

Quadratic rates of asymptotic regularity for the Tikhonov-Mann iteration

Horățiu Cheval^a and Laurențiu Leuștean^{a,b}

^a Research Center for Logic, Optimization and Security (LOS), Department of Computer Science,
Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Bucharest,
Academiei 14, 010014 Bucharest, Romania

^b Simion Stoilow Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy,
Calea Griviței 21, 010702 Bucharest, Romania

E-mails: andrei.cheval@s.unibuc.ro, laurentiu.leustean@unibuc.ro

Abstract

In this paper, we compute quadratic rates of asymptotic regularity for the Tikhonov-Mann iteration in W -hyperbolic spaces. This iteration is an extension to a nonlinear setting of the modified Mann iteration defined recently by Boț, Csetnek and Meier in Hilbert spaces. Furthermore, we show that the Douglas-Rachford and forward-backward algorithms with Tikhonov regularization terms are special cases, in Hilbert spaces, of our Tikhonov-Mann iteration.

Keywords: Mann iteration; Tikhonov regularization; Rates of asymptotic regularity; Douglas-Rachford algorithm; forward-backward algorithm; Proof mining.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 47J25, 47H09, 03F10.

1 Introduction

Let H be a Hilbert space and $T : H \rightarrow H$ be a nonexpansive mapping (that is, a mapping satisfying $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|$ for all $x, y \in H$). We denote by $Fix(T)$ the set of fixed points of T .

One of the well-known nonlinear iterations is the *Mann iteration* [26, 14], defined as follows:

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \lambda_n)x_n + \lambda_nTx_n, \quad (1)$$

where $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in $[0, 1]$ and $x_0 \in H$ is the starting point of the iteration. A classical result on the asymptotic behaviour of this iteration, proved by Reich [28] in a more general setting than Hilbert spaces, is the following: if

$Fix(T) \neq \emptyset$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n(1 - \lambda_n) = \infty$, then (x_n) converges weakly to a fixed point of T .

By using the so-called *Tikhonov regularization terms*, studied in relation with the proximal point algorithm [3, 21], Boş, Csetnek and Meier [7] introduced recently the following modified Mann iteration:

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \lambda_n)\beta_n x_n + \lambda_n T(\beta_n x_n), \quad (2)$$

where $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, (\beta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are sequences in $[0, 1]$ and $x_0 \in H$.

The main result of [7] is the strong convergence of the iteration (x_n) under some hypotheses on the sequences $(\lambda_n), (\beta_n)$. The first main step in the strong convergence proof is to obtain asymptotic regularity, a very important concept in nonlinear analysis and convex optimization, defined for the first time by Browder and Petryshyn [9] for the Picard iteration and extended by Borwein, Reich and Shafir [6] to the Mann iteration. Thus, a sequence (a_n) in a metric space (X, d) is said to be *asymptotically regular* if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(a_n, a_{n+1}) = 0$ and *T -asymptotically regular* if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(a_n, Ta_n) = 0$.

Let us consider in the sequel the following conditions on the sequences (λ_n) and (β_n) :

$$\begin{aligned} (C1) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - \beta_n) &= \infty, & (C2) \quad \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n &= 0, \\ (C3) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| &< \infty, & (C4) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n| &< \infty, \\ (C5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n &= 1, & (C6) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

The following result is implicit in [7], its proof being contained in the proof of [7, Theorem 3].

Theorem 1.1. [7] *Let H be a Hilbert space, $T : H \rightarrow H$ be a nonexpansive mapping such that $Fix(T) \neq \emptyset$ and (x_n) be given by (2). Assume that the following hold:*

- (i) (C1) (or, equivalently, (C2) if $\beta_n > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$);
- (ii) (C3), (C4), (C5) and (C6).

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - Tx_n\| = 0.$$

A quantitative analysis of the proof of Theorem 1.1 was obtained recently by Dinis and Pinto (see [13, Lemma 5]).

In this paper we generalize this quantitative analysis in a two-fold way:

- (i) we consider W -hyperbolic spaces [15] instead of Hilbert spaces;

- (ii) we extend the modified Mann iteration to the so-called *Tikhonov-Mann iteration* (x_n) (see (3)).

Our main results (Theorems 4.1 and 4.2) provide uniform rates of asymptotic regularity for the Tikhonov-Mann iteration (x_n) , that is rates of convergence towards 0 of the sequences $(d(x_n, x_{n+1}))$, $(d(x_n, Tx_n))$. As an immediate consequence, we obtain quadratic rates of asymptotic regularity for the Tikhonov-Mann iteration in W -hyperbolic spaces.

We obtain these quantitative results by applying methods from *proof mining*, an applied area of mathematical logic, developed by Kohlenbach beginning with the 1990s (see [16] for a standard reference or [18] for a recent survey).

2 W -hyperbolic spaces

Let us define a W -space to be a structure of the form (X, d, W) , where (X, d) is a metric space and $W : X \times X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ is a function. The mapping W was already considered by Takahashi [29] in the 1970s. We also say that W is a *convexity mapping*, as $W(x, y, \lambda)$ could be regarded as an abstract convex combination of the points x and y with parameter λ . That is why we use in the sequel

the notation $(1 - \lambda)x + \lambda y$ for $W(x, y, \lambda)$.

A very important class of W -spaces are the W -hyperbolic spaces, introduced by Kohlenbach [15] under the name of “hyperbolic spaces”. A *W -hyperbolic space* is a W -space (X, d, W) satisfying the following for all $x, y, w, z \in X$ and all $\lambda, \tilde{\lambda} \in [0, 1]$:

- (W1) $d(z, (1 - \lambda)x + \lambda y) \leq (1 - \lambda)d(z, x) + \lambda d(z, y)$,
- (W2) $d((1 - \lambda)x + \lambda y, (1 - \tilde{\lambda})x + \tilde{\lambda}y) = |\lambda - \tilde{\lambda}|d(x, y)$,
- (W3) $(1 - \lambda)x + \lambda y = \lambda y + (1 - \lambda)x$,
- (W4) $d((1 - \lambda)x + \lambda z, (1 - \lambda)y + \lambda w) \leq (1 - \lambda)d(x, y) + \lambda d(z, w)$.

