

# CATEGORIES OF TOPOLOGICAL ORDERS II

Liang Kong<sup>a,b</sup>, Hao Zheng<sup>a,b,c</sup> <sup>1</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Shenzhen Institute for Quantum Science and Engineering,  
Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen, 518055, China

<sup>b</sup> Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Quantum Science and Engineering,  
Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen, 518055, China

<sup>c</sup> Department of Mathematics, Peking University,  
Beijing, 100871, China

ABSTRACT. In this second part of a series work, we further develop the theory of higher fusion categories, including center functors, centralizers and group theoretic higher fusion categories. Along the way we prove several conjectures on modular extensions and the representation categories of finite higher groups.

## CONTENTS

1. Elements of separable $n$ -categories	1
2. Center functors	4
2.1. $E_0$ -center functors	4
2.2. $E_1$ -center functors	6
3. Centralizers and modular extensions	8
3.1. Centralizers	8
3.2. Perfect pairing	10
3.3. Modular extensions	11
4. Group theoretical fusion $n$ -categories	14
4.1. Higher groups	14
4.2. Pointed fusion $n$ -categories	16
References	17

We work on the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear settings unless stated otherwise. All the results have a  $*$ -version and also apply to the  $k$ -linear settings where  $k$  is an arbitrary separably closed field with some minor exceptions if  $\text{char } k > 0$ . We assume that readers are familiar with the notions, such as a multifusion  $n$ -category [JF20] and a separable  $n$ -category, the notations and the results in [KZ20].

## 1. ELEMENTS OF SEPARABLE $n$ -CATEGORIES

We prove some preliminary results of separable  $n$ -categories in this section for later use.

---

<sup>1</sup>Emails: kongl@sustech.edu.cn, hzheng@math.pku.edu.cn

**Proposition 1.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$  be separable  $n$ -categories. (1) If  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $Y \in \mathcal{D}$  are simple objects, then  $X \boxtimes Y \in \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}$  is also simple. (2) If  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  and  $g : Y \rightarrow Y'$  are simple morphisms of  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$ , respectively, then  $f \boxtimes g : X \boxtimes Y \rightarrow X' \boxtimes Y'$  is also simple.*

*Proof.* (2) is a consequence of (1) and the equivalence  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}}(X \boxtimes Y, X' \boxtimes Y') \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X') \boxtimes \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(Y, Y')$ . (1) is clear for  $n \leq 1$ . For  $n \geq 2$ , by induction on  $n$ ,  $\text{Id}_{X \boxtimes Y}$  is simple as  $\text{Id}_X$  and  $\text{Id}_Y$  are simple. Hence  $X \boxtimes Y$  is simple.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a fusion  $n$ -category. Then  $X \otimes Y$  is nonzero for any two nonzero objects  $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$ .*

*Proof.*  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is a condensate of  $(X^L \otimes X) \otimes (Y \otimes Y^R)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 1.3.** *The following conditions are equivalent for  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in E_1 \text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ :*

- (1) *There is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear equivalence  $\text{RMod}_{\mathcal{A}}(\text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}) \simeq \text{RMod}_{\mathcal{B}}(\text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}})$ .*
- (2) *There is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear equivalence  $\Sigma \mathcal{A} \simeq \Sigma \mathcal{B}$ .*

*Moreover, if these two conditions are satisfied, then there is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal equivalence  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{B})$ .*

*Proof.* By [KZ20, Theorem 3.1(2)], a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear equivalence  $\text{RMod}_{\mathcal{A}}(\text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}) \simeq \text{RMod}_{\mathcal{B}}(\text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}})$  restricts to a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear equivalence  $\Sigma \mathcal{A} \simeq \Sigma \mathcal{B}$ . Conversely, a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear equivalence  $F : \Sigma \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Sigma \mathcal{B}$  induces a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear equivalence  $- \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} F(\mathcal{A}) : \text{RMod}_{\mathcal{A}}(\text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow \text{RMod}_{\mathcal{B}}(\text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}})$ . Moreover, since  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}) = \Omega \mathfrak{Z}_0(\Sigma \mathcal{A})$ , a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear equivalence  $\Sigma \mathcal{A} \simeq \Sigma \mathcal{B}$  induces a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal equivalence  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{B})$ .  $\square$

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  be multi-fusion  $n$ -categories. By a *separable  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule* we mean a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear bimodule in  $(n+1)\text{Vec}$ . The notions of a *separable left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module* and a *separable right  $\mathcal{B}$ -module* are defined similarly.

**Proposition 1.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  be multi-fusion  $n$ -categories and  $\mathcal{M}$  be a separable  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule. (1) The  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})$  is left dual to  $\mathcal{M}$ . (2) The  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{A})$  is right dual to  $\mathcal{M}$ .*

*Proof.* (2) is obtained from (1) by viewing  $\mathcal{M}$  as a  $\mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}$ - $\mathcal{A}^{\text{rev}}$ -bimodule. To establish (1) we need to show that  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} -) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{B}}(\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{P}, -)$  for  $\mathcal{P} \in \Sigma \mathcal{A}^{\text{rev}}$ . The equivalence holds for  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{A}$  as  $\text{Fun}(n\text{Vec}, \mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} -) \simeq \text{Fun}(\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}), -)$  by [KZ20, Theorem 3.1(3)], hence holds for any condensate  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 1.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a multi-fusion  $n$ -category,  $\mathcal{M}$  be a separable right  $\mathcal{A}$ -module and  $\mathcal{N}$  be a separable left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module. (1) We have a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear equivalence*

$$\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{N} \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M}^L, \mathcal{N}), \quad X \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} Y \mapsto (f \mapsto f(X) \otimes Y),$$

*where  $\mathcal{M}^L = \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{rev}}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{A})$ . (2) If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a fusion  $n$ -category, then  $X \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} Y$  is nonzero for nonzero  $X \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $Y \in \mathcal{N}$ .*

*Proof.* (1) By [KZ20, Theorem 3.1(3)],  $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{N} \simeq \text{Fun}(n\text{Vec}, \mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{N}) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M}^L, \mathcal{N})$  that maps  $X \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} Y$  to the composition  $\mathcal{M}^L \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_{\mathcal{M}^L} \boxtimes X \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} Y} \mathcal{M}^L \boxtimes \mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{N} \simeq \mathcal{N}$ . (2) In particular, we have  $\mathcal{M} \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M}^L, \mathcal{A})$ ,  $X \mapsto (f \mapsto f(X))$ . Then there exists  $f \in \mathcal{M}^L$  such that  $f(X)$  is nonzero. Then  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes Y$  is a condensate of  $f(X)^L \otimes f(X) \otimes Y$  hence  $f(X) \otimes Y$  is nonzero. Therefore,  $X \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} Y$  is nonzero.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an indecomposable multi-fusion  $n$ -category and let  $\mathcal{B} = \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$  where  $\mathcal{M}$  is a nonzero separable left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module. (1) The  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{M}$  is invertible. (2) The canonical  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal functor  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$  is invertible.*

*Proof.* Consider the indecomposable multi-fusion  $n$ -category

$$\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{M}) \simeq \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}^{\text{rev}} & \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{A}) \\ \mathcal{M} & \mathcal{B} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The composition  $\Sigma\mathcal{A} \simeq \Sigma \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{M})^{\text{rev}} \simeq \Sigma\mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}$  maps  $\mathcal{A} \mapsto \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{M} \mapsto \mathcal{M}$ . We obtain (1). Moreover,  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}) \simeq \Omega(\Sigma\mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}, \mathcal{M}) \simeq \Omega(\Sigma\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) \simeq \mathcal{A}$ . We obtain (2).  $\square$

**Proposition 1.8.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  be multi-fusion  $n$ -categories. Then*

$$\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{P} \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{P})$$

*for separable left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{N}$  and right  $\mathcal{B}$ -module  $\mathcal{P}$ .*

*Proof.* By [KZ20, Theorem 3.1(2)],  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M}, -)$  preserves colimits.  $\square$

**Definition 1.9.** We say that  $\mathcal{A} \in E_m \text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$  is *trivial* if the canonical  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_m$ -monoidal functor  $n\text{Vec} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is invertible.

