

THE WITT CLASSES OF $SO(2r)_{2r}$

ERIC C. ROWELL, YUZE RUAN, AND YILONG WANG

ABSTRACT. We study the Witt classes of the modular categories $SO(2r)_{2r}$ associated with quantum groups of type D_r at $4r-2$ th roots of unity. From these classes we derive infinitely many Witt classes of order 2 that are linearly independent modulo the subgroup generated by the pointed modular categories. In particular we produce an example of a simple, completely anisotropic modular category that is not pointed whose Witt class has order 2, answering a question of Davydov, Müger, Nikshych and Ostrik. Our results show that the trivial Witt class $[\text{Vec}]$ has infinitely many square roots modulo the pointed classes, in analogy with the recent construction of infinitely many square roots of the Ising Witt classes modulo the pointed classes constructed in a similar way from certain type B_r modular categories. We compare the subgroups generated by the Ising square roots and $[\text{Vec}]$ square roots and provide evidence that they also generate linearly independent subgroups.

1. INTRODUCTION

Modular categories play a central role in the study of 2-dimensional topological phases of matter [23], invariants of 3-manifolds [37] and conformal field theory [19]. The problem of classifying modular categories led to the definition [5] of the Witt group \mathcal{W} for non-degenerate braided fusion categories, generalizing the Witt group for abelian groups equipped with non-degenerate quadratic forms (see [9]). Two non-degenerate braided fusion categories \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are Witt equivalent if they are equivalent “modulo Drinfeld centers,” i.e., if $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}^{rev} \cong \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$ for some fusion category \mathcal{A} .

Recently [24] it was shown that the 8 Witt classes of Ising categories have infinitely many independent square roots modulo the subgroup generated by pointed categories. This was achieved using the *signature*, a new Witt class invariant related to the higher central charge introduced in [26]. From this a verification of a conjecture of [6] was derived, namely, that the torsion subgroup $s\mathcal{W}_2$ of the super-Witt group has infinite rank. The categories representing the square roots of the Ising Witt classes are of the form $SO(N)_N$ with N odd, obtained from the (Lie type B) quantum groups $U_q\mathfrak{so}_N$ at $q = e^{\pi i/(4N-4)}$. In this article we study the case N even, obtained from (Lie type D) quantum groups of $U_q\mathfrak{so}_N$ with $q = e^{\pi i/(2N-2)}$. From these we obtain infinitely many Witt classes of order 2, which intersect trivially with the subgroup generated by the pointed and Ising classes. Thus we have infinitely many square roots of the trivial Witt class $[\text{Vec}]$, modulo the subgroup generated by the pointed and Ising Witt classes. From this we derive an affirmative answer to [5, Question 6.8]: there *does* exist a completely anisotropic simple modular category whose Witt class has order 2. We also compare the Lie type D Witt classes studied here with the Lie type B Witt classes of [24]. Although we cannot show there are no relations among them, we do find an infinite sequence of type D classes that intersects trivially with the infinite sequence of type B Witt classes used in [24] to verify the conjecture of [6].

Our results further illustrate the usefulness of the signature for distinguishing Witt classes. The methods we use are number-theoretical and thus require carefully chosen parameters. It would be interesting to

Date: January 24, 2022.

E.C.R. was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-DMS-1664359. The authors thank Siu-Hung Ng for useful conversations.

understand the relations among all of these Witt classes. We believe that the examples we study provide infinitely many Witt-independent examples of non-pointed completely anisotropic simple modular categories (so-called property S categories) with order 2 Witt classes, but we only verify a single example.

Here is a more detailed outline of this article. After providing the notation and context of the problem we derive square roots of $[\text{Vec}]$ from quantum groups of Lie type D_r , treating the r odd and r even case separately. Next we compute their signatures, and use them to find a sub-sequence of these Witt classes that are linearly independent, modulo the subgroup generated by pointed and Ising categories. Next we compare the Witt subgroups we study with those of [24]. Finally we show that at least one square root of $[\text{Vec}]$ is both simple and anisotropic, answering a question of [5].

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Fusion categories. A *fusion category* is a semisimple, \mathbb{C} -linear abelian, rigid monoidal category with finite-dimensional Hom-spaces and finitely many isomorphism classes of simple objects among which is the tensor unit $\mathbb{1}$ (cf. [12]). For any fusion category \mathcal{C} , we denote by $\text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$ the set of isomorphism classes of simple objects of \mathcal{C} . If the context is clear, we will use the abuse notation to denote an object in an isomorphism class X of \mathcal{C} by X . The tensor product endows $K_0(\mathcal{C})$, the Grothendieck group of \mathcal{C} , with a ring structure. More precisely, we have $X \otimes Y = \sum_{Z \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} N_{X,Y}^Z Z$ for any $X, Y \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$, where

$$N_{X,Y}^Z := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{C}(X \otimes Y, Z).$$

For any $X \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$, let \mathcal{N}_X be the square matrix of size $|\text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})|$ such that $(\mathcal{N}_X)_{Y,Z} = N_{X,Y}^Z$ for any $Y, Z \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$. The *Frobenius-Perron dimension* (or FP-dimension) of $X \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$, denoted by $\text{FPdim}(X)$, is the largest positive eigenvalue for \mathcal{N}_X (cf. [12]). The Frobenius-Perron dimension of \mathcal{C} is defined to be

$$\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) = \sum_{X \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} \text{FPdim}(X)^2.$$

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category. For any object $V \in \mathcal{C}$, the left dual of V is a triple $(V^*, \text{ev}_V, \text{coev}_V)$, where $\text{ev}_V : V^* \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$ and $\text{coev}_V : \mathbb{1} \rightarrow V \otimes V^*$ are the evaluation and coevaluation morphisms for the left dual V^* of V (cf. [11]). A simple object X of \mathcal{C} is called *invertible* if $X \otimes X^* \cong \mathbb{1}$. A fusion category is *pointed* if all of its simple objects are invertible. The maximal pointed fusion subcategory of a fusion category \mathcal{C} is denoted by \mathcal{C}_{pt} .

For any morphism $f : X \rightarrow X^{**}$, its *left quantum trace* is defined to be

$$\text{tr}_X(f) := \text{ev}_{X^*} \circ (f \otimes \text{id}_{X^*}) \circ \text{coev}_X \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}) \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

One can extend the left duality of \mathcal{C} to a contravariant functor $(-)^*$. Then $(-)^{**}$ defines a monoidal functor on \mathcal{C} . A *pivotal structure* on a fusion category \mathcal{C} is an isomorphism of monoidal functors $j : \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \xrightarrow{\cong} (-)^{**}$. A fusion category equipped with a pivotal structure is called a *pivotal fusion category*, in which the *quantum dimension* of any object $V \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ is defined to be

$$\dim_j(V) := \text{tr}_V(j_V) \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}) \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

A pivotal structure j on \mathcal{C} is called *spherical* if $\dim_j(V) = \dim_j(V^*)$ for all $V \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$. A pivotal fusion category \mathcal{C} is called a *spherical fusion category* if its pivotal structure is spherical. We will simply denote the quantum dimension of an object $V \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ by d_V or $\dim(V)$ when the pivotal structure is clear from the context.

The *global dimension* of any fusion category was introduced in [20, Def. 2.5]. If \mathcal{C} is a spherical fusion category, its global dimension is given by

$$\dim(\mathcal{C}) = \sum_{X \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} d_X^2.$$

By [12, Rmk. 2.5], $\dim(\mathcal{C})$ is a totally positive algebraic integer. We will denote the positive square root of $\dim(\mathcal{C})$ by $\sqrt{\dim(\mathcal{C})}$.

A fusion category \mathcal{C} is called *pseudounitary* if $\dim(\mathcal{C}) = \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C})$. It is shown in [12, Prop. 8.23] that a pseudounitary fusion category \mathcal{C} admits a canonical spherical structure such that $d_V = \text{FPdim}(V)$ for any $V \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$. In this paper, we assume that any pseudounitary fusion category is spherical and is equipped with its canonical spherical structure.

2.2. Braided fusion categories. Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category. A *braiding* on \mathcal{C} is a natural isomorphism

$$\beta_{V,W} : V \otimes W \xrightarrow{\cong} W \otimes V$$

satisfying the Hexagon axioms (cf. [11]). A fusion category equipped with a braiding is called a *braided fusion category*.

The degeneracy of a braiding β on \mathcal{C} is characterized by double braidings. Let \mathcal{D}' be the centralizer of a fusion subcategory $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{C}$, which is the full subcategory \mathcal{C} generated by objects $V \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ such that $\beta_{W,V} \circ \beta_{V,W} = \text{id}_{V \otimes W}$ for all $W \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{D})$. In particular, The *Müger center* \mathcal{C}' is a fusion subcategory of \mathcal{C} . A braided fusion category \mathcal{C} is called *non-degenerate* if $\text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}') = \{\mathbf{1}\}$, i.e., \mathcal{C}' is equivalent to Vec , the category of finite-dimensional vector spaces over \mathbb{C} . From any fusion category \mathcal{A} , one can construct a non-degenerate braided fusion category [14, 15, 18], called the *Drinfeld center* of \mathcal{A} and denoted by $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$.

