

The Schwinger action principle for classical systems

A. D. Bermúdez Manjarres

*Universidad Distrital Francisco José de Caldas
Cra 7 No. 40B-53, Bogotá, Colombia
ad.bermudez168@uniandes.edu.co*

January 13, 2022

Abstract

We use the Schwinger action principle to obtain the correct equations of motion in the Koopman-von Neumann operational version of classical mechanics. We restrict our analysis to non-dissipative systems and velocity-independent forces. We show that the Schwinger action principle can be interpreted as a variational principle in these special cases.

1 Introduction

It is well known that classical mechanics has many so-called principles from where the solution to dynamical problems can be obtained. Among others, the most famous ones are D'Alembert's principle, Hamilton's principle, and Gauss's principle of least constraints [1, 2, 3]. They all have their advantages and disadvantages from a theoretical and a practical point of view.

On the other hand, it is perhaps less known that classical mechanics can be formulated as a theory of operators acting on a Hilbert space. This idea goes back to Koopman and von Neumann [4, 5], and it is usually referred to as the KvN theory (see [6] for a review). The KvN theory has been used to study the relations between quantum and classical mechanics [7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. Moreover, tools originally developed for quantum mechanics have been applied to purely classical systems [12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17]. The KvN formalism has also received attention in the context of quantum-classical hybrid theories [18, 19, 20, 21, 22].

Following the idea of investigating quantum concepts in classical scenarios, in this paper we explore the Schwinger action principle [23, 24] in the context of operational classical mechanics.

In quantum mechanics the Schwinger action principle can be regarded as the differential form of Feynman path integrals [25], and it has several applications in quantum mechanics and quantum field theory [26, 27, 28].

We will show that the Schwinger action principle can be applied to the KvN theory and that it leads to the correct classical equations of motion. We will also show that, despite being written in terms of operators, the Schwinger action principle can be interpreted as a variational principle. However, the action that is made stationary is not a functional of paths in the tangent bundle of configuration space, as is the case for Hamilton's principle. The above makes the Schwinger action principle unlike any other method from analytical mechanics.

Though the KvN formalism allows the use of forces derivable from a vector potential [29, 30], we will deal only with conservative systems and velocity-independent forces

This paper is organized as follows: in the next section we present the necessary concepts of the KvN theory. We will follow the standard treatments where the KvN theory is build up from Hamilton mechanics (though we point out that this is not the only possibility, a operational formulation of classical mechanics can be obtained without the use of tools from analytical mechanics [7, 30, 31]).

In the last section we define what we understand as a variation of the dynamical quantities, and we derive the classical dynamical equations in operator form from the Hamiltonian action as well as the Schwinger action.

2 Review of operational classical mechanics

Let Γ stand for the phase space of a classical particle. Γ can be endowed with a Hilbert space structure such that time evolution can be understood as a unitary process. Consider a typical Hamiltonian of a single particle with a velocity-independent interaction

$$H = \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} + \phi(\mathbf{r}). \quad (1)$$

From classical statistical mechanics, the probability density in phase space obeys the Liouville equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\{\rho, H\}, \quad (2)$$

where $\{, \}$ stands for the Poisson bracket. The operator $\{, H\}$ only contains first derivatives. Thus, if a complex function is defined by $\rho = |\psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p})|^2$, then ψ will also obey the Liouville equation

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -\{\psi, H\}. \quad (3)$$

By its definition, the wavefunction ψ is square integrable in Γ . The set of all square integrable complex functions over phase space defines a Hilbert space $L^2(\Gamma)$ where the inner product is given by integration over the whole phase space

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle = \int_{\Gamma} \varphi^* \psi \, d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{p}. \quad (4)$$

Furthermore, defining the so-called Liouvillian operator

$$\hat{L} = -i \{, H \}, \quad (5)$$

we can rewrite Eq. (3) as a Schrödinger equation

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = i \hat{L} \psi. \quad (6)$$

Now, let us define the following differential operators

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\lambda}_{\mathbf{r}} &= -i \{, \mathbf{p} \} = -i \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}, \\ \hat{\lambda}_{\mathbf{p}} &= i \{, \mathbf{r} \} = -i \nabla_{\mathbf{p}}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

With the help of the operators (7), we can rewrite the Liouvillian (5) as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{L} &= \frac{1}{m} \mathbf{p} \cdot \hat{\lambda}_{\mathbf{r}} - \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \phi \cdot \hat{\lambda}_{\mathbf{p}} \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \mathbf{p} \cdot \hat{\lambda}_{\mathbf{r}} + \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \hat{\lambda}_{\mathbf{p}} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The above are the basic components of the KvN theory. We can see that the KvN theory is statistical in nature since $\psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p})$ represents the probability amplitude of finding a particle in a certain region of phase space. However, particle dynamics is recovered when point-like delta functions are considered.

