

Beyond a binary of (non)racist tweets: A four-dimensional categorical detection and analysis of racist and xenophobic opinions on Twitter in early Covid-19

Xin Pei¹ and Deval Mehta²

¹School of International Communications, University of Nottingham, Ningbo, China

²Independent Researcher

PEIX0001@gmail.com, deval.mehta1092@gmail.com

Abstract

Transcending the binary categorization of racist and xenophobic texts, this research takes cues from social science theories to develop a four-dimensional category for racism and xenophobia detection, namely stigmatization, offensiveness, blame, and exclusion. With the aid of deep learning techniques, this categorical detection enables insights into the nuances of emergent topics reflected in racist and xenophobic expression on Twitter. Moreover, a stage wise analysis is applied to capture the dynamic changes of the topics across the stages of early development of Covid-19 from a domestic epidemic to an international public health emergency, and later to a global pandemic. The main contributions of this research include, first the methodological advancement. By bridging the state-of-the-art computational methods with social science perspective, this research provides a meaningful approach for future research to gain insight into the underlying subtlety of racist and xenophobic discussion on digital platforms. Second, by enabling a more accurate comprehension and even prediction of public opinions and actions, this research paves the way for the enactment of effective intervention policies to combat racist crimes and social exclusion under Covid-19.

1 Introduction

The rise of racism and xenophobia has become a remarkable social phenomenon stemming from Covid-19 as a global pandemic. Especially, attention has been increasingly drawn to the Covid-19 related racism and xenophobia which has manifested a more infectious nature and harmful consequences compared to the virus itself [Wang *et al.*, 2021]. According to BBC report, throughout 2020, anti-Asian hate crimes increased by nearly one hundred and fifty percent, and there were around three thousand eight hundred anti-Asian racist incidents. Therefore, it has become urgent to comprehend public opinions regarding racism and xenophobia for the enactment of effective intervention policies preventing the involvement of racist hate crimes and social exclusion under Covid-19. Social media as a critical public sphere for opinion

expression provides platform for big social data analytics to understand and capture the dynamics of racist and xenophobic discourse alongside the development of Covid-19.

This research agenda has drawn attention from an increasing body of studies which have regarded Covid-19 as a social media infodemic [Cinelli *et al.*, 2020], [Gencoglu and Gruber, 2020], [Trajkova *et al.*, 2020], [Li *et al.*, 2020], [Guo *et al.*, 2021]. The work in [Schild *et al.*, 2020] made an early and probably the first attempt to analyse the emergence of Sinophobic behaviour on Twitter and Reddit platforms. Soon after [Ziems *et al.*, 2020] studied the role of counter hate speech in facilitating the spread of hate and racism against the Chinese and Asian community. The authors in [Vishwamitra *et al.*, 2020] attempted to study the effect of hate speech on Twitter targeted on specific groups such as the older community and Asian community in general. The work in [Pei and Mehta, 2020] demonstrated the dynamic changes in the sentiments along with the major racist and xenophobic hashtags discussed across the early time period of Covid-19. The authors in [Masud *et al.*, 2020] explored the user behavior which triggers the hate speech on Twitter and later how it diffuses via retweets across the network. All these methods have used highly advanced computational techniques and state-of-the-art language models for extracting insights from the data mined from Twitter and other platforms.

While focusing on technical advancement, many studies tend to neglect the foundation for accurate data detection and analysis – that is how to define racism and xenophobia. Especially, the computational techniques and models tend to apply a binary definition (either racist or non-racist) to categorise the linguistic features of the texts, with limited attention paid to the nuances of racist and xenophobic behaviours. However, understanding the nuances is critical for mapping the comprehensive picture of the development of racist and xenophobic discourse alongside the evolution of Covid-19 – whether and how the expression of racism and xenophobia may change the topics across time. More importantly, capturing these changes reflected in the online public sphere will enable a more accurate comprehension and even prediction of public opinions and actions regarding racism and xenophobia in the offline world.

Reaching this goal demands a combination of computational methods and social science perspectives, which becomes the focus of this research. With the aid of BERT

(Bi-directional Encoder Representations from Transformers) [Devlin *et al.*, 2018] and topic modelling [Blei *et al.*, 2003b], The main contribution of this research lies in two aspects:

1. Development of a four-dimensional categorization of racist and xenophobic texts into stigmatization, offensiveness, blame, and exclusion;
2. Performing a stage wise analysis of the categorized racist and xenophobic data to capture the dynamic changes amongst the discussion across the development of COVID-19.