In contrast to non-degenerate braided fusion categories, a braided fusion category \mathcal{C} is called a *symmetric fusion category* if $\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{C}$. For any finite group G , let $\text{Rep}(G)$ be the fusion category of finite-dimensional complex representations of G , equipped with the usual braiding. If $z \in G$ is a central element of order 2, let $\text{Rep}(G, z)$ be the fusion category $\text{Rep}(G)$ equipped with the braiding given by the universal R -matrix $R = \frac{1}{2}(1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes z + z \otimes 1 - z \otimes z)$. Both $\text{Rep}(G)$ and $\text{Rep}(G, z)$ are symmetric fusion categories, and the theorems of Deligne imply that any symmetric fusion category is braided equivalent to $\text{Rep}(G)$ or $\text{Rep}(G, z)$ for some finite group G (cf. [7, 8]).

A *premodular* category is a spherical braided fusion category. A premodular category \mathcal{C} is called *modular* if \mathcal{C} is non-degenerate. The (unnormalized) *S-matrix* of a premodular category \mathcal{C} is defined to be

$$S_{X,Y} := \text{tr}_{X^* \otimes Y}(\beta_{Y,X^*} \circ \beta_{X^*,Y}), \quad X, Y \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}).$$

An alternative criterion for modularity of a premodular category is that the S-matrix is invertible (cf. [21]).

Let \mathcal{C} be a premodular category. A natural isomorphism $\theta : \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$, called the *ribbon structure* of \mathcal{C} , can be defined using the spherical pivotal structure of \mathcal{C} and the Drinfeld isomorphism (cf. [11]). The ribbon structure satisfies

$$(2.1) \quad \theta_{V \otimes W} = (\theta_V \otimes \theta_W) \circ \beta_{W,V} \circ \beta_{V,W}$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \theta_{V^*} = \theta_V^*$$

for any $V, W \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$. In particular, for any $X \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$, θ_X is equal to a non-zero scalar times id_X . By an abuse of notation, we denote both the scalar and the isomorphism itself by θ_X for all simple X . The

T -matrix of a premodular category \mathcal{C} is defined to be the diagonal matrix

$$T_{X,Y} := \theta_X \cdot \delta_{X,Y}, \quad X, Y \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}).$$

It is well-known that if \mathcal{C} is modular, then the S - and the T -matrices give rise to a projective representation of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ (cf. [2, 37]).

Pointed braided fusion categories are completely classified: they are denoted $\mathcal{C}(A, q)$ as each corresponds to a pre-metric group (A, q) where A is an abelian group and q is a quadratic form on A , see [11, Section 8.4]. The unique spherical structure for which $\mathcal{C}(A, q)$ is pseudo-unitary corresponds to twists $\theta_a = q(a)$. The pointed category $\mathcal{C}(A, q)$ is non-degenerate if and only if $(a, b) \rightarrow \frac{q(a+b)}{q(a)q(b)}$ is a non-degenerate pairing.

Modular data yield important numerical invariants of modular categories. For example, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the n -th Gauss sum is defined in [26] as

$$\tau_n(\mathcal{C}) = \sum_{X \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} d_X^2 \theta_X^n.$$

When $\tau_n \neq 0$, the n -th central charge is defined as

$$(2.3) \quad \xi_n(\mathcal{C}) := \frac{\tau_n(\mathcal{C})}{|\tau_n(\mathcal{C})|},$$

which are powerful invariants of the Witt group used in [26, 24] as well as in the current paper.

2.3. Witt group \mathcal{W} . The concept of Witt equivalence of non-degenerate braided fusion categories is introduced in [5]. More precisely, two non-degenerate braided fusion categories \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are Witt equivalent if there exist fusion categories \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} such that $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}) \cong \mathcal{D} \boxtimes \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B})$. We denote the Witt equivalence class of a category \mathcal{C} by $[\mathcal{C}]$. Under the Deligne product, Witt equivalence classes form an abelian group denoted by \mathcal{W} . The Witt group \mathcal{W} of non-degenerate braided fusion categories can be viewed as a generalization of the classical Witt group of non-degenerate quadratic forms on abelian groups (i.e., metric groups), which generate the pointed part of \mathcal{W} denoted by \mathcal{W}_{pt} . The structure of \mathcal{W}_{pt} is well known [9, 5]. The study of the Witt group is closely related to the theory of conformal embeddings in the context of affine Kac-Moody algebras [1, 34] and to phase transitions driven by anyon condensation and symmetry gauging in topological phases of matter [4, 3].

Numerical invariants are powerful tools in the study of the structure of the Witt group. For example, the first central charge ξ_1 (see (2.3)) is used in the study of conformal embeddings of rational vertex operator algebras associated to affine Lie algebras [5]. Another important Witt invariant, namely, the *signature homomorphism*, is introduced in [24]. Here, we briefly recall the definition as follows. For any fusion category \mathcal{C} , it is well-known that $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C})$ is totally positive algebraic integer [12]. Therefore, its positive square root, denoted by $\sqrt{\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C})}$, is a totally real algebraic integer, and the signature of \mathcal{C} is then defined to be the sign of its Galois conjugates. More precisely, let $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ be the absolute Galois group of \mathbb{Q} , then the signature of \mathcal{C} is the function

$$(2.4) \quad \varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}} : \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}, \quad \sigma \mapsto \text{sgn} \left(\sigma(\sqrt{\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C})}) \right).$$

By Galois theory, it is easy to see that $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}}$ factors through any Galois extension of \mathbb{Q} containing $\sqrt{\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C})}$. Therefore, in the sections below, we will use large enough fields (instead of $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$) to simplify computations. Let $\mathcal{U}_2 := \{\pm 1\}^{\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})}$ be the group of functions from $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ to $\{\pm 1\}$. Then by [24, Thm. 3.4], the signature map induces a well-defined group homomorphism

$$(2.5) \quad I : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_2, \quad [\mathcal{C}] \mapsto \varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}},$$

which is the main technical tool in this paper.

It is natural to pursue constructions resulting in new non-degenerate braided fusion categories that are Witt equivalent to a given one, and taking local modules of connected étale algebras is one such construction. Let \mathcal{C} be a braided fusion category with braiding β . An *algebra* in \mathcal{C} is an object $A \in \mathcal{C}$ along with morphisms $m_A : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ and $\iota_A : \mathbf{1} \hookrightarrow A$ satisfying the associativity and the unit axioms (see, for example, [28]). An algebra A is *commutative* if $m_A \circ \beta_{A,A} = m_A$, and it is called *separable* if m_A splits as a morphism of A -bimodules. An *étale* algebra is a commutative separable algebra, and finally, an étale algebra A is called *connected* if $\mathcal{C}(A, \mathbf{1}) \cong \mathbb{C}$. It is shown in [5] that if $A \in \mathcal{C}$ is a connected étale algebra, then \mathcal{C}_A , the category of right A -modules, is a fusion category. Moreover, according to [29, 16], β descends to A -module maps for *local* modules. More precisely, a right A -module M with A -action $\alpha : M \otimes A \rightarrow M$ is called *local* (or *dyslectic*) if it satisfies $\alpha \circ \beta_{A,M} \circ \beta_{M,A} = \alpha$. As an immediate consequence, \mathcal{C}_A^0 , the full subcategory of \mathcal{C}_A of local modules of A , is a braided fusion category. By [5, Prop. 5.4], for any non-degenerate braided fusion category \mathcal{C} and any connected étale algebra $A \in \mathcal{C}$, \mathcal{C} is Witt equivalent to \mathcal{C}_A^0 . This important fact makes the local module construction closely related to the conformal embedding in the theory of rational vertex operator algebras, for details, see [5].

It can be directly verified that when \mathcal{C} contains $\text{Rep}(G)$ as a braided fusion subcategory for some finite group G , then the regular algebra $A = \text{Fun}(G)$ is a connected étale algebra of \mathcal{C} . In this case, the local module category \mathcal{C}_A^0 has an alternative description as the *de-equivariantization* of $\text{Rep}(G)$ [9, 5]. *In this case, we also call \mathcal{C}_A^0 the condensation of \mathcal{C} by G , and denote it by \mathcal{C}_G^0 .*

By taking the local module of a maximal connected étale algebra in a non-degenerate braided fusion category \mathcal{C} , one gets a “minimal” representative in its Witt class in the following sense. A non-degenerate braided fusion category is called *completely anisotropic* if it does not contain any connected étale algebra other than $\mathbf{1}$. It is shown in [5, Thm. 5.13] that any Witt class contains a unique (up to braided equivalence) completely anisotropic representative. While specific Witt classes can be difficult to compare in practice, having a completely anisotropic representative is particularly useful. Simplicity in sense of minimality: a fusion category \mathcal{C} is called *simple* if \mathcal{C} has no non-trivial fusion subcategories, there are examples of completely anisotropic categories which are not simple and vice versa. It is interesting to consider the categories which are “minimal” in both senses, which leads to the concept of *property S* [5, Sec. 5.4]. A non-degenerate braided fusion category \mathcal{C} has *property S* if it is completely anisotropic, simple and not pointed ($[\mathcal{C}] \notin \mathcal{W}_{\text{pt}}$), the subgroup of \mathcal{W} generated by the categories with property S is denoted by \mathcal{W}_S .

