

## GROWTH IN LINEAR GROUPS

SEAN EBERHARD, BRENDAN MURPHY, LÁSZLÓ PYBER, AND ENDRE SZABÓ

ABSTRACT. We prove a conjecture of Helfgott and Lindenstrauss on the structure of sets of bounded tripling in bounded rank, which states the following. Let  $A$  be a finite symmetric subset of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})$  for any field  $\mathbf{F}$  such that  $|A^3| \leq K|A|$ . Then there are subgroups  $H \triangleleft \Gamma \leq \langle A \rangle$  such that  $A$  is covered by  $K^{O_n(1)}$  cosets of  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Gamma/H$  is nilpotent of step at most  $n-1$ , and  $H$  is contained in  $A^{O_n(1)}$ .

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Toolbox	4
3. Virtually soluble linear groups	7
4. Reduction to soluble-by-Lie*	10
5. The soluble radical of the perfect core	12
6. Trigonalization and removing small roots	15
7. Pivoting	17
8. Growth of bilinear images	18
9. The no-small-roots case	20
References	22

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we aim to characterize sets of bounded tripling in  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})$ , where  $n$  is bounded and  $\mathbf{F}$  is an arbitrary field. To be precise, a finite set  $A \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})$  is said to be  $K$ -tripling if  $|A^3| \leq K|A|$ . This notion is largely the same as that of a finite  $K$ -approximate group, which is a symmetric set  $A$  such that  $A^2$  is covered by at most  $K$  translates of  $A$ . Prototypical examples include subgroups and progressions  $\{g^n : |n| \leq N\}$ , as well as certain nilpotent generalizations of progressions called nilprogressions, such as the following standard example.

---

SE has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. 803711). BM was funded by The Leverhulme Trust through Leverhulme grant RPG 2017-371. LP was supported by the National Research, Development and Innovation Office (NKFIH) Grant K115799, ESz was supported by the NKFIH Grants K115799 and K120697. The project leading to this application has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No 741420).

**Example 1.1** (the Heisenberg nilprogression). Let

$$A = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : |x|, |y| \leq N, |z| \leq N^2 \right\} \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbf{R}).$$

Then  $|A^3| \leq 100|A|$ .

Essentially, the most general approximate group is an extension of a subgroup by a nilprogression: this is the content of the following general structure theorem for approximate groups proved by Breuillard, Green, and Tao [BGT2].

**Theorem 1.2** (Breuillard, Green, Tao [BGT2]). *Let  $A$  be a  $K$ -approximate subgroup of some group  $G$ . Then there are subgroups  $H \trianglelefteq \Gamma \leq G$  with the following properties:*

- (1)  $A$  is covered by  $O_K(1)$  cosets of  $\Gamma$ ,
- (2)  $\Gamma/H$  is nilpotent of rank and step at most  $O_K(1)$ ,
- (3)  $H$  is contained in  $A^4$ .

The method of proof is based on nonstandard analysis as well as the solution to Hilbert's fifth problem, and there seems to be no way to deduce an explicit bound for the number of cosets of  $\Gamma$  required to cover  $A$  (the bounds on the rank and step of  $\Gamma/H$  are explicit and benign: see [BGT2, Remark 1.9]). Moreover, in [BT,E] the following example is given which shows that this bound must be at least  $K^{c \log \log K}$  in general, i.e., not polynomial.

**Example 1.3.** Let  $G = \mathbf{Z}^n \rtimes S_n$  and let  $A = [-r, r]^n S_n$  for any  $r > n!$ . It can be shown that  $A$  is a  $2^n$ -approximate group and not covered by fewer than  $n!$  cosets of any finite-by-nilpotent subgroup. Moreover  $A$  is not covered by fewer than  $n!/24^{n/3}$  cosets of any finite-by-soluble subgroup.

However in bounded rank things are different. In this paper we prove a version of the above theorem in bounded rank with polynomial bounds. A result of this form was originally predicted by Helfgott [H1, T] and Lindenstrauss [personal communication]; it was later formulated precisely as a conjecture in [GH] as well as [H2].

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $\mathbf{F}$  be an arbitrary field. Let  $A \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})$  be a finite symmetric subset such that  $|A^3| \leq K|A|$ . Then there are subgroups  $H \trianglelefteq \Gamma \leq \langle A \rangle$  such that*

- (1)  $A$  is covered by  $K^{O_n(1)}$  cosets of  $\Gamma$ ,
- (2)  $\Gamma/H$  is nilpotent of step at most  $n - 1$ ,
- (3)  $H$  is contained in  $A^{O_n(1)}$ .

Note that without loss of generality  $H$  can be taken to be  $\gamma_n(\Gamma)$ , where  $(\gamma_m(G))_{m \geq 1}$  is the lower central series of  $\Gamma$ .

In characteristic zero, Theorem 1.4 was first established by Breuillard, Green, and Tao [BGT1]. The case of finite prime fields was established by Gill and Helfgott (jointly with the third and fourth authors) in [GH]. In this paper we consider all fields uniformly, mostly by reducing to the finite case.

In the prime finite field case, Gill and Helfgott additionally proved that  $\Gamma$  can be taken to be normal in  $\langle A \rangle$ . We do not know whether this is true in general, though it is true if we only demand that  $\Gamma/H$  be soluble. This result is established in the course of the proof of Theorem 1.4, and was previously announced in [PS2].

**Theorem 1.5.** *In the situation of Theorem 1.4 there are subgroups  $P \trianglelefteq \Gamma$ , both normal in  $\langle A \rangle$ , such that*

- (1)  $A$  is covered by  $K^{O_n(1)}$  cosets of  $\Gamma$ ,
- (2)  $\Gamma/P$  is soluble of derived length  $O(\log n)$ ,
- (3)  $P$  is perfect and contained in a translate of  $A^3$ .

This result extends (and depends on) the Product Theorem for finite simple groups, obtained independently by Breuillard, Green, Tao [BGT1] and Pyber, Szabó [PS3].

**Theorem 1.6** ([PS3, Theorem 2], see also [BGT1, Corollary 2.4]). *Let  $L$  be a finite simple group of Lie type of rank  $r$  and  $A$  a generating set of  $L$ . Then either*

- (1)  $|A^3| > |A|^{1+\varepsilon}$ , where  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(r)$  depends only on  $r$ , or
- (2)  $A^3 = L$ .

**1.1. Roadmap.** The structure of the paper is as follows.

Section 2 recalls some tools that may be familiar to experts: basic group theory and arithmetic combinatorics (or nonabelian additive combinatorics), quasi-randomness, and the action of  $p'$ -groups on  $p$ -groups.

Section 3 contains structural results for virtually soluble linear groups. A result of Mal'cev states that soluble linear groups of rank  $n$  have a trigonal subgroup of index  $O_n(1)$ ; hence virtually soluble linear groups of rank  $n$  are virtually trigonalizable, but there is no bound on the index of the trigonal subgroup. Using results of Platonov and Weisfeiler (or Larsen and Pink), we show that a virtually soluble linear group of rank  $n$  and characteristic  $p$  contains a subgroup of index  $O_n(1)$  that is soluble-by-Lie $^*(p)$  (that is, an extension of a direct product of finite simple groups of Lie type in characteristic  $p$  by a soluble group). A few other facts about soluble-by-Lie $^*(p)$  linear groups are also proved.

Section 4 contains a result, Theorem 4.1, from a preprint of the last two authors, which is a preliminary version of Theorem 1.5. This result states that if  $A \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})$  has small tripling, then  $A$  is covered by polynomially many cosets of a soluble-by-Lie $^*(p)$  group  $\Gamma$  (where  $p = \mathrm{char} \mathbf{F}$ ),  $A^6$  covers the Lie $^*(p)$  quotient  $\Gamma/\mathrm{Sol}(\Gamma)$  in the sense that  $\langle A \rangle \cap \Gamma$  projects surjectively onto  $\Gamma/\mathrm{Sol}(\Gamma)$ , and this Lie $^*(p)$  quotient is quasi-random.

Section 5 contains the proof of Theorem 1.5. Given a set of small tripling  $A$ , the results of the previous section produce a subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $\langle A \rangle$  so that  $\Gamma$  modulo a soluble normal subgroup is covered by a small power of  $A$ . We wish to produce a (perfect) normal subgroup  $P \trianglelefteq \Gamma$  so that  $P$  is covered by a small power of  $A$ , and  $\Gamma/P$  is soluble. In a sense, we must move the perfect group from on top of the soluble group to below it. Our candidate for  $P$  is the *perfect core* of  $\Gamma$ ; that is,  $P$  is the last term of the derived series of  $\Gamma$ . The quotient  $\Gamma/P$  is clearly soluble, so it remains to generate  $P$ . We show that  $P/\mathrm{Sol}(P)$  is quasi-random, and that  $\mathrm{Sol}(P)$  has a nilpotent subgroup  $N$  of index  $O_n(1)$ . This reduces the proof of Theorem 1.5 to that of Proposition 5.3, which uses the quasi-randomness of  $P/\mathrm{Sol}(P)$  and its action on  $N$  to quickly generate  $P$ .

Theorem 1.5 reduces the study of subsets  $A$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})$  of small tripling to those where  $\langle A \rangle$  is soluble. Thus to prove Theorem 1.4, it remains to study sets of small tripling that generate soluble groups.

Section 6 reduces the problem to studying trigonal groups, so without loss of generality, we may assume that  $A$  is contained in the (Borel) subgroup of upper triangular matrices in  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})$ .

The next two sections contain “growth” results, which are the main tools we need to prove the trigonal case. Section 7 contains a result of Gill and Helfgott concerning the action of an abelian group on another group; this can be seen as an extremely general version of a “sum-product theorem”. Section 8 contains a specialised result on the growth of an image of a bilinear form in an abelian group.

Section 9 contains the heart of the proof of Theorem 1.4. First, we reduce to a situation that we call *no-small-roots*, which allows us to use the “growth” results of the preceding sections effectively. In this situation, we seek a subgroup  $H$  of  $\langle A \rangle$  so that  $\langle A \rangle/H$  is nilpotent and  $H \subseteq A^{O_n(1)}$ . We choose  $H$  to be the last term of the lower central series of  $\langle A \rangle$ , which is the smallest choice so that  $\langle A \rangle/H$  is nilpotent. To cover  $H$  by a power of  $A$ , we induct on the lower central series of  $H$ . The base case of the induction is the most technical part of the proof, and requires a second induction.

**1.2. Acknowledgments.** BM would like to thank Harald Helfgott for introducing him to this problem, as well as Vlad Finkelstein, Jonathan Pakianathan, Lam Pham, Misha Rudnev, Matthew Tointon, and James Wheeler for helpful conversations.

## 2. TOOLBOX

**2.1. Notation.** The commutator  $[x, y]$  is defined as  $x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$ . Iterated commutators are defined by  $[x, \dots, y, z] = [[x, \dots, y], z]$ . If  $H, K$  are subgroups then  $[H, K] = \langle [h, k] : h \in H, k \in K \rangle$ , and similarly for iterated commutators. The subgroup  $[H, K]$  is a normal subgroup of  $\langle H, K \rangle$  ([A, (8.5.6)]). If  $H$  and  $K$  are groups and  $H$  acts on  $K$  then we may always consider  $H$  and  $K$  as subgroups of their semidirect product  $K \rtimes H$ . The commutator  $[H, K] \leq K$  and centralizers  $C_H(K)$  and  $C_K(H)$  are defined accordingly. The lower central series of a group  $G$  is denoted  $(\gamma_n(G))_{n \geq 1}$ . The intersection  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} \gamma_n(G)$  is denoted  $\gamma_\omega(G)$ . The derived series is denoted  $(G^{(n)})_{n \geq 0}$ .

A finite group is a *p-group* if its order is a power of  $p$ ; it is a *p'-group* if its order is prime to  $p$ . The largest normal  $p$ -subgroup is denoted  $O_p(G)$  and called the *p-core*. The largest normal soluble subgroup is denoted  $\mathrm{Sol}(G)$  and called the *soluble radical*.