A normed space is a W -hyperbolic space: one defines simply $W(x, y, \lambda) = (1 - \lambda)x + \lambda y$. Furthermore, important classes of geodesic spaces such as Busemann spaces [27] or CAT(0) spaces [1, 8] are W -hyperbolic. In fact, Busemann spaces are exactly the uniquely geodesic W -hyperbolic spaces (see [2, Proposition 2.6]) and, as pointed out in [16, p. 386-388], CAT(0) spaces are the W -hyperbolic spaces (X, d, W) satisfying the following reformulation of the Bruhat-Tits inequality: for all $x, y, z \in X$,

$$d^2\left(z, \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}y\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}d^2(z, x) + \frac{1}{2}d^2(z, y) - \frac{1}{4}d^2(x, y).$$

Let (X, d, W) be a W -hyperbolic space.

Lemma 2.1. *The following hold for all $x, y, z, w \in X$ and all $\lambda, \tilde{\lambda} \in [0, 1]$:*

- (i) $d(x, (1 - \lambda)x + \lambda y) = \lambda d(x, y)$ and $d(y, (1 - \lambda)x + \lambda y) = (1 - \lambda)d(x, y)$.
- (ii) $1x + 0y = x$ and $0x + 1y = y$.
- (iii) $(1 - \lambda)x + \lambda x = x$.
- (iv) $d((1 - \lambda)x + \lambda z, (1 - \tilde{\lambda})y + \tilde{\lambda}w) \leq (1 - \lambda)d(x, y) + \lambda d(z, w) + |\lambda - \tilde{\lambda}|d(y, w)$.
- (v) $d((1 - \lambda)x + \lambda z, (1 - \tilde{\lambda})x + \tilde{\lambda}w) \leq \lambda d(z, w) + |\lambda - \tilde{\lambda}|d(x, w)$.

Proof. (i) holds already in the setting of convex metric spaces, defined by Takahashi [29] as W -spaces satisfying (W1).

(ii), (iii) follow immediately from (i).

(iv) Let $u = (1 - \lambda)x + \lambda z$ and $v = (1 - \tilde{\lambda})y + \tilde{\lambda}w$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} d(u, v) &\leq d(u, (1 - \lambda)y + \lambda w) + d((1 - \lambda)y + \lambda w, v) \\ &\leq (1 - \lambda)d(x, y) + \lambda d(z, w) + d((1 - \lambda)y + \lambda w, v) \text{ by (W4)} \\ &= (1 - \lambda)d(x, y) + \lambda d(z, w) + |\lambda - \tilde{\lambda}|d(y, w) \text{ by (W2)}. \end{aligned}$$

(v) is obtained by letting $y = x$ in (iv). □

For all $x, y \in X$, let us denote

$$[x, y] = \{(1 - \lambda)x + \lambda y \mid \lambda \in [0, 1]\}.$$

By Lemma 2.1.(iii), $[x, x] = \{x\}$. It is easy to see that (X, d, W) is a geodesic space and, for all $x, y \in X$, $[x, y]$ is a geodesic segment joining x and y .

A nonempty subset $C \subseteq X$ is said to be *convex* if $[x, y] \subseteq C$ for all $x, y \in C$. Any convex subset C of X is, in an obvious way, a W -hyperbolic space.

3 The Tikhonov-Mann iteration

In the sequel, (X, d, W) is a W -hyperbolic space, C is a convex subset of X and $T : C \rightarrow C$ is a nonexpansive mapping. Let us denote by $Fix(T)$ the set of fixed points of T . We assume that T has fixed points, i.e. $Fix(T) \neq \emptyset$.

We define the *Tikhonov-Mann iteration* starting with $x_0 \in C$ as follows:

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \lambda_n)u_n + \lambda_n T u_n, \tag{3}$$

where

$$u_n = (1 - \beta_n)u + \beta_n x_n, \tag{4}$$

with $u \in C$ and $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, (\beta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ sequences in $[0, 1]$.

Remark that if X is a normed space, $C = X$ and $u = 0$, then $u_n = \beta_n x_n$, hence (3) becomes (2). Therefore, our iteration (x_n) is a generalization to the nonlinear setting of W -hyperbolic spaces of the modified Mann iteration introduced in [7].

Lemma 3.1. *Let p be a fixed point of T . Define*

$$M = \max\{d(x_0, p), d(u, p)\}. \quad (5)$$

The following hold for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$(i) \quad d(x_{n+1}, p) \leq (1 - \beta_n)d(u, p) + \beta_n d(x_n, p).$$

$$(ii) \quad d(x_n, p) \leq M \text{ and } d(x_n, u) \leq 2M.$$

$$(iii) \quad d(u_n, p) \leq M \text{ and } d(u_n, Tu_n) \leq 2M.$$

Proof. (i) Applying (W1) twice and the fact that $d(Tu_n, p) = d(Tu_n, Tp) \leq d(u_n, p)$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, p) &\leq (1 - \lambda_n)d(u_n, p) + \lambda_n d(Tu_n, p) \leq d(u_n, p) \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_n)d(u, p) + \beta_n d(x_n, p). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Use (i) and induction on n to get that $d(x_n, p) \leq M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Obviously, $d(x_n, u) \leq d(x_n, p) + d(u, p) \leq 2M$.