3. THE CATEGORIES $\mathfrak{so}(2r)_{2r}$ AND \mathcal{D}_r

3.1. Formulas and notations. Quantum groups are prominent examples of modular categories whose origin traces back to the dawn of the subject [10, 17]. For any simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} over \mathbb{C} and a positive integer k called the *level*, one gets a modular category \mathfrak{g}_k by taking the semisimplification of the tilting module category of the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ specialized at a root of unity q determined by \mathfrak{g} and k . The reader is referred to [2, 31] for details. According to [39], these quantum group modular categories are pseudounitary (here the integrality of k is paramount). Therefore, by our convention, we assume that they are endowed with the canonical spherical structure, and so the categorical dimensions in these categories coincide with their Frobenius-Perron dimensions.

Let $r \geq 2$ be an integer and \mathcal{C}_r be the quantum group modular category $\mathfrak{so}(2r)_{2r}$. In this section, we study the structure of the Witt subgroup generated by these categories, and the signature (see (2.4)) is our main tool to detect this structure. Following [31, 2, 13], we set up notations and provide necessary data for the computations in the sections below.

- Orthonormal basis for the inner product space $(\mathbb{R}^r, (\cdot | \cdot))$: $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$.

- Positive roots Δ_+ :

$$\begin{aligned} e_j - e_k, \quad 1 \leq j < k \leq r, \\ e_j + e_k, \quad 1 \leq j < k \leq r. \end{aligned}$$

- Highest root:

$$v_0 = e_1 + e_2.$$

- Half sum of positive roots:

$$\rho = (r-1)e_1 + (r-2)e_2 \cdots + e_{r-1}.$$

- Fundamental weights:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_j &= \sum_{i=1}^j e_i, \quad 1 \leq j \leq r-2, \\ \omega_{r-1} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} e_i - \frac{1}{2} e_r, \quad \omega_r = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^r e_i. \end{aligned}$$

- The set of dominant weights: Φ_+ .
- Root lattice: Q .
- The set of positive roots: Δ_+ .
- Coroot lattice:

$$Q^\vee = \left\{ \frac{2\alpha}{(\alpha|\alpha)} \mid \alpha \in Q \right\}.$$

- Weight lattice: P .
- Dual Coxeter number: $h^\vee = 2r - 2$.
- The fundamental alcove is in one-to-one correspondence with the simple objects of \mathcal{C}_r :

$$(3.6) \quad A_r = \{\lambda \in \Phi_+ \mid (\lambda|v_0) \leq 2r\} = \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}_r).$$

- Quantum parameter:

$$(3.7) \quad q = \exp\left(\frac{\pi i}{(2r + h^\vee)}\right) = \exp\left(\frac{\pi i}{4r - 2}\right).$$

- Quantum integer:

$$[m] = \frac{q^m - q^{-m}}{q - q^{-1}}.$$

- Twist:

$$(3.8) \quad \theta_\lambda = q^{(\lambda|\lambda+2\rho)}, \quad \lambda \in A_r.$$

- Quantum dimension:

$$(3.9) \quad d_\lambda = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \frac{[(\lambda + \rho|\alpha)]}{[(\rho|\alpha)]}, \quad \lambda \in A_r.$$

- First central charge:

$$(3.10) \quad \xi_1(\mathcal{C}_r) = \exp\left(\frac{\pi i r^2}{4}\right).$$

For simplicity, we also adopt the following conventions. For any positive integer n , we use ζ_n to denote the primitive n -th root of unity $\exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{n}\right)$, and \mathbb{Q}_n to denote the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$. The following lemma gives bounds for the conductor of $\sqrt{\dim(\mathcal{C}_r)}$, which will help us in the computation of the signature of \mathcal{C}_r .

Lemma 3.1. *Let T_r be the T -matrix of \mathcal{C}_r , and $N_r = \text{ord}(T_r)$. For any positive integer $r \geq 2$, we have $\sqrt{\dim(\mathcal{C}_r)} \in \mathbb{Q}_{N_r}$ and $N_r = 2^s(2r-1)$ for some non-negative integer s .*

Proof. Since $2e_1, \omega_r \in A_r$ (cf. (3.6)), by (3.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_{2e_1} &= q^{(2e_1|2e_1+2\rho)} = q^{4r} = -\exp\left(\frac{\pi i}{2r-1}\right), \\ \theta_{\omega_r} &= q^{(\omega|\omega+2\rho)} = q^{\frac{(2r-1)r}{4}} = \exp\left(\frac{r\pi i}{8}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since for any $\lambda \in A_r$, $(\lambda|\lambda+2\rho) \in \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{Z}$, $\theta_\lambda = q^{(\lambda|\lambda+2\rho)}$ is $16(2r-1)$ th-root of unity. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}2r-1 \mid N_r \mid 16(2r-1) \quad &\text{when } r \text{ is even,} \\ 16(2r-1) \mid N_r \mid 16(2r-1) \implies N_r = 16(2r-1) \quad &\text{when } r \text{ is odd.}\end{aligned}$$

This proves the second part of the lemma.

Now since $\xi_1(\mathcal{C}_r) = \frac{\tau_1(\mathcal{C}_r)}{\sqrt{\dim(\mathcal{C}_r)}}$, by (3.10), we have $\xi_1(\mathcal{C}_r) = \pm 1 \in \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q}_{N_r}$ when r is even and $\xi_1(\mathcal{C}_r) = \exp\left(\frac{\pi i}{4}\right) \in \mathbb{Q}_{N_r}$ when r is odd. Moreover, from [25, Thm. 5.5], $d_X \in \mathbb{Q}_{N_r}$, which implies $\tau_1(\mathcal{C}_r) \in \mathbb{Q}_{N_r}$, and so $\sqrt{\dim(\mathcal{C}_r)} \in \mathbb{Q}_{N_r}$. \square

3.2. The category \mathcal{D}_r . Let $\text{Sem} := \mathfrak{sl}(2)_1 \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, q)$ be the semion category [30] where $q(a) = i^{a^2}$. It has two simple objects of dimension 1, and the non-unit simple object has twist i . By direct computation, we have $\xi_1(\text{Sem}) = \exp\left(\frac{\pi i}{4}\right)$. The following result describes the categories we study here. Recall that a category is called *unpointed* if the only invertible object is the unit object.

Lemma 3.2. *For each $r > 1$ there is an unpointed pseudo-unitary modular category, denoted by \mathcal{D}_r , such that:*

- (1) for r odd: $(\mathcal{C}_r)_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}^0 \cong \text{Sem} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}_r$ and
- (2) for r even: $(\mathcal{C}_r)_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}^0 \cong \mathcal{D}_r$

are the condensations of \mathcal{C}_r by the maximal pointed symmetric subcategory.

Proof. Let $\lambda_1 = 2r\omega_{r-1}$, $\lambda_2 = 2r\omega_1$, $\lambda_3 = 2r\omega_r$, one can check easily that $\lambda_i \in A_r$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. By [32, 33], these are all of the nontrivial invertible objects in \mathcal{C}_r . Moreover, when r is odd, $\lambda_1^j = \lambda_j$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$, and when r is even, $\lambda_i^2 = \mathbb{1}$ and $\lambda_1 \otimes \lambda_2 = \lambda_3$, see, e.g., [32, Lemma 2].

Therefore, $(\mathcal{C}_r)_{\text{pt}} \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}, q_1)$ for odd r , $(\mathcal{C}_r)_{\text{pt}} \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, q_2)$ for even r , where q_1 and q_2 are quadratic forms determined by the following twist values:

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_{\lambda_1} &= q^{(4r\omega_{r-1}-2r\omega_r|4r\omega_{r-1}-2r\omega_r+2\rho)} = q^{2r^2(r-1)+r^2} = \exp\left(\frac{r^2}{2}\pi i\right), \\ \theta_{\lambda_2} &= q^{(2r\omega_1|2r\omega_1+2\rho)} = q^{2r(4r-2)} = \exp(2r\pi i) = 1, \\ \theta_{\lambda_3} &= q^{(2r\omega_r|2r\omega_r+2\rho)} = q^{r^2(2r-1)} = \exp\left(\frac{r^2}{2}\pi i\right).\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, when r is odd, the fusion subcategory generated by λ_2 is equivalent to $\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, and we get a modular category by condensing λ_2 in \mathcal{C}_r . Let $F : \langle \lambda_2 \rangle' \rightarrow (\langle \lambda_2 \rangle')_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}$ be the de-equivariantization functor. Since $\lambda_2 \otimes \lambda_1 = \lambda_3$, we have $s = F(\lambda_1) = F(\lambda_3)$ is a object with $\theta_s = i$ and $s^2 = 1$, hence $\langle s \rangle \cong \text{Sem}$. From [22, Thm. 4.2], we have $(\langle \lambda_2 \rangle')_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} \cong \text{Sem} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}_r$, where \mathcal{D}_r is the centralizer of Sem in $(\langle \lambda_2 \rangle')_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}$.

When r is even, all twists are 1 so we have $(\mathcal{C}_r)_{\text{pt}} \cong \text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, therefore we can again do the condensation and denote the resulting modular category by \mathcal{D}_r . The pseudo-unitarity of \mathcal{D}_r follows from

[35, Lem. 2.4]. It follows that $(\mathcal{D}_r)_{\text{pt}} \cong \text{Vec}$, since the only pointed objects in \mathcal{D}_r are images of objects of integer dimension, all of which are invertible in this case (see [36]). \square

$$\text{We see that } \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}_r) = \begin{cases} 8 \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{D}_r) & r \text{ odd} \\ 16 \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{D}_r) & r \text{ even} \end{cases}.$$

From Lemma 3.2, we immediately see that

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} [\text{Sem} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}_r] &= [\mathcal{C}_r] & r \text{ odd} \\ [\mathcal{D}_r] &= [\mathcal{C}_r] & r \text{ even.} \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by [26, Lem. 3.12], we have $\xi_1(\mathcal{D}_r) = 1$.

