

# The appearance of non trivial torsion for some Ricci dependent theories in the Palatini formalism

Juliana Osorio \*and Osvaldo P. Santillán†

## Abstract

As is known from studies of gravity models in the Palatini formalism, there exist two inequivalent definitions of the generalized Ricci tensor in terms of the generalized curvature namely,  $\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\rho\nu}^{\rho}$  and  $R_{\nu}^{\mu} = g^{\alpha\beta} R_{\alpha\nu\beta}^{\mu}$ . A deep formal investigation of theories with lagrangians of the form  $L = L(\tilde{R}_{(\mu\nu)})$  was initiated in [4]. In that work, the authors leave the connection free, and find out that the torsion only appears as a projective mode. This agrees with the widely employed condition of vanishing torsion in these theories as a simple gauge choice. In the present work the complementary scenario is studied namely, the one described by a lagrangian that depends on the other possible Ricci tensor  $L = L(R_{(\mu\nu)})$ . The torsion is completely characterized in terms of the metric and the connection, and a rather detailed description of the equations of motion is presented. It is shown that these theories are non trivial even for 1 + 1 space time dimensions, and admit non zero torsion even in this apparently simple case. It is suggested that to impose zero torsion by force may result into an incompatible system. In other words, the presence of torsion may be beneficial for insuring that the equations of motion are well posed.

## 1. Introduction

There are several motivations for studying gravity models in the Palatini formalism, in which the metric and the connection are taken as independent variables. An example is the Stelle model of gravity [1], which has the remarkable feature of being renormalizable, but it contains Ostrogradskyi ghosts or instabilities in the metric formulation. On the other hand, there is a growing interest in modified gravity theories and their cosmological applications, as reviewed in [2] and references therein. There exist works studying the Palatini formulation for minimal coupled fields [3] or for Born-Infeld type of theories [4]-[10]. In addition, literature studying the Palatini formalism in  $f(R)$  and  $f(R, R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu})$  theories of gravity can be found in [11]-[17]. In particular, an interesting result was reported in [11], [15], [18] for the dynamics of  $f(R)$  theories. It was shown in these references that the torsionless Palatini formulation of these theories yield the same equations as the metric compatible ( $\nabla_{\mu}^{\Gamma} g_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ ) formulation.

The results just described seem to indicate a close relation between torsion and non-metricity in metric-affine theories of gravity, at least for some type of modified gravity scenarios. These studies were pushed forward in particular in [4] for Einstein-Born-Infeld scenarios and other related gravity models, examples are found in [19]-[40], [41]-[48] and references therein. The lagrangian considered in

---

\*Instituto de Matemática Luis Santaló (IMAS), UBA CONICET, Buenos Aires, Argentina juli.osorio@gmail.com.

†Instituto de Matemática Luis Santaló (IMAS), UBA CONICET, Buenos Aires, Argentina firenzecita@hotmail.com and osantil@dm.uba.ar.

[4] is of the form  $L = L(\tilde{R}_{(\mu\nu)})$  with  $\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\rho\nu}^\rho$ . The findings of this work are that these models possess a projective symmetry and that the torsion only appears as a projective mode. This result justifies the procedure of setting vanishing torsion in these theories as a simple gauge choice.

The results presented in [4] are based on the choice  $\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\rho\nu}^\rho$  for the Ricci tensor. In the present letter another choice is employed namely  $R_\nu^\mu = g^{\alpha\beta} R_{\alpha\nu\beta}^\mu$ . Both choices are inequivalent for a non Levi-Civita connection. The corresponding equations are derived and simplified conveniently, and it is shown that a non trivial torsion is turned on in this case. The resulting system seems to be more complex than in the case considered in [4]. Some simple examples are worked out in 1+1 space time dimensions. It is found that even in these simple scenarios, a non trivial torsion may appear. In addition, it is argued that the torsion may be a fundamental object for the system to admit solutions.

## 2. The equations of motion

The convention to be employed in the present work is the same as in the Chandrasekhar book [62]. In this convention, the signature of the metric tensor  $g_{\mu\nu}$  is  $(+, -, -, -)$  and the covariant derivative of a generic tensor density  $A^{\alpha\beta..}_{\gamma\delta..}$  of weight  $w$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\mu A^{\alpha\beta..}_{\gamma\delta..} = & \partial_\mu A^{\alpha\beta..}_{\gamma\delta..} + \Gamma_{\rho\mu}^\alpha A^{\rho\beta..}_{\gamma\delta..} + \Gamma_{\rho\mu}^\beta A^{\alpha\rho..}_{\gamma\delta..} + \dots - \Gamma_{\gamma\mu}^\rho A^{\alpha\beta..}_{\rho\delta..} \\ & - \Gamma_{\delta\mu}^\rho A^{\alpha\beta..}_{\gamma\rho..} - \dots - w\Gamma_{\rho\mu}^\rho A^{\alpha\beta..}_{\gamma\delta..}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

The actions to be studied in this letter are typically of the form

$$S = S_m + \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int L[g_{\mu\nu}, R^\alpha_{\beta\mu\nu}(\Gamma)] \sqrt{-g} d^4x, \quad (2.2)$$

where the curvature tensor is defined through

$$R^\alpha_{\beta\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \Gamma_{\beta\nu}^\alpha - \partial_\nu \Gamma_{\beta\mu}^\alpha + \Gamma_{\beta\nu}^\lambda \Gamma_{\lambda\mu}^\alpha - \Gamma_{\beta\mu}^\lambda \Gamma_{\lambda\nu}^\alpha, \quad (2.3)$$

the lagrangian  $L$  is an arbitrary function of the metric tensor  $g_{\mu\nu}$  and  $R^\alpha_{\beta\mu\nu}$ , and  $S_m$  the matter action. The connection  $\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha$  is not assumed to be the Levi-Civita one a priori, and it will be considered as an additional variable, independent on the metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$ . The adequate formalism for dealing with this situation is the Palatini one. In this context, the unique symmetry property of the curvature that is evident from its definition is

$$R^\alpha_{\beta\mu\nu} = -R^\alpha_{\beta\nu\mu}.$$

Instead, the other symmetry properties of the Riemann tensor that are usually considered in GR are not necessarily true here. In the Chandrasekhar convention just introduced, the following identity for the variation of the curvature

$$\delta R^\alpha_{\beta\mu\nu} = \nabla_\mu \delta \Gamma_{\beta\nu}^\alpha - \nabla_\nu \delta \Gamma_{\beta\mu}^\alpha - 2T_{\mu\nu}^\rho \delta \Gamma_{\beta\rho}^\alpha, \quad (2.4)$$

is found [63]. Here the last term involves the torsion tensor of the generalized connection, which is defined by the following expression

$$T_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha - \Gamma_{\gamma\beta}^\alpha).$$

The variation of the action (2.2) with respect to  $g_{\mu\nu}$  and  $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma$  taken as independent variables is given by

$$\delta S = \delta S_m + \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int \sqrt{-g} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} - g_{\mu\nu} L \right) \delta g_{\mu\nu} + D_\alpha{}^{\beta\mu\nu} \delta R^\alpha{}_{\beta\mu\nu} \right] d^4x,$$

where the following quantity

$$D_\alpha{}^{\beta\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial R^\alpha{}_{\beta\mu\nu}},$$

has been introduced, which is anti-symmetric with respect of an interchange between  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ . By taking this symmetry property into account, and by use of (2.4), the last variation may be expressed as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S &= \delta S_m + \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int \sqrt{-g} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} - g_{\mu\nu} L \right) \delta g_{\mu\nu} + D_\alpha{}^{\beta\mu\nu} (\nabla_\mu \delta \Gamma_{\beta\nu}^\alpha - T_{\mu\nu}^\rho \delta \Gamma_{\beta\rho}^\alpha) \right] d^4x, \\ &= \delta S_m + \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int \left[ \sqrt{-g} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} - g_{\mu\nu} L \right) \delta g_{\mu\nu} + \nabla_\mu (P_\alpha{}^{\beta\mu\nu} \delta \Gamma_{\beta\nu}^\alpha) - \nabla_\mu (P_\alpha{}^{\beta\mu\nu}) \delta \Gamma_{\beta\nu}^\alpha - P_\alpha{}^{\beta\mu\lambda} T_{\mu\lambda}^\nu \delta \Gamma_{\beta\nu}^\alpha \right] d^4x. \end{aligned}$$