(iii) By (W1) and (ii), we have that

$$d(u_n, p) \leq (1 - \beta_n)d(u, p) + \beta_n d(x_n, p) \leq M.$$

$$\text{Furthermore, } d(u_n, Tu_n) \leq d(u_n, p) + d(p, Tu_n) \leq 2d(u_n, p) \leq 2M. \quad \square$$

Proposition 3.2. *Let p be a fixed point of T and M be defined by (5). For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$d(u_{n+1}, u_n) \leq \beta_{n+1}d(x_{n+1}, x_n) + 2M|\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n|, \quad (6)$$

$$d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}) \leq \beta_{n+1}d(x_{n+1}, x_n) + 2M(|\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| + |\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n|), \quad (7)$$

$$d(x_n, u_n) = (1 - \beta_n)d(u, x_n), \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, Tx_n) &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \lambda_n(1 - \beta_n)d(u, x_n) \\ &\quad + (1 - \lambda_n)\beta_n d(x_n, Tx_n) + (1 - \lambda_n)(1 - \beta_n)d(u, Tx_n), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

$$\lambda_n d(x_n, Tx_n) \leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + 2M(1 - \beta_n). \quad (10)$$

Proof. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} d(u_{n+1}, u_n) &\leq \beta_{n+1}d(x_{n+1}, x_n) + |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n|d(u, x_n) \quad \text{by Lemma 2.1.(v)} \\ &\leq \beta_{n+1}d(x_{n+1}, x_n) + 2M|\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| \quad \text{by Lemma 3.1.(ii)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (6) holds.

We prove (7) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}) &\leq (1 - \lambda_{n+1})d(u_{n+1}, u_n) + \lambda_{n+1}d(Tu_{n+1}, Tu_n) \\
&\quad + |\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n|d(u_n, Tu_n) \quad \text{by Lemma 2.1.(iv)} \\
&\leq d(u_{n+1}, u_n) + |\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n|d(u_n, Tu_n) \\
&\leq \beta_{n+1}d(x_{n+1}, x_n) + 2M(|\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| + |\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n|) \\
&\quad \text{by (6) and Lemma 3.1.(iii)}.
\end{aligned}$$

(8) follows immediately from (4) and Proposition 2.1.(i).

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_n, Tx_n) &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, Tx_n) \\
&\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + (1 - \lambda_n)d(u_n, Tx_n) + \lambda_nd(u_n, x_n) \\
&\quad \text{by (3), (W1) and the nonexpansiveness of } T \\
&\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \lambda_nd(u_n, x_n) + (1 - \lambda_n)d(u_n, (1 - \beta_n)u + \beta_nTx_n) \\
&\quad + (1 - \lambda_n)d((1 - \beta_n)u + \beta_nTx_n, Tx_n) \\
&= d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \lambda_nd(u_n, x_n) + (1 - \lambda_n)d(u_n, (1 - \beta_n)u + \beta_nTx_n) \\
&\quad + (1 - \lambda_n)(1 - \beta_n)d(u, Tx_n) \quad \text{by Proposition 2.1.(i)} \\
&= d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \lambda_n(1 - \beta_n)d(u, x_n) + (1 - \lambda_n)(1 - \beta_n)d(u, Tx_n) \\
&\quad + (1 - \lambda_n)d((1 - \beta_n)u + \beta_nx_n, (1 - \beta_n)u + \beta_nTx_n) \\
&\quad \text{by (8) and (4)} \\
&\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \lambda_n(1 - \beta_n)d(u, x_n) + (1 - \lambda_n)(1 - \beta_n)d(u, Tx_n) \\
&\quad + (1 - \lambda_n)\beta_nd(x_n, Tx_n) \quad \text{by (W4)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (9) is satisfied.

Finally, let us prove (10). Applying (9) and the fact that $d(u, Tx_n) \leq d(u, x_n) + d(x_n, Tx_n)$ we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_n, Tx_n) &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \lambda_n(1 - \beta_n)d(u, x_n) + (1 - \lambda_n)\beta_nd(x_n, Tx_n) \\
&\quad + (1 - \lambda_n)(1 - \beta_n)d(u, x_n) + (1 - \lambda_n)(1 - \beta_n)d(x_n, Tx_n) \\
&= d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + (1 - \beta_n)d(u, x_n) + (1 - \lambda_n)d(x_n, Tx_n).
\end{aligned}$$

Move $(1 - \lambda_n)d(x_n, Tx_n)$ to the left-hand side and apply Proposition 3.1.(ii) to obtain that

$$\lambda_nd(x_n, Tx_n) \leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + (1 - \beta_n)d(u, x_n) \leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + 2M(1 - \beta_n).$$

□

4 Main theorems

The main results of the paper are effective versions of a generalization of Theorem 1.1, providing uniform rates of asymptotic regularity, in the setting of W -hyperbolic spaces, for the Tikhonov-Mann iteration (x_n) defined by (3).

Before giving the main theorems, we recall some quantitative notions. Let $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in a metric space (X, d) , $a \in X$ and $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = a$, then φ is a *rate of convergence* for (a_n) (towards a) if

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N} \forall n \geq \varphi(k) \left(d(a_n, a) \leq \frac{1}{k+1} \right).$$

If (a_n) is Cauchy, then φ is a *Cauchy modulus* for (a_n) if

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N} \forall n \geq \varphi(k) \forall p \in \mathbb{N} \left(d(a_{n+p}, a_n) \leq \frac{1}{k+1} \right).$$

Let $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers. If the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n$ diverges, then a *rate of divergence* of the series is a function $\theta : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $\sum_{i=0}^{\theta(n)} b_i \geq n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A Cauchy modulus of a convergent series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n$ is a Cauchy modulus of the sequence $\left(\sum_{i=0}^n b_i \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a rate of convergence of a convergent product $\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n$ is a rate of convergence of the sequence $\left(\prod_{i=0}^n b_i \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

We consider in the following quantitative versions of (C1)-(C6):

$$(C1_q) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - \beta_n) \text{ diverges with rate of divergence } \sigma_1;$$

$$(C2_q) \quad \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n+1} = 0 \text{ with rate of convergence } \sigma_2;$$

$$(C3_q) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| \text{ converges with Cauchy modulus } \sigma_3;$$

$$(C4_q) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n| \text{ converges with Cauchy modulus } \sigma_4;$$

$$(C5_q) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = 1 \text{ with rate of convergence } \sigma_5;$$

$$(C6_q) \quad \Lambda \in \mathbb{N}^* \text{ and } N_{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{N} \text{ are such that } \lambda_n \geq \frac{1}{\Lambda} \text{ for all } n \geq N_{\Lambda}.$$

In the sequel, (X, d, W) is a W -hyperbolic space, C is a convex subset of X , $T : C \rightarrow C$ is a nonexpansive mapping with $Fix(T) \neq \emptyset$, and (x_n) is defined by (3). If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0$, (x_n) is said to be *asymptotically regular* and a rate of convergence of $(d(x_n, x_{n+1}))$ towards 0 is called a *rate of asymptotic regularity* for (x_n) . Furthermore, we say that (x_n) is *T -asymptotically regular* if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, Tx_n) = 0$; a *rate of T -asymptotic regularity* for (x_n) is a rate of convergence of $(d(x_n, Tx_n))$ towards 0.