Now the conformal embedding $\mathfrak{so}(m)_n \times \mathfrak{so}(n)_m \subset \mathfrak{so}(mn)_1$ (see, for example, [5]) implies that

$$[\mathcal{C}_r]^2 = \begin{cases} [\text{Sem}]^2 & r \text{ odd,} \\ [\text{Vec}] & r \text{ even,} \end{cases}$$

and so

$$(3.12) \quad [\mathcal{D}_r]^2 = [\text{Vec}].$$

3.3. The r odd case. We first derive a formula for $\sqrt{\dim \mathcal{D}_r}$ to which we may easily apply Galois automorphisms. As usual we denote the floor function by $x \mapsto \lfloor x \rfloor$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let D_r denote the positive square root of the global dimension of \mathcal{D}_r . We have $D_r \in \mathbb{Q}_{8N_r}$ and*

$$(3.13) \quad D_r = Y \sqrt{2r-1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{2r-3} \left(\sin \frac{j\pi}{4r-2} \right)^{m_r(j)} \right)^{-1},$$

where $Y = 2^{\frac{3r+1}{2}-r^2} \cdot (2r-1)^{\frac{r-1}{2}} \in \mathbb{Q}$, and $m_r(j)$ is given by:

$$(3.14) \quad m_r(j) = \begin{cases} r - \lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor & 1 \leq j \leq r-1, \\ r - \lceil \frac{j+1}{2} \rceil & r \leq j \leq 2r-3. \end{cases}$$

Proof. $D_r \in \mathbb{Q}_{8N_r}$ follows from Lemma 3.1, and the fact that $\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}_8$. According to [2, Thm. 3.3.20], and dimension counting, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} D_r &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{|P/((2r+h^\vee)Q^\vee)|} \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \left(2 \sin \left(\frac{(\alpha|\rho)}{2r+h^\vee} \pi \right) \right)^{-1} \\ &= Y \sqrt{2r-1} \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \left(\sin \left(\frac{(\alpha|\rho)}{4r-2} \pi \right) \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

When $\alpha = e_a + e_b$ for some $1 \leq a < b \leq r$, $(\alpha|\rho) = 2r - (a+b)$, hence $1 \leq (\alpha|\rho) \leq 2r-3$. When $\alpha = e_a - e_b$ for some $1 \leq a < b \leq r$, $(\alpha|\rho) = b-a$, hence $1 \leq b-a \leq r-1$.

Now we define

$$\begin{aligned} m_r(j) &= |\{\alpha \in \Delta_+ : (\alpha|\rho) = j\}|, \\ n_r(i) &= |\{(a,b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : 1 \leq a < b \leq r, a+b=i\}|, \\ n'_r(i) &= |\{(a,b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : 1 \leq a < b \leq r, b-a=i\}|. \end{aligned}$$

For $1 \leq j \leq 2r-3$, we have:

$$m_r(j) = n_r(2r-j) + n'_r(j)$$

It's clear by induction that

$$n_r(i) = \begin{cases} \lfloor \frac{i-1}{2} \rfloor & 3 \leq i \leq r, \\ \lceil \frac{2r-i}{2} \rceil & r+1 \leq i \leq 2r-1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$n'_r(i) = \begin{cases} r-i & 1 \leq i \leq r-1, \\ 0 & r \leq i \leq 2r-3. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, we have:

$$m_r(j) = \begin{cases} r-j + \lceil \frac{j}{2} \rceil = r - \lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor & 1 \leq j \leq r-1, \\ \lfloor \frac{2r-j-1}{2} \rfloor = r - \lceil \frac{j+1}{2} \rceil & r \leq j \leq 2r-3. \end{cases}$$

□

Remark 3.4. In fact, one can show $D_r \in \mathbb{Q}_{2r-1}$, by examining (3.13) and applying basic Galois theory techniques.

Lemma 3.5. For any integer r , let $S_r = \{1 \leq j \leq 2r-3 : j \text{ and } m_r(j) \text{ are both odd}\}$. Then $|S_r|$ is even.

Proof. From (3.14), we have

$$S_r = \begin{cases} \{4m+3, 4n+1 : 0 \leq m \leq k-1, k \leq n \leq 2k-1\} & r = 4k, \\ \{4m+1, 4n+3 : 0 \leq m \leq k-1, k \leq n \leq 2k-1\} & r = 4k+1, \\ \{4m+3, 4n+1 : 0 \leq m \leq k-1, k+1 \leq n \leq 2k\} & r = 4k+2, \\ \{4m+1, 4n+3 : 0 \leq m \leq k, k \leq n \leq 2k\} & r = 4k+3. \end{cases}$$

Hence $|S_r|$ is even. □

Lemma 3.6. For any integers r, j, k with $(k, 4r-2) = 1$,

$$(3.15) \quad \sigma_k \left(\sin \left(\frac{j\pi}{4r-2} \right) \right) = \left(\frac{-1}{k} \right) \sin \left(\frac{kj\pi}{4r-2} \right) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{Q}_{8N_r}$$

Proof. Since k is odd, (3.15) follows from [24, Lemma 6.1] and the fact that for odd k , $\sigma_k(i) = \left(\frac{-1}{k}\right)i$. □

Next we compute the signatures for \mathcal{D}_r .

Corollary 3.7. If $k \equiv k' \pmod{4r-2}$ and $(k, 4r-2) = 1$, then $\sigma_k(D_r) = \sigma_{k'}(D_r)$, in particular, $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}_r}(\sigma_k) = \varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}_r}(\sigma_{k'})$.

Proof. From Lemma 3.1, we have k, k' are both coprime to $8N_r$, hence the signatures are well defined. Now since r is odd, $2r-1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, we have $\sigma_k(\sqrt{2r-1}) = \sigma_{k'}(\sqrt{2r-1})$ since $\sqrt{2r-1} \in \mathbb{Q}_{2r-1}$ ([38]). When $k \equiv k' \pmod{8r-4}$, from (3.15) and $k \equiv k' \pmod{4}$ or the fact that $|\Delta_+| = r(r-1)$ is even, we have

$$\sigma_{k'}(D_r) = \left(\left(\frac{-1}{k'} \right) \left(\frac{-1}{k} \right) \right)^{|\Delta_+|} \sigma_k(D_r) = \sigma_k(D_r).$$

Now it suffices to consider the case when $k' = 4r-2+k$, let's assume $k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $k' \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ (one will see the proof also works for $k \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $k' \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$), from Lemma 3.6, 3.5, and the fact

that $|\Delta_+|$ is even, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{k'}(D_r) &= \left(\frac{-1}{k'}\right)^{|\Delta_+|} Y\sqrt{2r-1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{2r-3} \left(\sin \frac{(k+4r-2)j\pi}{4r-2}\right)^{m_r(j)}\right)^{-1} \\
&= Y\sqrt{2r-1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{2r-3} \left((-1)^j \sin \frac{kj\pi}{4r-2}\right)^{m_r(j)}\right)^{-1} \\
&= (-1)^{S_r} \sigma_k(D_r) \\
&= \sigma_k(D_r).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.8. *Let $r \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$, we have*

$$\varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}_r}(\sigma_r) = -1.$$

Proof. From Lemma 3.1, $(r, 8N_r) = (r, 2r-1) = 1$. Let $r = 4k+1$ for some odd integer k , by (3.14), it's clear $m_r(j)$ is odd when $j \in \{4m+1, 4(m+1), 4n+2, 4n+3 \mid 0 \leq m \leq k-1, k \leq n \leq 2k-1\}$. By (3.13), [24, Lem. 6.2, 6.3] and the quadratic reciprocity, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}_r}(\sigma_r) &= \left(\frac{r}{2r-1}\right) (-1)^{\sum_{m=0}^{k-1} (\lfloor \frac{(4m+1)r}{4r-2} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{4(m+1)r}{4r-2} \rfloor) + \sum_{n=k}^{2k-1} (\lfloor \frac{4n+2}{4r-2} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{4n+3}{4r-2} \rfloor)} \\
&= \left(\frac{-1}{r}\right) (-1)^{\sum_{m=0}^{k-1} (m+m+1) + \sum_{n=k}^{2k-1} (n+n)} \\
&= (-1)^k \\
&= -1,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\left(\frac{r}{2r-1}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{-1}{r}\right)$ are Jacobi symbols modulo $2r-1$ and r respectively. □

Next we study the subgroup of \mathcal{W} generated by \mathcal{D}_r . Let $\{a_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ be a sequence of prime numbers such that $a_j \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$ and $a_j < a_{j+1}$. Such a sequence exists due to the Dirichlet prime number theorem. Let $r_j = \frac{a_j+1}{2}$, hence $r_j \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ and $a_j = 2r_j - 1$.

Theorem 3.9. $\{\mathcal{D}_{r_j}\}_{j \geq 1}$ generates a group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus \mathbb{N}}$ in the Witt group.

