

# A Dialogue-based Information Extraction System for Medical Insurance Assessment

Shuang Peng\* Mengdi Zhou\* Minghui Yang Haitao Mi  
Shaosheng Cao Zujie Wen Teng Xu Hongbin Wang Lei Liu

Ant Group

Hangzhou, China

{jianfeng.ps, jacquelyn.zmd, minghui.ymh, haitao.mi, shaosheng.css,  
zujie.wzj, harvey.xt, hongbin.whb, leihu.ll}@antgroup.com

## Abstract

In the Chinese medical insurance industry, the assessor’s role is essential and requires significant efforts to converse with the claimant. This is a highly professional job that involves many parts, such as identifying personal information, collecting related evidence, and making a final insurance report. Due to the coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic, the previous offline insurance assessment has to be conducted online. However, for the junior assessor often lacking practical experience, it is not easy to quickly handle such a complex online procedure, yet this is important as the insurance company needs to decide how much compensation the claimant should receive based on the assessor’s feedback. In order to promote assessors’ work efficiency and speed up the overall procedure, in this paper, we propose a dialogue-based information extraction system that integrates advanced NLP technologies for medical insurance assessment. With the assistance of our system, the average time cost of the procedure is reduced from 55 minutes to 35 minutes, and the total human resources cost is saved 30% compared with the previous offline procedure. Until now, the system has already served thousands of online claim cases.

## 1 Introduction

In the Chinese medical insurance industry, the assessor’s role is essential in handling protection claims. The insurance assessor may work for the insurance company or be a third-party administrator assessor. The claimant approaches the insurance assessor to evaluate the disease that has affected them. For example, if the claimant has lung cancer, the insurance assessor would check the medical cost and decide if they are claimable. Once the assessor has finished collecting the evidence and related claimant information (i.e., disease history,

medication experience, in-hospitalized information, etc.), a final detailed case report will be made and sent to the insurance company.

The traditional insurance assessment procedure is carried out offline where the assessor conducts an onsite interview with the claimant. Due to the coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic, the previous offline insurance assessment has to be interrupted temporarily, and thus many claimants can not get their insurance compensation in time. Some of them, meanwhile, may also suffer job loss<sup>1</sup>. To help these claimants, many insurance companies shift the offline procedure to the online platform in which insurance assessors can communicate with claimants via video connection. In this way, claimants can submit their applications and get compensations entirely online. On the other hand, the online assessment also helps the insurance company save expenses as the assessor can quickly approve qualified applications or screen out applications that do not conform to the claims on the online platform.

However, limited by the complexity of the insurance assessment procedure and the instability of the online environment, simply providing a video connection for insurance assessors and claimants is still insufficient. We summarize three primary challenges for the current online procedure: (1) Instability. The online video connection may sometimes be unstable, and the assessor may not distinguish the claimant’s words. (2) Complexity. The insurance assessment procedure is very complex, and not all assessors are sufficiently experienced. The junior assessors, in particular, need much assistance to complete their work expertly, and even some senior assessors still have room for improvement. (3) Time-consuming. Recording the key information and writing the insurance report is te-

<sup>1</sup>According to the statistics result from the United Nations, COVID-19 could cause the equivalent of 400 million job losses in the second quarter of 2020.

\*Equal contributions.




Figure 1: The illustration of the front end of Intelligent Insurance Assessment System.

dious for most assessors, and junior assessors may even forget some important information during the inquiry.

To this end, we propose an **Intelligent Insurance Assessment System**, called **IIAS** (shown in Figure 1) for medical insurance assessment. The system aims at promoting the work efficiency of the insurance assessor through dialogue-based information extraction. For these purposes, We use recent NLP technologies, such as streaming automatic speech recognition (ASR) (Moritz et al., 2020; Mani et al., 2020), large scale pre-trained language models (Devlin et al., 2019; Cui et al., 2019), sentence similarity learning (Chen et al., 2017; Peng et al., 2020), named entity recognition/linking (NER/EL) (Le and Titov, 2018; Devlin et al., 2019) and dialogue state tracking (DST) (Ouyang et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020b), to ensure high performance while requiring only a small amount of annotated data.

