a root $\gamma \in \Phi$ such that $\{\gamma, \alpha_j\}$ is a root basis of the set of roots associated to R . Applying Lemma (4.1) we obtain $U_\beta \subseteq [U_{\alpha_j}, U_\gamma]$. If $o(r_\alpha r_{\alpha_j}) = o(r_\alpha r_\gamma) = \infty$, then U_α commutes with U_{α_j} and U_γ by induction. Hence U_α commutes with the group generated by U_{α_j} and U_γ . Since this subgroup contains U_β the claim follows. Thus we can assume that at least one of $o(r_\alpha r_j), o(r_\alpha r_\gamma)$ is finite. In each case we will argue as before or use the three subgroup lemma. Thus we forget the root β for the moment and focus on the roots α, α_j, γ . Thus the role of the roots α_j and γ can be swapped. W.l.o.g. we can assume that $o(r_\alpha r_j) < \infty$. Then we consider the following two cases:

- (a) $o(r_\alpha r_\gamma) < \infty$: Then the set $\{-\alpha, \alpha_j, \gamma\}$ is a triangle. If (W, S) is as in (a), we obtain $[U_\alpha, U_{\alpha_j}] = 1 = [U_\alpha, U_\gamma]$ by Lemma (5.2). As before, the claim follows. If (W, S) is of type $(2, 6, 6), (2, 6, 8)$ or $(2, 8, 8)$ we use Lemma (5.3) and the three subgroup lemma and the claim follows. If (W, S) is of type $(2, 3, 8)$ we will show that $[[U_\alpha, U_{\alpha_j}], U_\gamma] = [[U_\alpha, U_\gamma], U_{\alpha_j}] = 1$. W.l.o.g. we can assume that there exists $\delta \in (\alpha, \alpha_j)$ such that $[U_\delta, U_\gamma] \neq 1$ (otherwise we are done). Furthermore, we have $\angle r_\gamma r_\delta \in \{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}\}$. Using Lemma (4.1), we obtain $m_{\delta, \gamma} = 3$. Applying Proposition (3.4) we obtain $n := |(\alpha, \alpha_j)| \leq 3$ and $(\alpha, \gamma) = \emptyset$. This implies $[U_\alpha, U_\gamma] = 1$. If $n = 1$ then the triangle $\{-\alpha, \delta, \gamma\}$ is fundamental and hence $\angle r_\gamma r_\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$. Thus we have $o(r_\alpha r_\varepsilon) < \infty$ and $(\alpha, \varepsilon) = \emptyset$, where $\{\varepsilon\} = (\delta, \gamma)$. Since $\angle r_j r_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$, we obtain $[U_{\alpha_j}, U_\varepsilon] = 1$ and hence $[U_\alpha, U_\beta] \subseteq U_\varepsilon \cap U_{(\alpha, \beta)} = 1$. This finishes the claim. If $n = 2$ then U_α corresponds to U_{2k+1} of Example (16.9) in [14], since U_δ is abelian. Using the commutator relations and the fact that $(\alpha, \delta) = \{\delta_0\}$ and $\angle r_\gamma r_{\delta_0} \in \{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\}$, we obtain $[[U_\alpha, U_{\alpha_j}], U_\gamma] = 1$. If $n = 3$, then we have $\angle r_\alpha r_j = \frac{1}{2}$ and we obtain $[U_\alpha, U_{\alpha_j}] = 1$ by Lemma (4.1). Now we assume that (W, S) is simply-laced. Then we obtain that $T := \{r_\alpha, r_j, r_\gamma\}$ is an affine reflection triangle. Using Lemma (2.1) we obtain an irreducible affine parabolic subgroup $W_0 \leq W$ of rank at least 3 such that $\langle T \rangle$ is conjugated to a subgroup of W_0 . Let $g \in W$ such that $\langle T \rangle^g \leq W_0$. Then $r_\alpha^g, r_\beta^g \in W_0$. In view of Proposition (4.1) the residue corresponding to W_0 satisfies Condition (nc) and we have $[U_{r_\alpha^g}, U_{r_\beta^g}] = 1$. Using $r_\alpha^g = r_{g^{-1}\alpha}$, we obtain

$$[U_\alpha, U_\beta]^g = [U_\alpha^g, U_\beta^g] = [U_{g^{-1}\alpha}, U_{g^{-1}\beta}] = 1$$

- (b) $o(r_\alpha r_\gamma) = \infty$: Then we have $\alpha \subsetneq \gamma$. Using induction we obtain $[U_\alpha, U_\gamma] = 1$. If $o(r_\delta r_\gamma) = \infty$ for any $\delta \in (\alpha, \alpha_j)$ then the claim follows by induction and the three subgroup lemma. Thus we can assume that there exists $\delta \in (\alpha, \alpha_j)$ such that $\{-\delta, \alpha_j, \gamma\}$ is a triangle. We first assume that (W, S) is as in (a). By Lemma (5.2) we have $[U_\delta, U_\gamma] = 1$. The claim follows now from the three subgroup lemma. Let (W, S) be of type $(2, 8, 8)$ and assume that $(\delta, \gamma) \neq \emptyset$. Then we have $|(\delta, \gamma)| = 1, (\delta, \alpha_j) = \emptyset$ and we have $(\delta', \gamma) = \emptyset$ for any $\delta' \in (\alpha, \delta)$ such that $o(r_{\delta'} r_\gamma) < \infty$ by Lemma (3.3). Since (W, S) is of type $(2, 8, 8)$, we have $m_{\alpha, \alpha_j} = m_{\delta, \gamma} = 8$. We assume that U_{α_j} corresponds to x_{2k} in Example (16.9) of [14]. Then U_δ corresponds to x_{2k-1} and hence $[U_\delta, U_\gamma] = 1$ by Lemma (4.1). If U_{α_j} corresponds to x_{2k-1} we distinguish the following two cases:

- (i) $|(\alpha, \alpha_j)| < 6$: It follows from the commutator relations of [14], that the U_δ -part of $[U_\alpha, U_\beta]$ is contained in the centralizer of U_δ and such an element commutes with U_γ . Using induction and the three subgroup lemma the claim follows.
- (ii) $|(\alpha, \alpha_j)| = 6$: Because of the angles it follows that $o(r_\alpha r_\varepsilon) < \infty$, where $\{\varepsilon\} = (\delta, \gamma)$. Using induction and the three subgroup lemma, we obtain $[U_\alpha, U_\beta] \subseteq U_\varepsilon \cap U_{(\alpha, \beta)} = 1$ as above.

Now we assume that (W, S) is of type $(2, 6, 6)$ and the Dynkin diagram is as in the statement. We use the notations of [14]. Then the middle node is in both residues either the field or the vector space. We can assume that $(\delta, \gamma) \neq \emptyset$. We obtain $m_{\alpha, \beta} = 6$ and $|(\delta, \gamma)| = 1$ by Lemma (3.3). We assume that U_{α_j} corresponds to x_{2k-1} in Example (16.8) of [14]. Then $[U_\delta, U_\gamma] = 1$. Now we assume that U_{α_j} corresponds to x_{2k} . If $k = 2$ we have $[U_\alpha, U_{\alpha_j}] = 1$. Thus we assume $k \in \{1, 3\}$. If U_α does not correspond to U_1 in Example (16.9) of [14] we obtain $[U_\alpha, U_{\alpha_j}] \subseteq \langle U_{\delta'} \mid \delta' \in (\alpha, \alpha_j) \setminus \{\delta\} \rangle$ and $(\delta', \gamma) = \emptyset$ for any $\delta \neq \delta' \in (\alpha, \alpha_j)$ with $o(r_{\delta'} r_\gamma) < \infty$. Otherwise we obtain $o(r_\alpha r_{\delta'}) < \infty$, where $\delta' \in (\delta, \gamma)$ and $[U_{\delta'}, U_{\alpha_j}] = 1 = [U_{\delta'}, U_\gamma]$. Now the claim follows from the three subgroup lemma.

