

Schur Polynomials and Plücker degree of Schubert Varieties

Letterio Gatto

January 11, 2022

Abstract

The following is an informal report on the contributed talk given by the author during the INPANGA 2020[+1] meeting on Schubert Varieties.

The polynomial ring B in infinitely many indeterminates (x_1, x_2, \dots) , with rational coefficients, has a vector space basis of Schur polynomials, parametrized by partitions. The goal of this note is to provide an explanation of the following fact. If λ is a partition of weight d , then the partial derivative of order d with respect to x_1 of the Schur polynomial $S_\lambda(\mathbf{x})$ coincides with the Plücker degree of the Schubert variety of dimension d associated to λ , equal to the number of standard Young tableaux of shape λ . The generating function encoding all the degree of Schubert varieties is determined and some (known) corollaries are also discussed.

1 Introduction

1.1 Let $G(r, n)$ be the complex Grassmann variety parametrizing r -dimensional vector subspaces of \mathbb{C}^n , $\mathcal{Q}_r \rightarrow G(r, n)$ be its universal quotient bundle and $c_t(\mathcal{Q}_r)$ its Chern polynomial. Following [5, p. 271], let $F_\bullet(\lambda) : 0 \subseteq F_1 \subsetneq F_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq F_r \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ be a flag of $r \geq 1$ subspaces of \mathbb{C}^n such that $\dim F_i = i + \lambda_{r-i}$. Then $\lambda := (\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_r) \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n}$, a partition whose Young diagram is contained in a $r \times (n-r)$ rectangle. Let Ω^λ be the class of the closed (Schubert) irreducible variety of dimension $|\lambda| := \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_r$ ([5, Example 14.7.11]).

$$\Omega^\lambda(F_\bullet) := \Omega(F_1, \dots, F_r) := \{\Lambda \in G(r, n) \mid \dim(\Lambda \cap F_i) \geq i\},$$

Its Plücker degree f^λ (which coincides, by [14, Theorem 2.39], with the number of standard Young tableaux of shape λ), does not depend on $n \geq r$.

1.2 Let now $B := \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}]$ be the polynomial ring in the infinitely many indeterminates $\mathbf{x} := (x_1, x_2, \dots)$. It possesses a basis parametrized by the set \mathcal{P} of all the partitions

$$B := \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Q} \cdot S_\lambda(\mathbf{x}). \quad (1)$$

If each indeterminate x_i is given weight i , then $S_\lambda(\mathbf{x})$ is a homogeneous polynomial of weighted degree $|\lambda|$. Consider the vector subspace $\tilde{B}_{r,n} := \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n}} \mathbb{Q} \cdot S_\lambda(\mathbf{x})$ of B . The map

$$\begin{cases} \pi_{r,n} : \tilde{B}_{r,n} & \longrightarrow & H_*(G(r, n), \mathbb{Q}) \\ S_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) & \longmapsto & \Omega^\lambda \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

is a vector space isomorphism for trivial reasons. The main result of this note is the following

1.3 Theorem.

$$c_t(\mathcal{Q}_r) \cap \Omega^\lambda = \pi_{r,n} \left(\exp \left(\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{t^i}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right) S_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) \right) \quad (3)$$

In particular, equating the coefficients of the poer of t of same degree:

$$c_i(\mathcal{Q}_r) \cap \Omega^\lambda = S_i(\tilde{\partial}) \cap \Omega^\lambda \quad (4)$$

where $S_i(\tilde{\partial})$ iks an explicit polynomial expression in $\tilde{\partial} := \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \frac{1}{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}, \dots \right)$ corresponding

to the coefficient of t^i in the expansion of $\exp \left(\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{t^i}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right)$. Theorem 1.3 will be shortly proven

in Section 5, basing upon the notion of Schubert derivation on an exterior algebra as in [6, 9, 8] alongwith its extension to an infinite wedge power, as in [10, 13].

1.4 Theorem 1.3 has a number of corollaries, all collected in Section 3. The most important is:

Corollary 3.1. *For all $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$*

$$f^\lambda = \frac{\partial^d S_\lambda(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1^d}. \quad (5)$$

For example $f^{(2,2)} = \frac{\partial^4 S_{(2,2)}}{\partial x_1^4} = 2$, which is the Plücker degree of the Grassmannian $G(1, \mathbb{P}^3)$ of lines in the three dimensional projective space. We emphasize that we have not been able to find any reference to (5), it looks new and is the main motivation of this note.

