

Hairy Black Holes from Horndeski Theory

Santiago Esteban Perez Bergliaffa*, Rodrigo Maier†, Nathan de Oliveira Silvano‡

*Departamento de Física Teórica, Instituto de Física,
Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro,
Rua São Francisco Xavier 524, Maracanã,
CEP20550-900, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil*

(Dated: August 12, 2021)

We present an exact static black hole solution of Einstein field equations in the framework of Horndeski Theory by imposing spherical symmetry and choosing the coupling constants in the Lagrangian so that the only singularity in the solution is at $r = 0$. The analytical extension is built in two particular domains of the parametric space. In the first domain we obtain a solution exhibiting an event horizon analogous to that of the Schwarzschild geometry. For the second domain, we show that the metric displays an exterior event horizon and a Cauchy horizon which encloses a singularity. For both branches we obtain the corresponding Hawking temperature which, when compared to that of the Schwarzschild black hole, acquires a correction proportional to a combination of the coupling constants. Such a correction also modifies the definition of the entropy of the black hole.

I. INTRODUCTION

The issue of late-time acceleration has motivated cosmologists to examine modifications of General Relativity in the deep infrared (see [1] and references therein). Although the cosmological constant is the simplest candidate to drive the late-time acceleration, it poses an insurmountable problem to quantum field theory, since it is hard to accommodate its observed value with vacuum energy calculations [2]. Among several alternatives, Horndeski – and beyond-Horndeski – theories have been playing a significant role [3] in describing the accelerated expansion (see [5] for a review). It is also of importance to study the effect of a Horndeski field on compact objects, such as neutron stars (see for instance [4]) and black holes. Over the last decade many examples of static and spherically symmetric hairy black holes in scalar-tensor theories were obtained in the literature. The simplest case in which solutions admit a hairy profile with a radially dependent scalar field was studied in [6]-[10]. The case in which the scalar field depends linearly on time was considered in [11]-[21]¹. In fact, in [25] the authors present a rather general analysis and obtain time-dependent hairy black hole solutions within the Horndeski class of theories. Moreover, by considering perturbations of such solutions they show that fundamental instabilities are present, hence ruling out the possibility of scalar hair with linear time dependence in the Horndeski framework. The authors of [26] provide a no-go theorem for static and spherically symmetric black hole solutions

with vanishing-at-infinity galileon hair. They assume the following hypothesis: (i) asymptotic flatness, (ii) vanishing derivative of ϕ at infinity, (iii) the finiteness of norm of the current j^μ (associated to shift invariance) down to the horizon, (iv) that the action includes a canonical kinetic term χ , and (v) the functions $Q_i(\chi)$ (see Eq.(1)) are such that their χ -derivatives contain only positive or zero powers of χ as $\chi \rightarrow 0$ (*i.e.* at infinity). It is important to mention that this no-go theorem holds independently of the theory of gravitation employed. Due to the fact that the radial component of the 4-current j^μ – the sole non-zero component – vanishes at the horizon (hence everywhere), it was shown in [26] that $\phi(r) = \text{constant}$ is the only possible solution. Since the latter is equivalent to $\phi(r) = 0$ due to the shift symmetry $\phi \rightarrow \phi + \text{const.}$, spherically symmetric black holes can only sustain trivial galileon profiles.

In [10] the authors perform a detailed analysis of the no-hair theorem examined in [26] considering beyond-Horndeski theories, parameterized by six arbitrary functions of the kinetic term $\chi = -g^{\mu\nu}\phi_{,\mu}\phi_{,\nu}/2$ – four of them connected to ordinary Horndeski and two corresponding to the beyond-Horndeski case. Restricting to the case of ordinary Horndeski theory, the general action presented in [10] can be written as

$$S = \int \sqrt{-g} \left\{ Q_2(\chi) + Q_3(\chi)\square\phi + Q_4(\chi)R \right. \\ + Q_{4,\chi}[(\square\phi)^2 - (\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\phi)(\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi)] \\ + Q_5(\chi)G_{\mu\nu}\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\phi - \frac{1}{6}Q_{5,\chi}[(\square\phi)^3 \\ - 3(\square\phi)(\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\phi)(\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi) \\ \left. + 2(\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi)(\nabla^\nu\nabla^\gamma\phi)(\nabla_\gamma\nabla^\mu\phi) \right\} d^4x. \quad (1)$$

Since it is important to check if the hypotheses given above and considered by the no-hair theorem are indeed

*sepbergliaffa@gmail.com

†rodrigo.maier@uerj.br

‡nathanosilvano@gmail.com

¹ For stability considerations about these models, see [22]-[24].

minimal, here we aim at finding hairy black holes by lifting conditions (iii) and/or (iv). In order to simplify the analysis, we shall restrict to the case of a quartic Horndeski scalar field so that terms which contain Q_5 are absent. In the next section we find an exact static and spherically symmetric solution of Einstein field equations assuming that j^r (the radial component of the 4-current) vanishes at infinity and that the energy of the scalar field is finite in a volume V which encloses the region outside the external horizon down to some value where it is safe to assume that the Horndeski field behaves as in a Minkowski background. In Section III the analytical extension of our solution is examined in two particular domains of the parametric space. In the first domain we obtain a solution exhibiting an event horizon analogous to that of the Schwarzschild geometry. For the second domain, we show that the metric displays an outer event horizon and a Cauchy horizon which encloses a singularity. The corresponding Hawking temperatures are obtained in section IV which is devoted to black thermodynamics.