Especially, this research situates the examination in Twitter, the most influential platform for political online discussion. And we focus on the most turbulent early phase of Covid-19 (Jan to Apr 2020) where the unexpected and constant global expansion of virus kept on changing people’s perception of this public health crisis and how it is related to race and nationality. To specify, this research divides the early phase into three stages based on the changing definitions of Covid-19 made by World Health Organization (WHO) - (1) 1st to 31st Jan 2020 as a domestic epidemic referred to as stage 1 (S1); (2) 1st Feb to 11th Mar 2020 as an International Public Health Emergency (after the announcement made by WHO on 1st Feb) referred to as stage 2 (S2); (3) 12th Mar to 30th Apr 2020 as a global pandemic (based on the new definition given by WHO on 11th Mar) referred to as stage 3 (S3).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we outline the dataset mined from Twitter. Section 3 deals with two parts - firstly, it presents the data and method employed for category-based racism and xenophobia detection. Secondly, it details the topic modelling employed for extracting topics from the categorized data. In section 4, we discuss the findings of the overall process with the focus on topics emerging amongst the different racism and xenophobia categories across the early development of Covid-19. Finally, we conclude this paper in section 5.

2 Dataset

Dataset of this research is comprised of 247,153 tweets extracted through Tweepy API¹ from the eighteen most circulated racist and xenophobic hashtags related to Covid-19 from 1st January to 30th April in the year of 2020. The list of selected hashtags is as follows - #chinavirus, #chinesevirus, #boycottchina, #ccpvirus, #chinaflu, #china_is_terrorist, #chinaliedandpeopledied, #chinaliedpeopledied, #chinalies, #chinamustpay, #chinapneumonia, #chinazi, #chinesebioterrorism, #chineseppneumonia, #chinesevirus19, #chinesewuhanvirus, #viruschina, and #wuflu. The extracted tweets from the above hashtags are further divided into three stages that define the early development of Covid-19 as mentioned earlier.

¹<https://www.tweepy.org/>

3 Method

3.1 Category-based racism and xenophobia detection

Beyond a binary categorization of racism and xenophobia, this research applies the perspective of social science to categorizing racism and xenophobia into four dimensions as demonstrated in Table 1. This basically translates into a problem of five class classification of text data, where four classes represent the racism and xenophobia categories and fifth class corresponds to the category of non-racist and non-xenophobic.

Annotated dataset

For this purpose, we annotate a dataset of 6000 tweets. These tweets were randomly selected from all hashtags across the three development stages, and annotated by four research assistants with inter-coder reliability reaching above **70%**. The annotation followed a coding method with 0 representing stigmatization, 1 for offensiveness, 2 for blame, and 3 for exclusion in alignment with the linguistic features of the tweets. The non-marked tweets were regarded as non-racist and non-xenophobic and represented class category 4. We limit the annotation for each tweet to only one label which aligns to the strongest category. The distribution of 6000 tweets amongst the five classes is as follows - 1318 stigmatization, 1172 offensive, 1045 blame, 1136 exclusion, and 1329 non-racist and non-xenophobic.

We view the task of classification of the above-mentioned categories as a supervised learning problem and target developing machine learning and deep learning techniques for the same. We firstly pre-process the input data text by removing punctuation and URLs from a text sample and converting it to lower case before providing it to train our models. We split the data into random train and test splits with 90:10 ratio for training and evaluating the performance of our models respectively.

BERT

Recently, word language models such as Bi-directional Encoder Representations from Transformers (BERT) [Devlin *et al.*, 2018] have become extremely popular due to their state-of-the-art performance on natural language processing tasks. Due to the nature of bi-directional training of BERT, it can learn the word representations from unlabelled text data powerfully and enables it to have a better performance compared to the other machine learning and deep learning techniques [Devlin *et al.*, 2018]. The common approach for adopting BERT for a specific task on a smaller dataset is to fine-tune a pre-trained BERT model which has already learnt the deep context-dependent representations. We select the “bert-base-uncased” model which comprises of 12 layers, 12 self-attention heads, a hidden size of 768 totalling 110M parameters. We fine-tune the BERT model with a categorical cross-entropy loss for the five categories. The various hyperparameters used for fine-tuning the BERT model are selected as recommended from the paper [Devlin *et al.*, 2018]. We use the AdamW optimizer with the standard learning rate of 2e-5, a batch size of 16, and train it for 5 epochs. For selecting the maximum length of the sequences, we tokenize the whole