**Proposition 1.10.** *For  $m \geq 1$ ,  $\mathcal{A} \in E_m \text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$  is trivial if and only if there is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear equivalence  $\mathcal{A} \simeq n\text{Vec}$ .*

*Proof.* Necessity is trivial. To show the other direction, it suffices to show that the canonical  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_m$ -monoidal functor  $F : n\text{Vec} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is invertible as a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear functor. Make a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear identification  $\mathcal{A} = n\text{Vec}$  and let  $\bullet$  be the distinguished object of  $n\text{Vec}$ . We have  $(\bullet \otimes \bullet) \boxtimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \simeq \bullet \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \simeq \bullet$  where  $\otimes$  is the tensor product of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\boxtimes$  is the tensor product of  $n\text{Vec}$ . Hence  $F(\bullet) \simeq \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is an invertible object of  $n\text{Vec}$ . Therefore,  $F$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear equivalence.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.11.** *For  $m \geq 1$ ,  $\mathcal{A} \in E_m \text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$  is trivial if and only if  $\mathcal{A}$  is an invertible separable  $n$ -category.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  is an invertible separable  $n$ -category. Then the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_m$ -monoidal  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$  is trivial by Proposition 1.10. In particular, there is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear equivalence  $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \simeq n\text{Vec}$  mapping  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}$  to  $\mathbf{1}_{n\text{Vec}}$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{A} \simeq n\text{Vec}$ . Invoking Proposition 1.10 again, we conclude that  $\mathcal{A}$  is trivial.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.12.** *A separable  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$  is invertible if and only if  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{C})$  is trivial.*

*Proof.* We have a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear equivalence  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{C}$  is invertible if and only if  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{C}) \simeq n\text{Vec}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 1.13.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a nonzero separable  $n$ -category. If  $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{C}$  then  $\mathcal{C} \simeq n\text{Vec}$ .*

*Proof.* The claim is clear for  $n = 0$ . For  $n \geq 1$ , we have  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{C}) \boxtimes \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{C})$ . By the inductive hypothesis,  $\Omega\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{C}) \simeq (n-1)\text{Vec}$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{C})$  is trivial by Proposition 1.10. Therefore,  $\mathcal{C}$  is invertible hence equivalent to  $n\text{Vec}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.14.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a nonzero  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $n$ -category. If  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear functors  $i : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow n\text{Vec}$  and  $r : n\text{Vec} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  exhibit  $\mathcal{C}$  as a retract of  $n\text{Vec}$ , i.e.  $r \circ i \simeq \text{Id}_{\mathcal{C}}$ , then  $i$  and  $r$  are inverse to each other.*

*Proof.* Let  $e = i \circ r$  so that  $e \circ e \simeq e$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is nonzero,  $e$  is nonzero. By regarding  $e$  as an object of  $n\text{Vec}$ , we conclude from Proposition 1.13 that  $e \simeq \text{Id}_{n\text{Vec}}$ .  $\square$

**Definition 1.15.** A condensation-complete  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_m$ -monoidal  $n$ -category over  $\mathcal{A} \in E_{m+1}\text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$  is an object  $\mathcal{M} \in E_m\text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$  equipped with a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_{m+1}$ -monoidal functor  $\psi_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}(-m)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{M})$ .

**Remark 1.16.** Let  $\mathcal{A} \in E_{m+1}\text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $\mathcal{M} \in E_m\text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{M}$  admits an associative left action of  $\mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{M})$  as well as an associative right action of  $\mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{M})^{\text{op}(-m)}$  in  $E_m\text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ . Promoting  $\mathcal{M}$  to a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_m$ -monoidal  $n$ -category over  $\mathcal{A}$  is equivalent to endowing  $\mathcal{M}$  with an associative right action of  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $E_m\text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ . For example, the evident associative right action of  $\mathcal{A}$  on itself induces a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_{m+1}$ -monoidal functor  $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}(-m)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A})$ , promoting  $\mathcal{A}$  to a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_m$ -monoidal  $n$ -category over itself.

**Proposition 1.17.** *Let  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_m$ -monoidal functor between condensation-complete  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_m$ -monoidal  $n$ -categories where  $m \geq 1$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{B}$  is an  $E_m$ -multi-fusion  $n$ -category and that  $\mathcal{B} \in \Sigma\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is also an  $E_m$ -multi-fusion  $n$ -category.*

*Proof.* Every object of  $\Sigma\mathcal{B}$  is a condensate of  $\mathcal{B}$  as a right  $\mathcal{B}$ -module hence as a right  $\mathcal{A}$ -module. The condition  $\mathcal{B} \in \Sigma\mathcal{A}$  then implies a well-defined forgetful functor  $G : \Sigma\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \Sigma\mathcal{A}$  which is right adjoint to  $\Sigma F : \Sigma\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Sigma\mathcal{B}$ . We may assume that  $\mathcal{B}$  is indecomposable so that  $\text{Fun}(\Sigma\mathcal{B}, \Sigma\mathcal{B})$  is of fusion type. Then the counit map  $v : \Sigma F \circ G \rightarrow \text{Id}_{\Sigma\mathcal{B}}$  extends to a condensation. Hence  $G$  extends to a condensation and therefore  $\Sigma\mathcal{A}$  is a separable  $(n+1)$ -category. By [KZ20, Corollary 3.34],  $\mathcal{A}$  is an  $E_m$ -multi-fusion  $n$ -category.  $\square$

**Remark 1.18.** Proposition 1.5 for  $n = 1$  is due to [DSPS20, Proposition 3.2.1]. Proposition 1.6(1) for  $n = 1$  is due to [ENO10, Proposition 3.5], [Gr10, Theorem 3.20] and [DSPS20, Proposition 2.4.10]. Proposition 1.7 for  $n = 1$  is due to [EO04, Theorem 3.27 and 3.31].

## 2. CENTER FUNCTORS

### 2.1. $E_0$ -center functors.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  be indecomposable multi-fusion  $n$ -categories. We say that a separable  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{M}$  is *closed* if the canonical  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal functor  $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B}^{\text{ev}} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$  is invertible. We say that  $\mathcal{A}$  is *nondegenerate* if the  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed.

**Example 2.2.** Let  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$  be nonzero separable  $n$ -categories. Then the  $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})$ - $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$ -bimodule  $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  is equivalent to  $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}$  hence is closed. In particular,  $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$  is nondegenerate.

**Proposition 2.3.** *The following conditions are equivalent for an indecomposable multi-fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{A}$ :*

- (1)  $\mathcal{A}$  is nondegenerate.
- (2)  $\Sigma\mathcal{A}$  is invertible.

(3)  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})$  is trivial.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)  $\Sigma\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \Sigma(\mathcal{A}^{\text{rev}}) \simeq \Sigma \text{Fun}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) \simeq (n+1)\text{Vec}$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3)  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}) = \Omega \text{Fun}(\Sigma\mathcal{A}, \Sigma\mathcal{A}) \simeq n\text{Vec}$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $F : \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}^{\text{rev}} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$  be the canonical monoidal functor and let  $G$  be a right adjoint to  $\Sigma F^{\text{rev}}$ . Then  $G$  maps  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{A}$ . The induced monoidal functor  $\Omega(G, \mathcal{A}) : \Omega(\Sigma \text{Fun}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})^{\text{rev}}, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \Omega(\Sigma(\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}^{\text{rev}}), \mathcal{A})$ , i.e.  $n\text{Vec} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})$ , is invertible by assumption. Thus  $G$  is invertible and therefore  $F$  is invertible.  $\square$

Let  $\text{Sep}_n^{\text{ind}}$  denote the symmetric monoidal 1-category where an object is an indecomposable separable  $n$ -category and a morphism is an equivalence class of nonzero  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear functors. By [KZ20, Corollary 3.12(1)], the composition of morphisms is well-defined.

Let  $\text{Fus}_n^{\text{cl}}$  denote the symmetric monoidal 1-category where an object is a nondegenerate fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{A}$  and a morphism  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is an equivalence class of pairs  $(\mathcal{M}, X)$  where  $\mathcal{M}$  is a closed  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule and  $X$  is a nonzero object of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Two pairs  $(\mathcal{M}, X)$  and  $(\mathcal{N}, Y)$  are equivalent if there is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear bimodule equivalence  $F : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  such that  $F(X) \simeq Y$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** *The composition of morphisms of  $\text{Fus}_n^{\text{cl}}$  is well-defined.*

*Proof.* Let  $(\mathcal{M}, X) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  and  $(\mathcal{N}, Y) : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be two morphisms of  $\text{Fus}_n^{\text{cl}}$ . By Proposition 1.6(2),  $Y \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} X$  is nonzero. We need to show that the  $\mathcal{C}$ - $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{N} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{M}$  is closed. Replacing  ${}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$  by  ${}_{\mathcal{B} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}^{\text{rev}}}\mathcal{M}_{n\text{Vec}}$  and  ${}_{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{B}}$  by  ${}_{\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}^{\text{rev}}}(\mathcal{N} \boxtimes \mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{B} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}^{\text{rev}}}$  if necessary, we assume that  $\mathcal{A} = n\text{Vec}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \text{Fun}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ . Consider the canonical monoidal functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{N} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{M}) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N})$ . Since the composition  $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}} \xrightarrow{F \boxtimes \text{Id}_{\mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}}} \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}) \boxtimes \mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N})$  is invertible and since  $\Sigma\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\Sigma \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N})$  and  $\Sigma \text{Fun}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N})$  are all equivalent to  $(n+1)\text{Vec}$ ,  $\Sigma F$  is invertible. Therefore,  $F$  is invertible, as desired.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5.** *The assignment  $\mathcal{C} \mapsto \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$  and  $(F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}) \mapsto (\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}), F)$  defines a symmetric monoidal functor*

$$\mathfrak{Z}_0 : \text{Sep}_n^{\text{ind}} \rightarrow \text{Fus}_n^{\text{cl}}.$$

*Proof.* By Example 2.2, the assignment is well-defined on objects and morphisms. Moreover,  $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}) \boxtimes_{\text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})} \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \simeq \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})$  hence the assignment preserves composition of morphisms.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.6.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *There is no nontrivial invertible separable  $n$ -category.*
- (2)  *$\mathfrak{Z}_0 : \text{Sep}_{n-1}^{\text{ind}} \rightarrow \text{Fus}_{n-1}^{\text{cl}}$  is essentially surjective.*
- (3)  *$\mathfrak{Z}_0 : \text{Sep}_n^{\text{ind}} \rightarrow \text{Fus}_n^{\text{cl}}$  is full.*
- (4)  *$\mathfrak{Z}_0 : \text{Sep}_{n+1}^{\text{ind}} \rightarrow \text{Fus}_{n+1}^{\text{cl}}$  is faithful.*