Proof. Since \mathcal{D}_r has order 2 in the Witt group (see (3.12)), it suffices to show that there are no relations among the \mathcal{D}_r 's. Let $\mathcal{D} := \boxtimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{D}_{r_{j_i}}$. Suppose $[\mathcal{D}] = [\text{Vec}]$, then by [24, Thm. 3.5], for any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, we have

$$\varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}}(\sigma) = 1.$$

Let $N = \text{lcm}_{i \geq 2} \{2a_{j_i}\}$, then $(a_{j_1}, N) = 1$ by construction. Hence, by Bezout's identity, there exist $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$xa_{j_1} + yN = 1.$$

Let $k = -(r_{j_1} - 1)xa_{j_1} + r_{j_1}$. Since $2 \mid (r_{j_1} - 1)$, we have

$$k \equiv 1 \pmod{N}, \quad k \equiv r_{j_1} \pmod{2a_{j_1}}.$$

Since $2a_{j_i} = 4r_{j_i} - 2$, by Corollary 3.7 and Proposition 3.8, we have

$$\varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}_{r_{j_1}}}(\sigma_k) = -1 \text{ and } \varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}_{r_{j_i}}}(\sigma_k) = 1 \text{ (} i \neq 1 \text{)}.$$

This implies that $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}}(\sigma_k) = -1$, which is a contradiction. □

Now let H denote the group generated by $\{[\mathcal{D}_{r_j}]\}_{j \geq 1}$. We have following:

Theorem 3.10. $H \cap \langle \mathcal{W}_{\text{pt}}, [\mathcal{I}] \rangle = \{[\text{Vec}]\}$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{D} := \boxtimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{D}_{r_{j_i}}$. If $[\mathcal{D}] \in H \cap \mathcal{W}_{\text{pt}}$ is nontrivial, there exists a finite abelian group L and a non-degenerate quadratic form $q : L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that the corresponding pointed modular category $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{C}(L, q)$ satisfies $[\mathcal{L}] = [\mathcal{D}]$ in \mathcal{W} . From [24, Thm. 3.5], for any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, we have

$$\varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}}(\sigma) = \varepsilon_{\mathcal{L}}(\sigma).$$

Let $h = |L|$. Then we can write $h = h_1 h_2$, where $(h_1, a_{j_1}) = 1$, $h_2 = a_{j_1}^s$. Let $N = h_1 \cdot \text{lcm}_{i \geq 2} \{2a_{j_i}\}$, we have $(a_{j_1}, N) = 1$. Hence, from Bezout's identity, there exist $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that:

$$x a_{j_1} + y N = 1$$

Let $k = -(r_{j_1} - 1)x a_{j_1} + r_{j_1}$, since $2 \mid (r_{j_1} - 1)$, we have:

$$k \equiv 1 \pmod{N}, \quad k \equiv r_{j_1} \pmod{2a_{j_1}}.$$

By Corollary 3.7 and Proposition 3.8, we have

$$\varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}_{r_{j_1}}}(\sigma_k) = -1, \text{ and } \varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}_{r_{j_i}}}(\sigma_k) = 1 \ (i \neq 1),$$

which implies $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}}(\sigma_k) = -1$.

Since $k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $k \equiv 1 \pmod{h_1}$ and $r_{j_1} \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, so by [24, Lem. 6.2], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{\mathcal{L}}(\sigma_k) &= \text{sgn}(\sigma_k(\sqrt{h_1 h_2})) = \left(\frac{k}{h_1}\right) \left(\frac{k}{h_2}\right) = \left(\frac{k}{a_{j_1}}\right)^s \\ &= \left(\frac{r_{j_1}}{2r_{j_1} - 1}\right)^s = \left(\frac{2r_{j_1} - 1}{r_{j_1}}\right)^s = \left(\frac{-1}{r_{j_1}}\right)^s = 1, \end{aligned}$$

contradiction! So $H \cap \mathcal{W}_{\text{pt}}$ is trivial.

Since $[\mathcal{I}]^2 \in \mathcal{W}_{\text{pt}}$, if $[\mathcal{D}] = [\mathcal{I}]^m [\mathcal{H}']$ for some $[\mathcal{H}'] \in \mathcal{W}_{\text{pt}}$, then by the above discussions, we must have m is odd. Let $x = \xi_1(\mathcal{I})$, then x is a primitive 16-th root of unity. Moreover, it is well-known that the first central charge of a pointed modular category is an 8-th root of unity. Hence, since $\xi_1(\mathcal{D}_r) = 1$ (cf. 3.11), there exists some $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$1 = \xi_1(\mathcal{D}) = \xi_1(\mathcal{I})^m \xi_1(\mathcal{H}') = x^m \cdot x^{2\ell} = x^{m+2\ell}.$$

However, as m is odd, the right hand side can never be 1, so we have a contradiction. Therefore, $H \cap \langle \mathcal{W}_{\text{pt}}, [\mathcal{I}] \rangle = \{[\text{Vec}]\}$. \square

From (3.11)-(3.12) The following corollary is now clear:

Corollary 3.11. *The image of $[\text{Vec}]$ has infinitely many square roots in $\mathcal{W}/\langle \mathcal{W}_{\text{pt}}, [\mathcal{I}] \rangle$, hence the same is true of $[\text{Sem}]^2$.*

3.4. The r even case. From the same argument of Proposition 3.3, the positive square root of the global dimension of \mathcal{D}_r is given by

$$(3.16) \quad D_r = Y' \left(\prod_{j=1}^{2r-3} \left(\sin \frac{j\pi}{4r-2} \right)^{m_r(j)} \right)^{-1},$$

where $Y' = 2^{\frac{3r}{2} - r^2} \cdot (2r - 1)^{\frac{r}{2}} \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $m_r(j)$ is given by (3.14), and $D_r \in \mathbb{Q}_{N_r}$, hence Corollary 3.7 also holds for the case r is even.

Proposition 3.12. *Let $r \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$, $r' \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$ we have*

$$\varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}_r}(\sigma_{2r+1}) = \varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}_{r'}}(\sigma_{r'-1}) = -1.$$

Proof. From Lemma 3.1, $(2r+1, N_r) = (2r+1, 2r-1) = 1$ and $(r'-1, N_{r'}) = (r'-1, 2r'-1) = 1$. Now similar to the proof of Lemma 3.8, let $r = 4k$, $r' = 4k' + 2$ for some odd integers k, k' , by (3.14), it's clear $m_r(j)$ is odd when $j \in \{4m+2, 4m+3, 4n, 4n+1 \mid 0 \leq m \leq k-1, k \leq n \leq 2k-1\}$ and $m_{r'}(j)$ is odd when $j \in \{4m+2, 4m+3, 4n, 4n+1 \mid 0 \leq m \leq k-1, k+1 \leq n \leq 2k\}$. By (3.16), [24, Lem. 6.1] ($2r+1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $r'-1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}_r}(\sigma_{2r+1}) &= (-1)^{\sum_{m=0}^{k-1} (\lfloor \frac{(4m+2)(2r+1)}{4r-2} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{4m+3(2r+1)}{4r-2} \rfloor) + \sum_{n=k}^{2k-1} (\lfloor \frac{4n(2r+1)}{4r-2} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{(4n+1)(2r+1)}{4r-2} \rfloor)} \\ &= (-1)^{\sum_{m=0}^{k-1} (2m+1+2m+1) + \sum_{n=k}^{2k-1} (2n+1+2n)} \\ &= (-1)^k = -1, \\ \varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}_{r'}}(\sigma_{r'-1}) &= (-1)^{\sum_{m=0}^{k-1} (\lfloor \frac{(4m+2)(r'-1)}{4r-2} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{4m+3(r'-1)}{4r-2} \rfloor) + \sum_{n=k+1}^{2k} (\lfloor \frac{4n(r'-1)}{4r-2} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{(4n+1)(r'-1)}{4r-2} \rfloor)} \\ &= (-1)^{\sum_{m=0}^{k-1} (m+m) + \sum_{n=k+1}^{2k} (n-1+n)} \\ &= (-1)^k = -1, \end{aligned}$$

□

Let $\{b_j\}_{j \geq 1}, \{b'_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ be two increasing sequences of prime numbers such that $b_j \equiv 7 \pmod{16}$ and $b'_j \equiv 11 \pmod{16}$. Such sequences exist due to the Dirichlet prime number theorem. Let $r_j = \frac{b_j+1}{2}$, $r'_j = \frac{b'_j+1}{2}$, hence $r_j \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$, $r'_j \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$ and $b_j = 2r_j - 1$, $b'_j = 2r'_j - 1$.