Category	Definition	Example
Stigmatization	Confirming negative stereotypes for conveying a devalued social identity within a particular context [Miller and Kaiser, 2001]	“For all the #ChinaVirus jumped from a bat at the wet market”
Offensiveness	Attacking a particular social group through aggressive and abusive language [Jeshion, 2013]	“Real misogyny in communist China. #chinazi #China_is_terrorist #China_is_terrorists #FuckTheCCP”
Blame	Attributing the responsibility for the negative consequences of the crisis to one social group [Coombs and Schmidt, 2000]	“These Chinese are absolutely disgusting. They spread the #ChineseVirus. Their lies created a pandemic #ChinaMustPay”
Exclusion	the process of othering to draw a clear boundary between in-group and out-group members [Bailey and Harindranath, 2005]	“China deserves to be isolated by all means forever. SARS was also initiated in China, 2003 by eating anything & everything #BoycottChina”

Table 1: Definition and example of categorization of racist and xenophobic behaviors.




Figure 1: Density distribution of token lengths of the tweets in our dataset.

dataset using Bert tokenizer and check the distribution of the token lengths. We notice that the minimum value of token length is 8, maximum is 130, median is 37 and mean is 42. Based on the density distribution shown in Fig.1, we experiment with two values of sequence length – 64 and 128 and find that the sequence length of 64 provides a better performance.

As additional baselines, we also train two more techniques. Long Short Term Memory Networks (LSTMs) [Hochreiter and Schmidhuber, 1997] have been very popular with text data as they can learn the dependencies of various words in the context of a text. Also, machine learning algorithms such as Support Vector Machine (SVMs) [Hearst *et al.*, 1998] have been used previously by researchers for text classification tasks. We adopt the same data pre-processing and implementation technique as mentioned earlier and train the SVM with grid search, a 5-layer LSTM (using the pre-trained Glove [Pennington *et al.*, 2014] embeddings) and BERT model for the category detection of the racist and xenophobic tweets.

For evaluating the machine learning and deep learning approaches on our test dataset, we use the metrics of average accuracy and weighted f1-score for the five categories. The performance of the model is shown in Table 2. It can be seen

Technique	Accuracy(%)	F1-score
SVM	69	0.66
LSTM	74	0.72
BERT	86	0.81

Table 2: Performance of different models on the manually annotated test dataset.

Category	Total	S1	S2	S3
Stigmatization	116584	3723	5687	107174
Offensiveness	10503	1722	1808	6973
Blame	39765	31	777	38957
Exclusion	10293	872	1341	8080

Table 3: Distribution of tweets amongst the four categories across the three stages.

from Table 2 that the fine-tuned BERT model performs the best compared to SVM and LSTM in terms of both accuracy and f1 score. Thus, we employ this fine-tuned BERT model for categorizing all the tweets from the remaining dataset. Having employed BERT on the remaining dataset, we get a refined dataset of the four categories of tweets spreaded across the three stages as shown in Table 3.

3.2 Topic modelling

Topic modelling is one of the most extensively used methods in natural language processing for finding relationships across text documents, topic discovery and clustering, and extracting semantic meaning from a corpus of unstructured data [Jelodar *et al.*, 2019]. Many techniques have been developed by researchers such as Latent Semantic Analysis (LSA) [Deerwester *et al.*, 1990], Probabilistic Latent Semantic Analysis (pLSA) [Hofmann, 1999] for extracting semantic topic clusters from the corpus of data. In the last decade, Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA) [Blei *et al.*, 2003b] has become a successful and standard technique for inferring topic clusters from texts for various applications such as opinion mining [Zhai *et al.*, 2011], social medial analysis [Cohen and Ruths, 2013], event detection [Lin *et al.*, 2010] and consequently there have also been various developed variants of LDA [Blei and McAuliffe, 2010] and [Blei *et al.*, 2003a].