Recall that the classical way to compute f^λ is to rely on a formula due to Schubert, accounted for in [5, Example 14.7.11]. Because of its combinatorial interpretation in terms of Young tableaux, it is also computed by the celebrated *hook length formula* (see e.g. [4, p. 53] or [11, Theorem 4.33])

$$f^\lambda := \frac{|\lambda|!}{\prod_{x \in Y(\lambda)} h(x)},$$

proved in [12], where $Y(\lambda)$ is the Young diagram of λ and $h(x)$ is the hook length of the box $x \in Y(\lambda)$.

Corollary 3.2.

$$f^\lambda := |\lambda|! \cdot \Delta_\lambda(\exp(t)) \quad (6)$$

Formula (6) has been first observed by O. Behzad during the investigations which lead to her Ph. D. Thesis [1]. See also the forthcoming [2].

Corollary 3.4. *Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$ and $Y(\lambda)$ its Young diagram. Then*

$$\prod_{x \in Y(\lambda)} h(x) = \frac{1}{\Delta_\lambda(\exp(t))} \quad (7)$$

where $h(x)$ denotes the hook length of the box x in the Young diagram of λ .

Corollary 3.5 Let \mathcal{P}_r be the set of all partitions of length at most r . For all $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_r$, let $s_\lambda(\mathbf{z}_r)$ denote the Schur symmetric polynomial in the r indeterminates (z_1, \dots, z_r) , i.e.

$$s_\lambda(\mathbf{z}_r) = \frac{\det(z_j^{\lambda_j - j + i})}{\Delta_0(\mathbf{z}_r)}$$

Then

$$\sum_{d \geq 0} \frac{t^d}{d!} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} f^\lambda s_\lambda(\mathbf{z}_r) = \exp(tp_1(\mathbf{z})) = \exp(t \cdot (z_1 + \dots + z_r)) \quad (8)$$

In particular, for all $d \geq 0$

$$(z_1 + \dots + z_r)^d = \sum_{\lambda \vdash d} f^\lambda \cdot s_\lambda(\mathbf{z}_r) \quad (9)$$

We additionally observe that evaluating the equality at $z_i = 1$, formula (9) turns into

$$r^d = \sum_{\lambda \vdash d} s_\lambda(1, \dots, 1) f^\lambda. \quad (10)$$

Comparing (10) with [4, Formula (5), p. 52], one deduces that $s_\lambda(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{r\text{-times}})$ is precisely the number $d_\lambda(r)$ of standard Young tableaux of shape λ , whose entries are taken from the alphabet $\{1, 2, \dots, r\}$.

1.5 Let $\sum_{i \geq 0} S_j(\tilde{\partial}) t^j = \exp\left(\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{t^i}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right)$. It is not difficult to see that

$$\langle P(S_i(\mathbf{x})), S_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = P(S_i(\tilde{\partial})) S_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) \quad (11)$$

where by $P(S_i(\tilde{\partial}))$ is the evaluation of P at $x_i = \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$. In particular

$$x_1^n = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \langle x_1^n, S_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) \rangle S_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \frac{\partial^n S_\lambda(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1^n} \cdot S_\lambda(\mathbf{x}),$$

which, due to Corollary 1.4, gives:

$$x_1^n = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} f_\lambda S_\lambda(\mathbf{x})$$

from which, taking the derivative with respect to x_1 of order n , and again by Corollary ?? gives:

$$n! = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} (f^\lambda)^2 \quad (12)$$

which is [4, Formula (4), p. 50].

In Section 2 we recall a few preliminaries. Then we will state and prove the main corollaries in Section 3. In Section 4 the notion of Schubert derivation (as in [6], [7], [9]) is reviewed. The short proof of Theorem 1.3 will conclude this short note.

2 Preliminaries

The content of this section is very well known and easily available in many common textbooks and its only purpose is to introduce the notation adopted in the sequel.