In the last expression

$$P_\alpha{}^{\beta\mu\nu} = \sqrt{-g} D_\alpha{}^{\beta\mu\nu},$$

which is a tensor density of weight  $w = 1$  due to the presence of the metric determinant. From (2.1) applied to the case  $w = 1$  it is found that

$$\nabla_\mu (P_\alpha{}^{\beta\mu\nu} \delta \Gamma_{\beta\nu}^\alpha) = \partial_\mu (P_\alpha{}^{\beta\mu\nu} \delta \Gamma_{\beta\nu}^\alpha) + 2T_{\rho\mu}^\nu P_\alpha{}^{\beta\rho\nu} \delta \Gamma_{\beta\nu}^\alpha.$$

By help of this formula, the last action variation may be expressed like this

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S &= \delta S_m + \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int \left[ \sqrt{-g} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} - g_{\mu\nu} L \right) \delta g_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu (P_\alpha{}^{\beta\mu\nu} \delta \Gamma_{\beta\nu}^\alpha) - \nabla_\mu (P_\alpha{}^{\beta\mu\nu}) \delta \Gamma_{\beta\nu}^\alpha \right. \\ &\quad \left. - P_\alpha{}^{\beta\mu\lambda} T_{\mu\lambda}^\nu \delta \Gamma_{\beta\nu}^\alpha + 2T_{\mu\rho}^\nu P_\alpha{}^{\beta\mu\nu} \delta \Gamma_{\beta\nu}^\alpha \right] d^4x. \end{aligned}$$

By throwing a total derivative in  $\delta S$ , the following equations of motion are found

$$\nabla_\mu P_\alpha{}^{\beta\mu\nu} = -T_{\sigma\rho}^\nu P_\alpha{}^{\beta\sigma\rho} - 2T_{\rho\mu}^\nu P_\alpha{}^{\beta\mu\nu}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} - \frac{L}{2} g_{\mu\nu} = \kappa^2 T_{\mu\nu}. \quad (2.6)$$

For a generic curvature tensor  $R_{\alpha\nu\beta}^\mu$  there are two possible definitions of a Ricci tensor, which are given by

$$\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\rho\nu}^\rho, \quad (2.7)$$

$$R_\mu^\nu = g^{\alpha\beta} R_{\alpha\nu\beta}^\mu. \quad (2.8)$$

The theories whose lagrangian is a function of (2.7) have been considered in [4]. The purpose of this note is to consider the other possibility namely, that  $L$  is a function of  $R_\mu^\nu$  solely. The tensor  $P_\alpha{}^{\beta\mu\nu}$  in this case is given by the following expression

$$P_\alpha{}^{\beta\mu\nu} = \sqrt{-g} W_\alpha^\nu g^{\beta\mu} - \sqrt{-g} W_\alpha^\mu g^{\beta\nu},$$

with

$$W_\mu^\nu = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial L}{\partial R_\nu^\mu}.$$

The quantity  $P_\alpha^{\beta\mu\nu}$  is a pseudo-tensor density of weight  $w = 1$  as well. Then (2.1) implies, for any generic connection, that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\mu[\sqrt{-g}W_\alpha^\nu g^{\beta\gamma}] &= \partial_\mu[\sqrt{-g}W_\alpha^\nu g^{\beta\gamma}] + \Gamma_{\rho\mu}^\nu \sqrt{-g}W_\alpha^\rho g^{\beta\gamma} + \Gamma_{\rho\mu}^\beta \sqrt{-g}W_\alpha^\nu g^{\rho\gamma} \\ &\quad + \Gamma_{\rho\mu}^\gamma \sqrt{-g}W_\alpha^\nu g^{\beta\rho} - \Gamma_{\alpha\mu}^\rho \sqrt{-g}W_\rho^\nu g^{\beta\gamma} - \Gamma_{\rho\mu}^\rho \sqrt{-g}W_\alpha^\nu g^{\beta\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

In these terms, the equation (2.5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\nabla_\mu^l[\sqrt{-g}W_\alpha^\nu g^{\beta\mu}] - \nabla_\mu^l[\sqrt{-g}W_\alpha^\mu g^{\beta\nu}] + \delta\Gamma_{\rho\mu}^\nu \sqrt{-g}W_\alpha^\rho g^{\beta\mu} + \delta\Gamma_{\rho\mu}^\beta \sqrt{-g}W_\alpha^\nu g^{\rho\mu} \\ &+ \delta\Gamma_{\rho\mu}^\mu \sqrt{-g}W_\alpha^\nu g^{\beta\rho} - \delta\Gamma_{\alpha\mu}^\rho \sqrt{-g}W_\rho^\nu g^{\beta\mu} - \delta\Gamma_{\rho\mu}^\rho \sqrt{-g}W_\alpha^\nu g^{\beta\mu} - \delta\Gamma_{\rho\mu}^\mu \sqrt{-g}W_\alpha^\rho g^{\beta\nu} \\ &- \delta\Gamma_{\rho\mu}^\beta \sqrt{-g}W_\alpha^\mu g^{\rho\nu} - \delta\Gamma_{\rho\mu}^\nu \sqrt{-g}W_\alpha^\mu g^{\beta\rho} + \delta\Gamma_{\alpha\mu}^\rho \sqrt{-g}W_\rho^\mu g^{\beta\nu} + \delta\Gamma_{\rho\mu}^\rho \sqrt{-g}W_\alpha^\mu g^{\beta\nu} \\ &= -T_{\sigma\rho}^\nu (\sqrt{-g}W_\alpha^\rho g^{\beta\sigma} - \sqrt{-g}W_\alpha^\sigma g^{\beta\rho}) - 2T_{\rho\mu}^\rho (\sqrt{-g}W_\alpha^\nu g^{\beta\mu} - \sqrt{-g}W_\alpha^\mu g^{\beta\nu}), \end{aligned}$$

with  $\nabla^l$  the standard Levi-Civita connection. The full covariant derivative was decomposed above as  $\nabla = \nabla^l + \delta\Gamma$ , where  $\delta\Gamma_{ij}^k = C_{ij}^k + T_{ij}^k$ , with  $C_{ij}^k$  the symmetric and  $T_{ij}^k$  the anti-symmetric parts of the extra components of the connection, respectively. The last expression may be written in terms of these components as

$$\begin{aligned} &\nabla_\mu^l[W_\alpha^\nu g^{\beta\mu}] - \nabla_\mu^l[W_\alpha^\mu g^{\beta\nu}] + C_{\rho\mu}^\nu W_\alpha^\rho g^{\beta\mu} + C_{\rho\mu}^\beta W_\alpha^\nu g^{\rho\mu} + C_{\rho\mu}^\mu W_\alpha^\nu g^{\beta\rho} - C_{\alpha\mu}^\rho W_\rho^\nu g^{\beta\mu} - C_{\rho\mu}^\rho W_\alpha^\nu g^{\beta\mu} \\ &- C_{\rho\mu}^\mu W_\alpha^\rho g^{\beta\nu} - C_{\rho\mu}^\beta W_\alpha^\mu g^{\rho\nu} - C_{\rho\mu}^\nu W_\alpha^\mu g^{\beta\rho} + C_{\alpha\mu}^\rho W_\rho^\mu g^{\beta\nu} + C_{\rho\mu}^\rho W_\alpha^\mu g^{\beta\nu} = -T_{\sigma\rho}^\nu (W_\alpha^\rho g^{\beta\sigma} - W_\alpha^\sigma g^{\beta\rho}) \\ &- 2T_{\rho\mu}^\rho (W_\alpha^\nu g^{\beta\mu} - W_\alpha^\mu g^{\beta\nu}) - T_{\rho\mu}^\nu W_\alpha^\rho g^{\beta\mu} - T_{\rho\mu}^\beta W_\alpha^\nu g^{\rho\mu} - T_{\rho\mu}^\mu W_\alpha^\nu g^{\beta\rho} + T_{\alpha\mu}^\rho W_\rho^\nu g^{\beta\mu} + T_{\rho\mu}^\rho W_\alpha^\nu g^{\beta\mu} \\ &\quad + T_{\rho\mu}^\mu W_\alpha^\rho g^{\beta\nu} + T_{\rho\mu}^\beta W_\alpha^\mu g^{\rho\nu} + T_{\rho\mu}^\nu W_\alpha^\mu g^{\beta\rho} - T_{\alpha\mu}^\rho W_\rho^\mu g^{\beta\nu} - T_{\rho\mu}^\rho W_\alpha^\mu g^{\beta\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the fact that  $\nabla^l \sqrt{-g} = 0$  was employed to cancel the metric determinant everywhere, and all the torsion terms were moved to the right hand side. On the left hand side the fifth and the seventh term cancel each other, and the same holds for the eighth and the twelfth and the third and the tenth. On the right hand side the seventh and the ninth term are the same, and the same holds for the tenth and the last one and the fifth and the twelfth. Therefore the last expression may be written more concisely as