Our first quantitative result is a generalization of [13, Lemma 5] to our setting.

Theorem 4.1. Assume that $(C1_q)$, $(C3_q)$, $(C4_q)$ hold and let $K \in \mathbb{N}^*$ be such that $K \geq M$, where M is given by (5) for some $p \in \text{Fix}(T)$. Define

$$\chi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}, \quad \chi(k) = \max\{\sigma_3(8K(k+1) - 1), \sigma_4(8K(k+1) - 1)\}. \quad (11)$$

The following hold:

(i) (x_n) is asymptotically regular with rate of asymptotic regularity Σ defined by

$$\Sigma(k) = \sigma_1(\chi(3k+2) + 2 + \lceil \ln(6K(k+1)) \rceil) + 1. \quad (12)$$

(ii) If, furthermore, $(C5_q)$ and $(C6_q)$ hold, then (x_n) is T -asymptotically regular with rate of T -asymptotic regularity Φ defined by

$$\Phi(k) = \max\{N_\Lambda, \Sigma(2\Lambda(k+1) - 1), \sigma_5(4K\Lambda(k+1) - 1)\}. \quad (13)$$

We obtain a second quantitative result by taking as a hypothesis $(C2_q)$ instead of $(C1_q)$.

Theorem 4.2. Assume that $(C2_q)$, $(C3_q)$, $(C4_q)$ hold, $\beta_n > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let K, χ be as in the hypothesis of Theorem 4.1. Suppose, moreover, that, if we denote $P_n = \prod_{i=0}^n \beta_{i+1}$, there exists a mapping $\psi_0 : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $\frac{1}{\psi_0(k)} \leq P_{\chi(3k+2)}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

The following hold:

(i) (x_n) is asymptotically regular with rate of asymptotic regularity $\tilde{\Sigma}$ defined by

$$\tilde{\Sigma}(k) = \max\{\sigma_2(6K(k+1)\psi_0(k) - 1), \chi(3k+2) + 1\} + 1. \quad (14)$$

(ii) If, furthermore, $(C5_q)$ and $(C6_q)$ hold, then (x_n) is T -asymptotically regular with rate of T -asymptotic regularity $\tilde{\Phi}$ defined by

$$\tilde{\Phi}(k) = \max\{N_\Lambda, \tilde{\Sigma}(2\Lambda(k+1) - 1), \sigma_5(4K\Lambda(k+1) - 1)\}. \quad (15)$$

The proofs of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 are given in Section 5. By forgetting the quantitative information, as an immediate consequence of any of these theorems we get the extension of Theorem 1.1 obtained by taking W -hyperbolic spaces instead of Hilbert spaces and by considering the iteration (x_n) defined by (3).

A very important feature of the rates of (T) -asymptotic regularity computed by our main theorems is their extremely weak dependency on the W -hyperbolic space (X, d, W) , the points $x_0, u \in C$ and the mapping T :

only through $K \geq \max\{d(x_0, p), d(u, p)\}$ (where p is a fixed point of T).

It follows that for bounded sets C it suffices to take K to be an upper bound for the diameter $\text{diam}(C)$ of C .

The dependency on the sequences (λ_n) , (β_n) is given by $(C1_q)$ – $(C6_q)$. However, for the example we present below, the rates appearing in these quantitative hypotheses can be easily computed.

As a consequence of Theorem 4.2 we get the following.

Corollary 4.3. *Let $\lambda_n = \lambda \in (0, 1]$ and $\beta_n = 1 - \frac{1}{n+1}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\Sigma_0(k) = 144K^2(k+1)^2 + 6K(k+1) \quad (16)$$

is a rate of asymptotic regularity for (x_n) , and

$$\Phi_0(k) = 576K^2 \left\lceil \frac{1}{\lambda} \right\rceil^2 (k+1)^2 + 12K \left\lceil \frac{1}{\lambda} \right\rceil (k+1) \quad (17)$$

is a rate of T -asymptotic regularity for (x_n) .

Proof. We obtain (16) as a consequence of Theorem 4.2.(i). Remark first that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$P_n = \prod_{i=0}^n \beta_{i+1} = \frac{1}{n+2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=0}^n |\beta_{i+1} - \beta_i| = 1 - \frac{1}{n+2}.$$

It follows immediately that $(C2_q)$ and $(C3_q)$ hold with $\sigma_2(k) = \sigma_3(k) = k$. Obviously, $(C4_q)$ holds with $\sigma_4(k) = 0$. We get that $\chi(k) = 8K(k+1) - 1$, so we can take $\psi_0(k) = \chi(3k+2) + 2 = 24K(k+1) + 1$. Applying (14), it follows that

$$\tilde{\Sigma}(k) = 144K^2(k+1)^2 + 6K(k+1) = \Sigma_0(k).$$

Furthermore, $(C5_q)$ holds with $\sigma_5(k) = k$ and $(C6_q)$ holds with $\Lambda = \lceil \frac{1}{\lambda} \rceil$ and $N_\Lambda = 0$. Apply now Theorem 4.2.(ii) to get that

$$\tilde{\Phi}(k) = 576K^2 \left\lceil \frac{1}{\lambda} \right\rceil^2 (k+1)^2 + 12K \left\lceil \frac{1}{\lambda} \right\rceil (k+1) = \Phi_0(k).$$

□

Thus, for $\lambda_n = \lambda \in (0, 1]$ and $\beta_n = 1 - \frac{1}{n+1}$, we get quadratic rates of (T) -asymptotic regularity for the Tikhonov-Mann iteration (x_n) .