For our research, we adopt the baseline LDA model with

S1	T1.Virus	virus	spread	country	travel	year	control	chinese	ban	corona	show
	T2.China/Chinese	chinese	virus	deadly	china	situation	mask	stop	animal	source	eat
	T3.Infection	people	case	health	infect	confirm	death	sar	number	report	market
	T4.Outbreak	china	coronavirus	wuhan	outbreak	city	hospital	news	patient	put	state
	T5.Travel	world	china	government	make	people	time	day	bad	flight	start
S2	T1.Emergency	virus	spread	day	year	corona	show	emergency	food	kit	supply
	T2.Globe	china	world	time	country	report	death	global	health	travel	confirm
	T3.Infection	people	case	call	ncov	infect	kill	pack	state	flu	number
	T4.China	china	coronavirus	wuhan	outbreak	quarantine	stop	find	man	dead	thing
	T5.Chinese	chinese	make	mask	government	news	good	work	citizen	start	respirator
S3	T1.Government	china	world	spread	country	lie	pay	communist	government	ccp	make
	T2.?	time	make	india	good	give	work	day	back	fight	buy
	T3.China	china	coronavirus	case	death	covid	country	economy	war	number	wuhan
	T4.Chinese	chinese	virus	people	call	stop	racist	start	die	blame	corona
	T5.US	american	trump	state	medium	president	america	news	great	propaganda	show

Table 4: Extracted topics and their corresponding keywords for the category of stigmatization spread across the three stages S1, S2, and S3.

S1	T1.?	country	ccp	citizen	virus	arrest	live	system	security	foreign	understand
	T2.Government	people	government	democracy	support	life	year	regime	uyghur	camp	give
	T3.?	china	world	spread	stop	communist	happen	taiwan	wuhan	govt	ban
	T4.Muslim	chinese	make	muslim	good	kill	police	terrorist	bad	party	lie
	T5.Human right	world	freedom	hong_kong	human	human_right	time	free	stand	hk	fight
S2	T1.Freedom	world	stop	freedom	truth	spread	good	free	hk	speech	life
	T2.Ccp	china	chinese	ccp	virus	happen	wuhan	evil	communist	time	uyghur
	T3.People	people	make	kill	lie	ppl	trust	camp	police	thing	man
	T4.China	china	country	regime	pay	money	outbreak	start	work	force	control
	T5.Human right	government	citizen	human	fight	support	hong_kong	taiwan	give	democracy	death
S3	T1.Death	world	people	pay	lie	kill	truth	fight	life	die	humanity
	T2.Government	time	call	government	india	communist	pandemic	give	global	send	real
	T3.Virus	chinese	virus	spread	wuhan	corona	product	buy	control	big	day
	T4.China	china	country	make	ccp	stop	good	coronavirus	human	trust	support
	T5.World	china	world	war	case	start	covid	economy	death	state	italy

Table 5: Extracted topics and their corresponding keywords for the category of offensiveness spread across the three stages S1, S2, and S3.

S1	T1.Lie	lie	spread	virus	autocracy	deceit	imagine	true	horrible	infect	country
	T2.Death	china	dead	die	day	order	monstrosity	true	thing	kong	high
	T3.Safety	coronavirus	move	lot	cvirus	epicenter	safety	march	careful	knowingly	health
	T4.Time	wuhan	lunar_new	sick	year	time	absolutely	medium	mutate	emperor	truth
	T5.Infection	people	chinese	make	online	pandemic	catch	number	infect	community	official
S2	T1.Government	lie	chinese	coronavirus	government	wuhan	cover	day	body	thing	care
	T2.Spread	world	country	spread	happen	trust	kill	threat	steal	dead	face
	T3.China	china	truth	bad	free	money	communist	case	find	start	move
	T4.Virus	virus	stop	make	control	good	china	fight	live	report	human
	T5.Death	people	time	number	die	real	life	entire	back	citizen	death
S3	T1.World	world	china	country	pay	pandemic	kill	global	economy	war	
	T2.?	people	stop	human	american	eat	put	president	market	happen	live
	T3.Lie	china	lie	coronavirus	wuhan	blame	die	case	cover	truth	number
	T4.?	make	time	china	good	start	buy	trust	back	thing	country
	T5.Government	chinese	virus	china	government	call	communist	ccp	covid	spread	hold

Table 6: Extracted topics and their corresponding keywords for the category of blame spread across the three stages S1, S2, and S3.