Let (W, S) be of type $(2, 6, 8)$ with diagram as in the statement. Again we use the notations of [14]. We can assume that there exists $\varepsilon \in (\delta, \gamma)$. Using Lemma (3.3) we obtain that $\{-\delta, \beta, \varepsilon\}$ and $\{-\varepsilon, \gamma, \beta\}$ are fundamental triangles. Thus U_δ must be parametrized by the middle node. Using the commutator relations we obtain in both cases ($m_{\delta, \gamma} = 8$ and $m_{\delta, \gamma} = 6$) that $[U_\delta, U_\gamma] = 1$. Furthermore, we have $(\delta', \gamma) = \emptyset$ for any $\delta \neq \delta' \in (\alpha, \beta)$ with $o(r_{\delta'} r_\gamma) < \infty$. Using induction and the three subgroup lemma the claim follows.

Let (W, S) be of type $(2, 3, 8)$ and assume that $(\delta, \gamma) \neq \emptyset$. We distinguish the following cases: If $m_{\alpha, \alpha_j} = 3$, then $|(\delta, \gamma)| \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $m_{\delta, \gamma} = 8$. Since U_δ is abelian we have $[U_\delta, U_\gamma] = 1$ for $|(\delta, \gamma)| \in \{1, 3\}$ by Lemma (4.1). Now we assume that $|(\delta, \gamma)| = 2$. Let $\{\delta', \delta''\} = (\delta, \gamma)$ and assume that $\angle r_\gamma r_{\delta''} = \angle r_{\delta''} r_{\delta'} = \angle r_{\delta'} r_\delta = \frac{1}{8}$. Using the commutator relations of (16.9) of [14] one obtains that $[U_\delta, U_\gamma] \subseteq U_{\delta'}$ and $[[U_\delta, U_\gamma], U_\gamma] = 1$. Using the hyperbolic plane one obtains that $o(r_\alpha r_{\delta'}) < \infty$ and hence $[U_\alpha, U_\beta] \subseteq U_{\delta'} \cap U_{(\alpha, \beta)} = 1$ as above. Now we assume that $m_{\alpha, \alpha_j} = 8$. If $m_{\delta, \gamma} = 8$ one can show that $(\delta, \alpha_j) = \emptyset$ and the claim follows by Lemma (3.5) and Lemma (4.1). Thus we can assume that $m_{\delta, \gamma} = 3$. This implies $m_{\alpha, \alpha_j} = 8$ and $(\delta, \alpha_j) = \emptyset$. If $n := |(\alpha, \alpha_j)| \geq 5$ then $o(r_\alpha r_{\delta'}) < \infty$, where $\{\delta'\} = (\delta, \gamma)$ and the claim follows as above using Lemma (3.5) and induction. If $n \leq 3$ we obtain $o(r_\alpha r_\gamma) < \infty$ which is a contradiction to the assumption. Thus we can assume $n = 4$. Since $[U_{2i+1}, U_{2i+6}]_{2i+5} = 1$ the claim follows from Lemma (3.5), induction and the three subgroup lemma. If (W, S) is simply-laced, then $\{-\delta, \alpha_j, \gamma\}$ is a triangle. Using similar arguments as in the previous case the claim follows. \square

6. Non-cyclic hyperbolic cases

As we have seen in Theorem (5.5), Condition (Co*) implies Condition (nc) in a lot of cases, where (W, S) is of rank 3 and of hyperbolic type. In this section we will consider the hyperbolic cases of rank 3 which were not mentioned in Theorem (5.5). In this section we let $\mathcal{D} = (G, (U_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Phi})$ be an RGD-system of type (W, S) . In the proofs of this section we use the notations of [14]. From now on by a Coxeter complex we mean the geometric realization of $\Sigma(W, S)$.


The case (2, 4, 6)

(6.1) Theorem. *There exists an RGD-system of type (2, 4, 6), in which Condition (Co*)...*


(a) ... implies Condition (nc).

(b) ... does not imply Condition (nc).

Proof. Let \mathbb{K} be a field. We take the hexagonal system $(\mathbb{E}/\mathbb{K})^\circ$ of type (1/ \mathbb{K}) (cf. Example (15.20) of [14]) and for the quadrangle we take $\mathcal{Q}_I(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{K}_0, \sigma)$ (cf. Example (16.2) of [14]). We assume that the middle node of the diagram is parametrized by the field \mathbb{K} :



In the Coxeter complex of type (2, 4, 6) there exists the following figure such that $(\varepsilon', \delta) = (\varepsilon', \gamma) = (\alpha, \gamma) = \emptyset$, $\angle r_{\varepsilon'} r_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{4}$ and $\angle r_\alpha r_\varepsilon = \angle r_\varepsilon r_\delta = \angle r_\delta r_\gamma = \frac{1}{6}$:



Thus U_ε is parametrized by the additive group of the field \mathbb{K} . Using induction and the commutator relations (cf. Chapter 16 of [14]) we obtain:

$$\left[x_\alpha(v), \prod_{i=1}^n [x_\delta(w_i), x_\gamma(k_i)] \right] = x_{\varepsilon'} \left(- \sum_{i=1}^n T(v, w_i)^\sigma k_i + k_i^\sigma T(v, w_i) \right)$$

Now let $\sigma = \text{id}$ and $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{K}_0$. Using the definition of T and σ in this example the commutator relation above reduces to

$$\left[x_\alpha(v), \prod_{i=1}^n [x_\delta(w_i), x_\gamma(k_i)] \right] = x_{\varepsilon'} \left(-6v \sum_{i=1}^n w_i k_i \right)$$

Now we assume that \mathbb{K} is a field of characteristic different from 2 and 3. Then we obtain

$$[x_\alpha(1), [x_\delta(1), x_\gamma(1)]] = x_{\varepsilon'}(-6) \neq 1$$

Thus there must be a root in the residue with root basis $\{\delta, \gamma\}$, which does not commute with x_α (otherwise the previous commutator would be trivial). Hence this RGD-system does not satisfy Condition (nc) and part (b) follows. For part (a) we could take a field of characteristic 2 with at least 4 elements. The existence of such an RGD-system follows from the theory of groups of Kac-Moody type. For example one can take the split Kac-Moody group over \mathbb{K} of

type (W, S) with Cartan matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -2 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$. □

The case (2, 6, 6)


(6.1) Theorem. *There exists an RGD-system of type (2, 6, 6), in which Condition (Co^{*}) implies Condition (nc).*

Proof. Consider the split Kac-Moody group over $\mathbb{K} \neq \{\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_3\}$ of type (2, 6, 6) with Cartan matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -3 & 2 & -3 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$. Then the corresponding Dynkin diagram is as in Theorem (5.5) and the claim follows. \square

(6.2) Theorem. *There exists an RGD-system of type (2, 6, 6), in which Condition (Co^{*}) does not imply Condition (nc).*

Proof. Assume that $\bullet \rightrightarrows \bullet \rightrightarrows \bullet$ is the Dynkin diagram of the RGD-system. Let \mathbb{K} be a field and V be a vector space over \mathbb{K} . Then the right node is parametrized by V and the other two nodes are parametrized by \mathbb{K} .

