

Toward a systematic cartography of the chemical stratification inside white dwarfs from deep asteroseismic probing of ZZ Ceti stars

S. Charpinet,¹ N. Giammichele,¹ P. Brassard,² G. Fontaine,² P. Bergeron,² W. Zong,³ V. Van Grootel,⁴ and A. S. Baran⁵

¹IRAP, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, UPS, CNES, 14 avenue Edouard Belin, F-31400 Toulouse, France; [stephane.charpinet, noemi.giammichele]@irap.omp.eu

²Département de Physique, Université de Montréal, Montréal, QC H3C 3J7, Canada; [brassard, fontaine, bergeron]@astro.umontreal.ca

³Department of Astronomy, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, P. R. China; weikai.zong@bnu.edu.cn

⁴Space sciences, Technologies sciences, Technologies and Astrophysics Research (STAR) Institute, Université de Liège, 19C Allée du six-août, B-4000 Liège, Belgium; valerie.vangrootel@uliege.be

⁵Uniwersytet Pedagogiczny, Obserwatorium na Suhorze, ul. Podchorżych 2, 30-084 Kraków, Polska; andysbaran@gmail.com

Abstract

DA-type white dwarfs account for 80% of all white dwarfs and represent, for most of them, the ultimate outcome of the typical evolution of low-to-intermediate mass stars. Their internal chemical stratification is strongly marked by passed, often uncertain, stellar evolution processes that occurred during the helium (core and shell) burning phases, i.e., from the horizontal branch through AGB and post-AGB stages. Pulsating white dwarfs, in particular the "cool" DA-type ZZ Ceti variables, offer an outstanding opportunity to dig into these stars by fully exploiting their asteroseismic potential. With our most recent tools dedicated to that purpose, we show that a complete cartography of the stratification of the main constituents of a white dwarf can be inferred, leading in particular to strong constraints on the C/O core structure produced by the processes mentioned above. This opens up the way toward a systematic exploration of white-dwarf internal properties.

1 Introduction

Asteroseismology of white dwarf stars has long carried out the promise of revealing the innermost structure of low-to-intermediate mass stellar remnants in their ultimate stage of evolution. Comprehensive reviews of more than three decades of this venture can be found in, e.g., Fontaine & Brassard (2008), Winget & Kepler (2008), Althaus et al. (2010), and more recently through the critical discussion provided in Section 2.1 of Giammichele et al. (2017a). A significant breakthrough in this field has recently been triggered by

the introduction of a new approach to obtain seismic model solutions for white dwarf pulsators that unravel their internal chemical stratification and match better the observed period spectra (Giammichele et al., 2017a,b). This technique was successfully applied to the pulsating DB white dwarf KIC08626021, leading to the seismic cartography of the distribution of helium, carbon, and oxygen inside the star (Giammichele et al., 2018). Unraveling the chemical stratification inside a white dwarf can have profound repercussions as it bears the signatures of all the physical processes that occurred during the evolution of stars in the helium core burning phase and beyond. Yet, one could argue that DB white dwarfs account for only 20% of the white dwarf population and may have various non-typical origins (Reindl et al. 2014; Reindl 2015; see also Giammichele et al. in these proceedings). In contrast, the dominant DA white dwarf population may be more representative of the outcome of main evolution processes affecting low-to-intermediate stars in their terminal stages. In this paper, we report on preliminary results of detailed seismic analyses conducted on five DA-type ZZ Ceti pulsators following the same approach as developed in Giammichele et al. (2018).

2 Seismic models for DA pulsators

The technique used in this study is based on a forward modeling strategy. The adiabatic pulsation periods of white dwarf models in hydrostatic equilibrium, whose elements of the structure such as the chemical stratification can be parameterized with some flexibility, are calculated and quantitatively evaluated (through a merit




Figure 1: Composition profiles of Helium, Carbon, and Oxygen as functions of the fractional mass depth in a typical DA white dwarf produced by assuming different nuclear reaction rates in evolution calculations. *Top panel* is from Figure 7 of De Gerónimo et al. (2017), while *bottom panel* shows a similar – eyeball fit – reproduction of these profiles using our parametrized hydrostatic models developed for asteroseismology.

function) relative to the observed period spectrum of a given star. After a global optimization procedure in the specified parameter space, the best match seismic model(s) is (are) found. This procedure, along with the tools developed to carry out this search, have been described at length in Giammichele et al. (2016, 2017a,b, 2018) and we refer the interested reader to these contributions for further detail. Of relevance here, we just point out that an updated set of DA white-dwarf models was constructed to cover the main characteristics of the chemical composition profiles expected from evolution calculations. These models incorporate the core parametrization introduced in Giammichele et al. (2017a) that allows us to construct flexible oxygen profiles. They also include the parametrized double layered helium stratification described in Giammichele et al. (2018), and, of course, a parametrization of the hydrogen-rich top layer that is present in DA white dwarfs, but not in DBs.