If  $G$  is a group,  $\mathrm{Frat}(G)$  denotes the Frattini subgroup. If  $G$  is finite,  $\mathrm{Frat}(G)$  is nilpotent, by the Frattini argument. If  $G$  is a  $p$ -group, the Burnside basis theorem asserts that  $\mathrm{Frat}(G)$  is the smallest normal subgroup such that  $G/\mathrm{Frat}(G)$  is elementary abelian (see [A, (23.2)]).

A *section* of a group  $\Gamma$  is a quotient  $\Sigma = G/N$  where  $N \trianglelefteq G$  are subgroups of  $\Gamma$ . If  $A \subseteq \Gamma$ , the *trace* of  $A$  in  $\Sigma$ , denoted  $\mathrm{tr}(A, \Sigma)$ , is the projection of  $A \cap G$  to  $\Sigma$ . We say  $A$  *covers*  $\Sigma$  if  $\mathrm{tr}(A, \Sigma) = \Sigma$ , or equivalently if  $G \subseteq AN$ .

Throughout  $\mathbf{F}$  denotes a field, and we will reduce to the finite case as much as possible. The (Borel) subgroup of elements represented by an upper-triangular matrix in the standard basis is denoted  $B_n(\mathbf{F})$ . The subgroup of diagonal matrices is denoted  $T_n(\mathbf{F})$ , while the subgroup of unitriangular matrices is denoted  $U_n(\mathbf{F})$ . Note that  $B_n(\mathbf{F}) = U_n(\mathbf{F})T_n(\mathbf{F}) \cong U_n(\mathbf{F}) \rtimes T_n(\mathbf{F})$ . There is a projection map  $\pi : B_n(\mathbf{F}) \rightarrow T_n(\mathbf{F})$  such that  $\ker \pi = U_n(\mathbf{F})$ . Various other groups of the form

$G = U \rtimes T$  will arise and in all cases we denote the projection map  $G \rightarrow T$  such that  $\ker \pi = U$  by  $\pi$ . Maps such as  $\pi$  are denoted interchangeably on the left or exponentially, so  $\pi(A) = A^\pi$ .

**2.2. Basic arithmetic combinatorics.** We review some basic theory of arithmetic combinatorics and in particular sets of small tripling. Most of what we need is in [H2, Sections 3 and 4]. Throughout we will work exclusively with sets of small tripling, so we will not review any terminology related to approximate groups.

For  $A, B$  subsets of a group  $G$ , we write  $AB$  for the product set  $\{ab : a \in A, b \in B\}$ . Define  $A^k$  for  $k \geq 0$  to be the set of all products  $a_1 \cdots a_k$  with  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in A$ . We also define  $A^{-1} = \{a^{-1} : a \in A\}$  and  $A^{-k} = (A^{-1})^k$ . We write  $A^{\pm k}$  for  $(A \cup \{1\} \cup A^{-1})^k$ . We call  $A$  *symmetric* if  $A = A^{-1}$ .

**Lemma 2.1** (orbit–stabilizer for sets, see [H2, Lemma 4.1]). *Let  $A, B \subseteq G$  be finite sets and  $H \leq G$  a (not necessarily normal) subgroup. Write  $\pi : G \rightarrow G/H$  be the quotient map.*

- (1)  $|A^\pi| |B \cap H| \leq |AB|$ .
- (2)  $|A| \leq |A^\pi| |A^{-1}A \cap H|$ .

Note that if  $A = B$  is a subgroup then the lemma states  $|A| = |A^\pi| |A \cap H|$ , which is the orbit–stabilizer theorem for the action of  $A$  on  $G/H$ . The lemma implies that there is in general still some relation between the “orbit”  $A^\pi$  and the “stabilizer”  $A \cap H$ .

**Lemma 2.2** (growth in subgroups, quotients, and sections). *Let  $A \subseteq G$  be nonempty, finite, and symmetric.*

- (1) For  $H \leq G$ ,

$$\frac{|A^k \cap H|}{|A^2 \cap H|} \leq \frac{|A^{k+1}|}{|A|}.$$

- (2) For  $N \trianglelefteq G$  and  $\pi : G \rightarrow G/N$  the quotient map,

$$\frac{|(A^\pi)^k|}{|A^\pi|} \leq \frac{|A^{k+2}|}{|A|}.$$

- (3) For  $\Sigma = H/N$  a section of  $G$ ,

$$\frac{|\mathrm{tr}(A^k, \Sigma)|}{|\mathrm{tr}(A^2, \Sigma)|} \leq \frac{|A^{k+3}|}{|A|}.$$

*Proof.* These all follow from Lemma 2.1. See [H2, Section 4] for the first two. The third is similar. Suppose  $N \trianglelefteq H \leq G$  and  $\pi : H \rightarrow H/N$  is the quotient map. By Lemma 2.1(1) applied to  $(A^k \cap H, A^2 \cap H)$  and Lemma 2.1(2) applied to  $A \cap H$ ,

$$\frac{|(A^k \cap H)^\pi|}{|(A \cap H)^\pi|} = \frac{|(A^k \cap H)^\pi| |A^2 \cap N|}{|(A \cap H)^\pi| |A^2 \cap N|} \leq \frac{|(A^k \cap H)(A^2 \cap H)|}{|A \cap H|} \leq \frac{|A^{k+2} \cap H|}{|A \cap H|}.$$

Now applying (1) gives (3).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3** (tripling lemma, see [H2, (3.3)]). *Let  $A \subseteq G$  be finite, nonempty, and symmetric. For  $k \geq 3$ ,*

$$|A^k|/|A| \leq (|A^3|/|A|)^{k-2}.$$

**Lemma 2.4** (covering lemma, essentially [H2, Lemma 4.2]). *Suppose  $A \subseteq G$  is finite and covered by  $k$  left cosets of  $H \leq G$ . Then  $A$  is covered by  $k$  left translates of  $A^{-1}A \cap H$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^k x_i H$ . We may assume  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in A$ . Then  $A \cap x_i H = x_i(x_i^{-1}A \cap H) \subseteq x_i(A^{-1}A \cap H)$ . Hence  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^k x_i(A^{-1}A \cap H)$ .  $\square$

The follow basic and self-evident rule will come up several times.

**Lemma 2.5** (modular law). *Let  $A, B \subseteq G$  and assume  $B \subseteq \Gamma \leq G$ . Then*

$$\Gamma \cap AB = (\Gamma \cap A)B.$$

**Lemma 2.6** (Schreier lemma). *Let  $G$  be a group and  $A \subseteq G$  and  $H \leq G$ . Suppose  $AH = G$ . Then  $\langle A \rangle \cap H = \langle A^{\pm 3} \cap H \rangle$ .*

This lemma is best known in the context of finitely generated groups, as it implies that finite-index subgroups of a finitely generated groups are finitely generated. The elements of  $A^{\pm 3} \cap H$  are sometimes called Schreier generators for  $H$ .

*Proof.* Since  $A^{\pm 1}A \subseteq G = AH$ , it follows that

$$A^{\pm 1}A \subseteq A(A^{\pm 3} \cap H).$$

Hence

$$A^{\pm 1}A \langle A^{\pm 3} \cap H \rangle \subseteq A \langle A^{\pm 3} \cap H \rangle.$$

Hence  $A \langle A^{\pm 3} \cap H \rangle$  is a nonempty subset of  $\langle A \rangle$  closed under multiplication by elements of  $A^{\pm 1}$ , so it must be  $\langle A \rangle$ . Hence, by the modular law,

$$\langle A \rangle \cap H = (A \langle A^{\pm 3} \cap H \rangle) \cap H = (A \cap H) \langle A^{\pm 3} \cap H \rangle = \langle A^{\pm 3} \cap H \rangle. \quad \square$$

**2.3. Quasi-randomness.** Next we need to recall some facts about quasi-randomness. If  $G$  is a finite group let  $\deg_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$  be the minimum degree of a nontrivial complex representation of  $G$ , conventionally  $\infty$  if  $G$  is trivial. A group is colloquially *quasi-random* if  $\deg_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$  is large. Simple groups of Lie type are examples.

**Fact 2.7** (Landazuri–Seitz [LS]). *If  $L$  is a simple group of Lie type of characteristic  $p$  and rank  $\ell$  then  $\deg_{\mathbb{C}}(L) \geq |L|^{c/\ell}$  for a constant  $c > 0$ .*

The relevance of quasi-randomness is indicated by the following well-known result, essentially due to Gowers.

**Proposition 2.8** ([G, NP, BNP]). *Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $m = \deg_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ . If  $A, B, C \subseteq G$  are sets such that*

$$|A||B||C| > |G|^3/m,$$

*then*

$$ABC = G.$$

*In particular if  $|A| > |G|/m^{1/3}$  then  $A^3 = G$ .*

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $A$  be a symmetric subset of a finite group  $G$  such that  $A^k$  contains a coset of  $N \trianglelefteq G$ . If  $|A^3| \leq K|A|$  and  $\deg_{\mathbb{C}}(N) \geq K^{3k}$  then  $A^3$  contains a coset of  $N$ . In particular if  $N = G$  then  $A^3 = G$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume  $k \geq 3$  and  $K > 1$ . Since  $A^k$  contains a coset of  $N$ ,  $|A^{k+1}| \geq |N||A^\pi|$ , where  $\pi : G \rightarrow G/N$  is the projection. Hence there is some  $x \in G$  such that

$$|A \cap xN| \geq |A|/|A^\pi| \geq (|A|/|A^{k+1}|)|N| \geq K^{-k+1}|N|$$

by Lemma 2.3. Let  $B = x^{-1}A \cap N$ , so  $|B| \geq K^{-k+1}|N|$ . Then

$$A^3 \supseteq xBxBxB = xB^{x^2}B^xB$$

and, by Proposition 2.8,  $B^{x^2}B^xB = N$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.10.** *Let  $G$  be a finite perfect group. Then*

$$\deg_{\mathbf{C}}(G) \geq c \deg_{\mathbf{C}}(G/\text{Sol}(G))^{1/2}.$$

*Proof.* Consider a nontrivial complex representation  $G^\pi$  of  $G$  of degree  $d$ . Since  $G$  is perfect,  $G^\pi$  is not soluble. By [NP, Corollary 2.5],  $G^\pi/\text{Sol}(G^\pi)$  embeds into  $\text{Sym}(d_1)$  for some  $d_1 \leq Cd^2$ . Since  $\text{Sol}(G)^\pi \leq \text{Sol}(G^\pi)$ ,  $G/\text{Sol}(G)$  has a nontrivial permutation representation of degree  $d_1$ . Hence  $\deg_{\mathbf{C}}(G/\text{Sol}(G)) \leq Cd^2$ .  $\square$

#### 2.4. Coprime action on $p$ -groups.

**Theorem 2.11** (Schur–Zassenhaus, see [A, (18.1)]). *Let  $G$  be a finite group. Assume  $U \trianglelefteq G$  and  $\gcd(|U|, |G/U|) = 1$ . Assume  $U$  or  $G/U$  is soluble. Then there is a complement  $T$  to  $U$  in  $G$  and all complements are conjugate.*

For the rest of this subsection assume  $U$  is a finite  $p$ -group and  $T$  is a finite  $p'$ -group acting on  $U$ . Let  $G = U \rtimes T$ . The following lemma generalizes a familiar property of vector spaces.

**Lemma 2.12** ([A, (24.4-6)]).  *$U = [U, T]C_U(T)$  and  $[U, T] = [U, T, T]$ . If  $U$  is abelian then  $U = [U, T] \times C_U(T)$ .*

In general the intersection  $[U, T] \cap C_U(T)$  is nontrivial. For example, let

$$G = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & a & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : a \in \mathbf{F}_p^\times, x, y, z \in \mathbf{F}_p \right\} = TU,$$

where  $T = G \cap T_3(\mathbf{F}_p)$  and  $U = U_3(\mathbf{F}_p)$ . Then  $[U, T] = U$  and  $C_U(T) = Z(U) \cong C_p$ . However, the intersection  $[U, T] \cap C_U(T)$  is always contained in the commutator subgroup of  $[U, T]$ .