$$\begin{aligned} &\nabla_\mu^l[W_\alpha^\nu g^{\beta\mu}] - \nabla_\mu^l[W_\alpha^\mu g^{\beta\nu}] + C_{\rho\mu}^\beta (W_\alpha^\nu g^{\rho\mu} - W_\alpha^\mu g^{\rho\nu}) + C_{\alpha\mu}^\rho (W_\rho^\mu g^{\beta\nu} - W_\rho^\nu g^{\beta\mu}) \\ &= -T_{\sigma\rho}^\nu (W_\alpha^\rho g^{\beta\sigma} - W_\alpha^\sigma g^{\beta\rho}) - 2T_{\rho\mu}^\rho (W_\alpha^\nu g^{\beta\mu} - W_\alpha^\mu g^{\beta\nu}) - 2T_{\rho\mu}^\nu W_\alpha^\rho g^{\beta\mu} \\ &- 2T_{\rho\mu}^\mu (W_\alpha^\nu g^{\beta\rho} - W_\alpha^\rho g^{\beta\nu}) - T_{\rho\mu}^\beta (W_\alpha^\nu g^{\rho\mu} - W_\alpha^\mu g^{\rho\nu}) - T_{\alpha\mu}^\rho (W_\rho^\mu g^{\beta\nu} - W_\rho^\nu g^{\beta\mu}) \end{aligned}$$

Further simplifications are possible. The right hand the first term is of the same type as fifth, up to a sign and a factor 2. The third and fourth cancel the sixth and seventh. By making the corresponding simplifications, this leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla_\mu^l [W_\alpha^\nu g^{\beta\mu}] - \nabla_\mu^l [W_\alpha^\mu g^{\beta\nu}] + C_{\rho\mu}^\beta [W_\alpha^\nu g^{\rho\mu} - W_\alpha^\mu g^{\rho\nu}] + C_{\alpha\mu}^\rho [W_\rho^\mu g^{\beta\nu} - W_\rho^\nu g^{\beta\mu}] \\ & = T_{\rho\mu}^\beta [W_\alpha^\mu g^{\rho\nu} - W_\alpha^\nu g^{\rho\mu}] - T_{\alpha\mu}^\rho [W_\rho^\mu g^{\beta\nu} - W_\rho^\nu g^{\beta\mu}]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the second term on the right hand side is zero, as is a product of a symmetric and anti-symmetric quantities. It was added for convenience, in order to show that the last formula can be written in very simple fashion as

$$\nabla_\mu^l [W_\alpha^\nu g^{\beta\mu}] - \nabla_\mu^l [W_\alpha^\mu g^{\beta\nu}] + \delta\Gamma_{\rho\mu}^\beta [W_\alpha^\nu g^{\rho\mu} - W_\alpha^\mu g^{\rho\nu}] + \delta\Gamma_{\alpha\mu}^\rho [W_\rho^\mu g^{\beta\nu} - W_\rho^\nu g^{\beta\mu}] = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

It may be desirable to eliminate the term  $\nabla_\mu^l W_\alpha^\mu$ . This is achieved by multiplying the last identity by  $g_{\beta\nu}$ , which throws the following result

$$\nabla_\mu^l W_\alpha^\mu = \frac{1}{3} g^{\rho\mu} \delta\Gamma_{\rho\mu}^\sigma W_{\alpha\sigma} - \frac{1}{3} \delta\Gamma_{\sigma\mu}^\sigma W_\alpha^\mu + \delta\Gamma_{\alpha\mu}^\rho W_\rho^\mu.$$

The insertion of the last formula into the equation (2.9) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla_\mu^l [W_\alpha^\nu g^{\beta\mu}] + \delta\Gamma_{\rho\mu}^\beta [W_\alpha^\nu g^{\rho\mu} - W_\alpha^\mu g^{\rho\nu}] + \delta\Gamma_{\alpha\mu}^\rho [W_\rho^\mu g^{\beta\nu} - W_\rho^\nu g^{\beta\mu}] \\ & - \frac{1}{3} g^{\beta\nu} g^{\rho\mu} \delta\Gamma_{\rho\mu}^\sigma W_{\alpha\sigma} + \frac{1}{3} g^{\beta\nu} \delta\Gamma_{\sigma\mu}^\sigma W_\alpha^\mu - g^{\beta\nu} \delta\Gamma_{\alpha\mu}^\rho W_\rho^\mu = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The fourth and the last term cancel each other, thus the last expression can be simplified to

$$\nabla_\mu^l [W_\alpha^\nu g^{\beta\mu}] + \delta\Gamma_{\rho\mu}^\beta [W_\alpha^\nu g^{\rho\mu} - W_\alpha^\mu g^{\rho\nu}] - \delta\Gamma_{\alpha\mu}^\rho W_\rho^\nu g^{\beta\mu} - \frac{1}{3} g^{\beta\nu} g^{\rho\mu} \delta\Gamma_{\rho\mu}^\sigma W_{\alpha\sigma} + \frac{1}{3} g^{\beta\nu} \delta\Gamma_{\sigma\mu}^\sigma W_\alpha^\mu = 0.$$

By multiplying the last identity by  $g_{\beta\delta}$  and  $g_{\nu\eta}$  it is found, after renaming some indices, that

$$\nabla_\mu^l W_{\alpha\eta} = -g_{\beta\mu} g^{\rho\epsilon} \delta\Gamma_{\rho\epsilon}^\beta W_{\alpha\eta} + \delta\Gamma_{\alpha\mu}^\rho W_{\rho\eta} + [g_{\beta\mu} g^{\epsilon\rho} \delta\Gamma_{\eta\epsilon}^\beta + \frac{1}{3} g_{\eta\mu} g^{\sigma\epsilon} \delta\Gamma_{\sigma\epsilon}^\rho - \frac{1}{3} g_{\eta\mu} g^{\rho\epsilon} \delta\Gamma_{\sigma\epsilon}^\sigma] W_{\alpha\rho}. \quad (2.10)$$

Thus, the equations of motion (2.5) has been reduced to (2.10). These equations are to be complemented with the equation of motion (2.6).

The next step is to consider some situations in which  $W_{\mu\nu}$  has additional symmetries, which allows to simplify further the system obtained above.