In order to illustrate the ability of these DA static models to reproduce various chemical stratifications, including structures predicted by stellar evolution calculations, we compare in Fig. 1, 2, and 3 the profiles of C, O, and He derived from evolution models, based on De Gerónimo et al. 2017 calculations assuming various input physics (see figure captions), with our static DA models adjusted to reproduce their evolutionary counterpart. These comparisons show that our static structures can closely mimic the predicted composition




Figure 2: Composition profiles of Helium, Carbon, and Oxygen as functions of the fractional mass depth in a typical DA white dwarf produced when no thermal pulses or 3 thermal pulses occur during the post-AGB phase. *Top panel* is from Figure 1 of De Gerónimo et al. (2017), while *bottom panel* shows a similar – eyeball fit – reproduction of these profiles using our parametrized hydrostatic models developed for asteroseismology.

profiles, meaning that, in a context of optimizing the stratification to find the one that best reproduces the observed period spectrum, "typical" configurations predicted by evolution models are covered in the search space and could potentially be found, if optimal. Of course, the space of explored configurations is much wider as the ultimate goal of our asteroseismic analyses is to let the oscillation periods determine what the optimal composition profiles should be. The composition profiles derived this way can therefore potentially differ significantly from the expected evolution profiles, but represents seismic inversions that are essentially independent of evolution calculations.

3 Preliminary results for five ZZ Ceti stars

We applied our optimization technique, using the new static DA white dwarf models, to 5 ZZ Ceti stars that we estimated were promising candidates. These stars are KIC 11911480, L19-2, SDSS J1136+0409, EPIC 220258806, and EPIC 220347759. Except for L19-2 whose analysis relies on data from a former ground based multisite campaign (Sullivan & Sullivan, 2000), all these stars have high quality photometric lightcurves obtained from space with *Kepler* (the original mission for KIC 11911480 and K2 for the other ones). Prior to attempting the asteroseismic fits, we




Figure 3: Composition profiles of Helium, Carbon, and Oxygen as functions of the fractional mass depth in a typical DA white dwarf produced with and without overshoot in evolution calculations. *Top panel* is from Figure 4 of De Gerónimo et al. (2017), while *bottom panel* shows a similar – eyeball fit – reproduction of these profiles using our parametrized hydrostatic models developed for asteroseismology.

reanalyzed the lightcurves for all the *Kepler* and K2 objects. In particular, new photometry extraction from the raw K2 data was performed using a pipeline developed by one of us (A. Baran), which in some cases provided noticeable improvements on the quality of the extracted time series over published data. This process resulted in isolating 5 independent periods for the seismic fit of KIC 11911480, while L19-2, SDSS J1136+0409, EPIC 220258806, and EPIC 220347759 were analyzed based on 5, 8, 10, and 7 independent modes, respectively. These stars being close to the blue edge of the DAV instability strip, they all show low-degree and low-order g-modes, and the number of modes available for the analysis proved sufficient to obtain non-ambiguous seismic solutions in all cases (in line with Hare & Hound experiments discussed in Giammichele et al. 2017b).

Detailed results from these analyses will be reported elsewhere. Here, we provide preliminary seismic estimates for the most relevant parameters derived for the 5 stars considered (see Table 1). In all cases, we find seismic solutions that show a very good consistency with independent measurements of T_{eff} and $\log g$ from spectroscopy. The latter were derived from our most recent grids of DA model atmospheres (Bergeron et al., 1995; Gianninas et al., 2011) and by applying the 1D/3D correction from Tremblay et al. (2013). Another independent way to test seismic solution’s accuracy is now available with high precision distance estimates provided by parallax measurements from the GAIA Data Release 2. These distances from par-

allax are given in Table 1 and can be compared with "asteroseismic" distances computed from the optimal seismic model solutions. The uncovered seismic model, coupled with a corresponding model atmosphere, provides the absolute magnitude of the star that can be computed for any chosen filter. These absolute magnitudes can be used to estimate interstellar reddening, extinction, and ultimately the distance modulus when compared with measured apparent magnitudes. The values given in Table 1 for the asteroseismic distance are based on the Gaia Bp and Rp photometry and are corrected from extinction. In all cases, we find that the distances estimated from the seismic solutions are in remarkable agreement with those derived directly from the parallax. This result provides a very strong test validating the seismic models obtained for these stars.