**Lemma 2.13.** *Let  $H = [U, T]$ . Then  $C_{H/[H, H]}(T) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.12,  $H = [U, T] = [U, T, T] = [H, T]$ . Let  $H^* = H/[H, H]$ . Then it follows that  $H^* = [H^*, T]$ . On the other hand, by Lemma 2.12 applied to  $H^*$ ,  $H^* = [H^*, T] \times C_{H^*}(T)$ . Hence  $C_{H^*}(T) = 1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.14.**  $\gamma_\omega(G) = [U, T]\gamma_\omega(T)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $[U, T] = [U, T, T]$  it follows that  $[U, T] \leq \gamma_n(G)$  for all  $n$ , so  $[U, T] \leq \gamma_\omega(G)$ . Clearly  $\gamma_\omega(T) \leq \gamma_\omega(G)$ . On the other hand  $[U, T]\gamma_\omega(T) \trianglelefteq G$  and

$$G/([U, T]\gamma_\omega(T)) \cong U/[U, T] \times T/\gamma_\omega(T)$$

is nilpotent, so  $[U, T]\gamma_\omega(T) \geq \gamma_\omega(G)$ .  $\square$

### 3. VIRTUALLY SOLUBLE LINEAR GROUPS

In this section we prove some results about virtually soluble linear groups that will be needed in the proof of Theorem 1.5. The following two results will be used to reduce to the finite case.

**Theorem 3.1** (Mal'cev, see [W1, Theorem 4.2]). *Let  $\mathbf{F}$  be a field,  $G \leq \text{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})$  a finitely generated subgroup, and  $g_1, \dots, g_m \in G$  distinct. Then there is a finite field  $\mathbf{K}$  and a homomorphism  $\pi : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbf{K})$  such that  $\pi(g_1), \dots, \pi(g_m)$  are distinct. If  $\text{char } \mathbf{F} > 0$  then  $\text{char } \mathbf{K} = \text{char } \mathbf{F}$ .*

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $G$  be a virtually soluble subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})$ . There is a finite field  $\mathbf{K}$  and a subgroup  $H \leq \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{K})$  such that  $H/\mathrm{Sol}(H) \cong G/\mathrm{Sol}(G)$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume  $\mathbf{F}$  is algebraically closed. Let  $D = \overline{G}$  be the Zariski closure of  $G$  and  $D_0$  the identity component of  $D$ , so  $D = D_0G$ . Then  $D_0 \subseteq \overline{\mathrm{Sol}(G)}$ , so  $D_0$  is soluble by [W1, Theorem 5.11]. By a result of Platonov (see [W1, Lemma 10.10]),  $D = D_0H$  for some finite subgroup  $H \leq D$ , and hence  $G/(G \cap D_0) \cong D/D_0 \cong H/(H \cap D_0)$ . Since  $D_0$  is soluble, it follows that  $G/\mathrm{Sol}(G) \cong H/\mathrm{Sol}(H)$ . By Theorem 3.1,  $H$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{K})$  for some finite field  $\mathbf{K}$ , so we are done.  $\square$

Call a subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})$  *trigonal* if it is contained in  $B_n(\mathbf{F})$ , and *trigonalizable* (over  $\mathbf{F}$ ) if it is conjugate to a subgroup of  $B_n(\mathbf{F})$ . Soluble subgroups of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})$  need not be trigonalizable, but they are always virtually trigonalizable over an extension.

**Theorem 3.3** (Mal'cev, see [W1, Theorem 3.6]). *Let  $\mathbf{F}$  be an algebraically closed field. Every soluble subgroup  $G$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})$  has a trigonalizable normal subgroup of index  $O_n(1)$ .*

It follows immediately that any soluble subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})$  has derived length  $O_n(1)$ , a result originally proved by Zassenhaus. This bound was sharpened by Newman to  $O(\log n)$ : see [W1, Chapter 3]. The following lemma generalizes this bound to virtually soluble subgroups.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a virtually soluble subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})$ .*

- (1) *For any  $m \geq 0$  there is a soluble subgroup  $S \leq \Gamma$  such that  $\Gamma = \Gamma^{(m)}S$ .*
- (2) *There is  $m = O(\log n)$  such that  $\Gamma^{(m)}$  is perfect.*

*Proof.* (1) By Lemma 3.2, we may assume  $\Gamma$  is finite. Let  $P = \Gamma^{(m)}$ . Let  $S$  be a minimal subgroup of  $\Gamma$  such that  $\Gamma = PS$ . If  $M$  is a maximal subgroup of  $S$  then  $PM < PS$  by minimality of  $S$ . Hence  $P(P \cap S)M < PS$ , so  $(P \cap S)M < S$ . By maximality of  $M$  this implies  $(P \cap S)M = M$ , so  $P \cap S \leq M$ . Hence  $P \cap S \leq \mathrm{Frat}(S)$ , so  $P \cap S$  is nilpotent. On the other hand  $S/(P \cap S) \cong PS/P = \Gamma/P$  is soluble. Hence  $S$  is soluble.

(2) For soluble  $\Gamma$  this was proved by Newman, as mentioned. In general let  $m$  be large enough for the soluble case and let  $\Gamma$  be arbitrary. Let  $P = \Gamma^{(m)}$  and  $P' = \Gamma^{(m+1)}$ . By (1), there is soluble  $S \leq \Gamma$  such that  $\Gamma = P'S$ . Then  $P = \Gamma^{(m)} \leq P'S^{(m)} = P'$ , so  $P$  is perfect.  $\square$

Let  $\mathrm{Lie}(p)$  be the class of finite simple groups of Lie type of characteristic  $p$  and  $\mathrm{Lie}^*(p)$  the class of finite direct products of members of  $\mathrm{Lie}(p)$ . We will write  $\mathrm{Lie}^n(p)$  for the class of direct products of at most  $n$  simple groups of Lie type of characteristic  $p$  and of rank at most  $n$ . A *quasisimple group* (of Lie type of characteristic  $p$ ) is a perfect central extension of some finite simple group (of Lie type of characteristic  $p$ ).

**Lemma 3.5.** *Suppose  $\Gamma$  is a soluble-by- $\mathrm{Lie}^*(p)$  subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is soluble-by- $\mathrm{Lie}^n(p)$ . More precisely,  $\Gamma/\mathrm{Sol}(\Gamma)$  has at most  $n/2$  simple factors and each has Lie rank at most  $n - 1$ .*



*Proof.* In the finite case, the bound on the number of factors is proved in [LP2, Corollary 3.3], while the bound on the Lie ranks follows from [FT] and [KL, Proposition 5.2.12]. The general case follows from the finite case by Lemma 3.2.  $\square$

We will use the following deep theorem on the structure of finite linear groups. It was proved by Weisfeiler [W2] using the classification of finite simple groups, and later by Larsen and Pink [LP1] without using the classification.

**Theorem 3.6** (Weisfeiler [W2], Larsen–Pink [LP1]). *Let  $\mathbf{F}$  be a field of characteristic  $p > 0$  and let  $G$  be a finite subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})$ . Then  $G$  has a normal subgroup  $\Gamma$  of index  $O_n(1)$  containing  $O_p(G)$  such that  $\Gamma/O_p(G)$  is a central product of an abelian  $p'$ -group and a set of quasisimple groups of Lie type of characteristic  $p$ .*

The following corollary generalizes a theorem of Platonov: see [W1, Corollary 10.11].

**Corollary 3.7.** *Let  $G$  be a virtually soluble subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})$ . Then  $G$  has a soluble-by-Lie $^*(p)$  normal subgroup  $\Gamma$  of index  $O_n(1)$  containing  $\mathrm{Sol}(G)$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.2 we may assume  $\mathbf{F}$  is finite. The result now follows from Theorem 3.6 for  $p > 0$  and Jordan's theorem for  $p = 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.8.** *Let  $\mathbf{F}$  be a field of characteristic  $p > 0$  and let  $P$  be a finite subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})$  with  $\deg_{\mathbf{C}}(P)$  sufficiently large in terms of  $n$ . Then*

- (a)  $P$  is soluble-by-Lie $^*(p)$ ,
- (b)  $N = [P, \mathrm{Sol}(P)]$  is a  $p$ -subgroup such that  $[P, N] = N$ ,
- (c)  $|\mathrm{Sol}(P)/N| \leq (2n + 1)^n$ .

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 3.6 to  $P$ . Since  $P$  has no proper normal subgroups of index less than  $\deg_{\mathbf{C}}(P)$  and  $\deg_{\mathbf{C}}(P)$  is sufficiently large, it follows that  $P/O_p(P)$  is a central extension of some  $\Gamma \in \mathrm{Lie}^*(p)$ . Hence (a) holds, and  $N = [P, \mathrm{Sol}(P)] \leq O_p(P)$ , so  $N$  is a  $p$ -group. For  $X$  any normal subgroup of a perfect group  $P$  we have  $[X, P, P] = [X, P]$  by the three subgroup lemma (see [A, (8.9)]), so (b) holds.

By Lemma 3.5,  $P$  is soluble-by-Lie $^n(p)$ . Suppose  $P/\mathrm{Sol}(P) = L_1 \times \cdots \times L_t$ , where  $t \leq n$ ,  $L_1, \dots, L_t \in \mathrm{Lie}(p)$ , and the Lie rank of  $L_i$  is at most  $n$  for each  $i$ . Since  $P/N$  is a perfect central extension of  $P/\mathrm{Sol}(P)$ ,

$$|\mathrm{Sol}(P)/N| \leq |M(P/\mathrm{Sol}(P))| = \prod_{i=1}^t |M(L_i)|,$$

where  $M(L)$  denotes the Schur multiplier of  $L$ . By [KL, Theorem 5.14],  $|M(L_i)| \leq 2n + 1$  provided that  $|L_i|$  is larger than some constant, which we may assume since  $\deg_{\mathbf{C}}(P)$  is sufficiently large. Hence (c) holds.  $\square$

**Remark 3.9.** In fact  $N = O_p(P)$ . This follows from the fact that, with finitely many exceptions, if  $\Gamma$  is a finite simple group of Lie type of characteristic  $p$  then  $p \nmid |M(\Gamma)|$  (see [KL, Theorem 5.14]).

The following two lemmas taken together describe the structure of a (possibly infinite) soluble-by-Lie $^*(p)$  linear group.

**Lemma 3.10.** *Let  $S \leq \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})$  be soluble. Then there is a homomorphism  $\varphi : N_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})}(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n'}(\mathbf{F})$ , for some  $n' \leq O_n(1)$ , such that  $\ker \varphi$  is soluble and contains  $S$ .*

*Proof.* First suppose  $S$  is abelian. Consider the centralizers  $X = C_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})}(S)$  and  $Y = C_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})}(X)$ . By [W1, Theorem 6.2] we have homomorphisms

$$\varphi_1 : N_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n^2}(\mathbf{F}), \varphi_2 : N_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})}(Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n^2}(\mathbf{F})$$

such that  $\ker \varphi_1 = X$  and  $\ker \varphi_2 = Y$ . Note that  $X \cap Y = Z(X)$  contains  $S$  and  $N_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})}(S)$  normalizes  $X$  and  $Y$ . Hence the lemma holds with

$$\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2) : N_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})}(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n^2}(\mathbf{F}) \times \mathrm{GL}_{n^2}(\mathbf{F}) \leq \mathrm{GL}_{2n^2}(\mathbf{F}).$$

This proves the lemma in this case.