2.1 Partitions. Let \mathcal{P} be the set of all partitions, namely the monoid of all non-increasing sequences $\boldsymbol{\lambda} := (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots)$ of non-negative integers with finite support (all terms zero but finitely many). The non zero terms of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ are called *parts*, the number $\ell(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ of parts is called *length*. Let $\mathcal{P}_r := \mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{N}^r$: it is the set of all partitions with at most r -parts and $\mathcal{P}_\infty = \mathcal{P}$. The Young diagram of a partition is the left justified array of r -rows such that the i th row has λ_i boxes. For all $\leq r \leq n$ we denote by $\mathcal{P}_{r,n}$ the set of partitions whose Young diagram is contained in a $r \times (n-r)$ rectangle. Then $\mathcal{P}_{r,\infty} = \mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{P}_\infty = \mathcal{P}$. If $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n}$, we denote by $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^c$ the partition whose Young diagram is the complement of the Young diagram of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ in the $r \times (n-r)$ rectangle. For example the complement of the partition $(3, 3, 2, 1)$ in the 4×3 rectangle is $(2, 1)$. Its complement in the 5×4 rectangle is $(4, 3, 2, 1, 1)$.

2.2 Schur Determinants. Let A be any commutative algebra. To each pair

$$\left(f(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} f_n t^n, \boldsymbol{\lambda} \right) \in A[[t^{-1}, t]] \times \mathcal{P}_r$$

one attaches the *Schur determinant*:

$$\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(f(t)) = \det(f_{\lambda_j - j + i})_{1 \leq i, j \leq r} \in A \quad (13)$$

If $f(t) \in A[[t]]$, one think of it as a formal Laurent series with $f_j = 0$ for $j < 0$.

Putting $S_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\mathbf{x}) := \det(S_{\lambda_j - j + i})$, it is well known that

$$B := \bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Q} \cdot S_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\mathbf{x})$$

2.3 For all $(i, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathcal{P}_r$, define

$$PF_i(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) := \{ \boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{P}_r \mid |\boldsymbol{\mu}| = |\boldsymbol{\lambda}| + i \text{ and } \mu_1 \geq \lambda_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \mu_r \geq \lambda_r \}$$

and

$$PF_{-i}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) := \{ \boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{P}_r \mid |\boldsymbol{\mu}| = |\boldsymbol{\lambda}| - i \text{ and } \lambda_1 \geq \mu_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_r \geq \mu_r \}$$

2.4 Proposition. *Pieri's rule for Schur S-function holds:*

$$S_i(\mathbf{x}) \cdot S_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in PF_i(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} S_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\mathbf{x}) \quad (14)$$

2.5 Proposition. *The "dual" Pieri's rule for Ω^λ holds:*

$$c_i(\mathcal{Q}_r) \cap \Omega^\lambda = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in PF_{-i}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} \Omega_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\mathbf{x}) \quad (15)$$

Proposition 2.5 is basically another phrasing of [5, Example 14.7.1] It is very well known that $H_*(G(r, n), \mathbb{Q}) := \bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n}} \mathbb{Q} \cdot \Omega_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$. Moreover, Giambelli's formula says that

$$\Omega^\lambda = \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}^c}(c_t(\mathcal{Q}_r)) \cap [G(r, n)] := (c_{\lambda_j - j + i}(\mathcal{Q}_r))_{1 \leq i, j \leq r},$$

where $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^c$ denotes the complement of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ in the $r \times (n-r)$ rectangle.

3 Corollaries to Theorem 1.3

In this section we assume Theorem 1.3, to find a few corollaries. As it is customary to do we set $\sigma_i := c_i(\mathcal{Q}_r)$.

3.1 Corollary Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n}$. Then

$$\sigma_1 \cap \Omega^\lambda = \pi_{r,n} \left(\frac{\partial S_\lambda}{\partial x_1} \right) \quad (16)$$

In particular, if $d = |\lambda|$:

$$f^\lambda := \sigma_1^d \cap \Omega^\lambda = \frac{\partial^d}{\partial x_1^d} S_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) \quad (17)$$

Proof. Formula (16) comes from equating the coefficients of the linear terms on both sides of 3). Iterating it d times one obtains (17), where the projection $\pi_{r,n}$ can be omitted ($\pi_{r,n}$ is the identity on constants). ■

Formula (16) generalizes to

$$\sigma_i \cap \Omega^\lambda = \pi_{r,n} \left(S_i(\tilde{\partial}) S_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) \right)$$

For example

$$\sigma_3 \cap \Omega^\lambda = \pi_{r,n} \left[\left(\frac{1}{6} \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x_1^3} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \right) S_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) \right]$$