In the Coxeter complex of type (2, 6, 6) there exists the following figure such that $(\varepsilon', \delta) = (\varepsilon', \gamma) = (\alpha, \gamma) = \emptyset$, $\angle r_{\varepsilon'} r_{\varepsilon} = \angle r_{\alpha} r_{\varepsilon} = \angle r_{\varepsilon} r_{\delta} = \angle r_{\delta} r_{\gamma} = \frac{1}{6}$ and $o(r_{\alpha} r_{\varepsilon'}) = o(r_{\alpha} r_{\gamma}) = \infty$:



We can assume that U_{α} is parametrized by the vector space V . Then U_{ε} is parametrized by the field \mathbb{K} . We remark that $[U_{\varepsilon}, U_{\gamma}] \neq 1$ because of the diagram. We use for the hexagon the hexagonal system defined in (15.20) of [14]. Using the commutator relations (cf. Chapter 16 of [14]) we obtain:

$$\left[x_{\alpha}(v), \prod_{i=1}^n [x_{\delta}(w_i), x_{\gamma}(k_i)] \right] = x_{\varepsilon'} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n T(T(v, w_i), k_i) \right) = x_{\varepsilon'} \left(9v \sum_{i=1}^n w_i k_i \right)$$

For $0 \neq w \in V$ we obtain $[x_{\alpha}(1), [x_{\delta}(w), x_{\gamma}(1)]] = x_{\varepsilon'}(9w) \neq 1$ if the characteristic of \mathbb{K} is different from 3 and hence such an RGD-system does not satisfy Condition (nc). An example of such an RGD-system is provided by the split Kac-Moody group over $\mathbb{K} \neq \mathbb{F}_2$ of

characteristic $\neq 3$ with Cartan matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -3 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$. \square

The case (2, 4, 8)


(6.1) Theorem. *There exists an RGD-system of type (2, 4, 8) such that Condition (Co^{*}) ...*

(a) ... implies Condition (nc).


(b) ... does not imply Condition (nc).

Proof. Let (\mathbb{K}, σ) be as in Example (10.12) of [14]. Let \mathbb{E} be a field containing \mathbb{K} and let $a, b \in \mathbb{E}$ algebraically independent over \mathbb{K} such that $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{K}(a, b)$. We extend σ to an endomorphism

of \mathbb{E} by setting $a^\sigma = b$ and $b^\sigma = a^2$. Then (\mathbb{E}, σ) is an octagonal set as in Example (10.12) of [14]. For the quadrangle we take $\mathcal{Q}_I(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E}_0, \tau)$ (cf. Example (16.2) of [14]), where τ fixes \mathbb{K} pointwise and interchanges a and b . We assume that the middle node of the diagram is parametrized by the field \mathbb{E} :



In the Coxeter complex of type $(2, 4, 8)$ there exists the following figure such that $(\varepsilon', \delta) = (\varepsilon', \gamma) = (\alpha, \gamma) = \emptyset$, $m_{\varepsilon, \gamma} = 4$ and $\angle r_\alpha r_\varepsilon = \angle r_\varepsilon r_\delta = \angle r_\delta r_\gamma = \frac{1}{8}$:



Thus U_ε is parametrized by the additive group of the field \mathbb{E} . Using induction and the commutator relations (cf. Chapter 16 of [14]) we obtain:

$$\left[x_\alpha(t, u), \prod_{i=1}^n [x_\gamma(k_i), x_\delta(t_i, u_i)] \right] = x_{\varepsilon'} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (uu_i)^\tau k_i + k_i^\tau uu_i \right)$$

This implies that $[x_\alpha(0, 1), [x_\gamma(1), x_\delta(1, a)]] = x_{\varepsilon'}(b + a) \neq 1$ and hence there exists $2 \leq i \leq 7$ with $[x_\alpha(0, 1), x_i(k)] \neq 1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{K} \cup (\mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K})$ (depending if i is even or odd). This shows part (b). For part (a) we take the same example as above, except that the map $\tau = \text{id}$ in the quadrangle. The existence of such RGD-systems is established by applying a Suzuki-Ree twist to a suitable split Kac-Moody group. The construction uses the same strategy as the construction given in [8]. \square

The case $(2, 6, 8)$


(6.1) Theorem. *There exists an RGD-system of type (W, S) , in which Condition (Co^*) implies Condition (nc) .*

Proof. An RGD-system with diagram $\bullet \leftarrow \bullet \xrightarrow{8} \bullet$ is an example. The existence of such an RGD-system can be proved along the lines in [8]. \square

(6.2) Theorem. *There exists an RGD-system of type $(2, 6, 8)$, in which Condition (Co^*) does not imply Condition (nc) .*

Proof. Let (\mathbb{K}, σ) be as in Example (10.12) of [14]. Let \mathbb{E} be a field containing \mathbb{K} and let $a, b \in \mathbb{E}$ algebraically independent over \mathbb{K} such that $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{K}(a, b)$. We extend σ to an endomorphism of \mathbb{E} by setting $a^\sigma = b$ and $b^\sigma = a^2$. Then (\mathbb{E}, σ) is an octagonal set as in Example (10.12) of [14]. For the hexagon we take the hexagonal system $(\mathbb{E}/\mathbb{E})^\circ$ of type $(1/\mathbb{E})$ (cf. Example (15.20)). We assume that $\bullet \rightarrow \bullet \xrightarrow{8} \bullet$ is the diagram of the RGD-system. This means that the right node is parametrized by $\mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{E}$ and the other two nodes are parametrized by \mathbb{E} .

In the Coxeter complex of type $(2, 6, 8)$ there exists the following figure such that $(\varepsilon', \delta) = (\varepsilon', \gamma) = (\alpha, \gamma) = \emptyset$, $m_{\varepsilon, \gamma} = 6$, $\angle r_\alpha r_\varepsilon = \angle r_\varepsilon r_\delta = \angle r_\delta r_\gamma = \frac{1}{8}$ and $o(r_\alpha r_\gamma) = o(r_\alpha r_{\varepsilon'}) = \infty$:



Thus U_ε is parametrized by the additive group of the field \mathbb{E} . Using induction and the commutator relations (cf. Chapter 16 of [14]) we obtain:

$$\left[x_\alpha(t, u), \prod_{i=1}^n [x_\gamma(k_i), x_\delta(t_i, u_i)] \right] = x_{\varepsilon'} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n T(uu_i, k_i) \right) = x_{\varepsilon'} \left(u \sum_{i=1}^n u_i k_i \right)$$

This implies that $[x_\alpha(0, 1), [x_\gamma(1), x_\delta(0, 1)]] = x_{\varepsilon'}(1) \neq 1$. This shows that such an RGD-system does not satisfy Condition (nc). The existence of such RGD-systems is established by applying a Suzuki-Ree twist to a suitable split Kac-Moody group. The construction uses the same strategy as the construction given in [8]. \square

Appendix A. Computations in an RGD-system of type G_2

Let $\mathcal{D} = (G, (U_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Phi})$ be an RGD-system of type G_2 . If \mathcal{D} satisfies Condition (Co *) we can write every element of U_γ as a product of elements $[u_\alpha, u_\beta]$, where $u_\alpha \in U_\alpha, u_\beta \in U_\beta, \Pi = \{\alpha, \beta\}$ and $\gamma \in (\alpha, \beta)$. In this section we will state concrete elements u_α, u_β with the required property for some $u_\gamma \in U_\gamma$. We remark that we do not assume Condition (Co *) in this section.