In general we use induction on the derived length of  $S$ , which is bounded in terms of  $n$  by Theorem 3.3. Let  $S'$  be the commutator subgroup of  $S$ . By induction there is a homomorphism  $\psi : N_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})}(S') \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n'}(\mathbf{F})$  such that  $\ker \psi$  is soluble and contains  $S'$ . The image  $\psi(S)$  of  $S$  is abelian, so by the abelian case there is  $\varphi : N_{\mathrm{GL}_{n'}(\mathbf{F})}(\psi(S)) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n''}(\mathbf{F})$  such that  $\ker \varphi$  is soluble and contains  $\psi(S)$ . Since  $\psi$  maps  $N_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})}(S)$  into  $N_{\mathrm{GL}_{n'}(\mathbf{F})}(\psi(S))$ , the map  $\varphi \circ \psi$  is defined on  $N_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})}(S)$  and meets the requirements.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.11.** *Let  $\Gamma \trianglelefteq G \leq \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})$  and assume  $\Gamma$  is  $\mathrm{Lie}^*(p)$ . Then  $[G : \Gamma C_G(\Gamma)] \leq O_n(1)$ .*

*Proof.* The quotient  $G/C_G(\Gamma)$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$  containing  $\mathrm{Inn}(\Gamma) \cong \Gamma$ , so the socle of  $G/C_G(\Gamma)$  is  $\Gamma$ . On the other hand, by [W1, Theorem 6.2] again,  $G/C_G(\Gamma)$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_{n^2}(\mathbf{F})$ , so, by Theorem 3.6,  $G/C_G(\Gamma)$  has a soluble-by- $\mathrm{Lie}^*(p)$  normal subgroup  $H$  of index  $O_n(1)$ . Since the socle of  $G/C_G(\Gamma)$  is  $\Gamma$  we must have  $\mathrm{Sol}(H) = 1$  and  $H \leq \Gamma$ .  $\square$

#### 4. REDUCTION TO SOLUBLE-BY-LIE\*

The goal of this section is the following theorem, which is a preliminary version of Theorem 1.5.<sup>1</sup>

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\mathbf{F}$  be a field of characteristic  $p \geq 0$ . Let  $A \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})$  be a finite symmetric subset such that  $|A^3| \leq K|A|$ , where  $K \geq 2$ . Let  $d \geq 1$ . Then there is a normal subgroup  $\Gamma \trianglelefteq \langle A \rangle$  such that*

- (1)  $A$  is covered by  $K^{O_n(d)}$  cosets of  $\Gamma$ ,
- (2)  $\Gamma$  is soluble-by- $\mathrm{Lie}^*(p)$ , where  $p = \mathrm{char} \mathbf{F}$ ,
- (3)  $\Gamma/\mathrm{Sol}(\Gamma)$  is covered by  $A^6$ , and
- (4)  $\deg_{\mathbf{C}}(\Gamma/\mathrm{Sol}(\Gamma)) > K^d$ .

The case  $p = 0$  is not excluded in Theorem 4.1. Since there are no finite simple groups of Lie type of characteristic zero, the theorem asserts simply that  $A$  is covered by  $K^{O_n(1)}$  cosets of a soluble normal subgroup  $\Gamma \trianglelefteq \langle A \rangle$ . This will complete the proof of Theorem 1.5 in the characteristic zero case (which was first proved in [BGT1]).

Our starting point is Theorem 1.6 (the Product Theorem), which can be rephrased as follows. Suppose  $L$  is a finite simple group of Lie type of rank  $r$ ,  $\langle A \rangle = L$ , and  $|A^3| \leq K|A|$ . Then either  $|A| \leq K^{O_r(1)}$  or  $A^3 = L$ . In addition we need the following result from [PS3].

<sup>1</sup>This theorem appeared previously on the arxiv as [PS1, Theorem 10], but was omitted from the final version [PS3] because it is more naturally included in the present paper. Hence this section overlaps [PS1, Section 13] substantially.

**Theorem 4.2** ([PS3, Theorem 6]). *Let  $A \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})$  a finite set such that  $|A^3| \leq K|A|$  for some  $K \geq 1$ . Then there is a virtually soluble subgroup  $\Gamma \trianglelefteq \langle A \rangle$  such that  $A$  is covered by  $K^{O_n(1)}$  cosets of  $\Gamma$ .*

**Remark 4.3.** The statement of [PS3, Theorem 6] assumes  $A \subseteq \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{F})$ , but this is not important. The theorem as stated is easily reduced to this case, as follows. We may assume  $\mathbf{F}$  is algebraically closed, so  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F}) = Z\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{F})$ , where  $Z \cong \mathbf{F}^\times$  is the group of diagonal matrices, and  $|Z \cap \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{F})| \leq n$ . If  $A$  is as in the theorem let  $B = AZ \cap \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{F})$ . Then  $|A| \leq |B| \leq n|A|$  and

$$|B^3| \leq |A^3 Z \cap \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{F})| \leq n|A^3| \leq nK|A| \leq nK|B|.$$

By applying [PS3, Theorem 6] to  $B$  we get the result.

Combining Theorem 4.2 and Corollary 3.7, we have that a subset  $A \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})$  of tripling  $K$  is covered by  $K^{O_n(1)}$  cosets of a soluble-by- $\mathrm{Lie}^*(p)$  normal subgroup  $\Gamma \trianglelefteq \langle A \rangle$ . Obtaining such a subgroup  $\Gamma$  for which  $A^6$  covers  $\Gamma/\mathrm{Sol}(\Gamma)$  requires more work. We need two more auxiliary results on  $\mathrm{Lie}^*(p)$  groups.

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a  $\mathrm{Lie}^n(p)$  normal subgroup of a group  $G$ . Let  $A$  be a symmetric subset of  $G$  covered by  $x$  cosets of  $\Gamma$ . If  $|A| \geq |\Gamma|/y$  then  $\Gamma$  has a characteristic subgroup  $\Delta$  contained in  $A^6$  of index at most  $(xy)^{O(n^2)}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Delta$  be the product of the simple factors  $L$  of  $\Gamma$  such that  $\deg_{\mathbf{C}}(L) > (xy)^3$ . Then  $\deg_{\mathbf{C}}(\Delta) > (xy)^3$  and  $[\Gamma : \Delta] \leq (xy)^{O(n^2)}$  by Fact 2.7. On the other hand, by Lemma 2.1,

$$|A^2 \cap \Delta| \geq |A|/(x[\Gamma : \Delta]) \geq |\Delta|/(xy).$$

Hence by Proposition 2.8 we have  $(A^2 \cap \Delta)^3 = \Delta$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $\Gamma \in \mathrm{Lie}^n(p)$ , and let  $A$  be a symmetric generating set of  $\Gamma$  that projects onto all simple quotients of  $\Gamma$ . Then  $A^{O_n(1)} = \Gamma$ .*

*Proof.* By induction it suffices to prove that if  $A$  generates a group of the form  $H \times L$  with  $L \in \mathrm{Lie}(p)$  and  $A$  projects onto both  $H$  and  $L$  then  $A^{O(\ell)} = H \times L$ , where  $\ell$  is the Lie rank of  $L$ . If  $A$  is a subgroup we are done, since  $A$  is a generating set by hypothesis. Otherwise let  $x \in A^2 \setminus A$  and let  $y \in A$  such that  $x$  and  $y$  have the same projection in  $H$ . Then  $a = xy^{-1}$  is a nontrivial element of  $A^3 \cap L$ . By the main result of [LL], every element of  $L$  is the product of  $m$  conjugates of  $a$  for some  $m \leq O(\ell)$ . Since  $A$  projects onto  $L$ , it follows that  $A^{5m}$  contains  $L$ . Since  $A$  projects onto  $H$ ,  $A^{5m+1} = H \times L$ .  $\square$

Finally we are ready to prove Theorem 4.1.

*Proof of Theorem 4.1.* By Theorem 4.2, Corollary 3.7, and Lemma 3.5,  $G = \langle A \rangle$  has a soluble-by- $\mathrm{Lie}^n(p)$  normal subgroup  $\Gamma$  such that  $A$  is covered by  $K^{O_n(1)}$  cosets of  $\Gamma$ . We are done if  $p = 0$  (as  $\Gamma$  must be soluble), so assume  $p > 0$ . We claim that  $G$  has a normal subgroup  $\Delta$  such that  $\mathrm{Sol}(\Gamma) \leq \Delta \leq \Gamma$  and  $\Delta/\mathrm{Sol}(\Gamma)$  is covered by  $A^6$  and  $A$  is covered by  $K^{O_n(1)}$  cosets of  $\Delta$ .

By Lemma 3.10 we may assume (at the cost of enlarging  $n$  and  $K$  slightly) that  $\mathrm{Sol}(\Gamma) = 1$ , so  $\Gamma \in \mathrm{Lie}^n(p)$ . In this case Lemma 3.11 implies that  $H = \Gamma C_G(\Gamma)$  has index  $m \leq O_n(1)$  in  $G$ . By Lemma 2.6,  $H$  is generated by  $B = A^{3m} \cap H$ . By Lemma 2.2,  $B$  has tripling  $K^{O_n(1)}$ .

Write  $\mathcal{L}$  for the set of simple factors of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $L \in \mathcal{L}$  and let  $\pi : H \rightarrow L$  the natural projection. By Lemma 2.2,  $\pi(B) \subseteq L$  has tripling  $K^{O_n(1)}$ . Hence, by Theorem 1.6, either  $\pi(B)^3 = L$  or  $|\pi(B)| \leq K^{O_n(1)}$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is normal in  $G$ ,  $G$  permutes the factors of  $\Gamma$ . By Lemma 2.2, for  $a \in A$  we have

$$|\pi(B^a)| \leq |\pi(A^{3m+2} \cap \Gamma)| \leq K^{O_n(1)} |\pi(A^2 \cap \Gamma)| \leq K^{O_n(1)} |\pi(B)|.$$

Since  $|\mathcal{L}| \leq n$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_2$  for  $G$ -invariant sets  $\mathcal{L}_1$  and  $\mathcal{L}_2$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(B)^3 &= L & (L \in \mathcal{L}_1) \\ |\pi(B)| &\leq K^{O_n(1)} & (L \in \mathcal{L}_2). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\Gamma_1$  be the product of the factors  $L \in \mathcal{L}_1$ . Since the projection of  $B$  to any factor of  $\Gamma$  outside  $\Gamma_1$  has size  $K^{O_n(1)}$ ,  $B$  is covered by  $K^{O_n(1)}$  cosets of  $\Gamma_1$ . By Lemma 2.4,  $A$  is also covered by  $K^{O_n(1)}$  cosets of  $\Gamma_1$ . Since  $B^3$  projects onto each factor of  $\Gamma_1$ ,  $B^{O_n(1)}$  projects onto  $\Gamma_1$  by Lemma 4.5, so in particular  $|A| \geq |\Gamma_1|/K^{O_n(1)}$ . Hence by Lemma 4.4 there is a characteristic subgroup  $\Delta \leq \Gamma_1$  contained in  $A^6$  of index  $K^{O_n(1)}$ . Since  $\Delta$  is characteristic in  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1 \trianglelefteq G$ ,  $\Delta \trianglelefteq G$ .