### 3. Some particular cases

#### 3.1 The case where $W_{\mu\nu}$ is symmetric

Assume now that  $W_{\mu\nu} = W_{\nu\mu}$ . This may be the case, for instance, when the lagragian is such that  $L = L(R_{(\mu\nu)})$ . In this situation, it follows that  $\nabla_\gamma W_{\alpha\eta} = \nabla_\gamma W_{\eta\alpha}$ , as the difference is the covariant derivative of the zero tensor. By inspection of (2.10) it is seen that this condition implies that

$$\delta\Gamma_{\eta\mu}^\rho = g_{\beta\mu} g^{\epsilon\rho} \delta\Gamma_{\eta\epsilon}^\beta + \frac{1}{3} g_{\eta\mu} g^{\sigma\epsilon} \delta\Gamma_{\sigma\epsilon}^\rho - \frac{1}{3} g_{\eta\mu} g^{\rho\epsilon} \delta\Gamma_{\sigma\epsilon}^\sigma. \quad (3.11)$$

This is a constraint involving  $C_{ij}^k$  and  $T_{ij}^k$ . The contraction of  $\rho$  and  $\eta$  give

$$\delta\Gamma_{\rho\mu}^\rho = g_{\rho\mu}g^{\epsilon\sigma}\delta\Gamma_{\sigma\epsilon}^\rho. \quad (3.12)$$

The contraction of  $\rho$  and  $\mu$  together with (3.12) result into a trivial identity. When the last formula (3.12) is inserted back in (3.11), it is deduced that

$$\delta\Gamma_{\eta\mu}^\rho = g_{\beta\mu}g^{\epsilon\rho}\delta\Gamma_{\eta\epsilon}^\beta.$$

By defining  $\delta\Gamma_{\lambda\eta\mu} = g_{\rho\lambda}\delta\Gamma_{\eta\mu}^\rho$  the last condition implies that

$$\delta\Gamma_{\lambda\eta\mu} = \delta\Gamma_{\mu\eta\lambda}. \quad (3.13)$$

This formula has important consequences. When expressed in terms of  $C_{\mu\nu}^\rho$  and  $T_{\mu\nu}^\rho$  it gives

$$C_{\lambda\eta\mu} - C_{\mu\eta\lambda} = T_{\mu\eta\lambda} - T_{\lambda\eta\mu}. \quad (3.14)$$

By making cyclic permutations of the indices of this formula and adding and subtracting the resulting identities conveniently, it may be shown that

$$T_{\mu\nu\alpha} = C_{\alpha\mu\nu} - C_{\nu\mu\alpha}. \quad (3.15)$$

This means that, once the symmetric part of the extra part of the connection  $C_{\alpha\mu\nu}$  has been calculated, the the torsion is automatically found. Now, when the constraints (3.11)-(3.13) are inserted into (2.10), the resulting expression is found

$$\nabla_\mu^l W_{\alpha\eta} = -g_{\beta\mu}g^{\rho\epsilon}\delta\Gamma_{\rho\epsilon}^\beta W_{\alpha\eta} + \delta\Gamma_{\alpha\mu}^\rho W_{\rho\eta} + \delta\Gamma_{\eta\mu}^\rho W_{\alpha\rho}.$$

This can be written, by use of (3.12), as

$$\nabla_\mu^l W_{\alpha\eta} = -\delta\Gamma_{\rho\mu}^\rho W_{\alpha\eta} + \delta\Gamma_{\alpha\mu}^\rho W_{\rho\eta} + \delta\Gamma_{\eta\mu}^\rho W_{\alpha\rho}.$$

By multiplying this expression by the inverse  $(W^{-1})^{\alpha\eta}$  of  $W_{\alpha\eta}$ , and by taking into account the well known formula of the derivative of the logarithm of a determinant together with the Levi-Civita contraction of the Christoffel symbol  $\Gamma_{\mu\rho}^{(l)\rho} = \partial_\mu \log \sqrt{-g}$ , the following identity is found

$$\partial_\mu \log \left( -\frac{W}{g} \right) = -2\delta\Gamma_{\alpha\mu}^\alpha. \quad (3.16)$$

Thus

$$\nabla_\mu^l W_{\alpha\eta} = \left( \partial_\mu \log \sqrt{-\frac{W}{g}} \right) W_{\alpha\eta} + \delta\Gamma_{\alpha\mu}^\rho W_{\rho\eta} + \delta\Gamma_{\eta\mu}^\rho W_{\alpha\rho}$$

The first term in the right hand side of the last expression may be erased by making the following conformal like transformation

$$Z_{\alpha\beta} = \sqrt{-\frac{g}{W}} W_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (3.17)$$

In other words  $Z_{\alpha\beta}$  is a conformal scaling of  $W_{\alpha\beta}$  in such a way that  $|Z| = |g|$ . When the last equation is expressed in terms of  $Z_{\alpha\beta}$  the result is

$$\nabla_{\mu}^l Z_{\alpha\eta} = \delta\Gamma_{\alpha\mu}^{\rho} Z_{\rho\eta} + \delta\Gamma_{\eta\mu}^{\rho} Z_{\alpha\rho}. \quad (3.18)$$

This means that, if  $Z_{\mu\nu}$  is interpreted as a metric, then the full connection of the theory is metric compatible

$$\nabla_{\mu} Z_{\alpha\beta} = 0. \quad (3.19)$$

This fact makes the description of the resulting theory less complicated. In fact, by making cyclic permutations of (3.19) and adding and subtracting them conveniently, it is concluded that

$$\partial_{\alpha} Z_{\mu\nu} + \partial_{\nu} Z_{\alpha\mu} - \partial_{\mu} Z_{\nu\alpha} = 2T_{\alpha\mu}^{\rho} Z_{\nu\rho} + 2(\Gamma_{\nu\alpha}^{(l)\rho} + C_{\nu\alpha}^{\rho}) Z_{\rho\mu} + 2T_{\mu\nu}^{\rho} Z_{\rho\alpha}, \quad (3.20)$$

with  $\Gamma_{\nu\alpha}^{(l)\beta}$  the standard Christoffel symbols for the Levi-Civita connection. This gives

$$\Gamma_{\nu\alpha}^{(l)\beta} + C_{\nu\alpha}^{\beta} = \frac{1}{2}(Z^{-1})^{\beta\mu}(\partial_{\alpha} Z_{\mu\nu} + \partial_{\nu} Z_{\alpha\mu} - \partial_{\mu} Z_{\nu\alpha}) + T_{\alpha\mu}^{\rho} Z_{\nu\rho}(Z^{-1})^{\beta\mu} + T_{\nu\mu}^{\rho} Z_{\rho\alpha}(Z^{-1})^{\beta\mu}. \quad (3.21)$$

From here, it is seen that the symmetric part of the connection can be expressed in terms of the torsion by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\nu\alpha}^{\beta} &= \frac{1}{2}(Z^{-1})^{\beta\mu}(\partial_{\alpha} Z_{\mu\nu} + \partial_{\nu} Z_{\alpha\mu} - \partial_{\mu} Z_{\nu\alpha}) - \frac{1}{2}g^{\beta\mu}(\partial_{\alpha} g_{\mu\nu} + \partial_{\nu} g_{\alpha\mu} - \partial_{\mu} g_{\nu\alpha}) \\ &\quad + T_{\alpha\mu}^{\rho} Z_{\nu\rho}(Z^{-1})^{\beta\mu} + T_{\nu\mu}^{\rho} Z_{\rho\alpha}(Z^{-1})^{\beta\mu}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

On the other hand, (3.15) and the last formula together imply that

$$\begin{aligned} &T_{\nu\alpha\eta} - T_{\rho\alpha\mu} Z_{\nu}^{\rho}(Z^{-1})_{\eta}^{\mu} - T_{\rho\nu\mu} Z_{\alpha}^{\rho}(Z^{-1})_{\eta}^{\mu} + T_{\rho\eta\mu} Z_{\nu}^{\rho}(Z^{-1})_{\alpha}^{\mu} + T_{\rho\nu\mu} Z_{\eta}^{\rho}(Z^{-1})_{\alpha}^{\mu} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(Z^{-1})_{\eta}^{\mu}(\partial_{\alpha} Z_{\mu\nu} + \partial_{\nu} Z_{\alpha\mu} - \partial_{\mu} Z_{\nu\alpha}) - \frac{1}{2}(Z^{-1})_{\alpha}^{\mu}(\partial_{\eta} Z_{\mu\nu} + \partial_{\nu} Z_{\eta\mu} - \partial_{\mu} Z_{\nu\eta}) \\ &\quad + \partial_{\eta} g_{\alpha\nu} - \partial_{\alpha} g_{\nu\eta}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

In four dimensions, the tensor  $T_{\nu\alpha\eta}$  has 24 independent components. Therefore, the last equation is a linear system for finding  $T_{\nu\alpha\eta}$ , which involves a  $24 \times 24$  matrix coefficients constructed in terms of products of monomials of the form  $Z_{\eta}^{\rho}(Z^{-1})_{\alpha}^{\beta}$ . If it has a solution, it would be expressed in terms of  $\partial_{\alpha} Z_{\beta\gamma}$ ,  $\partial_{\alpha} g_{\beta\gamma}$  and these monomials. It is cumbersome find the inverse of a  $24 \times 24$  matrix, but it is important to understand if this linear system admits some sort of solutions, or if it is non compatible.