3.1 Let $\Delta_\lambda(\exp(t))$ be the Schur determinant as in (13), attached to the exponential formal power series. For example

$$\Delta_{(3,2,2)}(\exp(t)) = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{3!} & \frac{1}{1!} & \frac{1}{0!} \\ \frac{1}{4!} & \frac{1}{2!} & \frac{1}{1!} \\ \frac{1}{5!} & \frac{1}{3!} & \frac{1}{2!} \end{vmatrix} = 15$$

3.2 Corollary.

$$f^\lambda := |\lambda|! \cdot \Delta_\lambda(\exp(t)) \quad (18)$$

Proof. Let $d := |\lambda|$. Then

$$f^\lambda = \frac{\partial^d S_\lambda(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1^d} = \begin{vmatrix} S_{\lambda_1}(\mathbf{x}) & S_{\lambda_2-1}(\mathbf{x}) & \cdots & S_{\lambda_r-r+1}(\mathbf{x}) \\ S_{\lambda_1-1}(\mathbf{x}) & S_{\lambda_2}(\mathbf{x}) & \cdots & S_{\lambda_r-r+2}(\mathbf{x}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ S_{\lambda_1+r-1}(\mathbf{x}) & S_{\lambda_2+r-2}(\mathbf{x}) & \cdots & S_{\lambda_r}(\mathbf{x}) \end{vmatrix}$$

Now $S_i(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{x_1^i}{i!} + g_i$, where

$$g_i := g_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i)$$

is a polynomial in which x_1 occurs with degree strictly smaller than i . Therefore the determinant occurring in (3.2) can be written as

$$\begin{vmatrix} \frac{x_1^{\lambda_1}}{\lambda_1!} + g_{\lambda_1} & \frac{x_1^{\lambda_2-1}}{(\lambda_2-1)!} + g_{\lambda_2-1} & \cdots & \frac{x_1^{\lambda_r+r-1}}{(\lambda_r+r-1)!} + g_{\lambda_r+r-1} \\ \frac{x_1^{\lambda_1+1}}{(\lambda_1+1)!} + g_{\lambda_1+1} & \frac{x_1^{\lambda_2}}{\lambda_2!} + g_{\lambda_2} & \cdots & \frac{x_1^{\lambda_r+r-2}}{(\lambda_r+r-2)!} + g_{\lambda_r+r-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{x_1^{\lambda_1+r-1}}{(\lambda_1+r-1)!} + g_{\lambda_1+r-1} & \frac{x_1^{\lambda_2+r-2}}{(\lambda_2+r-2)!} + g_{\lambda_2+r-2} & \cdots & \frac{x_1^{\lambda_r}}{\lambda_r!} + g_{\lambda_r} \end{vmatrix} \quad (19)$$

Easy manipulations with determinants show that (19) can be written as

$$x_1^d \Delta_{\lambda}(\exp(e^t)) + F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{\lambda_1})$$

where F is a polynomial in which x_1 occurs in degree smaller than d . Therefore

$$f^{\lambda} := \frac{\partial^d S_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1^d} = \frac{\partial^d}{\partial x_1^d} \left(x_1^d \Delta_{\lambda}(\exp(t)) + F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{\lambda_1}) \right) = d! \cdot \Delta_{\lambda}(\exp(t))$$

■

3.3 Example. The degree of the Schubert variety $\Omega^{(3,2,1)}(F^{\bullet})$ is

$$f^{(3,2,1)} = 6! \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{3!} & \frac{1}{1!} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{4!} & \frac{1}{2!} & 1 \\ \frac{1}{5!} & \frac{1}{3!} & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 16$$

which is also the number of standard Young Tableaux of shape $(3, 2, 1)$.

3.4 Corollary. Let $Y(\lambda)$ denote the Young tableau of the partition λ . Let $h(x)$ denote the hook length of the box x of $Y(\lambda)$. Then

$$\prod_{x \in Y(\lambda)} h(x) = \frac{1}{\Delta_{\lambda}(\exp(t))}$$

Proof. As in [14], the degree of a Schubert variety coincides with the number of standard Young tableaux of shape λ . Then one invokes the celebrated hook length formula proven by qed