Finally, let  $\Delta_1$  be the product of the factors  $L$  of  $\Delta$  such that  $\deg_{\mathbb{C}}(L) > K^d$ . By Fact 2.7,  $[\Delta : \Delta_1] \leq K^{O_n(d)}$ . Hence the theorem holds with  $\Delta_1$  in the role of  $\Gamma$ .  $\square$

## 5. THE SOLUBLE RADICAL OF THE PERFECT CORE

In this section we start with the soluble-by-Lie $^*(p)$  group  $\Gamma$  furnished by Theorem 4.1. By Lemma 3.4,  $\Gamma$  has derived length  $O(\log n)$ . Let  $P = \Gamma^{O(\log n)}$  be the perfect core. Our goal is to prove that  $A^{O_n(1)}$  covers  $P$ . It is easy to show that  $A^{O_n(1)}$  covers  $P/\text{Sol}(P)$ , by iterating the following lemma. The main work of this section consists of showing that  $A^{O_n(1)}$  also covers  $\text{Sol}(P)$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be soluble-by-Lie $^*(p)$ . Let  $A \subseteq \Gamma$  be a symmetric set covering  $\Gamma/\text{Sol}(\Gamma)$ . Then  $A^{O(1)}$  covers  $\Gamma'/\text{Sol}(\Gamma')$ , where  $\Gamma' = [\Gamma, \Gamma]$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\bar{\Gamma} = \Gamma/\text{Sol}(\Gamma)$ . Note that  $\Gamma'/\text{Sol}(\Gamma') \cong \bar{\Gamma}$ , since  $\text{Sol}(\Gamma') = \text{Sol}(\Gamma) \cap \Gamma'$  and  $\bar{\Gamma}$  is perfect. Since  $A$  covers  $\Gamma/\text{Sol}(\Gamma)$ , the projection of  $A^4 \cap \Gamma'$  to  $\bar{\Gamma}$  contains the set of commutators  $C$ . By the weak Ore conjecture,  $\bar{\Gamma} = C^{O(1)}$  (see [W3, Proposition 2.4] or [S] or [NP, Theorem 3]). Hence  $A^{O(1)}$  covers  $\Gamma'/\text{Sol}(\Gamma')$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $\Gamma = V \rtimes G$  be the semidirect product of an abelian group  $V$  and a  $d$ -generated finite group  $G$  with  $\deg_{\mathbb{C}}(G) > K^{21}$ . Let  $A \subseteq V$  be a symmetric  $G$ -invariant set generating  $V$ . If  $|A^3| \leq K|A|$  then  $A^{7d} \supset [V, G]$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $B = AG$ . Since  $B^n = A^n G$  for all  $n \neq 0$ ,  $|B^3| \leq K|B|$  and  $B$  is a symmetric generating set for  $\Gamma$ .

We claim that  $B^6$  contains all conjugates of  $G$ . It suffices to prove if  $G_0$  is a conjugate of  $G$  contained in  $B^6$  and  $b \in B$  then  $G_1 = G_0^b \subseteq B^6$ . Certainly  $G_1 \subseteq B^8$ , so by Lemma 2.2(1) and Lemma 2.3,

$$|G_1| = |B^8 \cap G_1| \leq \frac{|B^9|}{|B|} |B^2 \cap G_1| \leq K^7 |B^2 \cap G_1|.$$

Hence  $(B^2 \cap G_1)^3 = G_1$  by Proposition 2.8, so  $B^6 \supset G_1$ , as required.

Hence if  $g \in G$  and  $v \in V$  the element  $[v, g] = g^{-v}g \in B^6B \cap V = A^7$ . Let  $x_1, \dots, x_d$  be generators of  $G$ . Then

$$A^{7d} \supset \{[v_1, x_1] \cdots [v_d, x_d] : v_1, \dots, v_d \in V\}.$$

Finally,  $\{[v_1, x_1] \cdots [v_d, x_d] : v_1, \dots, v_d \in V\} = [V, G]$  by a result of Rhemtulla [R].  $\square$

**Proposition 5.3.** *For each  $d \geq 0$  there is a constant  $m = m(d)$  such that the following holds. Let  $N \leq G$  be finite normal subgroups of a group  $\Gamma$  such that*

- (i)  $[G, N] = N$ ,
- (ii)  $N$  is nilpotent,
- (iii)  $G/N$  is  $d$ -generated, and
- (iv)  $\deg_{\mathbf{C}}(G) > K^m$ .

Let  $A$  be a finite symmetric  $K$ -tripling set generating  $\Gamma$  and covering  $G/N$ .

- (1) If  $G = \Gamma$  then  $A^3 = G$ .
- (2) In general  $(A^2 \cap G)^3 = G$ .

*Proof.* If  $K \leq 1$  then  $A$  is a subgroup, and both statements hold obviously. So we assume that  $K > 1$ . In particular,  $G$  is perfect.

Call  $A$  *hereditarily  $K$ -tripling* if  $|(A^\pi)^3| \leq K|A^\pi|$  for every quotient  $\pi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma/N$ . It follows from Lemma 2.2(2) and Lemma 2.3 that  $A$  is hereditarily  $K^3$ -tripling. Hence it suffices to prove the proposition for hereditarily  $K$ -tripling sets. This observation allows us to use induction.

We begin with (1). We argue by induction on  $|N|$ . If  $N = 1$  then the claim is trivial because  $A$  covers  $G/N$  by hypothesis, so assume  $N \neq 1$ . If  $V$  is a nontrivial normal subgroup of  $G$  contained in  $N$  then the hypotheses hold for  $G/V$ , so by induction  $A^3V = G$ .

Suppose we can find a nontrivial commutator  $[a, b] \in Z(G) \cap N$ . Let

$$V = \langle [a, b] \rangle = \{[a^k, b] : k \in \mathbf{Z}\}.$$

Then  $V$  is normal in  $G$  and contained in  $N$  so by induction  $G = A^3V$ . Since  $V \leq Z(G)$ , all commutators are contained in  $A^{12}$ . In particular  $V \subseteq A^{12}$ , so  $G = A^{15}$ . Hence  $A^3 = G$  by Lemma 2.9, assuming  $m \geq 45$ .

Hence assume no commutator is in  $Z(G) \cap N$ . Since  $[G, N] = N$ ,  $N$  is not contained in  $Z(G)$ . Let  $V \leq N$  be a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  not contained in  $Z(G)$ . If  $[V, G] < V$  then  $[V, G] \leq Z(G) \cap N$  by minimality of  $V$ , but this contradicts the assumption that no commutator is in  $Z(G) \cap N$ . Similarly,  $[V, N] < V$  since  $N$  is nilpotent, so if  $[V, N] \neq 1$  then  $[V, N] \leq Z(G) \cap N$ , again a contradiction. Hence  $[V, G] = V$  and  $V \leq Z(N)$ .

We may identify  $V$  with a  $\mathbf{Z}(G/N)$ -module satisfying  $[V, G/N] = V$ . First we show that  $A^7$  contains an element  $x \in V \setminus Z(G)$ . If  $V \cap Z(G) \neq 1$  then by induction  $A^3(V \cap Z(G)) = G$ , so  $A^3$  must contain an element of  $V \setminus Z(G)$ . If  $V \cap Z(G) = 1$  then, since  $A^3V = G$  and  $A$  generates  $G$ ,  $A^4$  contains two elements of some coset of  $V$  and  $A^3$  also intersects this coset, so  $A^7$  contains a nontrivial element of  $V$ , so we are done.

By minimality of  $V$ ,  $x$  generates  $V$  as a  $\mathbf{Z}(G/N)$ -module. Hence  $A^7 \cap V$  also generates  $V$ . Since  $A$  covers  $G/N$ , taking the union of all  $A$ -conjugates of  $A^7 \cap V$

produces a symmetric  $G/N$ -invariant generating set  $B$  of  $V$  contained in  $A^9$ , and

$$\frac{|B^3|}{|B|} \leq \frac{|A^{27} \cap V|}{|A^7 \cap V|} \leq \frac{|A^{27} \cap V|}{|A^2 \cap V|} \leq \frac{|A^{28}|}{|A|} \leq K^{26}$$

by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3. Hence by Lemma 5.2,  $B^{7d} = [V, G/N] = V$ . Hence  $A^{63d} \supset V$  and  $G = A^3V = A^{63d+3}$ . Again Lemma 2.9 implies  $G = A^3$  provided  $m > 3(63d + 3)$ .

Next we prove (2). Let  $H = \langle A^2 \cap G \rangle$ . By (1) it suffices to prove  $H = G$ .

We again argue by induction on  $|N|$ . If  $N = 1$  then  $H = G$  because  $A$  covers  $G/N = G$ , so assume  $N \neq 1$ . If  $V$  is a nontrivial  $A$ -invariant subgroup of  $N$  then the hypotheses hold for  $\Gamma/V$ , so by induction  $HV = G$ .

Suppose  $H < G$ . Let  $V = Z(G) \cap N$ . If  $V \neq 1$  then  $HV = G$ , so  $H \trianglelefteq G$  and  $G/H$  is abelian, in contradiction to  $\deg_{\mathbf{C}}(G) > 1$ . Hence  $Z(G) \cap N = 1$ .

Since  $N$  is nilpotent,  $Z(N) \neq 1$ . Let  $V$  be a minimal  $A$ -invariant subgroup of  $Z(N)$  and  $U = V \cap H$ . Since  $V \trianglelefteq \Gamma$ ,  $U \trianglelefteq H$ . Since  $G = HV$ ,  $U \trianglelefteq G$ .

By the modular law,  $N = N \cap HV = (N \cap H)V$ . Hence  $N = [G, N]$  implies

$$(N \cap H)V = [HV, (N \cap H)V] \leq [H, N \cap H]V.$$

Moreover,  $[H, N \cap H] \leq [HV, (N \cap H)V]$  and  $V \leq (N \cap H)V$ , hence

$$(N \cap H)V = [H, N \cap H]V.$$

Intersecting with  $H$  and applying the modular law again,

$$N \cap H = [H, N \cap H]U. \tag{5.1}$$

We may identify  $V$  with a simple  $\mathbf{Z}(\Gamma/N)$ -module. Since  $G \trianglelefteq \Gamma$ ,  $V$  is a semisimple  $\mathbf{Z}(G/N)$ -module by Clifford's theorem. Since  $U \trianglelefteq G$ ,  $U$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}(G/N)$ -submodule and hence also semisimple. If  $S$  is any simple submodule of  $U$  then  $[G/N, S]$  is a submodule of  $S$ , and it cannot be trivial since  $Z(G) \cap N = 1$ , so  $S = [G/N, S]$ . Hence also  $U = [G/N, U]$ . Since  $H$  covers  $G/N$ ,  $U = [H, U] \leq [H, N \cap H]$ .

Hence, from (5.1),

$$N \cap H = [H, N \cap H] \leq [H, H].$$

Since  $H/(N \cap H) \cong G/N$  and  $G$  is perfect,  $H$  is perfect. By Lemma 2.10 it follows that  $\deg_{\mathbf{C}}(H) > K^{cm}$ . Hence by (1) applied to  $A^2 \cap G$  and  $H$  it follows that  $H = (A^2 \cap G)^3 \subseteq A^6$ .

Since  $\deg_{\mathbf{C}}(H) > K^{cm}$ , every proper subgroup of  $H$  has index at least  $K^{cm}$ . If  $a \in A$ ,  $H^a \subseteq A^8$ . On the other hand  $A^2 \cap H^a = A^2 \cap G \cap H^a \subseteq H \cap H^a$ . Hence

$$[H : H \cap H^a] = \frac{|H^a|}{|H \cap H^a|} \leq \frac{|A^8 \cap H^a|}{|A^2 \cap H^a|} \leq \frac{|A^9|}{|A|} \leq K^7$$

by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3. It follows that  $H = H^a$ . Hence  $H \trianglelefteq G$ . Since  $G = HZ(N)$ ,  $G/H$  is abelian and perfect, hence trivial.  $\square$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.5.

*Proof of Theorem 1.5.* If  $K < 3/2$  then  $A^2$  is a subgroup by [F], so we may take  $\Gamma = A^2$ . Hence assume  $K \geq 3/2$ . By Theorem 4.1, there is  $\Gamma \leq \langle A \rangle$  such that  $A$  is covered by  $K^{O_n(1)}$  cosets of  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Gamma/\text{Sol}(\Gamma)$  is covered by  $A^6$ , and  $\Gamma/\text{Sol}(\Gamma) \in \text{Lie}^n(p)$ , and  $\deg_{\mathbf{C}}(\Gamma/\text{Sol}(\Gamma)) > K^d$ , where  $d = d(n)$  is appropriately large.

If  $p = 0$  then  $\Gamma$  is soluble, so we are done, so assume  $p > 0$ . By Lemma 3.4,  $\Gamma$  has derived length  $O(\log n)$ . Let  $P = \Gamma^{O(\log n)}$  be the perfect core. By iterating Lemma 5.1,  $A^{O_n(1)}$  covers  $P/\text{Sol}(P)$ . Note that  $P/\text{Sol}(P) \cong \Gamma/\text{Sol}(\Gamma)$  since  $\Gamma/\text{Sol}(\Gamma)$  is perfect.