The formalism presented so far can be considered as a “wave mechanics” version of a more general Dirac bra-ket theory. In its Dirac form, the position and momentum ($\hat{\mathbf{r}}, \hat{\mathbf{p}}$) are understood as Hermitian operators that commute with each other (but are not necessarily multiplication operators), and, therefore, there is no uncertainty between them. The operators $\hat{\lambda}_{\mathbf{r}}$ and $\hat{\lambda}_{\mathbf{p}}$ are defined by its commutation relations and are understood to have no direct physical meaning (what Sudarshan calls hidden variables [32], and they are also related to the Bopp operators in the Wigner-phase space formulation of quantum mechanics [33, 34]). All physically relevant quantities must be function of the complete set of commuting operators ($\hat{\mathbf{r}}, \hat{\mathbf{p}}$) but cannot depend on ($\hat{\lambda}_{\mathbf{r}}, \hat{\lambda}_{\mathbf{p}}$). The following commutation relations are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j] &= [\hat{p}_i, \hat{p}_j] = [\hat{x}_i, \hat{p}_j] = [\hat{x}_i, \hat{\lambda}_{p_j}] = [\hat{p}_i, \hat{\lambda}_{x_j}] = 0 \\ [\hat{\lambda}_{x_j}, \hat{\lambda}_{p_j}] &= 0; \quad [\hat{x}_i, \hat{\lambda}_{x_j}] = [\hat{p}_i, \hat{\lambda}_{p_j}] = i \delta_{ij}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

These operators act on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_{cl} whose elements are vectors of the form

$$|\psi\rangle = \int \langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p} | \psi \rangle |\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}\rangle d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{p}, \quad (10)$$

such that the complex wavefunction $\psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}) = \langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p} | \psi \rangle$ is square integrable. The kets $|\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}\rangle$ are eigenfunctions of $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{x}_i |\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}\rangle &= x_i |\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}\rangle, \\ \hat{p}_i |\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}\rangle &= p_i |\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}\rangle,\end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

they obey the orthonormality condition $\langle \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}' | \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}\rangle = \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')\delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}')$, and the completeness relation $\int |\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}\rangle \langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}| d\mathbf{r}d\mathbf{p} = 1$.

In the bra-ket notation, the time evolution equation is written as

$$\frac{d}{dt} |\psi(t)\rangle = -i\hat{L} |\psi(t)\rangle,\tag{12}$$

with

$$\hat{L} = \frac{1}{m}\hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \hat{\lambda}_{\mathbf{r}} + \mathbf{F}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \cdot \hat{\lambda}_{\mathbf{p}}.\tag{13}$$

Notice that the acceleration

$$\hat{\mathbf{a}} = i \left[\hat{L}, \frac{1}{m}\hat{\mathbf{p}} \right] = \frac{1}{m}\mathbf{F}(\hat{\mathbf{r}})\tag{14}$$

is a function only of $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ (and $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$ in the more general case not considered here), and it is then an observable quantity.

We can re-express the formalism given so far in terms of a velocity operator instead of momentum. In cartesian coordinates, and as we are not considering vector potentials, the relation between velocity and momentum is given by

$$\hat{\mathbf{v}} = \frac{1}{m}\hat{\mathbf{p}}; \quad \hat{\lambda}_{\mathbf{v}} = m\hat{\lambda}_{\mathbf{p}}.\tag{15}$$

The transformation (15) is not as ad hoc as it might seem at first sight, it can be justified from first principles [30]. In accordance to [31], but unlike [30], we also make the definition $|\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}\rangle \equiv |\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}\rangle$.

Finally, let us mention that the sets $\{\hat{\mathbf{r}}, \hat{\mathbf{p}}, \hat{\lambda}_{\mathbf{r}}, \hat{\lambda}_{\mathbf{p}}\}$ and $\{\hat{\mathbf{r}}, \hat{\mathbf{v}}, \hat{\lambda}_{\mathbf{r}}, \hat{\lambda}_{\mathbf{v}}\}$ are irreducible in \mathcal{H}_{cl} .

3 Action principles and infinitesimal variations

From now on, we specialize in systems consisting of a single particle moving in one dimension since the generalization of the results to the general case is immediate.