3.5 Corollary.

$$\sum_{d \geq 0} \frac{t^d}{d!} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_r \mid |\lambda|=d} f^{\lambda} s_{\lambda}(z_1, \dots, z_r) = \exp(t(z_1 + \dots + z_r)) \quad (20)$$

as a consequence, for each $d \geq 0$, the Plücker coordinates of the symmetric polynomial $e_1(\mathbf{z}_r)^r$ are the degree of the Schubert varieties $\Omega^\lambda(F^\bullet)$

$$(z_1 + \cdots + z_r)^d = \sum_{\lambda} f^\lambda s_\lambda$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{z}_r := (z_1, \dots, z_r)$ and consider the generating function

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_r} X^r(\lambda) s_\lambda(\mathbf{z}_r)$$

of the basis $X^r(\lambda)$ of B_r . By [3], this is equal to

$$\sigma_+(z_1, \dots, z_r) X^r(0) = \exp\left(\sum_{i \geq 0} x_i p_i(\mathbf{z}_r)\right) X^r(0)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d \geq 0} \frac{t^d}{d!} \sum_{|\lambda|=d} f_\lambda X^r(0) s_\lambda(\mathbf{z}_r) &= \exp\left(t \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right) \Big|_{t=0} \exp\left(\sum_{i \geq 0} x_i p_i(\mathbf{z}_r)\right) X^r(0) \\ &= \exp((x_1 + t)p_1(\mathbf{z}_r))_{\mathbf{x}=0} = \exp(tp_1(\mathbf{z}_r)) \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof because $p_1(\mathbf{z}_r) = e_1(\mathbf{z}_r)$. ■

More generally, one can consider Schur polynomials in infinitely many indeterminates $\mathbf{z} := (z_1, z_2, \dots)$. We apply the translation operator along the x_1 direction to the generating function

$$S_\lambda(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = \exp\left(\sum_{i \geq 0} x_i p_i(\mathbf{z})\right)$$

of the basis elements of B , obtaining:

$$\exp\left(t \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right) S_\lambda(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = \exp\left(\exp(t + x_1)p_1(\mathbf{z}) + \sum_{j \geq 2} x_j p_j(\mathbf{z})\right)$$

Evaluating at $\mathbf{x} = 0$ one gets

$$\sum_{d \geq 0} \frac{t^d}{d!} \sum_{|\lambda|=d} S_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) = \exp(tp_1(\mathbf{z}))$$

4 Review on Schubert Derivations

Consider the following vector spaces over the rationals:

$$\mathcal{V} := \mathbb{Q}[X^{-1}, X], \quad V = V_\infty = \mathbb{Q}[X], \quad V_n := \frac{V}{X^n \cdot V} \quad (21)$$

alongwith their restricted duals

$$\mathcal{V}^* = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \cdot \partial^i, \quad V^* := \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathbb{Q} \cdot X^i, \quad \mathcal{V}_n^* = \bigoplus_{0 \leq i < n} \mathbb{Q} \cdot \partial^i.$$

where ∂^j stands for the unique linear form on \mathcal{U} such that $\partial^j(X^i) = \delta^{ij}$. There is a natural chain of inclusions

$$V_n \hookrightarrow V \hookrightarrow \mathcal{V}$$

where the first map is the natural section $X^i + (X^n) \mapsto X^i$ associated to the canonical projection $\mathcal{V} \mapsto \mathcal{V}_n$ and the second is by seeing a polynomial as a Laurent polynomial with no singular part.

4.1 Exterior Algebras. For all $r \geq 0$ and all $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_r$ let

$$X^r(\lambda) = X^{r-1+\lambda_1} \wedge \dots \wedge X^{\lambda_r} \quad (22)$$

The exterior algebra of V_n ($n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$) is:

$$\bigwedge V_n = \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} \bigwedge^r V_n \quad \text{where} \quad \bigwedge^r V_n = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n}} \mathbb{Q} \cdot X^r(\lambda)$$

For each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $[\mathbf{X}]^{m+(0)} := X^m \wedge X^{m-1} \wedge X^{m-2} \wedge \dots$. The fermionic Fock space of charge 0 is $F_0 := \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Q} \cdot [\mathbf{X}]^{0+\lambda}$ where

$$[\mathbf{X}]^{0+\lambda} := X^{\lambda_1} \wedge X^{-1+\lambda_2} \wedge X^{-2+\lambda_2} \wedge \dots \wedge X^{r-1+\lambda} \wedge [\mathbf{X}]^{r-1+(0)} \quad (23)$$

expression which does not depend on $r \geq \ell(\lambda)$. The *boson-fermion correspondence* can be phrased by saying that F_0 is an invertible B -module generated by $[\mathbf{X}]^0 := [\mathbf{X}]^{0+(0)}$, such that

$$[\mathbf{X}]^{0+\lambda} = S_\lambda(\mathbf{x})[\mathbf{X}]^0$$

Let now \mathcal{U} denote anyone of the spaces listed in (21).