We remark that if we use Theorem 4.1 instead of Theorem 4.2 for the above example (that is, we apply $(C1_q)$ instead of $(C2_q)$), we obtain exponential rates of (T) -asymptotic regularity, due to the fact the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - \beta_n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1}$ has an exponential rate of divergence. The idea to replace $(C2_q)$ with $(C1_q)$ was used by Kohlenbach [17] to compute, for the first time, quadratic rates of asymptotic regularity for the Halpern iteration in normed spaces and it was applied again in [20] for the Halpern iteration in W -hyperbolic spaces and in [24] for a Halpern-type proximal point algorithm in Hilbert spaces.

The research direction of obtaining quantitative results on the asymptotic regularity of the Mann iteration (x_n) (see (1)) has a long history. Quadratic rates of asymptotic regularity were computed by Baillon and Bruck [4] in normed spaces. For W -hyperbolic spaces, only exponential rates were obtained by Kohlenbach and the second author [19]. Applying proof mining to Groetsch's proof [14] of the asymptotic regularity in uniformly convex Banach spaces,

the second author computed in [22] rates of asymptotic regularity in UCW -hyperbolic spaces [23], a class of uniformly convex geodesic spaces; as an immediate corollary, one gets quadratic rates in the setting of $CAT(0)$ spaces.

Baillon and Bruck conjectured in [4] the existence of a constant κ such that, for bounded sets C in normed spaces,

$$\|x_n - Tx_n\| \leq \kappa \frac{\text{diam}(C)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(1 - \lambda_i)}. \quad (18)$$

They showed that, for constant $\lambda_n = \lambda \in (0, 1)$, one can take $\kappa = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}$. Cominetti, Soto and Vaisman [12] settled Baillon and Bruck's conjecture by proving that (18) holds with $\kappa = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}$ for general (λ_n) ; the constant $\kappa = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}$ was showed to be tight by Bravo and Cominetti [10].

These estimates were extended by Bravo, Cominetti and Pavez-Signé [11] to inexact versions of the Mann iteration. As a consequence, one obtains quadratic rates of asymptotic regularity for these inexact versions in Banach spaces; in the setting of Hilbert spaces, quadratic rates were computed previously by Liang, Fadili, and Peyré [25].

5 Proofs of the main theorems

The proof of Theorem 1.1 uses, in an essential way, the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $[0, 1]$ and $(c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, (s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ sequences of nonnegative real numbers satisfying, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$s_{n+1} \leq (1 - a_n)s_n + c_n. \quad (19)$$

Assume that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ diverges (or, equivalently, $\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - a_n) = 0$) and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n$ converges. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = 0$.

The above lemma is a particular case of [30, Lemma 2.5], whose quantitative versions were proved in [24, Section 3]. The following quantitative version of Lemma 5.1, which is an immediate consequence of the results from [24], is the main tool in the proofs of our main theorems.

Proposition 5.2. *Let (a_n) be a sequence in $[0, 1]$ and $(c_n), (s_n)$ sequences of nonnegative reals such that (19) holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that $L \in \mathbb{N}^*$ is an upper bound on (s_n) and that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n$ converges with Cauchy modulus χ .*

(i) *If $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ diverges with rate of divergence θ , then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = 0$ with rate of convergence Σ defined by*

$$\Sigma(k) = \theta(\chi(3k + 2) + 1 + \lceil \ln(3L(k + 1)) \rceil) + 1. \quad (20)$$

(ii) Assume that $a_n < 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - a_n) = 0$ with rate of convergence

γ and denote $A_n = \prod_{i=0}^n (1 - a_i)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose, furthermore, that $\delta_0 : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^*$ is such that $\frac{1}{\delta_0(k)} \leq A_{\chi(3k+2)}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = 0$ with rate of convergence $\tilde{\Sigma}$ defined by

$$\tilde{\Sigma}(k) = \max \{ \gamma(3L(k+1)\delta_0(k) - 1), \chi(3k+2) + 1 \} + 1. \quad (21)$$

Proof. Apply [24, Propositions 2, 3] with $\delta(k) = \chi(3k+2) + 1$, as one can take $\psi(n) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ in [24, Lemma 7]. \square

Let M, K be as in the hypothesis of Theorem 4.1. Denote

$$\begin{aligned} L &= 2K, \quad s_n = d(x_{n+1}, x_n), \quad a_n = 1 - \beta_{n+1}, \\ c_n &= 2M(|\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| + |\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n|), \quad \tilde{c}_n = \sum_{i=0}^n c_i. \end{aligned}$$

The fact that (19) holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ follows from (7). Furthermore, L is an upper bound on (s_n) , as $d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq d(x_{n+1}, p) + d(x_n, p) \leq 2M$, by Lemma 3.1(ii).

Lemma 5.3. Assume that $(C3_q), (C4_q)$ hold and let χ be defined by (11). Then χ is a Cauchy modulus for (\tilde{c}_n) .

Proof. Denote $\tilde{\beta}_n = \sum_{i=0}^n |\beta_{i+1} - \beta_i|$, $\tilde{\lambda}_n = \sum_{i=0}^n |\lambda_{i+1} - \lambda_i|$ and let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary. Applying $(C3_q)$ and $(C4_q)$, we get that for all $n \geq \chi(k)$ and all $p \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\tilde{\beta}_{n+p} - \tilde{\beta}_n \leq \frac{1}{4L(k+1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\lambda}_{n+p} - \tilde{\lambda}_n \leq \frac{1}{4L(k+1)},$$

hence

$$\tilde{c}_{n+p} - \tilde{c}_n = 2M(\tilde{\beta}_{n+p} - \tilde{\beta}_n) + 2M(\tilde{\lambda}_{n+p} - \tilde{\lambda}_n) \leq \frac{1}{k+1}.$$

\square

Lemma 5.4. Assume that $(C1_q)$ holds and define $\theta(n) = \sigma_1(n+1)$. Then θ is a rate of divergence for $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$.