First assume  $P$  is finite. By Lemma 2.10,  $\deg_{\mathbf{C}}(P) \geq cK^{d/2}$ . By Corollary 3.8, there is a normal  $p$ -subgroup  $N \trianglelefteq P$  such that  $[P, N] = N$  and  $|\text{Sol}(P)/N| \leq (2n+1)^n$ . Since  $A^{O_n(1)}$  covers  $P/\text{Sol}(P)$ , it projects to a subset of  $P/N$  of size at least  $|P/\text{Sol}(P)| \geq (2n+1)^{-n}|P/N|$ . Assuming  $\deg_{\mathbf{C}}(P)$  is larger than  $(2n+1)^{3n}$ , this implies that  $A^{O_n(1)}$  covers  $P/N$  by Proposition 2.8. By the fact that every finite simple group is 2-generated,  $P/\text{Sol}(P)$  is  $2n$ -generated, so  $P/N$  is  $O_n(1)$ -generated. Applying Proposition 5.3 to the section  $P/N$ , it follows that  $A^{O_n(1)}$  covers  $P$ . Finally, Lemma 2.9 implies that  $A^3$  contains a coset of  $P$ .

In general, let  $S$  be a finite subset of  $P$ . By Theorem 3.1, there is a homomorphism  $\pi : \langle A \rangle \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbf{K})$  with  $\mathbf{K}$  finite which is injective on  $S$ . Then  $\pi(P)$  is finite, so the above argument proves that  $\pi(P)$  is contained in a translate of  $\pi(A)^3$ , so

$$|S| \leq |\pi(P)| \leq |\pi(A)^3| \leq |A^3|.$$

Since this holds for every finite subset of  $P$ ,  $P$  is indeed finite. Hence  $A^3$  contains a coset of  $P$ .  $\square$

## 6. TRIGONALIZATION AND REMOVING SMALL ROOTS

**Proposition 6.1.** *If Theorem 1.4 holds for all finite fields then it holds in general.*

*Proof.* Let  $A \subseteq \text{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})$  be as in Theorem 1.4. Let  $m$  be large compared to  $n$ . By Theorem 3.1, there is a finite field  $\mathbf{K}$  and a homomorphism  $\pi : \langle A \rangle \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbf{K})$  which is injective on  $A^m$ . By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3,

$$|\pi(A)^3|/|\pi(A)| \leq |A^5|/|A| \leq K^3.$$

Assuming Theorem 1.4 holds for finite fields, there is  $\Gamma_0 \leq \langle \pi(A) \rangle$  such that

- (1)  $\pi(A)$  is covered by  $K^{O_n(1)}$  cosets of  $\Gamma_0$ ,
- (2)  $\gamma_n(\Gamma_0) \subseteq \pi(A)^{O_n(1)}$ .

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma &= \langle A^2 \cap \pi^{-1}(\Gamma_0) \rangle, \\ H &= \pi^{-1}(\gamma_n(\Gamma_0)) \cap A^m. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\pi(A)$  is covered by  $K^{O_n(1)}$  cosets of  $\Gamma_0$ ,  $A$  is covered by the same number of cosets of  $\pi^{-1}(\Gamma_0)$ , and hence by the same number of cosets of  $\Gamma$  by Lemma 2.4. We may assume that  $m$  is large enough that  $\pi(A)^{m/2}$  contains  $\gamma_n(\Gamma_0)$ . Then since  $\pi$  is injective on  $A^m$ ,  $H \subseteq A^{m/2}$ , so  $H$  is a subgroup. Similarly  $H^a = H$  for  $a \in A^2 \cap \pi^{-1}(\Gamma_0)$ , so  $H$  is normalized by  $\Gamma$ . Now note that  $[a_1, \dots, a_n] \in H$  for all generators  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A^2 \cap \pi^{-1}(\Gamma_0)$ , since

$$\pi([a_1, \dots, a_n]) = [\pi(a_1), \dots, \pi(a_n)] \in \gamma_n(\Gamma_0)$$

and  $[a_1, \dots, a_n] \in A^{2^n}$ , and we may assume  $m \geq 2^n$ . Hence  $\gamma_n(\Gamma) \leq H \subseteq A^m$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 6.2.** *If Theorem 1.4 holds whenever  $\mathbf{F}$  is finite and  $\langle A \rangle$  is soluble then it holds in general.*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be as in Theorem 1.4. By Proposition 6.1, we may assume  $\mathbf{F}$  is finite. Let  $G = \langle A \rangle$ . By Theorem 1.5, there are normal subgroups  $P \leq \Gamma \leq G$  such that  $A$  is covered by  $K^{O_n(1)}$  cosets of  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Gamma/P$  is soluble, and  $P$  is contained in  $A^6$ .

By Lemma 3.4(1), there is a soluble subgroup  $S \leq \Gamma$  such that  $\Gamma = PS$ . By Lemma 2.2,  $A^8 \cap S$  has tripling  $K^{O(1)}$ . Assuming Theorem 1.4 holds in the soluble case, there is  $\Gamma_0 \leq S$  such that  $A^8 \cap S$  is covered by  $K^{O_n(1)}$  cosets of  $\Gamma_0$  and  $\gamma_n(\Gamma_0) \subseteq A^{O_n(1)}$ .

Now consider the group  $P\Gamma_0$ . Since  $A$  is covered by  $K^{O_n(1)}$  cosets of  $\Gamma$ , by Lemma 2.4 it is covered by the same number of translates of  $A^2 \cap \Gamma$ . Since  $P \subseteq A^6$  and  $\Gamma = PS$ ,  $A^2 \cap \Gamma \subseteq P(A^8 \cap S)$ . Hence  $A$  is covered by  $K^{O_n(1)}$  cosets of  $P\Gamma_0$ . Also  $\gamma_n(P\Gamma_0) \subseteq P\gamma_n(\Gamma_0) \subseteq A^{O_n(1)}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.3.** *If Theorem 1.4 holds whenever  $\mathbf{F}$  is finite and  $A \subseteq B_n(\mathbf{F})$  then it holds in general.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 6.2, we may assume  $\mathbf{F}$  is finite and  $G = \langle A \rangle$  is soluble. By Theorem 3.3, there is  $G_0 \leq G$  of index  $m = O_n(1)$  which is trigonalizable over the algebraic closure  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}$  of  $\mathbf{F}$ , i.e., there is some  $g \in \text{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbf{F}})$  such that  $G_0^g \leq B_n(\overline{\mathbf{F}})$ . Then  $G_0^g \leq B_n(\mathbf{E})$  where  $\mathbf{E} < \overline{\mathbf{F}}$  is the finite subfield generated by  $\mathbf{F}$  and the entries of  $g$ . Since  $A$  generates  $G$ ,  $G = A^m G_0$ , so Lemma 2.6 implies that  $G_0$  is generated by  $A_0 = A^{3m} \cap G_0$ . By Lemma 2.2,  $A_0$  has tripling  $K^{O(m)}$ . Assuming Theorem 1.4 holds in the trigonal case, there is  $\Gamma \leq G_0$  such that  $A_0$  is covered by  $K^{O_n(1)}$  cosets of  $\Gamma$  and  $\gamma_n(\Gamma) \subseteq A_0^{O_n(1)} \subseteq A^{O_n(1)}$ . Since  $A$  is covered by  $m$  translates of  $G_0$ , it is covered by  $m$  translates of  $A^2 \cap G_0 \subseteq A_0$  (Lemma 2.4), so  $A$  is also covered by  $K^{O_n(1)}$  cosets of  $\Gamma$ .  $\square$

We need one more reduction. For  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , let  $\chi_{ij}$  be the character of  $B_n(\mathbf{F})$  defined by  $\chi_{ij}(g) = g_{ii}g_{jj}^{-1}$ . The characters  $\chi_{ij}$  are called *roots*, and we write  $\Phi$  for the set of roots. Call  $\chi \in \Phi$  an *R-small root* for  $A \subseteq B_n(\mathbf{F})$  if

$$1 < |\chi(A)| \leq R.$$

We get rid of any small roots by passing to a smaller subgroup.

**Proposition 6.4.** *Suppose there is a constant  $C_n$  such that Theorem 1.4 holds whenever  $\mathbf{F}$  is finite and  $A \subseteq B_n(\mathbf{F})$  and  $A$  has no  $K^{C_n}$ -small roots. Then Theorem 1.4 holds in general.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 6.3 we may assume  $\mathbf{F}$  is finite and  $A \subseteq B_n(\mathbf{F})$ .

Suppose inductively (starting with  $m = 0$ ) that we have chosen roots  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_m$ . Let  $G_m = \bigcap_{i=1}^m \ker \chi_i$ . Let  $R = K^{3C_n}$ . Suppose  $A^2 \cap G_m$  has an  $R$ -small root  $\chi_{m+1}$ . Let  $G_{m+1} = G_m \cap \ker \chi_{m+1}$ . By Lemma 2.1(2) applied to  $AG_{m+1}/G_{m+1} \subseteq G/G_{m+1}$  and the projection  $G/G_{m+1} \rightarrow G/G_m$ ,

$$|AG_{m+1}/G_{m+1}| \leq |AG_m/G_m| |\chi_{m+1}(A^2 \cap G_m)| \leq |AG_m/G_m| R.$$

Hence by induction  $|AG_m/G_m| \leq R^m$ , and, by Lemma 2.1(2),

$$|A^2 \cap G_m| \geq |A|/|AG_m/G_m| \geq R^{-m}|A|.$$

By Lemma 2.2(1) and Lemma 2.3,  $A^2 \cap G_m$  has tripling at most  $K^3$ . There are only  $n^2$  roots in all, so this process terminates at some step  $m \leq n^2$ , at which point  $A^2 \cap G_m$  has no  $K^{3C_n}$ -small root.

Assuming Theorem 1.4 holds in the no-small-roots case, there is  $\Gamma \leq \langle A^2 \cap G_m \rangle$  such that  $A^2 \cap G_m$  is covered by  $K^{O_n(1)}$  cosets of  $\Gamma$  and  $\gamma_n(\Gamma) \subseteq (A^2 \cap G_m)^{O_n(1)} \subseteq$



$A^{O_n(1)}$ . Since  $A$  is covered by  $K^{O_n(1)}$  cosets of  $G_m$ , it is covered by the same number of translates of  $A^2 \cap G_m$  (Lemma 2.4), so it is covered by  $K^{O_n(1)}$  cosets of  $\Gamma$ .  $\square$

## 7. PIVOTING

The workhorse of the rest of the proof is a pivoting argument due to Gill and Helfgott connected to sum-product theory. Let  $T$  be an abelian group acting on a group  $U$  by automorphisms. We use multiplicative notation in  $T$  and  $U$  and exponential notation  $(t, u) \mapsto u^t$  for the action of  $T$  on  $U$ . For  $W \subseteq U$  and  $X \subseteq T$  we write  $W^X$  for  $\{w^x : w \in W, x \in X\}$ . Let  $F = F(T, U) \subseteq T$  be the set of  $t \in T$  having a fixed point in  $U \setminus \{1\}$ .

**Proposition 7.1** ([GH, Proposition 2.11]). *Let  $X \subseteq T$  and  $W \subseteq U$ . Then either*

$$|(W^{X^{\pm 2}})^{\pm 6}| \geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{|W||X|}{|XX^{-1} \cap F|} \quad (7.1)$$

or

$$(W^X)^{\pm 8} = \langle W^{\langle X \rangle} \rangle. \quad (7.2)$$

**Remark 7.2.** The factor of  $1/2$  in (7.1) does not appear in [GH, Proposition 2.11], but [GH, (2.5)] appears to be unjustified (the argument given shows only  $|Y|^2|W|^2 \geq |\langle \langle X \rangle \langle W \rangle \rangle|$ ). Omitting this inequality, the rest of the proof is only impacted by a factor of 2, arguing as in [H1, Proposition 3.1].

We will apply Proposition 7.1 to certain sections of  $B_n(\mathbf{F})$ . Suppose  $T \leq T_n(\mathbf{F})$  and  $U \leq U_n(\mathbf{F})$  and let  $G = UT \cong U \rtimes T$ . Call a section  $V = U_1/U_2$  of  $U$  *G-invariant* if both  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are so. In this case  $G$  acts on  $V$ . We will assume  $[U_1, U] \leq U_2$  to ensure that the action of  $G$  on  $V$  factors through  $T$ . The following lemma describes the set  $F(T, V)$  in this situation.