Our IIAS alleviates the cognitive workload of assessors in several steps: (1) Our streaming ASR transforms speech signal into the conversation text in real-time, and our sentence similarity learning method labels corresponding topics for each conversation on-the-fly. (2) Our NER component then extracts raw entities from the real-time conversation text, and the EL part links the raw entities into the unified insurance knowledge base (KB) for getting all possible keywords. (3) Our DST method, including question and negation identification modules, tracks the state of dialogue context for filtering irrelevant keywords.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first work to propose an intelligent system for insurance

assessment. The main contributions of our work are concluded as follows.

- We propose a dialogue-based information extraction system that shifts the previous insurance assessment procedure online and provides necessary intelligent assistance for the assessor.
- We apply recently advanced NLP technologies to address the problem of online insurance assessment. Our methods significantly improve the assessor’s work efficiency, where the average time cost of the insurance assessment procedure is reduced from 55 minutes to 35 minutes.
- Our system has already been deployed in the real world. Until now, it has served thousands of online insurance claim cases, which delivers potential value to the insurance industry.

## 2 System Framework and Technique Details

Figure 2 shows the architecture of IIAS with key components such as streaming ASR, dialogue segmentation, keyword extraction (NER and EL), keyword filtering (question and negation identification), and downstream applications (keyword display and report filling).

As previously mentioned, recording the information during the assessment is tedious for most insurance assessors. In order to increase the efficiency of information collection and reduce the assessor’s cognitive workload, IIAS provides a keyword-based feature that first displays keywords




Figure 2: The Architecture of Intelligent Insurance Assessment System.

on the system dashboard and then intelligently suggests the related content when assessors are filling in the insurance report.

For better understanding, we discuss the technical details for each key component in the following subsections. Besides, we also present the partial experimental result corresponded to each component.

## 2.1 Dialogue Segmentation

Dialogue segmentation aims at detecting the topic of the real-time conversation text. As the insurance assessment procedure is conducted according to the content that needs to be filled in the insurance report, different dialogue between the assessor and claimant is focused on different topics. These topics can be seen as different questions that the claimant needs to answer. For example, the assessor may start with the topic about the claimant’s work address, and then inquire about when the disease starts and where the claimant receives the treatment, and finally inquire about the doctor’s advice and in-hospitalized information. Here we use the sentence similarity learning method to map the assessor utterance into the corresponding topic.

First, we order the one-to-many mapping relationship between the topic and standard questions that most assessors inquire in the insurance assessment procedure. We select the representative utterance from the assessor as the standard question. The standard question is a set of questions that represent different topics across all assessors. We select the standard question by running HDB-

SCAN clustering algorithm (McInnes et al., 2017) followed with a human adjustment. Since the ways of inquiry among assessors are different, and the ASR results are sometimes affected by the outside speech signals, we use the Enhanced-RCNN model (Peng et al., 2020) to calculate the similarity score between the utterance and selected standard questions, and get the topic of the utterance if the score is greater than the threshold (the default value is 0.5) or follow the topic of the previous utterance if the score is less than the threshold.

After the dialogue segmentation, the whole dialogue is segmented into many parts that belong to different topics so that the keywords extracted in the following process can be linked with these topics in real time. We evaluate the performance of dialogue segmentation by 200 online cases with the human annotation. The accuracy of segmentation reaches 90%.

## 2.2 Keyword Extraction

In the insurance assessment scenario, the keyword contains five types<sup>2</sup>, and their values are not within a limit number. Therefore, any string fragment of assessor or claimant utterance may become a keyword. Traditional slot filling methods with classification models in the task-oriented dialogue system cannot predict unseen slot values in a pre-defined value list and are not appropriate to our scenario. To solve the problem, we convert the keyword extraction as a sequence labeling task and use the

<sup>2</sup>Address, Hospital, Disease, Date, and Examination




Figure 3: The sequence labeling BERT model for NER.

Entity Type	Addr.	Hos.	Dis.	Date.	Exam.
F1-Score (%)	78.2	78.3	83.1	85.1	85.4

Table 1: Test performance of the NER/EL model on five entity types.

BERT-based NER model (Devlin et al., 2019).