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) For any  $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Fus}_{n-1}^{\text{cl}}$ ,  $\Sigma\mathcal{A}$  is invertible by Proposition 2.3 hence  $\Sigma\mathcal{A} \simeq n\text{Vec}$  by assumption hence there exists  $\mathcal{C} \in n\text{Vec}$  such that  $\mathcal{A} \simeq \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an invertible separable  $n$ -category. Suppose that  $\mathcal{C} = \Sigma\mathcal{A}$  where  $\mathcal{A}$  is a fusion  $(n-1)$ -category. Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is nondegenerate hence lies in the essential image of  $\mathfrak{Z}_0$  by assumption. Thus  $\mathcal{C}$  is trivial.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) For any closed  $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})$ - $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes_{\text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})} \mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})} \mathcal{C}$  is a closed  $n\text{Vec}$ - $n\text{Vec}$ -bimodule hence equivalent to  $n\text{Vec}$  by assumption. Therefore,  $\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{D} \boxtimes n\text{Vec} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \simeq \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) An invertible separable  $n$ -category defines a closed  $n\text{Vec}$ - $n\text{Vec}$ -bimodule which has to be trivial by assumption.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) For  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \in \text{Sep}_{n+1}^{\text{ind}}$  we have  $\text{Fun}_{\text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}) | \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})}(\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}), \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})) \simeq (n+1)\text{Vec}$ . Thus there is no nontrivial bimodule auto-equivalence of  $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ .

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) An invertible separable  $n$ -category defines an  $(n+1)\text{Vec}$ - $(n+1)\text{Vec}$ -bimodule auto-equivalence of  $(n+1)\text{Vec}$  which has to be trivial.  $\square$

**Example 2.7.** (1) For  $n = 0$ ,  $\mathfrak{Z}_0 : \text{Sep}_n^{\text{ind}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Fus}_n^{\text{cl}}$  is invertible. In fact, there is a unique indecomposable separable 0-category  $\mathbb{C}$  and a unique nondegenerate fusion 0-category  $\mathbb{C}$ .

(2) For  $n = 1$ ,  $\mathfrak{Z}_0 : \text{Sep}_n^{\text{ind}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Fus}_n^{\text{cl}}$  is invertible. In fact, there is a unique indecomposable separable 1-category  $\text{Vec}$  and a unique nondegenerate fusion 1-category  $\text{Vec}$ .

(3) Condition (1) of Proposition 2.6 holds for  $n = 0, 1, 2$  but fails at least for  $n = 3, 4$ . Therefore,  $\mathfrak{Z}_0$  is fully faithful but not essentially surjective for  $n = 2$ ;  $\mathfrak{Z}_0$  is faithful but neither full nor essentially surjective for  $n = 3$ ;  $\mathfrak{Z}_0$  is neither faithful nor full for  $n = 4$ .

**2.2.  $E_1$ -center functors.** Recall that given a braided monoidal  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{A}$ , we use  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$  to denote  $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}(-1)}$ . Informally speaking,  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$  is obtained from  $\mathcal{A}$  by reversing the braiding. For a multi-fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{A}$ , we have canonical monoidal equivalences  $\text{Fun}(\Sigma\mathcal{A}^{\text{rev}}, \Sigma\mathcal{A}^{\text{rev}}) \simeq \text{BMod}_{\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{A}}((n+1)\text{Vec}) \simeq \text{Fun}(\Sigma\mathcal{A}, \Sigma\mathcal{A})^{\text{rev}}$ , hence a canonical braided monoidal equivalence

$$\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}^{\text{rev}}) \simeq \overline{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})}.$$

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  be braided fusion  $n$ -categories. A *multi-fusion  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule* is a multi-fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{X}$  equipped with a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear braided monoidal functor  $\psi_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{X})$ . In the special case where  $\psi_{\mathcal{X}}$  is invertible, we say that  $\mathcal{X}$  is *closed*. We say that  $\mathcal{A}$  is *nondegenerate* if the multi-fusion  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed.

**Remark 2.9.** A multi-fusion  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule was called a multi-fusion  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule in [KZ18]. We change the terminology to avoid unnecessary confusion of notations.

**Proposition 2.10.** *The following conditions are equivalent for a braided fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{A}$ :*

- (1)  $\mathcal{A}$  is nondegenerate.
- (2)  $\Sigma\mathcal{A}$  is nondegenerate.
- (3)  $\Sigma^2\mathcal{A}$  is invertible.
- (4)  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\Sigma\mathcal{A})$  is trivial.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) By Lemma [KZ20, Lemma 3.47],  $\Sigma\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}) = \mathfrak{Z}_0(\Sigma\mathcal{A})$ . Therefore, the canonical braided monoidal functor  $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})$  is invertible if and only if  $\Sigma\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \Sigma\bar{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_0(\Sigma\mathcal{A})$  is invertible. (2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4) is due to Proposition 2.3.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.11.** *If  $\mathcal{A}$  is an indecomposable multi-fusion  $n$ -category, then the braided fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})$  is nondegenerate.*

*Proof.* By [KZ20, Lemma 3.47],  $\Sigma\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}) = \mathfrak{Z}_0(\Sigma\mathcal{A})$ , which is nondegenerate by Example 2.2.  $\square$

**Remark 2.12.** According to [JF20, Corollary IV.2], Condition (4) of Proposition 2.10 is equivalent to the condition that  $\mathfrak{Z}_2(\mathcal{A})$  is trivial. We give a different proof of this fact in Proposition 4.16.

Let  $\mathcal{MFus}_n^{\text{ind}}$  denote the symmetric monoidal 1-category where an object is an indecomposable multi-fusion  $n$ -category and a morphism is an equivalence class of nonzero separable bimodules. By [KZ20, Theorem 3.21], we have a symmetric monoidal equivalence:

$$\Sigma : \mathcal{MFus}_n^{\text{ind}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Sep}_{n+1}^{\text{ind}}, \quad \mathcal{A} \mapsto \Sigma\mathcal{A} \simeq \text{RMod}_{\mathcal{A}}((n+1)\text{Vec}), \quad {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}} \mapsto - \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{M}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{BFus}_n^{\text{cl}}$  denote the symmetric monoidal 1-category where an object is a non-degenerate braided fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{A}$  and a morphism  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is an equivalence class of closed multi-fusion  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodules.

**Proposition 2.13.** *The composition of morphisms of  $\mathcal{BFus}_n^{\text{cl}}$  is well-defined and we have a symmetric monoidal equivalence*

$$\Sigma : \mathcal{BFus}_n^{\text{cl}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{Fus}_{n+1}^{\text{cl}}, \quad \mathcal{A} \mapsto \Sigma\mathcal{A}, \quad \mathcal{X} \mapsto (\Sigma\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}). \quad (2.1)$$

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.10, (2.1) is well-defined on objects. For  $\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{Fus}_{n+1}^{\text{cl}}$ , since  $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}^{\text{rev}}$  is of fusion type,  $\mathcal{C}$  is an indecomposable separable  $(n+1)$ -category. Therefore,  $\mathcal{C} \simeq \Sigma\Omega\mathcal{C}$ . By Proposition 2.10, (2.1) is essentially surjective.

Let  $\mathcal{X} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a morphism of  $\mathcal{BFus}_n^{\text{cl}}$ . Since  $\bar{\mathcal{A}} \boxtimes \mathcal{B} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{X}) \simeq \Omega\mathfrak{Z}_0(\Sigma\mathcal{X})$ , the canonical monoidal functor  $(\Sigma\mathcal{A})^{\text{rev}} \boxtimes \Sigma\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_0(\Sigma\mathcal{X})$  is invertible. Therefore,  $(\Sigma\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}) : \Sigma\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Sigma\mathcal{B}$  is a well-defined morphism of  $\mathcal{Fus}_{n+1}^{\text{cl}}$ .

Conversely, let  $(\mathcal{M}, x) : \Sigma\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Sigma\mathcal{B}$  be a morphism of  $\mathcal{Fus}_{n+1}^{\text{cl}}$ . Since  $\Sigma\mathcal{A}$  and  $\Sigma\mathcal{B}$  are indecomposable as separable  $(n+1)$ -categories, so is  $\mathcal{M}$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\Omega(\mathcal{M}, x)) \simeq \Omega\mathfrak{Z}_0(\Sigma\mathcal{M}) \simeq \bar{\mathcal{A}} \boxtimes \mathcal{B}$ , i.e.  $\Omega(\mathcal{M}, x) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a well-defined morphism of  $\mathcal{BFus}_n^{\text{cl}}$ .

Since  $\Omega(\Sigma\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}) \simeq \mathcal{X}$  and  $\Sigma\Omega(\mathcal{M}, x) \simeq \mathcal{M}$ , the construction  $\mathcal{X} \mapsto (\Sigma\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$  on morphism is bijective.