Recall from Section 6 that  $\Phi$  denotes the set of roots of  $B_n(\mathbf{F})$ . Let  $\Phi^*(T)$  denote the set of roots  $\chi \in \Phi$  that are nontrivial on  $T$ .

**Lemma 7.3.** *Let  $T \leq T_n(\mathbf{F})$  and let  $V = U_1/U_2$  be a  $T$ -invariant section of  $U_n(\mathbf{F})$ . Assume  $C_V(T) = 1$ . Then*

$$F(T, V) \subseteq \bigcup_{\chi \in \Phi^*(T)} \ker \chi.$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $t \in F(T, V)$ , so there is some nontrivial  $v \in V$  such that  $v^t = v$ . By replacing  $V$  with  $\langle v^T \rangle$  we may assume  $V = \langle v^T \rangle$ . Note that  $v \notin \text{Frat}(V)$ . Replacing  $U_2$  with  $U'_2$  where  $U'_2/U_2 = \text{Frat}(V)$ , we may assume  $\text{Frat}(V)$  is trivial. Hence  $V$  is elementary abelian and we may identify it with an  $\mathbf{F}_p T$ -module. By Maschke's theorem,  $V$  is semisimple. By replacing  $v$  with its projection to one of the simple components we may assume  $V$  is simple. By the Jordan–Hölder theorem (see [A, Section 7]),  $V$  appears as a factor in any  $T$ -composition series of  $U_n(\mathbf{F})$ . There is an obvious  $T$ -invariant series of  $U_n(\mathbf{F})$  defined as follows. Let  $U_d$  be the subgroup of all  $g \in U_n(\mathbf{F})$  such that  $g_{ij} = 0$  for  $0 < j - i < d$ . Then  $U_n(\mathbf{F}) = U_1 \geq U_2 \geq \dots \geq U_n = 1$  is a  $T$ -invariant series with factors

$$U_d/U_{d+1} \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq n \\ j-i=d}} \mathbf{F}_{\chi_{ij}},$$

where  $\mathbf{F}_\chi = \mathbf{F}$  with  $T$  acting according to  $x^t = \chi(t)x$ . It follows that  $V$  is isomorphic to a submodule of  $\mathbf{F}_\chi$  for some  $\chi \in \Phi$ . Since  $C_V(T)$  is trivial,  $\chi \in \Phi^*(T)$ . Since  $v^t = v$ ,  $\chi(t) = 1$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 7.4.** *Let  $T \leq T_n(\mathbf{F})$ ,  $U \leq U_n(\mathbf{F})$ , and  $G = UT$ . Let  $V = U_1/U_2$  be a  $G$ -invariant section of  $U_n(\mathbf{F})$  such that*

- (i)  $[V, U] = 1$ ,
- (ii)  $C_V(T) = 1$ .

Let  $A \subseteq G$  be a symmetric  $K$ -tripling subset such that  $\langle A^\pi \rangle = T$ , where  $\pi$  denotes the quotient homomorphism  $G \rightarrow T$ . Then either

- (a) there is some  $\chi \in \Phi^*(T)$  such that  $1 < |\chi(A)| \leq 2n^2K^{O(1)}$ , or
- (b)  $A^{O(1)}$  covers  $\langle \text{tr}(A^2, V)^T \rangle$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $|\chi(A)| \geq R$  for every  $\chi \in \Phi^*(T)$ . Then by Lemma 2.1

$$|(A^\pi)^2 \cap \ker \chi| \leq R^{-1}|(A^\pi)^3| \leq R^{-1}K^2|A^\pi|.$$

Since  $|\Phi| \leq n^2$ , by the previous lemma

$$|(A^\pi)^2 \cap F(T, V)| \leq n^2K^2R^{-1}|A^\pi|.$$

Since  $[V, U] = 1$ , the action of  $G$  on  $V$  factors through  $\pi : G \rightarrow T$ . Apply Proposition 7.1 with  $W = \text{tr}(A^2, V)$  and  $X = A^\pi \subseteq T$ . If (7.1) holds then

$$|\text{tr}(A^{36}, V)| \geq |(\text{tr}(A^2, V)^{A^2})^6| \geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\text{tr}(A^2, V)||A^\pi|}{|(A^\pi)^2 \cap F(T, V)|} \geq \frac{1}{2}n^{-2}K^{-2}R|\text{tr}(A^2, V)|.$$

On the other hand

$$|\text{tr}(A^{36}, V)| \leq \frac{|A^{39}|}{|A|} \text{tr}(A^2, V) \leq K^{37}|\text{tr}(A^2, V)|$$

by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3. This implies (a). On the other hand if (7.2) holds then  $\langle \text{tr}(A^2, V)^T \rangle = (\text{tr}(A^2, V)^A)^8 \subseteq \text{tr}(A^{32}, V)$ . This implies (b).  $\square$

## 8. GROWTH OF BILINEAR IMAGES

In this section we consider abelian groups only, so we use additive notation. Recall the definition of the Fourier transform on a finite abelian group  $G$ . The dual group  $\widehat{G}$  is the group of all homomorphisms  $\chi : G \rightarrow S^1$ . We endow  $G$  with the counting measure and  $\widehat{G}$  with the uniform measure.

In the following lemma, by a *probability measure* on  $G$  we simply mean a function  $\mu : G \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $\sum_{x \in G} \mu(x) = 1$ .

**Lemma 8.1.** *Let  $G$  be a finite abelian group, and let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $G$  such that, for all  $\chi \in \widehat{G}$ , either  $|\widehat{\mu}(\chi)| \leq 1/R$  or  $\widehat{\mu}(\chi) = 1$ . Let  $S$  be the support of  $\mu$ , and let  $A \subseteq G$ . Then*

$$|A + S| > \frac{1}{2} \min(R^2|A|, |\langle S \rangle|).$$

*In particular, if  $S \subseteq A \subseteq \langle S \rangle$  and  $|2A| \leq \frac{1}{2}R^2|A|$ , then  $4A = \langle S \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* The convolution  $1_A * \mu$  is supported on  $A + S$ , so, by Cauchy–Schwarz and Parseval’s identity,

$$\begin{aligned} |A|^2 &= \left( \sum_G 1_A * \mu \right)^2 \leq |A + S| \sum_G |1_A * \mu|^2 \\ &= |A + S| \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\widehat{G}} |\widehat{1_A}|^2 |\widehat{\mu}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $H = \langle S \rangle$ , and note  $\widehat{\mu}(\chi) = 1$  if and only if  $\chi \in H^\perp = \{\psi \in \widehat{G} : \psi(H) = 1\}$ . Hence, using Parseval’s identity again,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\widehat{G}} |\widehat{1_A}|^2 |\widehat{\mu}|^2 &\leq \frac{|H^\perp|}{|G|} |A|^2 + R^{-2} \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\widehat{G} \setminus H^\perp} |\widehat{1_A}|^2 \\ &< \frac{|A|^2}{|H|} + R^{-2} |A|. \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging,

$$|A + S| > \frac{|A|}{|A|/|H| + R^{-2}} \geq \frac{1}{2} \min(|H|, R^2|A|).$$

For the last statement, if  $|A + S| \leq \frac{1}{2} R^2 |A|$  then  $|A + S| > \frac{1}{2} |H|$ , so  $4A \supseteq 2(A + S) = H$  by a standard exercise.  $\square$

**Proposition 8.2.** *Let  $U, V, Z$  be finite abelian groups, let  $T$  be a group acting on  $U$  and  $V$ , and let  $\beta : U \times V \rightarrow Z$  be a bilinear map which is  $T$ -invariant in the sense that*

$$\beta(u^t, v^t) = \beta(u, v) \quad (u \in U, v \in V, t \in T).$$

*Suppose that the  $T$ -simple composition factors of  $U$  have size at least  $R$ . Write  $\beta(U, V)$  for the image of  $U \times V$  and let  $W = \langle \beta(U, V) \rangle$ . Then for any  $A \subseteq Z$  we have*

$$|A + \beta(U, V)| > \frac{1}{2} \min(R^2|A|, |W|).$$

*In particular, if  $\beta(U, V) \subseteq A \subseteq W$  and  $|2A| \leq \frac{1}{2} R^2 |A|$  then  $4A = W$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mu$  be the pushforward of the uniform measure on  $U \times V$ , so that

$$\mu(z) = \frac{\#\{(u, v) \in U \times V : \beta(u, v) = z\}}{|U||V|}.$$

Then for  $\chi \in \widehat{Z}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mu}(\chi) &= \sum_{z \in Z} \mu(z) \chi(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{|U||V|} \sum_{u \in U, v \in V} \chi(\beta(u, v)) \\ &= \frac{1}{|U|} \sum_{u \in U} 1_{\chi(\beta(u, V))=1} \\ &= [U : U_\chi]^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $U_\chi$  is the set of all  $u \in U$  such that  $\chi(\beta(u, V)) = 1$ . The set  $U_\chi$  is a  $T$ -invariant subgroup of  $U$ . Hence either  $U_\chi = U$  or  $[U : U_\chi] \geq R$ , so the lemma applies.  $\square$

## 9. THE NO-SMALL-ROOTS CASE

Finally, in this section we establish the finite-field, trigonal, no-small-roots case of Theorem 1.4, which by Proposition 6.4 completes the proof. In this case we can actually take  $\Gamma = \langle A \rangle$ .

**Theorem 9.1** (no-small-roots case). *There is a constant  $C_n$  such that the following holds. Let  $\mathbf{F}$  be a finite field. Let  $A \subseteq B_n(\mathbf{F})$  be a symmetric set containing 1 such that*

- (i)  $|A^3| \leq K|A|$ ,
- (ii)  $A$  has no  $K^{C_n}$ -small roots.

Let  $G = \langle A \rangle$ . Then  $\gamma_n(G) \subseteq A^{O_n(1)}$ .

We begin with some housekeeping. Let  $U = G \cap U_n(\mathbf{F})$ . Write  $\pi$  for the projection  $B_n(\mathbf{F}) \rightarrow T_n(\mathbf{F})$ . By the Schur–Zassenhaus theorem (Theorem 2.11),  $U$  has a complement  $T$  in  $G$ . Hence  $G = UT \cong U \rtimes T$ . Moreover, by Schur–Zassenhaus applied to the group  $TU_n(\mathbf{F}) = \pi^{-1}(T^\pi) = T^\pi U_n(\mathbf{F})$ ,  $T^\pi = T^u$  for some  $u \in U_n(\mathbf{F})$ . Hence, replacing  $A$  by  $A^u$ , we may assume  $T \leq T_n(\mathbf{F})$ .

Let  $H = [U, T]$ . By Lemma 2.12,  $U = HC_U(T)$ . By Lemma 2.14,  $H = \gamma_\omega(G)$ . In fact  $G/H \cong T \times U/[U, T]$  is at most  $(n-1)$ -step nilpotent, so  $H = \gamma_n(G)$ .

We must prove that  $H \subseteq A^{O_n(1)}$ . Since  $H$  is at most  $(n-1)$ -step nilpotent, it suffices to prove  $\gamma_i(H) \subseteq A^{O_n(1)}\gamma_{i+1}(H)$  for each  $i$ . We will prove this by induction on  $i$ .

**9.1. Base case.** We claim  $H \subseteq A^{O_n(1)}[H, H]$ . Let

$$H = H_1 \geq H_2 \geq \cdots \geq H_n = [H, H]$$

be a series such that

$$[H_j, U] \leq H_{j+1}[H, H] \quad (j \geq 1),$$

such as

$$H_j = [H, \underbrace{U, \dots, U}_{j-1}][H, H].$$

We will prove  $H \subseteq A^{O_n(1)}H_{j+1}$  by induction on  $j$ . This is trivial for  $j = 0$ , so assume  $j > 0$ . Then by induction  $H \subseteq A^{O_n(1)}H_j$  and we must prove  $H \subseteq A^{O_n(1)}H_{j+1}$ . By replacing  $A$  with  $A^{O_n(1)}$  we may assume  $H \subseteq AH_j$ .