II. AN EXACT SOLUTION

Let us consider the action for the scalar field ϕ in Horndeski theory

$$S = \int \sqrt{-g} \left\{ Q_2(\chi) + Q_3(\chi) \square \phi + Q_4(\chi) R + Q_{4,\chi} [(\square \phi)^2 - (\nabla^\mu \nabla^\nu \phi)(\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi)] \right\} d^4 x, \quad (2)$$

where g is the determinant of the metric and R is the Ricci scalar built with the Christoffel symbols.

From the definition of the 4-current,

$$j^\nu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S}{\delta(\phi_{,\mu})},$$

it follows that

$$j^\nu = -Q_{2,\chi} \phi^{,\nu} - Q_{3,\chi} (\phi^{,\nu} \square \phi + \chi^{,\nu}) - Q_{4,\chi} (\phi^{,\nu} R - 2R^{\nu\sigma} \phi_{,\sigma}) - Q_{4,\chi,\chi} \left\{ \phi^{,\nu} [(\square \phi)^2 - (\nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta \phi)(\nabla^\alpha \nabla^\beta \phi)] + 2(\chi^{,\nu} \square \phi - \chi_{,\mu} \nabla^\mu \nabla^\nu \phi) \right\}, \quad (3)$$

where we have used the usual convention for the Riemann tensor,

$$\nabla_\rho \nabla_\beta \nabla_\alpha \phi - \nabla_\beta \nabla_\rho \nabla_\alpha \phi = -R^\sigma_{\alpha\rho\beta} \nabla_\sigma \phi. \quad (4)$$

Varying the action (2) with respect to $g^{\mu\nu}$ we obtain the field equations

$$Q_4 G_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu}, \quad (5)$$

where

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} (Q_{2,\chi} \phi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu} + Q_2 g_{\mu\nu}) + \frac{1}{2} Q_{3,\chi} (\phi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu} \square \phi - g_{\mu\nu} \chi_{,\alpha} \phi^{,\alpha} + \chi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu} + \chi_{,\nu} \phi_{,\mu}) - Q_{4,\chi} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} [(\square \phi)^2 - (\nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta \phi)(\nabla^\alpha \nabla^\beta \phi) - 2R_{\sigma\gamma} \phi^{,\sigma} \phi^{,\gamma}] - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi \square \phi + \nabla_\gamma \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla^\gamma \nabla_\nu \phi - \frac{1}{2} \phi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu} R + R_{\sigma\mu} \phi^{,\sigma} \phi_{,\nu} + R_{\sigma\nu} \phi^{,\sigma} \phi_{,\mu} + R_{\sigma\nu\gamma\mu} \phi^{,\sigma} \phi^{,\gamma} \right\} - Q_{4,\chi,\chi} \left\{ g_{\mu\nu} (\chi_{,\alpha} \phi^{,\alpha} \square \phi + \chi_{,\alpha} \chi^{,\alpha}) + \frac{1}{2} \phi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu} \times (\nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta \phi \nabla^\alpha \nabla^\beta \phi - (\square \phi)^2) - \chi_{,\mu} \chi_{,\nu} - \square \phi (\chi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu} + \chi_{,\nu} \phi_{,\mu}) - \chi_{,\gamma} [\phi^{,\gamma} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi - (\nabla^\gamma \nabla_\mu \phi) \phi_{,\nu} - (\nabla^\gamma \nabla_\nu \phi) \phi_{,\mu}] \right\}. \quad (6)$$

This expression reduces to the correct one in the case of the canonical action for the scalar field. From now on we shall work with a scalar field $\phi \equiv \phi(r)$, which will be the source of a static and spherically symmetric geometry, described by the metric

$$ds^2 = -A(r) dt^2 + \frac{1}{B(r)} dr^2 + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2). \quad (7)$$

In this case, the only non-vanishing component of the 4-current is given by

$$j^r = -Q_{2,\chi} B \phi' - Q_{3,\chi} \frac{(4A + rA')}{2rA} B^2 \phi'^2 + 2Q_{4,\chi} \frac{B}{r^2 A} [(B-1)A + rBA'] \phi' - 2Q_{4,\chi,\chi} \frac{B^3(A + rA')}{r^2 A} \phi'^3, \quad (8)$$

where a prime denotes the derivative with respect to r .