### 3.2 An sketch of the properties of the equations of motion

At this point, it is convenient to sketch some features of the resulting equation of motions for the symmetric case, although they will be further characterized in the next section. Assume that the system determining the torsion is compatible and all the components  $T_{\mu\nu\alpha}$  have been calculated.

Then the full connection may be determined from (3.21). Once this is done, the first system of equations of motion follows from the fact that the curvature (2.3) namely

$$R^\alpha{}_{\beta\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu\Gamma_{\nu\beta}^\alpha - \partial_\nu\Gamma_{\mu\beta}^\alpha + \Gamma_{\beta\nu}^\lambda\Gamma_{\lambda\mu}^\alpha - \Gamma_{\beta\mu}^\lambda\Gamma_{\lambda\nu}^\alpha, \quad (3.24)$$

has to be constructed in terms of this connection  $\Gamma_{\nu\alpha}^\beta = \Gamma_{\nu\alpha}^{(l)\beta} + \delta\Gamma_{\nu\alpha}^\beta$ . Indeed, (3.24) is a non linear second order differential equation for the curvature. This follows by noticing that  $\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha$  are functions of  $Z_{\mu\nu}$  or  $W_{\mu\nu}$  and its derivatives, which are themselves functions of  $R_{(\mu\nu)}$ . The curvature (3.24) is also a function of the metric tensor  $g_{\mu\nu}$  which, in this formalism, is an independent variable with respect to  $R_{\nu\alpha\beta}^\mu$ . The equation (3.24) then is of the form

$$R_{\alpha\mu\nu}^\beta = F_{\beta\alpha\mu\nu}(g_{\mu\nu}, \partial_\alpha g_{\mu\nu}, \partial_\alpha\partial_\beta g_{\mu\nu}, R_{(\mu\nu)}, \partial_\alpha R_{(\mu\nu)}, \partial_\alpha\partial_\beta R_{(\mu\nu)}).$$

From here may obtain a system of equations of the form

$$R_{(\alpha\nu)} = F_{\alpha\nu}(g_{\mu\nu}, \partial_\alpha g_{\mu\nu}, \partial_\alpha\partial_\beta g_{\mu\nu}, R_{(\mu\nu)}, \partial_\alpha R_{(\mu\nu)}, \partial_\alpha\partial_\beta R_{(\mu\nu)}),$$

determining  $R_{(\mu\nu)}$  in terms of the metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$ . The insertion of the resulting solution in the previous equation determines the full curvature  $R_{\alpha\mu\nu}^\beta$  in terms of  $g_{\mu\nu}$ . The other equations of motions are those which follows from (2.6) namely

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} - \frac{L}{2}g_{\mu\nu} = \kappa^2 T_{\mu\nu}. \quad (3.25)$$

The left hand side of this equation depends on  $R_{(\mu\nu)}$  and  $g_{\mu\nu}$ . As the curvature  $R_{\nu\alpha\beta}^\mu$  has been found as a function of the  $g_{\mu\nu}$ , the last equations and the ones corresponding to the matter fields  $\phi^m$  determine the degrees of freedom of the theory  $g_{\mu\nu}$  and  $\phi^m$ .

It is important to remark that the curvature here calculated should not be identified with the standard one, in fact a flat metric  $\eta_{\mu\nu}$  may give rise to a non trivial curvature  $R_{\beta\gamma\delta}^\alpha$  in this formalism.

Before to turn the attention to specific examples, it may be convenient to give a non formal hint about the problem of imposing zero torsion. This problem was already mentioned in the introduction. Asume that the torsion vanishes. In this case (3.22) and (3.14) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}Z_{\rho\beta}g^{\beta\mu}(\partial_\alpha g_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\nu g_{\alpha\mu} - \partial_\mu g_{\nu\alpha}) - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\alpha Z_{\rho\nu} + \partial_\nu Z_{\alpha\rho} - \partial_\rho Z_{\nu\alpha}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}Z_{\alpha\beta}g^{\beta\mu}(\partial_\rho g_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\nu g_{\rho\mu} - \partial_\mu g_{\nu\rho}) - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\rho Z_{\alpha\nu} + \partial_\nu Z_{\rho\alpha} - \partial_\alpha Z_{\nu\rho}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

In principle, this a differential equation determining  $Z_{\mu\nu}$  in terms of the metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$ . An evident, but not necessarily unique, solution is  $Z_{\mu\nu} = \lambda g_{\mu\nu}$ , with  $\lambda$  a constant that can be chosen  $\lambda = 1$  by a scaling of the metric. In this case, the last equation is trivial and (3.22) shows that  $C_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha = 0$ . Therefore,  $\nabla = \nabla^l$  and the resulting curvature  $R_{\nu\alpha\beta}^\mu$  is identified with the standard one, constructed in terms of the Christoffel symbols  $\Gamma_{\nu\alpha}^{(l)\mu}$ . It is clear that in this situation the equations of motion (3.25) involve the standard Ricci tensor and the metric. It is expected that these equations, which are of second order, together with the ones corresponding to the matter fields, constitute a closed system with a

well posed Cauchy problem determining  $g_{\mu\nu}$  and the matter content. If this is so, then the solution of the model can be found. However, the fact that  $Z_{\mu\nu}$  is a function of the Ricci tensor implies that the relation  $Z_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}$  can be expressed as something of the form

$$F(g_{\mu\nu}, R_{\mu\nu}) = 0.$$

Since the solution has been found without use of this constraint, it is not insured that it will be fulfilled. If it is not, then the equations of motion are ill defined. The only way to avoid the argument given above and insist in setting the torsion to zero is to find a solution of (3.26) different than  $Z_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}$ . It will be argued in the next section that such solutions exist, but the problem is not avoided even in this case.

#### 4. The equations corresponding to (1+1)-space time dimensions

The equations of motion derived in the previous sections seem to be rather complicated. It may be interesting to find a simple model exemplifying the main features of these scenarios. A good starting point may be to consider the case for  $d = 1 + 1$ -space time dimensions. The lagrangian is assumed to be  $L = L(R_{(\mu\nu)})$  with  $R_{(\mu\nu)}$  found by lowering the indices of (2.8) and symmetrizing the resulting indices. In this case, the formalism described above applies.

##### *The torsionless case*

In two dimensions, there are six independent components of the symbols

$$\gamma_{\rho\alpha\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\alpha Z_{\rho\nu} + \partial_\nu Z_{\alpha\rho} - \partial_\rho Z_{\nu\alpha}),$$

namely  $\gamma_{111}$ ,  $\gamma_{112}$ ,  $\gamma_{211}$ ,  $\gamma_{222}$ ,  $\gamma_{221}$  and  $\gamma_{122}$ . The equations (3.26) show that

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{122} - \gamma_{212} &= \frac{1}{2}Z_{1\beta}g^{\beta\mu}(2\partial_2 g_{\mu 2} - \partial_\mu g_{22}) - \frac{1}{2}Z_{2\beta}g^{\beta\mu}(\partial_1 g_{2\mu} + \partial_2 g_{1\mu} - \partial_\mu g_{12}), \\ \gamma_{121} - \gamma_{211} &= \frac{1}{2}Z_{1\beta}g^{\beta\mu}(\partial_2 g_{1\mu} + \partial_1 g_{2\mu} - \partial_\mu g_{12}) - \frac{1}{2}Z_{2\beta}g^{\beta\mu}(2\partial_1 g_{1\mu} - \partial_\mu g_{11}).\end{aligned}\quad (4.27)$$

These are two equations for four quantities. Furthermore, there are no equations involving  $\gamma_{111}$  and  $\gamma_{222}$ . Thus, by use of (4.27), one may parameterize

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{111} &= f, & \gamma_{222} &= g, & \gamma_{122} &= \frac{1}{2}Z_{1\beta}g^{\beta\mu}(2\partial_2 g_{\mu 2} - \partial_\mu g_{22}) + h, \\ \gamma_{212} &= \frac{1}{2}Z_{2\beta}g^{\beta\mu}(\partial_1 g_{2\mu} + \partial_2 g_{1\mu} - \partial_\mu g_{12}) + h, & \gamma_{121} &= \frac{1}{2}Z_{1\beta}g^{\beta\mu}(2\partial_2 g_{\mu 2} - \partial_\mu g_{22}) + q, \\ \gamma_{211} &= \frac{1}{2}Z_{1\beta}g^{\beta\mu}(2\partial_2 g_{\mu 2} - \partial_\mu g_{22}) + q,\end{aligned}\quad (4.28)$$

where  $f$ ,  $g$ ,  $h$  and  $q$  are, at the moment, undetermined functions of the space time. This means that (4.28) is a six dimensional linear system of equations involving the six quantities  $\partial_\mu Z_{\nu\alpha}$ . They may

determine  $Z_{\alpha\beta}$  in terms of the functions  $f$ ,  $g$ ,  $h$  and  $q$  and the metric tensor  $g_{\mu\nu}$ . In particular, this implies that  $Z_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}$  is not the unique solution of this system, which seems to be useful for avoiding the incompatibility argument described below (3.26).