(A.1) Lemma. *Using the notations of [14] we obtain the following commutator relations:*

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1(a_1), x_6(t_1)][x_1(a_2), x_6(t_2)] &= x_2(-t_1 N(a_1) - t_2 N(a_2)) \\ &\quad \cdot x_3(t_1 a_1^\# + t_2 a_2^\#) \\ &\quad \cdot x_4(t_1^2 N(a_1) + t_2^2 N(a_2) + T(t_1 a_1, t_2 a_2^\#)) \\ &\quad \cdot x_5(-t_1 a_1 - t_2 a_2) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This is an elementary computation. \square

(A.2) Remark. Using the notations of [14] and the previous lemma we obtain the following commutator relations:

- (a) $[x_1(-k \cdot 1_V), x_6(k^{-1})][x_1(-k \cdot 1_V), x_6(-k^{-1})] = x_4(k)$.
- (b) $[x_1(v), x_6(k)][x_1(-v), x_6(k)] = x_3(2kv^\#)x_4(3k^2 N(v))$.
- (c) $[x_1(v), x_6(k^3)][x_1(kv), x_6(-1)] = x_3((k^3 - k^2)v^\#)x_4(N(v)(k^6 + k^3 - 3k^5))x_5((k - k^3)v)$.

If the characteristic of the field is different from 2 and $v \neq 0$, we can choose $v' := v^\# \neq 0$ and $k' := (2N(v^\#))^{-1}$ and obtain the following:

$$[x_1(v'), x_6(k')][x_1(-v'), x_6(k')] = x_3(v)x_4(3(k')^2 N(v'))$$

Appendix B. Computations in an RGD-system of type $I_2(8)$

In this section we let $\mathcal{D} = (G, (U_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Phi})$ be an RGD-system of type $I_2(8)$.

(B.1) Lemma. *Using the notations of [14] we obtain the following commutator relations:*

(a) *The general commutator of two basis roots is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1(t), x_8(u_1, u_2)] &= x_2(t^{\sigma+1}u_1 + t^{\sigma+1}u_2^{\sigma+1}, tu_2)x_3(t^{\sigma+1}u_2^{\sigma+2} + t^{\sigma+1}u_1u_2 + t^{\sigma+1}u_1^\sigma) \\ &\quad \cdot x_4(t^{\sigma+2}u_1^\sigma u_2^{\sigma+1} + t^{\sigma+2}u_1^2u_2 + t^{\sigma+2}u_2^{2\sigma+3}, t^\sigma u_1) \\ &\quad \cdot x_5(t^{\sigma+1}u_1^\sigma u_2^\sigma + t^{\sigma+1}u_2^{2\sigma+2} + t^{\sigma+1}u_1^2) \\ &\quad \cdot x_6(t^{\sigma+1}u_1^2u_2 + t^{\sigma+1}u_1^\sigma u_2^{\sigma+1} + t^{\sigma+1}u_1^{\sigma+1}, tu_1 + tu_2^{\sigma+1}) \\ &\quad \cdot x_7(tu_1^\sigma + tu_1u_2 + tu_2^{\sigma+2}) \end{aligned}$$

(b) *The multiplication of two general commutators of basis roots is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1(t_1), x_8(u_1, u_2)][x_1(t_2), x_8(v_1, v_2)] &= x_2(t_1^{\sigma+1}(u_1 + u_2^{\sigma+1}) + t_2^{\sigma+1}(v_1 + v_2^{\sigma+1}) \\ &\quad + t_1^\sigma t_2 u_2^\sigma v_2, t_1 u_2 + t_2 v_2) \cdot x_3(t_1^{\sigma+1}(u_2^{\sigma+2} + u_1 u_2 + u_1^\sigma) \\ &\quad + t_2^{\sigma+1}(v_2^{\sigma+2} + v_1 v_2 + v_1^\sigma) + t_1^\sigma t_2 u_1 v_2) \\ &\quad \cdot x_4(t_1^{\sigma+2}(u_1^\sigma u_2^{\sigma+1} + u_1^2 u_2 + u_2^{2\sigma+3}) \\ &\quad + t_1(u_1^\sigma + u_1 u_2 + u_2^{\sigma+2})(v_1 + v_2^{\sigma+1})t_2^{\sigma+1} \\ &\quad + t_1^{\sigma+1}(u_1^\sigma u_2^\sigma + u_2^{2\sigma+2} + u_1^2)t_2 v_2 \\ &\quad + t_1(u_1 + u_2^{\sigma+1})(v_2^{\sigma+2} + v_1 v_2 + v_1^\sigma)t_2^{\sigma+1} \\ &\quad + t_2^{\sigma+2}(v_1^\sigma v_2^{\sigma+1} + v_1^2 v_2 + v_2^{2\sigma+3}) + t_1^2 t_2^\sigma u_1^\sigma v_1, t_1^\sigma u_1 + t_2^\sigma v_1) \\ &\quad \cdot x_5(t_1^{\sigma+1}(u_1^\sigma u_2^\sigma + u_2^{2\sigma+2} + u_1^2) + t_1(u_1^\sigma + u_1 u_2 + u_2^{\sigma+2})t_2^\sigma v_2^\sigma \\ &\quad + t_1(u_1 + u_2^{\sigma+1})t_2^\sigma v_1 + t_2^{\sigma+1}(v_1^\sigma v_2^\sigma + v_2^{2\sigma+2} + v_1^2)) \\ &\quad \cdot x_6(t_1^{\sigma+1}(u_1^2 u_2 + u_1^\sigma u_2^{\sigma+1} + u_1^{\sigma+1}) + t_1^\sigma(u_1^2 + u_1^\sigma u_2^\sigma + u_2^{2\sigma+2})t_2 v_2 \\ &\quad + t_1(u_1^\sigma + u_1 u_2 + u_2^{\sigma+2})t_2^\sigma v_1 + t_2^{\sigma+1}(v_1^2 v_2 + v_1^\sigma v_2^{\sigma+1} + v_1^{\sigma+1}) \\ &\quad + t_1^\sigma t_2(u_1^\sigma + u_2^{\sigma+2})(v_1 + v_2^{\sigma+1}), t_1(u_1 + u_2^{\sigma+1}) + t_2(v_1 + v_2^{\sigma+1})) \\ &\quad \cdot x_7(t_1(u_1^\sigma + u_1 u_2 + u_2^{\sigma+2}) + t_2(v_1^\sigma + v_1 v_2 + v_2^{\sigma+2})) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. At first we state all non-trivial commutator relations we need which are not stated in