Just as in quantum mechanics, the dynamics in the Heisenberg picture is encoded in the operators via the unitary transformation

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{x}(t) &= U^\dagger(t)\hat{x}U(t), \\ \hat{v}(t) &= U^\dagger(t)\hat{v}U(t),\end{aligned}\tag{16}$$

where the time evolution operator is given by the Schrödinger equation

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} U(t) = \hat{L} U(t). \quad (17)$$

The evolution of $\hat{\lambda}_x(t)$ and $\hat{\lambda}_v(t)$ is defined analogously. The evolution of the operators is governed by Heisenberg equations

$$\frac{d\hat{x}}{dt} = i [\hat{L}, \hat{x}], \quad \frac{d\hat{v}}{dt} = i [\hat{L}, \hat{v}]. \quad (18)$$

Notice that the Liouvillian (13) leads to the Newton equation

$$\frac{d\hat{v}}{dt} = \frac{1}{m} F(\hat{x}). \quad (19)$$

We can think of $\hat{x}(t)$ and $\hat{v}(t)$ as defining a path in operator space, and then we can consider a slightly deformed path given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x}_\epsilon(t) &= \hat{x}(t) + \epsilon \eta(t), \\ \hat{v}_\epsilon(t) &= \hat{v}(t) + \epsilon \dot{\eta}(t), \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

for some differentiable function $\eta(t)$ with $\eta(t_1) = \eta(t_2) = 0$. Due to the equal time commutation relations

$$[\hat{x}(t), \hat{\lambda}_x(t)] = [\hat{v}(t), \hat{\lambda}_v(t)] = i, \quad (21)$$

the deformation (20) can be written as

$$\hat{x}_\epsilon(t) = e^{i\epsilon G_\eta} \hat{x}(t) e^{-i\epsilon G_\eta}, \quad \hat{v}_\epsilon(t) = e^{i\epsilon G_\eta} \hat{v}(t) e^{-i\epsilon G_\eta} \quad (22)$$

where the generator G_η is given by

$$G_\eta = \eta(t) \hat{\lambda}_x(t) + \dot{\eta}(t) \hat{\lambda}_v(t). \quad (23)$$

The evolution of $\hat{\lambda}_x(t)$ and $\hat{\lambda}_v(t)$ is unperturbed under the action of G_η . More general operator variations have been considered [24], but we will not consider them here

3.1 Hamilton principle

Let us define the Hamiltonian action and the Lagrangian operator by

$$\hat{W}_H = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \hat{\mathcal{L}}_H(\hat{x}(t), \hat{v}(t)) dt. \quad (24)$$

We consider variations of the form (20) and demand that $\delta \hat{W}_H = 0$. As $\hat{x}(t)$ and $\hat{v}(t)$ commute, we can carry out algebraic manipulations of quantities that only involve these operators just as if they were ordinary functions. Hence, following

similar steps as in calculus of variation, it can be concluded that a necessary condition for $\delta\hat{W}_H = 0$ is that $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_H$ obeys an equation of the Euler-Lagrange type

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathcal{L}}_H}{\partial \hat{v}} - \frac{\partial \hat{\mathcal{L}}_H}{\partial \hat{x}} = 0. \quad (25)$$

For the Lagrangian

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_H = \frac{1}{2} m \hat{v}^2 - \hat{\phi}(\hat{x}), \quad (26)$$

we have that Eq (25) leads directly to (19). Furthermore, notice that the standard interpretation of the Hamilton's principle is recovered for the eigenvalue equation

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_H(\hat{x}(t), \hat{v}(t)) |x, v\rangle = \mathcal{L}_H(x(t), v(t)) |x, v\rangle, \quad (27)$$

this is, the physical trajectory of the particle is the one that makes stationary the action integral $\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[\langle x', v' | \hat{\mathcal{L}}_H(\hat{x}(t), \hat{v}(t)) |x, v\rangle \right] dt$.

3.2 Schwinger action principle

While the equations of the last subsection are written in terms of operators, it is true that they just mimic the standard equations of Lagrangian mechanics and ultimately do not give any new insight. The procedure we will give now is of a different nature, and, to the author's best knowledge, represents a new action principle for classical systems.