The seismic solutions uncovered also lead to a complete mapping of the chemical stratification inside these stars. These will be disclosed in detail in forthcoming papers (Giammichele et al. 2019, in preparation) and we restrict this report to the preliminary values summarized in Table 1. In this table, we provide the estimated masses for the hydrogen-rich envelope ($\log q(\text{H}) = \log[1 - M(\text{H})/M_*]$), the helium mantle, $\log q(\text{He})$, and the central homogeneous C/O core, $\log q(\text{core})$. We also indicate the oxygen mass fraction in that central region, $O(\text{core})$.

Our results show a rather large scatter of values for the hydrogen envelope masses, while the derived helium layer mass fractions are in a relatively narrow range. Remarkably, the derived central core extent is found to be quite similar for four of these stars (out of five; see below), ranging from $\log q(\text{core}) \sim -0.66$ to -0.80 . We emphasize that a similar core size has also been obtained from the seismic analysis of the DB white dwarf KIC 08626021, with $\log q(\text{core}) = -0.72 \pm 0.03$ (Giammichele et al., 2018). These values lead to a mass for the central homogeneous C/O core – mainly produced by the former helium burning core – that is $\sim 40\%$ larger than predicted by standard stellar evolution calculations. This remarkable consistency obtained for 5 white dwarfs so far strongly strengthen the conclusion that the helium burning phase generally produces much bigger cores than currently expected. Similarly, we find a convergence from all the white dwarfs we have analyzed toward a larger (by $\sim 15\%$) mass fraction of oxygen produced in the core compared to current model predictions.

Finally, we emphasize the cases of EPIC 220258806 and EPIC 220347759. These stars turn out to be spectroscopic twins, but are clearly *not* seismic twins. Hence, while they look very similar externally, there must be important differences in their interior structure to cause significant variations in their oscillation period spectra. Our detailed analyses show that the

Table 1: Preliminary estimates of some parameters derived from asteroseismology for the 5 ZZ Ceti pulsators considered. When relevant, values are compared with available estimates from other independent methods : T_{eff} (in K) and $\log g$ (in cgs) from spectroscopy, and the distance d (in parsec) from Gaia DR2 parallax measurements.

	KIC11911480	L19-2	SDSSJ1136+0409	EPIC220258806	EPIC220347759
T_{eff} (spectro)	$12,026 \pm 195$	$12,058 \pm 184$	$12,330 \pm 260$	$12,807 \pm 219$	$12,692 \pm 214$
T_{eff} (astero)	$12,300 \pm 485$	$12,021 \pm 717$	$12,297 \pm 195$	$12,163 \pm 417$	$12,478 \pm 329$
$\log g$ (spectro)	8.00 ± 0.05	8.11 ± 0.05	7.99 ± 0.06	8.1 ± 0.05	8.09 ± 0.05
$\log g$ (astero)	8.01 ± 0.04	8.13 ± 0.06	8.06 ± 0.04	8.07 ± 0.02	8.11 ± 0.05
d (astero)	184.2 ± 14.6	21.0 ± 0.5	127.7 ± 7.2	79.6 ± 3.0	141.7 ± 7.0
d (parallax)	182.9 ± 4.1	20.93 ± 0.01	130.7 ± 1.9	80.56 ± 0.54	151.8 ± 3.5
Other relevant parameters derived from asteroseismology (see text for details)					
Mass (M_{\odot})	0.63	0.68	0.63	0.64	0.67
$\log q(\text{H})$	-3.12	-4.39	-5.55	-4.23	-4.42
$\log q(\text{He})$	-1.42	-1.87	-1.75	-1.91	-2.40
$\log q(\text{core})$	-0.66	-0.80	-0.77	-0.37	-0.74
O(core)	0.85	0.82	0.75	0.88	0.85

outermost layers of these stars, namely their H-rich envelope and He mantle structure, are very similar (see again Table 1). However, the innermost parts of their core chemical stratification are significantly different. EPIC 220347759 appears to have a rather extended central homogeneously mixed core, comparable in size to those inferred for KIC 11911480, L19-2, and SDSS J1136+0409. This region turns out to be much smaller in EPIC 220258806, which therefore stands out, for this particular aspect of its structure, as an outlier among this sample of 5 DA stars. It is not clear at this stage what difference in the past evolution of these two stars could lead to such a variation in their internal core structure.