In order to simplify our analysis we now fix

$$\begin{aligned} Q_2 &= \alpha_{21} \chi + \alpha_{22} (-\chi)^{\omega_2}, \\ Q_3 &= \alpha_{31} (-\chi)^{\omega_3}, \\ Q_4 &= \kappa^{-2} + \alpha_{42} (-\chi)^{\omega_4}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where $\kappa^2 \equiv 8\pi G$. Such choices generalize the ones proposed in [10]. In the case of an arbitrary static geometry given by Eq.(7), we obtain

$$j^r = \Gamma_1(r) \phi' + \Gamma_2(r) \phi'^{2\omega_2-1} + \Gamma_3(r) \phi'^{2\omega_3} + \Gamma_4(r) \phi'^{2\omega_4-1}, \quad (10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1(r) &= -\alpha_{21} B, \quad \Gamma_2(r) = \frac{\alpha_{22} \omega_2 B^{\omega_2}}{2\omega_2-1}, \\ \Gamma_3(r) &= \frac{\alpha_{31} \omega_3 B^{\omega_3+1} (4A + rA')}{2\omega_3 r A}, \\ \Gamma_4(r) &= \frac{\alpha_{42} \omega_4 B^{\omega_4}}{2\omega_4-2r^2 A} \{B(1-2\omega_4)(rA' + A) + A\}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Eq.(10) that the trivial solution $\phi' = 0$ might be avoided if $\omega_2 = 1/2$, and/or $\omega_3 = 0$, and/or $\omega_4 = 1/2$. In fact, a quite simple hairy solution was presented in [10] for the parameters

$$\alpha_{22} = \alpha_{31} = 0, \quad \omega_4 = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (11)$$

Such a solution displays a non-trivial scalar field which generates a geometry analogous to that described by the Reissner-Nordstrom metric.

In order to set limits on the parameters ω_2 , ω_3 and ω_4 , let us examine the case of a Minkowski background in spherical coordinates, so that

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2). \quad (12)$$

From Eq.(3) the only non-vanishing component of the 4-current is given by

$$j^r = -Q_{2,\chi} \phi' - \frac{2Q_{3,\chi}}{r} \phi'^2 - \frac{2Q_{4,\chi}}{r^2} \phi'^3. \quad (13)$$

On the other hand, from Eq. (6) we get

$$T^0_0 = \frac{Q_2}{2} + \frac{Q_{3,\chi} \phi'^2 \phi''}{2} - \frac{Q_{4,\chi} \phi'}{r} \left(\frac{\phi'}{r} + 2\phi'' \right) + \frac{2Q_{4,\chi} \phi'^3 \phi''}{r}. \quad (14)$$

Using the *Ansatz* given in Eq.(9) we obtain

$$j^r = -\alpha_{21} \phi' + \frac{\alpha_{22} \omega_2 \phi'^{2\omega_2-1}}{2\omega_2-1} + \frac{\alpha_{31} \omega_3 \phi'^{2\omega_3}}{2\omega_3-2r} + (1-\omega_4) \frac{\alpha_{42} \omega_4 \phi'^{2\omega_4-1}}{2\omega_4-3r^2}, \quad (15)$$

and

$$T^0_0 = -\left(\frac{\alpha_{21}}{4}\right) \phi'^2 + \frac{\alpha_{22} \phi'^{2\omega_2}}{2\omega_2+1} + \frac{\alpha_{42} \omega_4 \phi'^{2\omega_4}}{2\omega_4-1r^2} - \left[\frac{\alpha_{31} \omega_3 \phi'^{2\omega_3}}{2\omega_3} + (1-2\omega_4) \frac{\alpha_{42} \omega_4 \phi'^{2\omega_4-1}}{2\omega_4-2r} \right] \phi''. \quad (16)$$

For j^r to vanish at infinity, we assume that the derivative of the scalar field behaves at infinity as

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \phi' \propto \frac{1}{r^p}. \quad (17)$$

It follows from Eq.(15) that in order to have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} j^r = 0, \quad (18)$$

the following conditions are sufficient ²:

$$p > 0, \quad \omega_2 > \frac{1}{2}, \quad \omega_3 > -\frac{1}{2p}, \quad \omega_4 > \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}. \quad (19)$$