S1	T1.Government	support	gov	join	people	evil	time	stand	sanction	government	money
	T2.Human right	product	world	stop	human_right	freedom	tag	good	challenge	ppl	economic_infiltration
	T3.Boycott	china	hong_kong	fight	regime	boycott	show	international	control	trust	communist
	T4.Trade	make	buy	ccp	day	thing	friend	taiwan	japan	hope	today
	T5.Virus	country	chinese	people	spread	year	human	animal	protect	virus	eat
S2	T1.Nation	people	chinese	animal	happen	government	initiative	nation	show	economy	law
	T2.Virus	virus	control	truth	support	live	kill	boycott	start	stand	cover
	T3.Threat	china	time	lie	threat	company	trust	big	entire	spy	wuhan
	T4.Human right	world	country	freedom	spread	human_right	economic	thing	evil	steal	raise
	T5.Trade	make	product	stop	buy	day	china	good	ccp	challenge	coronavirus
S3	T1.Virus	china	virus	world	pay	spread	ccp	covid	corona	market	call
	T2.Pandemic	world	china	company	communist	coronavirus	pandemic	global	nation	trust	war
	T3.Trade	chinese	make	product	buy	boycott	stop	good	India	economy	Indian
	T4.Human right	people	lie	government	human	life	back	animal	kill	eat	bring
	T5.China	china	country	time	start	business	give	thing	app	sell	money

Table 7: Extracted topics and their corresponding keywords for the category of exclusion spread across the three stages S1, S2, and S3.

Variational Bayes sampling from Gensim² and the LDA Mallet model [McCallum, 2002] with Gibbs sampling for extracting the topic clusters from the text data. Before passing the corpus of data to the LDA models, we perform data pre-processing and cleaning which include the following steps. Firstly, we remove any new line characters, punctuations, URLs, mentions and hashtags. Later we tokenize the texts in the corpus and also remove any stopwords using the Gensim utility of pre-processing and stopwords defined in the NLTK³ corpus. Finally, we make bigrams and lemmatize the words in the text.

After employing the above pre-processing for our corpus, we employ topic modelling using LDA from Gensim and LDA Mallet. We perform experiments by varying the number of topics from 5 to 25 at an interval of 5 and checking the corresponding coherence score of the models as was done in [Fang *et al.*, 2016]. We train the models for 1000 iterations with varying number of topics, optimizing the hyperparameters every 10 passes after each 100 pass period. We set the values of α , β which control the distribution of topics and the vocabulary words amongst the topics to the default settings of 1 divided by the number of topics. We notice from our experiments that LDA Mallet has a higher coherence score (0.60-0.65) compared to the LDA model from Gensim (0.49-0.55) and thus we select LDA Mallet model for the task of topic modelling on our corpus of data.

The above strategy is employed for each racist and xenophobic category and for every stage individually. We find the highest coherence score corresponding to a specific number of topics for each category and stage. To analyse the results, we reduce the number of topics to 5 by clustering closely related topics using equation 1.

$$T_c = \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^M p_j x_j \right)}{N} \quad (1)$$

where N refers to the number of topics to be clustered, M represents the number of keywords in each topic, p_j corresponds to the probability of the word x_i in the topic, and T_c is the resultant topic containing the average probabilities of all the words from the N topics. We then represent the top 10 highest probability words in the resultant topic for every category and stage as is shown in Tables 4 to 7.

4 Findings

Table 4, 5, 6 and 7 demonstrate the ten most salient terms related to the generated five topics for each stage (S1, S2, and S3) of four categories, and we summarize each topic through the correlation between the ten terms. We put a question mark for topics from which no pattern can be generated. In general, under the four categories, China and Chinese are always at the centre of discussion. When considering the dynamics across stages, tweets of all four categories extended the discussion to the world situation, and terms representing other nations and races/ethnicities besides China and Chinese started to emerge.

²<https://pypi.org/project/gensim/>

³<https://pypi.org/project/nltk/>

Notably, the category-based detection and analysis enable us to capture the nuances of themes, and how themes develop through different trajectories across the stages. To specify, the topics in the category of *stigmatization* centre on virus. Discussion tends to associate China and Chinese with the infection and outbreak of virus as well as its negative influences (e.g. emergency; travel). In stage 3, discussion around America became a new focus, with terms trump, president, and propaganda showing up.

The discussion in the category of *offensiveness* is more political oriented compared to other categories. Especially, in the first two stages, discussion included sensitive political terms concerning China (e.g., hk, uyghyr, taiwan). Besides, ccp (Chinese Communist Party) and human right are two important topics. Only till stage 3, the topics in offensiveness gradually switched the focus to virus.