Theorem 3.13. *$\{[\mathcal{D}_{r_j}]\}_{j \geq 1}$ and $\{[\mathcal{D}_{r'_j}]\}_{j \geq 1}$ each generate a group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus \mathbb{N}}$ in the Witt group, and the intersection of these groups is trivial.*

Proof. Similar argument as in Theorem 3.9, let $\mathcal{D} := \boxtimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{D}_{r_{j_i}}$, $\mathcal{D}' := \boxtimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{D}_{r'_{i_i}}$. Suppose $[\mathcal{D}] = [\mathcal{D}'] = [\text{Vec}]$, then by [24, Thm. 3.5], for any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, we have

$$\varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}}(\sigma) = \varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}'}(\sigma) = 1.$$

Let $N = \text{lcm}_{i \geq 2} \{2b_{j_i}\}$, $N' = \text{lcm}_{i \geq 2} \{2b'_{i_i}\}$, then $(b_{j_1}, N) = 1$, $(b'_{i_1}, N') = 1$ by construction. Hence, by Bezout's identity, there exist $x, y, x', y' \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$xb_{j_1} + yN = 1 \quad x'b'_{i_1} + y'N' = 1.$$

Let $k = -(2r_{j_1})b_{j_1} + 2r_{j_1} + 1$, $k' = -(r'_{i_1} - 2)xb'_{i_1} + r'_{i_1} - 1$. Since $2 \mid 2r_{j_1}$ and $2 \mid r'_{i_1} - 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} k &\equiv 1 \pmod{N}, & k &\equiv r_{j_1} \pmod{2b_{j_1}}, \\ k' &\equiv 1 \pmod{N'}, & k' &\equiv r'_{i_1} - 1 \pmod{2b'_{i_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $2b_{j_i} = 4r_{j_i} - 2$, $2b'_{i_i} = 4r'_{i_i} - 2$, by Corollary 3.7 and Proposition 3.8, we have

$$\varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}_{r_{j_1}}}(\sigma_k) = \varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}_{r'_{i_1}}}(\sigma_{k'}) = -1 \text{ and } \varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}_{r_{j_i}}}(\sigma_k) = \varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}_{r'_{i_i}}}(\sigma_{k'}) = 1 \ (i \neq 1).$$

This implies that

$$\varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}}(\sigma_k) = \varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}'}(\sigma_{k'}) = -1,$$

which is a contradiction.

Moreover, by considering the products of the categories in two different families, and the fact that b_j, b'_j

are distinct primes, the trivial intersection follows from the same argument as above (set N to be the least common multiple for all $2b_{j_i}$ s and $2b'_{i}$ s except $2b_{j_1}$). \square

Let H' denote the group generated by $\{\{\mathcal{D}_{r_j}\}_{j \geq 1}\}$, and $\{\{\mathcal{D}_{r'_j}\}_{j \geq 1}\}$, similar to Theorem 3.10, we have

Theorem 3.14. $H' \cap \langle \mathcal{W}_{\text{pt}}, [\mathcal{I}] \rangle = \{[\text{Vec}]\}$.

Proof. From the proof of Theorem 3.10, and Lemma 3.12, it suffices to check for any j , $2r_j + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $r'_j - 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $\left(\frac{2r_j+1}{2r_j-1}\right) = \left(\frac{r'_j-1}{2r'_j-1}\right) = 1$. Indeed, $\left(\frac{2r_j+1}{2r_j-1}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{2r_j-1}\right) = 1$ (since when $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$, $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = 1$), and others are also easy to check. \square

4. RELATION WITH WITT SUBGROUP GENERATED BY $\mathfrak{so}(2r+1)_{2r+1}$

Let $\mathcal{B}_r = \mathfrak{so}(2r+1)_{2r+1}$. Now we prove there is an infinite subgroup of the group generated by $[\mathcal{D}_r]$ ($r \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$) that intersects trivially with the group $G_{\mathbf{p}}$ constructed in [24], where \mathbf{p} is the sequence of odd prime numbers satisfying certain conditions [24, Section 6.2]. The dimension is given by [24, Prop. 5.3]

$$(4.17) \quad B_b = \tilde{Y} \sqrt{b} \left(\prod_{l=1}^b \left(\sin \frac{(2l-1)\pi}{8b} \right) \prod_{j=1}^{2b-2} \left(\sin \frac{j\pi}{4b} \right)^{m'_b(j)} \right)^{-1},$$

where $b > 1$ is an odd integer, $\tilde{Y} = \frac{b-\frac{1}{2}}{2b^2-b-1} \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $m'_b(j) = b - \lceil \frac{j}{2} \rceil$ for $1 \leq j \leq 2b-2$.

Lemma 4.1. $B_b \in \mathbb{Q}_{16b}$, and if $k' = 8xb + k$, $(k, b) = 1$, $k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{B}_b}(\sigma_{k'}) = (-1)^x \varepsilon_{\mathcal{B}_b}(\sigma_k)$.

Proof. $\sqrt{b} \in \mathbb{Q}_{4b}$, $\sin\left(\frac{j\pi}{4b}\right) \in \mathbb{Q}_{8b}$ and $\sin\left(\frac{(2l-1)\pi}{8b}\right) \in \mathbb{Q}_{16b}$, hence $B_b \in \mathbb{Q}_{16b}$. Since $k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, k, k' are both coprime to $16b$, signatures are well defined. Moreover, by the similar argument as Corollary 3.7, together with [24, Lemma 6.1], one immediately see (b is odd)

$$\varepsilon_{\mathcal{B}_b}(\sigma'_{k'}) = (-1)^{bx} \varepsilon_{\mathcal{B}_b}(\sigma_k) = (-1)^x \varepsilon_{\mathcal{B}_b}(\sigma_k).$$

\square

Proposition 4.2. Let $r \equiv 12 \pmod{80}$, $b = 2r - 1 \equiv 23 \pmod{160}$, we have

$$\varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}_r}(\sigma_{r-3}) = 1, \quad \varepsilon_{\mathcal{B}_b}(\sigma_{8xb+r-3}) = (-1)^x.$$

Proof. Let $r = 80k + 12$ for some integers $k \geq 0$, $b = 160k + 23$, since $r - 3 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, we have $r - 3$ is coprime to both $8N_r$ and $16b$. We have seen in Lemma 3.12, $m_r(j)$ is odd when $j \in \{4m + 2, 4m + 3, 4n, 4n + 1 \mid 0 \leq m \leq 20k + 2, 20k + 3 \leq n \leq 40k + 5\}$ and it is straight forward to check $m'_b(j)$ is odd when $j \in \{4m + 3, 4(m + 1) \mid 0 \leq m \leq 80k + 10\}$. By (3.16), (4.17) [24, Lem. 6.2, 6.3] ($r - 3 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$) and the quadratic reciprocity, we have:

$$\varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}_r}(\sigma_{r-3}) = (-1)^{\sum_{m=0}^{20k+2} (\lfloor \frac{(4m+2)(r-3)}{4r-2} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{4m+3)(r-3)}{4r-2} \rfloor) + \sum_{n=20k+4}^{40k+5} (\lfloor \frac{4n(r-3)}{4r-2} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{(4n+1)(r-3)}{4r-2} \rfloor)}.$$

$$\varepsilon_{\mathcal{B}_b}(\sigma_{r-3}) = \left(\frac{r-3}{b} \right) (-1)^{\sum_{l=1}^b (\lfloor \frac{(2l-1)(r-3)}{8b} \rfloor + \sum_{m=0}^{80k+10} (\lfloor \frac{(4m+3)(r-3)}{4b} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{(4m+1)(r-3)}{4b} \rfloor)}$$

Now we compute it term by term

$$\begin{aligned} \lfloor \frac{(4m+2)(r-3)}{4r-2} \rfloor &= m + \lfloor \frac{2r-10m-6}{4r-2} \rfloor = \begin{cases} m & 0 \leq m \leq \lfloor \frac{2r-6}{10} \rfloor = 16k+1, \\ m-1 & 16k+2 \leq m \leq 20k+2, \end{cases} \\ \lfloor \frac{(4m+3)(r-3)}{4r-2} \rfloor &= m + \lfloor \frac{3r-10m-9}{4r-2} \rfloor = m, \\ \lfloor \frac{(4n)(r-3)}{4r-2} \rfloor &= n-1 + \lfloor \frac{10n-4r+2}{4r-2} \rfloor = \begin{cases} n-2 & 20k+3 \leq m \leq 32k+4, \\ n-1 & 32k+5 \leq m \leq 40k+5, \end{cases} \\ \lfloor \frac{(4n+1)(r-3)}{4r-2} \rfloor &= n-1 + \lfloor \frac{10n-5r+5}{4r-2} \rfloor = n-2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}_r}(\sigma_{r-3}) &= (-1)^{\sum_{m=16k+2}^{20k+2} (m-1+m) + \sum_{n=32k+5}^{40k+5} (n-1+n-2)} \\ &= (-1)^{(4k+1)+(2k+1)} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, let $m = 2m' + s$ ($s \in \{0, 1\}$), $l = 8l' + t$ ($t \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8\}$), we have $0 \leq m' \leq 40k+5$ when $s = 0$, $0 \leq m' \leq 40k+4$ when $s = 1$, $0 \leq l' \leq 20k+2$ when $t \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$ and $0 \leq l' \leq 20k+1$ when $t = 8$.