In order to set a more stringent limit on the parameters, let us consider the energy of the scalar field in a volume V going from infinity down to some value of r , say \bar{r} , given by

$$E = \int_V \sqrt{-g} T^0_0 d^3x. \quad (20)$$

A necessary – though not sufficient – condition for the finiteness of the energy is that the integrand falls as $1/r^q$, with $q > 1$, when $r \rightarrow \infty$. Hence we obtain the restriction $p > 3/2$. Taking into account this condition together with those given in Eq.(19), we proceed by setting

$$\alpha_{21} = \alpha_{31} = 0, \quad \omega_2 = \frac{3}{2}, \quad \omega_4 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad (21)$$

in order to search for hairy configurations in Horndeski theory. It is then easy to see that imposing $j^r = 0$ obtains

$$\phi' = \pm \frac{2}{r} \sqrt{\frac{-\alpha_{42}}{3B\alpha_{22}}}. \quad (22)$$

Notice that Eq. (22) leads to $\phi \propto \ln r$ for large r , but in principle this divergent behaviour is harmless because physical quantities are defined in terms of the derivatives of ϕ .

From the field equations it follows that

$$A(R) = B(R) = 1 - \frac{1}{R} + \frac{Q}{R} \ln R, \quad (23)$$

where $R \equiv r/2GM$ and $Q \equiv q/2GM$, with

$$q \equiv \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{3/2} \kappa^2 \alpha_{42} \sqrt{-\frac{\alpha_{42}}{\alpha_{22}}}. \quad (24)$$

Since

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} A(R) = 1, \quad (25)$$

the static solution given in Eq. (23) is in fact asymptotically flat. Finally, when $q \rightarrow 0$ we recover the Schwarzschild solution as expected.

Let us next discuss whether, and under what circumstances, our configuration displays event horizons. Firstly, it is easy to see that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow 0^+} B(R) = -\text{sgn}(Q)\infty. \quad (26)$$

On the other hand, one may show that $B(R)$ has only one local extremum at R_e given by

$$R_e = \exp\left(1 + \frac{1}{Q}\right). \quad (27)$$

These two results imply that there are two basic configurations of our solution:

(i) If $Q > 0$ we have only one event horizon R_H , such

² We have also checked that these conditions are sufficient for the field given by $\phi' \propto r^{-p}$ be a solution of the corresponding EOM at infinity.




FIG. 1: Position of the internal horizon R_- as a function of Q .

that $R_H = 1$, analogous to that of the Schwarzschild solution;

(ii) if $Q < 0$ the solution displays an inner horizon, located at $R = R_-(Q)$ (which, as will be argued below, is a Cauchy horizon), and an outer horizon located at $R = 1$. The position of the inner horizon as a function of Q is shown in Fig.1. When $R_- \rightarrow R_+$ we obtain the extremal configuration with an horizon $R_e = 1$. Hence the solution is somewhat analogous to the Reissner-Nordström spacetime.

Let us point out that we have checked that all the components of the Riemann tensor expressed in a convenient tetrad basis are finite outside and on the horizons. This is consistent with the finiteness of the components of the energy-momentum tensor calculated using Eq.(22). Hence, the choice of parameters shown in Eq.(21) leads to a solution that does not display the singularity present for instance in the Janis-Newman-Winicour spacetime [31].

Before moving to the analytical extension, let us remark that our solution requires to set $\alpha_{21} = 0$ (see Eqns.(9) and (21)). The ensuing equation of motion for the scalar field can be written in the form (see for instance [34])

$$\square\phi + f(\partial\phi, \partial^2\phi) = 0,$$

where $f(\partial\phi, \partial^2\phi)$ is a nonlinear function of the first and second derivatives of ϕ . The relevant problem of the propagation and well-posedness of initial data for the general Horndeski theory has been studied for instance in [34, 35], where it was shown that such a theory is weakly hyperbolic (in the weak-field limit) assuming that $\alpha_{21} \neq 0$. The case of $\alpha_{21} = 0$ will be studied in a future publication.

III. ANALYTICAL EXTENSION

To proceed with the analytical extension of the geometry described by Eq.(23) it is useful to rescale the time coordinate as $T = t/2GM$, so that our solution can be




FIG. 2: The Kruskal extension of the spacetime for $Q > 0$.

rewritten in the form

$$ds^2 = -B(R)dT^2 + \frac{1}{B(R)}dR^2 + R^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2).$$

Let us then consider the following coordinates transformation

$$\frac{2\beta}{v}dv = \frac{1}{B(R)}dR + dT, \quad (28)$$

$$\frac{2\beta}{u}du = \frac{1}{B(R)}dR - dT, \quad (29)$$

where β is an arbitrary constant. In this case, (28) reduces to

$$ds^2 = \frac{4\beta^2 B(R)}{uv} dudv + R^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2).$$