4.2 Definition. A Hasse-Schmidt (HS) derivation on $\bigwedge \mathcal{U}$ is a \mathbb{Q} -linear map $\mathcal{D}(z) : \bigwedge \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \bigwedge \mathcal{U}[[z]]$ such that

$$\mathcal{D}(z)(u \wedge v) = \mathcal{D}(z)u \wedge \mathcal{D}(z)v, \quad \forall u, v \in \bigwedge \mathcal{U} \quad (24)$$

Writing $\mathcal{D}(z) = \sum_{i \geq 0} D_i z^i \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\bigwedge \mathcal{U})[[z]]$, equation (24) is equivalent to

$$D_j(u \wedge v) = \sum_{i=0}^j D_i u \wedge D_{j-i} v. \quad (25)$$

If $A \in \text{End}(\mathcal{U})$, denote by $\delta(A) \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\bigwedge \mathcal{U})$ the unique derivation of $\bigwedge \mathcal{U}$ such that

$$\delta(A)u = A \cdot u, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{U} = \bigwedge^1 \mathcal{U}. \quad (26)$$

4.3 Proposition. *The plethistic exponential*

$$\mathcal{D}^A(z) = \text{Exp}(\delta(A^i)z) = \exp\left(\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{1}{i} \delta(A)z^i\right) : \bigwedge \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \bigwedge \mathcal{U}[[z]] \quad (27)$$

is the unique Hasse-Schmidt (HS) derivation on $\bigwedge \mathcal{U}$, such that $\mathcal{D}^A(z)u = \sum_{i \geq 0} (A^i u)z^i$.

Proof. Based on the general fact that the exponential of a derivation of an algebra is an algebra homomorphism. \blacksquare

Abusing notation X will also stand for the endomorphism of \mathcal{U} given by $u \mapsto Xu$, which is nilpotent if $\mathcal{U} = V_n$ and $n < \infty$.

4.4 Definition. *The Schubert derivation $\sigma_+(z) : \bigwedge V_n \rightarrow \bigwedge V_n[[z]]$ is*

$$\sigma_+(z) := \sum_{i \geq 0} \sigma_i z^i = \text{Exp}(\delta(X)z). \quad (28)$$

Its inverse is

$$\bar{\sigma}_+(z) := \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \bar{\sigma}_i z^i = \text{Exp}(-\delta(X)z). \quad (29)$$

They are clearly the unique HS derivation such that $\sigma_+(z)u = \sum_{i \geq 0} X^i u \cdot z^i$ and $\bar{\sigma}_+(z)u = u - Xu$, for all $u \in V_n$.

4.5 If $u = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n}} a_\lambda \cdot X^r(\lambda) \in \bigwedge^r V_n$, we denote by u^c the sum $\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n}} a_\lambda X^r(\lambda^c)$.

4.6 Proposition. *Let $\sigma_-(z) := \sigma_+(z)^*$ be the \langle, \rangle -adjoint of the Schubert derivation $\sigma_+(z)$, i.e.*

$$\langle \sigma_-(z)u, v \rangle = \langle u, \sigma_+(z)v \rangle. \quad (30)$$

Then $\sigma_-(z) = \text{Exp}(\delta(X^{-1})z)$, where X^{-1} is the unique endomorphism of V_n mapping X^j to X^{j-1} if $j \geq 1$ and to 0 otherwise.

Proof. To show that $\sigma_-(z)$ is a HS-derivation one first identifies V_n^* with V_n through the isomorphism $u \mapsto \langle u, \cdot \rangle$ and then argues as in [8, p.]. Then one observes that

$$\langle \sigma_{-j} X^i, X^k \rangle = \langle X^i, \sigma_j X^k \rangle = \langle X^i, X^{j+k} \rangle = \delta^{i,j+k} = \delta^{i-j,k} = \langle X^{i-j}, X^k \rangle$$

which proves that $\bar{\sigma}_{-j} X^i = X^{i-j}$. Thus $\bar{\sigma}_-(z) = \text{Exp}(\delta(X^{-1})z)$, because both sides restrict to the same endomorphism of V_n . \blacksquare