The data in the category of *blame* focuses on attributing the cause and consequence of virus to a particular political system (e.g., lie; autocracy, deceit) in the early stages of the discussion. Alike stigmatization, american and president emerged as new topics in stage 3 for the category of blame, although the overall three stages remained the focus on terms like lie and cover-up by the government.

The category of exclusion emphasizes virus, trade and human right. Especially, in terms of trade, more negative words are associated with it alongside the development of Covid-19 (e.g. from stop in stage 2 to stop and boycott in stage 3). Additionally, in stage 3, india and indian were related to china under the topic of trade.

5 Discussion and Conclusions

Bridging computational methods with social science theories, this research proposes a four-dimensional category for the detection of racist and xenophobic texts in the context of Covid-19. This categorization, combined with a stage wise analysis, enables us to capture the diversity of the topics emerging from racist and xenophobic expression on Twitter, and their dynamic changes across the early stages of Covid-19. This enables the methodological advancement proposed by this research to be transformed into constructive policy suggestions. For instance, as demonstrated in the findings, the topics falling under the category of offensiveness are more likely to be associated with sensitive political issues around China rather than virus in stage 1 and stage 2. Therefore, how to split the discussion of virus from the association of virus with other political topics should draw attention from government of different countries, and this agenda should be incorporated into the official media coverage from the government. Another example is from the category of blame. As shown in the findings, blame usually targets at the transparency of the information from the government (Chinese government especially in early Covid-19). Consequently, it is critical for government of different countries to work on effective and prompt communication with the public under Covid-19. We believe the contribution of this research can be generated beyond the context of Covid-19 to provide insights for future research on racism and xenophobia on digital platforms.