Let $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$ be two states defined by the values of a complete set of commuting operators at two different times. Notice that for the single particle (\hat{x}, \hat{v}) , $(\hat{x}, \hat{\lambda}_v)$, $(\hat{\lambda}_x, \hat{v})$ and $(\hat{\lambda}_x, \hat{\lambda}_v)$ are valid choices for the complete set of commuting operators. Following Schwinger, a slight variation on the operators, such as the one given by (20), produces a variation in their eigenstates such that

$$\delta \langle 1 | 2 \rangle = \langle 1 | \delta \hat{W}_S | 2 \rangle, \quad (28)$$

where the action operator is given by

$$\hat{W}_S = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left(\left(\hat{\lambda}_x \frac{d\hat{x}}{dt} + \hat{\lambda}_v \frac{d\hat{v}}{dt} \right)_S - \hat{L} \right) dt, \quad (29)$$

and we have used the notation $\left(\hat{A} \hat{B} \right)_S = \frac{1}{2} \left(\hat{A} \hat{B} + \hat{B} \hat{A} \right)$. The symmetrization in (29) ensures Hermiticity in the general case, although it is not needed here as we are not considering velocity-dependent forces.

A variation of the endpoints has information about the canonical commutation relations between the operators of the theory but we will maintain the endpoint fixed since we are taking Eqs (9) for granted. The variation with fixed endpoints (20) of the action operator (29), this is, a variation such that $\delta \hat{W}_S = 0$, gives the following Hamilton-like equation of motion [23, 24, 26, 27, 28]

$$\frac{d\hat{x}}{dt} = \frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial \hat{\lambda}_x}, \quad \frac{d\hat{v}}{dt} = \frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial \hat{\lambda}_v}, \quad (30)$$

$$\frac{d\hat{\lambda}_x}{dt} = -\frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial \hat{x}}, \quad \frac{d\hat{\lambda}_v}{dt} = -\frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial \hat{v}}, \quad (31)$$

$$\frac{d\hat{L}}{dt} = \frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial t}. \quad (32)$$

With the help of commutation relations (21), Eqs (30) can be rewritten as the Heisenberg equations (18). Hence, the KvN formalism and the whole classical dynamics are recovered.

We already know that the Liouvillian (8) gives the correct dynamics, we can replace it into (29) to rewrite the Schwinger action as

$$\hat{W}_S = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \hat{\mathcal{L}}_S dt \quad (33)$$

with the Schwinger ‘‘Lagrangian’’ operator given by

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_S = \left(\frac{d\hat{v}}{dt} - \frac{1}{m} F(\hat{x}) \right) \hat{\lambda}_v. \quad (34)$$

The Schwinger action principle has an interpretation as a stationary principle for velocity-independent forces, though in a more abstract sense than Hamilton’s. Consider the complete set of orthonormal kets $|x, \lambda_v\rangle$ obtained from $|x, v\rangle$ by the relation

$$\langle x', v' | x, \lambda_v \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \delta(x - x') \exp[v' \lambda_v]. \quad (35)$$

The (x, λ_v) representation was considered in [35], see also [6]. The kets $|x, \lambda_v\rangle$ are eigenvectors of the complete set of commuting operators $(\hat{x}, \hat{\lambda}_v)$, hence we have

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_S(\hat{x}(t), \hat{\lambda}_v(t)) |x, \lambda_v\rangle = \mathcal{L}_S(x(t), \lambda_v(t)) |x, \lambda_v\rangle.$$

The physical acceleration $\frac{d\hat{v}}{dt}$ is the one that makes stationary the action integral $\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[\langle x', \lambda'_v | \hat{\mathcal{L}}_S(\hat{x}(t), \hat{\lambda}_v(t)) |x, \lambda_v\rangle \right] dt$.

The above can easily be generalized to the case of several particles moving in space under the influence of several forces. Using an upper index to identify different particles, $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_S$ can be written in this case as

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_S = \sum_i \left(\frac{d\hat{\mathbf{v}}^{(i)}}{dt} - \frac{1}{m_i} \sum_j \mathbf{F}_j^{(i)}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \right) \cdot \hat{\lambda}_v^{(i)}. \quad (36)$$

4 Conclusions

We have shown that the equations of motion of classical mechanics are derivable from the Schwinger action principle. An interpretation as a stationary principle in the (x, λ_v) space is possible for velocity-independent forces. The situation for forces that do depend on $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$ remains unclear since $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$ and $\hat{\lambda}_v$ are incompatible operators in the quantum sense. It is worth pointing out that the operators $(\hat{\lambda}_x, \hat{\lambda}_v)$, usually taken as hidden variables, play a central role in the results given in the paper; this is in sharp contrast to Hamilton's principle. The relation between Hamilton's and Schwinger's principles requires further investigation.