However, there is another way to determine  $Z_{\alpha\beta}$ . The fact that torsion is zero implies that the full connection corresponds to the Christoffel symbols  $\Gamma_{\mu\nu\alpha}^Z$  constructed with the metric  $Z_{\alpha\beta}$ , as is directly seen by inspection of (3.21). The curvature  $R_{\beta\gamma\delta}^\alpha$  is constructed in terms of these symbols, and it has the same symmetries as in GR. In particular, the corresponding Ricci tensor  $R_{\mu\nu}$  is symmetric. The equations of motion (4.35) reduce to

$$R_{\alpha\mu\nu}^\beta = F_{\beta\alpha\mu\nu}(g_{\mu\nu}, \partial_\alpha g_{\mu\nu}, \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta g_{\mu\nu}, Z_{\mu\nu}, \partial_\alpha Z_{\mu\nu}, \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta Z_{\mu\nu}). \quad (4.29)$$

On the other hand, the use of the basic definition of  $Z_{\alpha\beta}$  in (3.17) allows to write the last equation in the following schematic form

$$R_{\alpha\mu\nu}^\beta = F_{\beta\alpha\mu\nu}(g_{\mu\nu}, \partial_\alpha g_{\mu\nu}, \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta g_{\mu\nu}, R_{\mu\nu}, \partial_\alpha R_{\mu\nu}, \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta R_{\mu\nu}). \quad (4.30)$$

By contracting indices, one may obtain from (4.30) a system of equations of the form

$$R_{\mu\nu} = F_{\mu\nu}(g_{\mu\nu}, \partial_\alpha g_{\mu\nu}, \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta g_{\mu\nu}, R_{\mu\nu}, \partial_\alpha R_{\mu\nu}, \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta R_{\mu\nu}),$$

which determine the generalized Ricci tensor  $R_{\mu\nu}$  as a function of the metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$ . Once  $R_{\mu\nu}$  have been found in terms of the  $g_{\mu\nu}$ , the equations (4.29) determine the generalized curvature tensor.

Now that this curvature  $R_{\beta\mu\nu}^\alpha$  is characterized, at least implicitly, in terms of  $g_{\mu\nu}$ , the remaining equations of motion (4.36) take the following form

$$F(g_{\mu\nu}, R_{\mu\nu}) = T_{\mu\nu}^m, \quad (4.31)$$

where  $T_{\mu\nu}^m$  is the matter field energy-momentum tensor. This equation of motion is supplemented with the ones for the matter fields  $\phi^m$

$$E^m(g_{\mu\nu}, \partial_\mu g_{\mu\nu}, \phi^m, \partial_\mu \phi^m, \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \phi^m) = 0. \quad (4.32)$$

As it is likely that  $R_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu}(g_{\mu\nu}, \partial_\alpha g_{\mu\nu}, \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta g_{\mu\nu})$ , the equations (4.31)-(4.32) are a system of equations for finding  $g_{\mu\nu}$  and  $\phi^m$ .

The Gedanken algorithm described above turns to be useful for finding the relevant degrees of freedom of the model, which is encouraging. However, there is some apparent problem with this picture. The point is that once  $g_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $\phi^m$  and  $R_{\beta\gamma\mu}^\alpha$  have been found, then (3.17) determines  $Z_{\mu\nu}$  explicitly. And this  $Z_{\mu\nu}$  has to satisfy (4.27) or (4.28). From the authors point of view however, there is no reason for this to happen, at least for a generic situation. The point is that the reasoning between (4.29) and (4.32) employs that the torsion is zero, but not the constraints (4.27) or (4.28). This means that one is adding a new constraint to an arguably closed system of equations, and this may be a dangerous request. Therefore, a problem analogous to the one described below (3.26) appears. Based on this, we

suggest that the condition of zero torsion may describe an ill system of equations, in a generic case.

*The case with torsion turned on*

As the torsionless case seems dubious, the equations that arise when the torsion is turned on become of interest. Until now, there is no warrantee that a non trivial torsion exists, as it is not clear that the system (3.23) has non trivial solutions. The useful feature of the 1+1-dimensional case is that there are only two independent components for the torsion, which are  $T_{112}$  and  $T_{212}$ . This converts (3.23) it into a  $2 \times 2$  linear system, which is an enormous simplification. By taking into account that

$$Z^{-1} = \frac{1}{Z_1^1 Z_2^2 - Z_1^2 Z_2^1} \begin{pmatrix} Z_2^2 & -Z_1^2 \\ -Z_2^1 & Z_1^1 \end{pmatrix},$$

the solution of the resulting  $2 \times 2$  system (3.23) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} T_{112} &= \frac{Z_1^2 Z_1^1 + Z_1^1 Z_2^2}{2Z_1^1 Z_2^2 - 2Z_2^1 Z_1^2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (Z^{-1})_2^\mu (2\partial_1 Z_{\mu 1} - \partial_\mu Z_{11}) - \frac{1}{2} (Z^{-1})_1^\mu (\partial_2 Z_{\mu 1} + \partial_1 Z_{2\mu} - \partial_\mu Z_{12}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \partial_2 g_{11} - \partial_1 g_{12} \right] - \frac{Z_2^1 Z_1^2 + Z_2^2 Z_2^1}{2Z_1^1 Z_2^2 - 2Z_2^1 Z_1^2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (Z^{-1})_1^\mu (2\partial_2 Z_{\mu 2} - \partial_\mu Z_{22}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} (Z^{-1})_2^\mu (\partial_1 Z_{\mu 2} + \partial_2 Z_{1\mu} - \partial_\mu Z_{21}) + \partial_1 g_{22} - \partial_2 g_{12} \right], \\ T_{212} &= -\frac{Z_1^1 Z_1^1 + Z_2^1 Z_1^2}{2Z_1^1 Z_2^2 - 2Z_2^1 Z_1^2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (Z^{-1})_2^\mu (2\partial_1 Z_{\mu 1} - \partial_\mu Z_{11}) - \frac{1}{2} (Z^{-1})_1^\mu (\partial_2 Z_{\mu 1} + \partial_1 Z_{2\mu} - \partial_\mu Z_{12}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \partial_2 g_{11} - \partial_1 g_{12} \right] + \frac{Z_2^1 Z_1^1 + Z_2^2 Z_2^1}{2Z_1^1 Z_2^2 - 2Z_2^1 Z_1^2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (Z^{-1})_1^\mu (2\partial_2 Z_{\mu 2} - \partial_\mu Z_{22}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} (Z^{-1})_2^\mu (\partial_1 Z_{\mu 2} + \partial_2 Z_{1\mu} - \partial_\mu Z_{21}) + \partial_1 g_{22} - \partial_2 g_{12} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

This is a non trivial solution and gives confidence that, for generic space time dimensions, a non trivial torsion is to be expected to appear for the models under study.