Recall the notation 2.3. The \langle, \rangle -adjoint $\sigma_-(z)$ of $\sigma_+(z)$ will be called Schubert derivation as well. The reason is due to:

4.7 Theorem. *Schubert derivations $\sigma_\pm(z)$ satisfy Pieri's rule, i.e.*

$$\sigma_i X^r(\lambda) = \sum_{\mu \in PF_i(\lambda)} X^r(\mu) \quad (31)$$

and

$$\sigma_{-i} X^r(\lambda) = \sum_{\mu \in PF_{-i}(\lambda)} X^r(\mu) \quad (32)$$

Proof. Formula 31 is, up to the notation, [6, Theorem 2.4]. To prove (32), due to the fact that $(X^r(\boldsymbol{\lambda}))_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n}}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\bigwedge^r V_n$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{-i}X^r(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) &= \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n}} \langle \sigma_{-i}X(\boldsymbol{\lambda}), X^r(\boldsymbol{\mu}) \rangle X^r(\boldsymbol{\mu}) \\ &= \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n}} \langle X^r(\boldsymbol{\lambda}), \sigma_i X^r(\boldsymbol{\mu}) \rangle X^r(\boldsymbol{\mu})\end{aligned}$$

Using Pieri's formula (31) one has

$$\langle X^r(\boldsymbol{\lambda}), \sigma_i X^r(\boldsymbol{\mu}) \rangle = \langle X^r(\boldsymbol{\lambda}), \sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu} \in PF_i(\boldsymbol{\mu})} X^r(\boldsymbol{\nu}) \rangle$$

and $\boldsymbol{\nu} \in PF_i(\boldsymbol{\mu})$ if and only if $|\boldsymbol{\nu}| = |\boldsymbol{\mu}| + i$ and $\nu_1 \geq \mu_1 \geq \dots \geq \nu_r \geq \mu_r$. Thus

$$\langle X^r(\boldsymbol{\lambda}), \sigma_i X^r(\boldsymbol{\mu}) \rangle = \delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\nu}}$$

i.e. the only non zero coefficients are those for which $\nu_1 = \lambda_1, \dots, \nu_r = \lambda_r$, which are then the summands of $\sigma_{-i}X^r(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$. \blacksquare

5 Proof of Theorem 1 and one generalization

By Proposition 2.4. the product $S_i(\mathbf{x})S_\lambda(\mathbf{x})$ obeys Pieri's formula. With respect to the inner product \langle, \rangle for which $(S_\lambda(\mathbf{x}))_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}}$ is an orthonormal basis of B , one has

$$\left\langle \exp\left(\sum_{i \geq 0} x_i t^i\right) S_\lambda(\mathbf{x}), S_\mu(\mathbf{x}) \right\rangle = \left\langle S_\lambda(\mathbf{x}), \exp\left(\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{t^i}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right) \right\rangle \quad (33)$$

Because of (33), it follows that the coefficients $S_i(\tilde{\partial})$ of $\exp\left(\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{t^i}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right)$ satisfy the dual Pieri formula as in (32). Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{r,n}(S_i(\tilde{\partial})S_\lambda(\mathbf{x})) &= \pi_{r,n}\left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in PF_{-i}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} S_\lambda(\mathbf{x})\right) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in PF_{-i}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} \pi_{r,n}(S_\lambda(\mathbf{x})) \\ &= \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in PF_{-i}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} \Omega^\mu = \sigma_i \cap \Omega^\lambda.\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$F(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{t}) := \exp\left(\sum_{i \geq 1} t_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right) \Big|_{x_i=0} \exp\left(\sum_{i \geq 1} u_i S_i(\mathbf{x})\right). \quad (34)$$

5.1 Proposition.

$$F(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{t}) = \exp \left(\sum_{i \geq 1} u_i S_i(\mathbf{t}) \right), \quad (35)$$

where $S_i(\mathbf{t})$ is the Schur polynomial in the variable \mathbf{t} .

Proof. It amounts to straightforward manipulation with the Taylor formula. ■

5.2 Remark. Formula (35) is the generating function of the “integrals” of product of special Schubert cycles. Putting $h_i := S_i(\boldsymbol{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}))$, it is the generating functions of

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right)^{|\boldsymbol{\mu}|} h_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$$

where if $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r) \in \mathcal{P}_r$, one sets $h_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} := h_{\mu_1} \cdots h_{\mu_r}$.