Proof. By $(C1_q)$, we have that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{\theta(n)} a_i &= \sum_{i=0}^{\sigma_1(n+1)} (1 - \beta_{i+1}) \geq \sum_{k=0}^{\sigma_1(n+1)} (1 - \beta_k) - (1 - \beta_0) \\ &\geq n + 1 - (1 - \beta_0) \geq n. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Proposition 5.5. *Suppose that (C5_q), (C6_q) hold and that Θ is a rate of asymptotic regularity for (x_n) . Define Θ^* by*

$$\Theta^*(k) = \max\{N_\Lambda, \Theta(2\Lambda(k+1) - 1), \sigma_5(4K\Lambda(k+1) - 1)\}. \quad (22)$$

Then Θ^ is a rate of T -asymptotic regularity for (x_n) .*

Proof. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \geq \Theta^*(k)$. Since $n \geq N_\Lambda$, we can apply (C6_q) to get that $\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \leq \Lambda$. By (10) and the definition of K , it follows that

$$d(x_n, Tx_n) \leq \Lambda d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + 2K\Lambda(1 - \beta_n). \quad (23)$$

Since $n \geq \Theta(2\Lambda(k+1) - 1)$, we have that

$$\Lambda d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{2(k+1)}. \quad (24)$$

As $n \geq \sigma_5(4K\Lambda(k+1) - 1)$, we get that $1 - \beta_n \leq \frac{1}{4K\Lambda(k+1)}$, hence

$$2K\Lambda(1 - \beta_n) \leq \frac{1}{2(k+1)}. \quad (25)$$

Apply (23), (24) and (25) to obtain that Θ^* is a rate of T -asymptotic regularity for (x_n) . \square

5.1 Proof of Theorem 4.1

It is easy to see that (i) follows from Proposition 5.2.(i) and Lemmas 5.3, 5.4. Apply (i) and Proposition 5.5 to obtain (ii).

5.2 Proof of Theorem 4.2

We have that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_n < 1$ and $P_n = \prod_{i=0}^n (1 - a_i)$. It follows, by (C2_q),

that $\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - a_n) = 0$ with rate of convergence σ_2 and that $\frac{1}{\psi_0(k)} \leq P_{\chi(3k+2)} = \prod_{i=0}^{\chi(3k+2)} (1 - a_i)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Apply Proposition 5.2.(ii) and Lemma 5.3 to get (i). Furthermore, we obtain (ii) from (i) by applying again Proposition 5.5.

6 Tikhonov versions of the Douglas-Rachford and forward-backward algorithms

Boţ, Csetnek and Meier [7] derived from their modified Mann iteration a forward-backward and a Douglas-Rachford algorithm, both endowed with Tikhonov regularization terms. In this section, we define more general versions of these

two algorithms and we show that both of them are instances, in the setting of Hilbert spaces, of the Tikhonov-Mann iteration (3). Furthermore, we apply our main results from Section 4 to obtain uniform effective rates of asymptotic regularity.

In the sequel, H is a Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and associated norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let us recall some fundamental notions and results from convex optimization and monotone operator theory that will be used in this section. We refer to [5] for details.

Let $A : H \rightrightarrows H$ be an arbitrary set-valued operator, characterized by its graph, $\text{gra}A = \{(x, u) \in H \times H \mid u \in Ax\}$. The inverse $A^{-1} : H \rightrightarrows H$ of A is given by $\text{gra}A^{-1} = \{(u, x) \in H \times H \mid (x, u) \in \text{gra}A\}$. The set of zeros of A is $\text{zer}A = \{x \in H \mid 0 \in Ax\}$. If $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $B : H \rightrightarrows H$ is another operator, then $\gamma A : H \rightrightarrows H$ and $A + B : H \rightrightarrows H$ are defined as follows: $(\gamma A)(x) = \{\gamma u \mid u \in Ax\}$ and $(A + B)(x) = \{u + v \mid u \in Ax, v \in Bx\}$.

The resolvent $J_A : H \rightrightarrows H$ and the reflected resolvent $R_A : H \rightrightarrows H$ of A are given by

$$J_A = (\text{Id} + A)^{-1}, \quad R_A = 2J_A - \text{Id},$$

where $\text{Id} : H \rightarrow H$, $\text{Id}(x) = x$ is the identity mapping.

We say that A is monotone if $\langle x - y, u - v \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x, y \in H$, $u \in Ax$ and $v \in Ay$, and that A is maximally monotone if it is monotone and its graph is not properly contained in the graph of any other monotone operator. It is well-known that if A is maximally monotone, then $J_A, R_A : H \rightarrow H$ are single-valued mappings.

Let $T : H \rightarrow H$ be a mapping. We say that T is β -cocoercive (for some $\beta > 0$) if $\langle x - y, Tx - Ty \rangle \geq \beta \|Tx - Ty\|^2$ for all $x, y \in H$. Furthermore, T is said to be α -averaged (for some $\alpha \in (0, 1]$) if there exists a nonexpansive mapping $U : H \rightarrow H$ such that $T = (1 - \alpha)\text{Id} + \alpha U$. Obviously, 1-averaged mappings are exactly the nonexpansive ones.

6.1 A Tikhonov-Douglas-Rachford algorithm

Let $A : H \rightrightarrows H$, $B : H \rightrightarrows H$ be maximally monotone operators such that $\text{zer}(A + B) \neq \emptyset$ and let $\gamma > 0$.

The *Tikhonov-Douglas-Rachford algorithm* is defined by the following iterative scheme:

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &= (1 - \beta_n)u + \beta_n x_n \\ y_n &= J_{\gamma B} u_n \\ z_n &= J_{\gamma A} (2y_n - u_n) \\ x_{n+1} &= u_n + \lambda_n (z_n - y_n) \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

with $x_0, u \in H$, (λ_n) a sequence in $[0, 2]$ and (β_n) a sequence in $[0, 1]$.