References

- [Bailey and Harindranath, 2005] Olga Guedes Bailey and Ramaswami Harindranath. Racialised ‘othering’. *Journalism: critical issues*, pages 274–286, 2005.
- [Blei and McAuliffe, 2010] David M Blei and Jon D McAuliffe. Supervised topic models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1003.0783*, 2010.
- [Blei et al., 2003a] David M Blei, Thomas L Griffiths, Michael I Jordan, Joshua B Tenenbaum, et al. Hierarchical topic models and the nested chinese restaurant process. In *NIPS*, volume 16, 2003.
- [Blei et al., 2003b] David M Blei, Andrew Y Ng, and Michael I Jordan. Latent dirichlet allocation. *the Journal of machine Learning research*, 3:993–1022, 2003.
- [Cinelli et al., 2020] Matteo Cinelli, Walter Quattrociocchi, Alessandro Galeazzi, Carlo Michele Valensise, Emanuele Brugnoli, Ana Lucia Schmidt, Paola Zola, Fabiana Zollo, and Antonio Scala. The covid-19 social media infodemic. *Scientific Reports*, 10(1):1–10, 2020.
- [Cohen and Ruths, 2013] Raviv Cohen and Derek Ruths. Classifying political orientation on twitter: It’s not easy! In *Proceedings of the International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media*, volume 7, 2013.
- [Coombs and Schmidt, 2000] Timothy Coombs and Lainey Schmidt. An empirical analysis of image restoration: Texaco’s racism crisis. *Journal of Public Relations Research*, 12(2):163–178, 2000.
- [Deerwester et al., 1990] Scott Deerwester, Susan T Dumais, George W Furnas, Thomas K Landauer, and Richard Harshman. Indexing by latent semantic analysis. *Journal of the American society for information science*, 41(6):391–407, 1990.
- [Devlin et al., 2018] Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805*, 2018.
- [Fang et al., 2016] Zhen Fang, Xinyi Zhao, Qiang Wei, Guoqing Chen, Yong Zhang, Chunxiao Xing, Weifeng Li, and Hsinchun Chen. Exploring key hackers and cybersecurity threats in chinese hacker communities. In *2016 IEEE Conference on Intelligence and Security Informatics (ISI)*, pages 13–18. IEEE, 2016.
- [Gencoglu and Gruber, 2020] Oguzhan Gencoglu and Mathias Gruber. Causal modeling of twitter activity during covid-19. *Computation*, 8(4):85, 2020.
- [Guo et al., 2021] Yanzhu Guo, Christos Xypolopoulos, and Michalis Vazirgiannis. How covid-19 is changing our language: Detecting semantic shift in twitter word embeddings. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.07836*, 2021.
- [Hearst et al., 1998] Marti A. Hearst, Susan T Dumais, Edgar Osuna, John Platt, and Bernhard Scholkopf. Support vector machines. *IEEE Intelligent Systems and their applications*, 13(4):18–28, 1998.
- [Hochreiter and Schmidhuber, 1997] Sepp Hochreiter and Jürgen Schmidhuber. Long short-term memory. *Neural computation*, 9(8):1735–1780, 1997.
- [Hofmann, 1999] Thomas Hofmann. Probabilistic latent semantic indexing. In *Proceedings of the 22nd annual international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval*, pages 50–57, 1999.
- [Jelodar et al., 2019] Hamed Jelodar, Yongli Wang, Chi Yuan, Xia Feng, Xiahui Jiang, Yanchao Li, and Liang Zhao. Latent dirichlet allocation (lda) and topic modeling: models, applications, a survey. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 78(11):15169–15211, 2019.
- [Jeshion, 2013] Robin Jeshion. Expressivism and the offensiveness of slurs. *Philosophical Perspectives*, 27(1):231–259, 2013.
- [Li et al., 2020] Xiaoya Li, Mingxin Zhou, Jiawei Wu, Arianna Yuan, Fei Wu, and Jiwei Li. Analyzing covid-19 on online social media: Trends, sentiments and emotions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.14464*, 2020.
- [Lin et al., 2010] Cindy Xide Lin, Bo Zhao, Qiaozhu Mei, and Jiawei Han. Pet: a statistical model for popular events tracking in social communities. In *Proceedings of the 16th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining*, pages 929–938, 2010.
- [Masud et al., 2020] Sarah Masud, Subhabrata Dutta, Sakshi Makkar, Chhavi Jain, Vikram Goyal, Amitava Das, and Tanmoy Chakraborty. Hate is the new infodemic: A topic-aware modeling of hate speech diffusion on twitter. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.04377*, 2020.
- [McCallum, 2002] Andrew Kachites McCallum. Mallet: A machine learning for language toolkit. <http://mallet.cs.umass.edu>, 2002.
- [Miller and Kaiser, 2001] Carol T Miller and Cheryl R Kaiser. A theoretical perspective on coping with stigma. *Journal of social issues*, 57(1):73–92, 2001.
- [Pei and Mehta, 2020] Xin Pei and Deval Mehta. # coronavirus or# chinesevirus?!: Understanding the negative sentiment reflected in tweets with racist hashtags across the development of covid-19. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.08224*, 2020.
- [Pennington et al., 2014] Jeffrey Pennington, Richard Socher, and Christopher D Manning. Glove: Global vectors for word representation. In *Proceedings of the 2014 conference on empirical methods in natural language processing (EMNLP)*, pages 1532–1543, 2014.
- [Schild et al., 2020] Leonard Schild, Chen Ling, Jeremy Blackburn, Gianluca Stringhini, Yang Zhang, and Savvas Zannettou. ” go eat a bat, chang! ”: An early look on the emergence of sinophobic behavior on web communities in the face of covid-19. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.04046*, 2020.
- [Trajkova et al., 2020] Milka Trajkova, Francesco Cafaro, Sanika Vedak, Rashmi Mallappa, Sreekanth R Kankara, et al. Exploring casual covid-19 data visualizations on twitter: Topics and challenges. In *Informatics*, volume 7,

page 35. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, 2020.

- [Vishwamitra *et al.*, 2020] Nishant Vishwamitra, Ruijia Roger Hu, Feng Luo, Long Cheng, Matthew Costello, and Yin Yang. On analyzing covid-19-related hate speech using bert attention. In *2020 19th IEEE International Conference on Machine Learning and Applications (ICMLA)*, pages 669–676. IEEE, 2020.
- [Wang *et al.*, 2021] Simeng Wang, Xiabing Chen, Yong Li, Chloé Luu, Ran Yan, and Francesco Madrisotti. ‘i’m more afraid of racism than of the virus!’: racism awareness and resistance among chinese migrants and their descendants in france during the covid-19 pandemic. *European Societies*, 23(sup1):S721–S742, 2021.
- [Zhai *et al.*, 2011] Zhongwu Zhai, Bing Liu, Hua Xu, and Peifa Jia. Constrained lda for grouping product features in opinion mining. In *Pacific-Asia Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, pages 448–459. Springer, 2011.
- [Ziems *et al.*, 2020] Caleb Ziems, Bing He, Sandeep Soni, and Srijan Kumar. Racism is a virus: Anti-asian hate and counterhate in social media during the covid-19 crisis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.12423*, 2020.