We first pre-annotate the keyword type in the utterance as Addr, Hos, Dis, Date, Exam or Other (non-named entity) and follow the official tutorial in NER<sup>3</sup>. The visual representation of BERT-based NER model is shown in Figure 3. For fine-tuning, we feed the final hidden representation for each token into a classification layer over the NER label set, and the predictions are non-autoregressive and no CRF (Devlin et al., 2019). After the NER process, we get the raw entities extracted from the utterances. As the word accuracy of ASR is about 85%, some of the derived entities may be misrecognized (correct entity type but with a wrong form). In order to alleviate the negative effect of the ASR module, we use the EL model (Le and Titov, 2018) to recognize and disambiguate the raw entities to the insurance KB that contains the normalized address, hospital and disease information for getting all possible keywords.

To measure the NER/EL model’s performance separately, we take the F1-Score as the evaluation metric. The experimental results on the human-annotated testing set are shown in Table 1. The results show that the NER/EL models achieve good performance on all five entity types as the average F1-score has reached 82%.

## 2.3 Keyword Filtering

During the insurance assessment procedure, the assessor always asks the claimant many detailed questions. As a result, a part of entities extracted

by the previous keyword extraction process may come from the assessor’s question text and actually do not need to be displayed or suggested if the claimant’s following response has negative semantics. To filter the irrelevant keywords extracted by the previous process and increase the accuracy of keyword display and report filling, we design a DST method for keyword filtration. Like the application in the task-oriented dialogue system, the DST method tracks the keyword’s state (addition or deletion) and contains two major modules: question identification and negation identification. The assessor’s conversion text is first fed to the question identification module. Suppose the text is identified to be a question. In that case, the extracted keyword from it will be reserved temporarily and only displayed (or recommended) once they are confirmed by the claimant (decided by the negation identification module).

### 2.3.1 Question Identification

Question identification determines whether the current utterance belongs to part of the insurance assessor’s inquiry. We convert question identification as the binary classification task and utilize the text classification model to solve it.

We utilize the LSTM (Hochreiter and Schmidhuber, 1997), one of the most popular text classification models, as the base model. In this case, the input  $x = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_T\}$  is the text transformed by the ASR module where  $x_t$  is the word after segmentation. The output is a label  $y$  indicating whether the text is a question or not.

Based on the observation, the insurance assessment’s topic distribution is diverse, and the accuracy of question identification is highly relevant to the concrete topic (i.e., resident/work address, medication experience, or disease history). Therefore, training a single model for covering all the topics is actually not the best solution in our scenario, and it is necessary to train different identification models for different topics. However, the total amount of manually labeled data is not large enough to train multiple classifiers for every topic. To alleviate this issue, we adopt the adversarial multi-task training method (Liu et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2020). Compared with the multi-task training method, the adversarial-based training method improves the question identification model’s performance for general purposes and a particular topic with only a few labeled data.

Experimental results on different training meth-

<sup>3</sup><https://github.com/google-research/bert>




Figure 4: An example of IIAS workflow. In this example, the real-time conversation text is segmented into two topics, and keywords extracted from the utterances are first filtered, and then displayed on the system dashboard, and finally suggested when the assessor fills in the insurance report.

Model	Accuracy (%)
Single Model	61.2
Multi-task Model	75.1
Adversarial Multi-task Model	<b>78.4</b>

Table 2: Test Performance on Question Identification.

ods are presented in Table 2. The results show that the adversarial multi-task model achieves the best accuracy among three compared models, which indicates that the adversarial learning method is more appropriate to the insurance scenario that the topic distribution of question is unbalanced.

### 2.3.2 Negation Identification

After the question identification module, the following claimant’s utterance is feed to the negation identification module. The keywords extracted from the question are only displayed on the system dashboard if the claimant confirms. Here we use the BERT-based binary-classification model (Devlin et al., 2019) for negation identification. The input is the current claimant utterance with the previous two rounds of utterances from the assessor and claimant (we use [SEP] to separate them). The output is the label indicating whether the current utterance has negative semantics or not (the final layer is a two-class softmax layer). From the performance on the human-annotated testing set, the negation identification module’s accuracy is 92%.

The ablation study on the DST method’s contribution (question and negation identification modules) is present in the experiment section.

## 2.4 Applications

Based on the previous three steps, the system gets filtered keywords extracted from the real-time conversation text, and these keywords are classified into different topics simultaneously.

To help the assessor better do their job, we identify two types of generic applications for derived keywords: keyword display and report filling. The keyword display aims at displaying information extracted from the real-time conversation text on the system dashboard. Furthermore, the report filling aims at recommending related contents when the assessor fills in the specific part of the insurance report. For ensuring a good user experience, we sort extracted keywords by the reversed order of utterances in the dialogue and limit the maximal number of recommended contents as 5. We show an example to illustrate the IIAS workflow in Figure 4. The figure shows how IIAS extracts the information from the real-time conversation text and uses them for the downstream applications.