As discussed above, the presence of torsion may be helpful for issues related to the existence of solutions. The point is that to impose by force the condition of zero torsion is responsible for the constraint (3.26) to appear. In the present case, this condition do not take place and this constraint is erased. The only price is to work with a more complicated connection. Now that the torsion has been found, the symmetric part of this connection may calculated by use of (3.21). The full connection is then

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\nu\alpha}^\beta &= \Gamma_{\nu\alpha}^{(l)\beta} + C_{\nu\alpha}^\beta + T_{\nu\alpha}^\beta = \frac{1}{2} (Z^{-1})^{\beta\mu} (\partial_\alpha Z_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\nu Z_{\alpha\mu} - \partial_\mu Z_{\nu\alpha}) + T_{\alpha\mu}^\rho Z_{\nu\rho} (Z^{-1})^{\beta\mu} \\ &\quad + T_{\nu\mu}^\rho Z_{\rho\alpha} (Z^{-1})^{\beta\mu} + T_{\nu\alpha}^\beta, \end{aligned} \quad (4.34)$$

together with (4.33). The system of equations describing the theory is then

$$R^\alpha{}_{\beta\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \Gamma_{\nu\beta}^\alpha - \partial_\nu \Gamma_{\mu\beta}^\alpha + \Gamma_{\beta\nu}^\lambda \Gamma_{\lambda\mu}^\alpha - \Gamma_{\beta\mu}^\lambda \Gamma_{\lambda\nu}^\alpha, \quad (4.35)$$

together with (2.5), which is quoted below by clarity

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} - \frac{L}{2}g_{\mu\nu} = \kappa^2 T_{\mu\nu}. \quad (4.36)$$

Here

$$Z_{\alpha\beta} = \sqrt{-\frac{g}{W}}W_{\alpha\beta}, \quad W_{\mu}^{\nu} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial L}{\partial R_{\nu}^{\mu}}. \quad (4.37)$$

The system described by (4.35)-(4.36) and the matter field equations constitute the full field equations. The matter field equations (4.32) involve the metric tensor  $g_{\mu\nu}$  and the matter content. As the connection depends on  $g_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $Z_{\mu\nu}$  and its first derivatives and, in addition (4.37) implies that  $Z_{\mu\nu}$  is a function of the generalized Ricci tensor in consideration, the differential equations (4.35) are all second order and highly non linear of the form

$$R_{\alpha\mu\nu}^{\beta} = F_{\beta\alpha\mu\nu}(g_{\mu\nu}, \partial_{\alpha}g_{\mu\nu}, \partial_{\alpha}\partial_{\beta}g_{\mu\nu}, R_{(\mu\nu)}, \partial_{\alpha}R_{(\mu\nu)}, \partial_{\alpha}\partial_{\beta}R_{(\mu\nu)}). \quad (4.38)$$

In particular, by multiplying by  $g_{\alpha\nu}$  and uppering one index one may obtain a system of equations of the form

$$R_{(\alpha\nu)} = F_{\mu\nu}(g_{\mu\nu}, \partial_{\alpha}g_{\mu\nu}, \partial_{\alpha}\partial_{\beta}g_{\mu\nu}, R_{(\mu\nu)}, \partial_{\alpha}R_{(\mu\nu)}, \partial_{\alpha}\partial_{\beta}R_{(\mu\nu)}),$$

which determine  $R_{(\mu\nu)}$  as a function of the metric. Then (4.38) determines  $R_{\alpha\beta\nu}^{\beta}$ . On the other hand, the equation (4.36) is a function  $R_{\mu\nu}$  and  $g_{\mu\nu}$ , but not on its derivatives. It also depends on the matter fields and it is of the form

$$F(R_{(\mu\nu)}, g_{\mu\nu}) = T_{\mu\nu}^m, \quad (4.39)$$

with  $\phi^m$  denoting generic matter fields. If the model is not ill posed, these equations and (4.32) are likely to determine the degrees of freedom of the model<sup>1</sup>, and there is no further constraint to be satisfied. This is the advantage of working with the torsion turned on.

## 5. Discussion

The considerations described above for the two dimensional case are expected for any dimensions, although the torsion and the full connection may be rather complicated to deal with. The presence of torsion may be crucial for obtaining a compatible system of equation of motion. In addition, it may be an interesting task to understand causality issues about the simpler two dimensional scenario described above, the existence and uniqueness of solutions, the role of diffeomorphisms and the existence or absence of superluminal modes. Further applications in cosmology may be considered following, for instance, references [49]-[58] and [59]-[61]. At the moment we are not in position to discuss these topics, we hope to come with a further analysis in a future work.

When this paper was finished, we realized about the existence of the reference [64] which may have some parcial overlap with the present work.

---

<sup>1</sup>Although there may be fields that couple to the torsion in this case, specially when have non zero spin. If there is such a coupling, the formalism of this work is not necessarily valid.

## Acknowledgments

Both authors are supported by CONICET, Argentina.

## References

- [1] K. S. Stelle, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **9**, 353 (1978).
- [2] S. Nojiri, S. Odintsov and V. Oikomonou *Phys.Rept.* 692 (2017) 1.
- [3] J. Beltran Jimenez, L. Heisenberg, G. J. Olmo and D. Rubiera-Garcia, arXiv:1704.03351 [gr-qc].
- [4] V. Alfonso, C. Bejarano, J. Beltrán Jiménez, G. Olmo and Emanuele Orazi *Class. Quantum Grav.* **34** (2017) 235003.
- [5] R. Ferraro and F. Fiorini, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 124019 (2008).
- [6] F. Fiorini and R. Ferraro, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **24**, 1686 (2009).
- [7] R. Ferraro and F. Fiorini, *Phys. Lett. B* **692**, 206 (2010).
- [8] F. Fiorini, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 041104 (2013).
- [9] F. Fiorini, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, no. 2, 024030 (2016).
- [10] F. Fiorini and N. Vattuone, *Phys. Lett. B* **763**, 45 (2016)
- [11] S. Capozziello, R. Cianci, C. Stornaiolo and S. Vignolo, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **24**, 6417 (2007).
- [12] S. Capozziello, R. Cianci, C. Stornaiolo and S. Vignolo, *Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys.* **5**, 765 (2008).
- [13] S. Capozziello, R. Cianci, C. Stornaiolo and S. Vignolo, *Phys. Scripta* **78**, 065010 (2008).
- [14] S. Capozziello and S. Vignolo, *Annalen Phys.* **19**, 238 (2010).
- [15] T. P. Sotiriou, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **26**, 152001 (2009).
- [16] S. Capozziello, M. De Laurentis, L. Fabbri and S. Vignolo, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72**, 1908 (2012).
- [17] G. J. Olmo and D. Rubiera-Garcia, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 084030 (2013).
- [18] G. J. Olmo, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **20**, 413 (2011).
- [19] G. W. Horndeski, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **10** (1974) 363; C. Deffayet, S. Deser and G. Esposito-Farese, *Phys. Rev. D* **80** (2009) 064015; C. Deffayet, X. Gao, D. A. Steer and G. Zahariade, *Phys. Rev. D* **84** (2011) 064039; M. Zumalacárregui and J. García-Bellido, *Phys. Rev. D* **89** (2014) 064046; J. Gleyzes, D. Langlois, F. Piazza and F. Vernizzi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114** (2015) no.21, 211101; D. Bettoni and M. Zumalacárregui, *Phys. Rev. D* **91** (2015) 104009.