Let  $\mathcal{X} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{Y} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be two morphisms of  $\mathcal{BFus}_n^{\text{cl}}$ . We have  $\Sigma(\mathcal{Y} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{X}) \simeq \Sigma\mathcal{Y} \boxtimes_{\Sigma\mathcal{B}} \Sigma\mathcal{X}$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{Y} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{X} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is a well-defined morphism of  $\mathcal{BFus}_n^{\text{cl}}$  and (2.1) is a well-defined functor.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.14.** *The assignment  $\mathcal{A} \mapsto \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})$  and  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}} \mapsto \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$  defines a symmetric monoidal functor*

$$\mathfrak{Z}_1 : \mathcal{MFus}_n^{\text{ind}} \rightarrow \mathcal{BFus}_n^{\text{cl}}.$$

*Proof.* Combine Theorem 2.5 and the equivalences  $\Sigma : \mathcal{MFus}_n^{\text{ind}} \rightarrow \text{Sep}_{n+1}^{\text{ind}}$  and  $\Sigma : \mathcal{BFus}_n^{\text{cl}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Fus}_{n+1}^{\text{cl}}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.15.** Unwinding the constructions, we see that an isomorphism  $\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  in  $\mathcal{MFus}_n^{\text{ind}}$ , i.e. an invertible bimodule, induces a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear equivalence  $\Sigma\mathcal{A} \simeq \Sigma\mathcal{B}$  then a monoidal equivalence  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\Sigma\mathcal{A}) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_0(\Sigma\mathcal{B})$  and then a braided monoidal equivalence  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{B})$  giving rise to the isomorphism  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{M}) : \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{B})$  in  $\mathcal{BFus}_n^{\text{cl}}$ .

**Corollary 2.16.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$  be multi-fusion  $n$ -categories where  $\mathcal{B}$  is indecomposable. We have an equivalence of multi-fusion  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{C})$ - $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})$ -bimodules*

$$\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{B}|\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}) \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{B})} \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{N}), \quad g \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{B})} f \mapsto f \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} g$$

for separable bimodules  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}}$  and  ${}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}$ . Moreover, we have a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear equivalence

$$\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{B}|\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}') \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{B})} \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}') \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{P} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{P}' \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{Q}'), \quad g \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{B})} f \mapsto f \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} g$$

for separable bimodules  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{B}}$ ,  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{B}}$ ,  ${}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{C}}$ ,  ${}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{Q}'_{\mathcal{C}}$ .

*Proof.* We may assume that  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}$  are indecomposable and that  $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$  are nonzero. Then the first claim follows from Theorem 2.14. Taking  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{P}'$  and  $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{Q} \oplus \mathcal{Q}'$  we obtain the second claim.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.17.** *Let  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal functor between multi-fusion  $n$ -categories where  $\mathcal{A}$  is indecomposable. We have a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal equivalence*

$$\mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}) \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})} \mathcal{A} \simeq \mathcal{B}, \quad f \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})} X \mapsto fF(X).$$

*Proof.* Use  $\mathcal{A} \simeq \mathrm{Fun}_{n\mathrm{Vec}|\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$  and  $\mathcal{B} \simeq \mathrm{Fun}_{n\mathrm{Vec}|\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{B})$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.18.** In Corollary 2.17, the composition  $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{B}} \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})} \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{A}}} \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}) \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})} \mathcal{A} \simeq \mathcal{B}$  is equivalent to  $F$ . Conversely, if there is a multi-fusion  $n\mathrm{Vec}\text{-}\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{E}$  and a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal equivalence  $\mathcal{E} \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})} \mathcal{A} \simeq \mathcal{B}$  such that the composition  $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}} \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})} \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{A}}} \mathcal{E} \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})} \mathcal{A} \simeq \mathcal{B}$  is equivalent to  $F$ , then  $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{E} \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})} \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) \simeq \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E} \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})} \mathcal{A}) \simeq \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \simeq \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B})$ .

**Remark 2.19.** Direction (2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3) of Proposition 2.3 is due to [JF20, Theorem 2]. Direction (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4) of Proposition 2.10 for  $n = 1$  is due to [DN20, Proposition 4.16]. Corollary 2.11 for  $n = 1$  is due to [ENO04, Proposition 4.4]. Theorem 2.14 for  $n = 1$  is a main result of [KZ18].

### 3. CENTRALIZERS AND MODULAR EXTENSIONS

**3.1. Centralizers.** The following definition is standard. See [Lur14, Section 5.3].

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_m$ -monoidal functor where  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in E_m\mathrm{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ . The  $E_m$ -centralizer of  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  is the universal condensation-complete  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_m$ -monoidal  $n$ -category  $\mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  equipped with a unital action  $G : \mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \boxtimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , i.e. a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_m$ -monoidal functor rendering the following diagram in  $E_m\mathrm{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$  commutative up to equivalence:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \boxtimes \mathcal{A} & \\ \mathbf{1}_{\mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})} \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{A}} \nearrow & & \searrow G \\ \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{B} \end{array}$$

**Remark 3.2.** The  $E_m$ -centralizer  $\mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  in itself is the  $E_m$ -center  $\mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A})$  for  $\mathcal{A} \in E_m\mathrm{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ . The  $E_m$ -centralizer  $\mathfrak{Z}_m(n\mathrm{Vec}, \mathcal{A})$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Remark 3.3.** By definition,  $\mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}k}, \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}k}) = \mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})^{\mathrm{op}k}$ .

**Example 3.4.** For  $m = 0$ , we have  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = (\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}), F)$ . In fact, giving a unital action  $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is equivalent to giving a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear functor  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  that maps  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}$  to  $F$ .

**Example 3.5.** For  $\mathcal{A} \in E_1\mathrm{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$  and a condensation-complete  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$ , we have  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{M})) = \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ . In fact, giving a unital action  $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{M})$  is equivalent to giving a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal functor  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ .

**Example 3.6.** For  $m = \infty$ , we have  $\mathfrak{Z}_\infty(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{B}$ . In particular,  $\mathfrak{Z}_\infty(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}$ . In fact, giving a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear symmetric monoidal functor  $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is equivalent to giving a pair of  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear symmetric monoidal functors  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ . In another word,  $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}$  is the coproduct of  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $E_\infty \text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$  (see [Lur14, Proposition 3.2.4.7]).

**Theorem 3.7.** *We have  $\mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \Omega^k \mathfrak{Z}_{m-k}(\Sigma^k \mathcal{A}, \Sigma^k \mathcal{B})$  where  $0 \leq k \leq m$ . In particular,  $\mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \Omega^m(\text{Fun}(\Sigma^m \mathcal{A}, \Sigma^m \mathcal{B}), \Sigma^m F)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\Sigma$  is left adjoint to  $\Omega$ , we have

$$\text{Fun}^{E_m}(\mathcal{C}, \Omega^k \mathcal{D}) \simeq \text{Fun}^{E_{m-k}}(\Sigma^k \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$$

for  $\mathcal{C} \in E_m \text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $\mathcal{D} \in E_{m-k} \text{KarCat}_{n+k}^{\mathbb{C}}$ . In particular,

$$\text{Fun}^{E_m}(\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \simeq \text{Fun}^{E_{m-k}}(\Sigma^k \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \Sigma^k \mathcal{A}, \Sigma^k \mathcal{B}).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Fun}^{E_m}(\mathcal{C}, \mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})) &\simeq \text{Fun}^{E_{m-k}}(\Sigma^k \mathcal{C}, \mathfrak{Z}_{m-k}(\Sigma^k \mathcal{A}, \Sigma^k \mathcal{B})) \\ &\simeq \text{Fun}^{E_m}(\mathcal{C}, \Omega^k \mathfrak{Z}_{m-k}(\Sigma^k \mathcal{A}, \Sigma^k \mathcal{B})). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \Omega^k \mathfrak{Z}_{m-k}(\Sigma^k \mathcal{A}, \Sigma^k \mathcal{B})$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.8.** *If  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are  $E_m$ -multi-fusion  $n$ -categories, then  $\mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  is also an  $E_m$ -multi-fusion  $n$ -category.*

**Example 3.9.** If  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal functor between multi-fusion  $n$ -categories, then  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \Omega(\text{Fun}(\Sigma \mathcal{A}, \Sigma \mathcal{B}), \Sigma F) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ .

**Proposition 3.10.** *Let  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal functor between multi-fusion  $n$ -categories where  $\mathcal{A}$  is nondegenerate then  $\mathcal{B} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \boxtimes \mathcal{A}$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{B}$  is nondegenerate if and only if  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  is nondegenerate.*

*Proof.* In view of Example 3.9, this is a special case of Corollary 2.17.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.11.** *Let  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear braided monoidal functor between braided fusion  $n$ -categories where  $\mathcal{A}$  is nondegenerate. Then  $\mathcal{B} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_2(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \boxtimes \mathcal{A}$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{B}$  is nondegenerate if and only if  $\mathfrak{Z}_2(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  is nondegenerate.*

**Lemma 3.12.** *Let  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  and  $G : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_m$ -monoidal functors where  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} \in E_m \text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ . If  $G$  is fully faithful, then the induced  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_m$ -monoidal functor  $G' : \mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})$  is also fully faithful.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})$  be the full subcategory consisting of the condensates of the image of  $G'$ . Then the unital action  $\mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}) \boxtimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  restricts to a unital action  $\mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  so that  $\mathcal{E}$  shares the same universal property as  $\mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ . Therefore,  $G'$  is fully faithful onto  $\mathcal{E}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.13.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a condensation-complete  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_m$ -monoidal  $n$ -category over  $\mathcal{A} \in E_{m+1} \text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ . We have*

$$\mathfrak{Z}_{m+1}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}(-m)}, \mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{M})) = \Omega \mathfrak{Z}_m(\Sigma \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}(-m)}, \mathfrak{Z}_{m-1}(\Sigma \mathcal{M}))$$

for  $m \geq 1$ . Therefore,

$$\mathfrak{Z}_{m+1}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}(-m)}, \mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{M})) = \Omega^m \text{Fun}_{(\Sigma^m \mathcal{A})^{\text{rev}}}(\Sigma^m \mathcal{M}, \Sigma^m \mathcal{M}).$$

*Proof.* The embedding  $\Sigma\mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{M}) = \Sigma\Omega\mathfrak{Z}_{m-1}(\Sigma\mathcal{M}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_{m-1}(\Sigma\mathcal{M})$  induces an embedding  $\mathfrak{Z}_m(\Sigma\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}(-m)}, \Sigma\mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{M})) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_m(\Sigma\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}(-m)}, \mathfrak{Z}_{m-1}(\Sigma\mathcal{M}))$  by Lemma 3.12. Applying Theorem 3.7 on the left side, we obtain the first identity. The second identity follows from the first one and Example 3.5.  $\square$

### 3.2. Perfect pairing.

**Definition 3.14.** Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{M} \in E_m \text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ . We say that a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_m$ -monoidal functor  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is a *perfect pairing* if the induced  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_m$ -monoidal functors  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{M})$  and  $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$  are both invertible, i.e.  $\phi$  exhibits  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  as  $E_m$ -centralizers of each other in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**Example 3.15.** According to Proposition 3.13, the canonical  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_{m+1}$ -monoidal functor  $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}(-m)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A})$  is a perfect pairing for any  $\mathcal{A} \in E_{m+1} \text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ .

**Lemma 3.16.** *Let  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal functor between indecomposable multi-fusion  $n$ -categories. Then  $\Sigma\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \mathfrak{Z}_0(\Sigma\mathcal{A}, \Sigma\mathcal{B})$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are indecomposable,  $\text{Fun}(\Sigma\mathcal{A}, \Sigma\mathcal{B})$  is indecomposable. Hence  $\Sigma\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \Sigma\Omega\mathfrak{Z}_0(\Sigma\mathcal{A}, \Sigma\mathcal{B}) = \mathfrak{Z}_0(\Sigma\mathcal{A}, \Sigma\mathcal{B})$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.17.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{M}$  be indecomposable multi-fusion  $n$ -categories where  $\mathcal{M}$  is nondegenerate. A  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal functor  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is a perfect pairing if and only if  $\Sigma\phi : \Sigma\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \Sigma\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \Sigma\mathcal{M}$  is a perfect pairing.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.16,  $\Sigma\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{M}) = \mathfrak{Z}_0(\Sigma\mathcal{B}, \Sigma\mathcal{M})$ . Then an equivalence  $\Sigma\mathcal{A} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_0(\Sigma\mathcal{B}, \Sigma\mathcal{M})$  induces an equivalence  $\mathcal{A} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{M})$  and vice versa.  $\square$

**Definition 3.18.** We say that an  $E_0$ -multi-fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{A}$  is *indecomposable* (resp. *invertible*) if  $\mathcal{A}$  is an indecomposable (resp. invertible) separable  $n$ -category and  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is nonzero.

**Proposition 3.19.** *Let  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear functor between  $E_0$ -multi-fusion  $n$ -categories where  $\mathcal{B}$  is invertible. Then the canonical  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear functor  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \boxtimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a perfect pairing.*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is invertible, the canonical  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear functor  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\text{Fun}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}), \mathcal{B})$  is invertible. Hence the canonical  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_0$ -monoidal functor  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}), \mathcal{B})$  is invertible.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.20.** *Let  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal functor between indecomposable multi-fusion  $n$ -categories where  $\mathcal{B}$  is nondegenerate. Then the canonical  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal functor  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \boxtimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a perfect pairing.*

*Proof.* Combine Lemma 3.16 and Proposition 3.19.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.21.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{M}$  be braided fusion  $n$ -categories where  $\mathcal{M}$  is nondegenerate. A  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear braided monoidal functor  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is a perfect pairing if and only if  $\Sigma\phi : \Sigma\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \Sigma\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \Sigma\mathcal{M}$  is a perfect pairing.*

*Proof.* Sufficiency. The equivalence  $\Sigma\mathcal{A} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\Sigma\mathcal{B}, \Sigma\mathcal{M})$  induces an equivalence  $\mathcal{A} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_2(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{M})$ .

Necessity. Let  $\mathcal{A}' = \mathfrak{Z}_1(\Sigma\mathcal{B}, \Sigma\mathcal{M})$  and  $\mathcal{B}' = \mathfrak{Z}_1(\Sigma\mathcal{A}, \Sigma\mathcal{M})$  so that  $\mathcal{A} \simeq \Omega\mathcal{A}'$  and  $\mathcal{B} \simeq \Omega\mathcal{B}'$ . We need to show that the induced fully faithful  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal

functor  $\Sigma\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}'$  is actually invertible. By Corollary 3.20,  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}', \Sigma\mathcal{M}) \simeq \Sigma\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{B}', \Sigma\mathcal{M}) \simeq \Sigma\mathcal{A}$ . We have a commutative diagram in  $\mathcal{MFus}_n^{\text{ind}}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma\mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}'} & \mathcal{A}' \\ \Sigma\mathcal{M} \downarrow \sim & & \sim \downarrow \Sigma\mathcal{M} \\ \mathcal{B}'^{\text{rev}} \boxtimes \Sigma\mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{B}' \boxtimes \Sigma\mathcal{M}} & \Sigma\bar{\mathcal{B}} \boxtimes \Sigma\mathcal{M} \end{array}$$

where the vertical morphisms are invertible by Proposition 1.7(1). By the functoriality of  $E_1$ -center, we obtain a commutative diagram (up to equivalence) of fusion  $(n+1)$ -categories

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}') & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathfrak{Z}_1(\Sigma\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}') \\ \sim \downarrow & & \downarrow \sim \\ \mathfrak{Z}_1(\Sigma\mathcal{B} \boxtimes \Sigma\bar{\mathcal{M}}) & \xrightarrow{\psi'} & \mathfrak{Z}_1(\Sigma\mathcal{B} \boxtimes \Sigma\bar{\mathcal{M}}, \mathcal{B}' \boxtimes \Sigma\bar{\mathcal{M}}). \end{array}$$

Since  $\psi'$  is fully faithful by Lemma 3.12,  $\psi$  is also fully faithful. Thus we have a fully faithful  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal functor  $\mathcal{A}'^{\text{rev}} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_1(\Sigma\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}') \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}')} \mathcal{A}'^{\text{rev}} \simeq \text{Fun}_{\Sigma\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}', \mathcal{A}')$ . In particular,  $\text{Fun}_{\Sigma\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}', \mathcal{A}')$  has a simple tensor unit, i.e.  $\mathcal{A}'$  is an indecomposable separable  $(n+1)$ -category, i.e. the embedding  $\Sigma\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}'$  is an equivalence, as desired.  $\square$

### 3.3. Modular extensions.

**Definition 3.22** ( $E_0$ -version). Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an  $E_0$ -multi-fusion  $n$ -category over a multi-fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{E}$  defined by a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal functor  $\psi_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{E}^{\text{rev}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{A})$ . An  $E_0$ -modular extension of  $\mathcal{A}$  is an invertible  $E_0$ -multi-fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{M}$  equipped with a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_0$ -monoidal functor  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  such that the composite  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_0$ -monoidal functor  $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is a perfect pairing. Two modular extensions  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  are *equivalent* if there is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_0$ -monoidal equivalence  $\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{N}$  such that the composition  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{N}$  is equivalent to  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ .

**Example 3.23.** In the special case where  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{E}^{\text{op}} \simeq (\text{Fun}(\mathcal{E}, n\text{Vec}), \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}}, -))$  equipped with the canonical monoidal functor  $\psi_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{E}^{\text{rev}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $(n\text{Vec}, \Omega\mathcal{E})$  is an  $E_0$ -modular extension of  $\mathcal{A}$  because the evaluation functor  $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow (n\text{Vec}, \Omega\mathcal{E})$  is a perfect pairing.

**Theorem 3.24.** *The equivalence classes of  $E_0$ -modular extensions of  $\mathcal{A}$  one-to-one correspond to the equivalence classes of  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal equivalences  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E})^{\text{rev}} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{A})$  such that the composition  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{rev}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E})^{\text{rev}} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{A})$  is equivalent to  $\psi_{\mathcal{A}}$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{A}$  admits an  $E_0$ -modular extension if and only if such an equivalence  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E})^{\text{rev}} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{A})$  exists.*

*Proof.* Given such an equivalence  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E})^{\text{rev}} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{A})$ , we let  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E})} \mathcal{E}$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}$  is a closed  $n\text{Vec}$ - $n\text{Vec}$ -bimodule hence  $\mathcal{M}$  is invertible. It is clear that the canonical  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_0$ -monoidal functor  $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  factors through  $\mathcal{A}$ . Moreover,  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E})} \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) \simeq \mathcal{A}$  and similarly  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathcal{E}$ . This shows that  $\mathcal{M}$  is an  $E_0$ -modular extension of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Conversely, given an  $E_0$ -modular extension  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ , we have  $\mathcal{A} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M})$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is a closed  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{M})$ - $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E})$ -bimodule by Theorem 2.5, i.e.  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{A}) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E})^{\text{rev}}$ . It is clear that the composition  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{rev}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E})^{\text{rev}} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{A})$  is equivalent to  $\psi_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Moreover,

$\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M}) \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E})} \mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E})} \mathcal{E}$  by Theorem 2.5, therefore this construction is inverse to the previous one.  $\square$

**Example 3.25.** If  $\mathcal{A}$  itself is invertible, then  $\mathcal{A}$  admits an  $E_0$ -modular extension if and only if  $\mathcal{E}$  is trivial. Moreover, the only  $E_0$ -modular extension of  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\mathcal{A}$  itself.