References

- [1] C. Lanczos, "The variational principles of mechanics", (Dover publications, Mineola, New York, 1986)
- [2] B. L. Moiseiwitsch, "Variational principles", (Dover publications, Mineola, New York, 2004).
- [3] B. D. Vujanovic and T. M. Atanackovic, "An introduction to modern variational techniques in mechanics and engineering", (Springer Science+Business Media, New York, 2004).
- [4] B. O. Koopman, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **17**, 315 (1931).
- [5] J. von Neumann, Ann. Math. **33**, 587 (1932); *ibid.* **33**, 789 (1932).
- [6] D. Mauro. "Topics in Koopman-von Neumann Theory" PhD thesis, Università degli Studi di Trieste, (2002), arXiv:quant-ph/0301172 [quant-ph].
- [7] D.I. Bondar, R. Cabrera, R. Lompay, M.Y Ivanov and H.A. Rabitz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 190403 (2012).
- [8] J. Wilkie and P. Brumer, Phys. Rev. A **55**, 27 (1997); Phys. Rev. A **55**, 43 (1997) .
- [9] A. A. Abrikosov, Jr., E. Gozzi, and D. Mauro, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **317**, 24 (2005).
- [10] D. Mauro, Phys. Lett. A **315**, (2003), 28-35.
- [11] U. Klein, Quantum Stud.: Math. Found. **5**, 219 (2018).
- [12] I. Ramos-Prieto, A. R. Urzúa-Pineda, F. Soto-Eguibar and H. M. Moya-Cessa, Sci. Rep., **8** (2018), 8401.
- [13] R. Penco and D. Mauro, Eur. J. Phys. **27**, 1241 (2006).
- [14] I. Joseph, Phys. Rev. Research. **2**, 043102 (2020).

- [15] A. Sen, Z. Silagadze, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **59**, 2187-2190 (2020).
- [16] A. Sen, S. Dhasmana, Z. K. Silagadze, *Ann. Phys (NY)*. **414** (2020), 168302.
- [17] S. Katagiri, *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* **6**, 063A02 (2020).
- [18] C. Barceló, R. Carballo-Rubio, L. J. Garay, and R. Gómez-Escalante, *Phys. Rev. A* **86**, 042120 (2012).
- [19] A. Peres and D. R. Terno, *Phys. Rev. A* **63**, 022101 (2001).
- [20] D. I. Bondar, F. Gay-Balmaz, C. Tronci. *Proc. R. Soc. A* **475**, 20180879 (2019).
- [21] F. Gay-Balmaz, C. Tronci, *Nonlinearity* **33** (2020) 5383-5424.
- [22] A. D. Bermúdez Manjarres and N. Marín-Medina, *Phys. Rev. A*. **102**, 042221 (2020).
- [23] J. Schwinger, *Phys. Rev.* **82**, 914 (1951); *ibid.* **91**, 713, 728 (1953); *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* **37**, 452, 455A951).
- [24] J. Schwinger, “Quantum Kinematics and Dynamics”. Benjamin, New York.1970.
- [25] W. Yourgrau and S. Mandelstam, “Variational principles in dynamics and quantum theory”, (Pitman publishing, New York, 1960).
- [26] K. A. Milton, “Schwinger’s Quantum Action Principle”, (Springer, Berlin, 2015).
- [27] D. J. Toms, “The Schwinger’s action principle and effective action”, (Cambridge university press, Cambridge, 2007).
- [28] P. Bracken, The Schwinger Action Principle and its Applications to Quantum Mechanics, Chapt. 8, pp 159-182 in *Advances in Quantum Mechanics*, Intech (2013).
- [29] E. Gozzi and D. Mauro, *Ann. Phys (NY)*. **296** (2002) 152-186.
- [30] A. D. Bermúdez Manjarres, M. Nowakowski, and D. Batic, *Ann. Phys (NY)*. **416**, 168157 (2020).
- [31] A. D. Bermúdez Manjarres *Ann. Phys (NY)*. **431**, 168539 (2021).
- [32] E. C. G. Sudarshan, *Pramana* **6**, 117 (1976).
- [33] D. I. Bondar, R. Cabrera, D. V. Zhdanov, and H. A. Rabitz, *Phys. Rev. A*. **88**, 052108 (2013).
- [34] M. Hillery, R. O’Connell, M. Scully, and E. Wigner, *Phys. Rep.* **106**, 121 (1984).
- [35] A. A. Abrikosov and E. Gozzi, *Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl.* **88**, 369 (2000).