[14]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 [x_1(t), x_8(u)] &= x_2(t^{\sigma+1}u)x_3(t^{\sigma+1}u^\sigma)y_4(t^\sigma u)x_5(t^{\sigma+1}u^2)y_6(tu)^{-1}x_7(tu^\sigma) \\
 &= x_2(t^{\sigma+1}u)x_3(t^{\sigma+1}u^\sigma)y_4(t^\sigma u)x_5(t^{\sigma+1}u^2)x_6(t^{\sigma+1}u^{\sigma+1})y_6(tu)x_7(tu^\sigma) \\
 [x_7(t), x_2(u)] &= x_4(tu) \\
 [x_5(t), y_2(u)] &= x_4(tu) \\
 [x_1(t), y_6(u)] &= [x_1(t), x_6(u^{\sigma+1})y_6(u)^{-1}] = x_2(t^\sigma u)x_3(tu^\sigma)x_4(tu^{\sigma+1})[x_1(t), x_6(u^{\sigma+1})]y_6(u)^{-1} \\
 &= x_2(t^\sigma u)x_3(tu^\sigma)x_4(tu^{\sigma+1})x_4(tu^{\sigma+1}) = x_2(t^\sigma u)x_3(tu^\sigma) \\
 [x_7(t), y_2(u)] &= x_6(t^\sigma u)x_5(tu^\sigma) = x_5(tu^\sigma)x_6(t^\sigma u) \\
 [y_6(u), x_3(t)] &= x_4(tu)^{-1} = x_4(tu) \\
 [y_6(u), y_4(t)] &= x_5(tu)^{-1} = x_5(tu) \\
 [x_7(t), y_4(u)] &= x_6(tu) \\
 [y_6(t)^{-1}, y_8(u)^{-1}] &= [y_6(t), y_8(u)] = x_7(tu) \\
 [x_5(t), y_8(u)^{-1}] &= [x_5(t), y_8(u)] = x_6(tu) \\
 [x_3(t), y_8(u)^{-1}] &= x_4(t^\sigma u)x_5(tu^\sigma)x_6(tu^{\sigma+1})
 \end{aligned}$$