5.3 A remarkable special case is obtained by setting $t_1 = t$, and $t_j = 0$ for all $j \geq 2$:

$$F(\mathbf{u}, t) = \exp \left(\sum_{i \geq 1} u_i \frac{t^i}{i!} \right). \quad (36)$$

If $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (1^{m_1} \cdots r^{m_r})$ it is easy to see that

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \right)^{|\boldsymbol{\mu}|} h_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \frac{(m_1 + 2m_2 + \cdots + rm_r)!}{1!(2!)^{m_2} \cdots (r!)^{m_r}}$$

because it is merely the coefficient of $\frac{t^{m_1 + \cdots + rm_r}}{(m_1 + \cdots + rm_r)!}$ in the expansion of $F(\mathbf{u}, t)$. In particular

$$h_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \vdash m_1 + \cdots + rm_r} \langle h_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}, S_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle S_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\mathbf{x}) \quad (37)$$

from which, iterating $(m_1 + 2m_2 + \cdots + rm_r)$ times the derivative with respect to x_1 of (37):

$$\frac{(m_1 + 2m_2 + \cdots + rm_r)!}{1!(2!)^{m_2} \cdots (r!)^{m_r}} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \vdash m_1 + 2m_2 + \cdots + rm_r} S_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\tilde{\partial}) S_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot f^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \quad (38)$$

which generalizes (12). Special cases of (38) are studied explicitly in [2].

Acknowledgment. For supplying the opportunity to give the talk and for logistic and financial support, the Scientific and Organizing Committee of INPANGA 2020[+1] are warmly acknowledged. Thanks are due Piotr Pragacz and Pietro Pirola for helpful discussions. Work sponsored by INPAN and, partially, by Finanziamento Diffuso della Ricerca, no. 53 RBA17GATLET del Politecnico di Torino.

References

- [1] O. Behzad, *Hasse-Schmidt Derivations and Vertex Operators on Exterior Algebras*, Ph.D. Thesis, Institute for Advanced Studies in Basic Sciences, 2021.
- [2] O. Behzad, L. Gatto, *Integrals on Fermionic Fock Spaces*, in progress (2021).
- [3] O. Behzad, A. Contiero, L. Gatto, and R. Vidal Martins, *Polynomial representations of endomorphisms of exterior powers*, Collect. Math. (2021), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13348-020-00310-5>.
- [4] W. Fulton, *Young tableaux*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, vol. 35, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997, With applications to representation theory and geometry.
- [5] William Fulton, *Intersection theory*, Springer, 1984.
- [6] L. Gatto, *Schubert calculus via Hasse-Schmidt derivations*, Asian J. Math. **9** (2005), no. 3, 315–321.
- [7] L. Gatto and P. Salehyan, *Hasse-Schmidt derivations on Grassmann algebras*, IMPA Monographs, vol. 4, Springer, [Cham], 2016, With applications to vertex operators.
- [8] L. Gatto and P. Salehyan, *On Plücker equations characterizing Grassmann cones*, Schubert varieties, equivariant cohomology and characteristic classes — IMPANGA 15, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2018, pp. 97–125, [arXiv:1603.00510](https://arxiv.org/abs/1603.00510).
- [9] ———, *The cohomology of the Grassmannian is a gl_n -module*, Comm. Algebra **48** (2020), no. 1, 274–290.
- [10] ———, *Schubert derivations on the infinite exterior power*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc., New Series **xxx** (2020), no. 1, 2s.
- [11] M. Gillespie, *Variations on a theme of Schubert calculus*, pp. 115–158, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2019.
- [12] J. S. Frame, G. de B. Robinson, R. M. Thrall *The hook graphs of the symmetric groups*, Canad. J. Math. **6** (1954), 316–324.
- [13] O. Behzad, L. Gatto *Bosonic and Fermionic Representations of Endomorphisms of Exterior Algebras*, [ArXiv:2009.00479](https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.00479), 2018.
- [14] E. Smirnov, *Grassmannians, flag varieties, and Gelfand-Zetlin polytopes*, Recent developments in representation theory, Contemp. Math., vol. 673, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2016, pp. 179–226.

Letterio Gatto
letterio.gatto@polito.it
Dipartimento di Scienze Matematiche
Politecnico di Torino