**Claim 1.** Let  $G_j = H_j C_U(T)T$  and  $B = A^3 \cap G_j$ .

- (1)  $G_j = \langle B \rangle$ .
- (2)  $B$  has no  $K^{C_n}$ -small-roots.

*Proof.* Since  $H \subseteq AH_j$ ,  $G = AG_j$ . Hence Lemma 2.6 implies (1). Also, by the modular law  $H = H \cap AH_j = (A \cap H)H_j$ , so  $G = A_H G_j$  where  $A_H = A \cap H$ . In particular  $A \subseteq A_H G_j$ , so  $A \subseteq A_H(A_H A \cap G_j)$ . Since  $A_H^\pi = 1$ , this implies  $A^\pi \subseteq (A_H A \cap G_j)^\pi \subseteq B^\pi$ , so (2) holds.  $\square$

**Claim 2.**  $H_j \subseteq B^{O_n(1)}H_{j+1}$ .

*Proof.* By working modulo  $H_{j+1}$  let us assume  $H_{j+1} = 1$ . Then by Lemma 2.13  $C_H(T) \leq [H, H] = 1$ , so  $C_{H_j}(T) = 1$ , so  $H_j = [H_j, T]$  by Lemma 2.12. Hence  $H_j = \gamma_n(G_j)$  by Lemma 2.14. The  $n$ -fold commutators  $[b_1, \dots, b_n]$  with  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in B$  all lie in  $C = B^{2^{n+1}} \cap H_j$ , and since  $B$  generates  $G_j$  we must have  $\langle C^{G_j} \rangle = \gamma_n(G_j) = H_j$ .

Since  $[H_j, U] \leq H_{j+1} = 1$ ,  $C^{G_j} = C^T$ , so  $\langle C^T \rangle = H_j$ . Applying Proposition 7.4 to  $B^{2^{n+1}}$  and  $H_j$ , it follows that  $H_j \subseteq B^{O(2^{n+1})}$ .  $\square$

Hence  $H \subseteq AH_j \subseteq A^{O_n(1)}H_{j+1}$ . This completes the induction on  $j$  and we conclude that  $H \subseteq A^{O_n(1)}H_n = A^{O_n(1)}[H, H]$ . This completes the base case of the induction on  $i$ .

**9.2. Induction step.** Now assume inductively that  $H \subseteq A^{O_n(1)}\gamma_i(H)$  for some  $i \geq 2$ . We claim  $H \subseteq A^{O_n(1)}\gamma_{i+1}(H)$ . By replacing  $A$  with  $A^{O_n(1)}$  we may assume  $H \subseteq A\gamma_i(H)$ . In particular,  $A \cap H$  generates  $H$  by the Burnside basis theorem. By quotienting we may assume  $\gamma_{i+1}(H) = 1$ . We must prove  $\gamma_i(H) \subseteq A^{O_n(1)}$ .

Let  $V = \gamma_i(H)$ . By Lemma 2.12,  $V = [V, T] \times C_V(T)$ .

**Claim 3.**  $V \subseteq A^{16}[V, T]$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $[V, T]$  is normalized by  $H$ ,  $C_U(T)$ , and  $T$ , so  $V \trianglelefteq G$ . Hence, by quotienting, we may assume  $[V, T] = 1$ . The commutator induces a well-defined map

$$\beta : H/\gamma_2(H) \times \gamma_{i-1}(H)/\gamma_i(H) \rightarrow V$$

which is bilinear (see [A, (8.5.4)]) and whose image generates  $V$ . Moreover,  $\beta$  cooperates with the action of  $T$ , because  $[x^t, y^t] = [x, y]^t = [x, y]$  for  $x \in H$  and  $y \in \gamma_{i-1}(H)$ . Since  $H \subseteq A\gamma_2(H)$  and  $\gamma_{i-1}(H) \subseteq A\gamma_i(H)$ , the image of  $\beta$  is contained in the set  $B = A^4 \cap V$ , so  $B$  generates  $V$ . On the other hand,  $B$  has tripling  $K^{O(1)}$ , by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3. By Lemma 2.13,  $C_{H/\gamma_2(H)}(T) = 1$ . Since  $A$  has no  $K^{C_n}$ -small-roots,  $H/\gamma_2(H)$  has no  $T$ -simple component smaller than  $K^{C_n}$ . Hence, by Proposition 8.2,  $B^4 = V$ , so  $V \subseteq A^{16}$ .  $\square$

**Claim 4.**  $[V, T] \subseteq A^{O_n(1)}$ .

*Proof.* Let

$$[V, T] = V_1 \geq V_2 \geq \cdots \geq V_n = 1$$

be a series such that

$$[V_j, U] \leq V_{j+1} \quad (j \geq 1),$$

such as

$$V_j = [[V, T], \underbrace{U, \dots, U}_{j-1}].$$

We will prove  $[V, T] \subseteq A^{O_n(1)}V_{j+1}$  by induction on  $j$ . This is trivial for  $j = 0$ , so assume  $j > 0$ . By quotienting, we may assume  $V_{j+1} = 1$ . By the previous claim and induction,

$$H \subseteq AV \subseteq A^{17}[V, T] \subseteq A^{O_n(1)}V_j.$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.6,  $V_j = \langle A^{O_n(1)} \cap V_j \rangle$ . Since  $C_{V_j}(T) \leq [V, T] \cap C_V(T) = 1$ , we may apply Proposition 7.4 to  $A^{O_n(1)}$ . It follows that  $V_j \subseteq A^{O_n(1)}$ , as claimed.  $\square$

It follows from the two claims that  $V \subseteq A^{O_n(1)}$ . This completes the induction and hence the proof of Theorem 9.1.

## REFERENCES

- [A] M. Aschbacher, *Finite group theory*, Second, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 10, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000. MR1777008 ↑2.1, 2.11, 2.12, 3, 7, 9.2
- [BGT1] E. Breuillard, B. Green, and T. Tao, *Approximate subgroups of linear groups*, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **21** (2011), no. 4, 774–819. MR2827010 ↑1, 1, 1.6, 4
- [BGT2] ———, *The structure of approximate groups*, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **116** (2012), 115–221. MR3090256 ↑1, 1.2, 1
- [BNP] L. Babai, N. Nikolov, and L. Pyber, *Product growth and mixing in finite groups*, *Proceedings of the Nineteenth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*, 2008, pp. 248–257. MR2485310 ↑2.8
- [BT] E. Breuillard and M. C. H. Tointon, *Nilprogressions and groups with moderate growth*, *Adv. Math.* **289** (2016), 1008–1055. MR3439705 ↑1
- [E] S. Eberhard, *A note on nonabelian Freiman-Ruzsa*, arXiv e-prints (Nov. 2015), arXiv:1511.06758, available at 1511.06758. ↑1
- [F] G. A. Freiman, *Groups and the inverse problems of additive number theory*, *Number-theoretic studies in the Markov spectrum and in the structural theory of set addition* (Russian), 1973, pp. 175–183. MR0435006 ↑5
- [FT] W. Feit and J. Tits, *Projective representations of minimum degree of group extensions*, *Canadian J. Math.* **30** (1978), no. 5, 1092–1102. MR498824 ↑3
- [G] W. T. Gowers, *Quasirandom groups*, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **17** (2008), no. 3, 363–387. MR2410393 ↑2.8
- [GH] N. Gill and H. A. Helfgott, *Growth in solvable subgroups of  $GL_r(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$* , *Math. Ann.* **360** (2014), no. 1-2, 157–208. MR3263161 ↑1, 1, 7.1, 7.2
- [H1] H. A. Helfgott, *Growth in  $SL_3(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$* , *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **13** (2011), no. 3, 761–851. MR2781932 ↑1, 7.2
- [H2] H. A. Helfgott, *Growth in groups: ideas and perspectives*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **52** (2015), no. 3, 357–413. MR3348442 ↑1, 2.2, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4
- [KL] P. Kleidman and M. Liebeck, *The subgroup structure of the finite classical groups*, *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*, vol. 129, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990. MR1057341 ↑3, 3, 3.9
- [LL] R. Lawther and M. W. Liebeck, *On the diameter of a Cayley graph of a simple group of Lie type based on a conjugacy class*, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **83** (1998), no. 1, 118–137. MR1629452 ↑4
- [LP1] M. J. Larsen and R. Pink, *Finite subgroups of algebraic groups*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **24** (2011), no. 4, 1105–1158. MR2813339 ↑3, 3.6
- [LP2] M. W. Liebeck and L. Pyber, *Upper bounds for the number of conjugacy classes of a finite group*, *J. Algebra* **198** (1997), no. 2, 538–562. MR1489911 ↑3
- [LS] V. Landazuri and G. M. Seitz, *On the minimal degrees of projective representations of the finite Chevalley groups*, *J. Algebra* **32** (1974), 418–443. MR360852 ↑2.7
- [NP] N. Nikolov and L. Pyber, *Product decompositions of quasirandom groups and a Jordan type theorem*, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **13** (2011), no. 4, 1063–1077. MR2800484 ↑2.8, 2.3, 5
- [PS1] L. Pyber and E. Szabó, *Growth in finite simple groups of Lie type of bounded rank*, arXiv e-prints (May 2010), arXiv:1005.1858, available at 1005.1858. ↑1
- [PS2] L. Pyber and E. Szabó, *Growth in linear groups*, *Thin groups and superstrong approximation*, 2014, pp. 253–268. MR3220893 ↑1
- [PS3] ———, *Growth in finite simple groups of Lie type*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **29** (2016), no. 1, 95–146. MR3402696 ↑1, 1.6, 4, 1, 4.2, 4.3
- [R] A. Rhemtulla, *Commutators of certain finitely generated soluble groups*, *Canadian Journal of Mathematics* **21** (1969), 1160–1164. ↑5
- [S] A. Shalev, *Word maps, conjugacy classes, and a noncommutative Waring-type theorem*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **170** (2009), no. 3, 1383–1416. MR2600876 ↑5
- [T] T. Tao, *Freiman’s theorem for solvable groups*, 2009. <https://terrytao.wordpress.com/2009/06/21/freimans-theorem-for-solvable-groups/#comment-39705>. ↑1

- [W1] B. A. F. Wehrfritz, *Infinite linear groups. An account of the group-theoretic properties of infinite groups of matrices*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973. *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 76*. MR0335656 ↑[3.1](#), [3](#), [3.3](#), [3](#), [3](#), [3](#), [3](#)
- [W2] B. Weisfeiler, *Post-classification version of Jordan's theorem on finite linear groups*, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **81** (1984), no. 16, , *Phys. Sci.*, 5278–5279. MR758425 ↑[3](#), [3.6](#)
- [W3] J. S. Wilson, *On simple pseudofinite groups*, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **51** (1995), no. 3, 471–490. MR1332885 ↑[5](#)

SEAN EBERHARD, CENTRE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, WILBERFORCE ROAD, CAMBRIDGE CB3 0WB, UK

*Email address:* [eberhard@maths.cam.ac.uk](mailto:eberhard@maths.cam.ac.uk)

BRENDAN MURPHY, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRISTOL, WOODLAND RD, BRISTOL B8 1UG, UK

*Email address:* [brendan.murphy@bristol.ac.uk](mailto:brendan.murphy@bristol.ac.uk)

LÁSZLÓ PYBER, A. RÉNYI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, EÖTVÖS LORÁND RESEARCH NETWORK, P.O. BOX 127, H-1364 BUDAPEST, HUNGARY

*Email address:* [pyber@renyi.hu](mailto:pyber@renyi.hu)

ENDRE SZABÓ, A. RÉNYI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, EÖTVÖS LORÁND RESEARCH NETWORK, P.O. BOX 127, H-1364 BUDAPEST, HUNGARY

*Email address:* [endre@renyi.hu](mailto:endre@renyi.hu)