- [20] J. Beltran Jimenez and A. L. Maroto, JCAP **0902** (2009) 025; J. Beltran Jimenez, R. Durrer, L. Heisenberg and M. Thorsrud, JCAP **1310** (2013) 064; L. Heisenberg, JCAP **1405** (2014) 015; M. Hull, K. Koyama and G. Tasinato, Phys. Rev. D **93** (2016) no.6, 064012; E. Allys, P. Peter and Y. Rodriguez, JCAP **1602** (2016) no.02, 004; J. Beltran Jimenez and L. Heisenberg, Phys. Lett. B **757** (2016) 405; J. Beltran Jimenez and L. Heisenberg, Phys. Lett. B **770** (2017) 16;
- [21] G. J. Olmo, AIP Conf. Proc. **1458**, 222 (2011).
- [22] J. Beltran Jimenez and T. S. Koivisto, Phys. Lett. B **756** (2016) 400.
- [23] A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, Living Rev. Rel. **13**, 3 (2010).
- [24] T. Harko, F. S. N. Lobo, S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 024020 (2011).
- [25] M. Jamil, D. Momeni, M. Raza and R. Myrzakulov, Eur. Phys. J. C **72**, 1999 (2012) [arXiv:1107.5807 [physics.gen-ph]].
- [26] M. J. S. Houndjo, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **21**, 1250003 (2012).
- [27] F. G. Alvarenga, A. de la Cruz-Dombriz, M. J. S. Houndjo, M. E. Rodrigues and D. Sáez-Gómez, Phys. Rev. D **87**, no. 10, 103526 (2013) Erratum: Phys. Rev. D **87**, no. 12, 129905 (2013).
- [28] Z. Yousaf, K. Bamba and M. Z. u. H. Bhatti, Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 12, 124048 (2016).
- [29] F. Hehl and D. Kerlick *General Relativity and Gravitation*, **9**(8), 691 (1978).
- [30] B. Julia and S. Silva, Class. Quant. Grav. **15** (1998) 2173.
- [31] N. Dadhich and J. Pons, Gen. Rel. Grav. **44** (2012) 2337.
- [32] S. D. Odintsov and D. Sáez-Gómez, Phys. Lett. B **725** (2013) 437.
- [33] I. Ayuso, J. Beltran Jimenez, and A. de la Cruz-Dombriz, Phys. Rev. D **91** (2015) no.10, 104003 Addendum: [Phys. Rev. D **93** (2016) no.8, 089901].
- [34] C. Y. Chen, M. Bouhmadi-Lopez and P. Chen, Eur. Phys. J. C **76**, 40 (2016).
- [35] G. J. Olmo, Springer Proc. Phys. **176**, 183 (2016).
- [36] C. Bejarano, G. J. Olmo and D. Rubiera-Garcia, Phys. Rev. D **95**, no. 6, 064043 (2017).
- [37] G. J. Olmo and D. Rubiera-Garcia, Universe **1**, no. 2, 173 (2015).
- [38] V. I. Afonso, G. J. Olmo and D. Rubiera-Garcia, arXiv:1705.01065 [gr-qc].
- [39] D. Bazeia, L. Losano, R. Menezes, G. J. Olmo and D. Rubiera-Garcia, Class. Quant. Grav. **32**, no. 21, 215011 (2015).

- [40] J. Beltran Jimenez, L. Heisenberg and T. S. Koivisto, JCAP **1604** (2016) no.04, 046.
- [41] Born, M. and Infeld, L., “Foundations of the New Field Theory”, *Nature*, **132**, 1004 (December 1933). Born, M. and Infeld, L., “Foundations of the new field theory”, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond.*, **A144**, 425–451 (1934).
- [42] S. Deser and G. W. Gibbons, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **15**, L35 (1998).
- [43] D. N. Vollick, *Phys. Rev. D* **69**, 064030 (2004); M. Bañados and P. G. Ferreira, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 011101 (2010), Erratum: *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **113** no.11, 119901 (2014).
- [44] M. Bañados, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 123534 (2008); M. Bañados, P. G. Ferreira, and C. Skordis, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 063511 (2009); P. Pani, V. Cardoso, and T. Delsate, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 031101 (2011); P. P. Avelino and R. Z. Ferreira, *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 041501 (2012); T. Delsate and J. Steinhoff, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 011101 (2012); P. Pani, T. Delsate, and V. Cardoso, *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 084020 (2012); F. Fiorini, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 011101 (2013); M. Bouhmadi-Lopez, C. Y. Chen, and P. Chen, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74**, 2802 (2014); **75**, 90 (2015); *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 123518 (2014); S. Jana and S. Kar, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 084004 (2015); R. Shaikh, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 024015 (2015); C. Y. Chen, M. Bouhmadi-Lopez, and P. Chen, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76**, 40 (2016); P. P. Avelino, *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, 044067 (2016); 104054 (2016); G. J. Olmo, D. Rubiera-Garcia, and H. Sanchis-Alepuz, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74**, 2804 (2014); J. Beltran Jimenez, L. Heisenberg and G. J. Olmo, JCAP **1411**, 004 (2014); J. Beltran Jimenez, L. Heisenberg, G. J. Olmo and C. Ringeval, JCAP **1511**, 046 (2015); G. J. Olmo, D. Rubiera-Garcia, and A. Sanchez-Puente, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 044047 (2015); G. J. Olmo, D. Rubiera-Garcia, and A. Sanchez-Puente, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **33**, 115007 (2016); G. J. Olmo, D. Rubiera-Garcia, and A. Sanchez-Puente, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76**, 143 (2016).
- [45] S. D. Odintsov, G. J. Olmo, and D. Rubiera-Garcia, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 044003 (2014).
- [46] J. Beltran Jimenez, L. Heisenberg and G. J. Olmo, JCAP **1506**, 026 (2015).
- [47] A. N. Makarenko, S. Odintsov and G. J. Olmo, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 024066 (2014).
- [48] C. Bambi, D. Rubiera-Garcia and Y. Wang, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, no. 6, 064002 (2016).
- [49] G. Unger and N. Popławski, *Astrophys. J.* **870** (2019) no.2, 78.
- [50] N. Popławski, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **35** (2020) no.40, 2050331.
- [51] N. Popławski, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **44** (2012), 1007.
- [52] N. Popławski, *Phys. Rev. D* **85** (2012), 107502
- [53] N. Popławski, *Astron. Rev.* **8** (2013), 108.
- [54] N. Popławski, *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011), 084033.

- [55] N. Popławski, Phys. Lett. B **694** (2010), 181; Erratum: Phys. Lett. B **701** (2011), 672.
- [56] N. Poplawski, Phys. Lett. B **690** (2010), 73; Erratum: Phys. Lett. B **727** (2013), 575.
- [57] K. A. Bronnikov, Yu. P. Rybakov and B. Saha The European Physical Journal Plus 135, 124 (2020).
- [58] B. Saha The European Physical Journal Plus 133, 461 (2018).
- [59] G. Olmo, D. Rubiera-Garcia and A. Wojnar Phys. Rev. D 104 (2021) 024045; A. Delhom, J. Nascimento, G. Olmo, A. Petrov, P. Porfírio Eur. Phys. J. C 81 (2021) 287; V. Alfonso, G. Olmo, E. Orazi and D. Rubiera-Garcia Phys. Rev. D 99 (2019) 044040.
- [60] A. Delhom, G. Olmo and M. Ronco Phys. Lett. B 780 (2018) 294; A. Delhom, G. Olmo and E. Orazzi JHEP 11 (2019) 149; V. Alfonso, G. Olmo and D. Rubiera-Garcia Phys. Rev. D 97 (2018) 021503; V. Alfonso, G. Olmo., E. Orazi and D. Rubiera-Garcia Eur. Phys. J. C 78 (2018) 866; J. Beltrán Jiménez, D. de Andrés and A. Delhom Class. Quantum Grav. 37 (2020) 225013; V. Alfonso and A. Delhom Eur. Phys. J. C 79 (2019) 656; K. Aoki and K. Shimada Phys. Rev. D 100 (2019) 044037; J. Beltran-Jimenez and A. Delhom Eur. Phys. J. C 80 (2020) 585.
- [61] A. Delhom, C. B. Macedo, G. Olmo, L. Crispino Phys. Rev. D 100 (2019) 024016; V. Alfonso Int. Journ. Mod. Phys D 29 (2020) 2041011; V. Alfonso, G. Olmo, E. Orazi and D. Rubiera-Garcia JCAP 12 (2019) 044; Wei-Hsiang Shao, Che-Yu Chen and Pisin Chen JCAP 03 (2021) 041; G. Olmo, E. Orazi and D. Rubiera-Garcia Eur. Phys. J. C. 80 (2020) 1018; J. Beltrán Jiménez, A. Delhom, G. Olmo and E. Orazi Phys. Lett. B 820 (2021) 136479; A. Delhom, V. Miralles and A. Peñuelas Eur. Phys. J. C 80 (2020) 340.
- [62] S. Chandrasekhar "The mathematical theory of black holes", Clarendon Press, 1998. ISBN 0-19-850370-9.
- [63] N. Poplawski "Classical Physics: Spacetime and Fields" arXiv:0911.0334.
- [64] M. Borunda, B. Janssen, M. Bastero-Gil JCAP 0811 (2008) 008.