4 Conclusion

In this study, we have applied our newly developed approach to cartography the internal chemical stratification inside white dwarfs to 5 DA pulsators. The optimal seismic solutions inferred, although still at a preliminary stage, show reproducible patterns emerging from the comparison of the chemical stratifications determined for these objects. In particular, the size and composition of the innermost homogeneously mixed core are found to be similar for 4 stars of this sample. These are also comparable to the inner core structure uncovered for the DB white dwarf KIC 08626021 (Giammichele et al., 2018). This finding adds strength to the claim that the inner part of the C/O core, produced mainly during the helium core burning phase when a

low-to-intermediate mass star evolves through the Horizontal Branch, is much larger than presently predicted by stellar evolution calculations. This points toward shortcomings in the treatment of mixing processes in the core during this intermediate phase of the evolution of stars. Yet, we found an outlier of this picture with the star EPIC 220258806, that seems to have a reduced homogeneously mixed core compared to the other pulsating white dwarfs analyzed thus far. Which physical process could account for this singularity is not clear at this stage.

Overall, these results demonstrate the high potential of white dwarf asteroseismology to shed light on still uncertain aspects of stellar structure and evolution. With the space telescopes K2 and TESS, which have already observed or will monitor many more pulsating white dwarfs, we expect to extend further this type of analysis and achieve a systematic cartography of the chemical stratification inside white dwarfs. This journey to the center of white dwarf stars should enlighten our understanding of these objects and have repercussions in many connected fields.

Acknowledgment

We acknowledge support from the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR, France) under grant ANR-17-CE31-0018, funding the INSIDE project. This work was granted access to the high-performance computing resources of the CALMIP computing centre under allocation number 2018-p0205. G.F. acknowledges the contribution of the Canada Research Chair Program,

and W.Z. the LAMOST fellowship as a young researcher, supported by the Special Funding for Advanced Users, budgeted and administrated by the Center for Astronomical Mega-Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences. V.V.G. is an F.R.S.-FNRS Research Associate. The authors acknowledge the Kepler team and everyone who has contributed to making this mission possible. Funding for the Kepler mission is provided by NASA's Science Mission Directorate.

References

- Althaus, L. G., Córscico, A. H., Isern, J., & García-Berro, E. 2010, *A&A Rev.*, 18, 471
- Bergeron, P., Wesemael, F., Lamontagne, R., et al. 1995, *ApJ*, 449, 258
- De Gerónimo, F. C., Althaus, L. G., Córscico, A. H., Romero, A. D., & Kepler, S. O. 2017, *A&A*, 599, A21
- Fontaine, G., & Brassard, P. 2008, *PASP*, 120, 1043
- Giammichele, N., Charpinet, S., Fontaine, G., et al. 2018, *Nature*, 554, 73
- Giammichele, N., Charpinet, S., Brassard, P., & Fontaine, G. 2017b, *A&A*, 598, A109
- Giammichele, N., Charpinet, S., Fontaine, G., & Brassard, P. 2017a, *ApJ*, 834, 136
- Giammichele, N., Fontaine, G., Brassard, P., & Charpinet, S. 2016, *ApJS*, 223, 10
- Gianninas, A., Bergeron, P., & Ruiz, M. T. 2011, *ApJ*, 743, 138
- Reindl, N. 2015, Ph.D. Thesis,
- Reindl, N., Rauch, T., Werner, K., Kruk, J. W., & Todt, H. 2014, *A&A*, 566, A116
- Sullivan, D. J., & Sullivan, T. 2000, *Baltic Astronomy*, 9, 81
- Tremblay, P.-E., Ludwig, H.-G., Steffen, M., & Freytag, B. 2013, *A&A*, 559, A104
- Winget, D. E., & Kepler, S. O. 2008, *ARA&A*, 46, 157