Defining

$$R^* = \int \frac{1}{B(R)}dR, \quad (30)$$

a straightforward integration of Eqs. (28) and (29) furnishes

$$R^* \equiv \beta \ln |uv|, \quad T \equiv \beta \ln |v/u|. \quad (31)$$

Let us now consider configuration (i) ($Q > 0$). In this case we have an event horizon R_H analogous to that of the Schwarzschild solution. By considering the chart (u_1, v_1) defined by $\beta > 0$ we obtain,

$$|u_1 v_1| = |R - R_H|^{h(R)/\beta} \sigma(R). \quad (32)$$

A straightforward integration of Eqs. (28) and (29) shows that $h(R)$ and $\sigma(R)$ are positive defined functions so that Eq.(32) provides a regular covering for any subregion with $R > 0$. A Kruskal-type diagram is displayed in Figure 4. It gives a faithful map of any subregion covered by the chart (u_1, v_1) . In this case, the maximal analytical extension of the spacetime is analogous to that of a Schwarzschild black hole with an event horizon R_H (cf. Fig. 5).

Taking into account the cosmic censorship conjecture [27], we shall restrict to the case in which there is at least




FIG. 3: Penrose diagram for the analytical extension with $Q > 0$. Thin solid and dashed lines are connected to $R = \text{constant}$ and $T = \text{constant}$, respectively.

one event horizon in configuration (ii) ($Q < 0$). In this case it can be easily seen that if $\beta > 0$ one may consider the chart (\bar{u}_1, \bar{v}_1) for $R > R_-$ defined as

$$|\bar{u}_1 \bar{v}_1| = \left| \frac{R - R_+}{R - R_-} \right|^{\bar{h}(R)/[\beta(R_+ - R_-)]} \bar{\sigma}(R). \quad (33)$$

Again, a straightforward integration of Eqs. (28) and (29) shows that $h(R)$ and $\sigma(R)$ are positive defined functions. Therefore, the metric develops no coordinate singularity at R_+ . However, this chart does not furnish a regular covering for any subregion with $R < R_+$. In order to circumvent this problem, one may resort again to the chart given by Eq.(33) but this time with $\beta < 0$, so that the metric develops no coordinate singularity at R_- . In fact, such a chart gives a regular map of any given subregion of the manifold with $R < R_+$. In the domain $R_- < R < R_+$ the two charts overlap furnishing a regular map for the entire manifold. In Fig. 6 we show the main part of the Penrose diagram obtained from this maximal analytical extension. It is analogous to that of Reissner-Nordstrom spacetime, exhibiting an exterior event horizon R_+ together with an interior Cauchy horizon R_- , which encloses a physical singularity at $R = 0$. For $R_+ = R_- = R_e$ analogous calculations provide the maximal analytical extension for the extremal configuration.

IV. BLACK HOLE THERMODYNAMICS

Using a semi-classical approach, S. W. Hawking derived the thermal spectrum of emitted particles by a black hole [28]. Following his same original procedure, we now intend to obtain the temperature of Hawking radiation in the context of Eq.(23). To do so, let us then

³ It can be seen from the figure that the evolution of data given on an initial timelike hypersurface outside the black hole is non-unique inside R_- , since it can be affected by data propagating from the singularity at $r = 0$ [33].




FIG. 4: Penrose diagram for configuration for $Q < 0$. According to the cosmic censorship conjecture, we are restricting to the case in which an event horizon R_+ is formed. Here we see that the spacetime exhibits an exterior event horizon R_+ and an interior Cauchy horizon R_- . The complete analytical extension can be obtained by connecting asymptotically flat regions, like the fundamental portion shown above, in an infinite chain.

consider a test massless Klein-Gordon field ψ in such a background. The propagation of the scalar field is then described by the scalar wave equation

$$\square\psi = 0. \quad (34)$$

Given the symmetries of the background we search for a solution as

$$\psi_{\omega ml} = \frac{1}{R} \Delta_{\omega l}(R^*) Y_{ml}(\theta, \varphi) e^{-i\omega t}, \quad (35)$$

so that the wave equation (34) reduces to an ordinary differential equation in R^* . In fact, it is straightforward to see that in the asymptotical limit $R \rightarrow \infty$, this equation reduces to

$$\frac{d^2 \Delta_{\omega l}}{dR^{*2}} + \omega^2 \Delta_{\omega l} = 0 \rightarrow \Delta_{\omega l}(R^*) = e^{\pm i\omega R^*}. \quad (36)$$

Therefore one may write the two branches of the asymptotic Klein Gordon field as

$$\psi_U = \frac{1}{R} e^{-i\omega U} Y_{ml}(\theta, \varphi), \quad (37)$$

$$\psi_V = \frac{1}{R} e^{-i\omega V} Y_{ml}(\theta, \varphi), \quad (38)$$

where U and V are null coordinates defined as

$$V := T + R^*, \quad U := T - R^*. \quad (39)$$

Let us now assume that the source that generates the exterior solution is given by a thin shell of a spherically symmetric matter distribution, and the flat spacetime inside such distribution is given by

$$ds^2 = -d\bar{t}^2 + d\bar{r}^2 + \bar{r}^2(d\bar{\theta}^2 + \sin^2 \bar{\theta} d\bar{\varphi}^2). \quad (40)$$