For the insurance assessor, keyword display and report filling significantly alleviate the workload of remembering all the claimant information during the inquiry procedure. To further evaluate IIAS’s overall contribution to the assessor’s work, we conduct different experiments in the experiment section.

## 3 Experiments

### 3.1 Insurance Assessment Dataset

We manually construct an insurance assessment dataset from the real-world environment and use it for evaluating the performance of IIAS. The dataset consists of 200 online cases, and each case contains two parts: a multi-turn dialogue and a corresponding insurance report that the assessor needs to fin-

Items	Train	Test
Num of dialogs	5000	200
Average Rounds Per Dialog	497.6	501.2
Average Keywords Per Dialog	25.3	27.9

Table 3: Statistics of Insurance Assessment Dataset.

ish. To ensure the high quality of the dataset, we employ five real insurance assessors to fill in the insurance report by selecting a string fragment of the utterance from the given multi-turn dialogue. Here we screen out forms in the insurance report that cannot be filled based on the given multi-turn dialogue. Table 3 shows the detailed statistics of the insurance assessment dataset.

In order to protect data privacy, the usage of the claimant’s private data must be authorized. We have obtained explicit permissions from the claimant to use their personal private data before the insurance assessment. If a claimant disapproves of the authorization, we will not use her/his data. For the authorized user privacy data, we have a series of strict processes to ensure the data remain confidential.

## 3.2 Experimental Setup

### 3.2.1 Method in Comparison

As far as we know, there is no similar research work in the insurance industry. To examine the effectiveness of IIAS, we compare it with the retrieval-based system on the report filling performance. Different from IIAS that is mainly based on the real-time ASR and speaker recognition, the retrieval system suggests retrieved results from the pre-constructed KB based on the conversation text. For example, the system will recommend *top-k* relevant disease nouns in the KB when the assessors fill in the form about what disease the claimant is diagnosed with. To be aligned with IIAS, we limit the retrieved number *k* in the retrieval system as 5.

The major limitations of the retrieval system are that the content that needs to be filled may only exist in the conversation text but not appear in the pre-constructed KB.

### 3.2.2 Evaluation Metrics

The evaluation process is divided into offline and online parts.

**Offline Evaluation:** We choose the recall as the metric to evaluate the system performance of report filling. The recall measures the ratio of sug-

gested correct content to all ground-truth content that needs to be filled.

**Online Evaluation:** We choose the time-saving and efficiency-improving as metrics because our work’s primary purpose is to alleviate assessors’ workload and reduce the insurance assessment procedure’s time cost.

## 3.3 Evaluation Results and Discussions

The experimental results<sup>4</sup> on the report filling performance between IIAS and the retrieval system are shown in Table 4 and Table 5.

We summarize our observations as follows:

(1) Our IIAS is generally better than the retrieval system on the record filling’s performance for most topics. This shows that using the method based on speaker recognition is more effective with less dependence on the pre-constructed KB.

(2) For entities of `Addr` type, the retrieval system achieves comparative performance than IIAS. This indicates that the address entities are relatively fixed and easy to be included in the pre-constructed KB. For this type of entity, the retrieval system is more appropriate.

(3) For entities of `Exam` and `Dis` types, our system achieves much better performance than the retrieval system. This shows that for those entity types that are diverse and hard to be included by the pre-constructed KB, using the NER/EL methods to extract keywords from the utterances is more appropriate.

## 3.4 Ablation Study

We perform the ablation study to validate the contribution of the DST method (question and negation identification). Since the DST method filters out irrelevant keywords extracted by the NER/EL modules, we choose the *hospital* and *disease* types that frequently appear in the assessor’s utterances for the experiment.

The experimental results are presented in Table 6. Here we evaluate the precision of the keyword extraction. The results show that with the addition of the DST method, the keyword extraction’s precision is significantly promoted. This indicates that the DST method effectively filters the error keywords, which is beneficial for improving system performance.

<sup>4</sup>To make a fair comparison, we do not compare the recall ratio on the `Date` type because the value of `Date` cannot be included by the pre-constructed KB in the retrieval system.