$$\begin{aligned} \lfloor \frac{(4m+3)(r-3)}{4b} \rfloor &= m' + \lfloor \frac{(240+320s)k-20m+27+36s}{640k+92} \rfloor \\ &= \begin{cases} m' & s=0, 0 \leq m' \leq 12k+1, \\ m'-1 & s=0, 12k+2 \leq m' \leq 40k+5, \\ m' & s=1, 0 \leq m' \leq 28k+3, \\ m'-1 & s=1, 28k+4 \leq m' \leq 40k+4, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lfloor \frac{4(m+1)(r-3)}{4b} \rfloor &= m' + \lfloor \frac{(320+320s)k-20m+36+36s}{640k+92} \rfloor \\ &= \begin{cases} m' & s=0, 0 \leq m' \leq 16k+1, \\ m'-1 & s=0, 16k+2 \leq m' \leq 40k+5, \\ m' & s=1, 0 \leq m' \leq 32k+3, \\ m'-1 & s=1, 32k+4 \leq m' \leq 40k+4, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\lfloor \frac{(2l-1)(r-3)}{8b} \rfloor = l' + \lfloor \frac{(160t-80)k + 18t - 9 - 40l'}{1280k + 184} \rfloor$$

$$= \begin{cases} l' & t = 1, 0 \leq l' \leq 2k, \\ l' - 1 & t = 1, 2k + 1 \leq l' \leq 20k + 2, \\ l' & t = 2, 0 \leq l' \leq 6k, \\ l' - 1 & t = 2, 6k + 1 \leq l' \leq 20k + 2, \\ l' & t = 3, 0 \leq l' \leq 10k + 1, \\ l' - 1 & t = 3, 10k + 2 \leq l' \leq 20k + 2, \\ l' & t = 4, 0 \leq l' \leq 14k + 1, \\ l' - 1 & t = 4, 14k + 2 \leq l' \leq 20k + 2, \\ l' & t = 5, 0 \leq l' \leq 18k + 2, \\ l' - 1 & t = 5, 18k + 3 \leq l' \leq 20k + 2, \\ l' & t \in \{6, 7\}, 0 \leq l' \leq 20k + 2, \\ l' & t = 8, 0 \leq l' \leq 20k + 1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{\mathcal{B}_b}(\sigma_{r-3}) &= \left(\frac{80k+9}{160k+23} \right) (-1)^{\sum_{m'=12k+2}^{28k+3} (m'-1+m')+40k+5-1 + \sum_{m'=16k+2}^{32k+3} (m'-1+m')+40k+5-1} \\ &\quad \times (-1)^{\sum_{m'=6k}^{2k+1} (l'-1+l') + \sum_{m'=10k+2}^{14k+1} (l'-1+l') + \sum_{m'=18k+3}^{20k+2} (l'-1+l')+20k+2} \\ &= \left(\frac{5}{80k+9} \right) (-1)^{(16k+2)+40k+4+(16k+2)+40k+4+(4k)+(4k)+(2k)+20k+2} \\ &= \left(\frac{9}{5} \right) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 4.1 ($r-3 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$), we have

$$\varepsilon_{\mathcal{B}_b}(\sigma_{8x+(r-3)}) = (-1)^x \varepsilon_{\mathcal{B}_b}(\sigma_{r-3}) = (-1)^x.$$

□

Let $\{b_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ be a sequence of prime numbers such that $b_i \equiv 23 \pmod{160}$, which exists due to the Dirichlet prime number theorem. Let $r_i = \frac{b_i+1}{2} \equiv 12 \pmod{80}$, and we use $H_{\mathbf{r}}$ to denote the group generated by $\{\mathcal{D}_{r_i}\}_{i \geq 1}$.

Theorem 4.3. $G_{\mathbf{p}} \cap H_{\mathbf{r}} = \{\text{Vec}\}$

Proof. We use the same techniques as the proofs before, let $\mathcal{D} := \boxtimes_{i=1}^m \mathcal{D}_{r_{j_i}} \boxtimes \boxtimes_{i'=1}^n \mathcal{B}_{p_{i'}}$, $\boxtimes \mathcal{B}_{b_{j_1}}^{\boxtimes s}$ ($p_{i'} \neq b_{j_1}$). Suppose $[\mathcal{D}] = [\text{Vec}]$, then by [24, Thm. 3.5], for any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, we have

$$\varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}}(\sigma) = 1.$$

Let $N = \text{lcm}_{i \geq 2, i' \geq 1} \{32b_{j_i}, 32p_{i'}\}$, then $(b_{j_1}, N) = 1$ by construction. Hence, by Bezout's identity, there exist $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$(4.18) \quad xb_{j_1} + yN = 1.$$

Let $M = \begin{cases} -(r_{j_1} - 4)xb_{j_1} + r_{j_1} - 3 & s \text{ odd}, \\ -(2r_{j_1})xb_{j_1} + 2r_{j_1} + 1 & s \text{ even}. \end{cases}$. Since $r_{j_1} - 4 = 8(10k + 1)$, $4 \mid 2r_{j_1}$, we have

$$M \equiv 1 \pmod{N}, \quad M \equiv \begin{cases} r_{j_1} - 3 \pmod{2b_{j_1}} & s \text{ odd}, \\ 2r_{j_1} + 1 \pmod{2b_{j_1}} & s \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

By Corollary 3.7, Proposition 3.12, 4.2, and the fact that x is odd (from (4.18)), we have when s is odd,

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}_{r_{j_i}}}(\sigma_M) &= \varepsilon_{\mathcal{B}_{p_{l_i'}}}(\sigma_M) = 1, \\ \varepsilon_{\mathcal{B}_{b_{j_1}}^s}(\sigma_M) &= \varepsilon_{\mathcal{B}_{b_{j_1}}^s}(\sigma_{8(10k+1)xb_{j_1}+r_{j_1}-3}) = (-1)^{(10k+1)xs} = -1. \end{aligned}$$

When s is even,

$$\varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}_{r_{j_i}}}(\sigma_M) = \varepsilon_{\mathcal{B}_{p_{l_i'}}}(\sigma_M) = \varepsilon_{\mathcal{B}_{b_{j_1}}^s}(\sigma_M) = 1 \text{ and } \varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}_{r_{j_1}}}(\sigma_M) = -1.$$

They both imply that

$$\varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}}(\sigma_M) = -1,$$

which is a contradiction. □

5. AN ORDER TWO WITT CLASS WITH PROPERTY S

Recall that a non-degenerate braided fusion category \mathcal{C} has *property S* if it is completely anisotropic, simple and not pointed, and that the subgroup of \mathcal{W} generated by the categories with property S is denoted by \mathcal{W}_S . In this section, we are going to prove $[\mathcal{D}_4] \in \mathcal{W}_S$. Since \mathcal{D}_4 has order 2 in \mathcal{W} (cf. (3.12)), it gives a positive answer to the open question [5, Question 6.8]. From Theorem 3.14, $[\mathcal{D}_4] \notin \mathcal{W}_{\text{pt}}$, hence it suffices to prove \mathcal{D}_4 is simple and completely anisotropic.

Lemma 5.1. *For any positive integer $r \geq 2$, the category \mathcal{D}_r is simple.*

Proof. From Lemma 3.2 and [36, Lemma 1], we have $(\mathcal{D}_r)_{\text{pt}} \cong \text{Vec}$, and there is no nontrivial objects in \mathcal{D}_r of integer dimension. Hence if $\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{D}_r$ is a fusion subcategory, then by Deligne's Theorem [11, Section 9.9] and above discussion, we have $\mathcal{C}' \in (\mathcal{D}_r)_{\text{pt}} \cong \text{Vec}$, which implies \mathcal{C} is nondegenerate. Now the Lemma follows from [36, Theorem 2]. □

We proceed to show that \mathcal{D}_4 is completely anisotropic, i.e., it does not have any nontrivial connected étale algebra.

Since connected étale algebras in a pseudo-unitary braided fusion category have trivial twists [35, Lem. 2.24], it suffices to look at objects with trivial twists in \mathcal{D}_4 . One can compute, by formulas (3.8) and (3.9), Except from 4 invertible objects, there are 8 more objects with trivial twists, we split them into two sets, $X = \{2\omega_2, 2\omega_2 + 4\omega_1, 2\omega_2 + 2\omega_3, 2\omega_2 + 2\omega_4\}$, and $Y = \{2\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3, 2\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_4, \omega_2 + \omega_3 + \omega_4, 2\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3 + \omega_4\}$. Let $F : (\mathfrak{so}(8)_8)_{\text{pt}}' \rightarrow (\mathfrak{so}(8)_8)_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}' = \mathcal{D}_4$ be the de-equivariantization functor. One can check, for example, by [32, Lemma 2], the actions of invertible objects by tensoring on set X, Y are both free and transitive. In particular, it implies all 8 objects are in $(\mathfrak{so}(8)_8)_{\text{pt}}'$, moreover, there are only 2 objects with trivial twists in \mathcal{D}_4 , which is given by $Z_1 = F(\lambda), Z_2 = F(\mu)$ for any object $\lambda \in X$ and $\mu \in Y$.

Moreover, we have

$$d_1 := \dim(Z_1) = 28(\zeta_7 + \zeta_7^6) + 14(\zeta_7^2 + \zeta_7^5) + 33 \approx 61.685$$

and

$$d_2 := \dim(Z_2) = 126(\zeta_7 + \zeta_7^6) + 56(\zeta_7^2 + \zeta_7^5) + 157 \approx 289.197.$$

Therefore, any nontrivial connected étale algebra in \mathcal{D}_4 has to be of the form $A = \mathbb{1} + a_1 Z_1 + a_2 Z_2$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ satisfying $a^2 + b^2 > 0$. Moreover, by the local module construction, any connected étale algebra $A \in \mathcal{D}_4$ will give rise to a modular category $(\mathcal{D}_4)_A^0$ with the property (see [16, Thm. 4.5])

$$\dim(\mathcal{D}_4)_A^0 = \frac{\dim(\mathcal{D}_4)}{\dim(A)^2},$$

where $\dim(\mathcal{D}_4) = -196 [269(\zeta_7 + \zeta_7^6) + 873(\zeta_7^2 + \zeta_7^5) + 1357(\zeta_7^3 + \zeta_7^4)] \approx 489669.5$.