Defining $a(\bar{t})$ as the scale factor that describes the evolution of the matter distribution, we impose that the interior metric matches the exterior geometry by the following equation

$$-1 + \left(\frac{da}{d\bar{t}}\right)^2 = -B(a)\left(\frac{dT}{d\bar{t}}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{B(a)}\left(\frac{da}{d\bar{t}}\right)^2. \quad (41)$$

It is well known that the temperature of a Schwarzschild black hole is quite different from the Reissner-Nordstrom solution, although both depend on the radius of the event horizon. In fact, in the case of a Reissner-Nordstrom black hole, it can be seen that its temperature vanishes as one approaches the extremal configuration. As in this section we intend to evaluate both temperatures corresponding to configurations with $Q > 0$ and $Q < 0$, from now on we denote the event horizons of both configurations by the same variable R_H (although they are different in each case).

Assuming that the null incident rays reach the matter distribution at a given time \bar{t}_i with $a(\bar{t}_i) \equiv a_i \gg R_H$, we obtain that $A(r) \sim 1$ and from (41)

$$\left(\frac{dT}{d\bar{t}}\right)^2 \simeq 1 \rightarrow T \simeq \bar{t}. \quad (42)$$

By defining the respective null interior coordinates by

$$\bar{V} = \bar{t} + \bar{r}, \quad \bar{U} = \bar{t} - \bar{r}. \quad (43)$$

we end up with the following relations

$$V_i \simeq \bar{t} + R^* \rightarrow \bar{V}_i = V_i + \Omega_i. \quad (44)$$

where $\Omega_i \equiv a_i - R^*(a_i)$.

When $\bar{r} = 0$, we derive the trivial relation between \bar{V} and \bar{U} at the center of the matter distribution:

$$\bar{V}_0 = \bar{U}_0. \quad (45)$$

Let us now consider that the outgoing waves emerge from the matter distribution when $a(\bar{t}) \sim R_H$. If \bar{t}_e is taken to be the instant in which $a(\bar{t}_e) = R_H$, one may expand the scale factor $a(\bar{t})$ in Taylor series as

$$a(\bar{t}) = R_H + \dot{a}_e(\bar{t}_e - \bar{t}). \quad (46)$$

where $\dot{a}_e \equiv \left.\frac{da}{d\bar{t}}\right|_{\bar{t}_e}$. Therefore, up to first order in $(\bar{t}_e - \bar{t})$ from equation (41) we have

$$T \simeq -\zeta \ln |\bar{t}_e - \bar{t}| \quad (47)$$

where

$$\zeta := \left(\frac{dB}{dR}\right)^{-1} \Big|_{R_H} \equiv \frac{R_H^2}{R_H + Q}. \quad (48)$$

At this stage, it is worth mentioning that both configurations (with $Q > 0$ or $Q < 0$) yield similar results for $r^*(r \sim r_H)$, namely,

$$R^* \simeq \zeta \ln \left| \frac{R}{R_H} - 1 \right| \quad (49)$$

Therefore we obtain

$$U_e \simeq -2\zeta \ln |\bar{t}_e - \bar{t}| \quad (50)$$

for both configurations so that

$$\bar{U}_e \propto \exp \left[-\frac{U_e}{2\zeta} \right] \quad (51)$$

At the origin of the coordinate system we have $\bar{U}_0 = \bar{V}_0$. Therefore the relation between the exterior null coordinates is given by

$$V \propto \exp \left[-\frac{U}{2\zeta} \right] \rightarrow U = -2\zeta \ln V \quad (52)$$

From (37) one may now expand ψ_U in terms of ψ_V as

$$\psi_U = \int_0^\infty [\gamma_{\omega'\omega ml}^* \exp(-i\omega'V) + \beta_{\omega'\omega ml} \exp(i\omega'V)] d\omega'.$$

where $\gamma_{\omega'\omega ml}^*$ and $\beta_{\omega'\omega ml}$ are the Bogolubov coefficients[29]. Therefore, it is straightforward to show[28] that

$$|\gamma_{\omega'\omega ml}| = e^{2\pi\omega\zeta} |\beta_{\omega'\omega ml}|. \quad (53)$$

On the other hand, it follows from the orthogonality propriety of ψ_U and ψ_V that

$$\sum_{\omega'} [|\gamma_{\omega'\omega ml}|^2 - |\beta_{\omega'\omega ml}|^2] = 1. \quad (54)$$

Therefore we obtain that the spectrum of the average number of created particles on the ωml mode is given by

$$N_{\omega ml} = \sum_{\omega'} |\beta_{\omega'\omega ml}|^2 = \frac{1}{e^{4\pi\omega\zeta} - 1}. \quad (55)$$