By taking $u = 0$, we get the Douglas-Rachford algorithm with Tikhonov regularization terms defined in [7, Section 4].

As in the proof of [7, Theorem 10], one can see that

$$x_{n+1} = \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_n}{2}\right) u_n + \frac{\lambda_n}{2} T u_n, \quad (27)$$

where

$$T = R_{\gamma A} R_{\gamma B} : H \rightarrow H.$$

Since reflected resolvents of maximally monotone operators are nonexpansive (see [5, Corollary 23.11(ii)], T is a nonexpansive mapping, and, by [5, Proposition 26.1(iii)], we have that $J_{\gamma B} \text{Fix}(T) = \text{zer}(A + B) \neq \emptyset$, hence, $\text{Fix}(T)$ is nonempty.

Thus, (x_n) is an instance of the Tikhonov-Mann iteration (3) with parameters (β_n) and $(\frac{\lambda_n}{2})$. It follows that we can apply our main results from Section 4 to obtain uniform rates of asymptotic regularity for (x_n) .

Proposition 6.1. *Assume that $(C3_q)$, $(C4_q)$ hold and let $K \in \mathbb{N}^*$ be such that $K \geq M$, where $M = \max\{\|x_0 - p\|, \|u - p\|\}$. Let χ be defined by (11).*

(i) *If $(C1_q)$ holds, then (x_n) is asymptotically regular with rate of asymptotic regularity Σ defined by (12).*

(ii) *Suppose that $(C2_q)$ holds and let ψ_0 as in Theorem 4.2. Then (x_n) is asymptotically regular with rate of asymptotic regularity $\tilde{\Sigma}$ defined by (14).*

Proof. Apply Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 for (β_n) and $(\frac{\lambda_n}{2})$ and remark the fact that σ_4 is a Cauchy modulus for the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{2} - \frac{\lambda_n}{2}|$ too. \square

Furthermore, we get as in Corollary 4.3 that, for $\lambda_n = \lambda \in (0, 2]$ and $\beta_n = 1 - \frac{1}{n+1}$,

$$\Sigma_0(k) = 144K^2(k+1)^2 + 6K(k+1) \quad (28)$$

is a quadratic rate of asymptotic regularity for (x_n) .

We compute in the sequel rates of asymptotic regularity for the sequences (u_n) , (y_n) , (z_n) from the iterative scheme (26).

Lemma 6.2. *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\|y_{n+1} - y_n\|, \|z_{n+1} - z_n\| \leq \|u_{n+1} - u_n\|$.*

Proof. Since $J_{\gamma B}$ is nonexpansive, we get immediately that $\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| \leq \|u_{n+1} - u_n\|$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_{n+1} - z_n\| &= \|J_{\gamma A}((2J_{\gamma B} - \text{Id})u_{n+1}) - J_{\gamma A}((2J_{\gamma B} - \text{Id})u_n)\| \\ &= \|J_{\gamma A}(R_{\gamma B}u_{n+1}) - J_{\gamma A}(R_{\gamma B}u_n)\| \\ &\leq \|u_{n+1} - u_n\|, \end{aligned}$$

since $J_{\gamma A}$ and $R_{\gamma B}$ are nonexpansive. \square

Proposition 6.3. *Assume that Σ is a rate of asymptotic regularity for (x_n) , $(C5_q)$ holds and let K be as in the hypothesis of Proposition 6.1. Then (u_n) , (y_n) and (z_n) are asymptotically regular with rate Ω defined by*

$$\Omega(k) = \max\{\Sigma(2k+1), \sigma_5(8K(k+1) - 1)\}. \quad (29)$$

Proof. By the previous lemma, it is enough to prove that Ω is a rate of asymptotic regularity for (u_n) . Remark that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{n+1} - u_n\| &\leq \beta_{n+1} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + 2M |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| \quad \text{by (6)} \\ &\leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + 2K((1 - \beta_{n+1}) + (1 - \beta_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for all $n \geq \Omega(k)$, we get that

$$\|u_{n+1} - u_n\| \leq \frac{1}{2(k+1)} + 2K \left(\frac{1}{8K(k+1)} + \frac{1}{8K(k+1)} \right) = \frac{1}{k+1}.$$

□

As an immediate consequence we obtain for $\lambda_n = \lambda \in (0, 2]$ and $\beta_n = 1 - \frac{1}{n+1}$ the same quadratic rate of asymptotic regularity, given by (28), for all sequences (x_n) , (u_n) , (y_n) and (z_n) .

6.2 A Tikhonov-forward-backward algorithm

Let $A : H \rightrightarrows H$ be a maximally monotone operator, $B : H \rightarrow H$ be a β -cocoercive mapping for some $\beta > 0$ and $\gamma \in (0, 2\beta]$. Assume that $\text{zer}(A+B) \neq \emptyset$.

The *Tikhonov-forward-backward algorithm* is defined as follows:

$$x_0 \in H, \quad x_{n+1} = (1 - \lambda_n)u_n + \lambda_n J_{\gamma A}(u_n - \gamma B u_n), \quad (30)$$

where $u \in H$, (β_n) is a sequence in $[0, 1]$, $\alpha = \frac{2\beta}{4\beta - \gamma} \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, (λ_n) is a sequence in $[0, \frac{1}{\alpha}]$, and $u_n = (1 - \beta_n)u + \beta_n x_n$.

The forward-backward algorithm with Tikhonov regularization terms defined in [7, Section 3] is obtained by letting $u = 0$ in (30).