Since the global dimensions of modular categories are ≥ 1 ([12, Thm. 2.3]), an upper bound for a_1 can be given by

$$\frac{\dim(\mathcal{D}_4)}{(1 + a_1 d_1)^2} \geq 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad a_1 \leq 11.$$

Similarly, an upper bound for a_2 can be given by

$$\frac{\dim(\mathcal{D}_4)}{(1 + a_2 d_2)^2} \geq 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad a_2 \leq 2.$$

Therefore, the set of connected algebras in \mathcal{D}_4 is a subset of the following set of objects

$$\{\mathbb{1} + a_1 Z_1 + a_2 Z_2 \mid 0 \leq a_1 \leq 11, 0 \leq a_2 \leq 2\},$$

with the tensor unit $\mathbb{1}$ corresponding to $a_1 = a_2 = 0$. From now on, we will focus on nontrivial objects, so we assume that a_1 and a_2 are not both 0.

Since $(\mathcal{D}_4)_A^0$ is a modular category, $\dim(\mathcal{D}_4)_A^0$ is an algebraic integer ([12, Rmk. 2.5]). Therefore, the algebraic norm of $\dim(\mathcal{D}_4)/\dim(A)^2$ has to be in \mathbb{Z} . With the help of computational software such as **SageMath**, we can easily compute the minimal polynomial of algebraic numbers, and we deduce that the only *nontrivial* objects in the above set satisfying $\mathbf{N}(\dim(\mathcal{D}_4)/\dim(A)^2) \in \mathbb{Z}$ (where \mathbf{N} denotes the algebraic norm) are

$$\{\mathbb{1} + a_1 Z_1 + a_2 Z_2 \mid (a_1, a_2) = (0, 2), (2, 1) \text{ or } (7, 2)\}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\dim(\mathcal{D}_4)}{\dim(\mathbb{1} + 2Z_2)^2} &\approx 1.459 \\ \frac{\dim(\mathcal{D}_4)}{\dim(\mathbb{1} + 2Z_1 + Z_2)^2} &\approx 2.863 \\ \frac{\dim(\mathcal{D}_4)}{\dim(\mathbb{1} + 7Z_1 + 2Z_2)^2} &\approx 0.479 \end{aligned}$$

Let A be any of the above objects. Since the local module construction preserves pseudounitariness ([35, Lem. 2.24]), so if A is a connected étale algebra, then $(\mathcal{D}_4)_A^0$ is pseudounitary. Since the FPdim of objects are ≥ 1 ([11, Prop. 3.3.4]), we have either $\frac{\dim(\mathcal{D}_4)}{\dim(A)^2} = 1$ or $\frac{\dim(\mathcal{D}_4)}{\dim(A)^2} \geq 2$. Therefore, only one of the above 3 objects can possibly be a connected étale algebra of \mathcal{D}_4 , namely $\mathbb{1} + 2Z_1 + Z_2$. However, if $A = \mathbb{1} + 2Z_1 + Z_2$, then again by the pseudounitariness of $(\mathcal{D}_4)_A^0$, we have $(\mathcal{D}_4)_A^0$ has to be of rank 2. By the classification of modular categories of rank 2 [27], the global dimension of a rank 2 pseudounitary modular category is either 2 or $\frac{5+\sqrt{5}}{2} \approx 3.618$, and neither equals to $\frac{\dim(\mathcal{D}_4)}{\dim(A)^2}$. This means $A = \mathbb{1} + 2Z_1 + Z_2$ cannot be a connected étale algebra of \mathcal{D}_4 .

Combining the above discussions, we have

Theorem 5.2. *The modular category \mathcal{D}_4 is completely anisotropic, hence $[\mathcal{D}_4] \in \mathcal{W}_S$.* □

REFERENCES

- [1] F. A. Bais and P. G. Bouwknegt. A classification of subgroup truncations of the bosonic string. *Nuclear Phys. B*, 279(3-4):561–570, 1987.
- [2] B. Bakalov and A. Kirillov, Jr. *Lectures on tensor categories and modular functors*, volume 21 of *University Lecture Series*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.
- [3] M. Bischoff, C. Jones, Y.-M. Lu, and D. Penneys. Spontaneous symmetry breaking from anyon condensation. *J. High Energy Phys.*, (2):062, front matter+41, 2019.
- [4] F. Burnell. Anyon condensation and its applications. *Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics*, 9(1):307–327, 2018.
- [5] A. Davydov, M. Müger, D. Nikshych, and V. Ostrik. The Witt group of non-degenerate braided fusion categories. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 677:135–177, 2013.
- [6] A. Davydov, D. Nikshych, and V. Ostrik. On the structure of the Witt group of braided fusion categories. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 19(1):237–269, 2013.
- [7] P. Deligne. Catégories tannakiennes. In *The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. II*, volume 87 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 111–195. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990.
- [8] P. Deligne. Catégories tensorielles. *Mosc. Math. J.*, 2(2):227–248, 2002.
- [9] V. Drinfeld, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, and V. Ostrik. On braided fusion categories. I. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 16(1):1–119, 2010.
- [10] V. G. Drinfel’d. Quantum groups. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Berkeley, Calif., 1986)*, pages 798–820. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.
- [11] P. Etingof, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, and V. Ostrik. *Tensor categories*, volume 205 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015.
- [12] P. Etingof, D. Nikshych, and V. Ostrik. On fusion categories. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 162(2):581–642, 2005.
- [13] J. E. Humphreys. *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1972. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 9.
- [14] A. Joyal and R. Street. Braided tensor categories. *Adv. Math.*, 102(1):20–78, 1993.
- [15] C. Kassel. *Quantum groups*, volume 155 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [16] A. Kirillov, Jr. and V. Ostrik. On a q -analogue of the McKay correspondence and the ADE classification of \mathfrak{sl}_2 conformal field theories. *Adv. Math.*, 171(2):183–227, 2002.
- [17] G. Lusztig. *Introduction to quantum groups*, volume 110 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1993.
- [18] S. Majid. Representations, duals and quantum doubles of monoidal categories. In *Proceedings of the Winter School on Geometry and Physics (Srní, 1990)*, number 26 in 2, pages 197–206, 1991.
- [19] G. Moore and N. Seiberg. Classical and quantum conformal field theory. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 123(2):177–254, 1989.
- [20] M. Müger. From subfactors to categories and topology. I. Frobenius algebras in and Morita equivalence of tensor categories. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 180(1-2):81–157, 2003.
- [21] M. Müger. From subfactors to categories and topology. II. The quantum double of tensor categories and subfactors. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 180(1-2):159–219, 2003.
- [22] M. Müger. On the structure of modular categories. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 87(2):291–308, 2003.
- [23] C. Nayak, S. H. Simon, A. Stern, M. Freedman, and S. D. Sarma. Non-abelian anyons and topological quantum computation. *Rev. Modern Phys.*, 80(3):1083, 2008.
- [24] S.-H. Ng, E. C. Rowell, Y. Wang, and Q. Zhang. Higher central charges and Witt groups. *arXiv preprint*, 2002.03570v2, 2020.
- [25] S.-H. Ng and P. Schauenburg. Frobenius-Schur indicators and exponents of spherical categories. *Adv. Math.*, 211(1):34–71, 2007.
- [26] S.-H. Ng, A. Schopieray, and Y. Wang. Higher Gauss sums of modular categories. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 25(4):Paper No. 53, 32, 2019.
- [27] V. Ostrik. Fusion categories of rank 2. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 10(2-3):177–183, 2003.
- [28] V. Ostrik. Module categories, weak Hopf algebras and modular invariants. *Transform. Groups*, 8(2):177–206, 2003.
- [29] B. Pareigis. On braiding and dyslexia. *J. Algebra*, 171(2):413–425, 1995.
- [30] E. Rowell, R. Stong, and Z. Wang. On classification of modular tensor categories. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 292(2):343–389, 2009.

- [31] E. C. Rowell. From quantum groups to unitary modular tensor categories. In *Representations of algebraic groups, quantum groups, and Lie algebras*, volume 413 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 215–230. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
- [32] S. F. Sawin. Jones-Witten invariants for nonsimply-connected Lie groups and the geometry of the Weyl alcove. *Advances in Mathematics*, 165:1–34, 2002.
- [33] S. F. Sawin. Closed subsets of the Weyl alcove and TQFTs. *Pacific J. Math.*, 228(2):305–324, 2006.
- [34] A. N. Schellekens and N. P. Warner. Conformal subalgebras of Kac-Moody algebras. *Phys. Rev. D (3)*, 34(10):3092–3096, 1986.
- [35] A. Schopieray. Level bounds for exceptional quantum subgroups in rank two. *Internat. J. Math.*, 29(5):1850034, 2018.
- [36] A. Schopieray. Prime decomposition of modular tensor categories of local modules of type D. *Quantum Topol.*, 11:489–524, 2020.
- [37] V. G. Turaev. *Quantum invariants of knots and 3-manifolds*, volume 18 of *De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics*. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, revised edition, 2010.
- [38] L. C. Washington. *Introduction to cyclotomic fields*, volume 83 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.
- [39] H. Wenzl. C^* tensor categories from quantum groups. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 11(2):261–282, 1998.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, TX 77843-3368, U.S.A.
Email address: rowell@math.tamu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, TX 77843-3368, U.S.A.
Email address: yuzeruan@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY, BATON ROUGE, LA 70803, U.S.A
Email address: yilongwang@lsu.edu