At this stage we draw the reader's attention to a word to note. As we working in rescaled units $T = t/2GM$, the frequency appearing in (55) is also rescaled by a factor $2GM$. Restoring this factor the above result corresponds to a Planckian spectrum with associated temperature

$$T_H = \frac{1}{8\pi GM\zeta}, \quad (56)$$

which, using Eq.(48) and the fact that both for positive and negative Q , the relevant value of R_H is 1, amounts to

$$T_H = \frac{1+Q}{8\pi GM}. \quad (57)$$

In the limit $Q \rightarrow 0$ we recover the temperature corresponding to the Schwarzschild black hole, namely $T_H = 1/8\pi GM$. In our solution, the temperature acquires a correction which depends on the parameters of the theory, causing it to be higher or lower than that of the Schwarzschild case, depending on the sign of Q .

In the case of $Q < 0$, the temperature can be conveniently rewritten using the relation

$$Q \equiv \frac{1 - R_-}{\ln(R_-)}, \quad (58)$$

obtained from the condition $B(R_H) = 0 = B(R_-)$. It follows that

$$T_H = \frac{1}{8\pi GM} + \left(\frac{1 - R_-}{8\pi GM}\right) \times \frac{1}{\ln(R_-)}.$$

Hence, in the limit of extremal configurations, namely $R_- \rightarrow R_H$, we obtain that $T_H \rightarrow 0$ as expected.

To conclude this section we now refer to the analysis of energy processes involving black holes. It is well known [30] that the entropy of a black hole should be proportional to the area of its event horizon. According to Bekenstein First Law of Black Hole Thermodynamics, the surface gravity of the black hole appears as proportional (via dimensional fundamental constants) to a temperature. Bekenstein's results however are rather geometrical and do not involve any fundamental principle of statistical mechanics. In fact, S. W. Hawking[28] showed that the black holes should emit particles with a Planckian thermal spectrum of temperature $T_H = \kappa/2\pi$ (in natural units $\hbar = c = G = K_B = 1$) where $\kappa = 1/4M$ is its surface gravity. This striking result fits exactly in the Bekenstein formula thus validating his proposals and fixing the proportionality factor connecting the entropy and the area of the black hole.

In order to extend this original result, it is useful consider our solution in the form (23) so that

$$B(r_H) = 1 - \frac{2GM}{r_H} + \frac{q}{r_H} \ln\left(\frac{r_H}{2GM}\right) \equiv 0. \quad (59)$$

The exact differential of the above equation is given by

$$r_H dr_H = 4G^2 M \zeta (1 + Q) dM. \quad (60)$$

By defining the the outer horizon area as $A_{out} := 4\pi r_H^2$ we then obtain that

$$dA_{out} = 8\pi r_H dr_H = \frac{4G}{T_H} (1 + Q) dM.$$

We can therefore associate the horizon area of the black hole with the geometrical entropy

$$S_{geom} = \frac{1}{4(1 + Q)} A_{out}, \quad (61)$$

which shows the form of Bekenstein's first law of black hole thermodynamics [30] is valid for our solution.

V. FINAL REMARKS

We presented a new static solution of Einstein field equations in the framework of Horndeski theory. Choosing a suitable domain for the coupling constants, we

obtained asymptotically flat configurations which satisfy the cosmic censorship hypothesis [27] so that naked singularities are not considered. It is shown that the structure of spacetime bifurcates in two main particular branches. In the first domain, the analytical extension is analogous to that of the Schwarzschild solution with an event horizon. For the second branch, we show that the geometry provides an exterior event horizon and an interior (Cauchy) horizon which encloses the singularity.

The goal of the analysis performed in this paper was to seek for static solutions in Horndeski theory in order to better understand how such degrees of freedom may provide deviations from General Relativity. In this sense, our main result shows how the presence of a galileon field leads to a temperature that is different from that of the Schwarzschild black hole, the difference being dependent of the coupling constants of the theory. Furthermore, we also examine the effects of the scalar field on Hawking radiation[28] as a simple application. In this case the calculation of the modified Hawking temperature allowed us to derive, analogously to Bekenstein[30], a geometric entropy that confirms the classical prediction that the entropy is proportional to the area of the event horizon, and is corrected by Q . Although the classical black hole thermodynamics introduced by Bekenstein was validated by Hawking's semiclassical derivation of the black body thermal emission of a black hole, black hole thermodynamics always seemed to possess a heuristic character since no basic principle of statistical mechanics was used in its derivation. Indeed, the definition of the entropy of black holes is still an open issue and we actually refer to it as a geometrical entropy.