Topic	Resident Information		Work Record		Diagnostic Record		
Entity Type	Addr.	Date.	Addr.	Date.	Date.	Hos.	Exam.
Retrieval System	85.6	-	84.2	-	-	67.9	65.7
IIAS	83.5	43.3	<b>85.7</b>	55.4	52.1	<b>74.0</b>	<b>68.8</b>

Table 4: The Recall@5(%) of report filling on different topics (resident information, work record and diagnostic record).

Topic	Disease History				Medical Insurance		Commercial Insurance
Entity Type	Date.	Hos.	Exam.	Dis.	Addr.	Date.	Date.
Retrieval System	-	68.3	71.5	25.7	92.3	-	-
IIAS	57.9	<b>74.8</b>	<b>76.9</b>	<b>28.8</b>	91.8	54.9	46.7

Table 5: The Recall@5(%) of report filling on different topics (disease history, medical insurance and commercial insurance).

Entity Type	Hospital	Disease
w/o DST	71.79	39.20
Complete System	<b>77.31</b>	<b>53.24</b>

Table 6: Evaluation results of system ablation on the Precision(%) of keyword extraction for Dis and Hos types.

### 3.5 Online Performance

From the statistical result for three months after the system deployment, the average time cost of the insurance assessment procedure is reduced from 55 minutes to 35 minutes, and the overall human resources cost is saved 30% compared with previous offline insurance assessment.

Moreover, with the assistance of our IIAS, the insurance company provides more job opportunities for junior assessors. This indicates that IIAS has effectively lowered the bar of insurance assessment jobs.

## 4 Deployment Details

Our proposed approach consists of different NLP components that are trained individually. We deploy them on two GPUs (Tesla P100 16G) and provide a unified HTTP interface for the back-end system.

To support multiple users, the computation cost needs to be well distributed across different GPUs. For each GPU, we set up two independent processes. A load-balancing module is then employed to distribute the users' requests to different processes based on the working loads. Based on the stress test, the online service's average response

time is less than 150ms and can support a peak QPS of 35.

## 5 Discussions on Technique Limitations

In this section, we discuss the limitations of techniques in IIAS. Based on the observation of system results and feedback from the insurance assessors, the limitations are from three parts:

(1) **ASR Results:** The performance of two applications (keywords display and report filling) heavily relies on the ASR module, but the ASR errors are the major problem of our system. The ASR error may result in correct entity type but with a wrong form, which indicates that optimizing the ASR module should be a top priority for future research.

(2) **Reasoning Problem:** The current system cannot solve the problem that requires complex reasoning, such as date recognition (e.g., last Sunday or three days ago.). However, many date recognitions during the insurance assessment procedure require reasoning. As a result, we plan to include reasoning ability into the system in the future.

(3) **Accumulative Errors:** The current system is based on a multi-model approach where different NLP components such as topic detection, NER/EL, and question/negation identifications are trained individually and combined. This type of pipeline approach is easy to lead to accumulative errors. We have tried to replace the current approach with the end-to-end summarization method based on text-generation. However, text-generation models always require many training data and their predictions are sometimes uncontrollable (the inference time is also slow if we use the pre-trained model

like GPT-2 (Radford et al., 2019)). Although now the end-to-end method cannot be applied in the real-world setting (especially in our insurance scenario that requires controllability), it has some advantages and is still part of our plan.

For these limitations, we are working on a new solution to improve the current system.

## 6 Related Work

With the advances in NLP, agent-assist systems have been used in various domains, including technical support, reservation systems, and banking applications (Fadnis et al., 2020). In this section, we briefly introduce some related technologies used in IIAS.

### 6.1 Sentence Similarity Learning

Sentence similarity learning is a fundamental and important NLP task which may be greatly enhanced by modeling the underlying semantic representations of compared sentences. In particular, a model should not be susceptible to variations of wording or syntax used to express the same idea. Moreover, a good model should also have the capacity to learn sentence similarity regardless of the length of the text and also needs to be efficient when applied to real-world applications (Chen et al., 2017; Peng et al., 2020).

In the scene of insurance assessment, both efficiency and accuracy are of equal importance. Therefore we use the recent proposed Enhanced-RCNN model (Peng et al., 2020) that achieves good performance on Chinese paraphrase identification datasets for learning sentence similarity.