**Definition 3.26** ( $E_1$ -version). Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an indecomposable multi-fusion  $n$ -category over a braided fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{E}$ . An  $E_1$ -modular extension of  $\mathcal{A}$  is a nondegenerate multi-fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{M}$  equipped with a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal functor  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  such that the composite  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal functor  $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is a perfect pairing. Two  $E_1$ -modular extensions  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  are *equivalent* if there is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal equivalence  $\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{N}$  such that the composition  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{N}$  is equivalent to  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ .

**Example 3.27.** In the special case where  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{E}^{\text{rev}}$  equipped with the canonical braided monoidal functor  $\psi_{\mathcal{A}} : \bar{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{E})} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{E}^{\text{rev}})$ ,  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E})$  is an  $E_1$ -modular extension of  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{rev}}$ . In fact, according to Example 3.5,  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{rev}}$  are centralizers of each other in  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E})$ .

**Corollary 3.28.** *The equivalence classes of  $E_1$ -modular extensions of  $\mathcal{A}$  one-to-one correspond to the equivalence classes of  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear braided monoidal equivalences  $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{E})} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})$  such that the composition  $\bar{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{E})} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})$  is equivalent to  $\psi_{\mathcal{A}}$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{A}$  admits an  $E_1$ -modular extension if and only if such an equivalence  $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{E})} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})$  exists.*

*Proof.* Note that  $\Sigma\mathcal{A}$  is an  $E_0$ -multi-fusion  $(n+1)$ -category over  $\Sigma\mathcal{E}$ . According to Proposition 3.17, giving an  $E_1$ -modular extension of  $\mathcal{A}$  is equivalent to giving an  $E_0$ -modular extension of  $\Sigma\mathcal{A}$ . Moreover, giving a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear braided monoidal equivalence  $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{E})} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})$  is equivalent to giving a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal equivalence  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\Sigma\mathcal{E})^{\text{rev}} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_0(\Sigma\mathcal{A})$ . Therefore, the claim follows from Theorem 3.24.  $\square$

**Remark 3.29.** Unwinding the proof we see that the  $E_1$ -modular extension of  $\mathcal{A}$  corresponding to  $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{E})} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})$  is  $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{E})} \mathcal{E}$ .

**Example 3.30.** If  $\mathcal{A}$  itself is nondegenerate, then  $\mathcal{A}$  admits an  $E_1$ -modular extension if and only if  $\mathcal{E}$  is trivial. Moreover, the only  $E_1$ -modular extension of  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\mathcal{A}$  itself.

**Definition 3.31** ( $E_2$ -version). Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a braided fusion  $n$ -category over an  $E_3$ -fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{E}$ . A modular extension of  $\mathcal{A}$  is a nondegenerate braided fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{M}$  equipped with a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear braided monoidal functor  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  such that the composite  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear braided monoidal functor  $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is a perfect pairing. Two modular extensions  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  are *equivalent* if there is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear braided monoidal equivalence  $\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{N}$  such that the composition  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{N}$  is equivalent to  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ .

**Corollary 3.32.** *The equivalence classes of modular extensions of  $\mathcal{A}$  one-to-one correspond to the equivalence classes of  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear braided monoidal equivalences  $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\Sigma\mathcal{E})} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\Sigma\mathcal{A})$  such that the composition  $\overline{\Sigma\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\Sigma\mathcal{E})} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\Sigma\mathcal{A})$  is equivalent to  $\Sigma\psi_{\mathcal{A}}$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{A}$  admits a modular extension if and only if such an equivalence  $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\Sigma\mathcal{E})} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\Sigma\mathcal{A})$  exists.*

*Proof.* Note that  $\Sigma\mathcal{A}$  is a fusion  $(n+1)$ -category over  $\Sigma\mathcal{E}$ . According to Proposition 3.21, giving a modular extension of  $\mathcal{A}$  is equivalent to giving an  $E_1$ -modular extension of  $\Sigma\mathcal{A}$ . Therefore, the claim follows from Corollary 3.28.  $\square$

**Remark 3.33.** To summarize, modular extensions of braided fusion  $n$ -categories are the same as  $E_1$ -modular extensions of fusion  $(n+1)$ -categories;  $E_1$ -modular extensions of indecomposable multi-fusion  $n$ -categories are the same as  $E_0$ -modular extensions of indecomposable  $E_0$ -multi-fusion  $(n+1)$ -categories.

**Remark 3.34.** The notion of (minimal) modular extension was originally considered for braided fusion 1-categories [Mu03b, Section 5.1] and was further developed in [LKW17]. In fact, in the special case where  $n=1$ , if  $\mathcal{M}$  is a modular extension of  $\mathcal{A}$  then  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  are both full subcategories of  $\mathcal{M}$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{E}$  must be the Müger center  $\mathfrak{Z}_2(\mathcal{A})$  and one has  $\dim \mathcal{M} = \dim \mathcal{A} \cdot \dim \mathfrak{Z}_2(\mathcal{A})$  as formulated in [Mu03b, Conjecture 5.2]. Higher generalization of modular extensions was first considered in [KLWZZ20a].

**Proposition 3.35.** *Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a fusion  $n$ -category which admits a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal functor  $F : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow n\text{Vec}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the separable  $n$ -category  $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{E}, n\text{Vec})$  equipped with the canonical monoidal functor  $\psi_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{E}^{\text{rev}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{A})$ . If  $\mathcal{M}$  is an  $E_0$ -modular extension of  $(\mathcal{A}, \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}}, -))$  corresponding to a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal equivalence  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E})^{\text{rev}} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{A})$ , then we have  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_0$ -monoidal equivalences*

$$(\mathcal{A}, F) \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E})} \mathcal{E} \simeq n\text{Vec} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}} \simeq (n\text{Vec}, F(\alpha))$$

where  $\alpha$  is determined by  $\mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}) \boxtimes \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}} \simeq (\mathcal{E}, \alpha)$ .

*Proof.* We have  $n\text{Vec} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E}) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E}, n\text{Vec} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{E}) \simeq (\mathcal{A}, F)$ . Then

$$(\mathcal{A}, F) \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E})} \mathcal{E} \simeq n\text{Vec} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E}) \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E})} \mathcal{E} \simeq n\text{Vec}.$$

The second equivalence follows from  $(\mathcal{A}, F) \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E})} \mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}} \simeq \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.36.** *Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a braided fusion  $n$ -category which admits a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear braided monoidal functor  $F : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow n\text{Vec}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{rev}}$  equipped with the canonical functor  $\psi_{\mathcal{A}} : \bar{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})$ . If  $\mathcal{M}$  is an  $E_1$ -modular extension of  $\mathcal{A}$  corresponding to a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear braided monoidal equivalence  $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{E})} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})$ , then we have  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal equivalences*

$$\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{rev}}}(n\text{Vec}, n\text{Vec}) \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{E})} \mathcal{E} \simeq n\text{Vec} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M}^{\text{rev}} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} n\text{Vec}).$$

*Proof.* We have  $\Sigma(\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{rev}}}(n\text{Vec}, n\text{Vec}) \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{E})} \mathcal{E}) \simeq (\Sigma\mathcal{A}, n\text{Vec}) \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_0(\Sigma\mathcal{E})} \Sigma\mathcal{E}$  which is equivalent by Proposition 3.35 to  $(n+1)\text{Vec}$ . The first equivalence follows. The equivalence  $\Sigma(\mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{M}^{\text{rev}}) \simeq \Sigma\mathcal{A}^{\text{rev}}$  maps  $\mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{M}$  to  $\mathcal{M}$ . Hence  $(\Sigma\mathcal{M})^{\text{op}} \simeq ((n+1)\text{Vec}, \Sigma F(\mathcal{M}))$  by Proposition 3.35. The second equivalence follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.37.** *Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a braided fusion  $n$ -category which admits a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear braided monoidal functor  $F : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow n\text{Vec}$ . We have a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal equivalence over  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{E})$*

$$n\text{Vec} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{E}) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(n\text{Vec}, n\text{Vec}).$$

*Proof.* We have  $n\text{Vec} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{E}) \simeq n\text{Vec} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}|\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}|\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}, n\text{Vec}) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(n\text{Vec}, n\text{Vec})$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.38.** *Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a braided fusion  $n$ -category which admits a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear braided monoidal functor  $F : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow n\text{Vec}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{rev}}$  equipped with the canonical functor  $\psi_{\mathcal{A}} : \bar{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})$ . If  $\mathcal{M}$  is an  $E_1$ -modular extension of  $\mathcal{A}$  corresponding to a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear braided monoidal equivalence  $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{E})} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})$ , then we have  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal equivalences*

$$\mathcal{E} \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})} \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{rev}}}(n\text{Vec}, n\text{Vec}) \simeq n\text{Vec} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_0(n\text{Vec} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{M})^{\text{rev}}.$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\mathcal{E} \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})} \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{rev}}}(n\text{Vec}, n\text{Vec}) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{rev}}}(n\text{Vec}, n\text{Vec}) \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{E})} \mathcal{E}$$

which is equivalent by Lemma 3.37 to

$$n\text{Vec} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{E}) \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{E})} \mathcal{E} \simeq n\text{Vec}.$$

We obtain the first equivalence.