Some features of the solution presented in Eq.(23) still need to be scrutinized. For instance, the presence of R_- poses the question of the stability of such a spacetime. Due to its similarity to the Reissner-Nordström spacetime, we should expect that the flux of energy of test fields may diverge on crossing R_- . However, a complete treatment of the problem should include higher order nonlinear terms so as to provide sufficient conditions for instability. It would also be of interest to determine the internal solution generated by a fluid distribution which could be matched, hence engender, the external solution. Last but not least, an extension of the field represented by Eq. (23) by including a non-trivial time dependence is worthy of analysis. In this case, perturbations could establish if such solution suffers from fatal instabilities as those shown in [25]. We hope to address these points in a future publication.

-
- [1] Timothy Clifton, Pedro G. Ferreira, Antonio Padilla, and Constantinos Skordis. *Modified Gravity and Cosmology*, Phys. Rept., 513:1-189, 2012.
- [2] S. Weinberg, Rev. Mod. Phys. 61 1-23, 1989.
- [3] Ryotaro Kase, Shinji Tsujikawa, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D28 (2019) no.05, 1942005.
- [4] Andrea Maselli, Hector O. Silva, Masato Minamitsuji, Emanuele Berti, Phys.Rev.D 93 (2016) 12, 124056.
- [5] Tsutomu Kobayashi, Rept.Prog.Phys. 82 (2019) 8, 086901.
- [6] T. P. Sotiriou and S. Y. Zhou, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112 (2014) 251102.
- [7] T. P. Sotiriou and S.Y. Zhou, Phys. Rev. D 90 (2014) 124063.
- [8] E. Babichev, C. Charmousis, and A. Lehbel, Class. Quant. Grav. 33 (2016) no. 15, 154002.
- [9] R. Benkel, T. P. Sotiriou, and H. Witek, Class. Quant. Grav. 34 (2017) no. 6, 064001.
- [10] Eugeny Babichev, Christos Charmousis, Antoine Lehébel, JCAP 1704 (2017) no.04, 027.
- [11] M. Minamitsuji and J. Edholm, Phys. Rev. D 101 (2020) no. 4, 044034.
- [12] E. Babichev and C. Charmousis, JHEP 08 (2014) 106.
- [13] T. Kobayashi and N. Tanahashi, PTEP 2014 (2014) 073E02.
- [14] E. Babichev and G. Esposito-Farese, Phys. Rev. D 95 (2017) no. 2, 024020.
- [15] E. Babichev, C. Charmousis, G. Esposito-Farese, and A. Lehbel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) no. 24, 241101.
- [16] J. Ben Achour and H. Liu, Phys. Rev. D 99 (2019) no. 6, 064042.
- [17] H. Motohashi and M. Minamitsuji, Phys. Rev. D 99 (2019) no. 6, 064040.
- [18] K. Takahashi, H. Motohashi, and M. Minamitsuji, Phys. Rev. D 100 (2019) no. 2, 024041.
- [19] M. Minamitsuji and J. Edholm, Phys. Rev. D 100 (2019) no. 4, 044053.
- [20] S. Mukohyama, Phys. Rev. D 71 (2005) 104019.
- [21] N. Arkani-Hamed, P. Creminelli, S. Mukohyama, and M. Zaldarriaga, JCAP 04 (2004) 001.
- [22] H. Ogawa, T. Kobayashi, and T. Suyama, Phys. Rev. D 93 (2016) no. 6, 064078.
- [23] E. Babichev, C. Charmousis, G. Esposito-Farese, and A. Lehbel, Phys. Rev. D 98 (2018) no. 10, 104050.
- [24] C. de Rham and J. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D 100 (2019) no. 12, 124023.
- [25] Justin Khoury et al JCAP11(2020)044.
- [26] Lam Hui and Alberto Nicolis, Phys. Rev. Lett., 110:241104, 2013.
- [27] R. Penrose, Phys. Rev. Lett. 14 (1965) 57.
- [28] S. W. Hawking, Commun. Math. Phys. 43, 199 (1975).
- [29] N. D. Birrel and P. C. Davies, *Quantum Fields in Curved Spacetimes* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1982).
- [30] Jacob. D. Bekenstein, Phy. Rev. D 7, 2333 (1973).
- [31] A. I. Janis, E. T. Newman and J. Winicour, Phys. Rev. Lett. 20 (1968) 878.
- [32] Andrea Maselli, Hector O. Silva, Masato Minamitsuji, Emanuele Berti, Phys.Rev.D 92 (2015) 10, 104049.
- [33] Lior M. Burko and Amos Ori, *Internal structure of black holes and spacetime singularities : an international research workshop*, Annals of the Israel Physical Society, v.13, Institute of Physics Publishing, c1997 , Israel Physical Society.
- [34] G. Papallo, Phys.Rev.D 96 (2017) 12, 124036.
- [35] G. Papallo, Harvey S. Reall, Phys.Rev.D 96 (2017) 4, 044019.