Let us define

$$T = J_{\gamma A}(\text{Id} - \gamma B) : H \rightarrow H.$$

By the proof of [7, Theorem 7], the mapping T is α -averaged, hence $T = (1 - \alpha)\text{Id} + \alpha U$ for some nonexpansive mapping $U : H \rightarrow H$. Furthermore, by [5, Proposition 26.1.(iv)], $\text{Fix}(T) = \text{zer}(A+B)$ hence $\text{Fix}(T) = \text{Fix}(U) \neq \emptyset$. One can easily see that

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \lambda_n)u_n + \lambda_n T u_n = (1 - \alpha \lambda_n)u_n + \alpha \lambda_n U(u_n).$$

Hence, (x_n) is the Tikhonov-Mann iteration associated with the nonexpansive mapping U and parameters (β_n) and $(\alpha \lambda_n)$.

It follows immediately that Proposition 6.1 holds identically for the Tikhonov-forward-backward iteration (x_n) too. We have only to remark that if $(C4_q)$ is true for (λ_n) , then it is true also for $(\alpha \lambda_n)$ with the same modulus σ_4 , as $\alpha \leq 1$. Furthermore, for $\lambda_n = \lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{\alpha}]$ and $\beta_n = 1 - \frac{1}{n+1}$, we get that the mapping Σ_0 defined by (28) is a quadratic rate of asymptotic regularity for (x_n) .

References

- [1] S. Alexander, V. Kapovitch, and A. Petrunin. *An Invitation to Alexandrov Geometry. CAT(0) Spaces*. Springer, 2019.
- [2] D. Ariza-Ruiz, L. Leuştean, and G. Lopez-Acedo. Firmly nonexpansive mappings in classes of geodesic spaces. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 366:4299–4322, 2014.
- [3] H. Attouch. Viscosity solutions of minimization problems. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 6:769–806, 1996.
- [4] J.B. Baillon and R.E. Bruck. The rate of asymptotic regularity is $O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$. In A.G. Kartsatos, editor, *Theory and applications of nonlinear operators of accretive and monotone type*, pages 51–81. Marcel Dekker, 1996.
- [5] H.H. Bauschke and P. Combettes. *Convex Analysis and Monotone Operator Theory in Hilbert Spaces. Second Edition*. Springer, 2017.
- [6] J. Borwein, S. Reich, and I. Shafrir. Krasnoselski-Mann iterations in normed spaces. *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, 35:21–28, 1992.
- [7] R.I. Boţ, E.R. Csetnek, and D. Meier. Inducing strong convergence into the asymptotic behaviour of proximal splitting algorithms in Hilbert spaces. *Optimization Methods and Software*, 34:489–514, 2019.
- [8] M. Bridson and A. Haefliger. *Metric Spaces of Non-Positive Curvature*. Springer, 1999.
- [9] F.E. Browder and W.V. Petryshyn. The solution by iteration of nonlinear functional equations in Banach spaces. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 72:571–575, 1966.
- [10] M. Bravo and R. Cominetti. Sharp convergence rates for averaged nonexpansive maps. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 227:163–188, 2018.
- [11] M. Bravo, R. Cominetti, and M. Pavez-Signé. Rates of convergence for inexact Krasnosel’skii–Mann iterations in Banach spaces. *Mathematical Programming, Ser. A*, 175:241–262, 2019.
- [12] R. Cominetti, J. Soto, and J. Vaisman. On the rate of convergence of Krasnosel’skii–Mann iterations and their connection with sums of Bernoullis. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 199:757–772, 2014.
- [13] B. Dinis and P. Pinto. On the convergence of algorithms with Tikhonov regularization terms. *Optimization Letters*, 15:1263–1276, 2021.
- [14] C.W. Groetsch. A note on segmenting Mann iterates. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 40:369–372, 1972.

- [15] U. Kohlenbach. Some logical metatheorems with applications in functional analysis. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 357:89–128, 2005.
- [16] U. Kohlenbach. *Applied Proof Theory: Proof Interpretations and their Use in Mathematics*. Springer, 2008.
- [17] U. Kohlenbach. On quantitative versions of theorems due to F.E. Browder and R. Wittmann. *Advances in Mathematics*, 226:2764–2795, 2011.
- [18] U. Kohlenbach. Proof-theoretic methods in nonlinear analysis. In B. Sirakov, P. Ney de Souza, and M. Viana, editors, *Proceedings of ICM 2018, Vol. 2*, pages 61–82. World Scientific, 2019.
- [19] U. Kohlenbach and L. Leuştean. Mann iterates of directionally nonexpansive mappings in hyperbolic spaces. *Abstract and Applied Analysis* 2003:449–477, 2003.
- [20] U. Kohlenbach and L. Leuştean. Effective metastability of Halpern iterates in CAT(0) spaces. *Advances in Mathematics*, 231:2526–2556, 2012.
- [21] N. Lehdili and A. Moudafi. Combining the proximal algorithm and Tikhonov regularization. *Optimization*, 37:239–252, 1996.
- [22] L. Leuştean. A quadratic rate of asymptotic regularity for CAT(0)-spaces. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 325:386–399, 2007.
- [23] L. Leuştean. Nonexpansive iterations in uniformly convex W -hyperbolic spaces. In A. Leizarowitz, B.S. Mordukhovich, I. Shafrir, and A. Zaslavski, editors, *Nonlinear Analysis and Optimization I: Nonlinear Analysis*, pages 193–209. American Mathematical Society, 2010.
- [24] L. Leuştean and P. Pinto. Quantitative results on a Halpern-type proximal point algorithm. *Computational Optimization and Applications*, 79:101–125, 2021.
- [25] J. Liang, J. Fadili, and G. Peyré. Convergence rates with inexact nonexpansive operators. *Mathematical Programming, Ser. A*, 159:1–32, 2016.
- [26] W.R. Mann. Mean value methods in iteration. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 4:506–510, 1953.
- [27] A. Papadopoulos. *Metric Spaces, Convexity and Nonpositive Curvature*. European Mathematical Society, 2005.
- [28] S. Reich. Weak convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 67:274–276, 1979.
- [29] W. Takahashi. A convexity in metric space and nonexpansive mappings, I. *Kodai Mathematical Seminar Reports*, 22:142–149, 1970.

- [30] H.-K. Xu. Iterative algorithms for nonlinear operators. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 66:240–256, 2002.