By the equivalence  $\mathcal{A} \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}|\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$  and Proposition 1.7(2),

$$\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathcal{M}^{\text{rev}} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}.$$

Moreover, by Corollary 2.16, we have

$$\mathfrak{Z}_0(n\text{Vec} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{M}) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}) \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})} \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{rev}}}(n\text{Vec}, n\text{Vec}).$$

Applying the first equivalence, we obtain the second one.  $\square$

**Remark 3.39.** Corollary 3.11 for  $n = 1$  is due to [Mu03b, Proposition 4.1], [DMNO13, Corollary 3.26] and [DGNO09, Theorem 3.13].

#### 4. GROUP THEORETICAL FUSION $n$ -CATEGORIES

**4.1. Higher groups.** For a (discrete) group  $G$ , we use  $n\text{Rep}G$  to denote the condensation-complete  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear symmetric monoidal  $n$ -category  $\text{LMod}_G(n\text{Vec}) = \text{Fun}(BG, n\text{Vec})$ . By definition,  $\Omega(n+1)\text{Rep}G \simeq n\text{Rep}G$ .

We use  $n\text{Vec}_G$  to denote the condensation-complete  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal  $n$ -category  $\bigoplus_{g \in G} n\text{Vec}$  whose tensor product is induced by the multiplication of  $G$ . Note that

$$\text{LMod}_{n\text{Vec}_G}((n+1)\text{Vec}) \simeq (n+1)\text{Rep}G,$$

$$\text{Fun}_{n\text{Vec}_G}(n\text{Vec}, n\text{Vec}) \simeq \Omega(n+1)\text{Rep}G.$$

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group. Then  $n\text{Vec}_G$  is a fusion  $n$ -category for  $n \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{A} = n\text{Vec}_G$ . The tensor product functor  $\otimes : \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  extends to a condensation in  $\text{BMod}_{\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{A}}((n+1)\text{Vec})$  by the consecutive counit maps  $v_1 : \otimes \circ \otimes^R \rightarrow \text{Id}_{\mathcal{A}}$ ,  $v_2 : v_1 \circ v_1^R \rightarrow \text{Id}_{\text{Id}_{\mathcal{A}}}$ , etc. terminated by an identity  $v_n \circ \frac{1}{|G|}u = 1$ . Here,  $u$  is the unit map  $\text{Id}_{\dots \text{Id}_{\mathcal{A}}} \rightarrow v_{n-1} \circ v_{n-1}^R$  as  $v_i^R \simeq v_i^L$  canonically. We obtain a condensation  $- \boxtimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Id}_{\mathcal{M}}$  where  $\mathcal{M} = \text{RMod}_{\mathcal{A}}((n+1)\text{Vec})$  which extends  $- \boxtimes \mathcal{A} : (n+1)\text{Vec} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  to a condensation. This shows that  $\mathcal{M}$  is separable hence  $\mathcal{A} \simeq \Omega(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{A})$  is a fusion  $n$ -category.  $\square$

**Definition 4.2.** An  $n$ -groupoid  $\mathcal{C}$  is an  $n$ -category where all (higher) morphisms are invertible. An  $n$ -group is a monoidal  $(n-1)$ -category  $\mathcal{G}$  such that  $B\mathcal{G}$  is an  $n$ -groupoid. We say that  $\mathcal{G}$  is *finite* if all the homotopy groups  $\pi_i(\mathcal{G})$  are finite. A *group homomorphism* between two  $n$ -groups is a monoidal functor.

**Remark 4.3.** A 1-group is simply a group. An  $n$ -group can be regarded as an  $m$ -group for  $m > n$ . We adopt the convention that a 0-group is a trivial group.

For an  $m$ -group  $\mathcal{G}$ , we use  $n \text{ Rep } \mathcal{G}$  to denote the condensation-complete  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear symmetric monoidal  $n$ -category  $\text{LMod}_{\mathcal{G}}(n\text{Vec}) = \text{Fun}(B\mathcal{G}, n\text{Vec})$ . By definition,  $\Omega(n+1) \text{ Rep } \mathcal{G} \simeq n \text{ Rep } \mathcal{G}$ . Moreover,  $n \text{ Rep } \mathcal{G} \simeq n \text{ Rep } \tau_{\leq n} \mathcal{G}$ , where  $\tau_{\leq n} \mathcal{G}$  is the  $n$ -truncation of  $\mathcal{G}$  (i.e.  $\pi_i(\tau_{\leq n} \mathcal{G}) = \pi_i(\mathcal{G})$  for  $i < n$  and vanishes for  $i \geq n$ ).

**Example 4.4.** If  $B\mathcal{G}$  is the Eilenberg-MacLane space  $K(G, n)$  where  $G$  is a finite abelian group, then  $n \text{ Rep } \mathcal{G} \simeq n\text{Vec}_{\chi(G)}$  where  $\chi(G) = \text{hom}(G, \mathbb{C}^\times)$  is the character group.

The forgetful functor  $\text{KarCat}_{n+1}^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \text{KarCat}_{n+1}$  has a left adjoint

$$L : \text{KarCat}_{n+1} \rightarrow \text{KarCat}_{n+1}^{\mathbb{C}}.$$

For an  $n$ -group  $\mathcal{G}$ , we use  $n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}}$  to denote  $\Omega L(B\mathcal{G})$  so that

$$\text{LMod}_{n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}}}(n+1)\text{Vec} \simeq (n+1) \text{ Rep } \mathcal{G},$$

$$\text{Fun}_{n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}}}(n\text{Vec}, n\text{Vec}) \simeq \Omega(n+1) \text{ Rep } \mathcal{G}.$$

Indeed, if  $\mathcal{G}$  is a group  $G$  then  $n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}}$  is precisely  $n\text{Vec}_G$  defined above. In general,  $n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}}$  has the form  $\bigoplus_{g \in \pi_0(\mathcal{G})} \Sigma(n-1)\text{Vec}_{\Omega\mathcal{G}}$ . It follows immediately that  $n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}}$  is a fusion  $n$ -category only if  $\mathcal{G}$  is finite.

**Remark 4.5.**  $n \text{ Rep } \mathcal{G}$  might be a symmetric fusion  $n$ -category for infinite  $\mathcal{G}$ . For example,  $\text{Rep } S_\infty \simeq \text{Rep } \mathbb{Z}_2$  where  $S_\infty = \varinjlim S_n$  is the infinite symmetric group.

**Remark 4.6.** Note that  $L(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}) \simeq L(\mathcal{C}) \boxtimes L(\mathcal{D})$ . Thus  $n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}_1 \times \mathcal{G}_2} \simeq n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}_1} \boxtimes n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}_2}$ .

**Theorem 4.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a finite  $n$ -group. (1)  $n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}}$  is a fusion  $n$ -category. (2)  $n \text{ Rep } \mathcal{G}$  is a symmetric fusion  $n$ -category and we have  $(n+1) \text{ Rep } \mathcal{G} \simeq \Sigma n \text{ Rep } \mathcal{G}$ .*

*Proof.* (1) We prove by induction on the product of the orders of  $\pi_i(\mathcal{G})$ . If  $\pi_0(\mathcal{G})$  is trivial then  $n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}} \simeq \Sigma(n-1)\text{Vec}_{\Omega\mathcal{G}}$ . Therefore, replacing  $\mathcal{G}$  by  $\Omega\mathcal{G}$  repeatedly if necessary, we assume that  $\pi_0(\mathcal{G})$  is nontrivial. Let  $\mathcal{H} = B\Omega\mathcal{G}$  so that  $n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}}$  has the form  $\bigoplus_{g \in \pi_0(\mathcal{G})} n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{H}}$ . By the inductive hypothesis,  $n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{H}}$  is a fusion  $n$ -category. By a similar argument as the proof of Proposition 4.1, we deduce that the tensor product  $\otimes : n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}} \boxtimes_{n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{H}}} n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}}$  extends to a condensation in  $\text{BMod}_{n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}}|n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}}}(n+1)\text{Vec}$  hence  $- \boxtimes_{n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{H}}} n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}} : \text{RMod}_{n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{H}}}(n+1)\text{Vec} \rightarrow \text{RMod}_{n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}}}(n+1)\text{Vec}$  extends to a condensation. Therefore,  $n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}}$  is a fusion  $n$ -category.

(2) Since  $n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}}$  is a fusion  $n$ -category,  $(n+1) \text{ Rep } \mathcal{G}$  is an indecomposable separable  $(n+1)$ -category. Then from  $\Omega(n+1) \text{ Rep } \mathcal{G} \simeq n \text{ Rep } \mathcal{G}$  we obtain  $(n+1) \text{ Rep } \mathcal{G} \simeq \Sigma n \text{ Rep } \mathcal{G}$ . Invoking [KZ20, Corollary 3.34] we conclude that  $n \text{ Rep } \mathcal{G}$  is a symmetric fusion  $n$ -category.  $\square$

**Remark 4.8.** In the  $k$ -linear settings where  $k$  is a separably closed field of positive characteristic, Proposition 4.1 and Example 4.4 are valid if and only if  $\text{char } k$  does not divide the order of  $G$ ; Theorem 4.7 is valid if and only if  $\text{char } k$  divides none of the order of  $\pi_i(\mathcal{G})$ .