We put $g := x_2(a_2)y_2(b_2)x_3(a_3)x_4(a_4)y_4(b_4)x_5(a_5)x_6(a_6)y_6(b_6)x_7(a_7)$. Using the commutator relations in [14] we obtain the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 gx_2(z) &= x_2(a_2+z)y_2(b_2)x_3(a_3)x_4(a_4)y_4(b_4)x_5(a_5)x_6(a_6)y_6(b_6)x_7(a_7)[x_7(a_7), x_2(z)] \\
 &= x_2(a_2+z)y_2(b_2)x_3(a_3)x_4(a_4)y_4(b_4)x_5(a_5)x_6(a_6)y_6(b_6)x_7(a_7)x_4(a_7z) \\
 &= x_2(a_2+z)y_2(b_2)x_3(a_3)x_4(a_4+a_7z)y_4(b_4)x_5(a_5)x_6(a_6)y_6(b_6)x_7(a_7) \\
 gy_2(z) &= x_2(a_2)y_2(b_2)y_2(z)x_3(a_3)x_4(a_4)y_4(b_4)[y_4(b_4), y_2(z)]x_5(a_5)[x_5(a_5), y_2(z)]x_6(a_6)y_6(b_6) \\
 &\quad \cdot x_7(a_7)[x_7(a_7), y_2(z)] \\
 &= x_2(a_2+b_2^\sigma z)y_2(b_2+z)x_3(a_3)x_4(a_4)y_4(b_4)x_3(b_4z)^{-1}x_5(a_5)x_4(a_5z)x_6(a_6)y_6(b_6) \\
 &\quad \cdot x_7(a_7)x_5(a_7z^\sigma)x_6(a_7^\sigma z) \\
 &= x_2(a_2+b_2^\sigma z)y_2(b_2+z)x_3(a_3+b_4z)x_4(a_4+a_5z)y_4(b_4)x_5(a_5+a_7z^\sigma)x_6(a_6+a_7^\sigma z)y_6(b_6)x_7(a_7) \\
 gx_3(z) &= x_2(a_2)y_2(b_2)x_3(a_3+z)x_4(a_4)y_4(b_4)x_5(a_5)x_6(a_6)y_6(b_6)[y_6(b_6), x_3(z)]x_7(a_7) \\
 &= x_2(a_2)y_2(b_2)x_3(a_3+z)x_4(a_4)y_4(b_4)x_5(a_5)x_6(a_6)y_6(b_6)x_4(b_6z)x_7(a_7) \\
 &= x_2(a_2)y_2(b_2)x_3(a_3+z)x_4(a_4+b_6z)y_4(b_4)x_5(a_5)x_6(a_6)y_6(b_6)x_7(a_7) \\
 gx_4(z) &= x_2(a_2)y_2(b_2)x_3(a_3)x_4(a_4+z)y_4(b_4)x_5(a_5)x_6(a_6)y_6(b_6)x_7(a_7) \\
 gy_4(z) &= x_2(a_2)y_2(b_2)x_3(a_3)x_4(a_4)y_4(b_4)y_4(z)x_5(a_5)x_6(a_6)y_6(b_6)[y_6(b_6), y_4(z)]x_7(a_7)[x_7(a_7), y_4(z)] \\
 &= x_2(a_2)y_2(b_2)x_3(a_3)x_4(a_4+b_4^\sigma z)y_4(b_4+z)x_5(a_5)x_6(a_6)y_6(b_6)x_5(b_6z)x_7(a_7)x_6(a_7z) \\
 &= x_2(a_2)y_2(b_2)x_3(a_3)x_4(a_4+b_4^\sigma z)y_4(b_4+z)x_5(a_5+b_6z)x_6(a_6+a_7z)y_6(b_6)x_7(a_7) \\
 gx_5(z) &= x_2(a_2)y_2(b_2)x_3(a_3)x_4(a_4)y_4(b_4)x_5(a_5+z)x_6(a_6)y_6(b_6)x_7(a_7) \\
 gx_6(z) &= x_2(a_2)y_2(b_2)x_3(a_3)x_4(a_4)y_4(b_4)x_5(a_5)x_6(a_6+z)y_6(b_6)x_7(a_7) \\
 gy_6(z) &= x_2(a_2)y_2(b_2)x_3(a_3)x_4(a_4)y_4(b_4)x_5(a_5)x_6(a_6)y_6(b_6)y_6(z)x_7(a_7) \\
 &= x_2(a_2)y_2(b_2)x_3(a_3)x_4(a_4)y_4(b_4)x_5(a_5)x_6(a_6+b_6^\sigma z)y_6(b_6+z)x_7(a_7) \\
 gx_7(z) &= x_2(a_2)y_2(b_2)x_3(a_3)x_4(a_4)y_4(b_4)x_5(a_5)x_6(a_6)y_6(b_6)x_7(a_7+z)
 \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the proof is applying the previous relations. We let $u := u_1 + u_2^{\sigma+1}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 [x_1(t), x_8(u)]^{y_8(u_2)^{-1}} &= y_8(u_2)x_2(t^{\sigma+1}u)x_3(t^{\sigma+1}u^\sigma)y_4(t^\sigma u)x_5(t^{\sigma+1}u^2)y_6(tu)^{-1}x_7(tu^\sigma)y_8(u_2)^{-1} \\
 &= y_8(u_2)x_2(t^{\sigma+1}u)x_3(t^{\sigma+1}u^\sigma)y_4(t^\sigma u) \\
 & y_8(u_2)^{-1}x_5(t^{\sigma+1}u^2)[x_5(t^{\sigma+1}u^2), y_8(u_2)^{-1}]y_6(tu)^{-1}[y_6(tu)^{-1}, y_8(u_2)^{-1}]x_7(tu^\sigma) \\
 &= x_2(t^{\sigma+1}u)[x_2(t^{\sigma+1}u), y_8(u_2)^{-1}]x_3(t^{\sigma+1}u^\sigma)[x_3(t^{\sigma+1}u^\sigma), y_8(u_2)^{-1}]y_4(t^\sigma u) \\
 & x_5(t^{\sigma+1}u^2)x_6(t^{\sigma+1}u^2u_2)y_6(tu)^{-1}x_7(tuu_2)x_7(tu^\sigma) \\
 &= x_2(t^{\sigma+1}u)x_3(t^{\sigma+1}uu_2)x_4((t^{\sigma+1}u)^\sigma u_2^{\sigma+1})x_6(t^{\sigma+1}uu_2^{\sigma+2}) \\
 & x_3(t^{\sigma+1}u^\sigma)x_4((t^{\sigma+1}u^\sigma)^\sigma u_2)x_5(t^{\sigma+1}u^\sigma u_2^\sigma)x_6(t^{\sigma+1}u^\sigma u_2^{\sigma+1}) \\
 & y_4(t^\sigma u)x_5(t^{\sigma+1}u^2)x_6(t^{\sigma+1}u^2u_2)y_6(tu)^{-1}x_7(tuu_2 + tu^\sigma) \\
 &= x_2(t^{\sigma+1}u)x_3(t^{\sigma+1}uu_2 + t^{\sigma+1}u^\sigma)x_4((t^{\sigma+1}u)^\sigma u_2^{\sigma+1} + (t^{\sigma+1}u^\sigma)^\sigma u_2)y_4(t^\sigma u) \\
 & x_5(t^{\sigma+1}u^\sigma u_2^\sigma + t^{\sigma+1}u^2)x_6(t^{\sigma+1}uu_2^{\sigma+2} + t^{\sigma+1}u^\sigma u_2^{\sigma+1} + t^{\sigma+1}u^2u_2 + t^{\sigma+1}u^{\sigma+1}) \\
 & y_6(tu)x_7(tuu_2 + tu^\sigma)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 [x_1(t), x_8(u_1)y_8(u_2)] &= [x_1(t), x_8(u_1 + u_2^{\sigma+1})y_8(u_2)^{-1}] = [x_1(t), y_8(u_2)^{-1}][x_1(t), x_8(u)]^{y_8(u_2)^{-1}} \\
 &= y_2(tu_2)x_3(t^{\sigma+1}u_2^{\sigma+2})x_4(t^{\sigma+2}u_2^{2\sigma+3})y_4(t^\sigma u_2^{\sigma+1}) \\
 & x_5(t^{\sigma+1}u_2^{2\sigma+2})x_6(t^{\sigma+1}u_2^{2\sigma+3})x_7(tu_2^{\sigma+2})x_2(t^{\sigma+1}u) \dots \\
 &= x_2(t^{\sigma+1}u)y_2(tu_2)x_3(t^{\sigma+1}u_2^{\sigma+2})x_4(t^{\sigma+2}u_2^{2\sigma+3} + tu_2^{\sigma+2}t^{\sigma+1}u)y_4(t^\sigma u_2^{\sigma+1}) \\
 & x_5(t^{\sigma+1}u_2^{2\sigma+2})x_6(t^{\sigma+1}u_2^{2\sigma+3})x_7(tu_2^{\sigma+2})x_3(t^{\sigma+1}uu_2 + t^{\sigma+1}u^\sigma) \dots \\
 &= \dots x_3(t^{\sigma+1}u_2^{\sigma+2} + t^{\sigma+1}uu_2 + t^{\sigma+1}u^\sigma)x_4(t^{\sigma+2}u_2^{2\sigma+3} + tu_2^{\sigma+2}t^{\sigma+1}u)y_4(t^\sigma u_2^{\sigma+1}) \\
 & x_5(t^{\sigma+1}u_2^{2\sigma+2})x_6(t^{\sigma+1}u_2^{2\sigma+3})x_7(tu_2^{\sigma+2})x_4((t^{\sigma+1}u)^\sigma u_2^{\sigma+1} + (t^{\sigma+1}u^\sigma)^\sigma u_2) \dots \\
 &= \dots x_4(t^{\sigma+2}u_2^{2\sigma+3} + tu_2^{\sigma+2}t^{\sigma+1}u + (t^{\sigma+1}u)^\sigma u_2^{\sigma+1} + (t^{\sigma+1}u^\sigma)^\sigma u_2)y_4(t^\sigma u_2^{\sigma+1}) \\
 & x_5(t^{\sigma+1}u_2^{2\sigma+2})x_6(t^{\sigma+1}u_2^{2\sigma+3})x_7(tu_2^{\sigma+2})y_4(t^\sigma u) \dots \\
 &= \dots x_4(t^{\sigma+2}u_2^{2\sigma+3} + tu_2^{\sigma+2}t^{\sigma+1}u + (t^{\sigma+1}u)^\sigma u_2^{\sigma+1} + (t^{\sigma+1}u^\sigma)^\sigma u_2 + (t^\sigma u_2^{\sigma+1})^\sigma t^\sigma u) \\
 & y_4(t^\sigma u_2^{\sigma+1} + t^\sigma u)x_5(t^{\sigma+1}u_2^{2\sigma+2})x_6(t^{\sigma+1}u_2^{2\sigma+3} + tu_2^{\sigma+2}t^\sigma u)x_7(tu_2^{\sigma+2}) \\
 & x_5(t^{\sigma+1}u^\sigma u_2^\sigma + t^{\sigma+1}u^2) \dots \\
 &= \dots x_5(t^{\sigma+1}u_2^{2\sigma+2} + t^{\sigma+1}u^\sigma u_2^\sigma + t^{\sigma+1}u^2)x_6(t^{\sigma+1}u_2^{2\sigma+3} + tu_2^{\sigma+2}t^\sigma u)x_7(tu_2^{\sigma+2}) \\
 & x_6(t^{\sigma+1}uu_2^{\sigma+2} + t^{\sigma+1}u^\sigma u_2^{\sigma+1} + t^{\sigma+1}u^2u_2 + t^{\sigma+1}u^{\sigma+1}) \dots \\
 &= \dots x_6(t^{\sigma+1}u_2^{2\sigma+3} + tu_2^{\sigma+2}t^\sigma u + t^{\sigma+1}uu_2^{\sigma+2} + t^{\sigma+1}u^\sigma u_2^{\sigma+1} + t^{\sigma+1}u^2u_2 + t^{\sigma+1}u^{\sigma+1}) \\
 & x_7(tu_2^{\sigma+2})y_6(tu) \dots = \dots y_6(tu)x_7(tu_2^{\sigma+2})x_7(tuu_2 + tu^\sigma) \\
 &= \dots x_7(tu_2^{\sigma+2} + tuu_2 + tu^\sigma)
 \end{aligned}$$

These relations yields us:

$$\begin{aligned}
[x_1(t), x_8(u_1, u_2)] &= [x_1(t), x_8(u_1)y_8(u_2)] = x_2(t^{\sigma+1}u_1 + t^{\sigma+1}u_2^{\sigma+1})y_2(tu_2) \\
&\cdot x_3(t^{\sigma+1}u_2^{\sigma+2} + t^{\sigma+1}u_1u_2 + t^{\sigma+1}u_2^{\sigma+2} + t^{\sigma+1}u_1^\sigma + t^{\sigma+1}u_2^{\sigma+2}) \\
&\cdot x_4(t^{\sigma+2}u_2^{2\sigma+3} + t^{\sigma+2}u_1u_2^{\sigma+2} + t^{\sigma+2}u_2^{2\sigma+3} + t^{\sigma+2}u_1^\sigma u_2^{\sigma+1} + t^{\sigma+2}u_2^{2\sigma+3} \\
&\quad + t^{\sigma+2}u_1^2u_2 + t^{\sigma+2}u_2^{2\sigma+3} + t^{\sigma+2}u_1u_2^{\sigma+2} + t^{\sigma+2}u_2^{2\sigma+3}) \\
&\cdot y_4(t^\sigma u_2^{\sigma+1} + t^\sigma u_1 + t^\sigma u_2^{\sigma+1}) \\
&\cdot x_5(t^{\sigma+1}u_2^{2\sigma+2} + t^{\sigma+1}u_1^\sigma u_2^\sigma + t^{\sigma+1}u_2^{2\sigma+2} + t^{\sigma+1}u_1^2 + t^{\sigma+1}u_2^{2\sigma+2}) \\
&\cdot x_6(t^{\sigma+1}u_2^{2\sigma+3} + t^{\sigma+1}u_1u_2^{\sigma+2} + t^{\sigma+1}u_2^{2\sigma+3} + t^{\sigma+1}u_1u_2^{\sigma+2} + t^{\sigma+1}u_2^{2\sigma+3} \\
&\quad + t^{\sigma+1}u_1^\sigma u_2^{\sigma+1} + t^{\sigma+1}u_2^{2\sigma+3} + t^{\sigma+1}u_1^2u_2 + t^{\sigma+1}u_2^{2\sigma+3} \\
&\quad + t^{\sigma+1}u_1^{\sigma+1} + t^{\sigma+1}u_2^{2\sigma+3})y_6(tu_1 + tu_2^{\sigma+1}) \\
&\cdot x_7(tu_2^{\sigma+2} + tu_1u_2 + tu_2^{\sigma+2} + tu_1^\sigma + tu_2^{\sigma+2}) \\
&= x_2(t^{\sigma+1}u_1 + t^{\sigma+1}u_2^{\sigma+1}, tu_2)x_3(t^{\sigma+1}u_1u_2 + t^{\sigma+1}u_1^\sigma + t^{\sigma+1}u_2^{\sigma+2}) \\
&\cdot x_4(t^{\sigma+2}u_1^\sigma u_2^{\sigma+1} + t^{\sigma+2}u_2^{2\sigma+3} + t^{\sigma+2}u_1^2u_2, t^\sigma u_1) \\
&\cdot x_5(t^{\sigma+1}u_1^\sigma u_2^\sigma + t^{\sigma+1}u_1^2 + t^{\sigma+1}u_2^{2\sigma+2}) \\
&\cdot x_6(t^{\sigma+1}u_1^\sigma u_2^{\sigma+1} + t^{\sigma+1}u_1^2u_2 + t^{\sigma+1}u_1^{\sigma+1}, tu_1 + tu_2^{\sigma+1}) \\
&\cdot x_7(tu_1u_2 + tu_1^\sigma + tu_2^{\sigma+2})
\end{aligned}$$

This finishes part (a). Now we will prove part (b). We put $g := [x_1(t_1), x_8(u_1, u_2)][x_1(t_2), x_8(v_1, v_2)]$.