### 6.2 Named Entity Recognition and Linking

Named entity recognition is the NLP task of tagging entities in the text with the corresponding type and recent large-scale language model pretraining methods such as ELMo (Peters et al., 2018), and BERT (Devlin et al., 2019) further enhanced the performance of NER, yielding state-of-the-art performances (Sutton et al., 2007; Li et al., 2019).

Entity linking is the task of recognizing and disambiguating named entities to a knowledge base (Hoffart et al., 2011; Le and Titov, 2018). EL can be split into two classes of approaches:

- **End-to-End:** processing a piece of text to extract the entities and then disambiguate these extracted entities to the correct entry in a given knowledge base.

- **Disambiguation-Only:** directly takes gold standard named entities as input and only disambiguates them to the correct entry in a given knowledge base.

### 6.3 Dialogue State Tracking

Dialogue state tracking is an important component in task-oriented dialogue systems to identify users' goals and requests as a dialogue proceeds (Zhu et al., 2019). The traditional DST system assumes that each slot's candidate values are within a limit number. However, this assumption does not apply to slots with an unlimited number of values in advance. It is more difficult for zero-shot domains like the scene of insurance assessment to predefine the slot values in advance (Ma et al., 2019; Ouyang et al., 2020).

Some recent researches are on converting fixed slot values into the substring of the dialogue context (Xu and Hu, 2018; Zhang et al., 2020a; Gao et al., 2019). In this way, many researchers have proposed many neural networks to complete DST tasks in a reasonable way (Perez and Liu, 2017; Zhang et al., 2020b). Inspired by the recent progress, we also use the approach that treats the dialogue context as the source of slot values.

## 7 Conclusions and Future Work

In this paper, we presented a dialogue-based information extraction system that helps the insurance assessor better perform their job. The system combines different NLP technologies like sentence similarity learning, NER/EL, and DST methods in a novel way. While there has been considerable work done for each of the independent modules/models, this systematic way of combining them enables the platform to quickly deliver what may be of significant value to the insurance industry.

In the future, we would like to try to replace the current pipeline method with the end2end method based on text-generation when the accumulated annotated data is enough.

## 8 Ethical Considerations

Below we present the ethical considerations in terms of data authorization, privacy, and trust in real-world deployments.

- We have obtained explicit permissions from the claimant to use their personal private information data, including text and audio pro-

vided in the insurance assessment procedure for improving the system service.

- The personal private information from the claimant is never used or stored for the commercial purpose.

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Gan Li, Jianzeng Liang, Chaojin Zhou, Weiwei Fan, Li Qiao, and other members of Ant Group for helpful discussions and comments. We would also like to thank reviewers for their valuable comments.