#### 4.2. Pointed fusion $n$ -categories.

**Definition 4.9.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a fusion  $n$ -category and let  $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{g \in \Lambda} \mathcal{A}_g$  be the simple decomposition of the underlying separable  $n$ -category. We say that  $\mathcal{A}$  is *pointed* if  $\Lambda$  admits the structure of a group such that the tensor product  $\otimes : \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  induces an equivalence  $\mathcal{A}_g \boxtimes \mathcal{A}_h \simeq \mathcal{A}_{gh}$  for all  $g, h \in \Lambda$ . We refer to  $\Lambda$  as the *fusion group* of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Example 4.10.** For a finite group  $G$ ,  $n\text{Vec}_G$  is a pointed fusion  $n$ -category (provided that  $\text{char } k$  does not divide the order of  $G$  in the  $k$ -linear settings).

**Proposition 4.11.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a pointed fusion  $n$ -category with fusion group  $G$ . We have the following assertions:*

- (1)  $\mathcal{A}_e$  is a trivial fusion  $n$ -category where  $e \in G$  is the unit element.
- (2)  $\mathcal{A}_g$  is invertible for all  $g \in G$ .
- (3)  $X \otimes Y$  is simple for any simple objects  $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- (4)  $\Omega\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}) \simeq (n-1)\text{Rep } G$ .

*Proof.* (1) Since  $\mathcal{A}_e \boxtimes \mathcal{A}_e \simeq \mathcal{A}_e$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_e \simeq n\text{Vec}$  by Proposition 1.13. By Proposition 1.10,  $\mathcal{A}_e$  is trivial.

(2) is a consequence of (1).

(3) is a consequence of Proposition 1.1(1).

(4) Note that  $\mathcal{A}$  defines a monoidal functor  $G \rightarrow \text{Fun}((n+1)\text{Vec}, (n+1)\text{Vec})$ , hence an invertible object  $X$  of  $(n+2)\text{Rep } G$ . Moreover,  $\Omega\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}) \simeq \Omega^2 \text{Hom}_{(n+2)\text{Rep } G}(X, X)$ , which is equivalent to  $\Omega^3(n+2)\text{Rep } G \simeq (n-1)\text{Rep } G$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.12.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a fusion  $n$ -category and let  $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{g \in \Lambda} \mathcal{A}_g$  be the simple decomposition of the separable  $n$ -category. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\mathcal{A}$  is pointed.
- (2)  $\Omega\mathcal{A}$  is trivial and  $X^L \otimes X$  is simple for any simple  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- (3)  $\Omega\mathcal{A}$  is trivial and  $\Lambda$  can be promoted to a group such that  $\mathcal{A}_g \otimes \mathcal{A}_h \subset \mathcal{A}_{gh}$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is immediate from Proposition 4.11(1)(3).

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) If  $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$  are simple, then  $(X^L \otimes X) \otimes (Y \otimes Y^R)$  is simple by Proposition 1.1(1). By Proposition 1.2,  $X \otimes Y$  has to be simple. Therefore, the tensor product of  $\mathcal{A}$  promotes  $\Lambda$  to a group such that  $\mathcal{A}_g \otimes \mathcal{A}_h \subset \mathcal{A}_{gh}$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) We need to show that the tensor product functor  $v : \mathcal{A}_g \boxtimes \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_e$  is invertible for all  $g \in \Lambda$ . Indeed, by the duality of  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $v$  exhibits the separable  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}}$  dual to  $\mathcal{A}_g$ . The associativity of the tensor product functor  $\mathcal{A}_g \boxtimes \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$  then implies that  $v$  and the unit map  $u : n\text{Vec} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g \boxtimes \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}}$  exhibit  $\mathcal{A}_g \boxtimes \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}}$  as a retract of  $n\text{Vec}$ . Invoking Corollary 1.14 we conclude that  $v$  is invertible, as desired.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.13.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a fusion  $n$ -category such that  $\Omega\mathcal{A}$  is trivial where  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is pointed.*

*Proof.* Let  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  be a simple object. The equivalence  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, X) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}, X \otimes X^L)$  maps  $\text{Id}_X$  to the unit map  $u : \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow X \otimes X^L$ . Thus  $u$  is simple. Note that  $u$  can be regarded as a morphism of  $\Sigma\Omega\mathcal{A} \simeq n\text{Vec}$ . The morphism  $u \otimes \text{Id}_X : X \rightarrow X \otimes X^L \otimes X$  is simple by Proposition 1.1(2). Therefore, the induced morphism  $\text{Id}_{X^L \otimes X}$  is also simple. Namely,  $X^L \otimes X$  is simple. Invoking Proposition 4.12 we conclude that  $\mathcal{A}$  is pointed.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.14.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a fusion  $n$ -category where  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is trivial if and only if  $\Omega\mathcal{A}$  and  $\Omega^{n-1}\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})$  are both trivial.*

*Proof.* Combine Proposition 4.11(4) and Theorem 4.13.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.15.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a nondegenerate braided fusion  $n$ -category where  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is trivial if and only if  $\Omega\mathcal{A}$  is trivial.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\Omega\mathcal{A}$  is trivial. Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is nondegenerate,  $\Omega\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}) \simeq \Omega(\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{A}})$  is trivial. Then by Corollary 4.14,  $\mathcal{A}$  is trivial.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.16.** *The following conditions are equivalent for a braided fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{A}$ :*

- (4)  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\Sigma\mathcal{A})$  is trivial.
- (5)  $\mathfrak{Z}_2(\mathcal{A})$  is trivial.

*Proof.* (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5)  $\mathfrak{Z}_2(\mathcal{A}) = \Omega\mathfrak{Z}_1(\Sigma\mathcal{A})$  is trivial. (5)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) Assume  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{B} = \mathfrak{Z}_1(\Sigma\mathcal{A})$  so that  $\Omega\mathcal{B}$  is trivial. By Corollary 2.11,  $\mathcal{B}$  is nondegenerate. Then by Corollary 4.15,  $\mathcal{B}$  is trivial.  $\square$

**Remark 4.17.** Theorem 4.13 for  $n = 2$  is due to [JFY20, Theorem A]. Corollary 4.15 and Proposition 4.16 are due to [JF20]. Direction (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (5) of Proposition 2.10 and 4.16 for  $n = 1$  is due to [DGNO09, Proposition 3.7].

**Acknowledgments:** The authors are supported by Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory (Grant No.2019B121203002). LK is also supported by Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation under Grant No. 2020B1515120100 and by NSFC under Grant No. 11971219. HZ is also supported by NSFC under Grant No. 11871078.

## REFERENCES

- [DGNO09] V. G. Drinfeld, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, V. Ostrik, *On braided fusion categories I*, *Selecta Math.* 16 (2010) 1–119. [arXiv:0906.0620](#).
- [DMNO13] A. Davydov, M. Mger, D. Nikshych, V. Ostrik, *The Witt group of nondegenerate braided fusion categories*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 677 (2013) 135–177. [arXiv:1009.2117](#).
- [DN20] A. Davydov, D. Nikshych, *Braided Picard groups and graded extensions of braided tensor categories*, [arXiv:2006.08022v1](#).
- [DSPS20] C. L. Douglas, C. Schommer-Pries and N. Snyder, *Dualizable tensor categories*, *Memiors of the AMS*, 2020. [arXiv:1312.7188](#).
- [ENO04] P. Etingof, D. Nikshych, V. Ostrik, *An analogue of Radford’s  $S^4$  formula for finite tensor categories*, *International Mathematics Research Notices* 54 (2004), 2915–2933. [arXiv:math/0404504](#).
- [ENO10] P. Etingof, D. Nikshych, V. Ostrik, *Fusion categories and homotopy theory*, *Quantum Topol.* 1 (2010), no. 3, 209–273. [arXiv:0909.3140](#).
- [EO04] P. Etingof, V. Ostrik, *Finite tensor categories*, *Mosc. Math. J.* 4 (3) (2004) 627–654, 782–783. [arXiv:math/0301027](#).
- [Gr10] J. Greenough, *Monoidal 2-structure of bimodule categories*, *J. Algebra* 324 (2010), no. 8, 1818–1859. [arXiv:0911.4979v4](#).
- [JF20] T. Johnson-Freyd, *On the classification of topological orders*, [arXiv:2003.06663](#).
- [JFY20] T. Johnson-Freyd, M. Yu, *Fusion 2-categories with no line operators are grouplike*, [arXiv:2010.07950](#).
- [KLWZZ20a] L. Kong, T. Lan, X.-G. Wen, Z.-H. Zhang and H. Zheng, *Classification of topological phases with finite internal symmetries in all dimensions*, *J. High Energ. Phys.*, 2020, 93 (2020). [arXiv:2003.08898](#).
- [KZ18] L. Kong and H. Zheng, *The center functor is fully faithful*, *Adv. Math.* 339 (2018), 749–779. [arXiv:1507.00503](#).

- [KZ20] L. Kong and H. Zheng, *Categories of topological orders I*, [arXiv:2011.02859](#).
- [LKW17] T. Lan, L. Kong and X.-G. Wen, *Modular extensions of unitary braided fusion categories and 2 + 1D topological/SPT orders with symmetries*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 351 (2017) 709–739 [arXiv:1602.05936](#).
- [Lur14] J. Lurie, *Higher Algebra*, 2014, <http://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf>.
- [Mu03a] M. Müger, *From subfactors to categories and topology I. Frobenius algebras in and Morita equivalence of tensor categories*, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 180 (2003), 81–157. [arXiv:math/0111204](#).
- [Mu03b] M. Müger, *On the structure of modular categories*, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* 87 (2003) 291–308. [arXiv:math/0201017](#).