Then the following hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
g &= x_2(t_1^{\sigma+1}u_1 + t_1^{\sigma+1}u_2^{\sigma+1} + t_2^{\sigma+1}v_1 + t_2^{\sigma+1}v_2^{\sigma+1} + t_1^{\sigma}u_2^{\sigma}t_2v_2)y_2(t_1u_2 + t_2v_2) \\
& x_3(t_1^{\sigma+1}u_1u_2 + t_1^{\sigma+1}u_1^{\sigma} + t_1^{\sigma+1}u_2^{\sigma+2} + t_1^{\sigma}u_1t_2v_2)x_4(t_1^{\sigma+2}u_1^{\sigma}u_2^{\sigma+1} + t_1^{\sigma+2}u_2^{2\sigma+3} + t_1^{\sigma+2}u_1^2u_2 \\
& + (t_1u_1u_2 + t_1u_1^{\sigma} + t_1u_2^{\sigma+2}) (t_2^{\sigma+1}v_1 + t_2^{\sigma+1}v_2^{\sigma+1}) \\
& + (t_1^{\sigma+1}u_1^{\sigma}u_2^{\sigma} + t_1^{\sigma+1}u_1^2 + t_1^{\sigma+1}u_2^{2\sigma+2}) t_2v_2, t_1^{\sigma}u_1)x_5(t_1^{\sigma+1}u_1^{\sigma}u_2^{\sigma} + t_1^{\sigma+1}u_1^2 + t_1^{\sigma+1}u_2^{2\sigma+2} \\
& + (t_1u_1u_2 + t_1u_1^{\sigma} + t_1u_2^{\sigma+2}) t_2^{\sigma}v_2^{\sigma})x_6(t_1^{\sigma+1}u_1^{\sigma}u_2^{\sigma+1} + t_1^{\sigma+1}u_1^2u_2 + t_1^{\sigma+1}u_1^{\sigma+1} \\
& + (t_1u_1u_2 + t_1u_1^{\sigma} + t_1u_2^{\sigma+2})^{\sigma} t_2v_2, t_1u_1 + t_1u_2^{\sigma+1})x_7(t_1u_1u_2 + t_1u_1^{\sigma} + t_1u_2^{\sigma+2}) \\
& x_3(t_2^{\sigma+1}v_1v_2 + t_2^{\sigma+1}v_1^{\sigma} + t_2^{\sigma+1}v_2^{\sigma+2}) \dots = x_2(\dots)x_3(t_1^{\sigma+1}u_1u_2 + t_1^{\sigma+1}u_1^{\sigma} + t_1^{\sigma+1}u_2^{\sigma+2} \\
& + t_1^{\sigma}u_1t_2v_2 + t_2^{\sigma+1}v_1v_2 + t_2^{\sigma+1}v_1^{\sigma} + t_2^{\sigma+1}v_2^{\sigma+2})x_4(t_1^{\sigma+2}u_1^{\sigma}u_2^{\sigma+1} + t_1^{\sigma+2}u_2^{2\sigma+3} + t_1^{\sigma+2}u_1^2u_2 \\
& + (t_1u_1u_2 + t_1u_1^{\sigma} + t_1u_2^{\sigma+2}) (t_2^{\sigma+1}v_1 + t_2^{\sigma+1}v_2^{\sigma+1}) + (t_1^{\sigma+1}u_1^{\sigma}u_2^{\sigma} + t_1^{\sigma+1}u_1^2 + t_1^{\sigma+1}u_2^{2\sigma+2}) t_2v_2 \\
& + (t_1u_1 + t_1u_2^{\sigma+1}) (t_2^{\sigma+1}v_1v_2 + t_2^{\sigma+1}v_1^{\sigma} + t_2^{\sigma+1}v_2^{\sigma+2}), t_1^{\sigma}u_1)x_5(t_1^{\sigma+1}u_1^{\sigma}u_2^{\sigma} + t_1^{\sigma+1}u_1^2 \\
& + t_1^{\sigma+1}u_2^{2\sigma+2} + (t_1u_1u_2 + t_1u_1^{\sigma} + t_1u_2^{\sigma+2}) t_2^{\sigma}v_2^{\sigma})x_6(t_1^{\sigma+1}u_1^{\sigma}u_2^{\sigma+1} + t_1^{\sigma+1}u_1^2u_2 + t_1^{\sigma+1}u_1^{\sigma+1} \\
& + (t_1u_1u_2 + t_1u_1^{\sigma} + t_1u_2^{\sigma+2})^{\sigma} t_2v_2, t_1u_1 + t_1u_2^{\sigma+1})x_7(t_1u_1u_2 + t_1u_1^{\sigma} + t_1u_2^{\sigma+2})x_4(t_2^{\sigma+2}v_1^{\sigma}v_2^{\sigma+1} \\
& + t_2^{\sigma+2}v_2^{2\sigma+3} + t_2^{\sigma+2}v_1^2v_2, t_2^{\sigma}v_1) \dots = x_2(\dots)x_3(\dots)x_4(t_1^{\sigma+2}u_1^{\sigma}u_2^{\sigma+1} + t_1^{\sigma+2}u_2^{2\sigma+3} + t_1^{\sigma+2}u_1^2u_2 \\
& + (t_1u_1u_2 + t_1u_1^{\sigma} + t_1u_2^{\sigma+2}) (t_2^{\sigma+1}v_1 + t_2^{\sigma+1}v_2^{\sigma+1}) + (t_1^{\sigma+1}u_1^{\sigma}u_2^{\sigma} + t_1^{\sigma+1}u_1^2 + t_1^{\sigma+1}u_2^{2\sigma+2}) t_2v_2 \\
& + (t_1u_1 + t_1u_2^{\sigma+1}) (t_2^{\sigma+1}v_1v_2 + t_2^{\sigma+1}v_1^{\sigma} + t_2^{\sigma+1}v_2^{\sigma+2}) + t_2^{\sigma+2}v_1^{\sigma}v_2^{\sigma+1} + t_2^{\sigma+2}v_2^{2\sigma+3} + t_2^{\sigma+2}v_1^2v_2 \\
& + (t_1^{\sigma}u_1)^{\sigma} t_2^{\sigma}v_1, t_1^{\sigma}u_1 + t_2^{\sigma}v_1)x_5(t_1^{\sigma+1}u_1^{\sigma}u_2^{\sigma} + t_1^{\sigma+1}u_1^2 + t_1^{\sigma+1}u_2^{2\sigma+2} \\
& + (t_1u_1u_2 + t_1u_1^{\sigma} + t_1u_2^{\sigma+2}) t_2^{\sigma}v_2^{\sigma} + (t_1u_1 + t_1u_2^{\sigma+1}) t_2^{\sigma}v_1)x_6(t_1^{\sigma+1}u_1^{\sigma}u_2^{\sigma+1} + t_1^{\sigma+1}u_1^2u_2 \\
& + t_1^{\sigma+1}u_1^{\sigma+1} + (t_1u_1u_2 + t_1u_1^{\sigma} + t_1u_2^{\sigma+2})^{\sigma} t_2v_2 \\
& + (t_1u_1u_2 + t_1u_1^{\sigma} + t_1u_2^{\sigma+2}) t_2^{\sigma}v_1, t_1u_1 + t_1u_2^{\sigma+1})x_7(t_1u_1u_2 + t_1u_1^{\sigma} + t_1u_2^{\sigma+2})x_5(t_2^{\sigma+1}v_1^{\sigma}v_2^{\sigma} \\
& + t_2^{\sigma+1}v_1^2 + t_2^{\sigma+1}v_2^{2\sigma+2})x_6(t_2^{\sigma+1}v_1^{\sigma}v_2^{\sigma+1} + t_2^{\sigma+1}v_1^2v_2 + t_2^{\sigma+1}v_1^{\sigma+1}, t_2v_1 + t_2v_2^{\sigma+1})x_7(t_2v_1v_2 \\
& + t_2v_1^{\sigma} + t_2v_2^{\sigma+2}) = x_2(\dots)x_3(\dots)x_4(\dots)x_5(t_1^{\sigma+1}u_1^{\sigma}u_2^{\sigma} + t_1^{\sigma+1}u_1^2 + t_1^{\sigma+1}u_2^{2\sigma+2} \\
& + (t_1u_1u_2 + t_1u_1^{\sigma} + t_1u_2^{\sigma+2}) t_2^{\sigma}v_2^{\sigma} + (t_1u_1 + t_1u_2^{\sigma+1}) t_2^{\sigma}v_1 + t_2^{\sigma+1}v_1^{\sigma}v_2^{\sigma} + t_2^{\sigma+1}v_1^2 + t_2^{\sigma+1}v_2^{2\sigma+2}) \\
& x_6(t_1^{\sigma+1}u_1^{\sigma}u_2^{\sigma+1} + t_1^{\sigma+1}u_1^2u_2 + t_1^{\sigma+1}u_1^{\sigma+1} + (t_1u_1u_2 + t_1u_1^{\sigma} + t_1u_2^{\sigma+2})^{\sigma} t_2v_2 \\
& + (t_1u_1u_2 + t_1u_1^{\sigma} + t_1u_2^{\sigma+2}) t_2^{\sigma}v_1 + t_2^{\sigma+1}v_1^{\sigma}v_2^{\sigma+1} + t_2^{\sigma+1}v_1^2v_2 + t_2^{\sigma+1}v_1^{\sigma+1} \\
& + (t_1u_1 + t_1u_2^{\sigma+1})^{\sigma} (t_2v_1 + t_2v_2^{\sigma+1}))y_6(t_1u_1 + t_1u_2^{\sigma+1} + t_2v_1 + t_2v_2^{\sigma+1})x_7(t_1u_1u_2 + t_1u_1^{\sigma} \\
& + t_1u_2^{\sigma+2} + t_2v_1v_2 + t_2v_1^{\sigma} + t_2v_2^{\sigma+2}) \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] P. Abramenko. *Twin buildings and applications to S-arithmetic groups*, volume 1641 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [2] P. Abramenko and K. S. Brown. *Buildings*, volume 248 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, 2008. Theory and applications.
- [3] D. Allcock and L. Carbone. Presentation of hyperbolic Kac-Moody groups over rings. *J. Algebra*, 445:232–243, 2016.
- [4] N. Bourbaki. *Éléments de mathématique. Fasc. XXXIV. Groupes et algèbres de Lie. Chapitre IV: Groupes de Coxeter et systèmes de Tits. Chapitre V: Groupes engendrés par*

-
- des réflexions. Chapitre VI: systèmes de racines.* Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles, No. 1337. Hermann, Paris, 1968.
- [5] P.-E. Caprace and B. Mühlherr. Reflection triangles in Coxeter groups and biautomaticity. *J. Group Theory*, 8(4):467–489, 2005.
- [6] P.-E. Caprace and B. Rémy. Simplicity and superrigidity of twin building lattices. *Invent. Math.*, 176(1):169–221, 2009.
- [7] A. A. Felikson. Coxeter decompositions of hyperbolic polygons. *European J. Combin.*, 19(7):801–817, 1998.
- [8] J.-Y. Hée. Construction de groupes tordus en théorie de Kac-Moody. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 310(3):77–80, 1990.
- [9] D. Krammer. The conjugacy problem for Coxeter groups. *Groups Geom. Dyn.*, 3(1):71–171, 2009.
- [10] B. Mühlherr and M. Ronan. Local to global structure in twin buildings. *Invent. Math.*, 122(1):71–81, 1995.
- [11] B. Mühlherr and R. Weidmann. Rigidity of skew-angled Coxeter groups. *Adv. Geom.*, 2(4):391–415, 2002.
- [12] J. Tits. Uniqueness and presentation of Kac-Moody groups over fields. *J. Algebra*, 105(2):542–573, 1987.
- [13] J. Tits. Twin buildings and groups of Kac-Moody type. In *Groups, combinatorics & geometry (Durham, 1990)*, volume 165 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 249–286. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1992.
- [14] J. Tits and R. M. Weiss. *Moufang polygons*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [15] T. Williams. PhD thesis (in preparation). University of Virginia, Charlottesville.