## References

- Qian Chen, Xiaodan Zhu, Zhen-Hua Ling, Si Wei, Hui Jiang, and Diana Inkpen. 2017. [Enhanced LSTM for natural language inference](#). In *Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 1657–1668, Vancouver, Canada. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yiming Cui, Wanxiang Che, Ting Liu, Bing Qin, Ziqing Yang, Shijin Wang, and Guoping Hu. 2019. Pre-training with whole word masking for chinese bert. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.08101*.
- Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2019. [BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding](#). In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers)*, pages 4171–4186, Minneapolis, Minnesota. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Kshitij Fadnis, Nathaniel Mills, Jatin Ganhotra, Haggai Roitman, Gaurav Pandey, Doron Cohen, Yosi Mass, Shai Erera, Chulaka Gunasekara, Danish Contractor, Siva Patel, Q. Vera Liao, Sachindra Joshi, Luis Lastras, and David Konopnicki. 2020. [Agent assist through conversation analysis](#). In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing: System Demonstrations*, pages 151–157, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Shuyang Gao, Abhishek Sethi, Sanchit Agarwal, Tagyoung Chung, and Dilek Hakkani-Tur. 2019. [Dialogue state tracking: A neural reading comprehension approach](#). In *Proceedings of the 20th Annual SIGdial Meeting on Discourse and Dialogue*, pages 264–273, Stockholm, Sweden. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Sepp Hochreiter and Jürgen Schmidhuber. 1997. Long short-term memory. *Neural computation*, 9(8):1735–1780.
- Johannes Hoffart, Mohamed Amir Yosef, Ilaria Bordino, Hagen Fürstenauf, Manfred Pinkal, Marc Spaniol, Bilyana Taneva, Stefan Thater, and Gerhard Weikum. 2011. [Robust disambiguation of named entities in text](#). In *Proceedings of the 2011 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 782–792, Edinburgh, Scotland, UK. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Phong Le and Ivan Titov. 2018. [Improving entity linking by modeling latent relations between mentions](#). In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 1595–1604, Melbourne, Australia. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Xiaoya Li, Jingrong Feng, Yuxian Meng, Qinghong Han, Fei Wu, and Jiwei Li. 2019. A unified mrc framework for named entity recognition. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.11476*.
- Pengfei Liu, Xipeng Qiu, and Xuanjing Huang. 2017. [Adversarial multi-task learning for text classification](#). In *Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 1–10, Vancouver, Canada. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yue Ma, Zengfeng Zeng, Dawei Zhu, Xuan Li, Yiyang Yang, Xiaoyuan Yao, Kaijie Zhou, and Jianping Shen. 2019. An end-to-end dialogue state tracking system with machine reading comprehension and wide & deep classification. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.09297*.
- Anirudh Mani, Shruti Palaskar, Nimshi Venkat Meripo, Sandeep Konam, and Florian Metze. 2020. Asr error correction and domain adaptation using machine translation. In *ICASSP 2020-2020 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 6344–6348. IEEE.
- Leland McInnes, John Healy, and Steve Astels. 2017. hdbscan: Hierarchical density based clustering. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 2(11):205.
- Niko Moritz, Takaaki Hori, and Jonathan Le. 2020. Streaming automatic speech recognition with the transformer model. In *ICASSP 2020-2020 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 6074–6078. IEEE.
- Yawen Ouyang, Moxin Chen, Xinyu Dai, Yinggong Zhao, Shujian Huang, and Jiajun Chen. 2020. [Dialogue state tracking with explicit slot connection modeling](#). In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 34–40, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Shuang Peng, Hengbin Cui, Niantao Xie, Sujian Li, Jiaying Zhang, and Xiaolong Li. 2020. Enhanced-rnn: An efficient method for learning sentence similarity. In *Proceedings of The Web Conference 2020*, pages 2500–2506.

- Julien Perez and Fei Liu. 2017. [Dialog state tracking, a machine reading approach using memory network](#). In *Proceedings of the 15th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Volume 1, Long Papers*, pages 305–314, Valencia, Spain. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Matthew Peters, Mark Neumann, Mohit Iyyer, Matt Gardner, Christopher Clark, Kenton Lee, and Luke Zettlemoyer. 2018. [Deep contextualized word representations](#). In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long Papers)*, pages 2227–2237, New Orleans, Louisiana. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Alec Radford, Jeff Wu, Rewon Child, David Luan, Dario Amodei, and Ilya Sutskever. 2019. Language models are unsupervised multitask learners.
- Charles Sutton, Andrew McCallum, and Khashayar Rohanimanesh. 2007. Dynamic conditional random fields: Factorized probabilistic models for labeling and segmenting sequence data. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 8(Mar):693–723.
- Puyang Xu and Qi Hu. 2018. [An end-to-end approach for handling unknown slot values in dialogue state tracking](#). In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 1448–1457, Melbourne, Australia. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Minghui Yang, Hengbin Cui, Shaosheng Cao, Yafang Wang, and Xiaolong Li. 2020. Servicegroup: A human-machine cooperation solution for group chat customer service. In *Proceedings of the 43rd International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, pages 2189–2192.
- Jianguo Zhang, Kazuma Hashimoto, Chien-Sheng Wu, Yao Wang, Philip Yu, Richard Socher, and Caiming Xiong. 2020a. [Find or classify? dual strategy for slot-value predictions on multi-domain dialog state tracking](#). In *Proceedings of the Ninth Joint Conference on Lexical and Computational Semantics*, pages 154–167, Barcelona, Spain (Online). Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Zheng Zhang, Ryuichi Takanobu, Qi Zhu, MinLie Huang, and XiaoYan Zhu. 2020b. Recent advances and challenges in task-oriented dialog systems. *Science China Technological Sciences*, pages 1–17.
- Chenguang Zhu, Michael Zeng, and Xuedong Huang. 2019. [SIM: A slot-independent neural model for dialogue state tracking](#). In *Proceedings of the 20th Annual SIGdial Meeting on Discourse and Dialogue*, pages 40–45, Stockholm, Sweden. Association for Computational Linguistics.